



HAL
open science

Familial risk for mood disorder and the personality risk factor, neuroticism, interact in their association with frontolimbic serotonin 2A receptor binding

Vibe G Frokjaer, Maj Vinberg, David Erritzoe, William Baare, Klaus Kähler Holst, Erik Lykke Mortensen, Haroon Arfan, Jacob Madsen, Terry Jernigan, Lars Vedel Kessing, et al.

► To cite this version:

Vibe G Frokjaer, Maj Vinberg, David Erritzoe, William Baare, Klaus Kähler Holst, et al.. Familial risk for mood disorder and the personality risk factor, neuroticism, interact in their association with frontolimbic serotonin 2A receptor binding. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 2009, n/a (n/a), pp.n/a-n/a. 10.1038/npp.2009.218 . hal-00496256

HAL Id: hal-00496256

<https://hal.science/hal-00496256>

Submitted on 30 Jun 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Familial risk for mood disorder and the personality risk factor, neuroticism, interact in their association with frontolimbic serotonin 2A receptor binding

Vibe G. Frokjaer, MD, PhD¹, Maj Vinberg, MD, PhD², David Erritzoe, MD, PhD¹, William Baaré, MSc, PhD^{1,4}, Klaus Kähler Holst, MSc⁵, Erik Lykke Mortensen, Professor⁶, Haroon Arfan, MD¹, Jacob Madsen, MSc, PhD³, Terry L. Jernigan, Professor^{1,4,7}, Lars Vedel Kessing, Professor², Gitte Moos Knudsen, Professor¹

¹Neurobiology Research Unit and Center for Integrated Molecular Brain Imaging,

²Department of Psychiatry, and ³PET and Cyclotron Unit Copenhagen University

Hospital Rigshospitalet, ⁴Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Hvidovre

University Hospital, ⁵Department of Biostatistics, and ⁶Institute of Public Health,

University of Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁷Laboratory of Cognitive Imaging, Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA.

Corresponding author:

Vibe G. Frokjaer, MD, PhD

Neurobiology Research Unit 9201

Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet

Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Telephone: +45 35456712, Fax: +45 356713. Email: vibe@nru.dk

Running title: Neuroticism, familial risk and 5-HT_{2A}

Abstract

Life stress is a robust risk factor for later development of mood disorders, particularly for individuals at familial risk. Likewise, scoring high on the personality trait neuroticism is associated with an increased risk for mood disorders. Neuroticism partly reflects stress vulnerability and is positively correlated to frontolimbic serotonin 2A (5-HT_{2A}) receptor binding. Here we investigate whether neuroticism interacts with familial risk in relation to frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding.

Twenty-one healthy twins with a co-twin history of mood disorder and 16 healthy twins without a co-twin history of mood disorder were included. They answered self-report personality questionnaires and underwent [¹⁸F]altanserin positron emission tomography (PET).

We found a significant interaction between neuroticism and familial risk in predicting the frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding ($p=0.026$) in an analysis adjusting for age and body mass index. Within the high-risk group only, neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding was positively associated ($p=0.0037$).

In conclusion our data indicate that familial risk and neuroticism interact in their relation to frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding. These findings point at a plausible neurobiological link between genetic and personality risk factors and vulnerability to developing mood disorders. It contributes to our understanding of why some people at high risk develop mood disorders while others do not. We speculate that an increased stress reactivity in individuals at high familial risk for mood disorders might enhance the effect of neuroticism in shaping the impact of potential environmental stress and thereby influence serotonergic neurotransmission.

