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#### Abstract

In this paper, an analytical approximation of damped oscillations of some strongly nonlinear, planar hamiltonian systems is considered. To apply the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky method in this strongly nonlinear case, we mainly provide the formal and exact solutions of the homogeneous part of the variational equations with periodic coefficients resulting from the hamiltonian systems. It is shown that these are simply expressed in terms of the partial derivatives of the solutions, written in action-angle variables, of the hamiltonian systems. Two examples, including a nonlinear harmonic oscillator and the Morse oscillator, are presented to illustrate this extension of the method. The approximate first order solution obtained in each case is observed to be quite satisfactory.


## 1 Inisuaction
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Oscillations are ubiquitous in all fields of fundamental and applied sciences. The modeling of the involved phenomena leads very often to some ordinary differential equations (ODEs) that, in most of the cases, are nonlinear. Solving nonlinear ODEs is thus of great importance for gaining insights into real-world or engineering problems. Unfortunately, one has long been led to observe that, in general, nonlinearity precludes exact analytical solutions to ODEs. In this context some useful perturbation methods, including the method of multiple scales (MMS), the Lindstedt-Poincaré (LP) method and the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky (KBM) method [1], have been developed to provide approximate analytical solutions for nonlinear ODEs. But these classical perturbation methods apply only to weakly nonlinear problems, i.e., those problems in which (i) there is a linear part and (ii) the magnitude of the nonlinear part is small compared to that of the linear one. This appears to be too restrictive for the nonlinear problems that are currently encountered in various fields.

Therefore, several new approximate methods that overcome one and/or both of the abovementioned limitations of the classical perturbation methods have been proposed in the literature in recent years. For instance, Liao [2] has described a nonlinear analytical technique which does not require a small parameter. The range of applicability of the LP method has enormously been improved by the technique of expansion of constant [3]. Amore and Aranda [4] have also combined the LP method with the linear delta expansion to develop another interesting approximate method. For systems undergoing symmetric restoring forces, the linearized harmonic balance technique $[5,6]$ yields very accurate solution.

We remark that these developments are mainly focused to the determination of analytical approximations to periodic or limit-cycle solutions of oscillator equations $[5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$, $14,15,16]$. Comparatively, little attention is granted to the determination of approximate solutions to autonomous damped equations. The few recent works (to our knowledge) in which analytical approximations to damped nonlinear oscillator equations are explicitly considered are by Liao [17], and Chatterjee and collaborators $[18,19,20]$. As a contribution to this effort, we have shown in a previous paper [21] how to merge the idea of expansion of constant [3] and the KBM method to derive better accurate slow flows for damped single degree of freedom oscillators. This contribution is continued in the present paper in which, as in the subharmonic Melnikov method [22], we consider specifically weakly perturbed planar hamiltonian systems. Our motivation stems from the simple observation that, for some systems not directly described by polynomial equations (e.g., the Morse might not enable to obtain fully analytical approximate solutions; or would require at least as much work as the direct approach presented here to give the same degree of accuracy.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, the applicability of the standard KBM method to strongly nonlinear planar oscillator is formally demonstrated by combining it with the basic ideas of the subharmonic Melnikov method. Then in Sec. 3, two examples, including a damped nonlinear harmonic oscillator and the Morse oscillator, are provided. We end our work in Sec. 4 by some remarks.

## 2 Formalism

We consider systems of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}=J D H(X)+\epsilon h(X), \quad X=\binom{x}{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\epsilon \ll 1, H: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ are sufficiently smooth. $D H$ and $J$ are respectively a column vector and a square matrix defined as

$$
D H=\binom{\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}} \quad \text { and } \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{2.2}\\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

When $\epsilon=0$, Eq.(2.1) becomes a planar hamiltonian system with hamiltonian function $H(X)$. We assume for this hamiltonian system that in some open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ there exists a fixed point of center type, $X_{c}$, surrounded by a one-parameter family of periodic orbits. We also assume that the corresponding solutions can be obtained analytically and expressed as functions of two independent variables, $X=X(I, \phi)$, such that $X(I, \phi+2 \pi)=X(I, \phi)$. Here, $I$ is a time-independent parameter (i.e., $\dot{I}=0$ ) that determines the amplitude of oscillations for a given periodic orbit. The variable $\phi$ corresponds to the phase of oscillations. It varies linearly in time: $\phi=\Omega(I)\left(t-t_{0}\right)$, with $\Omega(I)$ the angular frequency of motion and $t_{0}$ the initial time.

