



HAL
open science

Nonsymmetrically Substituted Uranyl-Salophen Receptors: New Opportunities for Molecular Recognition and Catalysis

Antonella Dalla Cort, Luca Schiaffino, Chiara Pasquini

► **To cite this version:**

Antonella Dalla Cort, Luca Schiaffino, Chiara Pasquini. Nonsymmetrically Substituted Uranyl-Salophen Receptors: New Opportunities for Molecular Recognition and Catalysis. *Supramolecular Chemistry*, 2007, 19 (01-02), pp.79-87. 10.1080/10610270600977714 . hal-00513490


HAL Id: hal-00513490

<https://hal.science/hal-00513490>

Submitted on 1 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**Nonsymmetrically Substituted Uranyl-Salophen Receptors:
New Opportunities for Molecular Recognition and Catalysis**

Journal:	<i>Supramolecular Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID:	GSCH-2006-0031.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Aug-2006
Complete List of Authors:	Dalla Cort, Antonella; Università di Roma La Sapienza, Dept. of Chemistry Schiaffino, Luca; Università di Roma La Sapienza, Dept. of Chemistry Pasquini, Chiara; Università di Roma La Sapienza, Dept. of Chemistry
Keywords:	Non symmetrical salophen ligands, Uranyl tetradentate Schiff base complexes, Uranyl ion, Uranyl ion, Inherent chirality



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Nonsymmetrically Substituted Uranyl-Salophen Receptors: New
Opportunities for Molecular Recognition and Catalysis

Antonella Dalla Cort*, Chiara Pasquini and Luca Schiaffino

Dipartimento di Chimica and IMC-CNR, Università La Sapienza,

Box 34 – Roma 62, 00185 Roma, Italy

E-mail: Antonella.dallacort@uniroma1.it

ABSTRACT

This short review highlights recent results in the chemistry of nonsymmetrically substituted uranyl-salophen complexes with specific reference to their synthesis and properties. Their use as receptors and catalysts is also emphasized. The possibility of modulating their structure within wide limits by choosing the proper substituted aldehydes and/or ketones as starting materials, coupled with their inherent chirality offers new appealing opportunities for their applications.

Running Title: *Nonsymmetrically Substituted Uranyl-Salophen Complexes*

Keywords: Non symmetrical salophen ligands, Uranyl tetradentate Schiff base complexes, Uranyl ion, Inherent chirality

1
2
3
4 Salophens are one of the oldest and most popular class of ligands in coordination
5 chemistry because of their versatility and easy synthetic availability.¹ They are diimino
6 tetradentate Schiff bases derived from the condensation of 1,2-phenylenediamine, or of
7 its derivatives, with two equivalents of salicylaldehyde. A large number of synthetic
8 routes to ortho-substituted phenols and to the corresponding ortho salicylaldehydes
9 gives access to a large variety of structures with subtle variations in the steric and
10 electronic configuration. For these reasons they have been extensively used to
11 coordinate transition and main group metals.
12
13

14
15
16 In their dianionic form **1**, these ligands possess two covalent and two coordinative
17 binding sites located in a planar array. This arrangement allows the equatorial
18 coordination of transition metals, the two apical positions of which can be further
19 occupied by ancillary ligands, in an arrangement similar to that of porphyrins. Such
20 complexes have found a host of applications in enantioselective catalysis,² in enzyme
21 modelling,³ in liquid crystals,⁴ and in building up abiotic electrochemically responsive
22 foldamers⁵ and new materials exhibiting non linear optical properties.⁶
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Insert structures **1** and **2**
43
44
45
46

