

# **Controlled Electronic Transport through Branched Molecular Conductors**

Darya Brisker, Ira Cherkes, Christian Gnodtke, Daungruthai Jarukanont, Shachar Klaiman, Werner Koch, Shira Weissman, Roie Volkovich, Maytal Caspary Toroker, Uri Peskin

# **To cite this version:**

Darya Brisker, Ira Cherkes, Christian Gnodtke, Daungruthai Jarukanont, Shachar Klaiman, et al.. Controlled Electronic Transport through Branched Molecular Conductors. Molecular Physics, 2008, 106 (02-04), pp.281-287. 10.1080/00268970701793904. hal-00513165

# **HAL Id: hal-00513165 <https://hal.science/hal-00513165v1>**

Submitted on 1 Sep 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Controlled Electronic Transport through Branched Molecular **Conductors**





**FIGURE ASSIDENT CONVENTIONS** 

# **Controlled Electronic Transport through Branched Molecular Conductors**

Daria Brisker<sup>(a)</sup>, Ira Cherkes<sup>(a)</sup>, Christian Gnodtke<sup>(a)</sup>, Daungruthai Jarukanont<sup>(a)</sup>, Shachar Klaiman<sup>(a)</sup>, Werner Koch<sup>(a)</sup>, Shira Weissman<sup>(a)</sup>, Roie Volkovich<sup>(a)</sup>, Maytal Caspary Toroker<sup>(b)</sup> and Uri Peskin<sup>(a,b,t)</sup>

*(a)Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany (b)Schulich Faculty of Chemistry and the Lise Meitner Center for Computational Quantum Chemistry Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel<sup>1</sup>*

# **Abstract**

**Formal Andren Constant President Constant Precision of Chemistry and the Lise Meitner** The conductance through a branched conductor placed between two electrodes is analyzed using the Landauer transport formulation within the framework of the single electron, and the tight binding approximations. Terminal side chains are expressed as self energy terms which map the branched conductor onto an effective linear chain Hamiltonian. The effect of uniform side branches on resonant zero-bias conductance is shown to be analytically solvable and particularly simple, where subtraction or addition of a single terminal site can induce an effective discontinuity in the main linear chain or can effectively decouple the side branch from the conductor. Manipulating the "effective length" of a side branch by a local terminal gate potential, control of the small bias current through the branched conductor is demonstrated.

 $\overline{a}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: uri@techunix.technion.ac.il

#### 

# **I. Introduction**

In the past decade single molecules were embedded in electrical circuits and the corresponding electric currents passing through such molecular junctions were measured[1-7]. Fundamental interest as well as the technological potential of molecular electronics devices led to rapid progress in this field. Electron transport through a single molecule is similar in principle to electron transport through quantum dots or wires[8], but molecular junctions are especially challenging due to the richness of geometrical structures[7] and configuration changes (electronic-nuclear coupling[9-16]). In particular, conductors with branched (non linear) molecular structures are most interesting, suggesting new possibilities for manipulating the transport and for observing new physical phenomena.

devices led to rapid progress in this held. Electron transport throu<br> **Samilar in principle to electron transport through quantum dots c**<br> **For Peer Review Only and configuration changes (electronic-nuclear coupling[9-16])** Branched conductors are associated with multiple transmission pathways and the corresponding interference effects must be accounted for in the coherent transport regime. Several quantum interference devices were proposed or fabricated in synthetic two dimensional mesoscopic systems[17] (e.g., the y-branch[18], the T-junction[19], the dual electron wave coupler[20], the two paths interferometer[21], and quantum dots arrays[22]). Quantum interference effects in electronic transport through specific piconjugated molecular conductors were also demonstrated theoretically[23-26].

In this work a general formulation is introduced for analyzing the effect of side branches on the transport through a branched molecular conductor. Single organic molecules[3], carbon nanotubes[2] or graphene sheets[27,28] provide natural templates for realizations of such branched molecular systems. The theoretical framework for analyzing the transport properties is based on the Landauer formulation, invoking a tight binding model of the electronic system.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II the model of a branched conductor and the corresponding transport equations are introduced. In section III we show that the conduction through a branched conductor can be formulated in terms of an effective linear chain Hamiltonian. The approach is applied for the simple case of a uniform conductor characterized by constant on-site energies in resonance with the impact electron energy, where the mapping of the branched system onto a linear one is shown to be straight forward. In section IV we demonstrate a conductance switch based on local gating of a remote terminal site of a side branch. Conclusions are given in section V.

# **II. The branched conductor**

through a branched conductor can be formulated in terms or a<br> **Formulated** in terms or a<br> **Formulated** by constant on-site energies in resonance with<br>
ergy, where the mapping of the branched system onto a linear one i<br>
fo Let us consider a branched molecular conductor of the type illustrated in Fig. 1a, invoking a single particle tight binding framework. Each one of *N* electronic sites is associated with an on-site energy and hopping integrals coupling it to its nearest neighboring sites. The conductor is coupled to two semi-infinite electrodes via two terminal sites. The electrodes are modeled as semi-infinite uniform linear chains, each characterized by a chemical potential and bandwidth, denoted below as  $\mu$ <sub>L</sub> ( $\mu$ <sub>R</sub>) and  $4\gamma_L$  ( $4\gamma_R$ ) for the left (right) electrode, respectively. The corresponding hopping integrals between the conductor and the electrodes are denoted below as  $\xi_L, \xi_R$ . Denoting the orthonormal on-site electronic states at the conductor and the J'th electrode

as  $\chi_i^{(c)}$  $\vert \varphi_i^{(c)} \rangle$ , and  $\vert \varphi_i^{(J)} \vert$  $\langle i^{(1)} \rangle$ , respectively, the model Hamiltonian is written as follows,

$$
\hat{H} = \sum_{J=c,L,R} \hat{H}_J + \sum_{J=L,R} (\hat{H}_{cJ} + \hat{H}_{Jc}),
$$
\n(2.1)

3 **URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**

#### **Molecular Physics**

where the conductor and the electrodes Hamiltonians are defined as,

$$
\hat{H}_c = \sum_{i=1}^N E_i \left| \chi_i^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_i^{(c)} \right| + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( \gamma_{i,j} \left| \chi_i^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_j^{(c)} \right| + h.c. \right)
$$
\n(2.2)

$$
\hat{H}_J = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_J \left| \varphi_i^{(J)} \right| \left\langle \varphi_i^{(J)} \right| + \left( \gamma_J \left| \varphi_i^{(J)} \right| \left\langle \varphi_{i+1}^{(J)} \right| + h.c. \right) \qquad ; \qquad J \in R, L \tag{2.3}
$$

where  $\gamma_{i,j} \neq 0$  only for nearest neighboring sites. The coupling operators between the conductor and the electrodes subspaces are,

$$
\hat{H}_{cL} = \xi_L | \chi_1^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_1^{(L)} | \quad ; \quad \hat{H}_{Lc} = \xi_L^* | \varphi_1^{(L)} \rangle \langle \chi_1^{(c)} | \n\hat{H}_{cR} = \xi_R | \chi_N^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_1^{(R)} | \quad ; \quad \hat{H}_{Rc} = \xi_R^* | \varphi_1^{(R)} \rangle \langle \chi_N^{(c)} |
$$
\n(2.4)

≠ 0 only for nearest neighboring sites. The coupling operators b<br>
and the electrodes subspaces are,<br>  $\hat{H}_\alpha = \xi_L |z_1^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_1^{(L)}|$  :  $\hat{H}_L = \xi_L^* | \varphi_1^{(L)} \rangle \langle z_1^{(c)}|$ <br>  $\hat{H}_{\alpha\epsilon} = \xi_R |z_{\alpha\epsilon}^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_1^{(R)}|$  where the left and right terminal sites of the conductor are associated with  $i = 1$  and  $i = N$  respectively. Using the transport equations of Landauer, the current through the conductor from left to right reads[8],

$$
I = \frac{2e}{h} \int_{0}^{\infty} dE[f_{L}^{e}(E) - f_{R}^{e}(E)]t(E), \qquad (2.5)
$$

where  $f_j^e(E) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E-\mu_j)t}}$  $=\frac{1}{1+e^{(E-\mu)}}$  $+e^{(E-\mu_J)/k_B}$  $f_j^e(E) = \frac{1}{1+e^{(E-\mu_j)/k_B T}}$ is the Fermi distribution of electrons at the J'th electrode

(assuming only elastic scattering, the holes distributions are not considered explicitly[29]).