We remark that in the system coordinate defined by $(I, \phi)$, which we assume without loss of generality to be the well-known action-angle coordinate system [22], the hamiltonian is a function of $I$ only, and that the open set mentioned above maps to an open set of $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ in which this latter function is strictly monotonic, i.e., $H^{\prime}(I) \neq 0$. We emphasize however that a similar treatment can be extended even when $I$ is not an action variable.

Severar menous exist ior ACOEPTED MANUSCRRIPT (2.1) when the unperturbed system $(\epsilon=0)$ is linear. However, we are concerned in the present study with the more general situation where the unperturbed system may be strongly nonlinear. We tackle the strong nonlinearity in an approach similar to that of Das and Chatterjee [19]. Here, however, instead of the MMS, we find that the KBM method is most suited for adaptation for strongly nonlinear systems. This method consists in expressing the solution $X(t)$ of Eq.(2.1) as a power series of the small parameter $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{n} X_{n}(I, \phi), \quad I=I(t), \quad \phi=\phi(t) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first coefficient of the series (2.3), $X_{0}(I, \phi)$, is chosen to be the solution of the unperturbed hamiltonian system. The others coefficients, $X_{k}(I, \phi), k \geq 1$, are unknown functions to be determined. In addition to the series (2.3), one also assumes in the KBM method that the time evolutions of the action and angle variables are respectively solutions of the following ODEs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{I}=\xi(I)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{n} \xi_{n}(I), \quad \dot{\phi}=\Omega_{\epsilon}(I)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{n} \Omega_{n}(I) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients of both series are also unknown except $\xi_{0}(I)=0$ and $\Omega_{0}(I)=\Omega(I)$. Notice that the approximation (2.3) mainly plays a role as a transformation from (2.4) to (2.1). To obtain the coefficients $X_{k}(I, \phi), \xi_{k}(I)$ and $\Omega_{k}(I)$ for $k \geq 1$, one expands Eq.(2.1) in powers of $\epsilon$ after inserting Eq.(2.3) and Eq.(2.4) in it. Then, by equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ in the resulting equation, one is led to solve a sequence of linear ODEs with periodic coefficients of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} X_{k}(I, \phi)=A(I, \phi) X_{k}(I, \phi)+B_{k}(I, \phi), \quad k \geq 1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(I, \phi)=\frac{1}{\Omega(I)} J D^{2} H\left(X_{0}(I, \phi)\right) ; D^{2} H\left(X_{0}(I, \phi)\right)$ being the Hessian matrix of the hamiltonian function $H$ evaluated at the solution $X_{0}(I, \phi)$ of the unperturbed system and $B_{k}(I, \phi)$ is a $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ column vector whose components depend on $X_{j}(I, \phi), \xi_{j}(I)$ and $\Omega_{j}(I), j=0, \cdots, k-1$. We remark that $B_{1}(I, \phi)$ is necessarily $2 \pi$-periodic with respect to $\phi$ and that if $X_{j}(I, \phi), j=2, \cdots, k-1$ are all periodic, so will be $B_{k}(I, \phi)$. From the linear theory of ordinary differential equations, the general solution of Eq.(2.5), assuming the initial condition $X_{k}\left(I, \phi=\phi_{0}\right)=X_{k}^{\phi_{0}}=\left(x_{k}^{0}, y_{k}^{0}\right)^{T}$, is formally given by [23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}(I, \phi)=K(I, \phi) K\left(I, \phi_{0}\right)^{-1} X_{k}^{\phi_{0}}+K(I, \phi) \int_{\phi_{0}}^{\phi} K(I, \varphi)^{-1} B_{k}(I, \varphi) d \varphi \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$