Keywords: 5-HT, 5-HT_{2A}, depression, personality, neuroticism, stress, PET

Introduction

Stress is a robust risk factor for later development of mood disorders, particularly for individuals at familial risk. Seminal studies by Kendler et al. have demonstrated interactions between familial risk and severe life stress in the risk of developing major depression (Kendler *et al*, 1995). The background for a heritable stress vulnerability is most likely to be genetically complex in nature (Levinson, 2006) even though, Caspi et al. have demonstrated a link between stress vulnerability and the low expressing variant of a polymorphism in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter gene (Caspi *et al*, 2003), a finding that has been replicated in 15 independent studies so far (Uher and McGuffin, 2008). In a study by our group we have, again, linked stress vulnerability and serotonergic neurotransmission by demonstrating a coupling between the personality trait neuroticism and frontolimbic serotonin 2A (5-HT_{2A}) receptor binding in a large sample of healthy volunteers (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008). Repeatedly, studies have shown that environmental experience moderates genes' effect on mental health. As such, identifying genetic vulnerability factors depends on focusing on relevant interactions with additional factors, e.g. environmental factors, that promote penetration of the genetic effects (Moffitt *et al*, 2005). One such additional factor to be taken into consideration is personality or general emotional response tendencies of an individual. The personality trait, neuroticism, reflects an individuals' tendency to experience negative emotions and ability to cope with stress, factors that are critical in the development of depression. The level of neuroticism will shape a persons' perception of potential environmental stress

and hence modulate the impact of a stressful environment (Jacobs *et al*, 2006). It is well documented that neuroticism is a risk factor for developing depression (Fanous *et al*, 2007; Kendler *et al*, 1993a). The genetic factors influencing the development of the personality trait neuroticism only moderately overlap with genetic risk for developing major depression. In a large twin population of 20,692 members of same-sex twin pairs the genetic correlation between neuroticism and depression was estimated to be +0.46 (Kendler *et al*, 2006b); this correlation does not seem to be gender specific (Fanous *et al*, 2002). As such, a substantial proportion of the genetic vulnerability to major depression is not reflected in neuroticism and, therefore, may independently modulate the risk for mood disorders, and possibly also relate to serotonergic neurotransmission.

Serotonergic neurotransmission is a key component of the pathophysiology of mood disorders and, also, neuroticism is linked to serotonergic neurotransmission independent on familial risk for mood disorders or psychopathology (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008). Also, serotonergic neurotransmission is critical in the mechanisms of action of antidepressants. Although the major focus has been on the serotonin transporter, also 5-HT_{2A} receptor inhibition and down-regulation, e.g. as seen with SSRIs, mediate antidepressant treatment effects (14). Additional evidence for 5-HT_{2A} receptor changes in depression comes from post mortem studies in the subset of depressed patients that commit suicide of which the majority report that 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding is increased in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Stockmeier, 2003). Although initial findings of in vivo receptor imaging studies were contradictory, two recent studies have confirmed that frontal cortex 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding is increased, both in recovered, un-medicated patients with a history of major depression (Bhagwagar *et al*, 2006), and in un-medicated

patients with severe depression (Meyer *et al*, 2003). Interestingly, negativistic thinking and 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding is correlated both in depressed patients (Bhagwagar *et al*, 2006; Meyer *et al*, 2003) and in healthy individuals (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008) in terms of dysfunctional attitudes and neuroticism scores, respectively. So far, no studies have investigated whether this coupling is a trait or state feature of depression. Here we test whether the coupling between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding is stronger in individuals at high familial risk as compared to individuals at low risk.

Materials and methods

Twenty-three healthy high-risk twins with a co-twin diagnosed with mood disorder and 18 low-risk twins whose co-twin did not have the diagnosis were initially included. They received brain MRI and [^{18}F]altanserin PET, were administered personality assessments, and were screened for psychiatric symptoms. Four subjects were excluded from analyses. In two cases the measurement of plasma parent compound of [^{18}F]altanserin failed and in one case the radiochemistry production was too small. Finally, one low-risk participant was excluded since he post inclusion was diagnosed with possible Tourette's syndrome post inclusion. His twin brother and his nephew had severe Tourette's syndrome and this predisposition had not been noted in the initial psychiatric screening. Thus, 37 participants were available for analyses: 21 high-risk (12 dizygotic (DZ), 9 monozygotic (MZ)) twins, with familial predisposition through unipolar (17), and bipolar (4) co-twins, mean age 39.3 years (22.1 to 60.9), and 16 low-risk twins (10 DZ, 6 MZ), mean age 38.6 (25.1 to 61.6) years. Female:male ratio was 13:8 (high-risk) and 12:4 (low-risk) reflecting the usual gender distribution in depression. The high-risk individuals' co-twin received their diagnosis at a mean age of 32 ± 8.8 years. All participants were life-time naive for antidepressants and antipsychotics. Five women used hormonal contraception (2 high- and 3 low-risk). One used non-sedative antihistamine.