The properties of the molecular junction are captured in the transmission function,

$$
t(E) = tr[\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(R)}(E)\hat{G}_c(E)\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(L)}(E)\hat{G}_c^{\dagger}(E)],
$$
\n(2.6)

where the trace is evaluated within the conductor subspace[15]. The corresponding operators  $\hat{G}_c(E)$ ,  $\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(L)}(E)$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(R)}(E)$  are expressed in terms of self energies, defined as,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_J(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \hat{H}_{cJ} \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{H}_J + i\varepsilon} \right] \hat{H}_{Jc} \; ; \; J = R, L \,, \tag{2.7}
$$

where,

$$
\hat{G}_c(E) = \left[E - \hat{H}_c - \hat{\Sigma}_L(E) - \hat{\Sigma}_R(E)\right]^{-1},
$$
\n(2.8)

and

$$
\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(J)}(E) = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\hat{\Sigma}_J(E)) \qquad ; \qquad J = R, L. \tag{2.9}
$$

For this model the self energy terms of the left and right electrodes read[15,30],

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E) = \left| \chi_{1}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_{1}^{(c)} \right| \frac{\left| \xi_{L} \right|^{2}}{2 \gamma_{L}^{2}} \left[ E - \mu_{L} - i \sqrt{4 \gamma_{L}^{2} - \left( E - \mu_{L} \right)^{2}} \right] ; \quad |E - \mu_{L} | < 2 \left| \gamma_{L} \right|
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E) = \left| \chi_{N}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_{N}^{(c)} \right| \frac{\left| \xi_{R} \right|^{2}}{2 \gamma_{R}^{2}} \left[ E - \mu_{R} - i \sqrt{4 \gamma_{R}^{2} - \left( E - \mu_{R} \right)^{2}} \right] ; \quad |E - \mu_{R} | < 2 \left| \gamma_{R} \right| \tag{2.10}
$$

# **III. The effective linear chain Hamiltonian**

 $\int_{c}^{(J)}(E) = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\hat{\Sigma}_{J}(E))$  ;  $J = R, L$ .<br>
del the self energy terms of the left and right electrodes read[15,30]<br>  $= | \chi_{1}^{(c)} \rangle \langle \chi_{1}^{(c)} | \frac{|\xi_{L}|^2}{2\gamma_{L}^2} \left[ E - \mu_{L} - i \sqrt{4\gamma_{L}^2 - (E - \mu_{L})^2} \right]$ ;  $|E - \mu_{L} k \ge 1 \gamma_{$ Here we show that within the present model the transport properties (transmission function) of a branched non linear conductor can be mapped exactly on the properties of an effective linear chain. Substituting Eqs.(2.8-2.10) in Eq.(2.6), one can see that the transmission function depends on a single matrix element of the conductor's Green function, i.e.,

$$
\hat{G}_{1,N}^{\dagger(c)}(E) = \hat{G}_{N,1}^{(c)}(E) = \left\langle \chi_{N}^{(c)} \left| \left[ E - \hat{H}_c - \hat{\Sigma}_L(E) - \hat{\Sigma}_R(E) \right]^{-1} \right| \chi_{1}^{(c)} \right\rangle. \tag{3.1}
$$

Let us divide the conductor space into two subspaces, introducing two projection operators[31],

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \chi_{i}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{i}^{(c)} \right| = \hat{P}_{m} + \hat{P}_{b} \quad ; \quad \hat{P}_{m} = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left| \chi_{i_{l}}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{i_{l}}^{(c)} \right| \tag{3.2}
$$

#### **Molecular Physics**

which contains all the side branches coupled to particular sites alon<br>
og these two projection operators, Eq.(3.1) can be expressed in<br>
fective Hamiltonian of the linear chain,<br>  $\hat{G}_{N,l}^{(e)}(E) = \langle \chi_s^{(e)} | [E - \hat{H}_m^{(ef)}]^{-1$  $\hat{P}_m$  projects to a subspace of the conductor which contains *m* sites ( $m \le N$ ). This subspace includes the two terminal sites which are coupled to the electrodes  $(i_1 = 1$  and  $i_m = N$ ) and a sequence of electronic sites linking between the terminal sites via a continuous linear chain. The operator  $\hat{P}_b$  projects to the complementary subspace of the conductor, which contains all the side branches coupled to particular sites along the linear chain. Using these two projection operators, Eq.(3.1) can be expressed in terms of a reduced effective Hamiltonian of the linear chain,

$$
\hat{G}_{N,1}^{(c)}(E) = \left\langle \chi_N^{(c)} \left| \left[ E - \hat{H}_m^{(eff)} \right]^{-1} \right| \chi_1^{(c)} \right\rangle \tag{3.3}
$$

$$
\hat{H}_{m}^{(eff)} = \hat{H}_{m} + \hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E),
$$
\n(3.4)

where  $\hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E)$  and  $\hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E)$  were defined above (Eq.(2.10)). The Hamiltonian for the uncoupled linear chain reads,

$$
\hat{H}_m = \hat{P}_m \hat{H}_c \hat{P}_m, \qquad (3.5)
$$

and the coupling to the side branches is captured by another self energy operator,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \hat{P}_{m} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b} \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b} + i\varepsilon} \right] \hat{P}_{b} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{m}.
$$
\n(3.6)

In general, there can be several (up to *m* ) side branches attached to the main linear chain. For simplicity we assume that each (*l* 'th) side chain is coupled only to a single site of the main chain (denoted  $\left| \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right|$  $\langle i \rangle$ ) and that the side branches are otherwise decoupled as, e.g., in Fig. 1a (the generalization for the more general case is straight forward).  $\hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E)$  can therefore be conveniently split into additive contributions from the different side branches,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E) = \sum_{l=1}^{M} \hat{\Sigma}_{b}^{(l)}(E)
$$

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}^{(l)}(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \hat{P}_{m} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} + i\varepsilon} \right] \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{m}
$$
(3.7)

 $\hat{P}_{b}^{(l)}$  is the projection to the subspace of *l* 'th side branch, where *M* is the number of side

chains and  $\sum \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)}$ 1  $\hat{P}^{(l)} = \hat{P}$  $\sum_{l=1}^{M} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} =$ *b b l*  $\hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} = \hat{P}_{b}$ . Denoting the first on-site state in the corresponding side branch

as  $\chi_{j_l}^{(c)}$  $\langle u_i^{(c)} \rangle$ , the *l* 'th self energy term reads,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}^{(l)}(E) = \sigma_{b}^{(l)} \left| \chi_{i_{l}}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{i_{l}}^{(c)} \right|
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{b}^{(l)}(E) = |\gamma_{i_{l},j_{l}}|^{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left\langle \chi_{j_{l}}^{(c)} \right| \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} + i\varepsilon} \right] \left| \chi_{j_{l}}^{(c)} \right\rangle. \tag{3.8}
$$

Using Eqs.(3.4,3.7,3.8) it follows that the effective Hamiltonian can be written as,

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{M} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} = \hat{P}_{b}.
$$
 Denoting the first on-site state in the corresponding side branch  
he *l* 'th self energy term reads,  

