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#### Abstract

We consider a nonparametric regression model where $m$ noiseperturbed functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ are randomly observed. For a fixed $\nu \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we want to estimate $f_{\nu}$ from the observations. To reach this goal, we develop an adaptive wavelet estimator based on a hard thresholding rule. Adopting the minimax approach under the mean integrated squared error over Besov balls, we prove that it attains a sharp rate of convergence.
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## 1 Motivations

An indirect nonparametric regression model is considered: we observe $n$ independent pairs of random variables $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ where, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=f_{V_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right)+\xi_{i}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ are $n$ unobserved independent discrete random variables such that, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the set of possible values of $V_{i}$ is

$$
V_{i}(\Omega)=\{1, \ldots, m\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

for any $d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, f_{d}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown function, $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are $n$ i.i.d. random variables having the uniform distribution on $[0,1]$ and $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ are $n$ i.i.d. unobserved random variables such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0, \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}\right)<\infty
$$
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(The distribution of $\xi_{1}$ can be unknown). We suppose that $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ are independent. For a fixed $\nu \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we want to estimate $f_{\nu}$ from $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$. An application of this estimation problem is the following: $m$ noise-perturbed signals $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ are randomly observed and only $f_{\nu}$ is of interest.

To estimate $f_{\nu}$, various methods can be investigated (Kernel, Spline, ...) (see e.g. Prakasa Rao $(1983,1999)$ and Tsybakov (2004)). In this study, we focus our attention on the wavelet methods. They are attractive for nonparametric function estimation because of their spatial adaptivity, computational efficiency and asymptotic optimality properties. They can achieve near optimal convergence rates over a wide range of function classes (Besov balls, ...) and enjoy excellent mean integrated squared error (MISE) properties when used to estimate spatially inhomogeneous function. Details on the basics on wavelet methods in function estimation can be found in Antoniadis (1997) and Härdle et al (1998).

When (1) is considered with $V_{1}=\ldots=V_{n}=1$, it becomes the classical nonparametric regression model. In this case, to estimate $f_{1}=f$, numerous wavelet methods have been developed. See e.g. Donoho and Johnstone (1994, 1995, 1998), Donoho et al (1995), Delyon and Juditsky (1996), Antoniadis et al. (1999), Cai and Brown (1999), Zhang and Zheng (1999), Cai (1999, 2002), Pensky and Vidakovic (2001), Chicken (2003), Kerkyacharian and Picard (2004), Chesneau (2007) and Pham Ngoc (2009). However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no adaptive wavelet estimator for $f_{\nu}$ in the general case.

In this paper, we develop an adaptive wavelet estimator for $f_{\nu}$ using the hard thresholding rule. It has the originality to combine an "observations thresholding technique" introduced by Delyon and Juditsky (1996) with some technical tools taking into account the distributions of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$. We evaluate its performance via the minimax approach under the MISE over Besov balls $B_{p, r}^{s}(M)$ (to be defined in Section 3). Under mild assumptions on the distributions of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$, we prove that our estimator attains the rate of convergence

$$
v_{n}=\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

where $z_{n}$ depends on the distributions of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ (see (5)). This rate is "near optimal" in the sense that it is the one attained by the best nonadaptive linear wavelet estimator (the one which minimizes the MISE) up to a logarithmic term.

The paper is organized as follows. Assumptions on the model and some notations are introduced in Section 2. Section 3 briefly describes the wavelet basis on $[0,1]$ and the Besov balls. The estimators are presented in Section 4. The results are set in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the proofs.

## 2 Assumptions

Additional assumptions on the model (1) are presented below.
Assumption on $\left(f_{d}\right)_{d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}}$. We suppose that there exists a known constant $C_{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}} \sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|f_{d}(x)\right| \leq C_{*} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumptions on $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$. Recall that $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ are unobserved and, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we know

$$
w_{d}(i)=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{i}=d\right), \quad d \in\{1, \ldots, m\} .
$$