Healthy high-risk and low-risk individuals were identified by linking information from the Danish Twin Registry, the Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register and the Danish Civil Registration System. This linkage identified same-sex twin pairs in which one twin had been treated in a psychiatric hospital setting for an affective episode and

discharged with a diagnosis of depression or recurrent depression or a first diagnosis of manic mixed episode or bipolar affective disorder (from 1968-1993: ICD-8-codes 296.09, 296.29, 296.89, 296.99 and 296.19, 296.39 and from 1994: ICD-10-codes: F32-33.9 and F30-31.6, F34.0 F38.00) and the other twin had not (yet) been diagnosed with an affective disorder, the high-risk healthy co-twin. Low-risk twins were recruited from twin pairs where both twins did not have a registered diagnosis or personal history of affective disorder. All subjects gave written informed consent.

The participants were part of a larger cohort enrolled in a high-risk study (Christensen *et al*, 2007; Vinberg *et al*, 2007). Of the total cohort of 234 individuals, 100 were below 60 yrs of age *and* able to undergo an MR-scan. Of these 100 eligible individuals, 45% from the high-risk group and 37% of the low-risk group participated, which did not represent a significant difference ($p=0.42$, Fischer's Exact Test)".

Twelve of the participants from the low-risk group were also included in a study linking neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} in 83 healthy volunteers (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008).

Clinical data, symptom scores, and personality assessment. Participants were rated in a face-to-face interview by a trained clinician (MV). Persons with a lifetime diagnosis of mood disorder, schizoaffective disorder or schizophrenia according to Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN version 2.1) were excluded from the study. The Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D 17-item) was used to assess depressive symptoms. Self-rating of psychopathology was assessed with the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI 21), and the 14-item Anxiety Subscale (BDI 14) as described

elsewhere (Christensen *et al*, 2007). Participants were interviewed about stressful life events (SLE) in the year prior to inclusion (recent life events), as described elsewhere (Vinberg *et al*, 2007).

Participants also completed the Danish version of the 240 item NEO-PI-R (NEO Personality Inventory Revised) self-report personality questionnaire (Skovdahl-Hansen, 2004) on the same day as the PET scanning. NEO-PI-R evaluates the broad personality dimensions of Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness. Each dimension score is derived by adding the scores from assessment of six constituent personality traits (facets), and each trait score is derived by adding the scores on 8 items. The overall neuroticism score was the outcome measure. Post hoc, the contribution from the constituent traits of neuroticism (anxiety, depression, self-conscientiousness, vulnerability, impulsiveness, and angry hostility) was evaluated.

Imaging. The 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding was imaged with [¹⁸F]altanserin PET according to Pinborg *et al*. (Pinborg *et al*, 2003) using a maximum dose of 3.7 MBq/kg bodyweight. After bolus-infusion of tracer for 2 hours to attain tracer steady state conditions, 40 minutes emission scans were acquired as a sequence of 5 frames of each 8 minutes, with an eighteen-ring GE-Advance scanner (GE, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) operating in 3D-acquisition mode. The total axial field of view was 15.2 cm with an approximate in-plane resolution of 6 mm. During scanning, the fraction of un-metabolized tracer in venous plasma was determined at 3 time points using high performance liquid chromatography analysis (HPLC). Reconstruction, including attenuation correction, and scatter correction are described in detail elsewhere (Pinborg *et al*, 2003). The 5 frames of PET data were aligned using the AIR algorithm (Woods *et al*, 1998). Structural magnetic

resonance (MR) brain imaging was conducted in all subjects; MPRAGE sequences were acquired on a 3T scanner (Trio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany).

