

Genetic diversity and fitness in small populations of partially asexual, self-incompatible plants.

Miguel Navascués, Solenn Stoeckel, Stephanie Mariette

▶ To cite this version:

Miguel Navascués, Solenn Stoeckel, Stephanie Mariette. Genetic diversity and fitness in small populations of partially asexual, self-incompatible plants.. Heredity, 2010, 104 (5), pp.482-92. 10.1038/hdy.2009.159. hal-00505867v1

HAL Id: hal-00505867 https://hal.science/hal-00505867v1

Submitted on 31 Jan 2012 (v1), last revised 31 Jan 2012 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Genetic diversity and fitness in small populations of partially asexual, self-

2	incompatible plants
3	
4	Miguel Navascués ^{1,2} , Solenn Stoeckel ³ and Stéphanie Mariette ⁴
5	
6	¹ Unidad de Genética, Centro de Investigación Forestal, INIA, Carretera de La Coruña km 7.5,
7	28040 Madrid, Spain
8	² Équipe Éco-évolution Mathématique, CNRS, UMR 7625 Écologie et Évolution, Université
9	Pierre et Marie Curie & École Normale Supérieure, 46 rue d'Ulm 75230 Paris Cedex 05,
10	France
11	³ UMR 1099 BIO3P (Biology of Organisms and Populations applied to Plant Protection),
12	INRA Agrocampus Rennes, F-35653 Le Rheu, France
13	⁴ Unité de Recherche sur les Espèces Fruitières, INRA, Domaine de la Grande Ferrade, 71
14	avenue Edouard Bourlaux, BP 81, 33883 Villenave d'Ornon Cedex, France
15	Author for correspondence: Stéphanie Mariette, Tel: (33) 557 122 383, Fax: (33) 557 122
16	439, E-mail: smariett@bordeaux.inra.fr
17	
18	Keywords
19	Self-incompatibility, asexual reproduction, number of S-alleles, linkage disequilibrium,
20	inbreeding depression, mutation load
21	Running title: Asexuality and self-incompatibility in small populations
22	Word count: 5433
23	

Summary

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

How self-incompatibility systems are maintained in plant populations is still a debated issue. Theoretical models predict that self-incompatibility systems break down according to the intensity of inbreeding depression and number of S-alleles. Other studies have explored the role of asexual reproduction in the maintenance of self-incompatibility. However, the population genetics of partially asexual, self-incompatible populations are poorly understood and previous studies have failed to consider all possible effects of asexual reproduction or could only speculate on those effects. In the present study, we investigated how partial asexuality may affect genetic diversity at the S-locus and fitness in small self-incompatible populations. A genetic model including an S-locus and a viability locus was developed to perform forward simulations of the evolution of populations of various sizes. Drift combined with partial as exuality produced a decrease in the number of alleles at the S-locus. In addition, an excess of heterozygotes was present in the population, causing an increase in mutation load. This heterozygote excess was enhanced by the self-incompatibility system in small populations. In addition, in highly asexual populations, individuals produced asexually had some fitness advantages over individuals produced sexually (due to the increased heterozygosity, sex produces the homozygosis of deleterious alleles). Our results suggest that future research on the role of asexuality for the maintenance of self-incompatibility will need to (1) account for whole genome fitness (mutation load generated by asexuality, selfincompatibility and drift) and (2) acknowledge that the maintenance of self-incompatibility may not be independent of the maintenance of sex itself.

Introduction

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

Hermaphroditic plant species reproduce with variable rates of selfing, ranging from strict selfing to strict outcrossing (Barrett, 2002). Self-incompatibility (SI) is a reproductive system that prevents self-fertilization. In the case of heteromorphic self-incompatibility, distinct morphologies result in distinct compatibility groups, whereas in the case of homomorphic self-incompatibility, compatible individuals cannot be distinguished by their morphology (de Nettancourt, 1977). Most SI systems depend on physiological mechanisms that prevent pollen germination or pollen tube growth. In sporophytic self-incompatibility (SSI) systems, the compatibility of a pollen grain depends on the diploid genotype of the plant that produced it. In gametophytic self-incompatibility (GSI) systems, the compatibility of a pollen grain depends on its haploid genotype. GSI is more widespread than SSI (Glémin et al., 2001). Fisher (1941) showed that self-fertilization should have a selective advantage because a selfing genotype will transmit more copies of its genome than a non-selfing genotype (this has been termed the automatic advantage of selfing). However, numerous studies have shown that inbred offspring are less fit than outbred offspring. The relative decrease in the mean fitness of selfed versus outcrossed individuals (inbreeding depression) is generally recognized as the only main factor that counterbalances the selective advantage of selfing (Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1987). Consequently, the level of inbreeding depression in populations should play a determining role in the evolution of SI systems. Inbreeding depression decreases as population size becomes smaller due to reduced polymorphism for selection to act on (Bataillon and Kirkpatrick, 2000). Charlesworth and Charlesworth (1979) showed that the number of Salleles also plays a role in maintaining SI, since a low number of alleles will limit the number of compatible crosses in the population. A decrease in population size can also cause a reduction in the number of S-alleles (Brennan et al., 2003), and a self-compatible mutant can be positively selected for (Reinartz and Les, 1994). Thus, small populations may be particularly prone to the breakdown of SI due to weak inbreeding depression and low numbers of S-alleles. However, small self-incompatible populations may maintain high levels of inbreeding depression due to a sheltered load of deleterious alleles linked to the S-locus (Glémin et al., 2001). The existence of a sheltered load has been demonstrated experimentally in Solanum carolinense by Stone (2004) and Mena-Alí et al. (2009). Overall, under a wide range of conditions, SI can evolve to self-compatibility. In effect, the loss of SI systems is very frequent in plant evolution (Igic et al., 2008). However, the reasons for which some species maintain a SI system while other species lose it are not completely understood. It has been suggested that asexual reproduction, "when an individual produces new individuals that are genetically identical to the ancestor at all loci in the genome, except at those sites that have experienced somatic mutations" (de Meeûs et al., 2007), plays a role in the maintenance or breakdown of SI. Two studies suggest that asexuality could relieve the main selective pressures that favour the breakdown of SI. First, Chen et al. (1997) showed in Australian Droseraceae that all self-incompatible taxa have effective forms of asexual reproduction, whereas the obligatory sexual annual taxon, Drosera glanduligera, is selfcompatible. Their interpretation is that self-incompatible forms accumulate recessive lethal polymorphisms, especially in association with biparental inbreeding generated by elevated levels of asexual reproduction. The hypothetical high genetic load constitutes the selective pressure that maintains the outbreeding mechanisms. Second, Vallejo-Marín and O'Brien (2007) hypothesized that asexuality could provide reproductive assurance in cross-fertilizing species subject to pollen limitation. They predicted that cross-fertilizing species subject to pollen limitation would often have some means of asexual reprduction, and they found a strong correlation between self-incompatibility and asexuality in Solanum (Solanaceae). Conversely, Young et al. (2002) developed a contrasting hypothesis for the case of Rutidosis