We suppose that the matrix

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{k}(i) w_{\ell}(i)\right)_{(k, \ell) \in\{1, \ldots, m\}^{2}}
$$

is nonsingular i.e. $\operatorname{det}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)>0$. For the considered $\nu$ (the one which refers to the estimation of $f_{\nu}$ ) and any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\nu}(i)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k+\nu} \gamma_{\nu, k}^{n} w_{k}(i) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{\nu, k}^{n}$ denotes the determinant of the minor $(\nu, k)$ of the matrix $\Gamma_{n}$. Then, for any $d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i) w_{d}(i)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } d=\nu  \tag{4}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left(a_{\nu}(1), \ldots, a_{\nu}(n)\right)=\underset{\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} .
$$

Technical details can be found in Maiboroda (1996).
We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we suppose that $z_{n}<n / e$.
In nonparametric statistics, the sequence $\left(a_{\nu}(i)\right)_{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ has ever been used in some mixture density estimation problems. See e.g. Maiboroda (1996), Pokhyl'ko (2005) and Prakasa Rao (2010).

## 3 Wavelets and Besov balls

Wavelet basis. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, \phi$ be a father wavelet of a multiresolution analysis on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\psi$ be the associated mother wavelet. Assume that
$-\operatorname{supp}(\phi)=\operatorname{supp}(\psi)=[1-N, N]$,
$-\int_{1-N}^{N} \phi(x) d x=1$,

- for any $v \in\{0, \ldots, N-1\}, \int_{1-N}^{N} x^{v} \psi(x) d x=0$.

For instance, the Daubechies wavelets satisfy these assumptions. Set

$$
\phi_{j, k}(x)=2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-k\right), \quad \psi_{j, k}(x)=2^{j / 2} \psi\left(2^{j} x-k\right)
$$

Then there exists an integer $\tau$ satisfying $2^{\tau} \geq 2 N$ such that the collection
$\mathcal{B}=\left\{\phi_{\tau, k}(),. k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{\tau}-1\right\} ; \psi_{j, k}(.) ; j \in \mathbb{N}-\{0, \ldots, \tau-1\}, k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}\right\}$,
(with an appropriate treatments at the boundaries) is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{L}^{2}([0,1])$, the set of square-integrable functions on $[0,1]$. We refer to Cohen (1993).
For any integer $\ell \geq \tau$, any $h \in \mathbb{L}^{2}([0,1])$ can be expanded on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$
h(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{\ell}-1} \alpha_{\ell, k} \phi_{\ell, k}(x)+\sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k} \psi_{j, k}(x)
$$

where $\alpha_{j, k}$ and $\beta_{j, k}$ are the wavelet coefficients of $h$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} h(x) \phi_{j, k}(x) d x, \quad \beta_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} h(x) \psi_{j, k}(x) d x . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besov balls. Let $M>0, s>0, p \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. A function $h$ belongs to $B_{p, r}^{s}(M)$ if and only if there exists a constant $M^{*}>0$ (depending on $M$ ) such that the associated wavelet coefficients (6) satisfy

$$
\left(\sum_{j=\tau-1}^{\infty}\left(2^{j(s+1 / 2-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1}\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right)^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \leq M^{*}
$$

(We set $\beta_{\tau-1, k}=\alpha_{\tau, k}$ ). In this expression, $s$ is a smoothness parameter and $p$ and $r$ are norm parameters. For a particular choice of $s, p$ and $r$, $B_{p, r}^{s}(M)$ contain the Hölder and Sobolev balls. See Meyer (1990).

## 4 Estimators

Wavelet coefficient estimators. The first step to estimate $f_{\nu}$ consists in expanding $f_{\nu}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ and estimating its unknown wavelet coefficients.
For any integer $j \geq \tau$ and any $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}$,

- we estimate $\alpha_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}(x) \phi_{j, k}(x) d x$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i) Y_{i} \phi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- we estimate $\beta_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}(x) \psi_{j, k}(x) d x$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
Z_{i}=a_{\nu}(i) Y_{i} \psi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

