

Fear-type emotion recognition for future audio-based surveillance systems

Chloé Clavel, I. Vasilescu, L. Devillers, Gael Richard, T. Ehrette

To cite this version:

Chloé Clavel, I. Vasilescu, L. Devillers, Gael Richard, T. Ehrette. Fear-type emotion recognition for future audio-based surveillance systems. Speech Communication, 2008, 50 (6), pp.487. 10.1016/j.specom.2008.03.012. hal-00499211

HAL Id: hal-00499211 <https://hal.science/hal-00499211v1>

Submitted on 9 Jul 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Fear-type emotion recognition for future audio-based surveillance systems

C. Clavel, I. Vasilescu, L. Devillers, G. Richard, T. Ehrette

PII: S0167-6393(08)00037-X
DOI: 10.1016/i.specom.2008.03 DOI: [10.1016/j.specom.2008.03.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2008.03.012) Reference: SPECOM 1696

To appear in: Speech Communication

Received Date: 26 October 2007 Revised Date: 14 March 2008 Accepted Date: 14 March 2008

Please cite this article as: Clavel, C., Vasilescu, I., Devillers, L., Richard, G., Ehrette, T., Fear-type emotion recognition for future audio-based surveillance systems, *Speech Communication* (2008), doi: [10.1016/j.specom.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2008.03.012) [2008.03.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2008.03.012)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Fear-type emotion re
ognition for future audio-based surveillands surveillands surveillands surveillands surveillands surveillands surveillands surveil

C. Clavel^a I. Vasilescu^b L. Devillers^b G. Richard^c T. Ehrette^a

^aThales Research and Technology France, RD 128, 91767 Palaiseau Cedex, France ^bLIMSI-CNRS, BP 133, 91403 Orsay Cedex, France ^cTELECOM ParisTech, 37 rue Dareau, 75014 Paris, France

Abstract

This paper addresses the issue of automatic emotion recognition in speech. We focus on a type of emotional manifestation whi
h has been rarely studied in spee
h pro
essing: fear-type emotions occurring during abnormal situations (here, unplanned events where human life is threatened). This study is dedicated to a new application in emotion re
ognition - publi safety. The starting point of this work is the denition and the olle
tion of data illustrating extreme emotional manifestations in threatening situations. For this purpose we develop the SAFE orpus (Situation Analysis in a Fictional and Emotional corpus) based on fiction movies. It consists of 7 hours of re
ordings organized into 400 audiovisual sequen
es. The orpus ontains re
ordings of both normal and abnormal situations and provides a large s
ope of ontexts and therefore a large s
ope of emotional manifestations. In this way, not only it addresses the issue of the la
k of orpora illustrating strong emotions, but also it forms an interesting support to study a high variety of emotional manifestations. We dene a task-dependent annotation strategy which has the particularity to describe simultaneously the emotion and the situation evolution in ontext. The emotion re
ognition system is based on these data and must handle a large s
ope of unknown speakers and situations in noisy sound environments. It consists of a fear ys, neutral classification. The novelty of our approach relies on dissociated acoustic models of the voiced and unvoiced contents of speech. The two are then merged at the decision step of the classification system. The results are quite promising given the complexity and the diversity of the data: the error rate is about 30%.

 Keu words: Fear-type emotions recognition; Fiction corpus; Annotation scheme; A
ousti features of emotions; Ma
hine learning; Threatening situations; Civil safety.

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

Email address: chloe.clavel@thalesgroup.com (C. Clavel).

$\mathbf{1}$ **Introduction**

One of the challenges of speech processing is to give computers the ability to understand human behaviour. The omputer input is the signal aptured by a microphone, i.e. the low level information provided by audio samples. Closing the gap between this low level data and understanding of human behaviour, it's a s
ienti hallenge. Consequently, the issue now is not only to know what is said but also to know the speaker's attitude, emotion or personality.

This paper concerns the emerging research field of emotion recognition in spee
h. We propose to investigate the integration of emotion re
ognition in a new application, namely automatic surveillance systems. This study comes within the scope of the $SERKET¹$ project, which aims to develop surveillance systems dealing with dispersed data oming from heterogeneous sensors, in cluding audio sensors. It is motivated by the crucial role played by the emotional omponent of spee
h in the understanding of human behaviour, and therefore in the diagnosis of abnormal situation.

Our audio-based surveillan
e system is ultimately designed to onsider the information onveyed by abnormal non-vo
al events su
h as gunshots (Clavel et al., 2005), though we focus here on the part of the system dealing with vocal manifestations in abnormal situations. We look at things from the viewpoint of protecting human life in the context of civil safety and we choose to focus on abnormal situations during which human life is in danger (e.g. fire, psychological and physical attack). In this context, the targeted emotions correspond to a type of emotional manifestation whi
h has been so far rarely studied fear-type emotions occurring during abnormal situations.

The development of an emotion re
ognition system an be broken down into four distin
t steps: the a
quisition of emotional data, the manual annotation of the emotional ontent, the a
ousti des
ription of the emotional ontent and the development of ma
hine learning algorithm. Given that the emotional phenomenon is espe
ially omplex and hard to dene, these steps require the know-how of a set of distinct disciplines such as psychology, social sciences, biology, phonetic, linguistic, artificial intelligence, statistics, acoustics and audio signal processing. In this introduction, we set out first to unravel the know-how of these dis
iplines from an emotion re
ognition system point of view and then to present the additional challenges implied by the surveillance application.

¹ http://www.research.thalesgroup.com/software/cognitive solutions/Serket/index.html

1.1 Overview of emotion recognition systems

1.1.1 Acquisition of the emotional recordings

The basis of emotion research studies is the acquisition of data that are recordings of emotional manifestations. More precisely, data are required for the conception of emotion recognition systems so that the machine can learn to differentiate the acoustic models of emotion. In this case, the challenge is to olle
t a large number of re
ordings illustrating emotions as they are expe
ted to occur in application data. In particular, data should be ideally representative of everyday life if the application has to run in everyday life contexts (Douglas-Cowie et al., 2003). Besides, not only the type of collected emotions but also the type of pi
tured ontexts should be appropriate for the targeted application. The context of emotion emergence concerns the situation (place, triggering events), the interaction (human-human or human-machine, duration of the intera
tion), the so
ial ontext (agentustomer for all entres), the speaker (gender, age), the cultural context, the linguistic context (language, diale
t), and the inter-modal ontext (gesture and spee
h for surveillance applications or speech alone for call centres).

The HUMAINE network of ex
ellen
e has arried out an evaluation of the existing emotional databases². This evaluation shows that one requirement is not adequately addressed in existing databases: there is a la
k of orpora illustrating strong emotions with an acceptable level of realism. Indeed specific real-life emotional data are difficult to collect given their unpredictable and confidential nature. That's the reason why acted databases are still used to a large extent in emotional spee
h studies: Juslin and Laukka (2003) list 104 studies on emotions and estimate at 87% the per
entage of studies arried out on acted data. The difficulty is greater when dealing with extreme emotions occurring in real-life threat contexts and extreme emotions are almost exclusively illustrated in acted databases (Mozziconacci (1998), Kienast and Sendlmeier (2000), van Bezooijen (1984), Abelin and Allwood (2000), Yacoub et al. (2003), M
Gilloway (1997), Dellaert et al. (1996), Banse and S
herer (1996), Bänziger et al. (2006)).

Acted databases generally tend to reflect stereotypes that are more or less far from emotions likely to occur in real-life contexts. This realism depends on the speaker (professional a
tor or not) and on the ontext or the s
enario provided to the speaker for emotion simulation. Most a
ted databases are laboratory data produ
ed under onditions designed to remove ontextual information. Some recent studies have aimed to collect more realist emotional portrayals by using a
ting te
hniques that are thought to stir genuine emotions through

² http://emotion-research.net/wiki/Databases

a
tion (Bänziger et al., 2006), (Enos and Hirshberg, 2006).

An alternative way to obtain realistic emotional manifestations is to induce emotions without speaker's knowledge, su
h as with the eWIZ database (Aubergé et al., 2004), and the SAL database (Douglas-Cowie et al., 2003). However, the induction of fear-type emotions may be medically dangerous and unethical, so that fear-type emotions are not illustrated in elicited databases.

The third type of emotional database, real-life database, illustrates, to a large extent, everyday life contexts in which social emotions currently occur. Some real-life databases illustrate strong emotional manifestations (Vidras
u and Devillers (2005), Fran
e et al. (2003)) but the types of situational ontexts are very specific (emergency call centre and therapy sessions), which raises the matter of using databases illustrating a restri
ted s
ope of ontexts (as defined previously) for various applications.

1.1.2 Annotation of the emotional ontent

The second step consists of the emotional content annotation of the recordings. The challenge is to define an annotation strategy which is a good trade-off between generi
ity (data-independent) and the omplexity of the annotation task. Annotated data are required not only to evaluate the performan
e of the system, but also to build the training database by linking re
ordings to their emotional classes. The annotated data must therefore provide an acceptable level of agreement. However, the emotional phenomenon is especially complex and subjected to discord. According to Scherer et al. (1980), this complexity is increased by the two opposite effects push/pull implied in emotional speech: physiological excitations "push" the voice in one direction and conscious attempts driven by cultural rules "pull" them in an another direction.

The literature on emotion representation models has its roots in psy
hological studies, and offers two major description models. The first one consists of representing the range of emotional manifestation in abstra
t dimensions. Various dimensions have been proposed and vary according to the underlying psy
hologi
al theory. The a
tivation/evaluation spa
e is re
ently the one which is used the most frequently and is known to capture a large range of emotional variation (Whissel, 1989).