47 Among the transition metals that form robust complexes with salophen ligands there is
48 the hexavalent uranyl ion, UO_2^{+2} .⁷ The coordination chemistry of this cation has lately
49 gained increasing attention thanks to several reasons, mainly the great interest in the
50 extraction of uranium from sea waters and the search for selective removal of it from
51 soil, ground water, and even from human tissues and body fluids by means of a number
52 of chemical complexing agents that can form easily releasable low-molecular weight
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 chelates.⁸ The UO_2^{+2} cation has a well known preference for pentagonal bipyramidal
5
6 coordination, with the two oxygens in the apical positions. After accommodation of the
7
8 four donor groups of the salophen ligand as in **2**, a fifth equatorial site is still available
9
10 to coordination with an additional group,⁹ such as an anion¹⁰ or a neutral molecule¹¹
11
12 endowed with a hard donor site, while in the absence of such guests, the fifth equatorial
13
14 binding site is generally occupied by a solvent molecule.¹² For these reasons these
15
16 electrically neutral complexes have found several applications as receptors,¹³ catalysts,¹⁴
17
18 carriers,¹⁵ and sensors.¹⁶ In this context our recent finding that nonsymmetrically
19
20 substituted uranyl-salophen complexes are inherently chiral and exist as a pair of
21
22 enantiomers¹⁷ has raised interest toward a number of appealing potential applications
23
24 concerning enantioselective recognition and asymmetric catalysis. In this short review
25
26 we will highlight the most recent results on the synthesis of nonsymmetrically
27
28 substituted uranyl-salophen complexes and on their properties.

29
30
31
32
33
34
35 **Synthesis.** As already mentioned, symmetrical metal-salophen complexes are easily
36
37 prepared by condensation of the corresponding phenylenediamine with two equivalents
38
39 of the proper substituted salicylaldehyde in a one-pot reaction that generally uses
40
41 methanol or ethanol as a solvent. It is easy to understand that the synthetic approach
42
43 toward non symmetrical metal-salophen complexes cannot be so straightforward. In the
44
45 literature there is a certain number of examples dealing with the synthesis of
46
47 nonsymmetrical salen complexes,^{18,19,20,21,22} while fewer are those concerning also
48
49 salophen derivatives.^{23,24}

50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57 Insert Fig 1
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 There is more than one possibility to break the symmetry of the complex. We can start
8
9 from a nonsymmetrically substituted 1,2-phenylenediamine (A in Figure 1); from two
10
11 different aromatic carbonyl derivatives (B in Figure 1), one of which is not an aldehyde,
12
13 or from two different salicylaldehydes (C in Figure 1). Examples of the first type are
14
15 reported by Kleij et al.²⁴ for the preparation of metallo(II)-salophen complexes, M = Zn,
16
17 Ni. In this case the aldehyde, the phenylenediamine derivative, and the corresponding
18
19 metal salt $M(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (M = Zn: n = 2; M = Ni: n = 4) are mixed in the appropriate
20
21 stoichiometry in methanol at room temperature. The isolation of the product is obtained
22
23 by simple filtration of the reaction mixture, see Scheme 1.
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 Insert Scheme 1
32
33
34

35 According to Bogheaei and Mohebi,²³ complexes of types B and C can be obtained by a
36
37 two step procedure involving first the preparation of the monoimine from
38
39 salicylaldehyde and phenylenediamine in a 1:1.3 molar ratio in ethanol at low
40
41 temperature, 5-10 °C, with subsequent removal of the excess of diamine by extraction in
42
43 benzene. The reaction of this *half unit* with 2-hydroxyacetophenone in degassed,
44
45 anhydrous ethanol gives **3** in 71% yield (Scheme 2). A number of examples are reported
46
47 by the authors. In their case the complex with vanadium is obtained by adding to a hot
48
49 solution of **3** in mixed solvent ($\text{CHCl}_3/\text{EtOH}/\text{MeOH}$, 10/15/10) a hot solution of
50
51 $\text{VO}(\text{acac})$ in methanol. After 30 min reflux, concentration of the mixture leads to the
52
53 isolation of the corresponding nonsymmetrical vanadyl Schiff base complex in a 83%
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 yield.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Insert Scheme 2
8
9
10

11 Another possibility is a metal-assisted synthesis of the complex. To a 1:1 solution of the
12 half unit and of the metal salt in ethanol is added an equimolar amount of the
13 appropriate acetophenone. This leads, after reflux and cooling, to the isolation of the
14 desired product by filtration. An example of the use of this two step procedure for the
15 synthesis of complexes of type C has been reported by Kleij et al.²⁴ The authors
16 underline the importance of the choice for an excess of phenylenediamine in the
17 selective isolation of monoimine products, but we have obtained the pure monoimine
18 also from strictly equimolar mixtures of the two reactants.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 In the synthesis of nonsymmetrical salen-type ligands using two different
31 salicylaldehyde moieties, Nguyen and Campbell²⁰ adopt the strategy of protecting one
32 amino group of the ethylenediamine prior to condensation with the first salicylaldehyde.
33 They prepare the mono-ammonium salt by treatment of the diamine with hydrogen
34 chloride in anhydrous ether. The salt is added to one equivalent of salicylaldehyde,
35 producing the corresponding monoimino ammonium salt in high yield. This is then
36 added to one equivalent of a second salicylaldehyde derivative in the presence of
37 triethylamine to produce the desired product. Also Gilheany et al²² tried to follow this
38 strategy of trapping the mono-Schiff base, but the poor results obtained prompted them
39 to continue with chromatographic separation of reaction mixtures in which all the three
40 possible combination of the reagents are present. As far as we know, no similar
41 procedure has been adopted to synthesize nonsymmetrical metal salophen complexes.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 In our own experience, in the case of uranyl-salophen complexes the two step procedure
59 invariably leads to a mixture of the three statistical products. This led us to conclude
60