$a_{\nu}(i)$ is defined by (3), for any random event $\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the indicator function on $\mathcal{A}$, the threshold $\gamma_{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n}=\theta \sqrt{\frac{n z_{n}}{\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$z_{n}$ is defined by (5), $\theta=\sqrt{C_{*}^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}\right)}$ and $C_{*}$ is the one in (2).
Remark 1. Mention that $\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\alpha_{j, k}$, whereas $\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}$ is not an unbiased estimator of $\beta_{j, k}$. However $(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\beta_{j, k}$. The proofs are given in (14) and (19).
Remark 2. The "observations thresholding technique" used in (8) has been firstly introduced by Delyon and Juditsky (1996) for (1) in the classical case (i.e. $V_{1}=\ldots=V_{n}=1$ ). In our general setting, this allows us to provide a good estimator of $\beta_{j, k}$ under mild assumptions on
$-\left(a_{\nu}(i)\right)_{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ and a fortiori the distributions of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ (only $z_{n}<$ $n / e$ is required),
$-\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ (only finite moments of order 2 are required).
Linear estimator. Assuming that $f_{\nu} \in B_{p, r}^{s}(M)$ with $p \geq 2$, we define the linear estimator $\widehat{f}^{L}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}^{L}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}}-1} \widehat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k} \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}$ is defined by (7) and $j_{0}$ is the integer satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{z_{n}}\right)^{1 /(2 s+1)}<2^{j_{0}} \leq\left(\frac{n}{z_{n}}\right)^{1 /(2 s+1)}
$$

The definition of $j_{0}$ is chosen to minimize the MISE of $\widehat{f}^{L}$. Note that it is not adaptive since it depends on $s$, the smoothness parameter of $f_{\nu}$.

Hard thresholding estimator. We define the hard thresholding estimator $\widehat{f}^{H}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}^{H}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{\tau}-1} \widehat{\alpha}_{\tau, k} \phi_{\tau, k}(x)+\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \widehat{\beta}_{j, k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}} \psi_{j, k}(x), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}$ is defined by (7), $\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}$ by (8), $j_{1}$ is the integer satisfying

$$
\frac{n}{2 z_{n}}<2^{j_{1}} \leq \frac{n}{z_{n}}
$$

$\kappa \geq 8 / 3+2+2 \sqrt{16 / 9+4}$ and $\lambda_{n}$ is the threshold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\theta \sqrt{\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further details on the hard thresholding wavelet estimator for the standard nonparametric regression model can be found in Donoho and Johnstone (1994, 1995, 1998) and Delyon and Juditsky (1996).
Note that the choice of $\gamma_{n}$ in (9) depends on $\lambda_{n}$ in (12): we have $\lambda_{n}=$ $\theta^{2} z_{n} / \gamma_{n}$. The definitions of $\gamma_{n}$ and $\lambda_{n}$ are based on theoretical considerations.

## 5 Results

Theorem 1 Consider (1) under the assumptions of Section 2. Suppose that $f_{\nu} \in B_{p, r}^{s}(M)$ with $s>0, p \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$. Let $\widehat{f}^{L}$ be (10). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\widehat{f}^{L}(x)-f_{\nu}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right) \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 uses moment inequalities on (7) and (8), and a suitable decomposition of the MISE.

Due to our weak assumptions on $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$, the optimal lower bound of (1) seems difficult to determine (see Tsybakov (2004)). However, since $\widehat{f}^{L}$ is constructed to be the linear estimator which optimizes the MISE, our benchmark will be the rate of convergence $v_{n}=\left(z_{n} / n\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}$.

Remark that, in the case $V_{1}=\ldots=V_{n}=1$ and $\xi_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, we have $z_{n}=1$ and $v_{n}\left(=n^{-2 s /(2 s+1)}\right)$ is the optimal rate of convergence (see Tsybakov (2004)).

Theorem 2 Consider (1) under the assumptions of Section 2. Let $\widehat{f}^{H}$ be (11). Suppose that $f_{\nu} \in B_{p, r}^{s}(M)$ with $r \geq 1,\{p \geq 2$ and $s>0\}$ or $\{p \in[1,2)$ and $s>1 / p\}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\widehat{f}^{H}(x)-f_{\nu}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right) \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} .
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on several probability results (moment inequalities, concentration inequality,...) and a suitable decomposition of the MISE.

Theorem 2 proves that $\widehat{f}^{H}$ attains $v_{n}=\left(z_{n} / n\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}$ up to the logarithmic term $\left(\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}$.

Naturally, when $V_{1}=\ldots=V_{n}=1$ and $\xi_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \widehat{f}^{H}$ attains the same rate of convergence than the standard hard thresholding estimator adapted to the classical nonparametric regression model (see Donoho and Johnstone (1994, 1995, 1998)). And this one is optimal in the minimax sense up to a logarithmic term.

