

# **Effect of picosecond strain pulses on thin layers of the ferromagnetic semiconductor (Ga,Mn)(As,P)**

L. Thevenard, E. Peronne, C. Gourdon, C. Testelin, M. Cubukcu, E. Charron, S. Vincent, A. Lemaître, B. Perrin

### **To cite this version:**

L. Thevenard, E. Peronne, C. Gourdon, C. Testelin, M. Cubukcu, et al.. Effect of picosecond strain pulses on thin layers of the ferromagnetic semiconductor (Ga,Mn)(As,P). Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics (1998-2015), 2010, 82 (10), 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.104422. hal-00504780

## **HAL Id: hal-00504780 <https://hal.science/hal-00504780>**

Submitted on 21 Jul 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Effect of picosecond strain pulses on thin layers of the ferromagnetic semiconductor  $(Ga, Mn)(As, P)$

L. Thevenard<sup>1</sup>, E. Peronne<sup>1</sup>, C. Gourdon<sup>1</sup>, C. Testelin<sup>1</sup>, M.

Cubukcu<sup>1</sup>, E. Charron<sup>1</sup>, S. Vincent<sup>1</sup>, A. Lemaître<sup>2</sup>, and B. Perrin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut des Nanosciences de Paris (CNRS), Université Pierre et Marie Curie,

UMR7588, 140 rue de Lourmel, Paris, F-75015 France

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Photonique et Nanostructures, CNRS,

UPR 20, Route de Nozay, Marcoussis, F-91460 France

(Dated: July 21, 2010)

The effect of picosecond acoustic strain pulses (ps-ASP) on a thin layer of (Ga,Mn)As co-doped with phosphorus was probed using magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE). A transient MOKE signal followed by low amplitude oscillations was evidenced, with a strong dependence on applied magnetic field, temperature and ps-ASP amplitude. Careful interferometric measurement of the layer's thickness variation induced by the ps-ASP allowed us to model very accurately the resulting signal, and interpret it as the strain modulated reflectivity (differing for  $\sigma_{\pm}$  probe polarizations), independently from dynamic magnetization effects.

PACS numbers: 75.50.Pp,62.65.+k,78.47.D-,78.20.Ls

#### I. INTRODUCTION

After a decade of research on the dilute magnetic semiconductor (DMS) GaMnAs leading to record Curie temperatures  $(T_C)$  of about 200 K<sup>1</sup>, one of the current challenges is to manipulate the magnetization on very short time-scales, as is routinely done in ferromagnetic metals $^{2,3}$  in view of ultra-fast switching in magnetic storage devices. A number of groups have used rapid thermal or carrier concentration modulation with ultra-fast light pulses to that end $4-6$ . In this work, we investigate the possibility of rapid modulation of the magnetism of GaMnAs using picosecond acoustic strain pulses (ps-ASP). The specific coupling between localized Manganese spins and delocalized carriers leads to an acute dependence of the magnetic anisotropy energy and  $T_C$  on the geometry and filling of the valence band, mainly governed by temperature, carrier and Mn concentrations, or strain<sup> $7,8$ </sup>. The magnetic anisotropy of GaMnAs has thus already been tuned quasi-statically through strain by growth engineering<sup>9</sup> or by fitting strain actuators onto the layer<sup>10,11</sup>.

For ultra-fast strain modifications however, acoustic waves are a more relevant tool, piezo-electric transducers being limited to frequencies of about 1 GHz. Predicted half a century ago by Kittel<sup>12</sup>, extensive work in ferromagnetic metals $^{13,14}$  has evidenced the interactions between lattice vibrations and spin-waves, with the emitted hypersonic power reaching a maximum at the ferromagnetic resonance field for which the magnetization precesses uniformly  $(\omega_{phonon}=\omega_{precession}).$ In DMS, propagation of acoustic waves has been reported15,16, and only one very recent study is proposing magnetization-dependent effects under acoustic strain pulse excitation<sup>17</sup>. Fundamental questions linked to the carrier-mediated nature of the ferromagnetism in these materials thus remain open, such as the mechanism, dynamics and efficiency of the coupling of lattice vibrations to spin waves via carriers.

