



**HAL**  
open science

## On the nature of ‘context’ in chemical education

John K. Gilbert

► **To cite this version:**

John K. Gilbert. On the nature of ‘context’ in chemical education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 2007, 28 (09), pp.957-976. 10.1080/09500690600702470 . hal-00513318

**HAL Id: hal-00513318**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00513318v1>**

Submitted on 1 Sep 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**On the nature of 'context' in chemical education**

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Science Education</i>
Manuscript ID:	TSED-2006-0054
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue Research Paper
Keywords:	chemistry education, curriculum
Keywords (user):	context-based



## On the nature of 'context' in chemical education

John K. Gilbert, Institute for Education, The University of Reading, UK

### Abstract

Some of the most pressing problems currently facing chemical education throughout the world are rehearsed. It is suggested that, if the notion of 'context' is to be used as the basis for an address to these problems, it must enable a number of challenges to be met. Four generic models of 'context' are identified that are currently used or which may be used in some form within chemical education as the basis for curriculum design. It is suggested that a model based on physical settings, together with their cultural justifications, and taught with a socio-cultural perspective on learning, is likely to meet those challenges most fully. A number of reasons why the relative efficacies of these four models of approaches cannot be evaluated from the existing research literature are suggested. Finally, an established model for the representation of the development of curricula is used to discuss the development and evaluation of context-based chemical curricula.

### Preface

This paper is an attempt to characterise and evaluate the four models of 'context' that underlie recent attempts to reform the design of courses in chemical education. As such it

1  
2  
3 connects different theoretical notions: An overview of the challenges that chemical  
4  
5 education currently faces and what the notion of context must do to address them; A  
6  
7 model of the attributes of any context (Duranti & Goodwin, 1992); A theory about  
8  
9 situated learning that may be applied to context-based curricula (Greeno, 1998); Criteria  
10  
11 for the successful attainment of context-based learning; and for curriculum  
12  
13 representations described by Goodlad (1979) and by Van den Akker (1998) to be applied  
14  
15 to context-based curricula.  
16  
17  
18  
19

## 20 21 22 **Context as an address to challenges**

### 23 24 25 *Challenges and 'context'*

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 Throughout the world, over the past twenty years or so, chemical education has faced a  
33  
34 number of inter-related problems:  
35  
36  
37

#### 38 39 1. Overload

40  
41 As a consequence of the ever-accelerating accumulation of scientific knowledge,  
42  
43 curricula have become over - loaded with content (Millar & Osborne, 2000; Rutherford  
44  
45 & Ahlgren, 1990). The consequences of high content loads have been that curricula are  
46  
47 too often aggregations of isolated facts detached from their scientific origin (De Vos,  
48  
49 Bulte, & Pilot, 2002).  
50  
51  
52

#### 53 54 55 2. Isolated Facts

56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 These curricula are being taught without students knowing *how* they should form  
4 connections within and between the aggregations of isolated facts. The acquisition of a  
5 large number of isolated facts does not lend itself to the formation of mental schema.  
6  
7 Students cannot acquire a sense of how to give meaning to what they are learning. This  
8 can only lead to low engagement in classes and the forgetting of material thereafter.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

### 14 15 16 17 18 3. Lack of transfer

19  
20 Students can solve problems presented to them in ways that closely mirror the ways in  
21 which they were taught. They signally fail to solve problems using the same concepts  
22 when presented in different ways. There is little or none of that transfer of learning that  
23 is a preparation for the life-long learning of chemistry or its uses in everyday life  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 (Osborne & Collins, 2000) (p. 21).  
31  
32  
33

### 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

### 4. Lack of relevance

When chemistry ceases to be a compulsory subject in the curriculum (usually at the minimum school-leaving age), the great majority of students does not elect to continue to study it. Moreover, many of those that do elect to continue to study the subject experience a lack of relevance in it and seem to view it in an instrumental way, rather than because it is worthwhile in itself. It is this latter approach that would give them a sense *why* they should learn the required material. At best, chemistry has become a pre-requisite for the study of something in which they are actually interested e.g. medicine.

### 5. Inadequate emphasis

1  
2  
3 The traditional emphases of the chemistry curriculum have been the provision of a 'solid  
4 foundation' ('the cumulative development of propositional knowledge---so that one can  
5 understand---the next science course'), 'correct explanation' ('science is the correct  
6 explanation of the world'), and 'scientific skill development ('using the right processes--  
7 - will---inevitably produce reliable knowledge') (Roberts & Ostman, 1998)(p. 6-10) as  
8 the basis for more advanced study of chemistry. However, this set of emphases is, on its  
9 own, increasingly seen as an inadequate basis for such study. It is also an inappropriate  
10 basis for the chemical education of the majority of students who will not continue to  
11 study the subject, especially where the overall goal is the development of 'scientific  
12 literacy'(Laugksch, 2000).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 Each of these problems poses a series of challenges. A major address to these challenges  
33 has been through the use of 'context' as the basis for curriculum design and classroom  
34 teaching. For this to be successful, the educational model that embodies the meaning of  
35 'context' must be such that it provides an effective answer to the associated curricular  
36 and social problems.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 To address the first problem, the use of context should simplify and / or reduce the  
47 content load of the curriculum. We need to identify those concepts which are most widely  
48 used throughout chemistry and to concentrate learning on them. The content load of the  
49 chemical curriculum could then be lightened by focusing on contexts which exemplify  
50 those concepts. Thus, the collection of contexts used must be such that a parsimonious  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 selection of the concepts to be taught can be made from the broad range available within  
4  
5 chemistry per se.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Secondly, the collection of contexts used must be able to provide the basis for the  
11  
12 development of coherent 'mental maps' of the relationship between these concepts by  
13  
14 students. Approaches based on variations of the educational psychology of  
15  
16 'constructivism' (e.g. personal constructivism, social constructivism, situated learning,  
17  
18 activity theory) are currently believed by many to address these issues (Glaserfeld, 1989;  
19  
20 Kelly, 1955; Vygotsky, 1978), albeit with reservations by some (Matthews, 1995;  
21  
22 Osborne, 1996; Solomon, 1994). Yet this throws up a series of issues: how can such  
23  
24 techniques be implemented effectively when classes remain very large, very diverse in  
25  
26 prior attainment and in future intentions?  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 Thirdly, the collection of contexts used must be such that the teaching of concepts in a  
35  
36 given context will increase the likelihood that those concepts will be transferred by  
37  
38 students to the understanding of other contexts. Students must be able to perceive  
39  
40 analogies between the learning demands of the contexts facing them.  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 For an effective address to the fourth issue, all students need to become sufficiently  
47  
48 involved in the work at hand with some students becoming very interested in it. For all  
49  
50 students, the collection of contexts used must make chemistry more relevant and enable  
51  
52 the development of a sense of ownership of that which is to be learnt. The structure of the  
53  
54 curriculum must be such that it, at best, resonates with students' present and anticipated  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 interests, and, at worst, is capable of engendering interest and commitment. Students will  
4  
5 then be both more willing and able to engage in chemical education when compelled to  
6  
7 do so, as well as being more inclined to continue voluntarily with it.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Finally, other curriculum emphases must be addressed and a better balance between all of  
13  
14 them achieved to facilitate an effective chemical education for all. Roberts and Ostman  
15  
16 have identified other, very infrequently occurring, emphases in curricula: ‘everyday  
17  
18 coping’ (‘a way of making sense of objects and events of fairly obvious everyday  
19  
20 importance’), ‘self as explainer’ (‘the focus is on explaining as a process, on what  
21  
22 influences the ways people explain’), ‘science, technology, and decisions’ (‘science has  
23  
24 limitations in the practical arena of trying to make decisions’), and ‘structure of science’  
25  
26 (‘aspects of the growth and appraisal of scientific knowledge’) (Roberts & Ostman,  
27  
28 1998)(p. 6-10). The inclusion of a higher proportion of these latter would broaden the  
29  
30 base of chemical education. The overall collection of contexts used must be sufficiently  
31  
32 flexible as to permit the design of curricula for groups of students with a wide range of  
33  
34 prior attainments and future ambitions in chemistry. It should be noted that all adult  
35  
36 learning of all the sciences takes place in these conditions.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

