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#### Abstract

The estimation of a density and its derivatives from a finite mixture under the pairwise positive quadrant dependence assumption is considered. A new wavelet based linear estimator is constructed. We evaluate its asymptotic performance by determining an upper bound of the mean integrated squared error.
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## 1 Introduction

The following mixture density model is considered: we observe $n$ random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ such that, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the density of $X_{i}$ is the finite mixture:

$$
h_{i}(x)=\sum_{d=1}^{m} w_{d}(i) f_{d}(x), \quad x \in[0,1],
$$

where

- $\left(w_{d}(i)\right)_{(i, d) \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \times\{1, \ldots, m\}}$ are known positive weights such that, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\sum_{d=1}^{m} w_{d}(i)=1,
$$

- $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ are unknown densities.

For a fixed $\nu \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we aim to estimate $f_{\nu}$ and, more generally, the $r$-th derivative $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$, from Pairwise Positive Quadrant Dependent (PPQD) $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.

Let us present a brief survey related to this problem under various configurations. When $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent, the estimation of $f_{\nu}$ has been considered in e.g. Maiboroda (1996), Hall and Zhou (2003) and Pokhyl'ko (2005), and the estimation of $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$ by Prakasa Rao (2010). On the other hand,
when $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are identically distributed i.e. $h=h_{1}=\ldots=h_{n}$, the estimation of $h$ for associated $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ (including PPQD) has been investigated in e.g. Cai and Roussas (1997), Dewan and Prakasa Rao (1999), Masry (2001), Prakasa Rao (2003) and Chaubey et al. (2006). However, to the best of our knowledge, the combination of these two statistical frameworks i.e. the estimation of $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$ (including $f_{\nu}$ ) under associated dependence conditions is a new challenge.

This estimation problem occurs in the study of medical, biological and other types of data. The most common situation is the following: for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, X_{i}$ depends on an unobserved random indicator $I_{i}$ taking its values in $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Applying the Bayes theorem, the density of $X_{i}$ is the finite mixture $h_{i}$ defined with $w_{d}(i)=\mathbb{P}\left(I_{i}=d\right)$ and $f_{d}$ is the conditional density of $X_{i}$ given $\left\{I_{i}=d\right\}$. We refer to Maiboroda (1996) and the references there in. Further details and applications on the concept of associated random variables can be found in Roussas (1999) and Prakasa Rao and Dewan (1999).

To estimate $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$, several methods are possible as kernel, spline, wavelet, ... (see e.g. Prakasa Rao (1983, 1999), Härdle et al. (1998) and Tsybakov (2004)). In this study, we focus our attention on the multiresolution analysis techniques by adopting the wavelet methodology of Pokhyl'ko (2005) and Prakasa Rao (2010). We construct a linear wavelet estimator and explore its asymptotic performance by taking the mean integrated squared error (MISE) and assuming that $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$ belongs to a Besov ball.

This paper is organized as follows. Assumptions on the model and some notations are introduced in Section 2. Section 3 briefly describes the wavelet basis on $[0,1]$ and the Besov balls. The linear wavelet estimator and the results are presented in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the proofs.

## 2 Assumptions

Additional assumptions on the model are presented below. The integers $r$ and $\nu$ refer to those in $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$.

Assumption on $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$. Without loss of generality, for any $d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we assume that the support of $f_{d}$ is $[0,1]$ (our study can be extended to another compact support).
We suppose that there exists a constant $C_{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}} f_{d}^{(r)}(x) \leq C_{*} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that, for any $d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}^{(r)}(0)=f_{d}^{(r)}(1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumptions on $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. We suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are PPQD i.e. for any $(i, \ell) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ with $i \neq \ell$ and any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}>x, X_{\ell}>y\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}>x\right) \mathbb{P}\left(X_{\ell}>y\right) .
$$

This weak kind of dependence has been introduced by Lehmann (1966). Examples of PPQD variables can be found in Sancetta (2009).