In this work, we report the effect of a ps-ASP on GaMnAs codoped with phosphorus. Strain and magnetization were studied thanks to the coupling of a Sagnac interferometer to a time resolved magneto-optical polar Kerr effect (TR-MOKE) set-up. Careful ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) characterization allowed us to rule out the observed frequencies as Mn spin precession. The detected TR-MOKE signal was simulated using a multi-layer thin film reflectivity model which showed that the field, temperature and magnetization dependence of the data could be fully explained by the measured ps-ASP shape and the Zeeman splitting dependence of the optical index.

#### II. SAMPLE AND METHODS

GaMnAs was alloyed with phosphorus, adjusting its concentration to obtain both a weak magnetic anisotropy<sup>18,19</sup> and a weak strain. A  $d=50$ nm layer of  $(Ga_{0.93}Mn_{0.07})(As_{0.93}P_{0.07})$  was grown on a doublepolished GaAs substrate, the dopant concentrations being determined as in Ref.18. The layer was annealed for 1h at 250◦C. The perpendicular lattice parameter  $a_{\perp}$  was determined by high-resolution X-Ray diffraction, evidencing a weakly tensile layer with a strained lattice mismatch -2660 ppm, giving  $\epsilon_{zz} = (a_{\perp}$  $a_{relaxed}/a_{relaxed} = -0.127\%.$  Finally, 30 nm of Aluminium were deposited on the substrate side to be used as acoustic transducer, and on half of the magnetic layer to be used as a a mirror for surface displacement measurements. Superconducting Quantum Interference Device measurements yielded  $T_C$ =116 K, and an effective Mn concentration of  $x_{Mn}^{eff} = 5\%$ . The magnetic anisotropy constants obtained by FMR evidenced a very weak inplane easy axis. At 40 K, the uniaxial anisotropy field amounted to  $B_{2\perp}^0 = 2K_{2\perp}/M = 521$  G, while the rest of the anisotropy coefficients were  $B_{2//}$ =154 G,  $B_{4\perp}$ =277 G



FIG. 1. (a) Schematics of the set-up with the probe beam on Al for the acoustics characterization, or directly on GaMnAsP for MOKE measurements. Definitions of the reflection, and transmission coefficients. (b) Interferometry characterization of the acoustic strain pulse (T=58 K,  $\lambda_{probe}$ =780 nm, I=280  $\mu$ Jcm<sup>-2</sup>): surface displacement Im( $\Delta r/r$ ) and corresponding strain incident on the GaMnAsP layer. Inset: strain spectrum.

and  $B_{4}/\sqrt{=}128$  G. Adjusting the phosphorus content for a weak strain yielded a soft magnetic layer where magnetization can be more easily manipulated.

The sample was placed in a variable temperature He cryostat. The average temperature increase due to the average pump fluence was measured on a Al/GaAs test sample and found to be of  $\Delta T=17$  K at  $T_0=10$  K, and  $\Delta T=7$  K at  $T_0=81$  K, for  $I=370 \ \mu \text{Jcm}^{-2}$ . Working at high temperatures (50-80 K) therefore limited the temperature increase with fluence. In this paper, only effective sample temperatures are given.

#### III. ACOUSTIC RESULTS

The ps-ASP are generated using a standard picosecond acoustic scheme based on ultrafast transduction in a metallic thin  $film^{20}$ . A first Ti:Sapphire laser delivering 130 fs pulses at  $f_{pump} = 80 \text{ MHz}$  (780 nm) generates longitudinal strain wave packets through the back-side transducer. A second Ti:Sa laser delivers 80 fs pulses at  $f_{probe} = f_{pump} + \Delta f$ . They were used to detect the acoustic strain pulse after propagation through the substrate or the magnetization change induced by the strain pulse on the front-side GaMnAsP layer (Fig. 1a). This asynchronous optical sampling (ASOPS) scheme based on the so-called stroboscopic effect has recently been implemented in a picosecond acoustic experiment<sup>21</sup>. This alternative technique is much less sensitive to the mechanical issues encountered in traditional delay line setups, and it allows shorter acquisition times and independent tuning of pump/probe wavelengths. The pumpprobe delay range is given by  $1/f_{pump}$  and the step size by  $\Delta f/[f_{pump}(f_{pump} + \Delta f)]$ . The time resolution, which is mainly limited by the jitter of the lasers, was estimated around 0.5 to 2 ps for a 0-3 ns time window (i.e. experimental bandwidth of about 250 GHz). The main features and details of this experimental set-up will be published elsewhere.

