



**HAL**  
open science

# ADVANCED PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT INTEGRATION ARCHITECTURE: AN OUT-OF-BOX SOLUTION TO SUPPORT DISTRIBUTED PRODUCTION NETWORKS

Wai Ming Cheung, Peter C Matthews, James X Gao, Paul G Maropoulos

► **To cite this version:**

Wai Ming Cheung, Peter C Matthews, James X Gao, Paul G Maropoulos. ADVANCED PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT INTEGRATION ARCHITECTURE: AN OUT-OF-BOX SOLUTION TO SUPPORT DISTRIBUTED PRODUCTION NETWORKS. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2008, 46 (12), pp.3185-3206. 10.1080/00207540601039767 . hal-00512952

**HAL Id: hal-00512952**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00512952>**

Submitted on 1 Sep 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**ADVANCED PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT INTEGRATION  
ARCHITECTURE: AN OUT-OF-BOX SOLUTION TO SUPPORT  
DISTRIBUTED PRODUCTION NETWORKS**

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Production Research</i>
Manuscript ID:	TPRS-2006-IJPR-0171.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Jul-2006
Complete List of Authors:	Cheung, Wai Ming; University of Durham, School of Engineering Matthews, Peter; University of Durham, School of Engineering Gao, James X; Cranfield University, Department of Manufacturing Maropoulos, Paul; University of Bath, Department Mechanical Engineering
Keywords:	ADVANCED MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY, CIM ARCHITECTURE, PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT, DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEMS, COLLABORATIVE MANUFACTURING
Keywords (user):	Product Development Integration Architecture, Collaborative Networks



1  
2  
3 **ADVANCED PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT INTEGRATION**  
4  
5 **ARCHITECTURE: AN OUT-OF-BOX SOLUTION TO SUPPORT**  
6  
7 **DISTRIBUTED PRODUCTION NETWORKS**  
8  
9

10  
11 Wai M Cheung, Peter C Matthews\*  
12 Design and Manufacturing Research Group  
13 School of Engineering  
14 University of Durham  
15 South Road,  
16 Durham DH1 3LE  
17 U.K.  
18 Telephone: +44 (0) 191 3342538  
19 Fax: +44 (0) 191 3342396  
20 e-mail: {w.m.cheung | \* p.c.matthews } @durham.ac.uk  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 James X Gao  
27 Centre for Decision Engineering (Building 53)  
28 Department of Manufacturing,  
29 Cranfield University  
30 Bedford MK43 0AL. U.K  
31 Phone: +44 (0) 1234 754271  
32 Fax: +44 (0) 1234 750852  
33 e-mail: {x.gao@cranfield.ac.uk}  
34  
35

36  
37 Paul G Maropoulos  
38 Innovative Manufacturing Research Centre  
39 Department of Mechanical Engineering  
40 University of Bath  
41 Bath BA2 7AY.  
42 UK  
43 Phone: +44 (0)1225 385376  
44 Fax: +44 (0)1225 386928  
45 e-mail: {p.g.maropoulos@bath.ac.uk}  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51  
52 Corresponding author – P.G.Maropoulos  
53 Word Count: 6448  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents novel collaboration methods implemented using a centralised client/server product development integration architecture, and a decentralised peer-to-peer network for smaller and larger companies using *open source* solutions. The product development integration architecture has been developed for the integration of disparate technologies and software systems for the benefit of collaborative work teams in design and manufacturing. This will facilitate the communication of early design and product development within a distributed and collaborative environment. The novelty of this work is the introduction of an ‘out-of-box’ concept which provides a standard framework and deploys this utilising a proprietary state-of-the-art Product Lifecycle Management System (PLM). The term ‘out-of-box’ means to modify the product development and business processes to suit the technologies rather than vice versa. The key business benefits of adopting such an approach are a rapidly reconfigurable network and minimal requirements for software customization to avoid systems instability.

## KEYWORDS

Product Development Integration Architecture, Collaborative Networks, Product Lifecycle Management, Peer-to-Peer

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A major problem facing industry nowadays is the lack of use of current technologies into early design and manufacturing phases in collaboration and information distribution to support product development activities. Examples of these activities include manufacturing process planning, workflow analysis, knowledge management, product design, and process modelling. Furthermore, manufacturing processes display ever growing complexity and dynamic behaviour due to both increasing product complexity