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}^{(l)}(E) = \sigma_{b}^{(l)} \left| \chi_{i}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_{i}^{(c)} \right|
$$

$$
\sigma_{b}^{(l)}(E) = |\gamma_{i_1,j_1}|^2 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left\langle \chi_{j_1}^{(c)} \right| \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} + i\varepsilon} \right] \left| \chi_{j_1}^{(c)} \right\rangle.
$$
(3.8)  
(3.4,3.7,3.8) it follows that the effective Hamiltonian can be written as,  

$$
\hat{H}_{m}^{(eff)} = \hat{H}_{m}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E)
$$

$$
\hat{\bar{H}}_{m}(E) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} (E_{i_l} + \sigma_{b}^{(l)}(E)) \left| \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right| + \sum_{l=2}^{m} \left( \gamma_{i_l,i_{l-1}} \left| \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_{i_{l-1}}^{(c)} \right| + h.c. \right), \quad (3.9)
$$
  
etc of the *l* 'th side branch amounts to a change  $(\sigma_{b}^{(l)}(E))$  in the on-site (local,  
energy at the *l* 'th site of an effectively linear conductor.  
is now on the case of a specific uniform side branch containing a single chain  
By uniform we mean that all on-site energies are identical and equal to  $E_b$ 

i.e. the effect of the *l* 'th side branch amounts to a change ( $\sigma_b^{(l)}(E)$ ) in the on-site (local, potential) energy at the *l* 'th site of an effectively linear conductor.

Let us focus now on the case of a specific uniform side branch containing a single chain of *n* sites. By uniform we mean that all on-site energies are identical and equal to  $E<sub>b</sub>$ (the hopping integral may vary between different sites). The localized states along the side chain are denoted  $\left\{\chi_{j_i}^{(c)}\right\}; j_i = 1, 2, \dots n$ , and the coupling to the main chain is via the

*n* 'th site and is denoted  $\gamma_{n+1,n}$ . The projection operator into the side chain is defined as,  $(l) = \sum | \alpha(\mathcal{C}) \rangle / \alpha(\mathcal{C})$ , 1  $\hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} = \sum_{i} \chi_{i}^{(c)} \rangle \langle \chi_{i}^{(c)} \rangle$  $=\sum_{j_l=1}\Bigl|\,{\mathcal X}^{(c)}_{j_l}\Bigr>\Bigl<{\mathcal X}^{(c)}_{j_l}$ *l l*)  $\sum_{r=0}^{n} |f_{\alpha}(c)| / \int_{\alpha}$  $b,n = \angle \mid \mathcal{L}_{j_l} \mid \setminus \mathcal{L}_{j_l}$ *j*  $P_{b,n}^{(l)} = \sum \left| \chi_{i}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_{i}^{(c)} \right|$ , and the (uncoupled) chain Hamiltonian is

$$
\hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)}\hat{H}_c\hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} = \sum_{j_l=1}^n E_b \left| \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right| + \sum_{j_l=2}^n \left( \gamma_{j_l,j_l-1} \left| \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{j_l-1}^{(c)} \right| + h.c. \right).
$$
 (3.10)

of this side chain on the on-site energy at the main chain can by<br>  $\mu$  using the decimation renormalization method[32]. Here we<br>
the energy-dependent correction to the on-site energy  $(\sigma_b^{(l)}(E))$ <br>
operator for the side-c The effect of this side chain on the on-site energy at the main chain can by calculated analytically using the decimation renormalization method[32]. Here we start by expressing the energy-dependent correction to the on-site energy ( $\sigma_b^{(l)}(E)$ ) in terms of the Green operator for the side-chain, using Eq.(3.8),

$$
\sigma_b^{(l)}(E) = |\gamma_{n+1,n}|^2 \left\langle \chi_n^{(c)} \Big| \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} \hat{H}_c \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} + i\varepsilon} \Big| \chi_n^{(c)} \right\rangle \equiv |\gamma_{n+1,n}|^2 G_{b,n}^{(l)}(E). \tag{3.11}
$$

Then we define a hierarchy of projection operators,

$$
\hat{P}_{b,n-1}^{(l)} = \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} - \left| \chi_n^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_n^{(c)} \right|, \tag{3.12}
$$

Which are used for constructing recursively the Green operator,

$$
G_{b,n}^{(l)}(E) = \frac{1}{E - E_b - |\gamma_{n,n-1}|^2 G_{b,n-1}^{(l)}(E)}.
$$
\n(3.13)

The recursion ends at the terminal site  $(|\chi_1^{(c)}\rangle)$  of the linear side-chain where,

$$
G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{E - E_b + i\varepsilon}.
$$
 (3.14)

Now let us focus on the case in which the impact electron energy is resonant with the onsite energy along the side chain. As  $E \to E_b$ ,  $G_{b,1}(E)$  diverges,  $G_{b,2}(E)$  vanishes and so on,  $G_{b,n}(E)$  alternates between infinity and zero as the recursion progresses. Consequently,  $\sigma_b^{(l)}(E)$  diverges for odd number of sites in the side chain (odd *n*), and

vanishes for even values of *n*. This implies that for  $E \to E_b$  a side chain with an odd number of sites is expressed as an effective local discontinuity in the effective linear conductor, (zero transmission) while a side chain with an even number of sites is effectively decoupled from the main chain under the same conditions. Notice that the alternations in the transmission due to coupling of a main conductor to a linear side-chain within the tight binding approximation were pointed out before [22,25,26]. These alternations were rightfully attributed to quantum interference between the direct and the indirect scattering pathways.

For the transmission due to coupling of a main conductor to a linear<br> **Formular** tight binding approximation were pointed out before[22,25,3]<br>
swere rightfully attributed to quantum interference between the directive<br>
sin Our analysis can be further applied when the side branch bifurcates. Consider a sidebranch containing a main branch chain, coupled to secondary terminal side chains. Treating these terminal chains according to the above analysis, we conclude that each terminal side-chain can be effectively decoupled from the main branch chain (for an even number of sites) or it can result in an effective discontinuity in the main branch chain (for an odd number of sites). For example, consider the uniform branched conductor with geometry as presented in Fig. 1a for  $E \to E_b$ . Eliminating decoupled terminal side-chains or replacing them by discontinuities (according to the corresponding number of sites in each side chain) an effective linear conductor can be obtained recursively, as illustrated in Figs. 1b,1c.

This analysis suggests that the effective linear conductor Hamiltonian may depend on the number of sites present in a remote side branch, and thus the conductance properties (i.e. the transmission function) may be controlled by manipulating the length of terminal side branches. For demonstration, consider a branched uniform conductor similar to the one presented in Fig. 1 above, but missing one terminal site at the first branch from the left.

**Page 11 of 44**

#### **Molecular Physics**

Repeating our analysis, as illustrated in Fig. 2, one obtains a discontinuity along the effective linear conductor, meaning that in this case, the transmission vanishes for  $E \rightarrow E_b$ .