Conclusions and perspectives. We construct an adaptive wavelet estimator to estimate the function $f_{\nu}$ from the sophisticated regression model (1). Under mild assumptions, we prove that it attains a sharp rate of convergence for a wide class of functions.

Possible perspectives are to

- investigate the estimation of $f$ in (1) when $X_{1}$ has a more complex distribution than the random uniform one. In this case, the warped wavelet basis introduced in the nonparametric regression estimation by Kerkyacharian and Picard (2004) seems to be an adapted powerful tool.
- consider the case where the distributions of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ are unknown.
- potentially improve the estimation of $f_{\nu}$ (and remove the extra logarithmic term). The thresholding rule named BlockJS developed in wavelet estimation by Cai $(1999,2002)$ seems to be a good alternative.

All these aspects need further investigations that we leave for a future work.

## 6 Proofs

In this section, we consider (1) under the assumptions of Section 2. Moreover, $C$ represents a positive constant which may differ from one term to another.

### 6.1 Auxiliary results

Proposition 1 For any integer $j \geq \tau$ and any $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}$, let $\alpha_{j, k}$ be the wavelet coefficient (6) of $f_{\nu}$ and $\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}$ be (7). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}-\alpha_{j, k}\right)^{2}\right) \leq C \frac{z_{n}}{n}
$$

Proof of Proposition 1. First of all, we prove that $\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\alpha_{j, k}$. For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, set

$$
W_{i}=a_{\nu}(i) Y_{i} \phi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

Since $X_{i}, V_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}$ are independent, and $\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{i}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(a_{\nu}(i) Y_{i} \phi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(a_{\nu}(i)\left(f_{V_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right)+\xi_{i}\right) \phi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{\nu}(i) \mathbb{E}\left(f_{V_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right) \phi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+a_{\nu}(i) \mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{\nu}(i) \mathbb{E}\left(f_{V_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right) \phi_{j, k}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{\nu}(i) \sum_{d=1}^{m} w_{d}(i) \int_{0}^{1} f_{d}(x) \phi_{j, k}(x) d x . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (13) and (4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}\right) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(W_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{\nu}(i) \sum_{d=1}^{m} w_{d}(i) \int_{0}^{1} f_{d}(x) \phi_{j, k}(x) d x\right) \\
& =\sum_{d=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} f_{d}(x) \phi_{j, k}(x) d x\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}(i) w_{d}(i)\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}(x) \phi_{j, k}(x) d x=\alpha_{j, k} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

So $\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\alpha_{j, k}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}-\alpha_{j, k}\right)^{2}\right) & =\mathbb{V}\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}\right)=\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{V}\left(W_{i}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(W_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{i}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(a_{\nu}^{2}(i) Y_{i}^{2} \phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)=a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \mathbb{E}\left(\left(f_{V_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right)+\xi_{i}\right)^{2} \phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X_{i}, V_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}$ are independent, $\mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j, k}^{2}(x) d x=1$ and, by (2), $\sup _{d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}} \sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|f_{d}(x)\right| \leq C_{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left(f_{V_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right)+\xi_{i}\right)^{2} \phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f_{V_{i}}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right) \phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+2 \mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(f_{V_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right) \phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{i}^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(f_{V_{i}}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right) \phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \leq C_{*}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{j, k}^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =C_{*}^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}\right)=\theta^{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting (16) and (17) together, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(W_{i}^{2}\right) \leq \theta^{2} a_{\nu}^{2}(i) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (15) and (18) that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j, k}-\alpha_{j, k}\right)^{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\theta^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)\right)=C \frac{z_{n}}{n}
$$

Proposition 2 For any integer $j \geq \tau$ and any $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}$, let $\beta_{j, k}$ be the wavelet coefficient (6) of $f_{\nu}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}$ be (8). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{4}\right) \leq C \frac{\left(z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{n^{2}}
$$

Proof of Proposition 2. Taking $\psi$ instead of $\phi$ in (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{j, k} & =\int_{0}^{1} f_{\nu}(x) \psi_{j, k}(x) d x=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by the elementary inequality $(x+y)^{4} \leq 8\left(x^{4}+y^{4}\right),(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have
$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{4}\right)$
$=\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)^{4}\right)$
$\leq 8(A+B)$,
where

$$
A=\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)\right)^{4}\right)
$$

and

$$
B=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)^{4}
$$

Let us bound $A$ and $B$, in turn.
Upper bound for $A$. Let us present the Rosenthal inequality (see Rosenthal (1970)).