#### 48 *Criteria for the use of ‘context’ in chemical education*

49  
50  
51  
52

53 If the use of ‘context’ is to be promoted as a way of resolving the problems of the  
54  
55 chemistry curriculum, the meaning of the word itself needs clarification. The everyday  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 meanings are ‘the circumstances that form the setting for an event, statement, or idea, and  
4  
5 the terms in which it can be fully understood’ and ‘the parts that immediately precede or  
6  
7 follow a word or passage and clarify its meaning’ (Pearsall, 1999). The word originates  
8  
9 from the Latin language in the verb ‘contexere’, ‘to weave together’. In its related noun  
10  
11 ‘contextus’ the word expresses ‘coherence’, connection’ and/or ‘relationship’. Thus, the  
12  
13 function of ‘context’ is to describe such circumstances that give meaning to words,  
14  
15 phrases and sentences. A context must provide a coherent structural meaning for  
16  
17 something new that is set within a broader perspective. These descriptions are consistent  
18  
19 with the function of ‘the use of contexts’ in chemical education: students should be able  
20  
21 to provide meaning to the learning of chemistry; they should experience their learning as  
22  
23 relevant to some aspect of their lives and be able to construct coherent ‘mental maps’ of  
24  
25 the subject.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Duranti and Goodwin, building on this perspective with the use of the tools of linguistics,  
35  
36 see a precise definition of ‘context’ as an impossible task:  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 ‘...it does not seem possible at the present time to give a single, precise, technical  
42  
43 definition of context, and eventually we might have to accept that such a  
44  
45 definition may not be possible’ (Duranti & Goodwin, 1992)(p. 2)  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 However, they do offer us some useful clues. They see ‘talking’ as the behaviour that a  
52  
53 context is normally invoked to interpret (p.3). Non-verbal behaviour, such as the use of a  
54  
55 diagram, an animated model, or a photograph, can create a context in which talk then  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 takes place: this they call a 'focal event', an event that gets attention and is put in the  
4  
5  
6 spotlight.

7  
8  
9  
10 A context is then a focal event embedded in its cultural setting (p.3). Consequently, they  
11  
12 see an educational context to have four attributes:

- 13  
14  
15 a. a setting, a social, spatial, and temporal framework within which mental encounters  
16  
17 with focal events are situated;  
18  
19  
20 b. a behavioural environment of the encounters, the way that the task(s), related to the  
21  
22 focal event, have been addressed, is used to frame the talk that then takes place;  
23  
24  
25 c. the use of specific language, as the talk associated with the focal event that takes  
26  
27 place;  
28  
29  
30 d. a relationship to extra-situational background knowledge (p.6/8).  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 These attributes can be clarified with the aid of an example that could be based on the  
37  
38 practical world of science / technology: the focal event of thinking about an earthquake  
39  
40 that has taken place somewhere on our planet. Then a setting (attribute a) could be the  
41  
42 devastated area that requires rebuilding; how the framing of this is determined by the  
43  
44 type of society in the country(s) involved, the population density and distribution, the  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

These attributes can be clarified with the aid of an example that could be based on the practical world of science / technology: the focal event of thinking about an earthquake that has taken place somewhere on our planet. Then a setting (attribute a) could be the devastated area that requires rebuilding; how the framing of this is determined by the type of society in the country(s) involved, the population density and distribution, the imminence of bad weather. The behavioural environment (attribute b) is then the type of activities engaged in by architects, materials scientists, and city-planners as they use resources and methods that are thought necessary for the task(s) of constructing new buildings. This determines the language used (attribute c): the need for earthquake

1  
2  
3 resistant houses, the use of concrete rather than more fragile materials, and the notion of  
4  
5 flexible structures for buildings. The background knowledge involved (attribute d) is  
6  
7 then concerned with the action of uneven forces on buildings, the composition of  
8  
9 concrete for specific purposes, the redistribution of forces in flexible houses.  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15 A second example, fully developed in the field of chemical education, is the treatment of  
16  
17 'The chemistry of global warming' given in (Stanitski, Eubanks, Middlecamp, & Pienta,  
18  
19 2003) (p.98-144). Taking the four attributes in turn:  
20  
21

22 Attribute a: Where, when, how is the focal event situated? The focal event is the general  
23  
24 phenomenon of global warming, manifest throughout the world in different ways.  
25  
26

27 Attribute b: What do people do in this situation; what actions do they take? Various  
28  
29 measures to reduce the production of relevant gases are discussed, as are measures to  
30  
31 remove those already in the atmosphere.  
32  
33

34 Attribute c: In what language do people speak about their actions? The molecular  
35  
36 structures of relevant gases are discussed, with a particular emphasis on the way that  
37  
38 internal vibrations lead to the effects that are observed.  
39  
40

41 Attribute d: What is the background knowledge of those who act? The need for a general  
42  
43 education about molecular structure and energy conversion is required.  
44  
45

46  
47  
48 These four attributes of context can be used to address the five challenges facing  
49  
50 chemical education. The curriculum overload (1<sup>st</sup> challenge) can be reduced by selecting  
51  
52 focal events that are relevant for students (4<sup>th</sup> challenge) and those parts of 'chemical  
53  
54 language' (concepts) that are needed for students to grasp the meaning of the chemistry  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

involved in these focal events (2<sup>nd</sup> challenge). This leads to the following interpretation of the attributes as preliminary criteria for context-based chemistry education, taking the possible pollution of swimming water as an example for a focal event.

- a) Students must recognise and value the setting as a social, spatial, and temporal framework within which they encounter focal events of the domain of chemistry.

In the example of the (potential) pollution of swimming water as the focal event, the setting can be the potentially polluted river or lake in the close environment of students, which they feel is of concern to them. The students' recognition of such setting is as a condition for engaging in the behavioural environment provided (4<sup>th</sup> challenge).