Fig. 1b (black line) displays the phase change of the reflectivity  $\Delta r/r$  measured by a Sagnac interferometer on the Al deposited part of the sample. The probe beam was linearly polarized, with a fluence of 10  $\mu$ Jcm<sup>-2</sup> and focused to a spot size comparable to the pump spot size. Previous work<sup>20</sup> has shown that the phase change is mainly due to surface displacement. Therefore the incoming strain can be retrieved by taking into account acoustic reflection on the Al layer. The calculated strain pulse stressing the GaMnAsP layers is shown in Fig. 1b (gray line) and displays the typical features observed in non-linear ps acoustics: two acoustic solitons in front and an oscillating tail. The pump spot size allowed us to neglect the diffraction effect during the propagation. By fitting the incoming ps-ASP with a non-linear propagation equation<sup>20</sup>, the soliton amplitude is found to be of the order of 8.4.10<sup>-4</sup> for pump fluence  $I=280 \mu \text{Jcm}^{-2}$  at 58 K. The maximum accessible strain is therefore slightly lower than the static piezo-induced uniaxial strain of Bihler et al.<sup>10</sup>, but occurs on a much shorter timescale of about 2-10 ps. The strain spectrum is shown as an inset of Fig. 1b. Its shape is typically broad (over 300 GHz) with some modulation due to non-linear effects. The higher frequencies are cut off mainly by the time jitter and pump-probe spatial averaging. Note that the most intense part of the spectrum is located around 20 GHz, reasonably close to the fundamental and excited frequencies of most magnetic systems. Frequencies below 50 GHz are barely affected by sound absorption through  $360 \mu m$  thick GaAs up to 100 K. Moreover we checked that the ps-ASP shape and amplitude remain unchanged under a perpendicularto-plane magnetic field of  $B=\mu_0H=\pm 50$  G. Hence the acoustic pulse shown in Fig. 1b can safely be used to understand the results obtained for various magnetic fields and sample temperatures and discussed in the following.

#### IV. TR-MOKE RESULTS

The TR-MOKE signal was recorded on the Al-free part of the GaMnAsP layer. The rotation of the linear probe polarization was detected after reflection by a balanced optical bridge, after passing through a Glan laser polarizer. The reflectivity seen by each diode is of the type shown in Fig. 2a, and corresponds to interferences between the probe beam and the one reflected off the ps-ASP within the GaMnAsP layer, giving  $\approx 45$  GHz Brillouin oscillations. The resulting Kerr signal is computed from the difference of the diode signals, with the Kerr rotation angle  $\Theta_K$  proportional to the perpendicular component of the magnetization  $M_{\perp}$ . Under no applied field, the TR-MOKE signal was constant save for small amplitude oscillations, whereas when the field was switched on, a transient signal appeared (Fig. 2b). Its shape changed sign upon reversal of the field, with a slightly smaller



FIG. 2. (a) Schematics of the optical bridge placed on the path of the reflected beam, and reflectivity seen by one of the diodes (B=63 G, I=280  $\mu$ Jcm<sup>-2</sup>,  $\lambda_{probe}$ =780 nm,  $T=80$  K). (b) Transient Kerr signal under opposite applied fields  $(I=280 \mu \text{Jcm}^{-2}, \lambda_{probe}=705 \text{ nm}, T=58 \text{ K}).$  Curves were spaced equally for clarity, and  $t=0$  set arbitrarily.