The se
ond one onsists of using ategories for the emotion des
ription. A large amount of studies dedicated to emotional speech use a short list of 'basic' (see the overview of Orthony and Turner (1990)) or `primary' (Damasio, 1994) emotion terms which differ according to the underlying psychological theories. The 'Big Six' (fear, anger, joy, disgust, sadness and surprise) defined by Ekman and Friesen (1975) are the most popular. However fuller lists (Ekman (1999), Whissel (1989), Plutchik (1984)) have been established to describe 'emotion-

related states' (Cowie and Cornelius, 2003) and Devillers et al. (2005b) have shown that emotions in real-life are rarely 'basic emotions' but complex and blended emotional manifestations. At a cognitive level, this type of description involves drawing frontiers in the perceptive space. Each emotional category may be considered as a prototype $-$ center of a class of more or less similar emotional manifestations (Kleiber, 1990) whi
h an be linked to other similar manifestations. The difficulty of the categorization task strongly depends on the emotional material. The majority of a
ted databases aim to illustrate predefined emotional prototypes. All the emotional manifestations illustrated by this type of corpus are strongly convergent to the same prototype. By contrast, emotions occurring in real-life corpora are uncontrolled. They display unpredictable distances to their theoretical prototype. This propensity to occur in different situations through various manifestations engenders labelling challenges when one makes use of a predefined list of labels. In addition, the omplexity of emotional ategorization is in
reased by the diversity of the data.

Existing annotation s
hemes fall short of industrial expe
tations. Noting this, which is closely akin to the motivation of the EARL proposal³ by the W3C Emotion Incubator Group, leads us to unravel the emotion description task from an emotion re
ognition system point view.

1.1.3 Acoustic description of the emotional content

After the emotional material has been collected and labelled, the next step is to extract from the speech recordings acoustic features characterizing the various emotional manifestations. This representation of spee
h signal will be used as the input of the emotion classification system. Existing representations are based on both high-level and low-level features. High-level features, such as pitch or intensity, aim at characterizing the speech variation accompanying physiological or bodily emotional modifications (Picard (1997) Scherer et al. (2001)). First studies focus on prosodic features which include typically pitch, intensity and speech rate and are largely used in emotion classification systems (Kwon et al. (2003) M
Gilloway (1997) S
huller et al. (2004)) and stand out to be especially salient for fear characterization (Scherer (2003), Devillers and Vasiles
u (2003), Batliner et al. (2003)). Voi
e quality features which characterize creaky, breathy or tensed voices have also recently been used for emotional ontent a
ousti representation (Campbell and Mokhtari, 2003). Low-level features su
h as spe
tral and epstral features, were initially

 $\overline{\text{3}}$ Emotion Annotation and Representation Language http://emotionresear
h.net/earl/proposal. The W3C Emotion In
ubator Group, after one year of joint work involving several HUMAINE partners, has published its Final Report and a paper in ACII 2007 (S
hroeder et al., 2007)

used for speech processing systems, but can also be used for emotion classifiation systems (Shafran et al. (2003) Kwon et al. (2003)).

1.1.4 Classification algorithms

The final step consists in the development of classification algorithms which aim to re
ognize one emotional lass among others or to lassify emotional classes among themselves. The used emotional classes vary according to the targeted appli
ation or the type of studied emotional data.

Emotion classification systems are essentially based on supervised machine learning algorithms: Support Vector Machines (Devillers and Vidrascu, 2007), Gaussian Mixture Models (S
huller et al., 2004), Hidden Markov Models (Wagner, 2007), k nearest neighbors (Lee et al., 2002), etc.. It is rather difficult to compare the efficiency of the various existing approaches, since no evaluation ampaign has been arried out so far. Performan
es are besides not only dependent on the adopted machine learning algorithm but also on:

- the diversity of the tested data: ontexts (speakers, situations, types of interaction), recording conditions;
- the emotional lasses (number and type);
- the training and test conditions (speaker-dependent or not) (Schuller et al., 2003);
- the techniques for acoustic feature extraction which are more or less dependent of prior knowledge of the linguistic content and of the speaker identity (normalization by speaker or by phone, analysis units based on linguisti ontent).

A first effort to connect existing systems has been carried out with the CE-ICES (Combining Efforts for Improving automatic Classification of Emotional user States) laun
hed in 2005 by the FAU Erlangen through the HUMAINE 4 network of ex
ellen
e (Batliner et al., 2006).

1.2 Contributions

It emerges from the previous overview that the development of emotion re
ognition systems is a recent research field and the integration of such systems in effective applications requires to raise new scientific issues. The first system on laboratory emotional data was indeed carried out recently by Dellaert et al. (1996). Although some emotion re
ognition systems are now dealing with spontaneous and more complex data (Devillers et al., 2005b), this research field

⁴ http://www5.informatik.uni-erlangen.de/Forschung/Projekte/HUMAINE/?language=en

just begins to be studied with the perspective of industrial applications such as all entres (Lee et al., 1997) and human-robot intera
tion (Oudeyer, 2003). In this context, our approach contributes to an important challenge, since the surveillance application implies the consideration of a new type of emotion and context $-$ fear-type emotions occurring during abnormal situations $-$ and the integration of new onstraints.

1.2.1 The application: audio-surveillance

Existing automatic surveillance systems are essentially based on video cues to detect abnormal situations: intrusion, abnormal crowd movement, etc.. Such systems aim to provide an assistance to human operators. The parallel surveillance of multiple screens increases indeed the cognitive overload of the staff and raises the matter of vigilan
e.

However audio event detection has only begun to be used in some specific surveillance applications such as medical surveillance (Vacher et al., 2004). Audio cues, such as gun shots or screams (Clavel et al., 2005) typically, may onvey useful informations about riti
al situations. Using several sensors in reases the available information and strengthens the quality of the abnormal situation diagnoses. Besides audio information is useful when the abnormal situation manifestations are poorly expressed by visual cues such as gun-shot events or human shouts or when these manifestations go out of shot of the ameras.

1.2.2 The processing of a specific emotional category: fear-type emotions ocurring during abnormal situations.

Studies dedicated to the recognition of emotion in speech commonly refer to a restricted number of emotions such as the 'Big Six' (see 1.1.2) especially when they are based on acted databases. Among the studied emotions, feartype emotions in their extreme manifestations are not frequently studied in the research field of real-life affective computing. Studies prefer to take into account more moderate emotional manifestations which occur in everyday life and whi
h are shaped by politeness habits and ultural behaviours. Indeed, a large part of appli
ations is dedi
ated to improve the naturalness of the humanma
hine intera
tion for everyday tasks (dialog systems for banks and ommer ial servi
es (Devillers and Vasiles
u, 2003), arti
ial agents (Pela
haud, 2005), robots (Breazeal and Aryananda, 2002)). However, some applications, such as dialog systems for military appli
ations (Varadarajan et al., 2006), (Fernandez and Picard, 2003) or emergency call centres (Vidrascu and Devillers, 2005), deal with strong fear-type emotions in specific contexts (see Section 1.1.1)

The emotions targeted by surveillance applications belong to the specific class

CCEPTED MANUSCR

of emotions emerging in abnormal situations. More pre
isely fear-type emotions may be symptomatic for threat situations where the matter of survival is raised. Here, we are looking for fear-type emotions occurring in dynamic situations, during which the matter of survival is raised. In such situations some expe
ted emotional manifestations orrespond to primary manifestations of fear (Darwin, 1872): they may occur as a reaction to a threat. But the targeted emotional lass in
ludes also more omplex fear-related emotional states (Cowie and Cornelius, 2003) ranging from worry to pani
.

Fear manifestations are indeed varying according to the imminence of the threat (potential, latent, immediate or past). For our surveillance application, we are interested in the human assistan
e by dete
ting not only the threat but also the threat emergen
e. There is therefore a strong interest to onsider all the various emotional manifestations inside the fear lass.

1.2.3 The application constraints

From a surveillance application point of view, the emotion recognition system has to:

- run on data with a high diversity in terms of number and type of speakers,
- ope with more or less noisy environments (e.g. bank, stadium, airport, subway, station),
- be speaker independent and cope with a high number of unknown speakers,
- be text-independent, i.e. not rely on a speech recognition tool, as a consequen
e of the need to deal with various qualities of the re
orded signal in a surveillance application.

1.2.4 Approa
h and outline

In this paper, we tackle all the various steps involved in the development of an emotion re
ognition system:

- the development of a new emotional database in response to the application constraints: the challenge is to collect data which illustrate a large scope of threat contexts, emotional manifestations, speakers, and environments (Section 2),
- the definition and development of a task-dependent annotation strategy which integrates this diversity and the evolution of emotional manifestations according to the situation (Section 2),
- the extraction of relevant acoustic features for fear-type emotions characterization: the difficulty relies in finding speaker-independent and textindependent relevant features (Section 3),

- the development of an emotion re
ognition system based on ma
hinelearning techniques: the system needs to be robust to the variability of the expected data and to the noise environment (Section 3).
- the performan
e evaluation in experimental onditions as lose as possible to those of the effective targeted application (Section 4).