- b) The behavioural environment determines the typical tasks in the domain of chemistry that are to be engaged in: developing and executing research plans, chemical analysis, and experimental laboratory skills which include chemical concepts, and principles.

For the chosen focal event, it requires first that students should be able to accept that water samples should be taken from a certain river or lake; and second that these should be tested followed by an evaluation and decision-making to decide that 'there is no dangerous stuff' in the water and that it is safe to swim in. It involves the whole range of activities that are needed to make a valid decision about water quality, the concepts, relations (calculations), skills (precise handling and measuring) and attitudes (appreciating the reliability of results).

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45
- c) The nature of the behavioural environment frames the chemical talk that students should learn to use.

In the example this involves specific terms such as turbidity, pH, colorimetric analysis, norms for E-coli concentration, the representative sampling of swimming water.

This choice is related to the 5<sup>th</sup> challenge; it sets the emphasis and determines the perspective with which concepts and principles are used. The chemical talk is thus embedded in a relevant setting (attribute a) with which the behavioural environment (attribute b) provides for coherence when using chemical talk. This is related to the 2<sup>nd</sup> challenge.

- d) The chemical behavioural environment and the specific chemical language are related to chemical knowledge that is relevant and used in other focal events in the chemistry domain. This attribute is related to the 3<sup>rd</sup> challenge (transfer) by teaching students to place a focal event in a broader perspective.

In the example this might involve more general knowledge such as concentration, norms, mean, nitrite etc., which can be used when students have the task to determine the quality of milk, fruit juices, or other products.

46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

These attributes of a focal event (the context) seem to address the five curricular challenges. When a context provides a coherent structural meaning for the students by way of the elaboration of each of the four attributes, it can be expected that the personal relevance for the students will be related to an understanding of why they are learning about chemistry. However, this classification does not focus sufficiently sharp on how

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

'learning' should actually take place. Therefore we need to turn to theories in educational psychology for a more precise understanding how the attributes of 'context' should be implemented in context-based chemistry education.

### **Approaches to the making of meaning**

Of the many alternatives available, three approaches to the making of meaning, each building on the predecessor, seem of particular importance for the use of 'contexts': the general approach known as constructivism, situated learning, and activity theory. These can then be applied within the design of 'context-based' learning environments.

#### *Constructivism*

The broad collection of theories known as 'constructivism' provides a general way of addressing the attributes c and d. Ogborn (1997) discusses the importance of learners actively constructing their knowledge, expressed in learning the chemical talk related to the task (attribute c), and acquiring background knowledge in general (attribute d). Educational constructivist approaches insist on four points: the importance of pupils' active involvement in thinking if anything like understanding is to be reached; the importance of respect for the student and for the student's own ideas, the notion that science consists of ideas created by human beings; and a design of teaching that gives

1  
2  
3 high priority to the making sense by students, capitalising and using what they know, and  
4  
5  
6 addressing difficulties that may arise from how they imagine things to be (Ogborn, 1997).  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 *Situated learning*  
14

15  
16  
17 The ideas of 'situated learning' (Greeno, 1998) are a useful way of discussing how the  
18  
19 attributes a - d provided by particular contexts can be connected to context-based  
20  
21 chemistry education.  
22  
23

24  
25  
26  
27 A. Participation in a community of practice.  
28

29 The teacher and students must conceive of themselves as acting collectively as a  
30  
31 'community of learners'. For this, Greeno characterises communities of practice as  
32  
33 'Regular patterns of activity in a community, in which individuals participate' (p.6).  
34  
35  
36

37  
38  
39 B. The condition for effective participation is that students and teachers develop their  
40  
41 identity through productive interactions.  
42

43 The value of such participation must be recognised by both teacher and student as crucial  
44  
45 in supporting the development of a student's identify as a learner. According to Greeno  
46  
47 'Regularities of an individual's activities, in a trajectory that gives participation at  
48  
49 different times in a community and participation in different communities, are  
50  
51 characterised as an individual's identity' (p.6).  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The achievement of a high quality in the interactions involving a student must be  
4  
5 recognised by all participants as crucial in developing that identity. Greeno states that  
6  
7  
8 'affordances are qualities of systems that can support interactions and therefore present  
9  
10 possible interactions for an individual to participate in' (p. 9).

11  
12 Student activity, if learning is to be achieved, must involve becoming increasingly  
13  
14 sensitive to the potential value of interactions. 'Learning, in the situative view, is  
15  
16 hypothesised as becoming more attuned to the affordances of activity and becoming more  
17  
18 centrally involved in the practices of a community' (p.11).

19  
20 High value situations must be identified and concentrated on, for every situation  
21  
22 considered in a class has attendant scope and limitations for the facilitation of learning:  
23  
24 'constraints....regularities of social practices and of interaction with material and  
25  
26 informational systems that enable a person to anticipate outcomes and to participate in  
27  
28 trajectories of outcomes' (p. 9). In short, given that different situations will be different in  
29  
30 the ease with which they support situated learning, only those that readily meet the  
31  
32 criteria set out here should be employed.

33  
34 Students must aspire to a high quality of learning by valuing the interactions taking place.  
35  
36 Greeno states that: 'Regular patterns in a individual's participation can be conceptualised  
37  
38 as that person's attunement to affordances and constraints'(p.9).

39  
40  
41 C. Learning is situated, but the outcomes of a learning situation must be transferred  
42  
43 across situations.

44  
45  
46 The most valuable outcome of learning is to be able to transfer ideas across situations and  
47  
48 must be actively sought. According to Greeno: 'transfer is hypothesised to depend on  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 attunement to constraints and affordances that are invariant or modifiable across  
4  
5 transformations of a situation where learning occurred to another situation in which  
6  
7 learning can have an effect'(p.11).  
8  
9

10 Teachers and students must recognise that all learning is situated, so the nature of the  
11  
12 learning environment is all-important: 'The differences between learning in different  
13  
14 arrangements is not whether learning is situated or not, but how it is situated' (p.14).  
15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 D. The quality of a task is a condition for participation in a community of practice.  
21  
22 Greeno stresses that: 'engagement...having a positive orientation towards activities of  
23  
24 learning and construction of knowledge, involves issues of affiliation and identity in  
25  
26 communities of practice' (p.10). 'Because students learn how to participate in the  
27  
28 practices of learning...it is important to attend to the kinds of participation in learning  
29  
30 that are afforded and valued in schools' (p.14).  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Those learning environments that are based on problem-solving pedagogies are crucial if  
37  
38 reasoning skills are to be developed. Greeno actually connects the attributes a (setting), b  
39  
40 (behavioural environment), c (the talk) and d (the background knowledge), when he  
41  
42 states that '... (opportunity to participate in practices of enquiry) involve students  
43  
44 reasoning in the target subject-matter domain and reasoning with the concepts and  
45  
46 methods of the target subject-matter domain' (p.15).  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52  
53 One of the main tenets of situated learning as applied to the notion of 'learning in a  
54  
55 context' is thus that mental links have to be made by a learner between a setting (attribute  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 a) that is the vehicle for learning and the concepts involved in that understanding  
4  
5 (attributes c & d). As indicated by Greeno, a mechanism for such a linkage can be  
6  
7 provided the idea of 'activity'.  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 13 14 15 *Activity theory* 16

