

# Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for a monoecious Ficus species, Ficus insipida, and cross-species amplification among different sections of Ficus.

Hélène Vignes, Martine Hossaert-Mckey, David Beaune, Damien Fèvre, Marie-Charlotte Anstett, Renee M. Borges, Finn Kjellberg, Marie-Hélène Chevallier

### ▶ To cite this version:

Hélène Vignes, Martine Hossaert-Mckey, David Beaune, Damien Fèvre, Marie-Charlotte Anstett, et al.. Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for a monoecious Ficus species, Ficus insipida, and cross-species amplification among different sections of Ficus.. Molecular Ecology Notes, 2006, 6 (3), pp.792-795. 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01347.x hal-00512534

## HAL Id: hal-00512534 https://hal.science/hal-00512534v1

Submitted on 29 Dec 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for a monoecious *Ficus* species, *Ficus insipida*, and crossspecies amplification among different sections of *Ficus*

H. VIGNES,\*† M. HOSSAERT-MCKEY,\* D. BEAUNE,\* D. FEVRE,\* M.-C. ANSTETT,\* R. M. BORGES,‡ F. KJELLBERG\* and M. H. CHEVALLIER\*†

\*CEFE, UMR-CNRS 5175, 1919 route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, †CIRAD, UPR 37, TA10/D, 34398 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, ‡Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

### Abstract

The animal-mediated pollination and seed-dispersal mutualisms of *Ficus* species give them key roles in tropical ecosystems, but may make them vulnerable to habitat fragmentation. The development of highly polymorphic markers is needed to analyse their genetic diversity and investigate the effects of fragmentation on gene flow. Of thirteen microsatellite loci isolated from *Ficus insipida*, a monoecious species in French Guiana, eleven were polymorphic (two to six alleles each). High levels of variation were found among loci; expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.151 to 0.715. All markers revealed a broad cross-species affinity when tested in 23 other *Ficus* species.

Keywords: Ficus insipida, genetic diversity, keystone species, microsatellite markers

The genus Ficus includes some 800 species of tropical and subtropical regions of the world. Well known for their species-specific pollination mutualisms (Anstett et al. 1997), Ficus spp. are also partners in generalized seed-dispersal mutualisms, their fleshy 'fruits' being consumed by a great diversity of frugivorous vertebrates (Shanahan et al. 2001). Figs are thus pertinent models for studying how forest fragmentation affects mutualist-mediated dispersal and gene flow, the demography and genetics of plant populations, and ultimately the structure and functioning of ecological communities. Khadari et al. (2001) developed the first polymorphic microsatellites for a dioecious fig, Ficus carica. More recently, Zavodna et al. (2005) developed five and three microsatellites, respectively, for two other diocecious species, Ficus montana and Ficus septica. Genetic variation was first examined in a few monoecious fig species from Panama using allozyme markers (Nason et al. 1996). That study documented extensive long-distance pollen-mediated gene flow and showed that breeding populations of the species studied were quite large. Since that study, however, no further work has been conducted on the population

Correspondence: M. H. Chevallier, Fax: 33467412138; E-mail: marie-helene.chevallier@ccfe.cnrs.fr

genetics of other monoecious fig species. The aim of this study was to develop simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for a monoecious fig species occurring in French Guiana, *Ficus insipida*, for studying the effects of forest fragmentation on both pollen- and seed-mediated gene flow. This species is a free-standing tree common in riverine forest. It belongs to the strictly neotropical section Pharmacosycea, the basal-most clade within the genus (Jousselin *et al.* 2003).