# **IV. Remote gating by an external potential**

**Example 10** Follow an external potential<br>ular system the *physical length* of a side chain is determined by the<br>owever, the effective length can be controlled either by on-sit<br>owns or by an external potential. Here we mo In a molecular system the *physical length* of a side chain is determined by the preparation process. However, *the effective length* can be controlled either by on-site chemical modifications or by an external potential. Here we model the change of the effective chain length by varying continuously the on-site energy of a single terminal site, mimicking the effect of an external local gate potential. The corresponding terminal onsite energy reads,  $E_B + eV_g$ , while all other on-site energies along the side chain equal  $E<sub>B</sub>$ . For a small gate potential the on-site energy at the terminal site is resonant with the rest of the sites in the chain and the effective length of the chain is determined by the number of sites. However, when  $eV<sub>g</sub>$  becomes larger in magnitude than the inter-site hopping matrix elements, the terminal on site energy becomes off resonant from the rest of the chain, and thus the terminal site is effectively decoupled from the chain, which is effectively shortened. This effect of the terminal gate potential can be analyzed using Eqs.(3.11-3.14). The first two steps in the recursion for calculating the relevant Green function for the side chain read in this case,

$$
G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E) = \frac{1}{E - E_b - eV_g + i\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{-eV_g + i\varepsilon} \tag{4.1}
$$

and,

$$
G_{b,2}^{(l)}(E) = \frac{1}{E - E_b + |\gamma_{n,n-1}|^2 / eV_g + i\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{E \to E_b} \frac{eV_g}{|\gamma_{n,n-1}|^2 + i\varepsilon}
$$
(4.2)

For  $V_g \to 0$ ,  $G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E)$  diverges and  $G_{b,2}^{(l)}(E)$  vanishes, but when  $V_g \to \infty$ ,  $G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E)$ vanishes and  $G_{b,2}^{(l)}(E)$  diverges, which is equivalent to starting the recursion from the second site, i.e., the linear chain is effectively shorter by one site.

i.e., the linear chain is effectively shorter by one site.<br> **Fractive example**, let us focus on the branched conductor sketched in<br>
are characterized by the hopping parameter,  $\gamma_g = \gamma_L = \gamma_0$ . All sevent or are associated As an illustrative example, let us focus on the branched conductor sketched in Fig. 3. The electrodes are characterized by the hopping parameter,  $\gamma_R = \gamma_L = \gamma_0$ . All seven sites of the conductor are associated with the on-site energy,  $E_b = 0$ , in resonance with the Fermi levels of the two electrodes at zero potential bias. The nearest neighbor hopping matrix elements in the conductor and the hopping matrix elements between the conductor and the electrodes were chosen to be identical and were set to  $\gamma = \xi_R = \xi_L = 0.2 \gamma_0$ . The onsite energy at one of the two terminal sites was set to  $eV_g$  modeling the effect of a gate potential.

The zero bias ( $V = 0$ ) transmission function was calculated using Eq.(2.6) for an energy interval around  $E \approx E_b = 0$  as a function of  $V_g$ . The results presented in Fig. 4, demonstrate that for zero gate voltage, the transmission peaks to unity around  $E \approx 0$ , but as  $V_g$  increases (specifically, as  $|eV_g| \gg |\gamma| = 0.2 |\gamma_0|$ ) this transmission peak vanishes. This result is consistent with the change of the effective length of the side chain as analyzed above.

The dependence of the transmission on the effective chain length is reflected directly in the current through the junction. Particularly, in the low temperature and small bias  $(T \to 0, V \to 0)$  limits, the specific characteristics of the conductor are not obscured by **Page 13 of 44**

#### **Molecular Physics**

thermal averaging or by the external electrostatic potentials. Defining the chemical potentials at the left and right electrodes for non-zero source-drain bias as  $\mu_L = eV/2$ and  $\mu_R = -eV/2$ , and setting the temperature to zero, the current was calculated using Eqs.(2.5,2.6). The results plotted in Fig. 5 demonstrate that in the small bias regime (specifically, when  $|eV| \le |y| = 0.2 |\gamma_0|$ ) the current drops from finite values to zero as the magnitude of the terminal gate voltage increases, in accordance with the shortening of the effective terminal chain by one site .

#### **V. Conclusions**

**Formularity**  $|eV| \times |y| = 0.21 |y_0|$  b) the current drops from finite values to of the terminal gate voltage increases, in accordance with the shorterminal chain by one site .<br> **Sions**<br> **Formularity** one site .<br> **Sions**<br> The electronic transport through a branched conductor was analyzed using a simple model within the Landauer transport formulation. It was shown that it is useful to map a branched molecular network onto an effective one dimensional chain, where side branches are represented as local (potential) self energy terms along the main linear chain. On the one hand, this procedure should reduce the complexity of the molecular system under study and particularly it would enable to predict the effect of remote terminal regions on the transport through the conductor. On the other hand, it emphasizes that as in any inverse scattering problem, the experimental observable, i.e. the current through the junction, does not reflect the molecular structure uniquely.

A particularly simple case of a uniform system whose on-site energy is in resonance with the transmitted electrons impact energy was analyzed in detail. In this case the current at a small source-drain potential bias can be switched by changing the length (number of sites) of a "remote" side branch. We demonstrated switching of the current by controlling the "effective length" of a side-chain in terms of an external gate potential.

Future work is required in order to establish the validity of the simple model proposed here for experimentally realizable molecular electronics systems. In particular, effects of electronic correlations, inelastic scattering, electronic nuclear coupling and thermal broadening were ignored within the present model and formulation. However, at least in the coherent quantum regime, it is expected that the transport through a realistic conductor would also be controllable by "remote" modifications in terminal branches, as demonstrated here.

# **Acknowledgments**

The quantum regime, it is expected that the transport inrough<br> **Formal** also be controllable by "remote" modifications in terminal b<br> **Explores Expected during** a work on a project in the recent Miner<br> **Formal** expenses th This paper was conceived during a work on a project in the recent Minerva summer school at the Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems in Dresden. The organizers, Prof. Jan Michael Rost, Prof. Nimrod Moiseyev, and Gabriele Makolies are acknowledged for the hospitality and for the stimulating scientific atmosphere. CG acknowledges discussion with Alexander Croy. The work is dedicated to Prof. Raphael D. Levine in honor of his outstanding contribution to the field of theoretical chemistry. UP acknowledges support by the German-Israel Science Foundation and by the Israel Science Foundation.

# **References**

- 1. A. Nitzan and M. A. Ratner, *Science* 300, 1384 (2003).
- 2. S. J. Tans, M. H. Devoret, H. Dai, A. Thess, R. E. Smalley, L. J. Geerligs and C. Dekker, *Nature* 474, 474 (1997).
- 3. M. A. Read, C. Zhou, C. J. Muller, T. P. Burgin, J. M. Tour, *Science* 278, 252 (1997).
- 4. H. Park, J. Park, A. K. L. Lim, E. H. Anderson, A. P. Alivisatos, P. L. McEuen, *Nature* 407, 57 (2000).

# **Molecular Physics**



- 27. O. Hod V. nica Barone, J. E. Peralta, and G. E. Scuseria, Nano Lett. 7, 2295 (2007).
- 28. T. Jayasekera and J. W. Mintmire, Nanotechnology 18, 424033 (2007).
- 29. S. Datta, Nanotechnology 15, s433 (2004).
- 30. D.S.M.C. Desjonqu`eres, *Concepts in Surface Physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993).
- W York (1992).<br>
Krabarti, arXiv:cond-mat/0611211 v1 8 Nov 2006<br>
Per Review Only and Matthew Only and Ma 31. H. Feshbach, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics Nuclear Reactions*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York (1992).
- 32. A. Chakrabarti, arXiv:cond-mat/0611211 v1 8 Nov 2006

15 **URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**

# **Figure Captions**

Figure 1: Schematic representations of a conducting branched uniform system. The black dots represent electronic sites. Gray dots correspond to discontinuities. (a) The original branched conductor. (b) The effective conductor after eliminating terminal chains of even number of sites. (c) The effective linear conductor after a second elimination step.

Sites. (c) The effective innear conductor after a second elimination is<br>
represent electronic sites. Gray dots correspond to discontinuities<br>
anched conductor. (b) The effective conductor after eliminatin<br>
even number of s Figure 2: Schematic representations of a non-conducting branched uniform system. The black dots represent electronic sites. Gray dots correspond to discontinuities. (a) The original branched conductor. (b) The effective conductor after eliminating terminal chains of even number of sites. (c) The effective linear conductor after a second elimination step.

Figure 3: Schematic representation of a branched uniform conductor. The on-site energy of one of the terminal sites is controlled by a gate potential.