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

leiolepis in which they found SSI and high rates of asexual reproduction. They suggested that increased asexual reproduction causes mate limitation by reducing genotype diversity at the *S*-

locus, favouring a breakdown of self-incompatibility.

The net effect of asexual reproduction on the maintenance or breakdown of SI systems is therefore still unknown. Moreover, it is unclear to what extent the effects of asexual reproduction discussed by the various authors are actually present in partially asexual, self-incompatible populations. Theoretical studies have described the effects of partial asexuality on the inbreeding depression of self-compatible populations (Muirhead and Lande, 1997) and the number of S-alleles (Vallejo-Marín and Uyenoyama, 2008). However, no model has been developed so far to study the joint effect of asexuality, self-incompatibility and drift on the evolution of diversity and fitness parameters for a hermaphroditic species. Using individual-based simulations, we investigated the effect of (i) partial asexual reproduction and drift on diversity at the S-locus, (ii) combined asexuality, self-incompatibility and drift on the frequency of deleterious alleles. Thus, our main goal was to characterise the dynamics of two key factors (number of S-alleles and inbreeding depression) for the maintenance of SI in partially asexual, self-incompatible populations. It was not the aim of this work to estimate the probability of invasion of self-compatible alleles or other modifiers of reproduction.

Materials and Methods

Genetic model

The model considered in this study was based on the model developed by Glémin $et\ al.$ (2001). This model consists of a population of N (four population sizes evaluated: 25, 50, 100 and 1000) diploid hermaphroditic individuals with a GSI system. In addition, our model also included asexual reproduction at rate c (probability that an individual is generated by asexual reproduction, seven values evaluated: 0, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99, 0.999 and 1). We considered an

asexual reproduction event as the production of a new independent individual that is an exact copy of the parental individual (or only different by somatic mutation) (e.g. de Meeûs et al., 2007). As in Glémin et al. (2001), each individual genome possessed the S-locus, which regulated SI, and a viability locus, whose state determined individual fitness. Two neutral loci were also included for reference. The four loci were considered to be physically unlinked and were inherited independently through sexual reproduction. Sexual reproduction events were controlled by the S-locus: crosses between individuals were only possible when the S-allele carried by the pollen grain was different from both S-alleles of the pistil (i.e. at least three different S-alleles were necessary in the population for sexual reproduction to occur). To focus on effects specifically attributable to asexuality on the maintenance and breakdown of SI, we assumed unlimited pollen availability and that sexual crosses were always fruitful. Thus, sexual reproduction was only limited when asexuality and genetic drift reduced the number of S-alleles to less than three within a population. In this case, independent of the original rate of asexual reproduction, all individuals reproduced as exually (c=1) until mutation introduced a third S-allele. Then, c was reset to its original value. Individual fitness, f, was determined by the viability locus, which had two alleles A and a. Strength of the dominance of allele A over deleterious allele a was regulated by the dominance coefficient h, and strength of the selection against a was regulated by the selection coefficient s. Thus, relative fitness of genotypes were: $f_{AA}=1$, $f_{Aa}=1$ -hs and $f_{aa}=1$ -s (0<s<=1 and 0 < h < = 1/2). Three selection regimes at the viability locus were considered: (i) a neutral case, to be able to study the effects of the interaction between SI and asexuality without the interference of selection, where parameters s and h were set to zero; (ii) a case of mildly deleterious and partially recessive mutations, for which values assigned to s and h were

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

respectively 0.1 and 0.2; and (iii) a case of highly recessive lethal mutations, values assigned to s and h were respectively 1 and 0.02. Mutations were allowed in all loci. Neutral loci mutated at rate $\mu_N=10^{-3}$, following a k-allele 147 model (k=100). Mutation at the S-locus followed an infinite-allele model (Kimura and Crow, 1964) with rate μ_S ; three values were considered for this rate ($\mu_S=10^{-3}$, 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} as in 149 Glémin et al., 2001). Mutations at the viability locus occurred at rate $\mu_1=10^{-3}$ from A to a and at rate $\mu_2=10^{-4}$ from a to A.

Forward-time simulations of a population of N diploid individuals with no overlapping

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

145

146

148

150

151

Individual-based simulations

generations were performed. Simulations started with all individuals carrying two unique alleles at the S-locus (2N different S-alleles in the whole population), alleles A or a randomly assigned (with equal probability, 0.5) to the viability locus and any of the k alleles (k=100) randomly assigned (with equal probability, 1/k) to the two neutral loci. At each generation, the number of individuals generated by asexual reproduction, x, was drawn from a Poisson distribution with mean Nc, and the remaining N-x individuals were generated by sexual reproduction (parents contributing to these two types of offspring were not determined in this step). Genotypes of the N individuals were generated one by one. If a given individual originated by asexual reproduction, a genotype i was randomly drawn from all individuals of the previous generation. That genotype was assigned to the individual with a probability equal to the fitness of genotype i; this will be called the selection step. If the genotype was not successfully assigned, the genotype draft and the selection step was repeated until a genotype passed the selection step. If the individual originated by sexual reproduction, the simulation followed a different procedure. First, an individual from previous generation was randomly drawn to act as a mother and the ovule haploid genotype was randomly generated. Then, a second individual was drawn to act as a father and the pollen haploid genotype was randomly generated. If the *S*-allele at the pollen grain was not different from both *S*-alleles of the mother (i.e. incompatible pollen), the pollen was discarded. New fathers and pollen grain genotypes were generated until a compatible cross was obtained (i.e. pollen availability was unlimited). Then, a diploid genotype i was generated from the haploid genotypes of the ovule and the pollen. The selection step was carried out on genotype i as in the case of asexual reproduction; if the genotype was not assigned the process was repeated by drawing two new parents until a genotype was able to pass the selection step. Once all N genotypes were selected, mutations were applied to each individual. The numbers of mutants at the *S*-locus and at the two neutral loci were drawn from Poisson distributions with means $2N\mu_S$ and $2N\mu_N$ respectively. At the viability locus, a mutant was formed with a probability μ_1 if the allele was A and a probability μ_2 if the allele was a. The rate of asexual reproduction was a fixed parameter of our model and did not change with the selection process.