Leaves were collected from 63 trees located in three populations in French Guiana (Petit Saut, Roura and Cacao). DNA was extracted from dried leaves using the QIAmp Plant Kit (QIAGEN). The isolation of microsatellite loci from an enriched  $[(CT)_n \text{ and } (GT)_n]$  library was performed following Dutech et al. (2000), using biotin-labelled microsatellite oligoprobes and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads, with the following modifications: (i) there was no size selection after digestion with the restriction enzyme; (ii) XL10 Gold ultracompetent cells (Stratagene) were used; (iii) recombinant clones were screened with (CT) and (GT) and AGE1 (AAACAGCTATGACCATGATTAC) or AGE2 (TTGTAAAACGACGGCCAGTG) oligonucleotides using a modified polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method (Waldbieser 1995); (iv) the PCR reagents were 1× reaction buffer (RedGoldstar Eurogentec), 1 µm of each primer,

**Table 1** Characterization of 13 microsatellite loci in *Ficus insipida*. Repeat motif, primer sequences, annealing temperature, range of the allele size tested with 63 individuals, number of alleles per locus, observed ( $H_{\rm O}$ ) and expected ( $H_{\rm E}$ ) heterozygosities calculated on 63 adult trees from Petit Saut, Roura and Cacao in French Guiana, probability of exclusion calculated on the 43 adults from Petit Saut (Pe) and EMBL Accession no. Statistically significant deviations from Hardy–Weinberg expectations are indicated by \* (P < 0.05) and \*\*\* (P < 0.001)

Locus	Repeat motif	Forward and reverse primer sequences	Annealing temperature (°C)	Size range	No of alleles† (bp)	H <sub>O</sub>	$H_{\rm E}$	Pe	GenBank Accession no.
FinsI12	(TC) <sub>5</sub> (CT) <sub>11</sub>	NED-L: GAACCTTCAACCTCAATCAA	55	144–157	4	0.217	0.269	0.289	AM039801
	0 11	R: CTCCCCTTTCCTAGTCCTTA							
FinsJ10	(TC) <sub>11</sub>	FAM-L: AGGTGGAATGAGGAGAGAGT	54	163-170	2	0.339***	0.484	0.264	AM039802
		R: AAACATCCTTTCTGGACTTG							
FinsK9	(CT) <sub>13</sub>	HEX-L: ACGCACTTAACCCTTTCAG	55	99–118	3	0.091*	0.239	0.112	AM039803
		R: TTCGAGTCAACGAAACAAA							
FinsM5	(TC) <sub>13</sub>	HEX-L: ATGAATGGTGAAATCCTGAA	55	176-182	1	_	_	_	AM039804
		R: CATGGCCTCAACTTAGAAAC							
FinsN1	$(TA)_2(CA)_{10}(TA)_7$	HEX-L: AGGGCTGAGATAGGTTGATT	55	150-160	6	0.127***	0.151	0.171	AM039805
	CATA(TG) <sub>2</sub>	R: TAAGTTGGTGTGTGGGCATC							
FinsN3	(GA) <sub>10</sub>	FAM-L: AAAACTTCTCCTCTGCTATTTT	54	165	1	_	_	_	AM039806
		R: TTTGCTTCTTCTCTGTTTGAC							
FinsP8	(CA) <sub>8</sub>	NED-L: TGAAGAAAACGGAGCTTG	54	157–160	2	0***	0.433	_	AM039807
		R: CTAAATCTGACGGTTCAAAA							
FinsQ5	(GA) <sub>12</sub>	FAM-L: CATGTCAGGAGGTGTCTAGG	55	149–156	2	0.200***	0.406	0.251	AM039808
		R: CTCCAAATGGGTATGTCAAG							
FinsQ6	(TG) <sub>7</sub>	HEX-L: TTCTCCAATTAAACCTCCAA	55	98-107	4	0.069***	0.335	0.257	AM039809
	AG(TG) <sub>5</sub>	R: CATGAAATCACCTTCCTCAT							
FinsT7	$(TA)_{11}(TG)_{16}$	HEX-L: GAATCTGGAGGTGGAATAAAC	55	193–210	6	0.517	0.611	0.467	AM039810
		R: AAAGATCGCTCGTCAACC							
FinsU9	(AG) <sub>16</sub>	NED-L: CGTGTATTGATGTGTGTGTG	54	148–156	5	0.458	0.553	0.455	AM039811
		R: TCACCTCCTCCTTCTTTG							
FinsA1	(CT) <sub>12</sub>	NED-L: AATCCCCGTACTTCACTTG	55	194–222	5	0.560***	0.603	0.551	AM039812
		R: AGAACTTATTGCACGGACAG							
FinsH5	(AT) <sub>5</sub> GTAT	HEX-L: GACCGTATAGATGATTTGGG	54	262–272	5	0.656	0.715	0.621	AM039813
	(GT) <sub>11</sub>	R: CATCCTGTGAACGACACTT							
Average					3.3	0.249	0.369	0.989†	