FIG. 3. (color on-line) (a) Transient MOKE signal with varying  $B_$ . (T=58 K, I=280 μJcm<sup>-2</sup>, λ=705 nm). Curves were spaced equally for clarity, and  $t=0$  set arbitrarily. (b) Transient and static MOKE versus applied field.

amplitude and a 5 ps phase shift. For  $I=280 \ \mu J \text{cm}^{-2}$ , its amplitude increased steadily with applied field, and saturated at  $B_{sat}=63$  G (resp. 37 G) for T=58 K (resp.  $T=83$  K) as shown in Fig. 3. These correspond well to the saturation fields determined from  $\Theta_K(B)$  curves obtained from the static Kerr baseline value: 53 G for  $T=58$  K and 37 G for  $T=83$  K (Fig. 3b). At  $T=130$  K, the  $B=0$ , and  $B=\pm 63$  G traces were identical, indicating the disappearance of this phenomenon above the Curie temperature. Finally, no transient Kerr signal could be observed at all when an in-plane field of up to 420 G was applied along the [110] axis of the layer.



FIG. 4. (color on-line) Varying pump fluences,  $I=60$ -280  $\mu$ Jcm<sup>-2</sup>. T=54±4 K,  $\lambda_{probe}$ =705 nm, t=0 set arbitrarily. (a) Transient MOKE signal at  $B=63$  G. Inset: peak amplitude versus fluence. (b) GaMnAsP layer thickness variation estimated from the Sagnac interferometry data.

The perpendicular magnetic field was then set to a fixed value of  $B=63$  G, and the pump fluence varied between  $I=26$ -280  $\mu$ Jcm<sup>-2</sup> to progressively increase the amplitude of the ps-ASP. The main transient Kerr signal then broadens, arriving earlier with increasing pump fluence (Fig. 4a), with frequencies around 20-30 GHz. Small amplitude 10 GHz oscillations appear after the main peak. Note that the 2 GHz oscillations observed in the reflectivity at T=80 K (Fig. 2a),  $\lambda$ =780 nm were not reproduced regularly, or observed in the Kerr data at  $T=50$  K or 80 K,  $\lambda=705$  nm. The peak amplitude increases monotonously with pump fluence (Fig. 4a, inset). For comparison, we plot the thickness variation of the GaMnAsP layer at varying fluences, obtained by integrating the strain over its thickness (Fig. 4b). The MOKE and thickness variation traces clearly present very similar features at all fluences. A 10 GHz component also appeared in the interferometry data at  $\lambda_{probe}$ =705 nm. Its origin is to this day unclear, but was found non-magnetic since it has also been observed on non-intentionally doped GaAs substrates $^{22}$ .

#### V. DISCUSSION

The possible origins of the transient MOKE signal will now be discussed. MOKE corresponds to a phase difference between reflectivities of  $\sigma^+$  and  $\sigma^-$  circular polarizations off a magnetic material. For small rotation angles and weak absorption, the Kerr rotation angle can be expressed as  $\Theta_K \approx \frac{\kappa_+ - \kappa_-}{\tilde{n}^2 - 1}$  $\frac{n^2-1}{n^2-1}$  with the optical index  $n_{\pm} = \tilde{n}_{\pm} + i\kappa_{\pm}$ ,  $\tilde{n}^2 \approx \tilde{n}_{+}\tilde{n}_{-}$ , and  $\Theta_K \propto M_{\perp}$ . A first interpretation is to assume the strain modification induced by the ps-ASP strong enough to alter the uniaxial magnetic anisotropy field  $B_{2\perp}$  of the layer, and trigger a magnetization precession. It has been shown that the biaxial strain has very little influence on the other magnetic anisotropy fields<sup>19</sup>. Given the typical strain modifications determined above, variations of up to  $\Delta B_{2\perp} \approx 250$  G (half the initial anisotropy) can be expected from both a phenomenological  $B_{2\perp}(\epsilon_{zz})$ relationship<sup>23</sup> or magneto-strictive coefficients<sup>11</sup>. The Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation can then be solved numerically with  $B_{\perp}$  <  $B_{sat}$ , the anisotropy fields at T=40 K determined from FMR and  $B_{2\perp}(t) = B_{2\perp}^0$  +  $\Delta B_{2\perp}(t)$ , the latter having the same profile as the propagating strain pulse. The magnetization is predicted to start to precessing uniformly at  $t=0$  around  $\vec{M}_0$  at the zero-momentum magnon frequency  $f_R=213$  MHz with  $\Delta M_{\perp}/M_{\perp}$ =5.10<sup>-4</sup>, slightly above our experimental sensitivity. This frequency is very low due to the weak anisotropy and high temperature; it has not come out in our data. The observed frequencies did not disappear at saturation, and therefore do not correspond to higher-order spin-wave modes. Various inhomogeneities may have prevented us from observing precession. A multi-domain configuration was excluded by cooling the sample down under a 100 G in-plane magnetic field. Low frequency noise may have prevented us from seeing the low frequency oscillations. Finally, the reduced power of the low frequency  $(< 1$  GHz) part of the strain spectrum may have lead to an inefficient excitation of the manganese spins.