Moreover, we assume that there exist positive real numbers $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1}$ such that

- for any $(i, \ell) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C}_{o v}\left(X_{i}, X_{\ell}\right)=b_{|i-\ell|}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- there exist two constants, $C \geq 0$ and $\theta \in[0,1)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{\theta}} \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} b_{u}=C . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two assumptions are not very restrictive. For instance, if there exists $\gamma \geq 0$ such that, for any $(i, \ell) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}$,

$$
\mathbb{C}_{o v}\left(X_{i}, X_{\ell}\right)=\frac{1}{1+|i-\ell|^{\gamma}},
$$

then (2.3) and (2.4) are satisfied with $b_{u}=1 /\left(1+|u|^{\gamma}\right)$ and

$$
\theta= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \gamma>1 \\ 1-\gamma & \text { if } \gamma \in[0,1)\end{cases}
$$

Assumption on the weights of the mixture. We suppose that the matrix

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{k}(i) w_{\ell}(i)\right)_{(k, \ell) \in\{1, \ldots, m\}^{2}}
$$

satisfies $\operatorname{det}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)>0$. For the considered $\nu$ (the one which refers to the estimation of $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$ ) and any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\nu}(i)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k+\nu} \gamma_{\nu, k}^{n} w_{k}(i), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{\nu, k}^{n}$ denotes the determinant of the minor $(\nu, k)$ of the matrix $\Gamma_{n}$.

Then $a_{\nu}(1), \ldots, a_{\nu}(n)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{\nu}(1), \ldots, a_{\nu}(n)\right)=\underset{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in \cap_{d=1}^{m} \mathcal{U}_{\nu, d}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\nu, d}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} w_{d}(i)=\delta_{\nu, d}\right\}
$$

and $\delta_{\nu, d}$ is the Kronecker delta.
Technical details can be found in Maiboroda (1996).
We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For technical reasons, we suppose that $z_{n}<n^{1-\theta}$ where $\theta$ refers to (2.4).

## 3 Wavelets and Besov balls

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, and $\phi$ and $\psi$ be the initial wavelet functions of the Daubechies wavelets $d b N$. In particular, these functions satisfy $\operatorname{supp}(\phi)=\operatorname{supp}(\psi)=$ $[1-N, N]$ and belong to $\mathcal{C}^{r+1}([1-N, N])$. Set

$$
\phi_{j, k}(x)=2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-k\right), \quad \psi_{j, k}(x)=2^{j / 2} \psi\left(2^{j} x-k\right)
$$

Then there exists an integer $\eta$ satisfying $2^{\eta} \geq 2 N$ such that, for any $\ell \geq \eta$, the collection
$\mathcal{B}=\left\{\phi_{\ell, k}(),. k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{\ell}-1\right\} ; \psi_{j, k}(.) ; j \in \mathbb{N}-\{0, \ldots, \ell-1\}, k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}\right\}$,
with an appropriate treatment at the boundaries, is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^{2}([0,1])$ (the set of square-integrable functions on $\left.[0,1]\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{j, k}\right)^{(r)}(0)=$ $\left(\phi_{j, k}\right)^{(r)}(1)$. Details can be found in Cohen et al. (1993).

For any integer $\ell \geq \eta$, any $h \in \mathbb{L}^{2}([0,1])$ can be expanded on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$
h(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{\ell}-1} \alpha_{\ell, k} \phi_{\ell, k}(x)+\sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k} \psi_{j, k}(x), \quad x \in[0,1]
$$

where $\alpha_{j, k}$ and $\beta_{j, k}$ are the wavelet coefficients of $h$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} h(x) \phi_{j, k}(x) d x, \quad \beta_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} h(x) \psi_{j, k}(x) d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $h$ belongs to $B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$ if and only if there exists a constant $M^{*}>0$ (depending on $M$ ) such that the associated wavelet coefficients (3.1) satisfy

$$
\sup _{j \geq \eta} 2^{2 j s} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_{j}} \beta_{j, k}^{2} \leq M^{*}
$$

We refer to Meyer (1990).