1
2
3
4 that the best way to pure nonsymmetrical uranyl complexes of type C is the
5 chromatographic separation of the three products obtained by the one-pot reaction of
6 phenylenediamine, salicylaldehyde, and an ortho substituted salicylaldehyde in a 1:1:1
7 ratio with 1 mol equiv. of $\text{UO}_2(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in methanol at room temperature. Since
8 we have already checked that preformed nonsymmetrical uranyl salophen complexes do
9 not equilibrate with the two corresponding symmetrical compounds in the presence of 2
10 mol equiv. of acetic acid,^{14c} we believe that our failures in the two step synthesis are a
11 consequence of the intrinsic lability toward hydrolysis of the preformed monoimine in
12 the presence of the Lewis acidic uranyl dication before uranyl-salophen complexes are
13 formed.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The only case in which we succeeded in using the two step procedure was the synthesis
29 of compound **4**. Mixing 1,2-phenylenediamine, 3-isopropylsalicylaldehyde,
30 2-hydroxybenzophenone, and uranyl acetate according to the one-pot procedure for the
31 metal complex proved to be unsuccessful as 2-hydroxybenzophenone did not react
32 under these conditions and only the symmetrical uranyl-salophen complex **5** was
33 recovered. In this case 1,2-phenylenediamine and 2-hydroxybenzophenone were
34 condensed in toluene with use of a Dean Stark apparatus to obtain the monoimine **6** that
35 was subsequently reacted with 3-isopropylsalicylaldehyde and uranyl acetate in
36 methanol to get the desired product without formation of the unwanted symmetrical
37 compounds. These findings can be rationalised by taking into account the lower
38 reactivity of 2-hydroxybenzophenone and of the corresponding monoimine **6** toward
39 nucleophilic attack, that prevents both the reaction of 2-hydroxybenzophenone in the
40 one pot synthesis and the hydrolysis of the monoimine in the second stage of the two
41 step procedure.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Insert structures 4, 5, 6
8
9

10
11 **Chirality in nonsymmetrically substituted uranyl-salophen complexes.** An
12 important feature of uranyl-salophen complexes is that, due to the large ionic radius of
13 the uranium atom in the uranyl dication, the salophen ligand cannot assume a planar
14 geometry and the resulting complex ends up being severely puckered. This is clearly
15 shown by available X-ray crystallographic data^{12,25} and well illustrated by the computer
16 calculated structure of the uranyl-salophen unit, Figure 2. In nonsymmetrically
17 substituted uranyl-salophen derivatives this feature clearly leads to the loss of any
18 symmetry element and consequently makes these compounds inherently chiral. To our
19 surprise, no one had ever made the consideration that nonsymmetrically substituted
20 uranyl-salophen complexes should exist as pairs of enantiomers, and no experimental
21 evidences were reported concerning this possibility.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Insert Fig 2
41
42
43
44
45

46 Quite reasonably, a fast flipping motion, taking place through disrotation about the
47 bonds connecting the upper aromatic ring and the nitrogen atoms, could possibly invert
48 the curvature and keep the enantiomers in fast equilibrium. To verify such hypothesis
49 we prepared the simple derivative **7** where the isopropyl group acts as diastereotopic
50 NMR probe and observed that in its ¹H NMR spectrum the doublet corresponding to the
51 methyl protons does not split even at -40 °C, Figure 3.¹⁷
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Insert Fig 3

To exclude the possibility that the absence of splitting could be due to a small chemical shift difference between the resonances of the diastereotopic methyl groups, we synthesised compound **4** where the introduction of bulky groups in the imine region is expected to hinder the interconversion process. The variable temperature ^1H NMR spectra in CD_3OD of compound **4** show indeed two nicely resolved doublets for the two isopropyl methyls at low temperatures, and only one doublet at higher temperatures (Figure 3). Coalescence is reached at 305 K and from this data an activation barrier of $15.7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ is calculated. This observation confirms our idea that nonsymmetrically substituted uranyl-salophen complexes exist as a pair of enantiomers that interconvert into one another by a flipping motion that for compound **7** is so fast on the NMR timescale that it cannot be detected. The increase in bulkiness in the imine region hinders this motion and allows the observation of the phenomenon.