comprise the linearly independent solutions of that equation for $B_{k} \equiv 0$ (homogeneous system); and $K(I, \phi)^{-1}$ denotes its inverse. On the contrary of linear systems with constant matrix, the determination of the fundamental matrix is not a trivial matter when the system's matrix is a function of the independent variable. The case where the given set of linear ODEs derives from an expansion about the exact solution of a strongly nonlinear hamiltonian system, such as Eq.(2.5), is particular. We provide here the systematic expression of the fundamental matrix for this case. It is very easy to verify (see Appendix A) that the two vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(I, \phi)=\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi}(I, \phi) \quad \text { and } \quad V_{2}(I, \phi)=\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I}(I, \phi)+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \phi V_{1}(I, \phi) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

are solutions of the homogeneous part of Eq.(2.5). Moreover, as also shown in Appendix A, their determinant is the ratio of the derivative $H^{\prime}(I)$ of the Hamiltonian to the angular frequency $\Omega(I)$; which are all nonzero for periodic orbits. They are thus linearly independent, and so, $K(I, \phi)=$ $\left[V_{1}(I, \phi), V_{2}(I, \phi)\right]$. We also note that these expressions of $V_{1}(I, \phi)$ and $V_{2}(I, \phi)$ are valid for linear systems for which $\Omega^{\prime}(I)=0$. The integral in Eq.(2.6) can now be carried out to get the solution of the full equation Eq.(2.5). This solution however still depends on $\xi_{k}(I)$ and $\Omega_{k}(I)$ which remain undetermined. To find their expressions, we impose the usual solvability condition, that is, the condition for $X_{k}(I, \phi)$ to be bounded (in fact, $2 \pi$-periodic) in $\phi$. Assuming that $B_{k}(I, \phi)$ is periodic in $\phi$, it is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}(I, \phi+2 \pi)-X_{k}(I, \phi)=\lambda_{1} V_{1}(I, \phi)+\lambda_{2} V_{2}(I, \phi) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=2 \pi \frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)}\left(\kappa\left(\phi_{0}\right)-\int^{\phi_{0}} f_{k(2)}(I, \phi) d \phi\right)+\bar{f}_{k(1)}-\pi \frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \bar{f}_{k(2)}, \quad \lambda_{2}=\bar{f}_{k(2)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa\left(\phi_{0}\right)$ is the second component of the vector $K\left(I, \phi_{0}\right)^{-1} X_{k}^{\phi_{0}}$ while the overbar () denotes an average with respect to $\phi$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k(1)}(I, \phi)=B_{k}(I, \phi) \Lambda \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial I}(I, \phi) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{k(2)}(I, \phi)=\frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial \phi}(I, \phi) \Lambda B_{k}(I, \phi) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbol $\Lambda$ represents here the usual cross-product of two vectors, defined for $A=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]^{T}$ and $B=\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]^{T}$ as $A \Lambda B=a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}$. By the linear independence of $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, the left hand side of Eq.(2.8) vanishes if and only if both $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are zero. This forms a system of two algebraic equations which determine $\xi_{k}(I)$ and $\Omega_{k}(I)$. Note that they depend on the initial condition $X_{k}^{\phi_{0}}$
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which has to de nxea expnciny in oraer to emmmate unnecessary constants in the nal solution Eq.(2.1). Indeed, the solution of a first order planar system of ODEs should mathematically contains exactly two arbitrary constants. These will be provided in our case by the integration of Eq.(2.4). Our choice for the initial condition is: $\phi_{0}=0$ and $X_{k}^{0}=0$, i.e., $X_{k}(I, 0)=0$; other choices are in principle valid.

The approximate solution of the autonomous equation (2.1) is finally given by the truncation at some order, say $N$, of the series in (2.3), with $I(t)$ and $\phi(t)$ being the solutions of the truncations at the order $N+1$ (in a strict sense [1]) of the series in (2.4).