As in metals $^{24}$ , transient Kerr rotation can also be obtained at constant magnetization  $\vec{M}$  from spurious magneto-optical effects. We have thus excluded Faraday rotation (circular birefringence) in the substrate, unlikely under the small applied fields, and magnetic linear dichroism<sup>25</sup>, excluded by rotating the impinging linear polarization of the probe along the layer's high symmetry axes. Finally, the generation of hot photo-carriers in the GaMnAsP layer is prevented by pumping in the highly absorbing Al back-layer, and the linear polarization of the probe beam insures no angular momentum is being injected. The main effect of the pump is to modify  $a<sub>⊥</sub>$ .

A thin film multi-layer reflectivity model was then implemented using the transfer matrix method<sup>26</sup>, in order to introduce the presence of the GaMnAsP/GaAs interface, the reflectivity difference for  $\sigma_{\pm}$  polarizations, as well as the finite width of the ps-ASP with respect to the layer thickness. The reflectivities for  $\sigma_{\pm}$  polarizations are given by  $r_{\pm} = r_{0\pm} + \Delta r_{\pm}$ , with  $\Delta r_{\pm}$  the sum of 4 terms as follows:

$$
\Delta r_{\pm} = S_{\pm} + A_{\pm} + B_{\pm} + C_{\pm} \tag{1}
$$

$$
S_{\pm} = ik2u(0, t)r_{0\pm},\tag{2}
$$

$$
A_{\pm} = ik(2n_{\pm}^2 + 2n_{\pm}\frac{\partial n_{\pm}}{\partial \eta})a_{\pm}b_{\pm}\int_0^d \eta(z,t)dz,
$$
 (3)

$$
B_{\pm} = \tag{4}
$$

$$
ik2n_{\pm} \frac{\partial n_{\pm}}{\partial \eta} \int_0^d (a_{\pm}^2 e^{2ikzn_{\pm}} + b_{\pm}^2 e^{-2ikzn_{\pm}}) \eta(z, t) dz,
$$
  

$$
C_{\pm} = ik2n_0 \frac{\partial n_0}{\partial \eta} \int_d^{\infty} a_{s \pm}^2 e^{2ik(z-d)n_0} \eta(z-d, t) dz
$$
(5)

Where the coefficients are given by:

$$
a_{\pm} = \frac{t_{01\pm}e^{-i\alpha_{\pm}d}}{e^{-i\alpha_{\pm}d} + r_{01\pm}r_{12\pm}e^{i\alpha_{\pm}d}},
$$

$$
b_{\pm} = \frac{r_{12\pm}t_{01\pm}e^{i\alpha_{\pm}d}}{r_{01\pm}e^{-i\alpha_{\pm}d} + r_{12\pm}e^{i\alpha_{\pm}d}},
$$

$$
a_{S\pm} = \frac{t_{01\pm}t_{12\pm}}{e^{-i\alpha_{\pm}d} + r_{01\pm}r_{12\pm}e^{i\alpha_{\pm}d}},
$$