MR/PET co-registration. PET and MR images were co-registered through manual translation and rotation of the PET image with subsequent visual inspection in three planes, as described in Adams et al. (Adams *et al*, 2004). Data analyses were carried out by the same person.

Volumes of interest (VOIs) and partial volume correction. Based on the method by Svarer et al. (Svarer *et al*, 2005) a frontolimbic volume was automatically delineated on each individual's transaxial MRI slices in a strictly user-independent fashion. This method utilizes a VOI probability map based on a template set of ten MRIs, where VOIs have been defined manually. The frontolimbic volume was the volume of interest based on our earlier findings of a coupling between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in a large sample of healthy volunteers (Froekjaer *et al*, 2008). The frontolimbic volume included orbitofrontal, medial inferior frontal, superior frontal, anterior cingulate, posterior cingulate, hippocampus, and entorhinal cortices.

To enable partial volume correction of the PET data, MRIs were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid tissue classes using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM2; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Partial volume correction was performed according to Müller-Gärtner *et al* (1992), as defined in Quarantelli *et al* (2004).

Quantification of the 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding. The outcome parameter was the binding potential of specific tracer binding (BP_P). Cerebellum was used as a reference

region since it represents non-specific binding only (Pinborg *et al*, 2003). BP_P is defined as follows:

$$BP_P = (C_{VOI} - C_{Reference}) / C_{Plasma} = f_p * (B_{max}/K_d) \text{ (mL/mL)}$$

where C_{VOI} and $C_{Reference}$ are mean counts in steady state in the VOI and in the reference region, respectively, C_{Plasma} is the steady state activity of non-metabolized tracer in plasma, f_p is the free fraction of radiotracer, B_{max} is the density of receptor sites available for tracer binding, and K_d is the affinity constant of the radiotracer to the receptor (Pinborg *et al*, 2003). The non-specific binding was calculated as the partial-volume corrected gray-matter cerebellar regional concentration (counts/(sec*mL)) normalized by the plasma concentration, C_{Plasma} , (counts/(sec*mL)).

[^{18}F]altanserin gives rise to a radiolabelled metabolite that crosses the blood brain barrier, however, with the bolus-infusion study design the non-specific binding is subtracted and thus the BP_P is independent of the metabolite fraction.

Statistics. Group comparisons of clinical data were performed using unpaired t-tests. Differences in proportions were tested with Fischer's Exact Test. Uncorrected p-values are reported.

Main effects of neuroticism, risk, gender, smoking, symptom scores, and SLE on frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding were tested by multiple linear regression analysis in a model adjusting for age and body mass index (BMI), known to influence 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding (Erritzoe *et al*, 2009).

To explore whether the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism was strongest in the group at high familial risk, we tested the interaction between neuroticism and risk in a multiple linear regression analysis with frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding as the outcome parameter and age, BMI, neuroticism, risk-status, and “neuroticism by risk” as covariates.

The effect of “zygosity by risk” was also tested assuming that a genetic effect would be most prominent in MZ high-risk subjects followed by DZ high-risk, and the low-risk subjects. Post hoc, the effect of “gender by risk” interaction was tested since some genetic risk factors are likely to be sex-specific in their effect (Kendler *et al*, 2006a).

Linearity of quantitative variables was confirmed by including second order terms in the models. Variance homogeneity and normality were assured by graphical evaluation. Model assumptions were met.

P-values, as estimated by two sided tests, parameter estimates with standard errors (SE) and 95% confidence limits, and degrees of freedom (DF) are reported when appropriate. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The analyses were performed in R 2.5.1 (<http://www.R-project.org>).

