

# Comment on: Construction of simplified models to simulate estrogenic disruptions by esters of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (parabens). Patricia Guadarrama, Serguei Fomine, Roberto Salcedo, Ana Martínez. Biophys. Chem. 137 (2008) 1–6. doi:10,1016/j.bpc2008.06.001

Dene Godfrey

### ▶ To cite this version:

Dene Godfrey. Comment on: Construction of simplified models to simulate estrogenic disruptions by esters of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (parabens). Patricia Guadarrama, Serguei Fomine, Roberto Salcedo, Ana Martínez. Biophys. Chem. 137 (2008) 1–6. doi:10,1016/j.bpc2008.06.001. Biophysical Chemistry, 2008, 138 (1-2), pp.63. 10.1016/j.bpc.2008.09.009 . hal-00501719

## HAL Id: hal-00501719 https://hal.science/hal-00501719

Submitted on 12 Jul 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Accepted Manuscript

Comment on: Construction of simplified models to simulate estrogenic disruptions by esters of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (parabens). Patricia Guadarrama, Serguei Fomine, Roberto Salcedo, Ana Martínez. Biophys. Chem. 137 (2008) 1–6. doi:10,1016/j.bpc2008.06.001



Dene Godfrey

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0301-4622(08)00188-9 doi: 10.1016/j.bpc.2008.09.009 BIOCHE 5160
To appear in:	Biophysical Chemistry
Received date: Revised date: Accepted date:	<ol> <li>August 2008</li> <li>August 2008</li> <li>September 2008</li> </ol>

Please cite this article as: Dene Godfrey, Comment on: Construction of simplified models to simulate estrogenic disruptions by esters of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (parabens). Patricia Guadarrama, Serguei Fomine, Roberto Salcedo, Ana Martínez. Biophys. Chem. 137 (2008) 1–6. doi:10,1016/j.bpc2008.06.001, *Biophysical Chemistry* (2008), doi: 10.1016/j.bpc.2008.09.009

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Comment on**: Construction of simplified models to simulate estrogenic disruptions by esters of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (parabens). Patricia Guadarrama, Serguei Fomine, Roberto Salcedo, Ana Martínez. Biophys. Chem. 137 (2008) 1-6. doi:10,1016/j.bpc2008.06.001

#### Dene Godfrey

S. Black Ltd., Foxholes Business Park, Hertford, Herts. SG13 7YH, UK Tel. 01992 825555 Fax. 01992 825566 e-mail: dgodfrey@sblack.com

I wish to point out several serious failings in the conclusions of this recent paper [1]. Both claims - that methylparaben is the most potent oestrogen mimic and the most active antibacterial compound amongst the parabens - are in direct contravention with all the published evidence to date. Several groups have studied the oestrogenic activities of some of the parabens using the rat uterotrophic assay, including Routledge [2] and Houssani [3] and, in all cases methylparaben was concluded to be orders of magnitude weaker than butylparaben. For example, Routledge determined that methylparaben was 2,500,000 times weaker than oestradiol, whereas butylparaben was only 10,000 times weaker than oestradiol in their <u>in vitro</u> study. It may be concluded, therefore, that methylparaben is 250 times weaker than butylparaben. Indeed, in Routledge's <u>in vivo</u> study [2], methylparaben demonstrated no detectable oestrogenic activity, compared with butylparaben being determined as 100,000 times weaker than oestradiol. From these data it is difficult to accept that the model proposed by the authors of [1] has any semblance of accuracy.

The authors' claim that the results obtained are in agreement with experimental studies of extraction and quantification of parabens in tumours is totally illogical, since the study to which the authors refer, by Darbre *et al* [4] contains no determination of oestrogenic activity, and only claims to have detected the presence of parabens in the tumours. There is no connection between the presence of parabens and their relative potency as oestrogen mimics as neither the presence, nor the relative concentrations present can be indicative of relative oestrogenic activity. It is difficult,

therefore, to comprehend how the authors concluded that methylparaben is more

oestrogenic than butylparaben simply because it appeared to be present in the tissues tested at a higher concentration. Indeed, the Darbre study fails to prove conclusively the presence of parabens in tumour tissue as the blank samples were also found to contain parabens, and at concentrations statistically indistinguishable from those found in the tumours themselves.

The claim that methylparaben is the most potent antibacterial amongst the parabens is not borne out by the wealth of data available from any manufacturer or supplier of these materials. Table 1 lists the minimum inhibitory concentrations of methylparaben against a range of bacteria and, for comparison, against some fungi.

**Table 1.** Minimum inhibitory concentrations (mic) of parabens against various

 microbial species [5]

The data in Table 1 are typical of the values quoted by all parabens manufacturers. The lower the minimum inhibitory concentration, the more powerful the activity and it is very clear that the order of activity is methylparaben < ethylparaben < propylparaben < butylparaben for all organisms. This is entirely the opposite of what is claimed by the authors for their model and, therefore, it must surely be concluded that this model is not suitable for purpose.

**Declaration of interest**: The author is employed by S. Black Ltd., a distributor of raw materials to the personal care industry. The company supplies parabens and most other preservatives commonly used in this industry.

#### References

- Guadarrama, P., Fomine, S., Salcedo, R., Martínez, A. Construction of simplified models to simulate estrogenic disruptions by esters of 4-hydroxy benzoic acid (parabens). Biophys. Chem. 137 (2008) 1-6
- Routledge, E. J., Parker, J., Odum, J., Ashby, J. and Sumpter, J. P. Some alkyl hydroxybenzoate preservatives (parabens) are oestrogenic. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 153 (1998) 12-19
- Hossaini, A., Larsen, J-J., and Larsen, J. C., Lack of oestrogenic effects of food preservatives (parabens) in uterotrophic assays. Food & Chem. Tox. 38 (2000) 319-323
- Darbre, P. D., Aljarrah, A., Miller, W. R., Coldham, N. G., Sauer, M. J., and Pope, G. S., Concentrations of parabens in human breast tumours. J Appl Toxicol 24 (2004) 5-13
- 5. S. Black Ltd. Paratexin product literature <u>www.sblack.com</u>

<b>Table 1.</b> Minimum inhibitory concentrations (mic) of parabens against various
microbial species [5]

		6
Organism	Paraben	mic (%)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (bacterium)	methylparaben	0.200
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ethylparaben	0.120
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	propylparaben	0.080
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	butylparaben	0.015
Staphylococcus aureus (bacterium)	methylparaben	0.160
Staphylococcus aureus	ethylparaben	0.120
Staphylococcus aureus	propylparaben	0.045
Staphylococcus aureus	butylparaben	0.015
Candida albicans (fungus)	methylparaben	0.100
Candida albicans	ethylparaben	0.060
Candida albicans	propylparaben	0.025
Candida albicans	butylparaben	0.015
Aspergillus niger (fungus)	methylparaben	0.085
Aspergillus niger	ethylparaben	0.045
Aspergillus niger	propylparaben	0.035
Aspergillus niger	butylparaben	0.025
<pre>V</pre>	1	I