$$
r_{0\pm} = r_{01\pm} + t_{01\pm}^2r_{12\pm}e^{2i\alpha_{\pm}d}
$$

The transmission and reflection coefficients at the air/GaMnAsP interface are  $t_{01\pm}$ ,  $r_{01\pm}$ , and  $t_{12\pm}$ ,  $r_{12\pm}$ at the GaMnAsP/GaAs interface (Fig. 1a). The optical index in GaAs is  $n_0$ , and  $n_+$  within the GaMnAsP layer, with mean values obtained by room-temperature ellipsometry measurements. The absorption of both layers was defined by the imaginary part of the index:  $\alpha_{\pm} = 2k_0\kappa_{\pm}$  and  $\alpha_0$ . The beam was taken normally incident to the layer with  $k$  the vacuum wave-vector. The propagating strain shape  $\eta(z, t)$  was calculated as explained above from the surface displacement data  $u(0, t)$ over a 400 ps window, with  $t=0$  taken approximately as the arrival time of the strain wave upon the surface  $(I=280 \ \mu \text{Jcm}^{-2}$ ,  $\lambda=705 \ \text{nm}$ ,  $T=58 \ \text{K}$ ,  $B=63 \ \text{G}$ ). The photo-elastic constants of GaMnAsP and GaAs were taken equal throughout the layer, with  $\frac{\partial n_+}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial n_-}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial n_0}{\partial \eta}$ .

Term  $S_{\pm}$  is the usual reflectivity change associated with the displacement of the surface<sup>26</sup>. Term  $A_{\pm}$  is proportional to the thickness variation of the layer. Term  $B_{+}$ (resp.  $C_{\pm}$ ) corresponds to the afore-described Brillouin oscillations within the GaMnAsP (resp. GaAs layer). The observed signal is then computed as :

$$
\Delta I = |(1 - \epsilon)(r_{+} + r_{-}) - i(1 + \epsilon)(r_{+} - r_{-})|^{2}
$$

$$
- |(1 + \epsilon)(r_{+} + r_{-}) + i(1 - \epsilon)(r_{+} - r_{-})|^{2}
$$

A possible unbalance  $\epsilon$  of the optical bridge is included, taking  $\epsilon = 0$  when both diodes see the exact same signal in the absence of Kerr effect  $(T > T_C, M_\perp=0 \text{ or } M$  in the plane of the sample). The actual Kerr angle can then be estimated by  $\Theta_K \approx \frac{\Delta I}{4I}$ .

In Fig. 2a, the  $B_{\perp}=0$  curve shows oscillations of small amplitude ( $\approx$ 1  $\mu$ rad), although the magnetization is expected to be in plane. Taking  $n_{+}=n_{-}$  in the expression of  $\Delta I$ , a bridge unbalance of merely  $\epsilon = 2\%$  is enough to simulate oscillations of this amplitude. They correspond to a slight phase difference between reflectivities on diodes 1 and 2 (see Fig. 2a). Once this value of  $\epsilon$  determined, the difference  $\Delta n=n_{+}-n_{-}$  was adjusted to yield the experimentally observed static Kerr angle of ≈1 mrad and resulted in  $\Delta n=0.05$ -0.0126i ( $\Delta \kappa$  estimated beforehand from independent magnetic circular dichroism measurements). Finally, the terms in the signal  $I$  corresponding to expressions  $(3)$ ,  $(4)$  and  $(5)$  were normalized to ease comparison and plotted separately as terms (A), (B) and (C) in Fig. 5a,b,c. Term (S) is negligeable since the corresponding measured signal will be the product of the squared surface displacement by the static Kerr angle. Term (A) follows exactly the thickness variation profile, with a weak relative amplitude reflecting the small optical index mismatch between the thin layer and its substrate. Term (C) has the typical shape of Brillouin oscillations, where the ps-ASP is seen to come towards the surface, and then away, quite like the single-diode reflectivity data shown in Fig. 2a. The larger term is (B), with less than 1% of the signal coming from the  $b_{\pm}^2$  return term from the GaMnAsP/GaAs interface. The total simulated TR-MOKE signal is then plotted against the experimental data in Fig. 5d.

The simulation is very reminiscent of the data, with a broad transient MOKE peak preceded by small amplitude ≈45 GHz Brillouin oscillations. The MOKE features following this large peak follow closely that of the simulated trace, relying only on reflectivity calculations, independently of any precessional phenomenon. The model evidences that the signal comes mainly from the modulation of the reflectivity by the strain pulse, which affects slightly differently  $\sigma_+$  and  $\sigma_-$  probe polarizations, and is therefore picked up by the optical bridge. The simulated MOKE amplitude increases with the amplitude of  $\eta(z,t)$  used in the model, experimentally dependent on the pump power. This explains the monotonous increase of the peak amplitude found with pump fluence (Fig. 4a). The amplitude of the simulated MOKE peak also varies with the injected value of  $\Delta n$ , which can be varied by the sample temperature, or the applied magnetic field to modify the Zeeman splitting. This agrees well with the saturation of the transient Kerr signal amplitude at  $B_{sat}$  (Fig. 3b) for which the Zeeman splitting saturates. The modification of the transient TR-