2Collection and annotation of fear-type emotions in dynamic sit-

2.1 Collection of audiovisual recordings illustrating abnormal situations

Abnormal situations are especially rare and unpredictable and real-life surveillance data are often inaccessible in order to protect personal privacy. Given these difficulties, we chose to rely on a type of support hitherto unexploited by emotional studies, namely the fiction. Our fiction corpus (the SAFE Corpus – Situation Analysis in a Fi
tional and Emotional orpus) onsists of 400 audiovisual sequen
es in English extra
ted from a olle
tion of 30 re
ent movies from various genres: thrillers, psychological drama, horror movies, movies which aim at re
onstituting dramati news items or histori
al events or natural disasters. The duration of the orpus totals 7 hours of re
ordings organized in sequen
es from 8 se
onds to 5 minutes long. A sequen
e is a movie se
tion illustrating one type of situation $-$ kidnapping, physical aggression, flood etc. The sequence duration depends on the way of illustration and segmentation of the targeted situation in the movie. A majority -71% – of the SAFE corpus depicts abnormal situations with fear-type emotional manifestations among other emotions, the remaining data consisting in normal situations to ensure the occurence of a sufficient number of other emotional states or verbal interactions.

The fictional movie support has so far rarely been exploited for emotional computing studies⁵. On the one hand, fiction undoubtedly provides acted emotions and audio recordings effects which cannot always reflect a true picture of the situation. Furthermore, the audio and video hannels are often remixed afterward and are re
orded under better onditions than in real surveillance data. On the other hand, we are here working on data very different from laboratory data, whi
h are taken out of ontext with lean re
ording conditions, and which have been largely studied in the past. The fiction provides re
ordings of emotional manifestations in their environmental noise. It offers a large scope of believable emotion portrayals. Emotions are expressed by skilled actors in interpersonal interactions. The large context defined by the

⁵ We found only one paper (Amir and Cohen, 2007) which exploits dialog extracted from an animated film to study emotional speech.

CCEPTED MANU

movie script favours the identification of actors with characters and tends to stir genuine emotions. Besides, the emotional material is quite relevant from the application point of view. Various threat situations, speakers, and recording onditions are indeed illustrated. This diversity is required for surveillan
e applications. But the two major contributions of such a corpus are:

- the dynami aspe
t of the emotions: the orpus illustrates the emotion evolution according to the situation in interpersonal interactions.
- the diversity of emotional manifestations: the fiction depicts a large variety of emotional manifestations which could be relevant for number of applications but which would be very difficult to collect in real life.

2.2 In situ description of the emotional content

We propose a task-dependent annotation strategy which aims both to define the emotional lasses that will be onsidered by the system and to provide information to help understand system behaviours.

2.2.1 Annotation tools and strategy

The annotation scheme is defined via the XML formalism (eXtensive Mark-up) Language) under ANVIL (Kipp, 2001) (Devillers et al., 2005a) whi
h provides an appropriate interfa
e for multimodal orpora annotation (see Figure 1).

The audio content description is carried out 'in situ', which means in the ontext of the sequen
e and with the help of video support. It onsists in the sequence description of both situational and emotional contents. The sequence is split into audio-based annotation units $-\theta$ the segments. These derive from the dialog and emotional structure of the interpersonal interactions. The segment orresponds to a speaker turn or a portion of speaker turn with an homogeneous emotional ontent, that is without abrupt emotional hange, taking into account the following emotional descriptors (categorical and dimensional). This '*in situ*' description makes it possible to capture the evolution of the emotional manifestations occurring in a sequence and to study its correlation with the evolution of the situation.

$2.2.2$ Annotation tracks

The situation illustrated in the sequence is depicted by various contextual tracks:

- The *speaker track* provides the genre of the speaker and also its position in

Annotation tool: ANVIL (DFKI)

In situ emotional description: emotional manifestation evolution during the sequence

Fig. 1. Annotation s
heme under ANVIL

the intera
tion (aggressor, vi
tim or others).

- The *threat track* gives information about the degree of imminence of the threat (no threat, potential, latent, immediate or past threats) and its intensity. Besides, a ategorization of threat types is proposed by answering the following step by step questions: If there is a threat, is it known by the $victim(s)$? Do the victims know the origin of the threat? Is the aggressor present in the sequence? Is he/she a familiar of the victims?
- The *speech track* stores the verbal and non-verbal (shouts, breathing) content of speech according to the $LDC⁶$ transcription rules. The type of audio environment (music/noise) and the quality of speech are also detailed. The ategories obtained via this annotation ould be employed to test the robustness of the dete
tion methods to environmental noise.

⁶ Linguisti Data Consortium

Categorical and dimensional descriptors are used to describe the emotional manifestations at the segment level. Categorical descriptors provide a taskdependent description of the emotional content with various levels of accuracy. Indeed, it is especially difficult to accurately delimit the emotional categories (in terms of per
eived lasses for the annotation strategy and of a
ousti models for the dete
tion system see Se
tion 1.1.2) when the data variability is high, as it is the case here. In order to limit the number of emotion classes, we have selected four major emotion classes: global class fear, other negative emotions, neutral, positive emotions. Global class fear corresponds to all fearrelated emotional states and the neutral class corresponds to non-negative and non-positive emotional speech with a faint emotional activation, as defined in Devillers (2006)⁷. These broad emotional categories are specified by emotional subcategories which are chosen from a list of emotions occurring in abnormal situations. This list onsists in both simple sub
ategories presented in Table 1 and mixed sub
ategories obtained by ombining the simple sub
ategories (e.g. stress-anger).

Table 1

Dimensional descriptors are based on three abstract dimensions: evaluation, intensity and reactivity. They are quantified on discrete scales. Evaluation axis covers discrete values from wholly negative to wholly positive $(-3,-2,-1)$ $1,0,+1,+2,+3$. The intensity and reactivity axes provide four levels from 0 to 3. The intensity dimension is a variant of the activation dimension defined in

⁷ The concept of neutral emotion is ambiguous and needs to be clarified. The perception of "*neutral*" emotion is speaker-dependent and varies according to the "emotional intelligence" of the labellers (Clavel et al., 2006a). In this work, the "*neutral*" emotion corresponds to the cases where the judges could not perceive any emotion in the multimodal expression. Indeed, we are here focusing on the expressive aspect of the emotional phenomenon, that is one of the three aspects (cognitive, physiological, and expressive) currently accepted as composing the emotional phenomenon (S
herer, 1984). Harrigan et al. (2005) spe
ify also this fo
us on the expressive aspe
t of emotion to define neutral attributes for their study.

psy
hologi
al theories (Osgood et al., 1975) as the level of orporal ex
itation expressed by physiological reactions such as heartbeat increasing or transpiration. But we prefer to use the intensity dimension as we estimate it more suitable for the des
ription of the oral emotional manifestations. For intensity and evaluation, the level 0 corresponds to neutral. The reactivity value indiates whether the speaker seems to be subje
ted to the situation (passive, level 0) or to rea
t to it (a
tive, level 3) and has been adapted to the appli
ation ontext from the most frequently used third dimension - named the ontrol dimension (Russell, 1997). Besides this dimension is only used for emotional manifestations occurring during threats.

Abstract dimensions allow the specification of the broad emotional categories by combining the different levels of the scaled abstract dimensions. The pereptual salien
e of those des
riptors and of the annotation unit was evaluated at the beginning of our work and as a preliminary step in validating the data a
quisition and annotation strategy in Clavel et al. (2004).

2.2.3 Annotation task of labellers

The segmentation and the first annotation of the corpus were carried out by a native English labeller (Lab1). Two other Fren
h/English bilingual labellers (Lab2 and Lab3) independently annotated the emotional ontent of the presegmented sequen
es. It would be interesting to arry out further annotation exercises to strengthen the reliability but the annotation task is especially ostly.

The contextual and video support of the sequence complicates the segment annotation and in
reases the annotation time. Indeed, the annotation of the emotional ontent in the pre-segmented sequen
es (7 hours) takes about 100 hours as the decision is taken by considering the context and the several channels (audio, video). But this support is crucial to strengthen the reliability of the annotations. The segmentation pro
ess is also very ostly, sin
e the omplete segmentation and annotation task takes twi
e the time of the simple annotation of the pre-segmented sequen
es. Given the s
ale of this task we do not so far have a validation proto
ol for the segmentation step and for the other annotation tra
ks.

2.2.4 Evaluation of the reliability

When dealing with emotion computing, there are two main aspects to handle: the diversity of emotional manifestations and the subje
tivity of emotion per ception. We attempt to deal with the first aspect by considering various levels of accuracy in our annotation strategy. The second aspect is here unraveled by a omparative in-depth analysis of the annotations obtained by the three

labellers (Lab1, Lab2 and Lab3). The inter-labeller agreement is evaluated using traditional kappa statisti
s (Carletta, 1996) (Bakeman and Gottman, 1997) for the four emotional ategories, and using Cronba
h's alpha measure (Cronba
h, 1951) for the three dimensions.

The kappa coefficient κ corresponds here to the agreement ratio taking into account the proportion of times that raters would agree by chance alone:

$$
\kappa = \frac{\bar{p_o} - \bar{p_e}}{1 - \bar{p_e}}
$$

where $\bar{p_o}$ is the observed agreement proportion and $\bar{p_e}$ the chance term. These two proportions are computed as follows: $\bar{p}_0 = \frac{1}{N_s}$ $\frac{1}{N_{seg}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{seg}} p_{seg_i} \text{ and } \bar{p_e} =$ $\sum_{k=1}^K p_{cl_k}^2$. p_{cl_k} corresponds to the overall proportion of segments labelled with the class k, and the proportion p_{seg_i} corresponds to the measure of agreement on each segment i between the N_{ann} labellers. The kappa is at 0 when the agreement level orresponds to han
e, and at 1 when the agreement is total.