Insert structures **7** and **8**

A further step in this direction was the synthesis of compound **8** in which, in addition to the phenyl substituent on the imine carbon, methyl groups were introduced on the phenylenediamine ring. These structural variations allowed us to measure an energy barrier for the interconversion of at least 21 kcal mol^{-1} , since the two doublets of the isopropyl group in the $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}$ ^1H NMR spectrum remain sharp even at 385 K (Figure 3). The precise determination of the height of the barrier was achieved using an (S)-naproxen derivative of the uranyl-salophen **8**.²⁶ Isolation by crystallization of one of the two diastereoisomers of **9**, that proved to be configurationally stable for at least one

1
2
3
4 month at room temperature in the solid state, allowed us to measure the epimerization
5 rate in chloroform solution and to estimate a value of $24.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K for the
6 barrier of the flipping motion. We believe that this value applies to a large variety of
7 complexes of general structure **10**, since the naproxen unit has a negligible influence on
8 the epimerization process.
9
10
11
12
13
14

15
16
17
18
19 Insert structures **9** and **10**
20
21
22

23
24 The inherent chirality of nonsymmetrical uranyl-salophen complexes arises upon
25 complexation of the uranyl dication with achiral salophen ligands and originates from
26 the fact that the bulky metal imposes a bent geometry to the salophen moiety so that no
27 elements of symmetry are left. The peculiarity of these systems is that the uranyl ion is
28 not a stereogenic centre in the strict sense, but with its bulkiness dissymmetrises the
29 entire structure, imposing a curvature in an otherwise planar ligand. The use of the
30 expression "inherently chiral" for such complexes was suggested to us by the implicit
31 analogy with calix[4]arenes²⁷ and fullerenes²⁸ since in all these compounds chirality
32 arises from the introduction of a curvature in an ideal planar structure that is devoid of
33 symmetry axes in its bidimensional representation.²⁹ Consequently in all the derivatives
34 referred to as "inherently chiral" racemisation occurs, or would occur at least in
35 principle, through inversion of the curvature.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 **Binding Properties.** As already mentioned, uranyl-salophen complexes can bind donor
53 groups by means of the fifth equatorial site still available after the coordination of the
54 UO_2^{2+} ion to the salophen ligand. In his pioneering work in the early nineteens,
55 Reinhoudt and his coworkers showed that the solvent, that generally occupies this
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 position, can be replaced by other electron rich molecules, such as urea, formamide,³⁰
5
6 and anions.³¹ Among the neutral molecules that can be recognized and bound by uranyl-
7
8 salophen complexes there are ketones and enones. We found that compounds such as **11**
9
10 show a good binding affinity toward a number of carbonyl compounds. In general, this
11
12 affinity is larger than that showed by the unsubstituted uranyl-salophen parent
13
14 compound and, in the majority of the cases, even of that of the corresponding
15
16 symmetrically substituted one **12**, Table 1. This behaviour can be explained by
17
18 considering that, in addition to the primary binding force provided by the coordination
19
20 of the carbonyl oxygen to the metal centre, there is a significant stabilization, in the
21
22 range of 2-3 kcal mol⁻¹, resulting from van der Waals interactions of the guest with the
23
24 aromatic walls. On the other hand, the strict complementarity requirements necessary to
25
26 maximize these interactions could be hardly simultaneously achieved by both the
27
28 aromatic cleft walls. As a consequence, in the case of the symmetrical complex some of
29
30 the internuclear distances could lie in the repulsive region, diminishing the stability of
31
32 the host-guest complexes with respect to the case of the corresponding non symmetrical
33
34 one. Replacement of the phenyl group in **11** with larger aromatic unit, as in **13**, results
35
36 in stronger binding of large planar guests due to the extended contact surface between
37
38 the receptor and the substrate, see footnote b to Table 1.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 Insert structures **11**, **12** and **13**
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Insert Table 1
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Uranyl-salophen complexes can also act as ditopic receptors towards ion pairs when
5
6 endowed with flexible aromatic side arms, as **14**, since the anion binds strongly to the
7
8 hard Lewis acidic uranyl center, whereas the cation is interacting with the aromatic
9
10 pendant arms through cation- π interactions. We have indeed demonstrated recently such
11
12 property^{13a} and shown that also the nonsymmetrically substituted compound **15** is an
13
14 efficient binder for tetralkylammonium³² and alkali metal salts such as CsCl, both in
15
16 solution and in the solid state.¹²
17
18
19
20
21
22