Monitored genetic indices

Simulations were run for 50 000 generations before beginning to monitor the genetic indices, this allowed monitored indices to become stable and avoided the influence of initial conditions in their values (Glémin *et al.*, 2001). From generation 50 000, indices were calculated every 3 000 generations until 107 000 generations (i.e. 20 times). For the same combination of parameters, 50 replicates of the simulations were performed providing 1 000 (indices calculated 20 times in each of the 50 replicates) evaluations of the monitored variables for each set of parameter values. Indices were calculated from all genotypes of the population (i.e. they were not estimates, but the true values of the population).

Genetic diversity indices: For each locus we calculated the number of alleles (n_a) , allele frequencies, effective number of alleles $(n_e) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n_a} p_i^2}$, where p_i was the frequency of allele),

expected heterozygosity $(H_e = 1 - 1/n_e)$, observed heterozygosity $(H_o, \text{ proportion of } 1/n_e)$ heterozygous individuals) and the inbreeding coefficient (Fis (Fis) 12). Theoretical predictions for some of these indices were obtained from the work of several authors for some 198 of the scenarios considered:

a) Vallejo-Marín and Uyenoyama (2008) studied the effects of partial asexuality in the number of alleles of the S-locus using a diffusion approximation and found that the number of common alleles (n_c , which is approximately equivalent to the effective number of S-alleles, n_e , Takahata, 1990) is determined by:

where M=N(1-c) and $\theta=2N\mu_s$. This equation was solved numerically to obtain the 204 205 expected effective number of S-alleles in the simulations without selection on the viability 206 locus.

b) Glémin et al. (2001) obtained the expected F_{IS} for a neutral locus linked to the S-locus as: 207

195

196

197

199

200

201

202

209

where f_S is the scaling factor of the genealogy of the S-locus and r' is the net recombination 210 rate between the two loci. For the case of partial asexuality, the number of common alleles was given by Equation 1 and the scaling factor was 211 (Vallejo-Marín and Uyenoyama, 2008). To correct for the reduction of recombination due to 212 as exuality, we used r'=r(1-c), where r was the recombination rate during sexual 213 214 reproduction (i.e. r=0.5 for unlinked loci). This approach ignored mutation on the neutral 215 locus.

216 c) Finally, an expression of the expected F_{IS} of a neutral locus in a self-compatible, partially 217 asexual population was derived following Balloux et al. (2003):

237

where $\gamma = (1 - \mu_N)^2$ and s is the selfing rate. Selfing rate in Balloux et al. (2003) model is 219 220 defined as the rate of self-fertilization of individuals; thus a fertilization event between two 221 individuals from the same genet is considered an outcrossing event. Under random mating, 222 s=1/N and for negligible mutation rates, $\gamma=1$. 223 Linkage disequilibrium: The linkage disequilibrium between pairs of loci (S-locus-viability 224 locus and the two neutral loci) was studied with the correlation coefficient between two loci, 225 R_{GGD} , developed by Garnier-Géré and Dillmann (1992) which is particularly appropriate for 226 partially asexual diploids (de Meeûs and Balloux, 2004). Fitness: Mean fitness of the population (\overline{W}) was calculated from the genotype frequencies as 227 and the average fitness of individuals 228 229 potentially produced by selfing (W_s) , outcrossing (W_o) and asexuality (W_a) were calculated as 230 (where p_A and p_a are the frequencies of alleles 231 A and a) and $W_a = \overline{W}$. Inbreeding depression (δ) and mutation load (L) were calculated as 232 $\mathcal{S}=1-(V_S/V_S)$ and $L=1-\overline{W}$. In addition, we compared the fitness of individuals produced 233 by as exual reproduction (W_a) to the fitness of individuals produced sexually, using the ratio 234 W_o/W_a . W_s , W_o and W_a were calculated as the fitness of potential offspring if all individuals 235 were produced by selfing, by outcrossing and asexually, respectively. However, these modes 236

of reproduction might not be actually present in the different scenarios considered (e.g. in

self-incompatible populations, no selfing occurs, but potential W_s and inbreeding depression can be calculated).

Fixation probabilities: To interpret the results, we calculated the probabilities of four events: fixation of alleles A and a in the viability locus, 'fixation' of viability locus heterozygote (Aa) and fixation of a genotype in the S-locus (reduction of number of S-alleles to two, this was only monitored in self-incompatible populations). These fixation probabilities were estimated

on 1 000 evaluations of the monitored variables as the proportion of times in which p_a =0,

 p_a =1 and H_o =1 for the viability locus, or n=2 for the S-locus.

Results

Effect of asexuality and drift on linkage disequilibrium between loci

Probably the most obvious effect of partial asexual reproduction was the reduction of recombination. Asexuality generated identical genotypes in offspring, so allele associations were transmitted in the same way that they would be transmitted if they were physically linked throughout the whole genome. In fully asexual populations, maximum linkage disequilibrium was expected (equivalent to the linkage disequilibrium between fully linked loci) and in partially asexual populations, recombination was reduced proportionally to the rate of asexual reproduction. Figure 1 shows how non-random associations of alleles increased with asexual reproduction rates. Linkage disequilibrium levels also depended on population size because drift produced a departure from the expected values of frequencies of allele combinations (Figure 1). Strong drift might have even caused some combinations of alleles to be absent in the population.