Cronba
h's alpha is another measure of inter-labeller reliability, more suitable than kappa for labels on a numeri
al s
ale. It is omputed by the following formula:

$$
\alpha = \frac{N_{ann}.\bar{r}}{1 + (N_{ann} - 1).\bar{r}}
$$

where \bar{r} is the average intercorrelation between the labellers. The higher the score, the more reliable the generated scale is. The widely-accepted social science cut-off is that alpha values at .70 or higher correspond to an acceptable reliability coefficient but lower thresholds are sometimes used in the literature (Nunnaly, 1978).

The Cronba
h's alpha and the kappa statisti
s omputed on the SAFE Corpus between the three labellers' annotations are presented in Table 2.

Table 2

Kappa score and Cronbach's alpha coefficient computed between the three labellers				
computed on the 5275 segments of the SAFE corpus				

The kappa score obtained for the agreement level of the four emotional categories between the three labellers is 0.49, which is an acceptable level of agreement for subje
tive phenomena su
h as emotions (Landis and Ko
h, 1977). Indeed, the use of global emotional ategories allows us to obtain an acceptable level of agreement for the development of an automatic emotion re
ognition system. Moreover, this hoi
e has been adopted by other studies: Douglas-Cowie et al. (2003), Shafran et al. (2003), Devillers et al. (2005b).

On the other hand, the kappas obtained for the three labellers are indeed mu
h lower than for global ategories. The kappa used here is the same as the one used for the categories and is dedicated to measure the level of strict agreement between the dimensional levels. The best kappa value is at 0.32 and is obtained for the evaluation axis from which the categories are derived. It shows that the level of strict agreement is poor and not sufficient to use the dimensions as distin
t lasses for the system. However the labellers' annotations according to the dimensions come out as correlated especially for intensity and evaluation, as illustrated by the high Cronba
h's alpha values in Table 2. Ea
h labeller seems to use his own referen
e s
ale on the dimension axis. However, this dimensional annotation provides interesting information to analyse the discrepancies between the labellers' annotations such as done in Clavel et al. (2006a).

For the system presented in this work, we make use of the annotation in global categories. For each category, we keep the data annotated as this category by the two labellers who have shown the highest disagreement on the entire orpus (the ouple of labellers who has the lowest kappa). Segments for whi
h these two labellers disagree are not onsidered for the system. This choice corresponds to a trade-off between the quantity and the reliability of the data considered for the training. Indeed, we did not choose to consider for the system the three annotations be
ause the quantity of data where the three annotations converge is insufficient to build Gaussian mixture models. The interse
tion of two annotations allows us to obtain more data and the onsideration of the two most divergent labellers (with the lowest kappa) ensures that on the data, where they agree, someone else would more probably also agree⁸.

⁸ Another solution to obtain a trade-off between the quantity and the reliability is to consider the segments where at least two of the evaluators agree. This configuration ould be tested in a future work.

2.3 2.3 SAFE Corpus Content

$2.3.1$ Global content

Table 3 describes the SAFE corpus content in terms of sequences and segments. The segment duration depends on dialog interactions and on emotional variations in a speaker's turn. It follows that the segment duration is highly variable. The 5275 segments of the SAFE orpus represent 85% of the total duration of the orpus, and orrespond to 6 hours of spee
h. The remaining 15% orrespond to portions of re
ordings without spee
h, that is with silen
e or noise only.

Table 3 SAFE Corpus Content

$2.3.2$ 2.3.2 Sound environments

In most movies, recording conditions tend to mirror reality: speaker movements implying a natural variation in voice sound level are thus respected. However, the principal speaker will be audible more often in a fictional context. We can hypothesize that this is not systematically the case in real recording onditions. Overall, the sound environments are strongly dependent on the movie and may vary inside a movie and also inside a sequen
e. They depend on the type of situations depicted and their evolution.

The first diagram (Figure 2) indicates the segment distribution according to their sound environment (N= noise only, M = music only, N & M = noise and music, clean $=$ without neither noise nor music) and the second diagram (Figure 3) according to speech quality (Q0 =bad quality to Q3 =good quality). 78% of the segments present an acceptable level of speech quality ($Q2$ or $Q3$) even though clean segments are not the majority part (21%) of the corpus. It shows that, despite the strong presence of noise or music, the speech quality is quite good. The orpus provides therefore a high number of exploitable segments. As presented further (see corpus $SAFE$ 1 in Section 4.1), we select, for the system development and evaluation, segments with an acceptable level

of spee
h quality.

Fig. 2. Segment division according to the sound environment.

2.3.3 Speakers

The surveillan
e appli
ation needs to ope with a high number of unknown speakers. With this in mind, the SAFE Corpus contains about 400 different speakers. The distribution of speech duration according to gender is as follows: 47% male speakers, 31% female speakers, 2% hildren. The remaining 20% of spoken duration onsists in overlaps between speakers, in
luding oral manifestations of the crowd (2%) . We are aware of the need to process all the various types of spoken manifestations in
luding overlaps for the ultimate application. However, the current work, given its exploratory character, does not take into account the 20% of the spoken duration consisting in overlaps (see corpus SAFE $\,$ 1 in Section 4.1), as the acoustic modelling of fear is much harder in this case (e.g concurrent sources for pitch estimation).

2.3.4 Emotions

In this paper we emphasize the main features characterizing the emotional ontent of the SAFE orpus, that is the presen
e of extreme fear as illustrated by abstra
t dimension intensity and the relationship between the emotion label and ontext (threat). The emotional ontent is presented by onsidering the per
entage of attributions for ea
h label by the three labellers, so that the three annotations are taken into account⁹. The attribution percentage of the four emotional ategories is thus the following: 32% for fear, 31% for other negative emotions, 29% for neutral, and 8% for positive emotions.

⁹ We don't use the majority voting because there are more possible annotation hoi
es than labellers. So there are segments where the three labellers may have three distinct annotations and which could therefore not be taken into account for the orpus des
ription.

The attribution per
entages of the various levels of the three dimensions is presented in the table 4. The reactivity is only evaluated on segments occurring during abnormal situations $(71\% \text{ of the segments})$ (see Section 2.2.2). In this ontext, the emotional manifestations are more majoritary asso
iated with a low rea
tivity of the speaker to the threat. Very few segments are evaluated as positive on the evaluation axis (8% of positive emotions) and almost none of them is evaluated as level 3. Another specificity of our corpus consists in the presen
e of intense emotional manifestations: 50% of the segments are evaluated as level 2 or 3 on the intensity axis.

corpacted the second complete port contempt of							
levels dimensions	-3	-2	-1			2	3
intensity	٠			29%	21\%	30%	20%
evaluation	9%	34%	20%	29%	5%	3%	0%
reactivity	\blacksquare			6%	$36\% + 19\%$		10%

SAFE Corpus Content: attribution per
entage of emotional dimensions ❳

Fear-type emotions are perceived as more intense than other emotions. 85% of fear segments are labelled as level 2 or 3 on the intensity s
ale while the major part of other emotions are labelled level 1. Besides, the presence of cries (139) seems to be asso
iated with the presen
e of extreme fear.

2.3.5 Emotional manifestations and threat

The correlation of categorical descriptions of emotions with the threat provides a rich material to analyse the various emotional reactions to a situation. Figure 4 shows the distribution of ea
h emotional ategory (fear, other negative emotions, neutral, positive emotions) as a function of the threat imminence. Fear is the major emotion during latent and immediate threats. By ontrast fear is not very mu
h in eviden
e during normal situations. Normal situations in
lude a major part of neutral segments and also negative and positive emotions. Latent and past threats seem to cause a large part of other negative emotions than fear, whi
h suggests that emotional rea
tions against a threat may be various.

Table 5 illustrates the segment distribution $(\%)$ according to each fear subcategory for each degree of imminence. The subcategory anxiety has almost never been sele
ted by the labellers. Therefore we hoose to merge the two subcategories worry and anxiety. The three last columns correspond to the most frequent mixed ategories (see Se
tion 2.2.2). Taken as a whole, the sub ategories whi
h are the most represented are thus anxiety-worry, pani and stress. During immediate threats, the major emotional subategory is pani (31.8%). By ontrast, normal situations in
lude a major part of anxiety-worry

Fig. 4. Segment distribution according to emotional categories for each degree of threat imminen
e

but neither distress nor fear mixed with suffering, and very little terror and stress during normal situations. These subategories are almost ex
lusively present during immediate or latent threats. Otherwise, it is worth noting that fear frequently occurs mixed with other emotions such as anger (mostly), surprise, sadness and suffering.

During past threats, the subcategories anxiety-worry and panic are in the majority. The past threats whi
h are depi
ted in the SAFE orpus are indeed threats occurring at the end of a sequence, and they occur just after immediate threats. It explains the presen
e of similar emotional manifestations as those occurring during immediate threats, yet with a higher proportion of anxiety aused by the threat.