+Cumulative probability of exclusion.

1.875 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM dNTP and 0.75 U of Taq DNA polymerase (RedGoldStar Eurogentec) and (v) the PCR program was 2 min of denaturation at 94 °C, 27 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min and 5 min of elongation at 72 °C. A total of 344 clones were screened and 198 gave a positive signal. Fifty-two positive clones were sequenced using ABI PRISM 310 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) or by Genaxis. Thirteen nonredundant sequences were obtained. Primers were then designed with use of PRIMER 3 (Rozen & Skatlestsky 1997). Amplification reactions were carried out separately for each locus in 20 µL including 0.1 µL of each 10 µM fluorescent-labelled primer and unlabelled primer (Table 1), 2.5 µL of 10× manufactured reaction buffer, 1.5 µL of 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.63 µL of 20 mm dNTP mix, 0.2  $\mu$ L of 5 U/ $\mu$ L Taq DNA polymerase (RedGoldStar Eurogentec) and 1 µL of genomic DNA. The PCRs were performed using a PTC 100 thermocycler (MJ research) in the following conditions: 3 min of denaturation at 94 °C and 35 cycles of 30 s of initial denaturation at 94 °C, 45 s of annealing at 55 °C, 45 s of extension at 72 °C and 5 min of elongation at 72 °C. One microlitre of diluted PCR product (1/20) was pooled in 15  $\mu$ L of deionized formamide and 0.2  $\mu$ L of GeneScan-500XL ROX size standard and analysed on an ABI PRISM 310 DNA sequencer.

Eleven of the 13 loci were polymorphic and 46 allelic variants were identified (Table 1). The average number of alleles per locus was 3.3 (2–6) and mean expected heterozygosity was 0.369 (0.151–0.715). Six loci showed significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Their heterozygote deficiency could be due to the presence of null alleles or to population substructuring. The latter was the case for the FinsP8 locus, in which different alleles were fixed in different populations. Tests of pairwise linkage disequilibrium showed significant values for two loci, FinsQ5 and FinsQ6. The combined value for probability of paternity exclusion calculated using the program FAMOZ (Gerber *et al.* 2003) was 0.989. Using 11 polymorphic loci enabled us to distinguish all the 43 adults in Petit Saut.