1  
2  
3 and distributive and collaborative engineering demands. In order to facilitate these  
4  
5 criteria, advanced strategic corporate alliances must share knowledge, expertise and  
6  
7 resources in an increasingly competitive global market. Furthermore, effective  
8  
9 information and data sharing are directly linked to the competitiveness of an enterprise.  
10  
11  
12 Whether it is a single enterprise or a network of organisations, effective collaboration  
13  
14 and data distribution is an important aspect. Collaboration systems represent a key tool  
15  
16 fulfilling this need. This is particularly critical for smaller companies who find it  
17  
18 difficult to meet the cost of these software systems. One example is the Product  
19  
20 Lifecycle Management (PLM) system (CIMdata, 2002). PLM is an expensive system  
21  
22 and mostly operated by large companies. Apart from the cost of the software system,  
23  
24 there is an additional disadvantage for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) to  
25  
26 collaborate with the Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs): SMEs have to store all  
27  
28 relevant product development data within the PLM system deployed by the OEMs. The  
29  
30 SMEs typically do not have the autonomy in such a restricted environment.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 Due to rapid advances in Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) new  
40  
41 paradigms have been proposed to support distributed network environments. Among  
42  
43 these are: Virtual Enterprise (Ettighoffer, 1992) Distributed and Re-configurable  
44  
45 Enterprise (Gunasekaran, 1998) and, most recently, Cloutier et al (2001) introduced  
46  
47 Networked Manufacturing Co-ordination and Vernadat, (2002) in Enterprise Integration  
48  
49 architecture. All these paradigms have one thing in common; they all require highly  
50  
51 trained and sophisticated skills to customize and integrate. Customization is often  
52  
53 required to modify the software to suit the environmental needs. This may cause the  
54  
55 system to be more unstable and difficult to maintain when it finally comes online. The  
56  
57 maturity of web-based technologies and the availability of 'off the shelf' enterprise  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 systems, this research has proposed a different approach: to deploy an ‘out-of-box’  
4  
5 solution for the integration of disparate software systems. The term ‘out-of-box’ means  
6  
7 to modify the product development and business processes to suit the technologies  
8  
9 rather than vice versa. The advantages of this approach are rapidly reconfigurable  
10  
11 collaboration network and minimal requirements for system customization, thus  
12  
13 avoiding instability that might result. As a consequence, this paper presents: (1) an  
14  
15 advanced conceptual product development integration architecture which has been  
16  
17 specifically developed for the integration of these disparate technologies for the benefit  
18  
19 of collaborative work teams; and (2) to enable designers to use a seamlessly integrated  
20  
21 interface to review, analyse and reuse engineering and manufacturing knowledge within  
22  
23 the network of collaborating enterprises.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31  
32 To verify and demonstrate the applications of ‘out-of-box’ solutions, this paper will  
33  
34 focus on the principal hypothesis of bridging the discontinuity in the early stages of  
35  
36 design where communicating concepts and potential manufacturing scenarios are  
37  
38 critical. The collaborating designers are to be linked using internet-based PLM systems  
39  
40 that incorporate techniques for design conceptualisation, aggregate factory modelling  
41  
42 and manufacturing knowledge management (Maropoulos and Gao, 2001). In the  
43  
44 remainder of the paper, the fundamental infrastructure of the product development  
45  
46 integration architecture and its implementation issues are discussed. Two case studies  
47  
48 are presented to verify and demonstrate ‘out-of-box’ solutions of a centralised and de-  
49  
50 centralised networks configuration as proposed.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 2. THE PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT INTEGRATION ARCHITECTURE

Figure 1 illustrates the integration environment which is distinguished into *three layers*. The first layer is the enterprise systems which consist of PLM, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) technologies and the Peer-to-Peer (P2P) framework (Bond, 2001). The second layer is the communication and data exchange mechanisms, i.e. JXTA (Juxtapose) (Gong, 2002), client/server and XML (eXtensible Markup Language) Parser (Holzner, 2001). The third layer consists of the Manufacturing and Design domains to support product development processes. The architecture uses a PLM system to address design collaboration. This out-of-box solution provides the functionality for different designers at different locations to access the same design concurrently. The architecture also supports STEP-based standards for geometric models. This standards-based collaboration can work dynamically in a global, distributed, and heterogeneous design environment. In addition, PLM offers *lifecycle management* and *version control* for the design and the ability to see the history or 'evolution' of a design through all its iterations.




Figure 1: Overall System Integration Architecture in Product Development

An XML Parser is deployed as the interfacing medium between PLM and the Manufacturing and Design Domains for data interchange. This enables interchanging portions of XML documents while retaining the ability to parse them correctly and, as far as practicality is concerned, formatting, editing, and processing in useful ways (Holzner, 2001). JXTA is an *open source* communication protocol for P2P network connectivity (Cheung et al, 2004; Aziz et al, 2005). The manufacturing domain consists of a manufacturing knowledge-based system. LOCAM is a commercial process planning system for the detailed stages of product development which was not used due to the fact that this research has been focused on early design and development stages. Finally, the design domain consists of a STEP Modeller and Design Knowledge Based-System (Aziz et al, 2004).

1  
2  
3 P2P, a decentralised communication network, is used to enable such a hybrid integration  
4  
5 approach. This is to enhance the integrity of knowledge and data sharing and the  
6  
7 efficiency of network communication for collaboration of smaller companies within the  
8  
9 supply network. The advantages offered by P2P applications are (i) no single point of  
10  
11 failure, the network is alive as long as one peer is on-line, (ii) distributed sharing of  
12  
13 node resources for storage and processing power, so the system becomes more powerful  
14  
15 as more users attach, (iii) lower running cost due to the lack of high performance  
16  
17 servers, as well as (iv) maintaining individual control of the shared knowledge. The  
18  
19 objective of this research is to extend and further develop the product development  
20  
21 integration architecture, which includes a new framework to explore alternative  
22  
23 computing resources and network architectures in order to reduce cost as well as  
24  
25 providing the autonomy for smaller companies to share information with larger  
26  
27 collaborators.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35  
36 Figure 2 illustrates a subset of the product development integration architecture. The  
37  
38 main technologies used in the framework are the P2P decentralized network (Penserin et  
39  
40 al, 2003) and open source workflows implemented with *RosettaNet* and *JXTA* (Gong,  
41  
42 2002) for network connectivity. One further aspect of P2P systems is to distribute the  
43  
44 main costs of sharing data, computing power, network bandwidth and storage capacity  
45  
46 (Bond, 2001). This is particularly suitable for SMEs without the need of powerful,  
47  
48 expensive servers as well as providing autonomy in terms of data sharing with the PLM  
49  
50 system used by the OEMs.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 2: Overall Peer-to-Peer Framework for Enterprise Collaboration