In small populations, some generations with complete allelic association started to appear at asexual reproduction rates of 0.8 and were predominant at rates of 0.99 and higher. In larger populations, complete allelic association was only found in the fully asexual scenario. These

associations of alleles were observed between loci subject to selection and between neutral loci, which showed that linkage disequilibrium was not a product of selective processes (Figure 1a and Figure 1b).

Physical linkage between the *S*-locus and loci with deleterious alleles can decrease the number of *S*-alleles (Uyenoyama, 2003). It can also dramatically increase inbreeding depression in small populations (Glémin *et al.*, 2001). Therefore, for the present model, it was important to discern whether the linkage disequilibrium generated by asexual reproduction is sufficient for the selective forces of the *S*-locus to interact with the viability locus. The results are presented below taking this perspective into account.

Effect of asexuality and drift on diversity parameters: number of S-alleles and heterozygote

274 excess

The effective number of *S*-alleles decreased with asexuality (Figure 2) as shown analytically by Vallejo-Marín and Uyenoyama (2008). Balancing selection on the *S*-locus only occurred during sexual reproduction events. Since these events were scarce at high rates of asexual reproduction, the influence of balancing selection (which promotes high allelic diversity) on the population diminished. This can temporarily stop sexual reproduction by reducing the number of *S*-alleles to two (i.e. fixation of an *S*-locus genotype, Table 1).

number of S-alleles to two (i.e. fixation of an S-locus genotype, Table 1). No significant differences in the number of S-alleles were found among the three different selection regimes for the viability locus (frequency distributions of the number of S-alleles among different selection regimes were undistinguishable in a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P-value>0.98). It must be noted that small populations (with high linkage disequilibrium) were fixed for the wild type allele A most of the time (Table 2b) and this may explain the weak influence of deleterious alleles on the S-locus.

Another general effect of partial asexual reproduction in diploids was the reduction of allele segregation. This can increase heterozygosity by the independent accumulation of mutations on the two alleles of an asexual lineage (Pamilo, 1987; Birky, 1996). To quantify this effect, we measured the inbreeding coefficient F_{IS} , which compares observed and expected heterozygosities. Theoretical equilibrium values for F_{IS} at a neutral locus (unlinked to the S-locus) were very similar in self-compatible and self-incompatible populations (Figure 3), and, for large population sizes, the simulated populations followed the same pattern (Figure 3b). However, for small population sizes, drift generated strong linkage disequilibrium between the S-locus and other loci. Thus, balancing selection on the S-locus had a hitchhiking effect on other loci, increasing their heterozygosity. This explained the contrasting F_{IS} values on simulated selfcompatible and self-incompatible populations (Figure 3a). Analytical predictions do not reflect this dramatic difference because they do not account for linkage disequilibrium. F_{IS} decreased with asexuality and this decrease was enhanced by self-incompatibility in small populations, particularly noticeable at asexual reproduction rates higher than 0.8. This decrease in F_{IS} observed in neutral loci also occurred at the viability locus (both for the mildly deleterious and lethal recessive cases), which implied an increase in the frequency of the deleterious allele (Figure 4).

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

Effect of asexuality and drift on fitness

For both self-compatible and self-incompatible populations, mutation load globally increased with asexuality and population size, but a greater variance among generations and populations was observed for very small populations (Figure 5). As mentioned above, the frequency of the deleterious allele increased with asexuality in small self-incompatible populations, due to a hitchhiking effect of the *S*-locus over the viability locus. In the self-incompatibility system,

when the population size was small enough, the mutation load increased with asexual reproduction rates; this load resulted from the increase of the deleterious allele frequency (Figure 5a and 5c). Under similar conditions in the self-compatible system, mutation loads were lower than the mutation load observed in the self-incompatible system (Figure 5b and 5d). In contrast, inbreeding depression had similar values in self-incompatible and selfcompatible populations (Figure 6), showing some increases with asexual reproduction rate for lethal recessive mutations that was more apparent in large populations. The average fitness was higher in sexual populations than in asexual populations due to Mendelian segregation (e.g. Figure 5; Chasnov, 2000; Kirkpatrick and Jenkins, 1989). The relative fitness between individuals produced by sexual and asexual reproduction was influenced very little by partial asexuality in a self-compatible population (Figure 7b and 7d). However, in small self-incompatible populations, the asexual reproduction rate increased the advantage of asexual compared to sexual reproduction (Figure 7a and 7c). These populations consisted mainly of heterozygous individuals (Figure 3). Therefore, asexual reproduction produced mainly Aa individuals, with only slightly lower fitness than the fittest AA haplotype. However, sexual reproduction produced a high proportion of aa individuals, reducing the average fitness of offspring, a reduction that was not compensated by the production of the fittest AA individuals. This could potentially lead to a positive feedback effect on the asexual

332

333

334

335

336

331

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

Discussion

was a fixed parameter.

The number of S-alleles and inbreeding depression are considered to be the main factors that influence the maintenance or breakdown of self-incompatibility systems (Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1979). A decrease in the number of S-alleles is expected to favour the

reproduction rate, but this was not studied in our model where the asexual reproduction rate

breakdown of self-incompatibility since self-compatible mutants can invade the population, whereas the maintenance of inbreeding depression within populations prevents the breakdown of self-incompatibility. In the present study, we examined how drift and partial asexuality may modify the dynamics of these two key parameters in a self-incompatible population.