## 3 Examples

In this section, we provide an illustration of the application of the extended KBM method presented above to the determination of approximate solutions to strongly nonlinear planar hamiltonian systems perturbed by viscous damping. We begin with a system which is sufficiently simple for the analytical computations to be achieved quite easily. We then consider the damped Morse oscillator as the second example. In both examples, the center type point for the unperturbed system is the origin. For convenience, we assume that the parameter $I$ is positive and such that $\lim _{I \rightarrow 0} X(I, \phi)=X_{c}=0$. From the unperturbed system, we also determine the hamiltonian function $H$ such that $H\left(X_{c}\right)=$ $H(0)=0$.

### 3.1 A nonlinear harmonic oscillator

We consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right) y,  \tag{3.1a}\\
& \dot{y}=-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right) x-\epsilon \delta y \tag{3.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

which is similar to but different from an example given by Yagasaki [22] to illustrate his results on the subharmonic Melnikov's theory in the degenerate resonance case. For $\epsilon=0$, this system admits the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right)^{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponamg unperturpea perionic ormis are representea win urgonomente iunctions as in the case of a linear oscillator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=I \sin \Omega t, \quad y(t)=I \cos \Omega t . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, however, the pulsation $\Omega$ of the motion is a function of the oscillation's amplitude $I$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv \Omega(I)=I^{2}+1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For our theory, it is important to write down the unperturbed solution as a $2 \pi$-periodic function of $\phi$. In doing so for (3.3), we choose the simplest parametrization of the amplitude (a possible parametrization is via the action variable $\rho: I=\sqrt{2 \rho})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(I, \phi)=I \sin \phi, \quad y(I, \phi)=I \cos \phi . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting these expressions into Eq.(3.2), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(I)=\frac{1}{4}\left(I^{2}+1\right)^{2} \tag{3.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}(I)=I\left(I^{2}+1\right) \tag{3.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is monotonic for all $I>0$. In the following, we will constantly drop the argument of $\Omega$ in view of simplifying the notation (i.e., we write $\Omega$ instead of $\Omega(I)$ ).

Now, we seek an approximate solution to the perturbed system that includes up to the second order in the perturbation parameter $\epsilon$. So we express $x_{\epsilon}(t)$ and $y_{\epsilon}(t)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\epsilon}=I \sin \phi+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \epsilon^{i} x_{i}(I, \phi)+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right),  \tag{3.7a}\\
& y_{\epsilon}=I \cos \phi+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \epsilon^{i} y_{i}(I, \phi)+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right) ; \tag{3.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{I}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \epsilon^{i} \xi_{i}(I)+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right),  \tag{3.8a}\\
& \dot{\phi}=\Omega(I)+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \epsilon^{i} \Omega_{i}(I)+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right) . \tag{3.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that Eqs. (3.7) include terms of order $\mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ although we are looking for solutions to the order $\mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ only. These terms are necessary for the determination of $\xi_{3}$ and $\Omega_{3}$ which, as already stated,
are required in a rigorous sense tor a solution at ne order $v\left(\epsilon^{-}\right)$. Nunsthtung eqs.(v.7)-(3.8) into Eqs.(3.1), and expanding and collecting terms of like powers of $\epsilon$, we find that the matrix $A$ and the first two forcing terms $B_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ in $\mathrm{Eq} .(2.5)$ are respectively given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
A(I, \phi)=\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I^{2} \sin (2 \phi) & \Omega+2 I^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi \\
-\Omega-2 I^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi & -I^{2} \sin (2 \phi)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
B_{1}(I, \phi)=\frac{1}{\Omega}\binom{\Omega_{1} I \cos \phi-\xi_{1} \sin \phi}{\Omega_{1} I \sin \phi-\left(\xi_{1}+\delta I\right) \sin \phi} \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}(I, \phi)=\frac{1}{\Omega}\binom{B_{2}^{(1)}}{B_{2}^{(2)}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2}^{(1)}=\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right) I \cos \phi+2 I x_{1} y_{1} \sin \phi+2 I y_{1}^{2} \cos \phi-\xi_{2} \sin \phi-\Omega_{2} I \cos \phi-\xi_{1} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial I}-\Omega_{1} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \phi}, \\
& B_{2}^{(2)}=\xi_{1} \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial I}-\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right) I \sin \phi-2 I x_{1} y_{1} \cos \phi-2 I y_{1}^{2} \sin \phi-\delta y_{1}-\xi_{2} \cos \phi-\Omega_{2} I \sin \phi-\Omega_{1} \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial \phi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fundamental matrix $K$ is also given by