FIG. 5. (color on-line) a), (b), (c) Normalized simulated MOKE signal using a multi-layer reflectivity model. See within text for description of the different terms. (d) Comparison of the full simulated MOKE signal with the experimental data (I=280  $\mu$ Jcm<sup>-2</sup>, T=58 K,  $\lambda_{probe}$ =705 nm, B=-63 G).

MOKE signal upon magnetic field reversal (Fig. 2b) can also be well explained qualitatively by considering the different  $\sigma^{\pm}$  contributions of the light and heavy hole bands to the absorption (and therefore to  $\kappa_{+}$ ). When the field is reversed, so are the positions of the Zeeman-split light/heavy hole bands, but the strain-induced splitting remains of the same sign, yielding a slightly asymmetrical behavior of  $\kappa_+ - \kappa_-$  (and therefore  $\Theta_K$ ) with field, which we observed experimentally (Fig. 2b). This is particularly true as temperature is increased, and the Zeeman splitting decreases.

Lastly, the carrier magnetization (a mere 5-10% of the total magnetization<sup>7</sup>) can also be modified through an ultra-fast valence band modulation upon arrival of the ps-ASP upon the layer. This is expected to give a second order effect on the optical index, through a  $\sigma^{\pm}$  dependance of the photo-elastic constant in GaMnAsP. A numerical estimation of  $\partial n_{+}/\partial \eta$  is however beyond the scope of this paper.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

To summarize, the magnetization of a GaMnAsP layer was probed following excitation by a picosecond acoustic strain pulse. Contrary to the more standard ultra-fast pump-probe experiments on similar systems, the backside pump did not create any carriers, allowing us to study the sole effect of the strain modulation. Thanks to an independent determination of the anisotropy coefficients, and therefore of the expected precession frequency, we can assert that the different frequencies appearing in the data did not correspond to uniform precession induced by inverse magneto-striction. A multi-layer reflectivity model showed that the MOKE signal could in fact be explained by the the strain pulse's modulation of the reflectivity, and it could be reproduced very well from the time evolution of the strain pulse; with the amplitude reflecting the strength of the Zeeman splitting and of the ps-ASP amplitude. This work highlights the necessity of both precise magnetic characterization and excellent knowledge of the shape of the picosecond strain

- $1$  V. Novák, K. Olejník, J. Wunderlich, M. Cukr, K. VÝBORNÝ, A. RUSHFORTH, K. EDMONDS, R. CAMpion, B. Gallagher, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008).
- <sup>2</sup> H. SCHUMACHER, C. CHAPPERT, P. CROZAT, R. SOUSA, P. FREITAS, J. MILTAT, J. FASSBENDER, and B. HILLEbrands, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 017201 (2003).
- <sup>3</sup> P. E. Hohage, J. Nannen, S. Halm, G. Bacher, M. Wahle, S. F. Fischer, U. Kunze, D. Reuter, and A. D. Wieck, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 241920 (2008).
- $^4$  A. V. Kimel, G. V. Astakhov, G. M. Schott, A. Kirilyuk, D. R. Yakovlev, G. Karczewski, W. Ossau, G. SCHMIDT, L. W. MOLENKAMP, and T. RASING, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 237203 (2004).
- $5$  E. ROZKOTOVÁ, P. NĚMEC, P. HORODYSKÁ, D. SPRINZL, F. Trojánek, P. Malý, V. Novák, K. Olejník, M. CUKR, and T. JUNGWIRTH, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 122507 (2008).
- 6 J. Wang, I. Cotoros, K. Dani, X. Liu, J. Furdyna, and D. Chemla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 1 (2007).
- $7$  T. DIETL, H. OHNO, and F. MATSUKURA, Phys. Rev. B 63, 195205 (2001).<br>8 L. THEVENARD,
- THEVENARD, L. LARGEAU, O. MAUGUIN, A. LEMAÎTRE, K. KHAZEN, and H. VON BARDELEBEN, Phys. Rev. B 75, 1 (2007).
- <sup>9</sup> L. Thevenard, L. Largeau, O. Mauguin, G. Patri-ARCHE, A. LEMAÎTRE, N. VERNIER, and J. FERRÉ, Phys. Rev. B 73, 195331 (2006).
- <sup>10</sup> C. BIHLER, M. ALTHAMMER, A. BRANDLMAIER, S. GEPRÄGS, M. WEILER, M. OPEL, W. SCHOCH, W. LIMMER, R. GROSS, M. S. BRANDT, and S. T. B. Goennenwein, Phys. Rev. B 78, 45203 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup> S. C. Masmanidis, H. X. Tang, E. B. Myers, M. Li, K. D. Greve, G. Vermeulen, W. Van˜Roy, and M. L. Roukes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 187206 (2005).
- $12$  C. KITTEL, *Phys. Rev.* **110**, 836 (1958).
- $13$  H. BÖMMEL and K. DRANSFELD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 83  $(1959)$ .