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

337

338

339

340

Drift, combined with partial asexuality, dramatically reduced the number of S-alleles As already predicted by Yokoyama and Hetherington (1982), we showed that the number of S-alleles decreases with the effective size of the population. Thus, in small populations, repeated bottlenecks or founder events may lead to the breakdown of the self-incompatibility system. However, Karron (1987) found no differences in mating systems between rare and widespread congeners across several families (but see also a study on some Brassicaceae species, Kunin and Shmida, 1997). As for the impact of asexuality, our simulation study confirmed a recent result demonstrated analytically by Vallejo-Marín and Uyenoyama (2008). The number of S-alleles decreased with asexuality due to the weakened influence of balancing selection in a partially asexual population (Figure 2). In contrast with other effects of asexuality that were only observed for very high rates of asexuality, intermediate asexual reproduction rates were sufficient to significantly reduce the number of S-alleles. High rates of asexual reproduction combined with drift produced extremely low numbers of S-alleles. This effect was strong enough to even reduce the number of S-alleles to two (i.e. fixation of an S-locus genotype, Table 1), which stopped all possibility of sexual reproduction until a new S-allele arose from mutation or migration. Therefore, in such extreme cases, this drastic effect of asexuality on the number of S-alleles should favour the breakdown of selfincompatibility. However, paradoxically, the number of S-alleles may not be very relevant in a system where asexuality serves to provide reproductive assurance.

362 Drift, combined with partial asexuality and self-incompatibility, led to an increase in 363 mutation load 364 Drift influenced the level of mutation load. As population size decreased, the frequency of the 365 deleterious allele decreased and mutation load decreased, due to more effective purging. We 366 also observed a much greater variance in mutation load in very small populations (Table 2 367 and Figure 5, N=25). In this case, selection was overwhelmed by drift and this caused a higher 368 probability of fixation of the deleterious allele, and an increase in mutation load. Similar 369 results have been described by Bataillon and Kirkpatrick (2000), Glémin (2003) and Haag and 370 Roze (2007). 371 Drift is also a key component of mutation load in asexual populations (Haag and Roze, 2007). 372 Indeed, large asexual and sexual populations showed comparable frequencies of the 373 deleterious allele and comparable mutation loads (Figure 5; see also Haag and Roze, 2007). 374 However, mutation load was greater in small asexual populations than in small sexual ones. 375 This could be explained by the absence of segregation in asexual populations, in which 376 heterozygous individuals are present in high frequencies (Table 2 and Figure 5; see also Haag 377 and Roze, 2007). 378 In this study, we additionally showed that self-incompatibility played a role in increasing 379 mutation load in partially asexual, self-incompatible populations. Partial asexual reproduction 380 and small population sizes produced strong associations (i.e. linkage disequilibrium) between 381 deleterious alleles (on any locus of the genome) and S-alleles. Glémin et al. (2001) have 382 already shown that deleterious mutations on loci linked to the S-locus may be sheltered by 383 balancing selection acting on rare S-alleles. Asexuality and self-incompatibility both favoured 384 the increase of the heterozygote genotype Aa within the population, leading to an increase of 385 mutation load in small self-incompatible, partially asexual populations.

Inbreeding depression remained low in small, partially asexual, self-incompatible populations Inbreeding depression followed different patterns than the ones observed for mutation load. For very small populations, inbreeding depression is expected to decrease (Bataillon and Kirkpatrick, 2000), due to the absence of polymorphism at the viability locus. This was observed in small self-compatible and self-incompatible populations (Glémin et al., 2001, and our own simulations, Figure 6). As for the impact of asexuality, our results showed no large differences between the self-compatible and the self-incompatible cases for small populations. The effect of strong drift, in the presence of strong asexuality, was to reduce genotype diversity. In most cases, populations were fixed at one allele, but sometimes the population was fixed at the heterozygote genotype Aa. This mainly occurred in self-incompatible populations (see Table 2). With no or very low genotype diversity, inbreeding depression had very low values, even if deleterious alleles were present at high frequencies in the population. Chen et al. (1997) proposed that asexuality would favour the maintenance of SI. Their reasoning was that asexual reproduction would increase a population's genetic load due to lethal recessive mutations, which was confirmed by our simulation results. However, mutation load and inbreeding depression did not show parallel trends, and the high level of mutation load due to the combination of drift, asexuality and SI was accompanied by low levels of inbreeding depression. Nevertheless, inbreeding depression generally tended to increase with asexuality due to the accumulation of deleterious alleles and this should favour

408

409

410

411

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

the maintenance of SI.

Evolution of partially asexual, self-incompatible populations: a third possible outcome

Until now, the evolutionary interest of partially asexual, self-incompatible populations has been focused on two possible outcomes: (1) the system is stable and SI is maintained or (2)

the system is unstable and SI disappears (Vallejo-Marín, 2007; Vallejo-Marín and O'Brien, 2007; Chen et al., 1997; Young et al., 2002). However, a third possible outcome should be considered: being the unstable system, sexual reproduction completely disappears. This type of event was observed in our simulations due to a reduction in the number of S-alleles to two (see above). However, we also studied how selection on the viability locus could contribute to the potential loss of sexuality. Our results showed that the fitness of individuals produced by as exual reproduction (W_a) was greater than that of individuals produced by random sexual mating (W_a) in small populations (Figure 7). Fitness of individuals (potentially) produced by selfing (W_s) was also lower than W_a in small self-incompatible populations, suggesting that asexuality may have an immediate advantage over selfing under such circumstances. Therefore, we speculate that asexual reproduction could take over sexual reproduction more easily than self-compatibility over self-incompatibility when low numbers of S-alleles reduce mate availability. Despite the effect of asexuality on inbreeding depression and S-locus diversity, asexual reproduction may offer an alternative means of reproduction under these adverse conditions and self-incompatibility may be temporarily dormant instead of breaking down. Asexual populations could subsequently recover a sexual self-incompatible reproductive system when new S-alleles are introduced in the population (for instance, by migration). However, prolonged periods of asexual reproduction may facilitate the accumulation of mutations affecting sexual traits, including self-incompatibility, since selective pressures are ineffective for these traits (Eckert, 2001). Thus, alternative periods of sexual and asexual reproduction may be necessary for such dynamics, which is probably the case for plants combining asexual and sexual reproduction (Bengtsson and Ceplitis, 2000). Nevertheless, the scenarios described here need to be confirmed by further and more complex simulations and other factors may favour self-compatible sexual reproduction, such as the more effective purging of deleterious mutations.