$$
K(I, \phi)=\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega \cos \phi & \Omega \sin \phi+2 I^{2} \phi \cos \phi  \tag{3.12}\\
-\Omega \sin \phi & \Omega \cos \phi-2 I^{2} \phi \sin \phi
\end{array}\right)
$$

Performing the algebra involved in the integral of Eq.(2.6) with these expressions of $K$ and $B_{1}$ in one hand, and then in the elimination of secular terms in $X_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)^{T}$, we find that, corresponding to Eq.(2.9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}^{(1)}=-\frac{2 \pi I}{\Omega^{2}}\left(\Omega_{1} \Omega+2 \pi I \xi_{1}+\pi \delta I^{2}\right) \\
& \lambda_{2}^{(1)}=-\frac{\pi}{\Omega}\left(2 \xi_{1}+\delta I\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving the equations $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}=\lambda_{2}^{(1)}=0$ for $\xi_{1}$ and $\Omega_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=-\frac{\delta}{2} I, \quad \Omega_{1}=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the expression of the first order correction is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}(I, \phi)=-\frac{\delta I}{2 \Omega^{2}}\binom{I^{2} \cos \phi \sin ^{2} \phi}{\left[1+I^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi\right] \sin \phi} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating cne same operations $101 n$ and $D_{2}$, we also obtall

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}^{(2)}=-\frac{\pi I}{8 \Omega^{3}}\left(\Omega_{2}\left(48 I^{2}+16+16 I^{6}+48 I^{4}\right)+\delta^{2}\left(6 I^{2}-5 I^{4}+2\right)+\pi \xi_{2} I\left(64 I^{2}+32+32 I^{4}\right)\right), \\
& \lambda_{2}^{(2)}=-2 \pi \xi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2}=0, \quad \Omega_{2}=\frac{\delta^{2}}{16 \Omega^{3}}\left(5 I^{4}-6 I^{2}-2\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}(I, \phi)=\frac{\delta^{2} I}{16 \Omega^{4}}\binom{\left[2+8 I^{2}+4 I^{4}-\left(5 I^{4}+2 I^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \phi-4 I^{4} \cos ^{4} \phi\right] \sin \phi}{I^{2}\left[4 I^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi+7 I^{2}+2\right] \cos \phi \sin ^{2} \phi} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final step which involves $B_{3}$ (whose cumbersome expression is omitted here) results to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{3}=-\frac{\delta^{3} I^{3}}{32 \Omega^{4}}\left(1+10 I^{2}\right), \quad \Omega_{3}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recapitulating, the solution of Eqs.(3.1) to the second order in the perturbation parameter $\epsilon$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\epsilon}(t)=\binom{I \sin \phi(t)}{I \cos \phi(t)}+\epsilon X_{1}(I(t), \phi(t))+\epsilon^{2} X_{2}(I(t), \phi(t)) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are given respectively by Eq.(3.14) and Eq.(3.16) (in which $\Omega \equiv \Omega(I)$ ); $I(t)$ and $\phi(t)$ being the solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{I}=-\frac{\delta}{2} I-\frac{\delta^{3} I^{3}}{32\left(1+I^{2}\right)^{4}}\left(1+10 I^{2}\right),  \tag{3.19a}\\
& \dot{\phi}=1+I^{2}+\frac{\delta^{2}\left(5 I^{4}-6 I^{2}-2\right)}{16\left(1+I^{2}\right)^{3}} \tag{3.19b}
\end{align*}
$$