	No. of individuals tested	I12	J10	K9	U9	H5	Q6	A1	Q5	M5	N3	T7	P8	N1
Ficus species														
Section Pharmacosycea														
F. insipida	63	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
F. maxima	1	+	+	-	+	++	+	++	-	+	+	+	+	+
F. piresiana	1	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Section Conosycea														
F. beddomei	5	+	+	++	-	+	+	-	++	++	+	-	-	+
F. talboti	1	++	+	-	-	++	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
Section Galoglychia														
F. ovata	1	++	++	-	-	+	+	++	-	++	+	++	+	++
F. lutea	1	+	++	_	_	+	+	++	-	++	+	++	+	++
F. thonningii	2	++	_	-	-	++	++	++	-	++	++	++	++	+
Section Americana														
F. nymphaeifolia	15	++	++	_	_	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
F. broadwayi	1	+	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
F. guianensis	1	+	+	_	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
F. leiophylla	1	+	+	_	_	+	-	+	-	_	+	+	+	+
F. maronensis	1	+	+	_	_	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Section Malvanthera														
F. platypoda	1	++	++	_	_	+	++	+	-	+	+	_	+	+
Section Oreosycea														
F. nervosa	1	+	++	_	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Section Urostigma														
F. tsahela	5	_	+	+	_	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	_	+
F. ingens	1	+	+	_	_	_	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	++
Section Sycomorus														
F. sur	1	+	+	-	-	++	+	+	-	+	+	-	++	+
F. sycomorus	1	+	+	_	_	+	+	++	-	+	+	_	++	+
F. racemosa	20	+	++	-	-	_	++	++	+	-	++	-	+	++
Section Sycocarpus														
F. hispida	5	++	+	_	_	+	-	-	+	+	++	-	++	++
Section Sycidium														
F. exasperata	5	+	++	++	_	++	+	-	+	++	_	-	++	++
F. montana	5	++	+	_	_	++	+	_	++	++	++	_	_	+
<i>F. parietalis</i> 1		++	+	-	-	-	+	++	-	++	-	-	-	+
No. of species with PCR products		23	22	4	4	21	21	19	11	20	22	14	20	24

Table 2 Cross-amplification of Ficus insipida microsatellite primers in 23 species of the genus Ficus. The section is indicated for each species

These 13 loci were also tested with the same PCR conditions on one to 20 samples of 23 other species of *Ficus* representing 10 of the 17 sections of the genus. Except for loci FinsK9 and FinsU9, the *F. insipida* microsatellites gave an amplification product in most of the species tested, independently of their phylogenetic proximity to *F. insipida* (Table 2), demonstrating the wide potential applicability of these markers. We are currently using these markers to investigate the impact of fragmentation of the Petit Saut population, due to the construction of a dam and the impoundment of a large lake, creating islands of various sizes, on gene flow of *F. insipida*.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by MED-Ecosystèmes tropicaux program.

#### References

- Anstett MC, Hossaert-McKey M, Kjellberg F (1997) Figs and fig pollinators: evolutionary conflicts in a coevolved mutualism. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **12**, 94–99.
- Dutech C, Amsellem L, Billotte N, Jarne P (2000) Characterization of (GA) microsatellite loci using an enrichment protocol in the neotropical tree species *Vouacapoua americana*. *Molecular Ecology*, 9, 1433–1435.
- Gerber S, Chabrier P, Kremer A (2003) FAMOZ: a software for parentage analysis using dominant, codominant and uniparentally inherited markers. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **3**, 479–481.
- Jousselin E, Rasplus JY, Kjellberg F (2003) Convergence and coevolution in a mutualism evidence from a molecular phylogeny of *Ficus. Evolution*, 57, 1255–1272.
- Khadari B, Hochu L, Santoni S, Kjellberg F (2001) Identification and characterisation of microsatellite loci in the common fig (*Ficus carica* L.) and representative species of genus *Ficus*. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 1, 191–193.

Nason JD, Herre EA, Hamrick JL (1996) Paternity analysis of the breeding structure of strangler fig populations: evidence for substantial long-distance wasp dispersal. *Journal of Biogeography*, 23, 501–512.

Rozen D, Skatlestsky H (1997) PRIMER 3. Code available at www.genome.wi.mit.edu/genome\_software/other/primer.html.

Shanahan M, Compton SG, Corlett R (2001) Fig-eating by vertebrate frugivores: a global review. *Biological Reviews*, **76**, 529–572.

- Waldbieser GC (1995) PCR-based identification of AT-rich trinucleotide and tetranucleotide repeat loci in an enriched plasmid library. *BioTechniques*, **19**, 742.
- Zavodna M, Arens P, Van Dijk PJ, Vosman B (2005) Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for two dioecious *Ficus* species. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **5**, 355–357.