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

Perspectives

In this study, we used a model with physically unlinked loci and a single viability locus. However, because the linkage disequilibrium produced by asexuality affected the whole genome, population genomic models may be necessary to fully study the effect of deleterious alleles on the S-locus for small, partially asexual populations. In addition, physically linked loci with deleterious alleles (which were not studied here) may reduce the number of S-alleles (Uyenoyama, 2003) and the strength of that effect may be influenced by the rate of asexuality as discussed by Vallejo-Marín and Uyenoyama (2008). Moreover, in the present study, it was not possible to investigate the evolutionary dynamics of mating system and asexuality modifiers since these were assumed to be fixed parameters. It would be then interesting to develop a model to test the invasion propensity of self-compatible or asexual mutants. Finally, models incorporating migration or population structure are necessary to study more realistic dynamics of partially asexual, self-incompatible populations. Population structure is expected to affect the genetic diversity of viability and the S-locus, for instance by introducing a third allele via migration within subpopulations fixed at two S-alleles. These models should also take into account the specificities of the different types of asexual reproduction where, for instance, the asexual propagules may have a lower dispersal capacity (e.g. vegetative reproduction) and may create spatial clusters of individuals with the same genotype. Recent theoretical work (Vallejo-Marín and Uyenoyama, 2008) and our own model lead to several predictions about S-locus diversity, mutation load and inbreeding depression within partially asexual self-incompatible populations. However, we need more experimental observations to test and validate these theoretical advances. First, as outlined by Vallejo-Marín and O'Brien (2007), if asexuality relieves selective pressures favouring the breakdown of SI, the co-occurrence of asexuality and self-incompatibility should be frequent. Association of self-incompatibility and asexuality has been studied in only a few monospecific studies (Rutidosis leiolepis, Young et al., 2002; Eucalyptus morrisbyi, Jones et al., 2005; Prunus avium, Stoeckel et al., 2006). The association was found at a multispecific level by Chen et al. (1997) in Australian Droseraceae and by Vallejo-Marín and O'Brien (2007) in Solanaceae. More reports on species combining self-incompatibility and asexuality would enhance our understanding of pressures explaining their maintenance. Second, experimental measurements of asexual reproduction rates and number of S-alleles in species showing various levels of asexual reproduction would be useful to test predictions from our simulations. Although experimental measurements of inbreeding depression in self-incompatible species are available (Mena-Alí et al., 2008), studies comparing inbreeding depression from different populations with contrasting sizes and rates of asexual reproduction are lacking.

Conclusions

This study investigated for the first time the effect of partial asexual reproduction on the fitness of self-incompatible populations. For loci unlinked to the S-locus and large populations, fitness values were similar to those of a self-compatible population. However, in small populations, the combination of drift, asexuality and SI increased mutation load due to the accumulation of deleterious alleles. This increase in the mutation load was accompanied by a selective advantage of asexually produced offspring compared to sexually produced offspring. Therefore, future studies addressing the maintenance of SI in partially asexual populations (by studying the invasibility of self-compatible genotypes) will need to (1) account for whole genome fitness and (2) acknowledge that the process may not be independent of the maintenance of sex itself (i.e. will need to establish whether modifiers of asexual reproduction rates invade more easily than self-compatible alleles).

Acknowledgements

Collaboration between MN and SM was promoted through the implementation of the REPROFOR project, financed by the Spanish Ministry for Education and Science. We would like to thank Santiago C. González-Martínez and Ricardo Alía for helping to develop this collaboration. E. Porcher is acknowledged for helpful discussions on self-incompatibility evolution. We are also very thankful to P. Garnier-Géré for a detailed discussion on linkage disequilibrium estimators.

495 **References**

- 496 Balloux F, Lehmann L, de Meeûs T (2003). The population genetics of clonal and partially
- 497 clonal diploids. *Genetics* **164**: 1635-1644.
- 498 Barrett SCH (2002). The evolution of plant sexual diversity. *Nat Rev Genet* **3**: 274-284.
- 499 Bataillon T, Kirkpatrick M (2000). Inbreeding depression due to mildly deleterious mutations
- in finite populations: size does matter. *Genet Res* **75**: 75-81.
- Bengtsson BO, Ceplitis A (2000). The balance between sexual and asexual reproduction in
- plants living in variable environments. *J Evolution Biol* **13**: 415-422.
- 503 Birky CW (1996). Heterozygosity, Heteromorphy, and Phylogenetic Trees in Asexual
- 504 Eukaryotes. *Genetics* **144**, 427-437.
- Brennan AC, Harris SA, Hiscock SJ (2003). The population genetics of sporophytic self-
- incompatibility in Senecio squalidus L. (Asteraceae): avoidance of mating constraints
- imposed by low S-allele number. *Philos T R Soc B* **358**: 1047-1050.
- 508 Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B (1987). Inbreeding depression and its evolutionary
- 509 consequences. *Annu Rev EcolEvol S* **18**: 237-268.
- 510 Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B (1979). The evolution and breakdown of S-allele systems.
- 511 *Heredity* **43**: 41-55.
- 512 Chasnov JR (2000). Mutation-selection balance, dominance and the maintenance of sex.
- 513 *Genetics* **156**: 1419-1425.
- 514 Chen L, Stace HM, James SH (1997). Self-incompatibility, seed abortion and clonality in the
- breeding systems of several Western Australian Drosera species (Droseraceae). Aust J
- 516 *Bot* **45**: 191-201.
- 517 Eckert CG (2001). The loss of sex in clonal plants. Evol Ecol 15: 501-520.
- Fisher RA (1941). Average excess and average effect of a gene substitution. *Ann Eugen* 11:
- 519 53-63.