## 4 Estimator and results

Assuming that $f_{\nu}^{(r)} \in B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$, we define the linear estimator $\hat{f}^{(r)}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}-1}} \hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)} \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x), \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i)\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a_{\nu}(1), \ldots, a_{\nu}(n)$ are defined by (2.5), $j_{0}$ is the integer satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n^{1-\theta}}{z_{n}}\right)^{1 /(2 s+2 r+4)}<2^{j_{0}} \leq\left(\frac{n^{1-\theta}}{z_{n}}\right)^{1 /(2 s+2 r+4)}
$$

$z_{n}$ is defined by (2.7) and $\theta$ is the one in (2.4). The definition of $j_{0}$, which takes into account the PPQD case, is chosen to minimize the MISE of $\hat{f}^{(r)}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Upper bound for $\hat{f}^{(r)}$ ) Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n$ random variables as described in Section 1 under the assumptions of Section 2. Suppose that $f_{\nu}^{(r)} \in B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$ with $s>0$. Let $\hat{f}^{(r)}$ be (4.1). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right) \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+2 r+4)}
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 uses a moment inequality on (4.2) and a suitable decomposition of the MISE.

Due to the PPQD case (without other assumptions on $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ ), the rate of convergence of $\hat{f}^{(r)}$ is naturally greater than the optimal one obtained in the independent case i.e. $\left(z_{n} / n\right)^{2 s /(2 s+2 r+1)}$ (see (Prakasa Rao, 2010, Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.1)).

Note that Theorem 4.1 can be extended to other kinds of associated $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ as Negative Associated (NA), Pairwise Negative Quadrant Dependence (PNQD),
$\ldots$... This is due to the Newman inequality (Newman, 1980, Lemma 3) used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 which still holds in these cases.

The following proposition investigates the convergence a.s. of $\hat{f}^{(r)}$ to $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$ under the $\mathbb{L}^{2}$-norm.

Proposition 4.1 (Convergence a.s.) Consider the framework of Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(z_{n} / n^{1-\theta}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+2 r+4)}$ exists. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x=0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Finally, remark that the linear wavelet estimator is not adaptive with respect to $s$. Adaptivity can perhaps be achieved by using a non-linear wavelet estimator as the hard thresholding one. This approach works in the independent case (see (Pokhyl'ko, 2005, Theorem 4)), but the proof of this fact uses technical tools as the Bernstein inequality and the Rosenthal inequality. It is not immediately clear how to extend this to the PPQD case.

## 5 Proofs

In this section, we consider the density model described in Section 1 under the assumptions of Section 2. Moreover, $C$ denotes any constant that does not depend on $j, k$ and $n$. Its value may change from one term to another and may depends on $\phi$.

Proposition 5.1 Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n$ random variables as described in Section 1 under the assumptions of Section 2. For any $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{j_{0}}-1\right\}$, let $\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}=\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x) \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x) d x$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{j_{j_{0}}, k}^{(r)}$ be (4.2). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}-\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}\right)^{2}\right) \leq C 2^{(3+2 r) j_{0}} \frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}
$$

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Proceeding as in (Prakasa Rao, 2010, eq. (4.6)), it follows from (2.6), $r$ integrations by parts, (2.2) and $\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}(0)=\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}(1)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}\right) & =\frac{(-1)^{r}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i) \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{r}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}(x) h_{i}(x) d x \\
& =(-1)^{r} \sum_{d=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} f_{d}(x)\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}(x) d x\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i) w_{d}(i)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{r} \int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}(x)\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x) \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x) d x=\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}-\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}\right)^{2}\right)=\mathbb{V}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i) a_{\nu}(\ell) \mathbb{C}_{o v}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right),\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{\ell}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \mathbb{V}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+ \\
&  \tag{5.1}\\
& \left.\quad \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\
\ell \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{\nu}(i) \| a_{\nu}(\ell)\right| \mathbb{C}_{o v}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right),\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{\ell}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us bound the first term in (5.1). For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, using (2.1) and $\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}(x)=2^{j_{0} / 2} 2^{r j_{0}} \phi^{(r)}\left(2^{j_{0}} x-k\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) & \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} h_{i}(x) d x \\
& \leq C_{*} 2^{2 r j_{0}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x \leq C 2^{2 r j_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \mathbb{V}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \leq C 2^{2 r j_{0}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)=C 2^{2 r j_{0}} \frac{z_{n}}{n} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now bound the second term in (5.1). Since $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are PPQD, it follows from (Newman, 1980, Lemma 3) that, for any $(i, \ell) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ with $i \neq \ell$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{C}_{o v}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right),\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{\ell}\right)\right)\right| \leq\left(\sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r+1)}(x)\right|\right)^{2} \mathbb{C}_{o v}\left(X_{i}, X_{\ell}\right)( \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.3) and (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{\nu}(i)\left\|a_{\nu}(\ell)\right\| \mathbb{C}_{o v}\left(\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{i}\right),\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r)}\left(X_{\ell}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} A B \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r+1)}(x)\right|\right)^{2}, \quad B=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{\nu}(i)\right|\left|a_{\nu}(\ell)\right| b_{|i-\ell|}
$$