One of the main applications of PLM systems is to control product development data more effectively by maintaining the data within a centralized network throughout its product lifecycle using the PLM’s native *workflow* functions. Most mainstream PLM systems are operated in an inherent client/server paradigm which is complex to set up

1  
2  
3 and requires a long period of time for customisation. However, it has been identified  
4 that *workflows* and any customisation carried out within a PLM system cannot be reused  
5  
6 on another PLM system (Aziz et al, 2005). On the other hand, the *RosettaNet* standard  
7  
8 (Yendluri, 2000), implemented in PLM systems, can decrease the amount of  
9  
10 customisation needed, but not eliminate it, as *RosettaNet* only provides a subset of the  
11  
12 messaging and data models of the inter-enterprise link.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 3. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

#### 22 3.1 Coordination of the Integration Architecture for Time-Dependent 23 Components 24 25 26

27 The integration of distributed time dependent components requires a time  
28  
29 synchronisation model. The time based element requires the recognition of the time-  
30  
31 dependencies of activities within a distributed team that use the stored data and  
32  
33 knowledge. In general, a PDM module of a PLM system comprises a “*Document*  
34  
35 *Manager*” that contains a list of user defined *cabinets* to store data files, a “*Lifecycle*”  
36  
37 function that defines the timing of the development stages and a “*Workflow*” function  
38  
39 that determines what processes and interactions take place at each stage. Clearly, PLM  
40  
41 can be used as a foundation for defining a time based integration wrapper as a time  
42  
43 synchronisation model.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Figure 3 illustrates the coordination requirements for the design of a workflow, which  
52  
53 can be classified into internal and external integration requirements (Becker et al, 2002).  
54  
55 Lifecycle management is responsible to define the development stages from conception  
56  
57 through design, engineering, manufacturing, use and maintenance to disposal.  
58  
59  
60

Networking allows all users to interact through Web services to enable the collaboration through the use of external and internal applications.




Figure 3: Coordination of Time dependent Components

Internal integration requirements concern those systems and functions which workflow applications need to connect to. For example, the internal functionality of a PLM system is life-cycle management, data storage and security. External integration requirements exist with regard to systems that either invoke the workflow system from the outside (embedded usage) or systems that are invoked by the workflow applications, for instance, the software systems such as the Knowledge-based system (Cheung et al, 2006) and the CAPABLE System (Maropoulos et al, 1998; Bramall et al, 2003). Since work coordination involves external networks and external applications, another issue that must be addressed is security on the public Internet (WWW). One major advantage of using PLM systems for Workflows is that they automate information flows between individuals carrying out business processes. It has two major implications for security:

- i. The description of a workflow process explicitly states when each function is to be performed and by whom, therefore security specifications may be derived from such descriptions and translated into static role-based specifications, and

- 1  
2  
3 ii. The Workflow functions are to be operated via Web-browsers, thus individual  
4 security rules must be enforced.  
5  
6

7  
8 A novel “Workflow Activity Task Controller” (WATC) methodology has been defined  
9 to implement the *time based integration wrapper* concept in the interactions between  
10 generic types of PDM, ERP, Knowledge Based and Process Planning systems and has  
11 been formalised in Unified Modelling Language (UML) as shown in figure 4. The  
12 implementation of WATC is centred on the lifecycle and workflow functionalities of the  
13 PDM system using web-based technologies such as XML and a Java-based XML  
14 Parser. The core technologies behind WATC are methods to control the interactions of a  
15 PLM system, a Knowledge Based System and a Process Planning System. WATC  
16 sequences early design activities including *concept definition, design development,*  
17 *manufacturing knowledge sharing* and *automated aggregate plan* generation. WATC  
18 currently supports the following five early design stages.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

- 33  
34 (i) Receive/understand customer product request and formalise design specification,  
35  
36 (ii) Generation of conceptual design by the Product Development Team,  
37  
38 (iii) Distributed review of conceptual model and addition of manufacturing  
39 knowledge and constraints,  
40  
41  
42 (iv) Deployment of capability analysis (Baker and Maropoulos 1998) for the  
43 prioritisation of product development tasks, and  
44  
45  
46 (v) Generation of aggregate process plans (routings) and integrated capacity  
47 planning.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 4: Time Dependency Scenario using WATC Concept

1  
2  
3 The workflow starts with the customer's request for a new product or a change to an  
4  
5 existing product. The initial stage is adding customer historical information such as  
6  
7 previous product specifications, customer buying experience and relationships. This can  
8  
9 be done by invoking a knowledge-based system. The knowledge-based system consists  
10  
11 of two separate modules: one for the design knowledge management system that  
12  
13 captures information related to product design and design standards, and the other for  
14  
15 manufacturing knowledge management to capture process and resource related  
16  
17 knowledge. The primary action of the workflow is to activate processes, for example  
18  
19 assigning a design task to connect with the KBS. All the information or relevant  
20  
21 knowledge is stored and retrieved via a PLM data repository. The PLM data repository  
22  
23 is used to store product centric information and provides a method of locating  
24  
25 information within the PDM system. The second stage of the workflow is to assign a  
26  
27 concurrent task which involves notifying the development team and issue requests to the  
28  
29 appropriate personnel to enter conceptual design data. The third stage of the workflow is  
30  
31 to review the conceptual design.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 The fourth stage is an XML Parser mechanism which supports the interaction of data  
42  
43 reused by the CAPABLE and PLM systems. The purpose of the CAPABLE system is to  
44  
45 allow alternative process plans (or routings) for custom parts to be generated, evaluated  
46  
47 and improved based upon estimated *manufacturability* before committing to a fully  
48  
49 specified product model and supplier. The XML Parser is responsible for extracting  
50  
51 manufacturing knowledge from the XML formatted knowledge file to be reused by the  
52  
53 process planning engine in the CAPABLE system. With the attachment of updated  
54  
55 historic information and manufacturing knowledge, a new product definition will be  
56  
57 generated. The product definition will be delivered to the CAPABLE system to obtain  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 preliminary process plans. The new process plans (routings) are then delivered to the  
4  
5 PLM system for Plan/Review. The final stage involves capacity planning and  
6  
7 implementation.  
8  
9