Table 5

Segment distribution $(\%)$ according to each fear sub-category for each imminence degree (Pot. = potential, Lat. = latent, Imm. = immediate, Norm. = normal situation, anx. $=$ anxiety, wor. $=$ worry, ang. $=$ anguish, distr. $=$ distress, pan. $=$ panic, $terr. = terror.$

ι _c ι _i ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι									
emotion threat	anx.	stress	ang.	distr.	pan.	terr.	fear-	fear-	fear-
	wor.						anger	suffering	surprise
Norm.	64,1	4,3	5.4	0,0	12,0	7.6	3,3	0,0	2,2
Pot.	61,2	4,1	6,1	0,0	10,2	6,1	10,2	0,0	2,0
Lat.	50,9	9,7	8,3	5,5	17,3	4,2	3,1	0,0	0,0
Imm.	25,2	13.7	6,7	6,0	31,8	8,1	3,5	2,7	0,8
Past	42,0	4,0	4,0	8,0	22,0	6,0	6,0	0,0	0,0

3 Carrying out an audio-based fear-type emotion re
ognition sys-

The fear-type emotion detection system focuses on differentiating fear class from neutral lass. The audio stream has been manually pre-segmented into decision frames which correspond to the *segments* as defined in Section 2.2. The system is based on acoustic cues and focuses as a first step on classifying the predefined emotional segments.

3.1 Acoustic features extraction, normalization and selection

The emotional ontent is usually des
ribed in terms of global units su
h as the word, the syllable or the 'chunk' (Batliner et al., 2004), (Vidrascu and Devillers, 2005) by computing statistics. Alternatively, some studies use descriptions at the frame analysis level (Schuller et al., 2003). Here, we propose a new description approach which integrates various units of description that are at both the frame analysis level and the trajectory level. A trajectory gathers successive frames with the same voicing condition (see Figure 5). These two temporal description levels have the advantage of being automatically extracted.

Emotions in abnormal situations are accompanied by a strong body activity, such as running or tensing, which modifies the speech signal, in particular by increasing the proportion of unvoiced speech. Therefore some *segments* in the orpus do not ontain a su
ient number of voi
ed frames. The information conveyed by the voiced content of the segment is therefore insufficient to deduce whether it is a fear segment or not. Such segments occur less frequently in everyday spee
h than in strong emotional spee
h. Here, 16% of the olle
ted fear segments against 3% of the neutral segments ontain less than 10% of voi
ed frames. The voi
ed model is not able to exploit those segments. Given the frequency of unvoiced portions and in order to handle this deficiency of the voi
ed model, a model of the emotional unvoi
ed ontent needs to be built. The studies which take the unvoiced portions into account consist of global temporal level des
riptions (S
huller et al. (2004)), by omputing for example the proportion of unvoi
ed portions in a `
hunk'. Our approa
h is original because it separately considers:

- the voiced content traditionally analysed and which corresponds to vowels or voiced consonants such as "b" or "d" and,
- the *unvoiced content* which is a generic term for both articulatory non voiced portions of the spee
h (for example obstruants) and portions of non-modal speech produced without voicing (for example creaky, breathy voice, mur-

mur).

The speech flow of each segment is divided into successive frames of 40 ms with a 30 ms overlap. The voicing strength of the frame is evaluated under Praat (Boersma and Weenink, 2005) by comparing the autocorrelation function to a threshold in order to divide the speech flow into voiced and unvoiced portions. Features are first computed frame by frame. In order to model the temporal evolution of the features, their derivatives and statisti
s (min, max, range, mean, standard deviation, kurtosis, skewness) are then omputed at the tra je
tory level su
h as illustrated in Figure 5. Some features (the jitter, the shimmer and the unvoiced proportion) are computed at the *segment* level.

Fig. 5. Feature extraction method which separately considers the voiced and unvoiced ontent and integrates various temporal levels of des
ription

The computed features allow us to characterize three types of acoustic content, and can be sorted into three feature groups:

- the *prosodic group* which includes features relating to pitch (F0), intensity contours, and the duration of the voiced trajectory, which are extracted with Praat. Pitch is computed using a robust algorithm for periodicity detection based on signal auto
orrelation on ea
h frame. Pit
h and duration of the voiced trajectory are of course computed only for the voiced content;
- the voice quality group which includes the jitter (pitch modulation), the shimmer (amplitude modulation), the unvoiced rate (corresponding to the proportion of unvoi
ed frames in a given segment) and the harmoni to noise ratio (ratio of signal periodic part to non-harmonic part computed here using the algorithm developed in Yegnanarayana et al. (1998). The HNR allows us to hara
terize the noise ontribution of spee
h during the vocal effort. The perceived noise is due to irregular oscillations of the vocal ords and to additive noise. The algorithm relies on the substitution degree for harmoni
s by noise.
- the *spectral and cepstral features group* consisting of the first two formants and their bandwidths, the Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC),

the Bark band energy (BBE) and the spectral centroid (Cs) .

A total of 534 features are thus calculated for the voiced content and 518 for the unvoi
ed ontent.

Acoustic features are not varying exclusively with the emotional content. They are also dependent on the speaker and on the phonetic content. It is typically the case for pitch-related features and for the first two formants. To handle this difficulty most of the studies use a speaker normalization for pitch-related features and a phoneme normalization for the first two formants. However the speaker normalization may be judged as inadequate to the surveillan
e, since the system needs to be speaker independent and has to cope with a high number of unknown speakers. The SAFE corpus provides about 400 different speakers for this purpose. The phoneme normalization is here also not performed as it relies on the use of a spee
h re
ognition tool in order to be able to align the transcription and the speech signal. The recording conditions of the spee
h signal in a surveillan
e appli
ation require to develop a text-independent emotion detection system which does not rely on a speech re
ognition tool. As a preliminary solution, we hoose to use a min-max normalization which consists in the scaling of the features between -1 and 1. However, in future work, we plan to test more omplex normalization te
hniques su
h as those used for speaker re
ognition (e.g. feature warping) and which might improve robustness to the mismatch of sound recordings and to noise.

The feature spa
e is redu
ed by sele
ting the 40 most relevant features for a two class discrimination by using the Fisher selection algorithm (Duda and Hart, 1973) in two steps. A first selection is carried out on each feature group (prosodi
, voi
e quality, and spe
tral) separately. One fth of features is selected for each group providing a first feature set including about 100 features. The final feature set is then selected by applying the Fisher algorithm to the first feature set a second time. This method avoids having strong redundancies between the selected features by forcing the selection algorithm to select features from ea
h group. The salien
e of the features is evaluated separately for the voiced and unvoiced contents. The Fisher selection algorithm relies on the omputation of the Fisher Dis
riminant Ratio (FDR) of ea
h feature i:

$$
FDR_i = \frac{(\mu_{i,neutral} - \mu_{i, fear})^2}{\sigma_{i,neutral}^2 + \sigma_{i, fear}^2}
$$

where $\mu_{i,neutral}$ and $\mu_{i, fear}$ are class mean value of feature vector i for fear class and neutral class respectively and $\sigma_{i,neutral}^2$ and $\sigma_{i, fear}^2$ the variance values.

3.2 Machine learning and decision process

The classification system merges two classifiers, the *voiced classifier* and the unvoiced classifier, which consider respectively the voiced portions and the unvoi
ed portions of the segment (Clavel et al., 2006b).

The lassi
ation is performed using the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) based approa
h whi
h has been thoroughly ben
hmarked in the spee
h ommunity. For each class C_q (Fear, Neutral and for each classifier (Voiced, Un*voiced*) a probability density is computed and consists of a weighted linear combination of 8 Gaussian components $p_{m,q}$:

$$
p(x/C_q) = \sum_{m=1}^{8} w_{m,q} p_{m,q}(x)
$$

where $w_{m,q}$ are the weighted factors. Other model orders have been tested but led to worse results. The ovarian
e matrix is diagonal whi
h means that the models are trained by onsidering independently the data orresponding to ea
h feature.

The parameters of the models (the weighted factors, the mean vector and the ovarian
e matrix of ea
h Gaussian omponent) are estimated using the traditional Expe
tation-Maximization algorithm (Dempster et al., 1977) with 10 iterations.

Classification is performed using the Maximum A Posteriori decision rule. For the voiced classifier, the A Posteriori Score (APS) of a segment associated with each class corresponds to the mean a *posteriori* log-probability and is omputed by multiplying the probabilities obtained for ea
h voi
ed analysis frame, giving for example for the voiced content:

$$
\tilde{APS}_{voiced}(C_q) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{fvoiced}} \log(p(x_n/C_q))}{N_{fvoiced}}
$$

Depending on the proportion r of voiced frames $(r \in [0, 1])$ in the segment, a weight (w) is assigned to the classifiers in order to obtain the final APS of the segment:

$$
APS_{final} = (1 - w) * APS_{voiced} + w * APS_{unvoiced}
$$

The weight is dependent on the voiced rate $(r \in [0, 1])$ of the segment according to the following function: $w = 1 - r^{\alpha}$. α varies from 0 (the results of unvoiced classifier are considered only when the segment does not contain any voiced frame) to $+\infty$ (only the results of unvoiced classifier are considered). The rate that the weight decreases as a function of the voiced rate is adjusted with α .

The segment is then classified according to the class (fear or neutral) that has the maximum *a posteriori* score:

$$
q_0 = \arg\max_{1 \in [1:q]} \tilde{APS}_{final}(C_q)
$$

4Experimental validation and results

4.1 Experimental database and protocol

Table 6

The SAFE orpus stands for the variability of spoken emotional manifestations in abnormal situations at several levels: in terms of speakers, sound et
. In order to restrict this variability given the exploratory character of this work, we focused here on the most prototypical emotional distinction, i.e. the fear vs. neutral dis
rimination. The following experiments and analysis are thus performed on a subcorpus containing only *good quality* segments labelled fear and neutral. The quality of the speech in the segments concerns the speech audibility and has been evaluated by the labellers (see Se
tion 2.3). Remaining segments in
lude various environment types (noise, musi
). Segments with overlaps between speakers have been dis
arded (see Se
tion 2.3.3). Only segments, where the two human labellers who have obtained the lowest kappa value agree, are considered (see Section 2.2.4), i.e. a total of 994 segments (38% of fear segments and 62% of neutral segment). Table 6 shows the quantity of data corresponding to each class in terms of segment, trajectory and frame analysis. This subcorpus will be named SAFE 1.