pulses impinging on the layer in this type of experiment, and illustrates the versatility of the new alloy GaMnAsP.

We wish to acknowledge L. Largeau and O. Mauguin for the X-Ray measurements, M. Bernard for the cryogenic facilities and B. Gallas for the ellipsometry data. This work was in parts supported by Région Ile de France under contract IF07-800/R with C'Nano IdF.

- <sup>14</sup> A. Melnikov, I. Radu, U. Bovensiepen, O. Krupin, K. STARKE, E. MATTHIAS, and M. WOLF, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 227403 (2003).
- <sup>15</sup> J. QI, J. A. YAN, H. PARK, A. STEIGERWALD, Y. XU, S. N. Gilbert, X. Liu, J. K. Furdyna, S. T. Pantelides, and N. Tolk, Phys. Rev. B 81, 115208 (2010).
- <sup>16</sup> J. Wang, Y. Hashimoto, J. Kono, A. Oiwa, H. MUNEKATA, G. SANDERS, and C. STANTON, Phys. *Rev. B* 72, 1 (2005).
- <sup>17</sup> C. B. A.V. SCHERBAKOV, A.S. SALASYUK, A.V. AKImov, X. Liu, M. Bombeck, D.R. Yakovlev, V.F. SAPEGA, J.K. FURDYNA, and M. BAYER, condmat 1006.4133 (2010).
- <sup>18</sup> A. LEMAÎTRE, A. MIARD, L. TRAVERS, O. MAUGUIN, L. LARGEAU, C. GOURDON, V. JEUDY, M. TRAN, and J. George, Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 21123 (2008).
- <sup>19</sup> M. Cubukcu, H. J. von Bardeleben, K. Khazen, J. L. CANTIN, O. MAUGUIN, L. LARGEAU, and A. LEMAITRE, Phys. Rev. B 81, 41202 (2010).
- $20$  E. PÉRONNE and B. PERRIN, Ultrasonics 44 Suppl 1, e1203 (2006).
- $21$  G. KLATT, M. NAGEL, T. DEKORSY, and A. BARTELS, Electronics Letters 45, 310 (2009).
- $22$  Unpublished data from B. Perrin and E. Peronne.
- <sup>23</sup> M. Glunk, J. Daeubler, L. Dreher, S. Schwaiger, W. Schoch, R. Sauer, W. Limmer, A. Brandlmaier, S. T. B. Goennenwein, C. Bihler, and M. S. Brandt, Phys. Rev. B 79, 195206 (2009).
- <sup>24</sup> B. Koopmans, van Kampen M, J. Kohlhepp, and de Jonge WJ, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 844 (2000).
- <sup>25</sup> A. Kimel, G. Astakhov, A. Kirilyuk, G. Schott, G. KARCZEWSKI, W. OSSAU, G. SCHMIDT, L. MOLENKAMP, and T. RASING, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 227203 (2005).
- <sup>26</sup> B. Perrin, B. Bonello, J. C. Jeannet and E. Ro-MATET, Progress in Natural Science S6, 444 (1996).