17  
18  
19  
20 In Vygotsky's account (Vygotsky, 1978), the learner and the object being studied are not  
21  
22 separate entities; they mutually define each other during human activity. The learner  
23  
24 makes meaning for an object by use of a particular interpretation of the topical conditions  
25  
26 of that person's life. That making of meaning itself alters the general conditions of the  
27  
28 person's life, albeit usually only to a small extent (Van Oers, 1998) (p. 479). Here the  
29  
30 object is the focal event that is being studied. The learner enters into a 'cognitive  
31  
32 apprenticeship' with the teacher who is an expert in interpreting the setting (the focal  
33  
34 event). The teacher's task is to bring together the socially accepted understanding of the  
35  
36 focal event being studied and the ideas about it that the students have. Put another way,  
37  
38 the teacher needs to bring together the socially accepted attributes of a context and the  
39  
40 attributes of a context as far as these are recognised from the perspective of the students.  
41  
42 The mental arena in which this takes place effectively is the 'zone of proximal  
43  
44 development' of the individual student (Becker & Varelas, 1995; Confrey, 1995).  
45  
46  
47  
48 Identifying the parameters of the 'zone of proximal development' in respect of a given  
49  
50 focal event for students of different attainments, or identifying the learning outcomes for  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 students of similar attainments to be achieved through different focal events, are major  
4  
5 tasks that must be addressed in the future.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 **Revisiting the attributes of a context: criteria for the attainment of context-based** 13 **learning of chemistry** 14 15

16  
17  
18 The attributes of context according to Duranti and Goodwin, which are now taken as a  
19  
20 framework for context-based learning, are in line with Finkelstein's framework of  
21  
22 context (2005). She distinguishes: task (attribute b), situation and idioculture as a  
23  
24 broader conceptualisation of situation (attribute a). Finkelstein considers these frames of  
25  
26 context as conditions for effective learning.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 Together with the use of the several theories of learning outlined above, the four  
35  
36 attributes of a context can now be rephrased to make them criteria for the attainment of  
37  
38 context-based learning of chemistry. This implies the transition from a description of  
39  
40 context with its attributes (a) to (d) as perceived by 'experts' into a more normative  
41  
42 prescription of how to use 'contexts' for the purposes of designing learning environments  
43  
44 the criteria (i) to (iv) respectively:  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

- 50  
51 i. Students must value the setting as a social, spatial, and temporal framework  
52  
53 for a community of practice. They must value their participation in a  
54  
55 community of practice through productive interaction and develop personal  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 identities from the perspective of that community. The community of practice  
4 must provide a framework for the setting of focal events, as described by  
5 socio-cultural theory (Greeno, 1998). In terms of activity theory, the setting  
6 provided must lie in the zone of proximate development of the student  
7 (Vygotsky, 1978). The nature of this zone must be expected by the teacher to  
8 be different at different stages in the curriculum. These settings must clearly  
9 arise from the everyday lives of the students (Braund & Reiss, in press;  
10 Kasanda et al., in press; Mayoh, 1997), or social issues and industrial  
11 situations that are both of contemporary importance to society.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

- 24  
25 ii. In order to be of high quality, the learning task must clearly bring a  
26 specifically designed behavioural environment into focus, since the way the  
27 task is being addressed, the type of activity engaged in, is used to frame the  
28 talk that then takes place (Greeno, 1998; Vygotsky, 1978). The task form  
29 (Finkelstein, 2005) must include problems that are clear exemplifications of  
30 chemically important concepts.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39 iii. Learners should be enabled to develop a coherent use of specific chemical  
40 language. Through the talk associated with the focal event that takes place,  
41 students should reach an understanding of the concepts involved. They should  
42 also come to acknowledge, in accordance with the general ideas of  
43 constructivism, that such specific language is a creation of human activity.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- With respect to idioculture (Finkelstein, 2005), the teacher must know the background knowledge of the students.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- iv. Learners need to relate any one focal event to relevant extra-situational, background knowledge, building productively on prior knowledge which is, partially at least, composed of the learner's own ideas. They must be enabled to 'resituate' (Greeno, 1998) or 'recontextualise' (Van Oers, 1998) specific language in order to address the focal event at hand. A vital source of focal events will be those with major public policy implications e.g. global warming; stem-cell biology.

With these criteria in mind, how then can the use of 'context' facilitate the acquisition of meaning during the learning of chemistry?

### **Evaluating four models of 'context'**

Four canonical models of 'context' can be teased out that seem to be used, or which might be used, in chemical education. Each of these is presented below in terms of: the notion of 'context' that implicitly or explicitly underpins it, and the extent to which the criteria for successful context-based learning are met. Providing convincing examples of all the models is difficult for two reasons. First, few context-based courses have historically been based on an explicit model of context. Second, there is a general lack of research of any kind into chemical education, such that it is often only the descriptions of courses, provided by the developers, which are available. Third, in all curriculum innovation, there seems to inevitably be a gap between aspiration and realisation. Stating

1  
2  
3 what ought to be is misleading without, at the very least, the support of case studies of  
4  
5 what actually happens in typical classrooms.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 *Model 1: Context as the direct application of concepts*  
14

15  
16  
17 A common use of the word context is to denote the application of concepts, or the  
18 consequences of that application, to illustrate their use and significance. In practical  
19 terms, a curriculum based on this meaning consists of situations or events drawn from the  
20 presumed personal / social everyday life of the students and/or industrial life to which the  
21 concepts of chemistry, taught as abstractions, are then applied in order that the students  
22 may understand them more fully. This approach often seems to infer one-directional and  
23 rigid relationships between ‘concepts’ and ‘applications’: the talk is strictly about how  
24 the concepts are used in the applications. Such courses tack on an application, almost as  
25 an afterthought, to the end of the theoretical treatment of concepts. The applications – the  
26 focal events – always consist of events / situations treated as objects and may, or may  
27 not, include a consideration of their cultural significance. To take just one example (to  
28 spare the blushes of most textbook authors): in a workshop for the creation of context-  
29 based materials, a teacher said:  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 ‘after teaching about acidity and alkalinity, I would ask ‘what type of solution can  
52 you use at home to apply to a wasp sting?’ (George & Lubben, 2002) (p.664)  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 This model of context focuses on the abstract learning of a specific language without  
4 framing the setting and the behavioural environment in advance. As a post-hoc  
5 illustration, it is only an attempt to give meaning to a concept after it has been learnt. This  
6 type of model therefore does not meet the criteria for a context-based curriculum because  
7 it:  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