This solution is analytic only if the second term in the right hand side of Eq.(3.19a) is neglected. In this case, the solutions of Eqs.(3.19) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I(t) & =I_{0} e^{-\frac{\epsilon \delta}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}  \tag{3.20a}\\
\phi(t) & =G(I(t))-G\left(I_{0}\right)+\phi_{0}  \tag{3.20b}\\
G(u) & =-\frac{u^{2}}{\epsilon \delta}-\frac{9 \epsilon \delta}{32\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon \delta}{8} \log \left(u^{2}+1\right)-\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon \delta}-\frac{\epsilon \delta}{4}\right) \log (|u|)+\frac{7 \epsilon \delta}{16\left(u^{2}+1\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

In Fig. 1, we compare the wave form and the associated trajectory corresponding to the approximate solution of Eq.(3.18) with Eq.(3.20) to the result of direct numerical integration using the fourth order

Runge-Kuta aggornmm. 1ne immal conulions nave deen chosen sumcienty large to ensure that the nonlinearity of the system acts. A very good agreement is observed. It is interesting to remark that such a good result was obtained in [21] (for a different system) starting from an initial approximation which is not the exact solution of the unperturbed hamiltonian system. Both the approach of [21] and the present one exploit the KBM method which essentially performs a transformation to action-angle. One might then expect to still obtain good result by the present approach with an initial approximation different from the exact solution of the hamiltonian system. We recall however that the determination of the linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous part of Eq.(2.5) is crucial for the present procedure. At present, our ability to determine these solutions heavily relies on the fact that the initial approximation is the exact solution of the unperturbed system which should furthermore be hamiltonian. Further investigations are therefore necessary to ascertain the applicability of our procedure with different initial approximations.

### 3.2 The Morse oscillator

In the form of a system of first order ODEs, the equations of the damped but undriven Morse oscillator we now consider reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=y,  \tag{3.21a}\\
& \dot{y}=e^{-2 x}-e^{-x}-\epsilon \delta y . \tag{3.21b}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the corresponding hamiltonian function is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left[y^{2}+\left(e^{-x}-1\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $(I, \phi)$, the solutions of Eq.(3.21) with $\epsilon=0$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}(I, \phi)=\ln \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-\Omega^{2}} \cos \phi}{\Omega^{2}}\right)  \tag{3.23a}\\
& y_{0}(I, \phi)=\frac{\Omega \sqrt{1-\Omega^{2}} \sin \phi}{1-\sqrt{1-\Omega^{2}} \cos \phi} \tag{3.23b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv \Omega(I)=1-I \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the angular pulsation of the motion. Inserting Eq.(3.23) into Eq.(3.22), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(I)=I-\frac{I^{2}}{2} \tag{3.25a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}(I)=\Omega(I) \tag{3.25b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is monotonic for $0 \leq I<1$.
Letting $f(x)=e^{-2 x}-e^{-x}$, the matrix of the linearized system, $A$, and the two first inhomogeneous vectors $B_{1}=\left(B_{1}^{(1)}, B_{1}^{(2)}\right)^{T}$ and $B_{2}=\left(B_{2}^{(1)}, B_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{T}$ for this example can be expressed in terms of the solutions of the hamiltonian system as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right) ; \\
B_{1}^{(1)} & =-\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\xi_{1} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}+\Omega_{1} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \phi}\right) ; \\
B_{1}^{(2)} & =-\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\xi_{1} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial I}+\Omega_{1} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial \phi}+\delta y_{0}\right) ; \\
B_{2}^{(1)} & =-\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\xi_{2} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}+\xi_{1} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial I}+\Omega_{2} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \phi}+\Omega_{1} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \phi}\right) ; \\
B_{2}^{(2)} & =-\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\xi_{2} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial I}+\xi_{1} \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial I}+\Omega_{2} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial \phi}+\Omega_{1} \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial \phi}+\delta y_{1}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{1}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, upon evaluating the integrals defining $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{(1)}$ and subsequently solving for $\xi_{1}$ and $\Omega_{1}$, we obtain (note that $\delta$ is absorbed into $\epsilon$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=-I, \quad \Omega_{1}=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formal expression of the first correcting term in the approximate solution to the damped oscillator, $X_{1}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}(I, \phi)=Z_{\phi}(I, \phi) \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi}(I, \phi)+Z_{I}(I, \phi) \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I}(I, \phi) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\phi}(I, \phi)=\int_{0}^{\phi} f_{1}(I, \theta) d \theta+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \phi \int_{0}^{\phi} f_{2}(I, \theta) d \theta-\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \int_{0}^{\phi} \theta f_{2}(I, \theta) d \theta  \tag{3.28a}\\
& Z_{I}(I, \phi)=\int_{0}^{\phi} f_{2}(I, \theta) d \theta \tag{3.28b}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(I, \theta)=y_{0}(I, \theta) \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}(I, \theta), \quad f_{2}(I, \theta)=-\left(I+y_{0}(I, \theta) \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \theta}(I, \theta)\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out here that the last integral in Eq.(3.28a) cannot be computed analytically unless we use the Fourier series expansion with respect to $\theta$ of $f_{2}(I, \theta)$. We employ the method of residue to
compute tne coemcients on the rourter expansions or $J_{1}(1, \nabla)$ and $\jmath_{2}(1, \nabla)$ and mad unal