### 10 11 12 13 **3.2 Infrastructure of the Peer-to-Peer Framework**

14  
15 Figure 5(a) illustrates the infrastructure of the configuration and characterisation of the  
16  
17 product development collaboration processes between SMEs and OEMs or other larger  
18  
19 organisations. S1, S2 and S3 represent SMEs in the framework. In the OEM  
20  
21 representation schema, F1, F2 and F3 are the internal functions or divisions of the  
22  
23 organisation, for example, product design, process planning to marketing. A process  
24  
25 planning system and a KBS are used examples of different type of software deployed  
26  
27 within the OEM via the PLM system. The PLM system used by the OEM is located  
28  
29 within its own sub-network.  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35  
36 The framework is established using the basic infrastructure of the links using *hybrid*  
37  
38 P2P networking (Fiorano Software, 2003), JXTA communication protocol and  
39  
40 *RosettaNet*. In a hybrid P2P system, the information is exchanged through a local  
41  
42 *central server* (SuperPeer nodes). The SuperPeer node acts as a monitoring agent for all  
43  
44 the other peers within its sub-network and ensures information coherence. The basic  
45  
46 infrastructure builds on already available *open source* P2P solutions. A workflow  
47  
48 technique is deployed to have better control of data and file sharing in order to distribute  
49  
50 information to the right people, at the right time. Information requirements are set out  
51  
52 during task delegation throughout the product development processes.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

(a) Inter-enterprise collaboration using P2P



(b) Alternative Configuration of Inter-enterprise collaboration using P2P




Figure 5: Configurations of P2P Infrastructure in Inter-enterprise Collaboration

The P2P nodes, illustrated in the diagram, act as gateways controlling information access. Connectivity is achieved using an open source implementation of JXTA.

1  
2  
3 Implementation of JXTA is in standard Java 2. The user functions of JXTA include the  
4  
5 following:  
6  
7

- 8 • user interface queries,
- 9
- 10 • project management of collaborative groups, and
- 11
- 12 • group chat and instant messaging.
- 13
- 14

15 The choice was made partly for the security aspect, but also because JXTA implements  
16 a unique but anonymous identification mechanism for peers and rendezvous peers.  
17 Rendezvous peers can act as managers for peer groups and store the peer advertisement  
18 for the group for distribution to other P2P networks. Additionally, the system does not  
19 require a static network, but supports dynamic network architectures including the  
20 ability for individual users' to work offline which cannot be done with web-based  
21 systems. Figure 5(b) shows such an alternative configuration of the framework using  
22 SuperPeer nodes for communication connectivity. S1 consists of two subdivisions  
23 represented by S1a and S1b which form their own *peer groups*. Similarly, S2 and S3 are  
24 both have the same configurations.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

### 41 **3.3 Distributed Workflow in P2P Framework**

42 Frequently process workflows are distributed collections of activities that involve  
43 groups of individuals at disparate locations. To coordinate these tasks, a process support  
44 system must provide for distributed process execution and integration with tools across  
45 networks. Traditional workflow adopts a centralised client/server approach to enact  
46 processes such as the native workflow function provided by the PLM system for the  
47 OEMs. For a decentralised network this research uses RosettaNet Partner Interface  
48 Processes (PIPs) to implement workflows (Yendluri, 2000). Figure 6 depicts the  
49 solution for distributed workflow for SMEs and OEMs collaboration. The use of  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 WebLogic Integration (Yendluri, 2000) implements standard RosettaNet PIPs through  
4 public (or collaborative) workflows. A public workflow provides the interface to other  
5 collaborative partners, while private workflows are used to interface to back-end  
6 systems in order to generate and respond to messages. Figure 6 also shows the process  
7 by which PIP workflows pass messages between collaborative partners. In figure 6, for  
8 example, the RosettaNet-oriented workflows process messages as follows:  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

- 17 1. SME1's private workflow initiates a RosettaNet message. Data is retrieved and  
18 formatted into a RosettaNet message structure, the appropriate PIP is determined,  
19 and the message is forwarded to the public workflow that implements the SME1's in  
20 the PIP.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26
- 27 2. The public workflow process creates the appropriate RosettaNet message. The  
28 message is sent to the public workflow of the OEM.  
29  
30  
31  
32
- 33 3. The OEM's public workflow receives the message, processes the header  
34 information, and then passes validated customer information and message content to  
35 the appropriate private workflow process.  
36  
37  
38
- 39 4. The OEM's private process resolves message content and generates a reply. The  
40 reply is processed into a RosettaNet message structure and passed back to the  
41 OEM's public process.  
42  
43  
44
- 45 5. The OEM's public process creates a RosettaNet reply message and sends it to  
46 SME1's public process.  
47  
48  
49
- 50 6. The SME1's customer public process receives the reply message, processes header  
51 information, and then passes validated OEM's information and message content to  
52 the appropriate private process.  
53  
54  
55  
56
- 57 7. The private process resolves content of the reply message.  
58  
59  
60