Figure 4: Transmission as a function of the impact electron energy and gate Voltage for a gated branched conductor. Dimensionless units are used.

Figure 5: Current as a function of the source-drain voltage, *V* , and the terminal gate voltage,  $V_g$ , in the small bias regime. Dimensionless units are used.

# **Figures**



Fig 1





19 **URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**



# **Controlled Electronic Transport through Branched Molecular Conductors**

Daria Brisker<sup>(a)</sup>, Ira Cherkes<sup>(a)</sup>, Christian Gnodtke<sup>(a)</sup>, Daungruthai Jarukanont<sup>(a)</sup>, Shachar Klaiman<sup>(a)</sup>, Werner Koch<sup>(a)</sup>, Shira Weissman<sup>(a)</sup>, Roie Volkovich<sup>(a)</sup>, Maytal Caspary Toroker<sup>(b)</sup> and Uri Peskin<sup>(a,b,1)</sup>

*(a)Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany (b)Schulich Faculty of Chemistry, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel<sup>1</sup>*

### **Abstract**

**For Peer Review Only** The conductance through a branched conductor placed between two electrodes is analyzed using the Landauer transport formulation within the framework of the single electron, and the tight binding approximations. Terminal side chains are expressed as self energy terms which map the branched conductor onto an effective linear chain Hamiltonian. The effect of uniform side branches on resonant zero-bias conductance is shown to be analytically solvable and particularly simple, where subtraction or addition of a single terminal site can induce an effective discontinuity in the main linear chain or can effectively decouple the side branch from the conductor. Manipulating the "effective length" of a side branch by a local terminal gate potential, control of the small bias current through the branched conductor is demonstrated.

# **I. Introduction**

 $\overline{a}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: uri@techunix.technion.ac.il

In the past decade single molecules were embedded in electrical circuits and the corresponding electric currents passing through such molecular junctions were measured[1-7]. Fundamental interest as well as the technological potential of molecular electronics devices led to rapid progress in this field. Electron transport through a single molecule is similar in principle to electron transport through quantum dots or wires[8], but molecular junctions are especially challenging due to the richness of geometrical structures[7] and configuration changes (electronic-nuclear coupling[9-16]). In particular, conductors with branched (non linear) molecular structures are most interesting, suggesting new possibilities for manipulating the transport and for observing new physical phenomena.

Similar in principle to electron transport through quantum dots collar junctions are especially challenging due to the richness of g<br>7] and configuration changes (electronic-nuclear coupling[9-16]). In<br>with branched (non-l Branched conductors are associated with multiple transmission pathways and the corresponding interference effects must be accounted for in the coherent transport regime. Several quantum interference devices were proposed or fabricated in synthetic two dimensional mesoscopic systems[17] (e.g., the y-branch[18], the T-junction[19], the dual electron wave coupler [20], the two paths interferometer [21], and quantum dots arrays[22]). Quantum interference effects in electronic transport through specific piconjugated molecular conductors were also demonstrated theoretically[23-26].

In this work a general formulation is introduced for analyzing the effect of side branches on the transport through a branched molecular conductor. Single organic molecules[3], carbon nanotubes[2] or graphene sheets[27,28] provide natural templates for realizations of such branched molecular systems. The theoretical framework for analyzing the transport properties is based on the Landauer formulation, invoking a tight binding model of the electronic system.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II the model of a branched conductor and the corresponding transport equations are introduced. In section III we show that the conduction through a branched conductor can be formulated in terms of an effective linear chain Hamiltonian. The approach is applied for the simple case of a uniform conductor characterized by constant on-site energies in resonance with the impact electron energy, where the mapping of the branched system onto a linear one is shown to be straight forward. In section IV we demonstrate a conductance switch based on local gating of a remote terminal site of a side branch. Conclusions are given in section V.

# **II. The branched conductor**

characterized by constant on-site energies in resonance with<br>ergy, where the mapping of the branched system onto a linear one i<br>forward. In section IV we demonstrate a conductance switch base<br>remote terminal site of a sid Let us consider a branched molecular conductor of the type illustrated in Fig. 1a, invoking a single particle tight binding framework. Each one of *N* electronic sites is associated with an on-site energy and hopping integrals coupling it to its nearest neighboring sites. The conductor is coupled to two semi-infinite electrodes via two terminal sites. The electrodes are modeled as semi-infinite uniform linear chains, each characterized by a chemical potential and bandwidth, denoted below as  $\mu$ <sub>L</sub> ( $\mu$ <sub>R</sub>) and  $4\gamma_L$  ( $4\gamma_R$ ) for the left (right) electrode, respectively. The corresponding hopping integrals between the conductor and the electrodes are denoted below as  $\xi_L, \xi_R$ .

Denoting the orthonormal on-site electronic states at the conductor and the J'th electrode as  $\chi_i^{(c)}$  $\vert \varphi_i^{(c)} \rangle$ , and  $\vert \varphi_i^{(J)} \vert$  $\langle i^{(1)}_i \rangle$ , respectively, the model Hamiltonian is written as follows,

$$
\hat{H} = \sum_{J=c,L,R} \hat{H}_J + \sum_{J=L,R} (\hat{H}_{cJ} + \hat{H}_{Jc}),
$$
\n(2.1)

where the conductor and the electrodes Hamiltonians are defined as,

$$
\hat{H}_c = \sum_{i=1}^N E_i \left| \chi_i^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_i^{(c)} \right| + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( \gamma_{i,j} \left| \chi_i^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_j^{(c)} \right| + h.c. \right)
$$
\n(2.2)

$$
\hat{H}_J = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_J \left| \varphi_i^{(J)} \right| \left\langle \varphi_i^{(J)} \right| + \left( \gamma_J \left| \varphi_i^{(J)} \right| \left\langle \varphi_{i+1}^{(J)} \right| + h.c. \right) \qquad ; \qquad J \in R, L \tag{2.3}
$$

where  $\gamma_{i,j} \neq 0$  only for nearest neighboring sites. The coupling operators between the conductor and the electrodes subspaces are,

$$
\hat{H}_{cL} = \xi_L | \chi_1^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_1^{(L)} | \quad ; \quad \hat{H}_{Lc} = \xi_L^* | \varphi_1^{(L)} \rangle \langle \chi_1^{(c)} | \n\hat{H}_{cR} = \xi_R | \chi_N^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_1^{(R)} | \quad ; \quad \hat{H}_{Rc} = \xi_R^* | \varphi_1^{(R)} \rangle \langle \chi_N^{(c)} |
$$
\n(2.4)

where the left and right terminal sites of the conductor are associated with  $i = 1$  and  $i = N$  respectively. Using the transport equations of Landauer, the current through the conductor from left to right reads[8],

$$
I = \frac{2e}{h} \int_{0}^{\infty} dE[f_{L}^{e}(E) - f_{R}^{e}(E)]t(E), \qquad (2.5)
$$

and the electrodes subspaces are,<br>  $\hat{H}_{c\ell} = \xi_L |z_i^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_i^{(L)}|$  :  $\hat{H}_{tc} = \xi_L^* |\varphi_i^{(L)} \rangle \langle z_i^{(c)}|$ <br>  $\hat{H}_{cR} = \xi_R |z_i^{(c)} \rangle \langle \varphi_i^{(R)}|$  :  $\hat{H}_{tc} = \xi_R^* |\varphi_i^{(R)} \rangle \langle z_i^{(c)}|$ <br>
left and right terminal sites of the co where  $f_j^e(E) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E-\mu_j)t}}$  $=\frac{1}{1+e^{(E-\mu)}}$  $+ e^{(E-\mu_J)/k_B}$  $f_j^e(E) = \frac{1}{1+e^{(E-\mu_j)/k_B T}}$  is the Fermi distribution of electrons at the J'th electrode (assuming only elastic scattering, the holes distributions are not considered explicitly[29]).