Classes	number of seg.		number of traj. number of frames duration	
Fear	381	2891	113 385	19 min
Neutral	613	5417	181 615	30 min
$\rm Total$	994	8308	295 000	49 min

Experimental database $SAFE$ ^[1] (seg. = segment, traj. = trajectories)

The test protocol follows the *Leave One Movie Out* protocol: the data is divided into 30 subsets, ea
h subset ontains all the segments of a movie. 30 trainings are performed, ea
h time leaving out one of the subsets from training, and then the omitted subset is used for the test. This proto
ol ensures that the speaker used for the test is not found in the training database 10 .

¹⁰ This is actually almost the case. Three speakers over the 400 speakers can be found in two films.

4.2 Global system behaviour

4.2.1 Sele
ted features

It comes out from the feature selection step that pitch-related features are the most useful for the fear vs. neutral voiced classifier. With regard to voice quality features, both the jitter and the shimmer have been selected. The spectral centroid is also the most relevant spectral feature for the voiced content. As for the unvoi
ed ontent, spe
tral features and the Bark Band Energy in parti
ular ome out as the most useful.

Each classifier considers the features selected as the most relevant for the twolasses dis
rimination problem. Table 7 and Table 8 show the 40 sele
ted features sorted by group for each content voiced or unvoiced. For the voiced content, the prosodic features are all selected after the second overall Fisher selection, which means that this feature group – especially the pitch related features – seems to be the most relevant for the fear-type emotions characterization. Voi
e quality features also seem to be relevant: both, jitter and shimmer have been sele
ted. However the harmoni to noise ratio has not been sele
ted. This may be explained by the presen
e of various environmental noise in our data which makes the HNR estimation more difficult. We should also keep in mind that the presence of music could bias the feature sele
tion, su
h as the environmental noise. However, the segments whi
h have been selected for the experiments contains also background music, but at a rather high signal (speech) to noise (music) ratio, so that this influence should not be detrimental.

The spe
tral and epstral features whi
h orrespond to lower level features are preferred over the HNR. This feature group $-$ initially in the greatest number – was the most represented in the final feature set. The most relevant spectral feature is the spe
tral entroid and epstral features seem to be more relevant than features des
ribing dire
tly the spe
tral energy. Formants are also largely represented in the final feature set.

For the unvoiced content the Bark band energy-related features seem to be more relevant than cepstral features. The HNR has also been selected.

Overall, the selected features correspond to statistics computed at the trajectortory level whi
h seems to be suitable for emotional ontent hara
terization.

4.2.2 Voiced classifier vs. unvoiced classifier

Classification performance is evaluated by the equal error rate (EER). The EER corresponds to the error rate value occurring when the decision threshold

Table 7

List of the 40 selected features for the voiced content of $SAFE_1$ (Table 6). Nini1 = number of extracted features, Nini2 = number of features which are submitted to the second selection (Nini2 = $\lceil \frac{Nini1}{5} \rceil$), Nfinal = number of selected features at the end of the two successive selections, stdev = standard deviation, kurt = kurtosis, skew = $skewness, d= derivative$

Group	$\mathrm{Nini2/Nini1}$	Selected features	Nfinal/Nini2
Prosodic	7/33	$meanF_0, \quad minF_0, \quad F_0, \quad maxF_0,$ $stdevdF_0$, rangedF ₀ , rangeF ₀	7/7
Vocie quality	8/37	Jitter, Shimmer	2/8
Spectral	93/464	minMFCC1, $meanC_s$, $meanMFCC4, \qquad maxF_1,$ $minMFCC4, mindF_1, mindF_2,$ $mean MFCC1, \trange dF_1,rangedf_2, rangeF_1, rangeF_2,MFCC4, \quad MFCC1, \quad stdevF_2,maxdF_1, maxdF_2, maxMFCC4,maxC_s, minMFCC3,skewBBE3, meanBBE3, maxF_2,\label{eq:stdev} \textit{stdevdMFCC11}, \quad \quad \textit{stdevdF}_2,kurt dF_1, \qquad minF_2, \qquad kurtF_1,rangeMFCC1, \quad stdevdMFCC6,minMFCC6$	31/93

Table 8

List of the 40 selected features for the unvoiced content of SAFE 1 (Table 6).

Group	Nini2/Nini1	Selected features	Nfinal/Nini2
Prosodic	4/16	rangeInt	1/4
Voice quality	8/36	tauNonVoise, kurtdPAP	2/8
Spectral	93/464	$rangeBBE6$, $rangeBBE10$, $rangeBBE7$, $stdevBBE7$, $stdevBBE6$, $rangeBBE8$, $stdevBBE10$, $rangeBBE5$, $rangeBBE11$, $rangeBBE12$, $rangeBBE9$, stdevBBE8, $maxMFCC3$, $stdevBBE5$, stdevBBE9 $rangeBBE4$, rangeMFCC10, $minBBE10$, $rangeMFCC12$, $stdevBBE11$, rangeMFCC8 $minBBE7$, $minBBE6$, $rangeBBE3$, rangeMFCC6 $minBBE8$, $minMFCC11$, $stdevBBE12$, $range dBBE6$, $stdevBBE4$, $minBBE9$, $meanMFCC3$, rangeMFCC11, rangedBBE5, $maxBw_1$, $ranqedBBE4$, $maxBw_2$	37/93

CCEPTED MAN

of the GMM classifier is set such that the recall will be approximately equal to the precision.

Figure 6 shows the EER for fear from neutral classification for various values of α . The voiced classifier is more efficient than the unvoiced one. The EER reaches 40% when the unvoiced classifier is used alone $(\alpha = \infty)$. This worst ase is equivalent to never onsidering the voi
ed ontent. However, the EER is at 32% when the voiced classifier is used in priority (the unvoiced classifier is used only when the segments are totally unvoiced, $\alpha = 0$). Best results $(EER = 29\%)$ are obtained when the unvoiced classifier is considered with a weight decreasing quickly as the voiced rate increases $(\alpha = 0.1)$.

Fig. 6. EER according to the weight $(w = 1-r^{\alpha})$ of the unvoiced classifier against the voiced classifier obtained on SAFE 1 (Table 6) (confidence interval at 95%: radius $<$ 3\%)

The confusion matrix resulting from the fear vs. neutral classifier with the alpha parameter set at $\alpha = 0.1$ is presented in Table 9. It illustrates the confusions between the automatic labeling of the classifier and the manual labels provided by the labellers. We ompute also the Mean Error Rate (MER) and the kappa (see Section 2.2.4) between human annotation and system classifiation for the performan
e evaluation. The kappa value at 0.53 orresponds here to the performance of the system taking into account the chance. This value integrates the unbalan
ed repartition of the data into the two lasses and allows us to compare the system with chance (when $\kappa = 0$, the system is working such as chance).

The mean accuracy rate of the system is 71% . It corresponds to quite promising results given the diversity of fear manifestations illustrated in the SAFE Corpus (400 speakers, various emergen
e ontexts and re
ording onditions).

CCEPTED MANU

Table 9

Confusion matrix, mean error rate (MER), equal error rate (EER) and κ for fear vs. neutral classification tested on $SAFE_1$ (Table 6) (confidence interval at 95% : radius $\leq 3\%$ \overline{a}

Otherwise, if one would expe
t deterioration of performan
e when trying to dete
t fear expressed in real ontext, performan
e ould be improved by adapting the system to a specific sound environment and recording condition for a specific surveillance application.

We compute also the confusion matrix between the system outputs and each of the three labellers separately in Tables 10, 11, 12. The EER obtained are between 30% (when considering Lab3's annotation) and 35% (when considering Lab1's annotation) whi
h means a 5% gap. It orresponds to similar results, given the confidence intervals.

Table 10

Confusion matrix for the fear vs. neutral classification system using Lab1's annotations as a reference (704 segments for neutral class and 631 segments for fear class, confidence interval at $\frac{95\%}{8}$ radius $\leq 4\%$

.		
System Lab1	Neutral	Fear
Neutral	70%	30%
Fear	39%	61%
MER	34%	
EER	35%	
κ	0,48	

CCEPTED MAN

Table 11

Confusion matrix for the fear vs. neutral classification system using Lab2's annotations as a reference (1322 segments for neutral class and 518 segments for fear class, confidence interval at $\frac{95\%}{5}$ radius $\leq 4\%$

Table 12

Confusion matrix for the fear vs. neutral classification system using Lab3's annotations as a reference (352 segments for neutral class and 309 segments for fear class, confidence interval at 95% : radius $\leq 5\%)$

System Lab ₃	Neutral	Fear
Neutral	72%	29%
Fear	31%	69%
MER	30%	
EER	30%	
κ	0.53	

4.2.3 System performance vs. human performance

A supplementary "blind" annotation based on the audio support only (i.e. by listening to the segments with no access to the contextual information conveyed by video and by the global ontent of the sequen
e) has been arried out by an additional labeller (LabSys) on SAFE 1. LabSys has to classify the segments into the categories *fear* or *neutral* with the same available information as the one provided to the system. We present in Table 13 the onfusion matrix and the kappa score obtained by LabSys on SAFE 1. This table can be linked with Table 9 in order to ompare the system performan
e with human performan
e.