Figure 6: Distributed Workflow Diagram for SMEs and OEMs Collaboration

### 3.4 The 2-tier Communication Architecture in P2P Networking

There are several different P2P communications protocols available, the most common ones are JXTA (Gong, 2002), Napster, Gnutella and AIM (Leuf, 2003). The applications of these protocols for peer-to-peer systems are usually designed for delivering a single type of network service, for example, Napster for music file sharing, Gnutella for generic file sharing and AIM for instant messaging. For implementation purposes, JXTA was adopted as the protocol for the framework because it is XML-based and open source. It was initiated and developed by Sun Microsystems, Inc, with the intention to standardise this technology for peer-to-peer networking. JXTA is a set of networking protocols similar to HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP) (<http://www.w3.org>) and TCP/IP (<http://www.protocols.com>). The JXTA layer sits between the networking stack and the application stack, handling peer-to-peer communication. The JXTA platform standardizes the manner in which peers:

- Discover each other,
- Advertise network resources,
- Communicate with each other, and
- Cooperate with each other to form secure peer groups.

The framework described in this paper uses the two-tier solution shown in figure 7, which enables enterprises to establish connections between them in real-time. The first layer uses the JXTA communication protocol for XML-based messaging as well as the “P2P” nodes connectivity. The second layer uses RosettaNet for distributed workflow and XML-based Electronic Data Interchange (EDI).




Figure 7: The 2-tier Communication

### 3.5 Security Issues in P2P Networking

Security is one of the main challenges in implementing P2P due to the lack of a centralized control server. Current P2P applications are designed mainly for home users to file share music and movies. These applications are not implemented with information security and offer no encryption for sensitive information. To address the security issues, Sun Microsystems created an infrastructure called Project JXTA, which allows programmers to use a common library when creating new P2P applications, by providing a robust, secure, interoperable applications programming interface (API). One of the security features in JXTA is the implementation of *AuthenticationCredential* which allows users or peers to join a *peer group* after a set of credentials have been verified. *AuthenticationCredentials* are used by JXTA Membership Services as the basis

1  
2  
3 for applications for *peergroup* membership. The *AuthenticationCredential* provides two  
4  
5 important pieces of information:  
6

- 7
- 8 • the authentication method being requested
- 9
- 10 • Identity information which will be provided to that authentication method.
- 11

12 Furthermore, JXTA also provides developers to implement *PasswdMembershipService*  
13 which allows a Membership Service based on a password scheme.  
14  
15

#### 16 17 18 19 20 **4. RESULT OF CASE STUDIES**

21  
22 The case studies were carried out in single user access environment, however, the  
23  
24 architecture also supports multi-user access using PLM and P2P technologies. As  
25  
26 discussed in Section 3, PLM allows simultaneous reading and writing of the same data  
27  
28 in the repository, thus, supporting concurrent engineering practices. This is done by  
29  
30 locking parts or the entire database while the data are being updated. Furthermore PLM  
31  
32 supports access authorizations and hence data inconsistencies can be avoided and  
33  
34 relationships such as the structure between data are maintained. As in the P2P  
35  
36 application, connectivity is supported by individual super-peer node and hence the  
37  
38 multi-user functionality is one of the main strengths of the architecture.  
39  
40  
41  
42

##### 43 44 45 **4.1 Case Study 1 – a Centralised Collaboration in Product Development**

46  
47 Mabey & Johnson (UK) Ltd manufactures steel panel bridges for rapid assembly on-  
48  
49 site. The bridge system relies on the use of standardised components ('Bailey' bridge  
50  
51 panels), which are joined together in the desired configurations. The problems faced by  
52  
53 the personnel at the company include:  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- The links between the design and manufacturing offices are very weak. Designers are primarily structural engineers who do not have access to manufacturing knowledge in order to improve the ease of manufacture of their designs.
- Engineering changes are processed manually and involve interlinked stages between different company sites. Once changes are made to a design and manufacturing plans, it is difficult to ensure that the knowledge stored in a digital format which is accessible to the personnel making future design and manufacturing decisions.

The company has created some applications to link the design and manufacturing processes within the ERP system. However, many shortfalls remain with the methods applied, and these include:

- A lack of workflows to automate the process of managing documents, project sequences and engineering change / revision control.
- A lack of interaction between different departments, namely between the marketing, engineering and manufacturing sectors of the business.
- There is no framework or technology to store and reuse the natural-language knowledge associated with the company's products, activities and user experiences.

To alleviate this situation, this research has proposed the application of the centralised 'out-of-box' solution. The centralised testing environment is illustrated in figure 8 which depicts an example of web-based data interoperability between the knowledge-based system, the aggregate process planning system, the PLM and ERP systems. The methods and tools have been used to generate a preliminary process plan for a single Bailey bridge panel. The example shows that the captured knowledge will be saved in a XML file into a PLM data repository. The illustration also shows the main implementation of the software components which depicts the links of a knowledge-based system to be re-used by the CAPABLE system. The links were established by the

XML Parser. Thus, this allows the extracted data to be transferred to the data models in the CAPABLE system.




Figure 8: Centralised Testing Environment

Figure 9 (a), (b), illustrates the knowledge statements related to a specific object termed Robot\_Cell\_0 which belongs to a station of a factory. As it highlighted in the diagram, when the XML Parser is invoked within the factory resource model of the CAPABLE System, the knowledge statements will then attach to a particular group of machines which can be used for further analysis to enhance the planning process of a product. The example shown in figure 9 (c) indicates the resulting knowledge statements extracted to the CAPABLE System which relate to a factory (resource) model object 'Galvanising Trailer' which belongs to a cell of "M&J (UK) Ltd" factory. The design engineers are then required to select the relevant knowledge to refine the design and subsequently to run the CAPABLE system to obtain a preliminary process plan based upon these knowledge factors. If the plan requires review, the prioritised knowledge factors, obtained from capability analysis, highlights the most appropriate areas of the process

plan for improvement based upon the specific instances of knowledge factors used. If the process plan is acceptable, it is then delivered to the PLM system for Plan/Review, and subsequently is readied for implementation in an ERP System for capacity requirements planning.