Results

Group differences and main effects

Demographical data and symptom scores for high- and low-risk individuals are given in Table 1. The only statistically significant difference between the total high- and low-risk groups was that the high-risk group smoked more ($p=0.035$). At the subgroup level, the low-risk group differed from the high-risk DZ group in proportion of smokers ($p=0.038$), and in cigarettes per day ($p=0.008$) only. Imaging outcomes are reported in Table 2. There were no significant group differences in frontolimbic BP_P , non-specific binding, C_{Plasma} or f_p even at the un-corrected level.

Neuroticism tended to correlate with frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in a simple model adjusting for age and BMI only (slope: 0.010, confidence limits: [-0.00013 ; 0.021] BP_P per neuroticism unit, $p=0.053$). In this model, age had a significant negative (slope: -0.017, confidence limits: [-0.033 ; -0.0016] BP_P per year, $p=0.032$), and BMI a positive (slope: 0.090, confidence limits: [0.025 ; 0.15] BP_P per BMI unit, $p=0.0080$), effect on binding as expected (Erritzoe *et al*, 2009). We saw no main effects of familial risk, gender, smoking, symptom scores, or SLE on frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding when adjusting for age and BMI (table 2). Further, we observed no effects of bi- versus uni-polar co-twin history, years passed from age of onset/diagnosis of co-twin, or age of onset/diagnosis of the co-twin (table 2).

Effect of familial risk on the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism

As illustrated in figure 1, we saw an interaction between neuroticism and risk in the expected direction, that is, the association between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding was stronger in the high-risk group (difference in slopes: 0.023, confidence limits: [0.0030 ; 0.043] *BP_P* per neuroticism unit, *p*=0.026, DF:31). Within the high-risk group, neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding was positively associated (slope: 0.027, confidence limits: [0.0093 ; 0.044] *BP_P* per neuroticism unit, *p*=0.0037), whereas, this association was not significant in the low-risk group (slope: 0.0037, confidence limits: [-0.0080; 0.015] *BP_P* per neuroticism unit, *p*=0.52), DF:31.

When adding zygosity to the model, the 2 high-risk groups of increasing risk-load (high-risk DZ < high-risk MZ) showed the expected hierarchy in terms of increasing association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} and neuroticism (high-risk DZ: slope: 0.019, confidence limits: [-0.005; 0.042] *BP_P* per neuroticism unit, *p*=0.12, and high-risk MZ: slope: 0.028, confidence limits [0.0007; 0.056] *BP_P* per neuroticism unit, *p*=0.045, DF:28). This hierarchy represented a trend only, *p*=0.12. For comparison, the slope estimate for the low-risk group was closer to zero, Figure 2.

The association between neuroticism and 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in the high-risk group was primarily driven by the constituent traits self-consciousness (*p*=0.005), anxiety (*p*=0.020), depression (*p*=0.025), and less convincingly by vulnerability (*p*=0.12), whereas little or no association was seen with impulsivity (*p*=0.24) or angry hostility (*p*=0.27), DF:17.

Gender by risk interaction

In high-risk females, the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism was more pronounced than in the remaining group (difference in slope: 0.029 *BP_P* per neuroticism unit, confidence limits: [0.0061 ; 0.051], *p*=0.014, DF:31). Within the group of 13 high-risk females the effect of neuroticism on frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding adjusted for age and BMI was 0.033 *BP_P* per neuroticism unit, confidence limits: [0.013 ; 0.054], *p*=0.0021.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism, initially observed in healthy volunteers (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008), is stronger in individuals at high familial risk of developing mood disorders than in individuals at low risk. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that familial risk of developing mood disorders modifies the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism. Since the study design did not include both twins in a pair, a traditional analysis of the relative contribution from genetic versus shared early environmental factors could not be undertaken, but this was not the aim of the study. We did, however, see that the high-risk groups of increasing risk-load tended to show an increasing association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} and neuroticism suggesting that genetic risk factors play a role in modifying the association.