The kappa obtained by the system is 0.53. It orresponds to a good performan
e ompared to the value of 0.57 obtained by LabSys. However, the behaviors of LabSys and the system are quite different. LabSys is better to recognize *neutral* (99% of correct recognition against 71% for the system) and the system is better to recognize *fear* (70% of correct recognition against 64%) for LabSys).

CCEPTED MA

LabSys annotates more segments as neutral. Almost all the segments annotated neutral and 36% of those annotated fear in SAFE 1 are labelled neutral by LabSys. This shows that some fear cues are difficult to be perceived only with the audio hannel.

4.3 Lo
al system behaviour

Table 14 specifies the system behaviour on the various segments according to the threat during which they occur. With this aim, the emotional category annotations are orrelated with the threat tra
k annotations as presented in Clavel et al. (2007). Five fear sub
lasses are thus obtained:

- NoThreat Fear: fear occurring during normal situation, i.e. situation with no threat,
- Latent Fear: fear occurring during latent threats,
- Potential Fear: fear occurring during potential threats,
- *Immediate Fear*: fear occurring during immediate threats.
- *Past Fear*: fear occurring during past threats.

The reliability of the error rates err is evaluated by the 95% confidence interval (Bengio and Mariéthoz., 2004). The radius r of the confidence interval $I =$ $[err - r; err + r]$ is computed according to the following formula:

$$
r = 1,96 \sqrt{\frac{err(1 - err)}{N_{seg}}}
$$

where N_{seg} is the number of segments used for the test.

The segment distribution of the fear class in the experimental database according to the type of the threat during which the segment occurs is presented in Table 14.

With regard to the fear recognition, we can see in Table 9 that 70% of the segments labelled fear are orre
tly re
ognized by the system. Best performan
es (78%) are obtained on *Immediate Fear* segments. By contrast, the recognition

Table 14

rate falls on fear segments occurring during normal situation $(61\% \pm 18\%)$, potential $(64\% \pm 24\%)$ or latent $(60\% \pm 8\%)$ threats. Indeed, these last types of threats orrespond to situations where the threat is not learly present and where types of fear, such as anxiety or worry, frequently occur. In such segments, fear is less expressed at the acoustic level than in fear segments occuring during immediate or past threats, which explains the performance gap.

Con
lusions and future work $\overline{5}$

The expe
tations in automati emotion re
ognition/dete
tion are ambitious. This research field is still emerging, and the emotional phenomenon remains espe
ially omplex to grasp. In this ontext our study orresponds to a preliminary work. So far, we have explored the different steps and strategies used in the development of a fear-type emotion re
ognition system dedi
ated to a given application, the audio-video surveillance. This innovative application has motivated us to take up new hallenges in terms of emotional database and emotion recognition systems due to the specific class of the targeted emotions and the appli
ative onstraints. Indeed, su
h an appli
ation implies to deal with heterogeneous data in noisy environments, which significantly makes more complex the classification task.

The first issue that we have addressed is the collection of recordings with emotional manifestations occurring in abnormal situations. Abnormal situations are espe
ially rare and unpredi
table and surveillan
e data are hardly accessible in order to protect the person privacy. Besides there is a lack of emotional databases (a
ted or real-life) whi
h illustrate fear-type emotions in threat situations. The audiovisual corpus – the SAFE corpus – that we have built, contributes to handle this deficiency. We use a new material – fiction $\overline{}$ to illustrate in situ emotional manifestations, including fear-type emotions (worry, terror, panic, etc.). More generally, the corpus contains recordings of both normal and abnormal situations and provides a large s
ope of ontexts

and therefore a large s
ope of emotional manifestations. In this way, it forms an interesting support to study a high variety of emotional manifestations.

One of the lessons to be learned from our work, is that it is crucial to develop a detailed annotation s
heme whi
h allows us to better understand the variety of emotional manifestations and the asso
iated system behaviour. One of our major contribution is to have defined an annotation strategy with various levels of a

ura
y whi
h allows us both to better understand the variety of emotional manifestations and to provide omputable emotional lasses. Our annotation strategy has also the particularity to describe simultaneously the emotion evolution and the situation evolution. The annotation has been arried out by three labellers, and the three annotations have been onfronted. This onfrontation underlines the subje
tivity of emotion per
eption and shows that our annotation strategy provides an acceptable level of agreement and constitutes a correct trade-off between genericity (data independent) and easiness of the labellers' task.

Another ontribution whi
h is worth mentioning is the disso
iated des
ription of the speech flow in terms of the voiced and unvoiced contents. This description has the advantage of considering the speech production peculiarities when the speaker is expressing strong emotions su
h as fear. We have extra
ted a large set of acoustic features and a selection of the most salient features has been performed using the Fisher selection algorithm. For the voiced content, the prosodic feature group – especially the pitch-related features – seems to be the most relevant for the fear-type emotion characterization, though voice quality features and lower level features, su
h as spe
tral and epstral features, are also sele
ted.

The fear vs. neutral classification achieves a mean accuracy rate of 71%. This is a quite promising result, given the diversity of fear manifestations illustrated in the SAFE Corpus (400 speakers, various emergence contexts and recording conditions). As the fear class gathers indeed a large scope of emotional manifestations which vary according to threats in particular, we have also studied the system behaviour on fear class according to the threat imminence. As expected, the best performance (78%) is obtained on fear segments occurring during immediate threats. In su
h segments, fear is indeed strongly expressed at the acoustic level with strong acoustic manifestations such as cries.

To sum up, the material used for our study is very complex. Given this complexity and the maturity of the field of emotion computing, we proceeded step by step by providing a first classification fear vs. neutral in order to overcome the omplexity of the data. Indeed, it is important to deal with this omplexity in terms of noisy spee
h and diversity of the data (speakers, situations) be
ause it will be present in real audio surveillan
e data.

The dis
rimination between fear-type emotions and other emotions (e. g. positive and other negative emotions) will be one of the next steps of our study. Besides, it would be interesting to upgrade our system by modelling the evolution and the temporal ontext of the emotional manifestations. This dynami aspe
t is already integrated into the annotation strategy and an analysis of emotional manifestations according to the threat imminence was performed. In a surveillance perspective, we would also like to change from the classification fear vs. neutral to the detection of fear-type emotions among other emotions.

Another challenge, which needs to be answered, is the processing of overlaps between speakers and of crowd emotional manifestations. This type of data are present in the SAFE corpus. They might provide acoustic cues characterizing group and rowd vo
al manifestation during abnormal situations.

- Abelin, A., Allwood, J., 2000. Cross linguisti interpretation of emotional prosody. In: Pro
. of ISCA ITRW on Spee
h and Emotion. Belfast, pp. $110 - 113$.
- Amir, N., Cohen, R., 2007. Characterizing emotion in the soundtrack of an animated film: Credible or incredible? In: Proc. of Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction. Lisbon, pp. 148–158.
- Aubergé, V., Audibert, N., Rilliard, A., 2004. E-wiz: A trapper proto
ol for hunting the expressive speech corpora in lab. In: Proc. of LREC. Lisbon, pp. 179-182.
- Bakeman, R., Gottman, J., 1997. Observing Interaction: an introduction to sequential analysis. Cambridge University Press.
- Banse, R., Scherer, K., 1996. Acoustic profiles in vocal emotion expression. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 70 (3), 614-636.
- Batliner, A., Fischer, K., Huber, R., Spilker, J., Nöth, E., 2003. How to find trouble in communication. Speech Communication 40 $(1-2)$, $117-143$.
- Batliner, A., Steidl, S., Schuller, B., Seppi, D., 2004. "you stupid ting box" children interacting with the aibo robot: a cross-linguistic emotional speech corpus. In: Proc. of LREC. Lisbon, pp. 171-174.
- Batliner, A., Steidl, S., S
huller, B., Seppi, D., Laskowski, K., Vogt, T., Devillers, L., Vidrascu, L., Amir, N., Kessous, L., Aharonson, V., 2006. Combining efforts for improving automatic classification of emotional user states. In: Proc. of Proc. IS-LTC. Ljubliana, pp. 240–245.
- Bengio, S., Mariéthoz., J., 2004. A statistical significance test for person authenti
ation. In: Pro
. of Odyssey 2004: The Speaker and Language Re
ognition Workshop. Toledo.
- Bänziger, T., Pirker, H., K.R., S., 2006. Gemep geneva multimodal emotion

portrayals: A orpus for the study of multimodal emotional expressions. In: Proc. of LREC Workshop on Corpora for Research on Emotion and Affect. Genova, pp. $15-19$.