Figure 9: Example knowledge statements related to a specific object

#### 4.1.1 Closing Remarks of Case Study 1

In the current way of doing business within M&J (UK) Ltd., a significant amount of the technical knowledge is tacit and possessed by experts. However, the methodology

1  
2  
3 demonstrated in this research was used to store and re-use this knowledge within the  
4  
5 process of collaborative product development. According to the industrial collaborator:  
6  
7

- 8     ▪ Early collaboration in product design using this methodology can maximise  
9         the opportunity for optimising designs
- 10     ▪ With the increasing level of captured knowledge in product design and  
11         manufacturing capabilities, it can prevent poor decision making and enable  
12         the design to be right-at-first-time.
- 13     ▪ Accelerate the feedback and amount of relevant available information when  
14         designing customised products is enhanced
- 15     ▪ The amount of lead time that can be reduced for new product introduction is  
16         difficult to measure at this stage as the methodology only tested the early  
17         stages of the product development process.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 These observations support the initial hypotheses that the centralised collaboration  
32 streamlines the product development process and reduces design rework due to poor  
33 initial decision making.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

#### 41 **4.2 Case Study 2 - Utilisations of a De-centralised Network in Product** 42 **Development**

43  
44  
45 ArvinMeritor Automotive is a large Tier-1 automotive supplier. This company is a  
46 global enterprise with different subsidiaries based primarily in the US, UK, mainland  
47 Europe and China. The applications of P2P address the needs of de-centralised  
48 organisations to collaborate and share knowledge regardless of geographical location.  
49  
50 One of the main reasons to use this case study is that majority of the industrial  
51 collaborator's design is outsourced to local suppliers. Apart from the interest of  
52 utilizing PLM technology to enhance design knowledge, the industrial collaborator is  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 also interested in adopting a decentralised network to do business with smaller  
4  
5 companies.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Figure 10 illustrates the topology of the system. In this instance the architecture was set  
11  
12 up with a super-peer network in order to create a number of virtual servers at each of the  
13  
14 project's participants sites. These super peers are visible as peers to both the other  
15  
16 super-peers and the internal peer-net which they serve. They also act as the default  
17  
18 rendezvous peer, and peer information is shared between the super-peers in order to  
19  
20 improve the redundancy and query speed of the system. The grey area contains the  
21  
22 internal peer-net of the enterprise with the interconnected PLM and knowledge-based  
23  
24 system servers and workstations. Outside the peer-net is the external peer-to-peer  
25  
26 environment which interlinks all the super-peers from every collaborator together. This  
27  
28 enables peers to connect to each other enabling queries for and manipulation of  
29  
30 knowledge on different peers on the internal and wider peer-net transparently.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 10: Super peer architecture showing the interacting within internal and external peer nets of a collaborative project.

Figure 11 illustrates the JXTA user interface. The right-hand window shows the user interface from where queries, project management of collaborative groups and widely used items such as group chat and instant messaging are readily implemented by the JXTA protocol. The systems' settings enable enterprises and users without static addresses (or static network architectures) to collaborate using dynamic addressing, and this flexibility, as well as the users' ability to work offline, empowers users in all possible circumstances.




Figure 11: JXTA configuration window and user interface

The main objective of this case study was to demonstrate the application of a centralised PLM, decentralised P2P and SuperPeer production networks scenarios through the comparisons of the following features:

- *Time of deployment.* The time to customise and deploy the entire project including the project management framework and communications setup.
- *Virtual Enterprise setup.* How rapidly could VE be set up and start to operate on all the remote sites.
- *Deployment of ontology-based KBS.* How easy was it to enter, query, and reuse both the ontology and domain knowledge within the collaborative group.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- *Lifecycle Management*. The ability to control the state of a document, manage versioning and history of the data.
- *Total cost of ownership*. The costs of licences, implementation, system integration, training and maintenance.

The case study was empirically based using a small inter-enterprise setup. The server was located in the academic research laboratory, and users concurrently created the new project, generated new concepts and optimised the design gradually from two remote locations to simulate the collaborative scenario as shown in figure 10. All KBS deployed SAP-DB, a commonly shared back end. The applications were tested on identical hardware with identical configurations and internet connections. The apparatus were Dell Xeon workstations with 1GB RAM, 15K rpm disks and 1MB internet connection, the operating system was Windows 2000 on all machines. A 1 MB internet connection was used to minimize the cost of bandwidth, thus, demonstrating one of the advantages of decentralised network application. The test simulated a small scale virtual enterprise (VE) setup through from initiating the VE to running the system within the collaborative network. This setup has a typical VE with a large number of small servers distributed within a common network, with a small number of transactions are processed at each node. Table 1 show the results based on the comparison of key features requisite for a collaborative project environment.