The properties of the molecular junction are captured in the transmission function,

$$
t(E) = tr[\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(R)}(E)\hat{G}_c(E)\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(L)}(E)\hat{G}_c^{\dagger}(E)],
$$
\n(2.6)

where the trace is evaluated within the conductor subspace[15]. The corresponding operators  $\hat{G}_c(E)$ ,  $\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(L)}(E)$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(R)}(E)$  are expressed in terms of self energies, defined as,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_J(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \hat{H}_{cJ} \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{H}_J + i\varepsilon} \right] \hat{H}_{Jc} \ ; \ J = R, L \,, \tag{2.7}
$$

4 **URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**

where,

$$
\hat{G}_c(E) = \left[E - \hat{H}_c - \hat{\Sigma}_L(E) - \hat{\Sigma}_R(E)\right]^{-1},
$$
\n(2.8)

and

$$
\hat{\Gamma}_c^{(J)}(E) = -2 \operatorname{Im}(\hat{\Sigma}_J(E)) \qquad ; \qquad J = R, L. \tag{2.9}
$$

For this model the self energy terms of the left and right electrodes read[15,30],

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E) = \left| \chi_{1}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{1}^{(c)} \right| \frac{|\xi_{L}|^{2}}{2\gamma_{L}^{2}} \left[ E - \mu_{L} - i \sqrt{4\gamma_{L}^{2} - \left( E - \mu_{L} \right)^{2}} \right]; \quad |E - \mu_{L}| < 2 \left| \gamma_{L} \right|
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E) = \left| \chi_{N}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{N}^{(c)} \right| \frac{|\xi_{R}|^{2}}{2\gamma_{R}^{2}} \left[ E - \mu_{R} - i \sqrt{4\gamma_{R}^{2} - \left( E - \mu_{R} \right)^{2}} \right]; \quad |E - \mu_{R}| < 2 \left| \gamma_{R} \right| \tag{2.10}
$$

# **III. The effective linear chain Hamiltonian**

del the self energy terms of the left and right electrodes read[15,30]<br>  $= | \chi_1^{(c)} \rangle \langle \chi_1^{(c)} | \frac{|\xi_L|^2}{2\gamma_L^2} \Big[ E - \mu_L - i \sqrt{4\gamma_L^2 - (E - \mu_L)^2} \Big]$ ;  $| E - \mu_L \times 2 | \gamma_L |$ <br>  $= | \chi_N^{(c)} \rangle \langle \chi_N^{(c)} | \frac{|\xi_R|^2}{2\gamma_R^2} \Big[ E - \mu_R - i \sqrt{4\gamma_R$ Here we show that within the present model the transport properties (transmission function) of a branched non linear conductor can be mapped exactly on the properties of an effective linear chain. Substituting Eqs.(2.8-2.10) in Eq.(2.6), one can see that the transmission function depends on a single matrix element of the conductor's Green function, i.e.,

$$
\hat{G}_{1,N}^{\dagger(c)}(E) = \hat{G}_{N,1}^{(c)}(E) = \left\langle \chi_{N}^{(c)} \left| \left[ E - \hat{H}_c - \hat{\Sigma}_L(E) - \hat{\Sigma}_R(E) \right]^{-1} \right| \chi_{1}^{(c)} \right\rangle. \tag{3.1}
$$

Let us divide the conductor space into two subspaces, introducing two projection operators[31],

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \chi_i^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_i^{(c)} \right| = \hat{P}_m + \hat{P}_b \quad ; \quad \hat{P}_m = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left| \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right| \tag{3.2}
$$

 $\hat{P}_m$  projects to a subspace of the conductor which contains *m* sites ( $m \le N$ ). This subspace includes the two terminal sites which are coupled to the electrodes  $(i_1 = 1$  and

1

 $i_m = N$ ) and a sequence of electronic sites linking between the terminal sites via a continuous linear chain. The operator  $\hat{P}_b$  projects to the complementary subspace of the conductor, which contains all the side branches coupled to particular sites along the linear chain. Using these two projection operators, Eq.(3.1) can be expressed in terms of a reduced effective Hamiltonian of the linear chain,

$$
\hat{G}_{N,1}^{(c)}(E) = \left\langle \chi_N^{(c)} \left| \left[ E - \hat{H}_m^{(eff)} \right]^{-1} \right| \chi_1^{(c)} \right\rangle \tag{3.3}
$$

$$
\hat{H}_{m}^{(eff)} = \hat{H}_{m} + \hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E),
$$
\n(3.4)

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ where  $\hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E)$  and  $\hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E)$  were defined above (Eq.(2.10)). The Hamiltonian for the uncoupled linear chain reads,

$$
\hat{H}_m = \hat{P}_m \hat{H}_c \hat{P}_m, \qquad (3.5)
$$

and the coupling to the side branches is captured by another self energy operator,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \hat{P}_{m} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b} \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b} + i\varepsilon} \right] \hat{P}_{b} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{m}.
$$
\n(3.6)

Factive Hamiltonian of the linear chain,<br>  $\hat{G}_{N,1}^{(e)}(E) = \langle \chi_{s}^{(e)} | \left[ E - \hat{H}_{m}^{(ef)} \right]^{-1} | \chi_{1}^{(e)} \rangle$ <br>  $\hat{H}_{m}^{(ef)} = \hat{H}_{m} + \hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{E}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{E}(E)$ ,<br>  $E$ ) and  $\hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E)$  were defined above (Eq.(2.10)). The H In general, there can be several (up to *m* ) side branches attached to the main linear chain. For simplicity we assume that each (*l* 'th) side chain is coupled only to a single site of the main chain (denoted  $\left| \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right|$  $\langle C_i \rangle$ ) and that the side branches are otherwise decoupled as, e.g., in Fig. 1a (the generalization for the more general case is straight forward).  $\hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E)$  can therefore be conveniently split into additive contributions from the different side branches,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}(E) = \sum_{l=1}^{M} \hat{\Sigma}_{b}^{(l)}(E)
$$

6 **URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}^{(l)}(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \hat{P}_{m} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} + i\varepsilon} \right] \hat{P}_{b}^{(l)} \hat{H}_{c} \hat{P}_{m} \tag{3.7}
$$

 $\hat{P}_{b}^{(l)}$  is the projection to the subspace of *l* 'th side branch, where *M* is the number of side

chains and  $\sum \hat{P}_{h}^{(l)}$ 1  $\hat{P}^{(l)}_{\cdot}=\hat{P}$  $\sum_{l=1}^M \hat{P}_b^{(l)} =$ *b b l*  $\hat{P}_{h}^{(l)} = \hat{P}_{h}$ . Denoting the first on-site state in the corresponding side branch

as  $\chi_{j_l}^{(c)}$  $\langle t_i^{(c)} \rangle$ , the *l* 'th self energy term reads,

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{b}^{(l)}(E) = \sigma_{b}^{(l)} \left| \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{i_l}^{(c)} \right|
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{b}^{(l)}(E) = \gamma_{i_l, j_l} \mid^{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left\langle \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right| \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_b^{(l)} \hat{H}_c \hat{P}_b^{(l)} + i\varepsilon} \right] \left| \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right\rangle. \tag{3.8}
$$

Using Eqs.(3.4,3.7,3.8) it follows that the effective Hamiltonian can be written as,

$$
\hat{H}_{m}^{(eff)} = \hat{\tilde{H}}_{m}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{L}(E) + \hat{\Sigma}_{R}(E)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\tilde{H}}_{m}(E) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} (E_{i_{l}} + \sigma_{b}^{(l)}(E)) \left| \chi_{i_{l}}^{(c)} \right| \left| \chi_{i_{l}}^{(c)} \right| + \sum_{l=2}^{m} \left( \gamma_{i_{l}, i_{l-1}} \left| \chi_{i_{l}}^{(c)} \right| \left| \chi_{i_{l-1}}^{(c)} \right| + h.c. \right), \quad (3.9)
$$

i.e. the effect of the *l* 'th side branch amounts to a change ( $\sigma_b^{(l)}(E)$ ) in the on-site (local, potential) energy at the *l* 'th site of an effectively linear conductor.