Let us now bound $A$ and $B$ in turn.

Since $\left(\phi_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{(r+1)}(x)=2^{j_{0} / 2} 2^{(r+1) j_{0}} \phi^{(r+1)}\left(2^{j_{0}} x-k\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leq C\left(2^{j_{0} / 2} 2^{(r+1) j_{0}}\right)^{2}=C 2^{j_{0}(3+2 r)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =2 \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1}\left|a_{\nu}(i) \| a_{\nu}(\ell)\right| b_{i-\ell} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1}\left(a_{\nu}^{2}(i)+a_{\nu}^{2}(\ell)\right) b_{i-\ell} \\
& =\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \sum_{u=1}^{i-1} b_{u}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{u=1}^{i-1} a_{\nu}^{2}(i-u) b_{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.4), we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \sum_{u=1}^{i-1} b_{u} \leq n z_{n} \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} b_{u} \leq C z_{n} n^{\theta+1}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{u=1}^{i-1} a_{\nu}^{2}(i-u) b_{u}=\sum_{u=1}^{n-1} b_{u} \sum_{i=u+1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i-u) \leq n z_{n} \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} b_{u} \leq C z_{n} n^{\theta+1}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \leq C z_{n} n^{\theta+1} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (5.1), (5.2), (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) together, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}-\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}\right)^{2}\right) \leq C\left(2^{2 r j_{0}} \frac{z_{n}}{n}+2^{(3+2 r) j_{0}} \frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}\right) \leq C 2^{(3+2 r) j_{0}} \frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}
$$

This ends the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We expand the function $f_{\nu}^{(r)}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$
f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}}-1} \alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)} \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x)+\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{(r)} \psi_{j, k}(x), \quad x \in[0,1]
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}=\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x) \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x) d x, \quad \beta_{j, k}^{(r)}=\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x) \psi_{j, k}(x) d x
$$

We have, for any $x \in[0,1]$,

$$
\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}}-1}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}-\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}\right) \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x)-\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{(r)} \psi_{j, k}(x)
$$

Since $\mathcal{B}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^{2}([0,1])$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right)=A+B
$$

where

$$
A=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\hat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}-\alpha_{j_{0}, k}^{(r)}\right)^{2}\right), \quad B=\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1}\left(\beta_{j, k}^{(r)}\right)^{2}
$$

Using Proposition 5.1 and the definition of $j_{0}$, we obtain

$$
A \leq C 2^{j_{0}} 2^{(3+2 r) j_{0}} \frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+2 r+4)}
$$

Since $f_{\nu}^{(r)} \in B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$, we have

$$
B \leq C 2^{-2 j_{0} s} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+2 r+4)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right) \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+2 r+4)}
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. It follows from the Markov inequality and Theorem 4.1 that, for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x \geq \epsilon\right) & \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n^{1-\theta}}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+2 r+4)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

The Borel-Cantelli theorem yields

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)-f_{\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2} d x=0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

The proof of Proposition 4.1 is complete.
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