- 14 i) does not introduce students to the social, spatial, and temporal framework of a  
15 community of practice;
- 16 ii) does not provide a high-quality learning task because the behavioural  
17 environment is sketchy almost to the point of invisibility;
- 18 iii) does not provide a vehicle for students to acquire the coherent use of specific  
19 language;
- 20 iv) invokes very little background knowledge in any significant manner.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 *Model 2: Context as reciprocity between concepts and applications*

37  
38  
39  
40  
41 In this view, not only are concepts related to their applications but those applications also  
42 affect the meaning attributed to the concepts. The context is formed by the juxta-  
43 positioning of concept and application in a students' cognitive structure. Meaning is  
44 created by the acquisition of relevant aspects of the structure of chemical knowledge.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 Within this model of context, several sub-groups of chemical context can be  
51 distinguished: e.g. the context of chemical knowledge of a biochemist; the context of the  
52 chemical knowledge of a chemical technologist; the context of ethical social-scientific  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 issues. An explicit (even an implicit) shift between sub-groups can imply a different  
4  
5 meaning for a concept. It can also lead to confusion by students and even by teachers.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 This greater degree of reciprocity in that relationship of concepts and applications is  
11  
12 partially inferred in the broad definition of content used the Science-Technology-Society  
13  
14 movement:  
15  
16

17  
18  
19 ‘STS content in a science education curriculum is comprised of an interaction between  
20  
21 science and technology, or between science and society, and any one or combinations of  
22  
23 the following:  
24  
25

- 26 • a technological artefact, process, or expertise
- 27
- 28 • the interactions between technology and society
- 29
- 30 • a social issue related to science or technology
- 31
- 32 • social science content that sheds light on a societal issue related to science and
- 33  
34 technology
- 35
- 36 • a philosophical, historical, or social issue within the scientific or technological
- 37  
38 community’ (Solomon & Aikenhead, 1994)(p. 52-53)  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 David Layton has discussed how the meaning attached to a concept in a science may be  
47  
48 changed when it is used in technology (Layton, 1993). For example, in chemistry ‘pure  
49  
50 water’ is a single substance not containing other substances and having specific  
51  
52 thermodynamic properties. On the other hand, in environmental chemistry, ‘pure water’  
53  
54 is water that is safe to drink because it does not contain any toxins.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 This model of 'context' provides a 'mental surrounding' to which subsequent ideas can  
4  
5 be related (Van Oers, 1998). De Vos et al. (2002) have drawn our attention to the fact that  
6  
7 the existing chemical curriculum has a sedimentary structure consisting of successive  
8  
9 layers of contexts introduced in this way at different historical periods. It is therefore  
10  
11 possible to perceive why students find the subject so confusing when this model is used.  
12  
13 It also explains why Roberts claims that, for any one curriculum-unit, only one  
14  
15 curriculum emphasis should be brought into focus (Roberts, 1988, p38).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 This model does provide a sounder basis for context-based chemical education than the  
23  
24 first model because:  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 29 i) although there is no obvious need for students to value the setting as the  
30  
31 social, spatial, or temporal framework for a community of practice,  
32  
33 ii) the behavioural environment may be of a higher quality, dependent on the  
34  
35 teacher's understanding of the setting being used,  
36  
37 iii) and students can be given the opportunity to acquire a coherent use of specific  
38  
39 language,  
40  
41 iv) and they can be enabled to relate what is learnt to their own prior  
42  
43 understandings.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 What is missing from this model is any overt rationale for the selection of focal events, or  
52  
53 for the phased introduction of concepts into lessons on a need-to-know basis: criterion i is  
54  
55 not met and criterion iii may be under-addressed. This omission re-emphasises the earlier  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 remark that, in Vykotsky's view, the teacher needs to bring together the socially accepted  
4 attributes of a context and the attributes of a context as recognised from the perspective  
5 of the students. For experts working within a certain 'context', their motives for actions  
6 are clear, they see the point of why it is necessary to address a certain problem within the  
7 focal event. Students do not necessarily see a relation / connection between a certain  
8 problem and why they should learn / use some chemistry to deal with it. In other words  
9 the 'context' of an expert does not automatically become the 'context' of learner.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 *Model 3: Context as provided by personal mental activity*

25  
26  
27  
28  
29 An attempt to adopt this approach, using the ideas of personal construct psychology  
30 (Pope & Keen, 1981), was made by Stocklmayer and Gilbert. They devised a model,  
31 using different terminologies to those employed earlier in this paper, consisting of the  
32 three elements that would provide the 'keys to successful informal chemical education'  
33 (Stocklmayer & Gilbert, 2002) (p.145):  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

- 40 • 'Situations' : these are the 'settings' for 'focal events' in Duranti and Goodwin's  
41 (1992) terms.  
42
- 43 • 'Contexts': these are produced by the transformation of 'situations' through  
44 personal mental activity. The emphasis is on the use of existing mental models to  
45 impose meaning on the settings. The 'talk', in Duranti and Goodwin's (1992)  
46 terms, is intra-personal.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- ‘Narratives’: these are links made between ‘contexts’ and some on-going theme in the life of the learner. These links imply the transfer of learning from the immediate setting to other, in some way analogous, settings, using Duranti and Goodwin’s (1992) terms.
- To unite several of Duranti and Goodwin’s (1992) terms: a focal event is embedded into a personal setting (attribute a) and the intra-personal talk (attribute c) invokes background knowledge (attribute d).

Stockmayer and Gilbert (2002)(p.146) identified several examples of books, intended to provide informal chemical education, to which their model seemed to apply. For example, by taking Mendeleev as a person fixed in time and space who was seeking to represent the periodicity of the properties of chemical elements, Strathern was able to weave a successful story that could be interpreted in terms of ‘narrative’, ‘context’ and ‘situation’ (Strathern, 2000).

In terms of the criteria for successful context-based chemical education through the medium of a book:

- i) The use of a narrative as a framework for an account of historical events in chemistry would only be successful if students saw the value of it. Where this took place, the model would meet this criterion if the students could empathise with the community of practice being described.

- 1  
2  
3 ii) It would require considerable guidance by the teacher if the activities and talk  
4 built around the book were to be effective.  
5  
6  
7  
8 iii) The specialist language of the field could be effectively developed and used.  
9  
10  
11 iv) A great deal of background knowledge e.g. about the state of chemical  
12 knowledge at the time of Mendeleev, would be needed and this would be  
13 unlikely to be available.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 On balance, the model seemed to be of greatest value when applied to cases of recent  
21 major events in chemistry e.g. the discovery of 'buckyballs'. When the students  
22 empathise with this type of context, they can value the description of it. However, they do  
23 not become actively involved. The social dimension of engagement through interaction  
24 within a community of practice is missing (criterion i).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 *Model 4: Context as the social circumstances*  
38  
39  
40