Application	Time of Deployment	Virtual Enterprise setup	Deployment of Ontology-based KBS	Lifecycle management	Total cost of ownership
Commercial PLM	1 month for small project.	B2B* one-to-many integration, manual	RDFs <sup>†</sup> ontology in document container, access via PLM	Graphical workflow	Server & client licence, implementation and maintenance
Pure P2P	1 day	Peer to peer, automatic discovery	RDFs ontology in java application	Version control and rule based system	Training on P2P and knowledge app
SuperPeer net	1 day	SuperPeer automatic discovery	RDFs ontology in java application	Version control and rule based system	Training on P2P and knowledge app

Table 1: Comparison of key features of tested system (Aziz et al, 2005)

#### 4.2.1 Closing Remarks of Case Study 2

PLM systems are inherently centralised, complex to set up and require a long period of time for customisation. Conversely, the RosettaNet standard, implemented in the PLM system, can decrease the amount of customisation needed, but not eliminate it as RosettaNet only provides a subset of the messaging and data models of the inter-enterprise link. Unlike the 2-tier communication system as shown in figure 7, a PLM's data model and workflows are neither portable nor standardised. The data model can be modified by an expert who has to model, program, compile, update the database and integrate the code into the PLM system before it can be used. The costs of implementation, licences and maintenance are overly expensive and only practical when the enterprises involved can share the cost between each other over a long period of collaboration.

\* Business to Business- [www.b2btoday.com](http://www.b2btoday.com)

† Resource Description Framework - [www.w3.org/RDF/](http://www.w3.org/RDF/)

1  
2  
3 The application of P2P and open standards to support a VE can fullfield the following  
4 requirements:  
5  
6

- 7  
8 • Elimination the interoperability issues for product and project knowledge, enabling  
9 small enterprises to implement application standards such as STEP-PDM, and easier  
10 set up for inter-enterprise collaboration,  
11  
12
- 13 • Elimination of centralised bottlenecks in bandwidth and resources, empowerment of  
14 collaborators within networks to control the knowledge they create, solving the  
15 management of intellectual property rights within virtual enterprise, and  
16  
17
- 18 • Elimination of centralised administration that results in reduction in cost and  
19 complexity and enables domain professionals to tailor the system.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 In addition, *open source* can significant reduce software licence costs, provide a  
30 solution to the problem of *vendor lock-in* in the long term, elimination of unnecessary  
31 complexity and freedom to modify the application. *Open Source* also provides platform  
32 and application independence. This enables the enterprise to concentrate on its work and  
33 not be tied in to any vendor, the rapid and complete migration from the proposed system  
34 to future applications, and empower the enterprise to leverage its existing investments.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

## 45 5. CONCLUSIONS

46 Different paradigms have been proposed to support distributed network environments  
47 during the mid 1990s through to the early 2000s. Since then, Web-based technologies  
48 and data exchange formats have matured. With the availability of enterprise integration  
49 systems and *open source* technology, this research has proposed and developed a  
50 different approach. Hence, the deployments of an ‘out-of-box’ solution which provides  
51 a standardised framework with centralized and de-centralized concepts to support  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 production networks in design and manufacturing. In this research work, the discussions  
4  
5 have been focused on the proposal of the integration architecture to support a  
6  
7 collaborative product development and knowledge distribution method in the early  
8  
9 stages of the product development process. However, the infrastructure of the  
10  
11 integration architecture is also relevant to the later stages of product development,  
12  
13 providing that suitable software systems are used which can exploit XML based  
14  
15 information.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Case study 1 described the testing of a centralised product development integration  
23  
24 architecture which demonstrated the advantages of the applications of web-based  
25  
26 technologies for enterprise integration systems into the industrial collaborator's product  
27  
28 development process. Case study 2 described the testing of the combination of  
29  
30 decentralised and centralised production networks using P2P technologies. The study  
31  
32 focused on creating a virtual enterprise collaboration to compare the applications of a  
33  
34 centralised PLM, pure P2P and SuperPeer.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

## 41 **6. FUTHER WORK**

42  
43 In terms of future work, one specific issue is the upgrading of a new data exchange  
44  
45 mechanism in the integration architecture. An RDF Parser, which is an advanced  
46  
47 version of the XML Parser, is under development in the Web-based technologies  
48  
49 community. The RDF Parser is designed to run in a Web-browser which can be used to  
50  
51 extract information from both XML and RDF based documents on the client side. As  
52  
53 the XML Parser only deals with the syntax, the RDF Parser will deal both the syntax  
54  
55 and semantics used in the design and manufacturing knowledge. However, as far as the  
56  
57 authors are aware, during this period of the research the support for RDF Parsers in the  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 W3C (World-Wide-Web Consortium) has not been fully completed yet. As for future  
4  
5 work, the research will investigate and upgrade the application of RDF Parser into the  
6  
7 product development integration architecture.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 A new project is under development in *open source* PLM (Salustri, F., 2006). The  
13  
14 Opensource PLM (OPLM) project aims to provide SMEs with the ability to perform the  
15  
16 needs of current proprietary PLM functions, but, freely available without the prohibitive  
17  
18 resource requirements of the larger systems. In the future version of the proposed  
19  
20 architecture, further investigation will be carried out to integrate with this new open  
21  
22 source PLM when it is available.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

30  
31 The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the UK's Engineering  
32  
33 and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC), for the project Distributed and  
34  
35 Collaborative Product Development and Manufacturing Knowledge Management  
36  
37 (Grants GR/R26757/01 and GR/R35148/01). We are also grateful for the support of the  
38  
39 industrial collaborators ArvinMeritor, LSC Group, Mabey & Johnson and PTC.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

## 45 **REFERENCES**

46  
47  
48  
49 Aziz, H., Gao, J.X., Maropoulos, P.G. and Cheung, W.M., Open Standard, Open Source  
50  
51 and Peer to Peer Tools and Methods for Collaborative Product Development, *Journal of*  
52  
53 *Computers in Industry*, 2005, **56**, 260-271.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Aziz, H., Gao, J.X., Maropoulos, P.G. and Cheung, W.M., A Design Environment for  
4 Product Knowledge Management and Data exchange, *Methods and Tools for Co-*  
5  
6 *operative and Integrated Design*, (ed.) S. Tichkiewith and D. Brissaud, Kluwer  
7  
8 Academic Publishers, 2004 (ISBN 1-4020-1889-4, 257-267).  
9  
10  
11