520 Garnier-Géré P, Dillmann C (1992). A computer program for testing pairwise linkage 521 disequilibria in subdivided populations. J Hered 83: 239. 522 Glémin S (2003). How are deleterious mutations purged? Drift versus nonrandom mating. 523 Evolution **57**: 2678—2687. 524 Glémin S, Bataillon T, Ronfort J, Mignot A, Olivieri I (2001). Inbreeding depression in small 525 populations of self-incompatible plants. *Genetics* **159**: 1217-1229. 526 Haag CR, Roze D (2007). Genetic load in sexual and asexual diploids: segregation, 527 dominance and genetic drift. Genetics 176: 1663-1678. Igic B, Lande R, Kohn JR (2008). Loss of self-incompatibility and its evolutionary 528 529 consequences. Int J Plant Sci 169: 93-104. 530 Jones RC, McKinnon GE, Potts BM, Vaillancourt RE (2005). Genetic diversity and mating 531 system of an endangered tree Eucalyptus morrisbyi. Aust J Bot 53: 367-377. 532 Karron JD (1987). A comparison of levels of genetic polymorphism and self-compatibility in 533 geographically restricted and widespread plant congeners. Evol Ecol 1: 47-58. 534 Kimura M, Crow JF (1964). The number of alleles that can be maintained in a finite 535 population. Genetics 49: 725-738. 536 Kirkpatrick M, Jenkins CD (1989). Genetic segregation and the maintenance of sexual 537 reproduction. *Nature*, **339**: 300-301. 538 Kunin WE, Shmida A (1997). Plant reproductive traits as a function of local, regional, and 539 global abundance. Conserv Biol 11: 183-192. 540 de Meeûs T, Balloux F (2004). Clonal reproduction and linkage disequilibrium in diploids: a 541 simulation study. Infect Genet Evol 4: 345—351.

de Meeûs T, Prugnolle F, Agnew P (2007). Asexual reproduction: genetics and evolutionary

aspects. Cell Mol Life Sci 64: 1355-1372.

542

544 Mena-Alí J, Keser L, Stephenson A (2008). Inbreeding depression in Solanum carolinense 545 (Solanaceae), a species with a plastic self-incompatibility response. BMC Evol Biol 8: 546 10. 547 Mena-Alí J, Keser L, Stephenson A (2009). The effect of sheltered load on reproduction in 548 Solanum carolinense, a species with variable self-incompatibility. Sex Plant Reprod 549 **22**: 63-71. 550 Muirhead CA, Lande R (1997). Inbreeding depression under joint selfing, outcrossing, and 551 asexuality. *Evolution* **51**: 1409-1415. 552 de Nettancourt D (1977). Incompatibility in Angiosperms, New York: Springer, Berlin 553 Heidelberg. 554 Pamilo P (1987). Heterozygosity in apomictic organisms. *Hereditas* **107**: 95-101. 555 Reinartz JA, Les DH (1994). Bottleneck-induced dissolution of self-incompatibility and 556 breeding system consequences in Aster furcatus (Asteraceae). Am J Bot 81: 446-455. 557 Stoeckel S, Grange J, Fernandez-Manjarres JF, Bilger I, Frascaria-Lacoste N, Mariette S 558 (2006). Heterozygote excess in a self-incompatible and partially clonal forest tree 559 species - Prunus avium L. Mol Ecol 15: 2109-2118. 560 Stone JL (2004). Sheltered load associated with S-alleles in Solanum carolinense. Heredity 561 **92**: 335-342. 562 Takahata N (1990). A simple genealogical structure of strongly balanced allelic lines and 563 trans-species evolution of polymorphism. PNAS 87: 2419-2423. 564 Uyenoyama MK (2003). Genealogy-dependent variation in viability among self-565 incompatibility genotypes. Theor Popul Biol 63: 281-293. 566 Vallejo-Marín M (2007). The paradox of clonality and the evolution of self-incompatibility. 567 Plant Signal Behav 2: 265-266.

568	Vallejo-Marín M, O'Brien HE (2007). Correlated evolution of self-incompatibility and clonal
569	reproduction in Solanum (Solanaceae). New Phytol 173: 415-421.
570	Vallejo-Marín M, Uyenoyama MK (2008). On the evolutionary modification of self-
571	incompatibility: implications of partial clonality for allelic diversity and genealogical
572	structure. In Self-Incompatibility in Flowering Plants. Evolution, Diversity, and
573	Mechanisms . pp. 53-71.
574	Yokoyama S, Hetherington LE (1982). The expected number of self-incompatibility alleles in
575	finite plant populations. <i>Heredity</i> 48 : 299-303.
576	Young AG, Hill JH, Murray BG, Peakall R (2002). Breeding system, genetic diversity and
577	clonal structure in the sub-alpine forb Rutidosis leiolepis F. Muell. (Asteraceae). Biol
578	Conserv 106 : 71-78.
579	