41 In this view, the social dimension of a context is essential. A context is situated as a  
42 cultural entity in society. It relates to topics and people's activities which are considered  
43 of importance to the lives of communities within the society. A context can, for example,  
44 be the technological developments based on genetic modification, the scientific research  
45 taking place in that field, and the debate about the social implications of the ensuing  
46 technology. Other examples are: developments concerning the global climate, 'healthy'  
47 food and obesity, the 'hydrogen economy'.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 In this model meaning - making can take place from two slightly different perspectives.  
7  
8 Meaning-making can take place by viewing ‘a context as social surrounding’, or by  
9  
10 viewing ‘a context as social activity’ (Van Oers, 1998). In terms of Duranti and  
11  
12 Goodwin: giving meaning to the ‘talk’ that takes place in ‘context as social surrounding’  
13  
14 is mainly determined by the setting (attribute a). Learning is considered to take place as  
15  
16 experiencing a setting. Whereas the individual’s meaning-making of the ‘talk’ in ‘context  
17  
18 as social activity’ is to a large extent being determined by participating in the actions of a  
19  
20 community: the behavioural environment (attribute b). Then learning is primarily based  
21  
22 on actions: ‘The dynamics of activities are founded in the complex interrelationships of  
23  
24 motive, means, goal, actions, operation, as they are negotiated among participants in an  
25  
26 activity’ (Van Oers, p. 480).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 In science education, ideas for this model have been developed by Van Aalsvoort (2004),  
35  
36 taking the typical activity of ‘the production of chemicals’ as a guideline for curriculum  
37  
38 design. For undergraduate physics education, Finkelstein (2005) (p. 1195) stresses that  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 “it is not fruitful to separate student learning from the context in which it occurs;  
44  
45 context is not simply a backdrop for student learning. Rather, context is intrinsic  
46  
47 to student learning, it shapes and is in tern shaped by both the content and student  
48  
49 (who is active in developing an understanding of a given domain and arrives with  
50  
51 prior history)”.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 A similar argument in favour of this model can also be distinguished for 'Realistic  
4  
5 Mathematics Education' (Cobb et al., 2001). Here, learning takes place by guided  
6  
7 reinvention (Freudenthal, 1973), when the teacher and the students develop social-  
8  
9 mathematical norms: a shared purpose, way of reasoning, and mathematical  
10  
11 argumentation. Together, teacher and student develop 'mathematical practices'.  
12  
13

14  
15  
16  
17 Summarising, this model 'context as the social circumstances' is based on situated  
18  
19 learning and activity theory.  
20  
21

22  
23  
24 Such a model would represent a course in which:  
25  
26

- 27  
28  
29 i) The teachers and students see themselves as participants of a 'community of  
30  
31 practice', with productive interactions on a regular basis. This mutual  
32  
33 expectation would enable relevant zones of students' proximal development to  
34  
35 be identified and acted upon by the teacher.  
36  
37  
38 ii) This would most readily be met where the course was based on a sustained  
39  
40 enquiry in a substantial setting. The learning environment provided by a task  
41  
42 of such a nature as to readily facilitate the communal engagement of teacher  
43  
44 and students in a genuine, as opposed to a contrived, enquiry.  
45  
46  
47  
48 iii) The task form (Finkelstein, 2005) must include problems that are clear  
49  
50 exemplifications of chemically important concepts, to enable learners to  
51  
52 develop a coherent use of specific chemical language.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3           iv)     Arrangements are made for the students to transfer what they have learnt in  
4  
5                     one focal event to another focal event.  
6  
7

8           This design of a course is currently very infrequently found, perhaps because of the  
9  
10           resource demands that it makes e.g. on teachers' subject knowledge and pedagogical  
11  
12           content knowledge (Shulman, 1987) .  
13  
14

15  
16  
17           In education, there is always a large gap between the emergence of a new theoretical idea  
18  
19           and attempts to work out its detailed implications for practice. Although the ideas of  
20  
21           situated learning and activity theory have been discussed for about 20 years, curricula  
22  
23           based on their premises are only now emerging and being implemented in practice. Those  
24  
25           recent developments presented later in this Special Issue can be evaluated in respect of  
26  
27           these ideas (Pilot & Bulte, this issue).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38           *Evaluation of the four models of context* 39 40

41           In summary, I have discussed four models of context. This analysis has been taken place  
42  
43           from the linguistic perspective of Duranti and Goodwin, and, with the use of several  
44  
45           notions from educational psychology, been transformed into a set of criteria that can  
46  
47           represent the actual or possible use of contexts in chemical education.  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53           To what extent and in what ways do each of the four models respond to the challenges,  
54  
55           rehearsed earlier, that chemistry education currently faces? Producing a range of models  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 of any phenomenon always implies that distinct differences exist between the models  
4  
5 identified. The concise representation of such models leads to an increased element of  
6  
7 oversimplification, even of parody. However, there does seem to be a steady progression  
8  
9 from Model 1 to Model 4 in terms of the extent to which they meet the criteria for  
10  
11 successful context-based courses.  
12  
13

## 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 **Implementation of context-based curricula**

### 21 22 23 24 *Research*

25  
26  
27  
28  
29 Bennett has provided a summary of research into context-based curricula (Bennett,  
30  
31 2003)(p.114):  
32

- 33  
34 • pupils interest in and enjoyment of their science lessons are generally increased  
35  
36 when they use context-based materials and follow context-based courses;  
37
- 38  
39 • context-based materials help pupils see and appreciate more clearly links between  
40  
41 the science they study and their everyday lives;  
42
- 43  
44 • pupils following context-based courses learn science concepts at least as  
45  
46 effectively as those following more conventional courses;  
47
- 48  
49 • a curriculum development model which involves teachers is more effective than  
50  
51 the 'centre-periphery' model in effecting changes in practice and alleviating  
52  
53 teachers' anxiety when faced with innovation;  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- there is a need for further research into the effects of assessing pupils' scientific knowledge and understanding through the use of context-based questions;
- interest and enjoyment of lessons involving context-based materials does not appear to be translated into a desire to study the subject further, though there are some significant localized exceptions to this.

These conclusions followed from the analysis of four groups of organisational approaches taken to the inclusion of context, however defined, in science curricula overall (Bennett, 2003)(p.103-104) ( a). whole courses based on a series of contexts e.g. Salters Chemistry (Campbell et al., 1994) and Chemistry in Context (Stanitski et al., 2003), (b). the inclusion of units based on contexts as a substitute for conventional treatment of content e.g. the use of 'historical vignettes' (Wandersee & Roach, 1997), (c). the inclusion of single context-based lessons in a conventional course e.g. SATIS (A.S.E., 1986), and (d). the inclusion of brief context-based 'episodes' in conventional lessons (Mayoh, 1997).