Lemma 1 (Rosenthal's inequality) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, p \geq 2$ and $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ be $n$ zero mean independent random variables such that $\sup _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|U_{i}\right|^{p}\right)<$ $\infty$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}\right|^{p}\right) \leq C \max \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|U_{i}\right|^{p}\right),\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}^{2}\right)\right)^{p / 2}\right)
$$

Applying the Rosenthal inequality with $p=4$ and, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
U_{i}=Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right),
$$

we obtain

$$
A=\frac{1}{n^{4}} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}\right)^{4}\right) \leq C \frac{1}{n^{4}} \max \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}^{4}\right),\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Using (18) (with $\psi$ instead of $\phi$ ), we have, for any $a \in\{2,4\}$ and any $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}^{a}\right) \leq 2^{a} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i}^{a} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) \leq 2^{a} \gamma_{n}^{a-2} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i}^{2}\right) \leq 2^{a} \gamma_{n}^{a-2} \theta^{2} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)
$$

Hence, using $z_{n}<n / e$,

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \leq C \frac{1}{n^{4}} \max \left(\gamma_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i),\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =C \frac{1}{n^{4}} \max \left(\frac{n^{2}}{\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)} z_{n}^{2}, n^{2} z_{n}^{2}\right)=C \frac{z_{n}^{2}}{n^{2}} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Upper bound for $B$. Using again (18) (with $\psi$ instead of $\phi$ ), for any $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i}^{2}\right)}{\gamma_{n}} \leq \frac{1}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n z_{n}}} \theta^{2} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)=\theta \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n z_{n}}} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
B & =\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)^{4} \leq \theta^{4} \frac{\left(\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{n^{2} z_{n}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)\right)^{4} \\
& =\theta^{4} \frac{\left(\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{n^{2} z_{n}^{2}} z_{n}^{4}=\theta^{4} \frac{\left(z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{n^{2}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (20), (21) and (22) and using $z_{n}<n / e$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{4}\right) \leq C\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}} z_{n}^{2}+\frac{\left(z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{n^{2}}\right) \leq C \frac{\left(z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{n^{2}}
$$

Proposition 3 For any integer $j \geq \tau$ and any $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}$, let $\beta_{j, k}$ be the wavelet coefficient (6) of $f_{\nu}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}$ be (8). Then, for any $\kappa \geq 8 / 3+2+$ $2 \sqrt{16 / 9+4}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right) \leq 2\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

Proof of Proposition 3. By (19) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)\right|+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (18) (with $\psi$ instead of $\phi$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|>\gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i}^{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}}\left(\theta^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\gamma_{n}} \theta^{2} z_{n}=\frac{1}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n z_{n}}} \theta^{2} z_{n} \\
& =\theta \sqrt{\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}}=\lambda_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)\right| \geq(\kappa / 2-1) \lambda_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we need the Bernstein inequality presented in the lemma below (see Petrov (1995)).

Lemma 2 (Bernstein's inequality) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ be $n$ zero mean independent random variables such that there exists a constant $M>0$ satisfying $\sup _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left|U_{i}\right| \leq M<\infty$. Then, for any $\lambda>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}\right| \geq \lambda\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}^{2}\right)+\lambda M / 3\right)}\right)
$$

Let us set, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
U_{i}=Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) .
$$

For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}\right)=0$,

$$
\left|U_{i}\right| \leq\left|Z_{i}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}+\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) \leq 2 \gamma_{n}
$$

and, using again (18) (with $\psi$ instead of $\phi$ ),

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \gamma_{n}\right\}}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{i}^{2}\right) \leq \theta^{2} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)
$$

So

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(U_{i}^{2}\right) \leq \theta^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\nu}^{2}(i)=\theta^{2} n z_{n}
$$