**For Priori Algeberry 12 and**  $\hat{\Sigma}_b^{(i)}(E) = \sigma_b^{(i)} | \chi_b^{(c)} \rangle \langle \chi_b^{(c)} |$ **<br>**  $\sigma_b^{(i)}(E) = | \gamma_{b_0b_0} |^2 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \langle \chi_b^{(c)} | \left[ \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_b^{(i)} \hat{H}_c \hat{P}_b^{(i)} + i\varepsilon} \right] | \chi_b^{(c)} \rangle$ **.<br>
(3.4,3.7,3.8) it follows that the effect** Let us focus now on the case of a specific uniform side branch containing a single chain of *n* sites. By uniform we mean that all on-site energies are identical and equal to  $E<sub>b</sub>$ (the hopping integral may vary between different sites). The localized states along the side chain are denoted  $\left\{\chi_{j_i}^{(c)}\right\}$ ;  $j_i = 1,2,...n$ , and the coupling to the main chain is via the *n* 'th site and is denoted  $\gamma_{n+1,n}$ . The projection operator into the side chain is defined as,

$$
\hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} = \sum_{j_l=1}^n \left| \mathcal{X}_{j_l}^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \mathcal{X}_{j_l}^{(c)} \right|, \text{ and the (uncoupled) chain Hamiltonian is}
$$

$$
\hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)}\hat{H}_c\hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} = \sum_{j_l=1}^n E_b \left| \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right| + \sum_{j_l=2}^n \left( \gamma_{j_l,j_l-1} \left| \chi_{j_l}^{(c)} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{j_l-1}^{(c)} \right| + h.c. \right).
$$
 (3.10)

The effect of this side chain on the on-site energy at the main chain can by calculated analytically using the decimation renormalization method[32]. Here we start by expressing the energy-dependent correction to the on-site energy  $(\sigma_b^{(l)}(E))$  in terms of the Green operator for the side-chain, using Eq.(3.8),

$$
\sigma_b^{(l)}(E) = |\gamma_{n+1,n}|^2 \left\langle \chi_n^{(c)} \Big| \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} \hat{H}_c \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} + i\varepsilon} \Big| \chi_n^{(c)} \right\rangle \equiv |\gamma_{n+1,n}|^2 G_{b,n}^{(l)}(E). \tag{3.11}
$$

Then we define a hierarchy of projection operators,

$$
\hat{P}_{b,n-1}^{(l)} = \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(l)} - \left| \chi_n^{(c)} \right| \left\langle \chi_n^{(c)} \right|, \tag{3.12}
$$

Which are used for constructing recursively the Green operator,

$$
G_{b,n}^{(l)}(E) = \frac{1}{E - E_b - |\gamma_{n,n-1}|^2 G_{b,n-1}^{(l)}(E)}.
$$
\n(3.13)

The recursion ends at the terminal site  $(\langle \chi_1^{(c)} \rangle)$  of the linear side-chain where,

$$
G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{E - E_b + i\varepsilon}.
$$
 (3.14)

the energy dependent concertion to the on-site energy  $(\sigma_b^{-}(E))$ <br>
operator for the side-chain, using Eq.(3.8),<br>  $\sigma_b^{(i)}(E) = |\gamma_{n+1,n}|^2 \langle \chi_a^{(c)}| \frac{1}{E - \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(i)} \hat{P}_c \hat{P}_{b,n}^{(i)} + i\varepsilon} | \chi_a^{(c)} \rangle = |\gamma_{n+1,n}|^2 G_{b,n}^{(i)}(E)$ . Now let us focus on the case in which the impact electron energy is resonant with the onsite energy along the side chain. As  $E \to E_b$ ,  $G_{b,1}(E)$  diverges,  $G_{b,2}(E)$  vanishes and so on,  $G_{b,n}(E)$  alternates between infinity and zero as the recursion progresses. Consequently,  $\sigma_b^{(l)}(E)$  diverges for odd number of sites in the side chain (odd *n*), and vanishes for even values of *n*. This implies that for  $E \to E_b$  a side chain with an odd number of sites is expressed as an effective local discontinuity in the effective linear conductor, (zero transmission) while a side chain with an even number of sites is

effectively decoupled from the main chain under the same conditions. Notice that the alternations in the transmission due to coupling of a main conductor to a linear side-chain within the tight binding approximation were pointed out before [22,25,26]. These alternations were rightfully attributed to quantum interference between the direct and the indirect scattering pathways.

Externg paraways.<br>
For an be further applied when the side branch bifurcates. Const<br>
Itaining a main branch chain, coupled to secondary terminal s<br>
Esses terminal chains according to the above analysis, we conclude-chain Our analysis can be further applied when the side branch bifurcates. Consider a sidebranch containing a main branch chain, coupled to secondary terminal side chains. Treating these terminal chains according to the above analysis, we conclude that each terminal side-chain can be effectively decoupled from the main branch chain (for an even number of sites) or it can result in an effective discontinuity in the main branch chain (for an odd number of sites). For example, consider the uniform branched conductor with geometry as presented in Fig. 1a for  $E \to E_b$ . Eliminating decoupled terminal side-chains or replacing them by discontinuities (according to the corresponding number of sites in each side chain) an effective linear conductor can be obtained recursively, as illustrated in Figs. 1b,1c.

This analysis suggests that the effective linear conductor Hamiltonian may depend on the number of sites present in a remote side branch, and thus the conductance properties (i.e. the transmission function) may be controlled by manipulating the length of terminal side branches. For demonstration, consider a branched uniform conductor similar to the one presented in Fig. 1 above, but missing one terminal site at the first branch from the left. Repeating our analysis, as illustrated in Fig. 2, one obtains a discontinuity along the effective linear conductor, meaning that in this case, the transmission vanishes for  $E \rightarrow E_b$ .

# **IV. Remote gating by an external potential**

In by varying continuously the on-site energy or a single ter<br>the effect of an external local gate potential. The corresponding to<br>reads,  $E_B + eV_g$ , while all other on-site energies along the side of<br>small gate potential t In a molecular system the *physical length* of a side chain is determined by the preparation process. However, *the effective length* can be controlled either by on-site chemical modifications or by an external potential. Here we model the change of the effective chain length by varying continuously the on-site energy of a single terminal site, mimicking the effect of an external local gate potential. The corresponding terminal onsite energy reads,  $E_B + eV_g$ , while all other on-site energies along the side chain equal  $E<sub>B</sub>$ . For a small gate potential the on-site energy at the terminal site is resonant with the rest of the sites in the chain and the effective length of the chain is determined by the number of sites. However, when  $eV<sub>g</sub>$  becomes larger in magnitude than the inter-site hopping matrix elements, the terminal on site energy becomes off resonant from the rest of the chain, and thus the terminal site is effectively decoupled from the chain, which is effectively shortened. This effect of the terminal gate potential can be analyzed using Eqs.(3.11-3.14). The first two steps in the recursion for calculating the relevant Green function for the side chain read in this case,

$$
G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E) = \frac{1}{E - E_b - eV_g + i\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{-eV_g + i\varepsilon}
$$
(4.1)

and,

$$
G_{b,2}^{(l)}(E) = \frac{1}{E - E_b + |\gamma_{n,n-1}|^2 / eV_g + i\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{eV_g} \frac{eV_g}{|\gamma_{n,n-1}|^2 + i\varepsilon}
$$
(4.2)

For  $V_g \to 0$ ,  $G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E)$  diverges and  $G_{b,2}^{(l)}(E)$  vanishes, but when  $V_g \to \infty$ ,  $G_{b,1}^{(l)}(E)$ vanishes and  $G_{b,2}^{(l)}(E)$  diverges, which is equivalent to starting the recursion from the second site, i.e., the linear chain is effectively shorter by one site.