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(I, \theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n}(I) \sin (n \theta), \quad f_{2}(I, \theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}(I) \cos (n \theta) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
S_{n}(I)=\frac{1-n+(2+n) I-I^{2}}{I(2-I)}\left(\frac{I}{2-I}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad C_{n}(I)=(n-1-n I)\left(\frac{I}{2-I}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\phi}(I, \phi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n \Omega(I) S_{n}(I)+\Omega^{\prime}(I) C_{n}(I)}{n^{2} \Omega(I)}\right)(1-\cos (n \phi)),  \tag{3.31a}\\
& Z_{I}(I, \phi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{n}(I)}{n} \sin (n \phi) \tag{3.31b}
\end{align*}
$$

Using arguments based on the parities of the components of $X_{0}(I, \phi)$ and $X_{1}(I, \phi)$ with respect to $\phi$, we find that $\xi_{2}=0$. But the fact that the analytic expression of $X_{1}(I, \phi)$ involves Fourier series renders the algebra involved in the determination of $\Omega_{2}$ analytically intractable. We are then compelled to neglect this term and, furthermore, to limit ourselves at this level of the approximation for the present example. Therefore, the action and the angle vary approximately as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=I_{0} e^{-\epsilon \delta\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, \quad \phi(t)=\phi_{0}+t-t_{0}+\frac{I_{0}}{\epsilon \delta}\left(e^{-\epsilon \delta\left(t-t_{0}\right)}-1\right) ; \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the approximate solution $X_{\epsilon}(t)=X_{0}(t)+\epsilon \delta X_{1}(t)$ is analytic. As can be observed in Fig. 2, a satisfactory agreement is noticed between this approximation and the direct numerical solution.

## 4 Concluding remarks

We have been concerned in this paper by the analytical approximation of solutions of weakly perturbed planar hamiltonian systems. For this purpose, we have first provided formal arguments by which the standard KBM method can be applied to such systems. Our primary motivation in this paper has been about strictly dissipative perturbations. Thus the perturbation considered in our examples consists only of viscous damping. However the method can well be applied to conservative perturbations in view of approximating periodic solutions of nonlinear oscillators. In this case, and for hamiltonian systems whose solutions involve jacobian elliptic functions, the method is expected to provide equivalent results to those obtained by the elliptic Lindstedt-Poincaré method [15, 16]. For our examples, the comparison of the obtained approximate analytical solutions to the direct
numerical solumons snow quite good agreements. 111 s agreement resuls trom two mgredients: the initiation of the approximation from a solution which is valid in a larger region (the exact solution of the unperturbed hamiltonian system) and the strength of the KBM method in handling the modulation of the amplitude parameter.
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## A Appenuin a AccEPTED MANUSCRIPT