Supporters of the idea of the 'context-based course' will have been somewhat encouraged by these results. However, it is very difficult to bring about large scale changes to the chemistry curriculum. Prospective teachers enter pre-service education with a set of beliefs about chemical education based on their own (successful) experience (Lederman, 1992). Students and their parents have expectations about what chemical education should entail. The use of expository methods of teaching in pre-and in-service courses for teachers, coupled to a lack of effort to educate students and their parents,

1  
2  
3 means that traditional ways of teaching, learning, and assessment, are not challenged  
4  
5 effectively.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 The impact of context-based courses does not, therefore, seem to have been all that their  
11 advocates would wish. One reason may be that the research reviewed will have covered  
12 courses based on all the four models of 'context' and their different uses within the entire  
13 science curriculum. Their range in ontological and epistemological commitment will  
14 have obscured a detailed picture. As has been argued above, we certainly need to  
15 understand the precise notion of context underlying a given curriculum if we are to  
16 appreciate its educational value.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

### 32 *Curriculum development and implementation*

33  
34  
35

36 Another explanation for the shortfall between aspiration and achievement is given by the  
37 complex nature of curriculum development and the implementation of innovative ideas  
38 into classroom-practice. Thus, the process of developing context-based approaches  
39 deserves systematic attention. If the implementation of a context-based chemical  
40 curriculum is to be more fully effective, its design must be based on a comprehensive  
41 model of the processes involved. A suitable model, applicable to any change in any  
42 curriculum, is one first suggested by Goodlad and then developed further by Van den  
43 Akker (Goodlad, 1979; Van den Akker, 1998). It consists of six elements:  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- The ideal curriculum: the original vision, basic philosophy, rationale and mission underlying it. Here, which model of context-in-curriculum is being adopted?
- The formal curriculum: the elaboration of the original version in the documentation about the course that will be made widely available. All design teams produce such paperwork.
- The perceived curriculum: how teachers, the main users of the formal curriculum, understand what it intends and implies.
- The operational curriculum: the nature and content of the interactions between teachers, students, and resource materials, which take place in the classroom.
- The experiential curriculum: the actual learning processes that the students undertake.
- The attained curriculum: the learning outcomes achieved by the students, as recorded in the results of their assessment.

In a perfect world, the ambitions of the ideal curriculum would be realised by all the students in the attained curriculum. Yet this is rarely so. Why? Any degradation is uneven between the steps of the implementation but cumulative in effect. The ideal curriculum may be too opaque to be capable of realisation given the prior knowledge of the students, the educational background of the teachers, and/or the resources of time and materials available. The formal curriculum may contain key words e.g. 'learning environment', 'collaborative working' that are defined in such a way as to leave too great a flexibility of interpretation open to teachers. The perceived curriculum may be influenced by teachers' and students' beliefs and attitudes that are inimical to what is intended. The

1  
2  
3 operational curriculum may require knowledge, skills, and resources, that that the  
4  
5 teachers and students do not generally possess. The experienced curriculum may involve  
6  
7 students in the adoption of ways of learning that turn out to be unproductive in general  
8  
9 practice. The methods used to assess the attained curriculum may not clearly reflect the  
10  
11 successful of the implementation process.  
12  
13

14  
15  
16  
17 So what can be done to bring about a fully successful context-based chemistry  
18  
19 curriculum? I suggest that:  
20  
21

- 22  
23  
24  
25 • The ideal curriculum be explicitly based on one, preferably the last, model of  
26  
27 context-based curriculum, with the agreement of all the stakeholders on why this  
28  
29 model represents a suitable address to the problems identified. This matter should  
30  
31 be fully debated and recorded by a group that is representative of all the  
32  
33 stakeholders in the new curriculum i.e. practicing chemists, national educational  
34  
35 administrators, officials of assessment agencies, chemistry teacher educators,  
36  
37 chemistry teachers from the full range of types of school likely to be involved,  
38  
39 maybe parents and students. The debate should also address how a parsimonious  
40  
41 selection of contexts could be related to those concepts which are most widely  
42  
43 used throughout chemistry and on which learning should concentrate.  
44  
45  
46  
47
- 48  
49 • The formal curriculum should address all the elements of the chosen model of  
50  
51 context-based curriculum in detail, spelling out what they are and what they  
52  
53 intend, this address being undertaken by all the stakeholders. This process would  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 involve the construction of a context-based framework that meets all the four  
4  
5 criteria for the 'use of contexts' in chemical education.  
6

- 7  
8  
9
- 10 • The perceived curriculum should be preceded, associated with, and followed up  
11 by, an extensive programme of reformed pre- and in-service teacher education. It  
12 should take into account the existing beliefs of teachers and educators.  
13
  - 14 • The operational curriculum should be closely monitored by a well-founded team  
15 of evaluators whose task was to provide rapid feedback to the agency with  
16 oversight of the curriculum. Its evaluation should be based on the expectations  
17 that follow from the construction of the formal curriculum.  
18
  - 19 • The experiential curriculum should have a programme of classroom-based  
20 research associated with it;  
21
  - 22 • The attained curriculum should be assessed by methods that are fully compatible  
23 with the ideal curriculum.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32
- 33  
34  
35  
36

37 The evaluation and research that takes place concerning the operational, experiential and  
38 attained curriculum can be used to provide timely feedback on the viability of the ideal  
39 curriculum and its (realistic) transformation into the formal curriculum.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 **The analysis of the five cases of context-based chemistry education in this Special**  
49 **Issue**  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The papers that follow all focus on examples of development of context-based curricula  
4 for chemical education. By adopting this content focus, similarities and differences of  
5 ambition, organisation, and achievement, can be identified. The curricula reported on  
6 were developed in five countries: USA, England, Israel, Germany, and The Netherlands.  
7  
8 They were initiated over a wide time span, so that some are now fully operational in  
9 many schools whilst others are at an early stage of development in just a few schools.  
10  
11 The Van den Akker model given above has been used to provide a common framework  
12 for representation: only in the later-dated projects has the model being explicitly used in  
13 the conduct of the development.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Whilst the reader may wish to apply the ideas given earlier in this paper to each of the  
28 reported projects, there are some questions that transcend them all. For example, the  
29 question about the implication that the status of the project funding agency has for what  
30 was done and how it was done. Also the question of the relevance of modules developed  
31 in one country for the curriculum in another country with a different educational culture.  
32  
33 Finally, the relevance of experience with context-based courses in chemical education to  
34 similar courses in other sciences.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Context-based chemical curricula are gaining popularity throughout the world. There is  
47 much to be done before we can be sure of the scope and limitations of the educational  
48 value of the genre. We can then determine the extent to which it successfully addresses  
49 the current problems of chemistry education.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to Astrid Bulte and Albert Pilot for their thoughtful, detailed, sustained, and constructive critiques of the several earlier versions of this paper.

## References

- A.S.E. (1986). *Science and Technology in Society*. Hatfield: Association for Science Education.
- Becker, J., & Varelas, M. (1995). Assisting construction: the role of the teacher in assisting the learner's construction of pre-existing cultural knowledge. In L. P. Steffe & J. Gale (Eds.), *Constructivism in education* (pp. 433-446). Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Bennett, J. (2003). Context-based approaches to the teaching of science. In *Teaching and learning science* (pp. 99-122). London: Continuum.
- Braund, M., & Reiss, M. (in press). Towards a more authentic science curriculum: The contribution of out-of-school learning. *International Journal of Science Education*.
- Bulte, A.M.W., Westbroek, H. B., Jong, O. de, & Pilot, A. (this issue) A research approach to designing chemistry education using authentic practices as contexts. *International Journal of Science Education*.
- Campbell, B., Lazonby, J., Millar, R., Nicolson, P., Ramsden, J., & Waddington, D. (1994). Science: The Salters' approach: a case study of the processes of large scale curriculum development. *Science Education*, 78(5), 415-448.