It follows from the Bernstein inequality that

$$
S \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}(\kappa / 2-1)^{2} \lambda_{n}^{2}}{2\left(\theta^{2} n z_{n}+2 n(\kappa / 2-1) \lambda_{n} \gamma_{n} / 3\right)}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\lambda_{n} \gamma_{n}=\theta \sqrt{\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}} \theta \sqrt{\frac{n z_{n}}{\ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}}=\theta^{2} z_{n}, \quad \lambda_{n}^{2}=\theta^{2} \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n},
$$

we have, for any $\kappa \geq 8 / 3+2+2 \sqrt{16 / 9+4}$,

$$
S \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{(\kappa / 2-1)^{2} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{2(1+2(\kappa / 2-1) / 3)}\right)=2\left(\frac{n}{z_{n}}\right)^{-\frac{(\kappa / 2-1)^{2}}{2(1+2(\kappa / 2-1) / 3)}} \leq 2\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n}\right)^{2}
$$

6.2 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1. We expand the function $f_{\nu}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$
f_{\nu}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}}-1} \alpha_{j_{0}, k} \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x)+\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k} \psi_{j, k}(x)
$$

We have

$$
\widehat{f}^{L}(x)-f_{\nu}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}}-1}\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}-\alpha_{j_{0}, k}\right) \phi_{j_{0}, k}(x)-\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k} \psi_{j, k}(x) .
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\widehat{f}^{L}(x)-f_{\nu}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right)=A+B
$$

where

$$
A=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{j_{0}}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{j_{0}, k}-\alpha_{j_{0}, k}\right)^{2}\right), \quad B=\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2}
$$

Proposition 1 gives

$$
A \leq 2^{j_{0}} \frac{z_{n}}{n} \leq\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

Since $p \geq 2$, we have $B_{p, r}^{s}(M) \subseteq B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$. Hence

$$
B \leq C 2^{-2 j_{0} s} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

So

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\widehat{f}^{L}(x)-f_{\nu}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right) \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n}}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. We expand the function $f_{\nu}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$
f_{\nu}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{\tau}-1} \alpha_{\tau, k} \phi_{\tau, k}(x)+\sum_{j=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k} \psi_{j, k}(x) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{f}^{H}(x)-f_{\nu}(x) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2^{\tau}-1}\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{\tau, k}-\alpha_{\tau, k}\right) \phi_{\tau, k}(x)+\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}}-\beta_{j, k}\right) \psi_{j, k}(x) \\
& -\sum_{j=j_{1}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k} \psi_{j, k}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\widehat{f}^{H}(x)-f_{\nu}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right)=R+S+T \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$R=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{\tau}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{\tau, k}-\alpha_{\tau, k}\right)^{2}\right), \quad S=\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{2}\right)$
and

$$
T=\sum_{j=j_{1}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2}
$$

Let us bound $R, T$ and $S$, in turn.
By Proposition 1 and the inequalities: $z_{n}<n / e, z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)<n$ and $2 s /(2 s+$ 1) $<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \leq C \frac{z_{n}}{n} \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, we have $B_{p, r}^{s}(M) \subseteq B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$. Using $z_{n}<n / e$, $z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)<n$ and $2 s /(2 s+1)<2 s$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & \leq C \sum_{j=j_{1}+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2 j s} \leq C 2^{-2 j_{1} s} \leq C\left(\frac{n}{z_{n}}\right)^{-2 s} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \in[1,2)$, we have $B_{p, r}^{s}(M) \subseteq B_{2, \infty}^{s+1 / 2-1 / p}(M)$. Since $s>1 / p$, we have $s+1 / 2-1 / p>s /(2 s+1)$. So, by $z_{n}<n / e$ and $z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)<n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & \leq C \sum_{j=j_{1}+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2 j(s+1 / 2-1 / p)} \leq C 2^{-2 j_{1}(s+1 / 2-1 / p)} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{n}{z_{n}}\right)^{-2(s+1 / 2-1 / p)} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2(s+1 / 2-1 / p)} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for $r \geq 1,\{p \geq 2$ and $s>0\}$ or $\{p \in[1,2)$ and $s>1 / p\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $S$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}=\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|<\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}}\right), \\
S_{2}=\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}}\right), \\
S_{3}=\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\beta_{j, k}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right|<\kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right| \geq 2 \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
S_{4}=\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\beta_{j, k}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right|<\kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|<2 \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}}\right) .
$$

Upper bounds for $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$. We have

$$
\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right|<\kappa \lambda_{n},\left|\beta_{j, k}\right| \geq 2 \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\} \subseteq\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}
$$

$$
\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right| \geq \kappa \lambda_{n},\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|<\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\} \subseteq\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}\right|<\kappa \lambda_{n},\left|\beta_{j, k}\right| \geq 2 \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\} \subseteq\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right| \leq 2\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right|\right\}
$$