We show here, assuming $X_{0}(I, \phi)=\left[x_{0}(I, \phi), y_{0}(I, \phi)\right]^{T}$ is a solution of Eq.(2.1) with $\epsilon=0$, that $V_{1}(I, \phi)$ and $V_{2}(I, \phi)$ defined in Eq.(2.7) are linearly independent solutions of the variational equation (2.5) with $B_{k} \equiv 0$. The arguments of the various functions are omitted to simplify the notation in what follows.
$X_{0}$ being an exact solution of the unperturbed (hamiltonian) equation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}}{-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}} & =\dot{X}_{0} \\
& =\dot{\phi} \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi}+\dot{I} \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I} \\
& =\Omega \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi} \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\dot{\phi}=\Omega$ and $\dot{I}=0$ for the hamiltonian system. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1} & =\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Omega}\binom{\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}}{-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}} \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (A.2) with respect to $\phi$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial \phi} & =\frac{1}{\Omega}\binom{\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \phi}+\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial \phi}}{-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \phi}-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y \partial x} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial \phi}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}} \\
-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}} & -\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y \partial x}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \phi}}{\frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial \phi}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \phi}}{\frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial \phi}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Omega} J D^{2} H V_{1} \\
& =A V_{1} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

thus $V_{1}$ is a solution of (2.5) with $B_{k} \equiv 0$. To proceed with $V_{2}$, we first need the expression of $\frac{\partial^{2} X_{0}}{\partial I \partial \phi}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} X_{0}}{\partial I \partial \phi} & =-\frac{\Omega^{\prime}}{\Omega^{2}}\binom{\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}}{-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}}+\frac{1}{\Omega}\binom{\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}+\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial I}}{-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y \partial x} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial I}} \\
& =-\frac{\Omega^{\prime}}{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{\Omega}\binom{\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}}{-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}}\right]+\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}} \\
-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}} & -\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y \partial x}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}}{\frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial I}} \\
& =-\frac{\Omega^{\prime}}{\Omega} V_{1}+\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}}{\frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial I}} \\
& =-\frac{\Omega^{\prime}}{\Omega} V_{1}+A \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I} . \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now compute the partial derivative of $V_{2}$ with respect to $\phi$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \phi} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\left[\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I}+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \phi V_{1}\right] \\
& =\frac{\partial^{2} X_{0}}{\partial \phi \partial I}+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} V_{1}+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \phi \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial \phi} \\
& =A \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I}+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \phi A V_{1} \\
& =A\left[\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I}+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \phi V_{1}\right] \\
& =A V_{2} \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (A.3) and (A.4) to step from the second to the third of the above equalities.
The determinant of $\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right.$ ] is

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1} \Lambda V_{2} & =\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi} \Lambda\left[\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I}+\frac{\Omega^{\prime}(I)}{\Omega(I)} \phi \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi}\right] \\
& =\frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial \phi} \Lambda \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I} \\
& =\frac{1}{\Omega}\binom{\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}}{-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}} \Lambda \frac{\partial X_{0}}{\partial I}, \quad \text { using A. } 2 \\
& =\frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial I}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial I}\right) \\
& =\frac{H^{\prime}}{\Omega} \\
& \neq 0 \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

since $H^{\prime} \neq 0$ for periodic orbits as remarked in the text.
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[^0]Figure 1. Comparison of the approximate analytical (dash-dotted line) waveform and phase trajectory to the corresponding exact numerical (solid line) ones for the nonlinear harmonic oscillator Eq.(3.1) for $\delta=0.5$. The initial conditions are: $x_{0}=0, y_{0}=5$. Perfect agreement is observed.

Figure 2. Comparison of the approximate analytical (dash-dotted line) waveforms and phase trajectories to the corresponding exact numerical (solid line) ones for the Morse oscillator Eq.(3.21) for $\epsilon \delta=0.1$ ((a)-(b)) and $\epsilon \delta=0.2((\mathrm{c})-(\mathrm{d})))$. The initial conditions are: $x_{0}=$ $-0.624, y_{0}=0$. A quite satisfactory agreement is obtained.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This seems to be an error on the printed article, since it was published in 2007.