- 1  
2  
3 Cobb, P., Stephan, M., McClain, K., & Gravemeijer, K. (2001). Participating in  
4  
5 classroom mathematical practices. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 10(1&2), 113-  
6  
7 163.  
8  
9  
10 Confrey, J. (1995). How compatible are radical constructivism, sociocultural approaches  
11  
12 and social constructivism? In L. P. Steffe & J. Gale (Eds.), *Constructivism in education*  
13  
14 (pp. 185-226). Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.  
15  
16  
17 De Vos, W., Bulte, A. M. W., & Pilot, A. (2002). Chemistry Curricula for General  
18  
19 Education: Analysis and Elements of a Design. In J. K. Gilbert, O. De Jong, R. Justi, D.  
20  
21 F. Treagust & J. H. Van Driel (Eds.), *Chemical Education: Towards Research-Based*  
22  
23 *Practice* (pp. 101-124). Dordrecht: Kluwer.  
24  
25  
26  
27 Duranti, A., & Goodwin, C. (Eds.). (1992). *Rethinking context: Language as an*  
28  
29 *interactive phenomenon*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
30  
31  
32 Finkelstein, N. (2005). Learning physics in context: a study of student learning about  
33  
34 electricity and magnetism. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(10), 1187-1209  
35  
36  
37 George, J. M., & Lubben, F. (2002). Facilitating teachers' professional growth through  
38  
39 their involvement in creating context-based materials in science. *International Journal of*  
40  
41 *Educational Development*, 22, 659-672.  
42  
43  
44 Glaserfeld, E. V. (1989). *Constructivism in education*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.  
45  
46  
47 Goodlad, J. (1979). *Curriculum enquiry: The study of curriculum practice*. New York:  
48  
49 McGraw-Hill.  
50  
51  
52 Greeno, J. (1998). The situativity of knowing, learning and research. *American*  
53  
54 *Psychologist*, 53(1), 5-26.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Kasanda, C., Lubben, F., Gaoseb, N., Kandjeo-Marenga, Kapenda, H., & Campbell, B.  
4  
5 (in press). The role of everyday contexts in learner-centred teaching: The practice in  
6  
7  
8 Namibian secondary schools. *International Journal of Science Education*.  
9  
10 Kelly, G. A. (1955). *The psychology of personal constructs*. New York: W.W. Norton.  
11  
12 Laugksch, R. C. (2000). Scientific literacy: a conceptual overview. *Science Education*,  
13  
14 84(1), 71-94.  
15  
16 Layton, D. (1993). *Technology's challenge to science education*. Buckingham: Open  
17  
18 University Press.  
19  
20  
21 Lederman, N. G. (1992). Students' and teachers' conceptions of the nature of science: a  
22  
23 review of the research. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29(4), 331-359.  
24  
25  
26 Matthews, M. R. (1995). *Constructivism and New Zealand science education*. Auckland:  
27  
28 Dunmore Press.  
29  
30  
31 Mayoh, K., & Knutton, S. (1997). Using out-of-school experiences in science lessons:  
32  
33 reality or rhetoric? *International Journal of Science Education*, 19(7), 849-867.  
34  
35  
36 Millar, R., & Osborne, J. (2000). *Beyond 2000: science education for the future*. London:  
37  
38 School of Education, King's College London.  
39  
40  
41 Ogborn, J. (1997). Constructivist metaphors in science learning. *Science and Education*,  
42  
43 6(1-2), 121-133.  
44  
45  
46 Osborne, J. (1996). Beyond Constructivism. *Science Education*, 80(1), 53-82.  
47  
48  
49 Osborne, J., & Collins, S. (2000). *Pupils' and parents views of the school science*  
50  
51 *curriculum*. London: King's College.  
52  
53 Pearsall, J. (Ed.). (1999). *The Concise Oxford Dictionary*. Oxford: Oxford University  
54  
55 Press.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Pope, M., & Keen, T. (1981). *Personal Construct Psychology in Education*. London:  
4  
5 Academic Press.

6  
7  
8 Roberts, D. A. (1988). What Counts as Science Education? In P. J. Fensham (Ed.),  
9  
10 *Development and Dilemma's in Science Education* (pp. 27-54). London: Palmer Press.

11  
12 Roberts, D. A., & Ostman, L. (1998). *Problems of meaning in science curriculum*. New  
13  
14 York: Teachers College Press.

15  
16  
17 Rutherford, F., & Ahlgren, A. (1990). *Science for All Americans*. New York: Oxford  
18  
19 University Press.

20  
21  
22 Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: foundations of the new reforms. *Harvard*  
23  
24 *Educational Review*, 57(1), 1-22.

25  
26  
27 Solomon, J. (1994). The rise and fall of constructivism. *Studies in Science Education*, 23,  
28  
29 1-19.

30  
31  
32 Solomon, J., & Aikenhead, G. (Ed.). (1994). *STS education: International perspectives*  
33  
34 *on reform*. New York: Teachers College Press.

35  
36  
37 Stanitski, C. L., Eubanks, L. P., Middlecamp, C. H., & Pienta, N. J. (2003). *Chemistry in*  
38  
39 *context: Applying chemistry to society*. Boston: McGraw Hill.

40  
41 Stocklmayer, S. M., & Gilbert, J. K. (2002). Informal chemical education. In J. K.

42  
43 Gilbert, De Jong, O., Justi, R., Treagust, D.F., & Van Driel, J.H. (Ed.), *Chemical*  
44  
45 *education: towards research-based practice* (pp. 143-164). Dordrecht: Kluwer.

46  
47  
48 Strathern, P. (2000). *Mendeleyev's dream*. London: Hamish Hamilton.

49  
50  
51 Van Aalsvoort, J. (2004). Activity theory as a tool to address the problem of chemistry's  
52  
53 lack of relevance in secondary school chemical education. *International Journal of*  
54  
55 *Science Education*, 26(13), 1635-1651.

1  
2  
3 Van den Akker, J. (1998). The science curriculum: Between ideals and outcomes. In B.  
4 Frazer & K. Tobin (Eds.), *International Handbook of Science Education* (Vol. 1, pp. 421-  
5 447). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.

6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Van Oers, B. (1998). From context to decontextualizing. *Learning and Instruction*, 8(6),  
11 473-488.

12  
13  
14  
15 Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: the development of higher psychological*  
16 *processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

17  
18  
19  
20 Wandersee, J. H., & Roach, L.M. (1997). Interactive historical vignettes. In J. J. Mintzes,  
21 Wandersee, J.H., & Novak, J.D. (Ed.), *Teaching science for understanding* (pp. 281-306).  
22 San Diego: Academic Press.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60