So

$$
\max \left(S_{1}, S_{3}\right) \leq C \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}}\right)
$$

It follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Propositions 2 and 3 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{4}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C \frac{z_{n}^{2} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using $z_{n}<n / e, z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)<n$ and $2 s /(2 s+1)<1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \left(S_{1}, S_{3}\right) & \leq C \frac{z_{n}^{2} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} 2^{j} \leq C \frac{z_{n}^{2} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n^{2}} 2^{j_{1}} \\
& \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Upper bound for $S_{2}$. Using Proposition 2 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{2}\right) \leq\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\widehat{\beta}_{j, k}-\beta_{j, k}\right)^{4}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}
$$

Hence

$$
S_{2} \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}}
$$

Let $j_{2}$ be the integer defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}\right)^{1 /(2 s+1)}<2^{j_{2}} \leq\left(\frac{n}{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}\right)^{1 /(2 s+1)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
S_{2} \leq S_{2,1}+S_{2,2}
$$

where

$$
S_{2,1}=C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}}
$$

and

$$
S_{2,2}=C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|>\kappa \lambda_{n} / 2\right\}}
$$

We have

$$
S_{2,1} \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{2}} 2^{j} \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} 2^{j_{2}} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, since $B_{p, r}^{s}(M) \subseteq B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2,2} & \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n \lambda_{n}^{2}} \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2} \leq C 2^{-2 j_{2} s} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r \geq 1, p \in[1,2)$ and $s>1 / p$, since $B_{p, r}^{s}(M) \subseteq B_{2, \infty}^{s+1 / 2-1 / p}(M)$ and $(2 s+1)(2-p) / 2+(s+1 / 2-1 / p) p=2 s$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2,2} & \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n \lambda_{n}^{p}} \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1}\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|^{p} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{(2-p) / 2} \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(s+1 / 2-1 / p) p} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{(2-p) / 2} 2^{-j_{2}(s+1 / 2-1 / p) p} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, for $r \geq 1,\{p \geq 2$ and $s>0\}$ or $\{p \in[1,2)$ and $s>1 / p\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upper bound for $S_{4}$. We have

$$
S_{4} \leq \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|<2 \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}}
$$

Let $j_{2}$ be the integer (28). We have

$$
S_{4} \leq S_{4,1}+S_{4,2},
$$

where

$$
S_{4,1}=\sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|<2 \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}}, \quad S_{4,2}=\sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|<2 \kappa \lambda_{n}\right\}}
$$

We have

$$
S_{4,1} \leq C \lambda_{n}^{2} \sum_{j=\tau}^{j_{2}} 2^{j} \leq C \frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n} 2^{j_{2}} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

For $r \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$, since $B_{p, r}^{s}(M) \subseteq B_{2, \infty}^{s}(M)$, we have
$S_{4,2} \leq \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1} \beta_{j, k}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2 j s} \leq C 2^{-2 j_{2} s} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}$.
For $r \geq 1, p \in[1,2)$ and $s>1 / p$, since $B_{p, r}^{s}(M) \subseteq B_{2, \infty}^{s+1 / 2-1 / p}(M)$ and $(2-p)(2 s+1) / 2+(s+1 / 2-1 / p) p=2 s$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{4,2} & \leq C \lambda_{n}^{2-p} \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{j_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j}-1}\left|\beta_{j, k}\right|^{p} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{(2-p) / 2} \sum_{j=j_{2}+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(s+1 / 2-1 / p) p} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{(2-p) / 2} 2^{-j_{2}(s+1 / 2-1 / p) p} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, for $r \geq 1,\{p \geq 2$ and $s>0\}$ or $\{p \in[1,2)$ and $s>1 / p\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{4} \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (26), (27), (29) and (30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (23), (24), (25) and (31), we have, for $r \geq 1,\{p \geq 2$ and $s>0\}$ or $\{p \in[1,2)$ and $s>1 / p\}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\widehat{f}^{H}(x)-f_{\nu}(x)\right)^{2} d x\right) \leq C\left(\frac{z_{n} \ln \left(n / z_{n}\right)}{n}\right)^{2 s /(2 s+1)}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
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