- Boersma, P., Weenink, D., 2005. Praat: doing phonetics by computer [computer program, from http://www.praat.org/. Tech. rep.
- Breazeal, C., Aryananda, L., 2002. Recognizing affective intent in robot directed speech. Autonomous Robots $12(1)$, $83-104$.
- Campbell, N., Mokhtari, P., 2003. Voice quality: the 4th. prosodic dimension. In: Proc. of International Congress on Phonetic Sciences. Barcelona, pp. 24172420.
- Carletta, J., 1996. Assessing agreement on classification tasks: the kappa statistic. Computational Linguistics $22(2)$, $249-254$.
- Clavel, C., Devillers, L., Richard, G., Vasilescu, I., Ehrette, T., 2007. Detection and analysis of abnormal situations through fear-type a
ousti manifestations. In: Proc. of ICASSP. Honolulu, pp. 21–24.
- Clavel, C., Ehrette, T., Ri
hard, G., 2005. Events dete
tion for an audio-based surveillance system. In: Proc. of ICME. Amsterdam, pp. 1306 – 1309.
- Clavel, C., Vasilescu, I., Devillers, L., Ehrette, T., 2004. Fiction database for emotion detection in abnormal situations. In: Proc. of ICSLP. Jeju, pp. 22772280.
- Clavel, C., Vasilescu, I., Devillers, L., Ehrette, T., Richard, G., 2006a. Feartype emotions of the safe orpus: annotation issues. In: Pro
. of LREC. Genoa, pp. 1099-1104.
- Clavel, C., Vasilescu, I., Richard, G., Devillers, L., 2006b. Voiced and unvoiced ontent of fear-type emotions in the safe orpus. In: Pro
. of Spee
h Prosody. PS6-10-222. Dresden.
- Cowie, R., Cornelius, R., 2003. Des
ribing the emotional states that are expressed in speech. Speech Communication 40 (1-2), 5-32.
- Cronbach, L. J., 1951. Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. Psychometrika 16, 297-334.
- Damasio, A., 1994. Descartes'Error: Emotion, Reason and the Human Brain. Putnam publishing.
- Darwin, C., 1872. The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals. Chi
ago University Press.
- Dellaert, F., Polzin, T., Waibel, A., 1996. Re
ognizing emotion in spee
h. In: Proc. of ICSLP. Philadelphia, pp. 1970 – 1973.
- Dempster, A., Laird, N., Rubin, D., 1977. Maximum likelihood from incomplete fata via the em algorithm. Journal of the Royal Statisti
al So
iety $39(1), 1-38.$
- Devillers, L., December 2006. Les émotions dans les interactions hommema
hine: per
eption, déte
tion et génération. "Habilitation à Diriger des Re
her
hes" Thesis, university Paris XI, Orsay.
- Devillers, L., Abrilian, S., Martin, J.-C., 2005a. Representing real-life emotions in audiovisual data with non basi emotional patterns and ontext features. In: Proc. of ACII. Beijing, pp. 519–526.

- Devillers, L., Vasilescu, I., 2003. Prosodic cues for emotion characterization in real-life spoken dialogs. In: Proc. of Eurospeech. Geneva, pp. 189–192.
- Devillers, L., Vidrascu, L., 2007. Speaker characterization. Springer-Verlag, Ch. Emotion re
ognition.
- Devillers, L., Vidrascu, L., Lamel, L., 2005b. Challenges in real-life emotion annotation and ma
hine learning based dete
tion. Journal of Neural Networks $18(4)$, $407-422$.
- Douglas-Cowie, E., Campbell, N., Cowie, R., Roa
h, P., 2003. Emotional speech: Towards a new generation of databases. Speech Communication $40(1-2), 33-60.$
- Duda, R., Hart, P. E., 1973. Pattern Classification and Scence Analysis. ser. Wiley-Interscience.
- Ekman, P., 1999. Basi Emotions, handbook of ognition and emotion Edition. John Wiley, New York.
- Ekman, P., Friesen, W. V., 1975. Unmasking the Face: A Guide to Recognizing Emotions from Facial Clues. Prentice-Hall.
- Enos, F., Hirshberg, J., 2006. A framework for eliciting emotional speech: Capitalizing on the actor's process. In: Proc. of LREC Workshop on Corpora for Research on Emotion and Affect. Genova, pp. $6-10$.
- Fernandez, R., Picard, R. W., 2003. Modeling drivers' speech under stress. Speech Communication 40 (1-2), 145–159.
- France, D., Shiavi, R., Silverman, S., Silverman, M., Wilkes, D., 2003. Acoustial properties of spee
h as indi
ators of depression and sui
idal risks. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engeneering 47 (7), 829–837.
- Harrigan, J. A., Rosenthal, R., S
herer, K. R., 2005. The New Handbook of Methods in Nonverbal Behavior Resear
h. Oxford University Press (Eds), Oxford, UK.
- Juslin, P., Laukka, P., 2003. Communi
ation of emotions in vo
al expression and music performance: different channels, same code? Psychological Bulletin 129 (5) , 770-814.
- Kienast, M., Sendlmeier, W.-F., 2000. Acoustical analysis of spectral and temporal hanges in emotional spee
h. In: Pro
. of ISCA ITRW on Spee
h and Emotion. Belfast, pp. 92-97.
- Kipp, M., 2001. Anvil a generic annotation tool for multimodal dialogue. In: Proc. of Eurospeech. Aalborg, pp. 1367–1370.
- Kleiber, G., 1990. La sémantique du prototype, Catégories et sens lexi
al. PUF, Paris.
- Kwon, O.-W., Chan, K., Hao, J., Lee, T.-W., 2003. Emotion re
ognition by spee
h signals. In: Pro
. of Eurospee
h. Geneva, pp. 125128.
- Landis, R., Ko
h, G., 1977. The measurement of an observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 33, 159–174.
- Lee, C., Narayanan, S., Pieraccini, R., 2002. Classifying emotions in humanmachine spoken dialogs. In: Proc. of ICME. Lausanne, pp. 737–740.
- Lee, C. M., Narayanan, S., Pieraccini, R., 1997. Facial emotion recognition using multi-modal information. Information, Communi
ations and Signal

Processing 1, 347-401.

- McGilloway, S., 1997. Negative symptoms and speech parameters in s
hizophrenia. Ph.D. thesis, Queen's University, Belfast.
- Mozziconacci, S., 1998. Speech variability and emotion. Ph.D. thesis, Technical University Eidhoven.
- Nunnaly, J., 1978. Psy
hometri theory. New York: M
Graw-Hill.
- Orthony, A., Turner, T., 1990. What's basic about basic emotion? Psychological Review 97, 315-331.
- Osgood, C., mai, W. H., Miron, M., 1975. Cross-cultural Universals of Affective Meaning. University of Illinois Press, Urbana.
- Oudeyer, P.-Y., 2003. The produ
tion and re
ognition of emotions in spee
h: features and algorithms. International Journal of Human Computer Interaction, special issue on Affective Computing 59 (1-2), 157–183.
- Pela
haud, C., 2005. Multimodal expressive embodied onversational agent. In: Pro
. of ACM Multimedia, Brave New Topi
s session. Singapour, pp. $683 - 689.$
- Picard, R., 1997. Affective Computing. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Plutchik, R., 1984. A General Psychoevolutionary Theory. Vol. Approaches to Emotion. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- Russell, J. A., 1997. How shall an emotion be called ? American Psychological Asso
iation,Washington, DC.
- Scherer, K., 2003. Vocal communication of emotion : a review of research paradigms. Speech Communication $40(1-2)$, $227-256$.
- Scherer, K., Schorr, A., Johnstone, T., 2001. Appraisal Processes in Emotion: Theory, Methods, Resear
h. Oxford University Press.
- Scherer, K. R., 1984. On the nature and function of emotion: A component pro
ess approa
h. Lawren
e Erlbaum Asso
iates, Publishers, Londres.
- Scherer, U., Helfrich, H., Scherer, K. R., 1980. Internal push or external pull? Determinants of paralinguisti behavior. Oxford - New York: Pergamon.
- S
hroeder, M., Devillers, L., Karpouzis, K., Martin, J., Pela
haud, C., Peter, C., Pirker, H., Schuller, B., Tao, J., Wilson, I., 2007. What should a generic emotion markup language be able to represent? In: Pro
. of ACII. Lisbon, pp. 440-451.
- Schuller, B., Rigoll, G., Lang, M., 2003. Hidden markov model-based speech emotion recognition. In: Proc. of ICASSP. Hong Kong, pp. 1–4.
- Schuller, B., Rigoll, G., Lang, M., 2004. Speech emotion recognition combining a
ousti features and linguisti information in a hybrid support ve
tor machine-belief network architecture. In: Proc. of ICASSP. Montreal, pp. $80 - 84.$
- Shafran, I., Riley, M., Mohri, M., 2003. Voi
e signatures. In: Pro
. of ASRU Workshop. St Thomas, pp. $31 - 36$.
- Vacher, M., Istrate, D., Besacier, L., J.F.Serignat, Castelli, E., februar 2004. Sound detection and classification for medical telesurvey. In: Proc. of IASTED Biomedical Conference. Innsbruck, pp. 395–399.

van Bezooijen, R., 1984. Characteristics and recognizability of vocal expres-

sions of emotion. Foris Publi
ations, Dordre
ht.

COERT

- Varadarajan, V., Hansen, J., Ayako, I., 2006. Ut-s
ope a orpus for spee
h under cognitive/physical task stress and emotion. In: Proc. of LREC Workshop en Corpora for Research on Emotion and Affect. Genoa, pp. 72–75.
- Vidrascu, L., Devillers, L., 2005. Detection of real-life emotions in call centers. In: Proc. of Eurospeech. Lisbon, pp. 1841–1844.
- Wagner, J.; Vogt, T. E., 2007. A systematic comparison of different hmm designs for emotion recognition from acted and spontaneous speech. In: Proc. of ACII. Lisbon, pp. 114–125.
- Whissel, C., 1989. The dictionary of affect in language. Emotion: Theory, Research, and Experience. New York: Academic Press.
- Yacoub, S., Simske, S., Linke, X., Burns, J., 2003. Recognition of emotions in intera
tive voi
e response system. In: Pro
. of Eurospee
h. Geneva, pp. 729-732.
- Yegnanarayana, B., d'Alessandro, C., Darsino, V., 1998. An iterative algorithm for decomposition of speech signals into periodic and aperiodic components. IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing $6(1)$, 1–11.

MANY 1