23
24 Insert structures **14** and **15**
25
26
27

28 Our finding that hindered non symmetrically substituted uranyl-salophen complexes
29
30 exist as a pair of detectable enantiomers, prompted us to investigate their chiral
31
32 recognition ability.^{13b} To this purpose we synthesized compound **16**, structurally
33
34 analogous to **8**, in which the binding site is properly shaped by introducing a phenyl and
35
36 a methyl group on the two phenoxide rings in the ortho positions with respect to the
37
38 oxygen atoms.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Insert structure **16**
46
47
48
49

50 Preliminary screening of the chiral recognition ability of this receptor was carried out on
51
52 its racemic mixture. To this purpose we developed a new NMR-based protocol to test
53
54 their potentiality as enantioselective hosts. This protocol is fast and easy to use, and can
55
56 be applied to racemic mixtures of receptors prior to resolution. It is a quite general
57
58 method and can be applied whenever the diastereomeric adducts formed by the racemic
59
60

1
2
3
4 host and the enantiomerically pure guest show even small but detectable chemical shift
5
6 differences for the complexes. The selectivity values obtained in this way for receptor
7
8
9 **16** toward α -methylbenzylamine, 1-(2-naphthyl)ethylamine, methyl-*p*-tolylsulphoxide
10
11 and N,N,N-trimethyl- α -methylbenzylammonium chloride are shown in Table 2. They
12
13 are quite encouraging and demonstrate, for the first time, that inherently chiral uranyl-
14
15 salophen complexes such as **16** can behave as enantioselective receptors.
16
17

18
19
20
21 Insert **Table 2**
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Catalytic activity.** Our interest in developing supramolecular catalysts based on the
28
29 uranyl-salophen complexes³³ led us to consider the use of their non symmetrically
30
31 substituted derivatives in the Diels-Alder addition of benzoquinone to 1,3-
32
33 cyclohexadiene. Indeed the combination of the Lewis acid-base coordination of the
34
35 carbonyl oxygen to the metal together with the stabilizing van der Waals interactions of
36
37 the substrate with the pendant aromatic side arm should represent a promising feature
38
39 for catalysis. We should remind that an ideal catalyst is one in which a strong affinity
40
41 toward the transition state is accompanied by a much lower interest for the reactant(s)
42
43 and product(s). Artificial catalysts that meet these requirements are rare in the literature,
44
45 nevertheless we discovered that **17** possesses such property and furthermore catalyses
46
47 efficiently the above mentioned reaction whereas the parent unsubstituted complex **18** is
48
49 completely inactive. The choice of a non symmetrically substituted complex was based
50
51 on the idea that one face of the substrate, upon coordination to the uranyl, could
52
53 establish favourable van der Waals interactions with the aromatic pendant arm, while
54
55 the other can be easily approached by the diene (see scheme 3). We found that neither
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 the reactant(s) nor the addition product give complexes of measurable stability with the
5
6 uranyl-salophen catalyst, although the rate of addition of the quinone to the diene was
7
8 significantly enhanced in the presence of it, whereas the corresponding unsubstituted
9
10 parent compound was almost inactive. We interpreted these findings as follows. The
11
12 aromatic side arm provides stabilization of the transition state through passive³⁴
13
14 binding. This contribution is clearly essential since the interaction of the uranyl centre
15
16 with one of the benzoquinone oxygen, whose basicity increases during the activation
17
18 process, is not sufficient *per se* to promote catalysis, as demonstrated by the fact that the
19
20 parent complex is inactive.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Insert Scheme 3
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Thus, the nonsymmetrically substituted uranyl-salophen complex **17** behaves as a
36
37 supramolecular catalyst in that the side aromatic arm, promoting weak but significant
38
39 van der Waals interactions with the substrate, becomes a protagonist in the catalysis.
40
41
42
43
44