10 **URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**

As an illustrative example, let us focus on the branched conductor sketched in Fig. 3. The electrodes are characterized by the hopping parameter,  $\gamma_R = \gamma_L = \gamma_0$ . All seven sites of the conductor are associated with the on-site energy,  $E_b = 0$ , in resonance with the Fermi levels of the two electrodes at zero potential bias. The nearest neighbor hopping matrix elements in the conductor and the hopping matrix elements between the conductor and the electrodes were chosen to be identical and were set to  $\gamma = \xi_R = \xi_L = 0.2 \gamma_0$ . The onsite energy at one of the two terminal sites was set to  $eV<sub>g</sub>$  modeling the effect of a gate potential.

**For the conductor and the hopping matrix elements between the condes were chosen to be identical and were set to**  $\gamma = \xi_R = \xi_L = 0.2$ **; at one of the two terminal sites was set to**  $eV_g$  **modeling the effection is and the two** The zero bias ( $V = 0$ ) transmission function was calculated using Eq.(2.6) for an energy interval around  $E \approx E_b = 0$  as a function of  $V_g$ . The results presented in Fig. 4, demonstrate that for zero gate voltage, the transmission peaks to unity around  $E \approx 0$ , but as  $V_g$  increases (specifically, as  $|eV_g| \gg |\gamma| = 0.2 |\gamma_0|$ ) this transmission peak vanishes. This result is consistent with the change of the effective length of the side chain as analyzed above.

The dependence of the transmission on the effective chain length is reflected directly in the current through the junction. Particularly, in the low temperature and small bias  $(T \to 0, V \to 0)$  limits, the specific characteristics of the conductor are not obscured by thermal averaging or by the external electrostatic potentials. Defining the chemical potentials at the left and right electrodes for non-zero source-drain bias as  $\mu_L = eV/2$ and  $\mu_R = -eV/2$ , and setting the temperature to zero, the current was calculated using Eqs.(2.5,2.6). The results plotted in Fig. 5 demonstrate that in the small bias regime (specifically, when  $|eV| \le |g| = 0.2 |\gamma_0|$ ) the current drops from finite values to zero as the

magnitude of the terminal gate voltage increases, in accordance with the shortening of the effective terminal chain by one site .

## **V. Conclusions**

For the Landauer transport intough a branched conductor was analyzed usin,<br>in the Landauer transport formulation. It was shown that it is usef<br>molecular network onto an effective one dimensional chain,<br>re represented as lo The electronic transport through a branched conductor was analyzed using a simple model within the Landauer transport formulation. It was shown that it is useful to map a branched molecular network onto an effective one dimensional chain, where side branches are represented as local (potential) self energy terms along the main linear chain. On the one hand, this procedure should reduce the complexity of the molecular system under study and particularly it would enable to predict the effect of remote terminal regions on the transport through the conductor. On the other hand, it emphasizes that as in any inverse scattering problem, the experimental observable, i.e. the current through the junction, does not reflect the molecular structure uniquely.

A particularly simple case of a uniform system whose on-site energy is in resonance with the transmitted electrons impact energy was analyzed in detail. In this case the current at a small source-drain potential bias can be switched by changing the length (number of sites) of a "remote" side branch. We demonstrated switching of the current by controlling the "effective length" of a side-chain in terms of an external gate potential.

Future work is required in order to establish the validity of the simple model proposed here for experimentally realizable molecular electronics systems. In particular, effects of electronic correlations, inelastic scattering, electronic nuclear coupling and thermal broadening were ignored within the present model and formulation. However, at least in the coherent quantum regime, it is expected that the transport through a realistic conductor would also be controllable by "remote" modifications in terminal branches, as demonstrated here.

# **Acknowledgments**

was conceived during a work on a project in the recent summer scenter for Nonlinear Physics of Complex Systems, at the Max Plan<br>sics of Complex Systems in Dresden. The organizers, Prof. Jan Mi<br>od Moiseyev, and Gabriele Mak This paper was conceived during a work on a project in the recent summer school of the Minerva Center for Nonlinear Physics of Complex Systems, at the Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems in Dresden. The organizers, Prof. Jan Michael Rost, Prof. Nimrod Moiseyev, and Gabriele Makolies are acknowledged for the hospitality and for the stimulating scientific atmosphere. CG acknowledges discussion with Alexander Croy. The work is dedicated to Prof. Raphael D. Levine in honor of his outstanding contribution to the field of theoretical chemistry. UP acknowledges support by the German-Israel Science Foundation and by the Israel Science Foundation.

#### **References**

- 1. A. Nitzan and M. A. Ratner, *Science* 300, 1384 (2003).
- 2. S. J. Tans, M. H. Devoret, H. Dai, A. Thess, R. E. Smalley, L. J. Geerligs and C. Dekker, *Nature* 474, 474 (1997).
- 3. M. A. Read, C. Zhou, C. J. Muller, T. P. Burgin, J. M. Tour, *Science* 278, 252 (1997).
- 4. H. Park, J. Park, A. K. L. Lim, E. H. Anderson, A. P. Alivisatos, P. L. McEuen, *Nature* 407, 57 (2000).
- 5. J. Reicher, R. Ochs, H. B. Weber, M. Mayor, and H. von Lohneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 176804 (2002).
- 6. R. H. M. Smit, Y. Noat, C. Untiedt, N. D. Lang, M. C. van Hemert and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, *Nature* 419, 906 (2002).
- 7. L. Venkataraman, Y. S. Park, A. C. Whalley, C. Nuckolls, M. S. Hybertsen, and M. L. Steigerwald, Nano Lett.. 7, 502 (2007).
- 8. S. Datta, *Electronic Transport in mesoscopic systems*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- 9. A. Troisi, M. A. Ratner, and A. Nitzan, [J. Chem. Phys. 118, 6072 \(2003\).](http://link.aip.org/link/?&l_creator=fthtml&l_dir=FWD&l_rel=CITES&from_key=JCPSA6000124000003034708000001&from_keyType=CVIPS&from_loc=AIP&to_j=JCPSA6&to_v=118&to_p=6072&to_loc=AIP&to_url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.aip.org%2Flink%2F%3FJCP%2F118%2F6072%2F1)

Phys. 123, 1 (2005); J. Chem. Phys. 125, 184703



# **Figure Captions**

Figure 1: Schematic representations of a conducting branched uniform system. The black dots represent electronic sites. Gray dots correspond to discontinuities. (a) The original branched conductor. (b) The effective conductor after eliminating terminal chains of even number of sites. (c) The effective linear conductor after a second elimination step.

Sites. (c) The effective innear conductor after a second elimination is<br>
represent electronic sites. Gray dots correspond to discontinuities<br>
anched conductor. (b) The effective conductor after eliminatin<br>
even number of s Figure 2: Schematic representations of a non-conducting branched uniform system. The black dots represent electronic sites. Gray dots correspond to discontinuities. (a) The original branched conductor. (b) The effective conductor after eliminating terminal chains of even number of sites. (c) The effective linear conductor after a second elimination step.

Figure 3: Schematic representation of a branched uniform conductor. The on-site energy of one of the terminal sites is controlled by a gate potential.

Figure 4: Transmission as a function of the impact electron energy and gate Voltage for a gated branched conductor. Dimensionless units are used.

Figure 5: Current as a function of the source-drain voltage, *V* , and the terminal gate voltage,  $V_g$ , in the small bias regime. Dimensionless units are used.





![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

18 **URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

**URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph**

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

71x51mm (120 x 120 DPI)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)