Figure legends

580

Figure 1 Linkage disequilibrium (R_{GDD} index, Garnier-Géré & Dillmann 1992) at increasing 581 582 rates of asexual reproduction. Box-plots represent median (black line), first and third quartiles 583 (box) and 5% and 95% percentiles (whiskers) of 1 000 observations from simulations 584 performed at seven rates of asexual reproduction (c=0, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99, 0.999 and 1) and 585 four population sizes (N=25, 50, 100 and 1000). (a) R_{GDD} index between S-locus and viability 586 locus (s=0.1, h=0.2); (b) R_{GDD} index between two neutral loci. 587 **Figure 2** Effective number of S-alleles at increasing rates of asexual reproduction. Box-plots 588 represent median (black line), first and third quartiles (grey box) and 5% and 95% percentiles 589 (whiskers) of 1 000 observations from the simulations performed at six rates of asexuality 590 (c=0, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99 and 1), population size N=25 (a) and N=100 (b), mutation rate $\mu_S=10^{-1}$ ⁵, s=0 and h=0 for the viability locus. Grey line represents the theoretical expected number of 591 592 common S-alleles obtained numerically from Equation 1 (Equation 3.7 in Vallejo-Marín & 593 Uyenoyama, 2008). 594 Figure 3 Inbreeding coefficient F_{IS} at a neutral locus at increasing rates of asexual 595 reproduction. Box-plots represent median (black line), first and third quartiles (white and grey 596 boxes, for self-compatible and self-incompatible populations respectively) and 5% and 95% 597 percentiles (whiskers) of 1 000 observations from the simulations performed at six rates of asexuality (c=0, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99 and 1), mutation rates $\mu_S = 10^{-5}$ and $\mu_N = 10^{-3}$, and population 598 599 size (a) N=25 and (b) N=100. Theoretical equilibrium values for F_{IS} at a neutral locus in a 600 self-compatible, random-mating population (dotted black line, from Equation 3, neglecting 601 mutation) and in a self-incompatible population (continuous grey line, from Equation 2) are 602 also represented. 603 Figure 4 Frequency of deleterious alleles at increasing rates of asexual reproduction under 604 two selection regimes. Box-plots represent median (black line), first and third quartiles (white 605 and grey boxes, for self-compatible and self-incompatible populations respectively) and 5% 606 and 95% percentiles (whiskers) of 1000 observations from the simulations performed at seven rates of asexuality (c=0, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99, 0.999 and 1), mutation rates μ_S =10⁻⁵ and μ_N =10⁻³. 607 Population size and viability locus coefficients: (a) N=25, s=0.1, h=0.2, (b) N=25, s=1, 608 609 h=0.02, (c) N=100, s=0.1, h=0.2, and (d) N=100, s=1, h=0.02. 610 Figure 5 Mutation load at increasing rates of asexual reproduction under two different 611 selection regimes according to reproductive system. Box-plots represent median (black line), 612 first and third quartiles (box) and 5% and 95% percentiles (whiskers) of 1 000 observations 613 from simulations performed at seven rates of asexual reproduction (c=0, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99, 614 0.999 and 1) and four population sizes (N=25, 50, 100 and 1000). (a) Self-incompatible 615 population with a viability locus with mildly deleterious allele (s=0.1, h=0.2), (b) self-616 compatible population with a viability locus with mildly deleterious allele (s=0.1, h=0.2), (c) 617 self-incompatible population with a viability locus with highly recessive lethal allele (s=1, 618 h=0.02), and (d) self-compatible population with a viability locus with highly recessive lethal 619 allele (s=1, h=0.02). 620 Figure 6 Inbreeding depression at increasing rates of asexual reproduction and under two 621 different selection regimes according to reproductive system. Box-plots represent median 622 (black line), first and third quartiles (box) and 5% and 95% percentiles (whiskers) of 1000 623 observations from simulations performed at seven rates of asexual reproduction (c=0, 0.5, 0.8, 624 0.9, 0.99, 0.999 and 1) and four population sizes (N=25, 50, 100 and 1000). (a) Self-625 incompatible population with a viability locus with mildly deleterious allele (s=0.1, h=0.2), 626 (b) self-compatible population with a viability locus with mildly deleterious allele (s=0.1, 627 h=0.2), (c) self-incompatible population with a viability locus with highly recessive lethal 628 allele (s=1, h=0.02), and (d) self-compatible population with a viability locus with highly 629 recessive lethal allele (s=1, h=0.02).

Figure 7 Fitness ratio between sexually and asexually produced individuals at increasing rates of asexual reproduction under two different selection regimes according to reproductive system. Box-plots represent median (black line), first and third quartiles (box) and 5% and 95% percentiles (whiskers) of 1 000 observations from simulations performed at seven rates of asexual reproduction (c=0, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99, 0.999 and 1) and four population sizes (N=25, 50, 100 and 1000). (a) Self-incompatible population with a viability locus with mildly deleterious allele (s=0.1, h=0.2), (b) self-compatible population with a viability locus with mildly deleterious allele (s=0.1, h=0.2), (c) self-incompatible population with a viability locus with highly recessive lethal allele (s=1, h=0.02).

Table 1 Genotype fixation probability at the S-locus under two different selection regimes at 642 the viability locus

641

643

644

645

646

647

648

Population	Selection regime	Rate of asexual reproduction						
size		c=0	c=0.5	c=0.8	c=0.9	c=0.99	c=0.999	c=1
N=25	Mildly deleterious ¹	0.000	0.000	0.036	0.325	0.784	0.807	0.997
<i>N</i> =25	Lethal recessive ²	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.315	0.790	0.805	0.994
<i>N</i> =50	Mildly deleterious	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.072	0.117	0.990
<i>N</i> =50	Lethal recessive	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.075	0.090	0.989
<i>N</i> =100	Mildly deleterious	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.983

The probability of genotype fixation at the S-locus (only two alleles in the population) was estimated as the proportion of observations in which this event was recorded. Results in this table are for populations with a mutation rate of μ_S =10⁻⁵ at the *S*-locus.

¹ Viability locus selection and dominance coefficients: s=0.1 and h=0.2

² Viability locus selection and dominance coefficients: s=1 and h=0.02

Table 2 Fixation probabilities at the viability locus in a self-incompatible population under two different selection regimes.

Population	Selection regime	Rate of asexual reproduction						
size		c=0	c=0.5	c=0.8	c=0.9	c=0.99	c=0.999	c=1
(a) Fixation	of deleterious allele a							
N=25	Mildly deleterious ¹	0.066	0.049	0.056	0.050	0.085	0.081	0.067
<i>N</i> =50	Mildly deleterious	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001
<i>N</i> =100	Mildly deleterious	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
(b) Fixation of allele A								
N=25	Mildly deleterious	0.670	0.714	0.663	0.519	0.264	0.279	0.082
<i>N</i> =25	Lethal recessive ²	0.831	0.783	0.767	0.581	0.293	0.317	0.085
<i>N</i> =50	Mildly deleterious	0.583	0.541	0.574	0.507	0.474	0.466	0.139
<i>N</i> =50	Lethal recessive	0.661	0.635	0.596	0.564	0.518	0.493	0.150
<i>N</i> =100	Mildly deleterious	0.314	0.306	0.320	0.294	0.274	0.290	0.187
<i>N</i> =100	Lethal recessive	0.437	0.361	0.318	0.313	0.269	0.296	0.189
(c) Fixation of genotype Aa								
N=25	Mildly deleterious	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.184	0.472	0.467	0.723
<i>N</i> =25	Lethal recessive	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.212	0.551	0.569	0.879
<i>N</i> =50	Mildly deleterious	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.026	0.050	0.585
<i>N</i> =50	Lethal recessive	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.032	0.041	0.721
<i>N</i> =100	Mildly deleterious	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.230
<i>N</i> =100	Lethal recessive	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.317

The probability of fixation at the viability locus (fixation of genotypes, AA, aa or Aa) was estimated as the proportion of observations in which fixation was recorded.

¹ Viability locus selection and dominance coefficients: s=0.1 and h=0.2

² Viability locus selection and dominance coefficients: s=1 and h=0.02