12  
13  
14 Baker, R.P. and Maropoulos, P.G., Manufacturing Capability Measurement for Cellular  
15  
16 Manufacturing Systems, *International Journal of Production Research*, 1998, **36** (9),  
17  
18 2511-2527.  
19  
20  
21

22  
23  
24 Becker, J., zur Muehlen, M and Marc, G., Workflow Application Architectures:  
25  
26 Classification and Characteristics of Workflow-based Information Systems, *Workflow*  
27  
28 *Handbook*, pp.39-50, 2002 (Future Strategies, Lighthouse Point, FL).  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 Bond, J., Business uses of Peer to Peer (P2P) technologies, A Netmarkets Europe White  
35  
36 Paper, Netmarkets Europe, 2001.  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 Bramall, D.G., McKay, K.R., Rogers, B.C., Chapman, P., Cheung, W.M. and  
42  
43 Maropoulos P.G., Manufacturability Analysis of Early Product Designs, *International*  
44  
45 *Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2003, **16**(7-8), 501-508.  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 Cheung, W.M., Maropoulos, P.G., Gao, J.X. and Aziz, H., A Framework for Distributed  
51  
52 Workflows, Peer-to-Peer and PLM/PDM Collaborations to support OEMs and SMEs,  
53  
54 *2nd International CIRP Seminar on Digital Enterprise Technology (DET04)*, Seattle,  
55  
56 Washington USA, 2004.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Cheung, W.M., Bramall, D.G., Maropoulos, P.G., Gao, J.X. and Aziz, H.,  
4  
5 Organizational Knowledge Encapsulation and Re-use in Collaborative Product  
6  
7 Development, *Accepted to be published in International Journal of Computer*  
8  
9 *Integrated Manufacturing*, 2006 (Taylor and Francis Group).

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 CIMdata, Product Lifecycle Management – Empowering the Future of Business, A  
16  
17 Report by CIMdata, Inc 2002. Available on line at: [http://www.javelin-](http://www.javelin-tech.com/plm/events/pdf/CIMdata_PLM_White_Paper.pdf)  
18  
19 [tech.com/plm/events/pdf/CIMdata\\_PLM\\_White\\_Paper.pdf](http://www.javelin-tech.com/plm/events/pdf/CIMdata_PLM_White_Paper.pdf) (accessed 07 February 2006)  
20  
21

22  
23  
24 Cloutier, L., Frayret, JM., D'Amours, S., Espinasse, B. and Montreuil, B., A  
25  
26 Commitment-Oriented Framework for Networked Manufacturing Co-ordination,  
27  
28 *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2001, **4**(6), 522 – 534.  
29  
30

31  
32  
33  
34 Ettighoffer, D., L'Entreprise Virtuelle ou Les Nouveaux Modes de Travail (The Virtual  
35  
36 Enterprise on the New Working Methods), 1992 (Paris: Odiles Jacob).

37  
38  
39 Fiorano Software, A Whitepaper in Super-Peer Architectures for Distributed  
40  
41 Computing, 2003 (Fiorano Software, Inc).

42  
43  
44  
45  
46 Gong, L., Project JXTA: A Technology Overview, 2002 (Sun Microsystems, Inc).

47  
48  
49  
50  
51 Gunasekaran, A., Agile Manufacturing: Enablers and an Implementation Framework.  
52  
53 *International Journal of Production Research*, 1998, **36**(5), 1223 – 1247.  
54

55  
56  
57  
58 Holzner., S, *Inside XML*, New Riders Publishing, 2001, (ISBN: 0735710201).  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Leuf, B., *Peer to Peer: Collaboration and Sharing over the Internet*, Addison Wesley  
4 Professional, 2003, (ISBN: 0201767325, Pages: 464; Edition: 1st.)  
5

6  
7  
8 Maropoulos, P. G., Yao, Z. and Bradley, H. D., CAPABLE: an Aggregate Process  
9 Planning System for Integrated Product Development, *Journal of Materials Processing*  
10 *Technology*, 1998, **76** (1-3), 6-22.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Maropoulos, P.G. and Gao, J.X., Case for Support: Distributed and Collaborative  
18 Product Development and Manufacturing Knowledge Management (EPSRC  
19 GR/R26757/01), 2000.  
20  
21  
22

23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Penserini, L., Liu, L., Mylopoulos, J., Panti, M. and Spalazzi, L., Cooperation Strategies  
28 for Agent-Based P2P Systems, *International Journal of Web Intelligence and Agent*  
29 *System*, 2003, **1**(1), 3 – 21, ISSN: 1568-4539.  
30  
31  
32

33  
34  
35  
36 Salustri, F., ‘Opensource Product Lifecycle Management Navigator Project’, Available  
37 on line at: <http://deseng.ryerson.ca/xiki/View/Oplm/RugPlotPaper> (accessed 05 May  
38 2006)  
39  
40  
41

42  
43  
44  
45  
46 Vernadat F.B., (2002), “Enterprise Modelling and Integration (EMI): Current status and  
47 Research Perspectives”, *Annual Reviews in Control*, Publisher: Elsevier Science, 26 (1),  
48 15-25.  
49  
50

51  
52  
53  
54  
55 Yendluri, P., (2000), “RosettaNet Implementation Framework (RNIF) 2.0”, ©  
56 webMethods, Inc.  
57  
58  
59  
60