45 Insert structures **17** and **18**
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **Conclusion and Outlook.** The present review covers the chemistry of non
53
54 symmetrically substituted uranyl-salophen complexes with specific reference to their
55
56 synthesis, binding properties, and catalytic activity. The possibility to modulate their
57
58 structure within wide limits using properly substituted aldehydes and/or ketones as
59
60

1
2
3
4 starting materials and our intriguing finding that they are inherently chiral provide new
5 opportunities for their application. Nevertheless, as to optically active complexes some
6 limitations remain concerning the preparative resolution of their racemic mixture.
7 Unfortunately, unlike sterically unhindered uranyl-salophen complexes, the derivatives
8 with bulky substituents in the imine region, introduced to block the flipping motion, do
9 not tolerate any chromatographic treatment and easily dissociate under these conditions.
10 We are currently working on this aspect, trying to obtain, through an alternative
11 synthetic approach not implying heavy bulkiness in the imine region, configurationally
12 stable, nonsymmetrically substituted uranyl-salophen complexes tolerant to
13 chromatographic separation.³⁵ Solving this problem will open the way to a large variety
14 of optically pure chiral receptors with prospects of applications to enantioselective
15 recognition and asymmetric catalysis.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **Acknowledgements.** We are indebted to Prof L. Mandolini for his inspiring guide and
36 fruitful discussions. We acknowledge MIUR (COFIN 2003, Progetto Dispositivi
37 Supramolecolari), COST Action D11 and D31 for financial contributions.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 References

- 46
47
48 ¹ Vigato P. A.; Tamburini S. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2004**, 248, 1717.
49 ² (a) Jacobsen E. N. in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, Vol. 12 (Eds.: E. W. Abel, F. G. A.
50 Stone, G. Wilkinson), Pergamon, New York, 1995, pp. 1097 – 1135; (b) Yamada S. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*,
51 **1999**, 190-192, 537.
52 ³ (a) Ohashi M.; Koshiyama T.; Ueno T.; Yanase M.; Fujii H.; Watanabe Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2003**,
53 42, 1005; (b) Mirkhani V.; Tangestaninejad S.; Moghadam M.; Moghbel M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **2004**,
54 12, 4673.
55 ⁴ Serrette A.; Carroll P. J.; Swager T. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1992**, 114, 1887.
56 ⁵ Zhang F.; Bai S.; Yap G. P. A.; Tarwade V.; Fox J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, 127, 10590.
57 ⁶ Di Bella S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2001**, 30, 355 and references therein.
58 ⁷ (a) Pfeiffer P.; Hesse T.; Pfitzner H.; Scholl W.; Thielert H. J. *Prakt. Chem.*, **1932**, 217; (b) Bandoli G.,
59 Croatto D. A.; Vidali M.; Vigato P. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1971**, 1330.
60 ⁸ (a) Le Claiche L.; Vita C. *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, **2006**, 4, 45; (b) Sopo H.; Sviili J.; Valkonen A.;
Sillanpää R. *Polyhedron*, **2006**, 25, 1223; (c) Preetha C. R.; Gladis J. M.; Rao T. P. *Environ. Sci.*