The interaction between neuroticism and familial risk in predicting frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding may be genetically based, and/or be related to disturbances in serotonin homeostasis, and to increased stress reactivity which is possibly associated with both neuroticism (Portella *et al*, 2005) and familial risk of developing mood disorder (Mannie *et al*, 2007; Modell *et al*, 1998). Such relations may be established in early brain development (Gaspar *et al*, 2003). Chronic disturbances in serotonin homeostasis may affect 5-HT_{2A} receptor levels; Increased synaptic serotonin is suggested to lead to 5-HT_{2A} receptor down-regulation (Meyer *et al*, 2001; Gunther *et al*, 2008), whereas, low synaptic serotonin may up-regulate 5-HT_{2A} receptor levels (Cahir *et al*, 2007; Heal *et al*, 1985). The latter could be linked to high risk of developing mood disorders. However, our data does not support that familial risk in itself is associated with increased frontolimbic 5-

HT_{2A} receptor binding, since we observed no differences in binding between the low-risk and the high-risk group. Also, there were no between group differences in non-specific binding, plasma concentration or free fraction of tracer indicating that such differences have not driven the study results.

Stress responses may critically interfere with serotonergic neurotransmission and increased stress responsiveness is associated with an increased risk of developing a mood disorder. Animal studies support that chronic stress is associated with up-regulation of frontal 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding particularly in vulnerable rat strains characterised by anxious (Berton *et al*, 1998) and depressive-like behaviours (Dwivedi *et al*, 2005). Also in organotypic hippocampal cultures chronic exposure (7 days) to corticosterone increased 5-HT_{2A} protein levels (Trajkovska *et al*, 2009). Furthermore, several studies suggest a link between increased biological stress reactivity and the serotonergic transmitter system in terms of the low expressing polymorphism of the serotonin transporter promoter gene (Barr *et al*, 2004b; Gotlib *et al*, 2008), a gene that is also associated with an increased vulnerability to develop depression in response to life stress (Uher *et al*, 2008). Apart from genetic factors, stress reactivity also varies with environmental factors including early life stress (Kajantie, 2006; Talge *et al*, 2007) and emotional response tendencies (Mormede *et al*, 2002), e. g., personality, in humans (Portella *et al*, 2005). Therefore, interestingly, the stronger association between neuroticism and 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in individuals at high familial risk of developing mood disorders may reflect an increased sensitivity to environmental stress in those individuals. Since the level of neuroticism will shape a person's perception of potential stressful experiences and as such modify the impact of environmental stress

(Jacobs *et al*, 2006), neuroticism and familial risk may interact in predicting 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding. However, the explanation of possible mechanisms remains speculative. Unfortunately, we have no measures of physiological stress reactivity, e.g. waking cortisol. Thus, we cannot validate that the high-risk group had enhanced stress reactivity.

Longitudinal studies with clinical follow-up of high risk individuals will be needed to explore whether the combination of high neuroticism and high frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding would predict later development of mood disorder. However, the involvement of 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in major depression has been explored in several cross-sectional postmortem (Stockmeier, 2003) and imaging studies (Attar-Levy *et al*, 1999; Biver *et al*, 1997; Yatham *et al*, 2000; Messa *et al*, 2003) of which two studies took into account measures of negativistic thinking. A recent study by Bhagwagar *et al*. (Bhagwagar *et al*, 2006), in un-medicated, euthymic patients recovered from depression, support that a higher frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor level may be a trait factor of the susceptibility to develop depression and correlate positively with measures of negativistic thinking. Also, Meyer *et al*. found that in currently depressed patients, cortical, predominantly frontal, 5-HT₂ receptor binding was correlated to dysfunctional attitudes (pessimistic, negativistic thinking), whereas only the subgroup of patients with the most prominent negativistic thinking had a higher frontal 5-HT₂ receptor binding than healthy controls (Meyer *et al*, 2003). As such, even in the depressed state, a positive association between negativistic thinking and frontal 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding seems to exist. In none of these studies, however, was neuroticism directly taken into account.