- Technol., **2006**, *40*, 3070; (d) Bühl M.; Diss R.; Wipff G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, *127*, 13506; (e) Gorden A. E. V.; Xu J.; Raymond K. N. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 4207 and references therein.
- ⁹ Bandoli G.; Clemente D. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, **1975**, *7*, 612.
- ¹⁰ Rudkevich D.M.; Huck W. T. S.; Van Veggel F. C. J. M.; Reinhoudt D. N., "Metallomacrocycles and -clefts: receptors for neutral molecules and anions" in Fabbrizzi L. and Poggi A. eds. *Transition Metals in Supramolecular Chemistry NATO ASI Series* (No. 448): Mathematical and Physical Science, 1994, Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 329-349.
- ¹¹ (a) Van Doorn; A. R.; Verboom W.; Reinhoudt, D. N. "Molecular Recognition of Neutral Molecules by Synthetic Receptors", in G. W. Gokel eds. *Advances in Supramolecular Chemistry*, 1993, JAI Press, Greenwich, vol. 3, pp 159; (b) van Axel Castelli, V.; Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Pinto, V.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; (c) Ribaudou F.; Sanna C.; Schiaffino L., Snellink-Ruël, B. H. M. *Supramolecular Chem.*, **2002**, *14*, 211.
- ¹² Cametti, M.; Nissinen, M.; Dalla Cort A.; Mandolini, L.; Rissanen K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, *127*, 3831.
- ¹³ (a) Cametti, M.; Nissinen, M.; Dalla Cort A.; Mandolini, L.; Rissanen K. *Chem. Commun.*, **2003**, 2420; (b) Dalla Cort, A.; Miranda Murua, M. J. I.; Pasquini, C.; Pons, M.; Schiaffino L. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 3301.
- ¹⁴ (a) van Axel Castelli, V.; Cacciapaglia, R.; Chiosis, G.; van Veggel, F. C. J. M.; Mandolini, L.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **1996**, *246*, 181; (b) van Axel Castelli, V.; Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Schiaffino, L. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2003**, 627 and references cited therein; (c) Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Schiaffino, L. *Chem. Commun.*, **2005**, 3867.
- ¹⁵ Christoffels, L. A. J.; De Jong, F.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2000**, *6*, 1376.
- ¹⁶ Antonisse, M. M.; Snellink- Ruël, B. H. M.; Engbersen, J. F. J.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1988**, *63*, 9776.
- ¹⁷ Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Palmieri, G.; Pasquini, C.; Schiaffino L. *Chem. Commun.*, **2003**, 2178.
- ¹⁸ Lopez, J.; Liang, S.; Bu, X. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1998**, *39*, 4199.
- ¹⁹ Daly, A. M.; Dalton, C. T.; Renehan, M. F.; Gilheany, D. G. *Tetrahedron Letters*, **1999**, *40*, 3617.
- ²⁰ E. J. Campbell, S. T. Nguyen *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2001**, *42*, 1221-1225.
- ²¹ Danilova, T.; Rozenberg, V. I.; Vorontsov, E. V.; Starikova, Z. A.; Hopf, H. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2003**, *14*, 1375.
- ²² Renehan, M. F.; Schanz, H.-J.; McGarrigle, E. M.; Dalton, C. T.; Daly, A. M.; Gilheany, D. G. *J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem.*, **2005**, *231*, 205.
- ²³ Boghaei, D. M.; Mohebi, S. *Tetrahedron*, **2002**, *58*, 5357.
- ²⁴ Kleij, A. W.; Tooke, D. M.; Spek, A. L.; Reek, J. N. H. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **2005**, 4626.
- ²⁵ (a) van Staveren, C. J.; Fenton, D. E.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; van Eerden, J.; Harkema, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1987**, *109*, 3456; (b) van Staveren, C. J.; van Eerden, J.; van Veggel, F. C. J. M.; Harkema, S.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1988**, *110*, 4994; (c) Reinhoudt, D. N.; den Hertog Jr., H. J. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.*, **1988**, *97*, 645; (d) van Doorn, A.R.; Schaafstra, R.; Bos, M.; Harkema, S.; van Eerden, J.; Verboom, W.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1991**, *56*, 6083; (e) van Doorn, A. R.; Verboom, W.; Harkema, S.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *Synthesis*, **1992**, 119; (f) Rudkevich, D. M.; Stauthamer, W. P. R. V.; Verboom, W.; Engbersen, J. F. J.; Harkema, S.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1992**, *114*, 9671.
- ²⁶ Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Pasquini, C.; Schiaffino, L. *Org. Lett.*, **2004**, *6*, 1697.
- ²⁷ Bohmer, V.; Kraft, D.; Tabatai, M. *J. Inclusion Phenom. Mol. Recognit. Chem.*, **1994**, *19*, 17.
- ²⁸ Powell, W. H.; Cozzi, F.; Moss, G. P.; Thilgen, C.; Hwu, R. J.-R.; Yerin, A. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **2002**, *74*, 629.
- ²⁹ Dalla Cort, A.; L. Mandolini, L.; Pasquini, C.; Schiaffino, L. *New. J. Chem.*, **2004**, 1198.
- ³⁰ (a) van Doorn, A. R.; Schaafstra, R.; Bos, M.; Harkema, S.; van Eerden, J.; Verboom, W.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1991**, *56*, 6083.
- ³¹ (a) Rudkevich, D. M.; Stauthamer, W. P. R.; Verboom, W.; Engbersen, J. F. J.; Harkema, S.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1992**, *114*, 9671; (b) Rudkevich, D. M.; Verboom, W.; Brozka, Z.; Palys, R. J.; Stauthamer, W. P. R.; Van Hummel, G. J.; Franken, S. M.; Harkema, S.; Engbersen, J. F. J.; Snellink- Ruël, B. H. M.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1994**, *116*, 4341.
- ³² Cametti, M. Ph.D. Thesis.
- ³³ van Axel Castelli, V.; Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1998**, *120*, 12688.
- ³⁴ Kirby, A. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, **1996**, *35*, 706.
- ³⁵ Dalla Cort, A.; Mandolini, L.; Pasquini, C.; Schiaffino, L. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2005**, *70*, 9814.





1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60**Table 1.** Association constants (K , M^{-1}) for complexes between uranyl salophen derivatives **2**, **12**, and **11** in $CHCl_3$ at $25^\circ C$ with selected carbonyl compounds.^{11b}

	2	12	11
cyclohexanone	< 3 ^a	140	260
3-thiophenylcyclopentanone	< 3	68.6	135
3-thiophenylcyclohexanone	< 3	100	86
2-cyclopenten-1-one ^b	14	460	870
2-cyclohexen-1-one	7.6	900	320
4,4-dimethyl 2-cyclohexen-1-one	17	820	530
4,4-dimethyl 2-cyclohexen-1-one	17	820	530
5,5-dimethyl 2-cyclohexen-1-one	3.7	130	330
6,6-dimethyl 2-cyclohexen-1-one	3.2	6.4	90

^aThe K values here reported are reliable to $\pm 20\%$ (± 0.1 kcal in ΔG°)
^bThe association constant with complex **13** under the same conditions is $1700 M^{-1}$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2. Selectivity values, S^a , and corresponding diastereomeric excesses, de , at 25°C, obtained for the enantioselective recognition of selected chiral guests by host **16**.

				
S	2.15	1.60	1.70	1.30
% de	36	23	26	13

$S = K_2/K_1$, where K_1 and K_2 are the stability constants of the two diastereomeric complexes deriving from the association of the optically pure guest with the two enantiomers of **16**.

1
2
3
4 **Captions to Figures and Schemes**
5
6
7
8

9 **Figure 1.** Types of nonsymmetrically substituted metal-salophen complexes.
10
11

12
13 **Scheme 1.** Synthesis of nonsymmetric M^{II} -salophen complex starting from non symmetric *ortho*-phenylenediamine
14 precursors.
15
16

17 **Scheme 2.** Synthesis of ligand **3**.
18
19
20
21


22 **Figure 2.** Computer calculated structure of the uranyl-salophen complex **2**.¹⁷ (Reproduced by permission of The
23 Royal Society of Chemistry)
24
25
26

27 **Figure 3.** Temperature dependent 1H NMR spectra of the methyl group signals of **7** (a, 300 MHz in methanol- d_4), **4**
28 (b, 300 MHz in methanol- d_4), and **8** (c, 300 MHz in dimethylsulfoxide- d_6).¹⁷ (Reproduced by permission of The
29 Royal Society of Chemistry)
30
31
32
33


34 **Scheme 3.** Diels-Alder addition of benzoquinone to 1,3-cyclohexadiene catalysed by **17**.^{14c} (Reproduced by
35 permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry)
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fig 1




1
2
3
4
5 Fig 2



For Peer Review Only


6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 Figure 3
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Scheme 1




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Scheme 2




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Scheme 3




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Structure 1 and 2




1




2


Structures 4, 5, 6



4




5




6

Structures 7 and 8





7






8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Structures 9 and 10




Structures 11-13




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Structures 14 and 15




Structure 16




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Structures 17 and 18




17



18

1
2
3
4 Graphical Abstract
5
6
7
8
9




10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Nonsymmetrically Substituted Uranyl-Salophen Receptors: New Opportunities for Molecular Recognition and Catalysis

Antonella Dalla Cort*, Chiara Pasquini and Luca Schiaffino


*Dipartimento di Chimica and IMC-CNR,
Università La Sapienza,
Box 34 – Roma 62, 00185 Roma, Italy*



152x30mm (360 x 360 DPI)

or Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



57x35mm (360 x 360 DPI)

Review Only