Neuroticism mean score and variance did not differ significantly between individuals at high versus low risk and hence, the stronger association in the high-risk

group is not driven by a larger variance in neuroticism score. This is in accordance with an analysis in a larger cohort of 211 healthy high-risk and low-risk individuals from the same study where no difference in neuroticism score could be identified when correcting for gender, minor psychopathology, and the effect of SLE (Vinberg *et al*, 2007).

In the present study we also replicated the earlier observed association between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008) this time, in an independent sample of 21 healthy individuals at high familial risk of developing mood disorders. As observed earlier, some constituent traits of neuroticism contribute more to the association. Whereas we did not see such a strong relationship with vulnerability, as previously (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008), a subset of the neuroticism traits consisting of the facets self-consciousness, depression, anxiety, and vulnerability contributed to the association in subjects at high familial risk. While these traits inherently reflect sensitivity to stress and trauma, the remaining two facets of neuroticism, angry hostility and impulsiveness, clearly reflect a disposition to more active reaction when faced with frustration (Skovdahl-Hansen, 2004). Interestingly, in this independent sample, we confirmed our previous finding that angry hostility and impulsiveness facets of neuroticism do not contribute to the correlation between high frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism. Therefore, we speculate that angry hostility and impulsiveness may not significantly contribute to the personality related risk of developing depressive disorder and that the subset of neuroticism is a more sensitive risk marker.

The frequency of current smokers was significantly higher in the high-risk group than in the low-risk group. This is well in line with the observation that people with current or past depression are more likely to have been smokers at some point in their

lives, and may even reflect common genetic factors between smoking addiction and risk of developing depression (Kendler *et al*, 1993b). Smoking inhibits degradation of serotonin by inhibiting the monoamine-oxidase-A and may therefore, potentially, lead to decreased frontal 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding through adaptation to increased serotonin levels. However, such an effect was not observed in the present sample, Table 3, and has not been demonstrated in a large sample of healthy volunteers (Erritzoe *et al*, 2009). Therefore, we do not expect that this have biased our findings. Nevertheless, if significant, smoking in the high-risk group would bias the interaction between familial risk and neuroticism towards a *negative* correlation with 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding, opposite our findings.

Even though gender does not significantly influence the 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding, we observed a “gender by risk” interaction suggesting a gender-related effect of familial risk factors on the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism. However, as this study was not designed to detect gender by risk interactions this finding will need to be replicated in a more focused design. If sustained, this may reflect gender-specific differences in genetic vulnerability to environmental stress (Barr *et al*, 2004a; Brummett *et al*, 2007).

Methodological considerations

Our results should be interpreted in the context of some potentially significant methodological considerations.

Firstly, the high-risk group consisted of subjects with variable risk-load and several aspects potentially contributed to reduce the risk:

- a. A tendency towards a late age of onset of the affected co-twin; The average age of diagnosis of the co-twin was 32.2 ± 8.8 years, with 3 affected co-twins being older than 40 years (43, 47, 54). The typical age of onset for bipolar disorder is 18 years (Pini *et al*, 2005) and for major depression it is 30 years (Hasin *et al*, 2005).
- b. A large variation in the period of time between inclusion in our study and onset of the co-twin's mood disorder; The mean symptom free interval was 7.0 ± 7.6 years, but for 3 subjects more than 10 years passed from the age of onset of the co-twin (10, 20, and 34 years). These subjects, despite their predisposition, did not develop mood disorders for a long time and may therefore be protected.
- c. Subjects volunteering for an extensive investigation program, including a PET-study, would possibly tend to be more vigorous. However, they are not expected to differ with regard to neuroticism score (Frokjaer *et al*, 2008).

Consequently, had the group been more selected towards high risk, it is possible that an even stronger association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism would have been present.

Secondly, high-risk subjects with unipolar and bipolar predisposition were pooled (17 unipolar and 4 bipolar). It is possible that unipolar and bipolar disorder constitute a continuous spectrum, rather than being categorically distinct (Akiskal and Benazzi, 2006). More importantly, keeping our main goal, to identify high-risk individuals, in mind, there is no difference in the frequency of unipolar depression in relatives of unipolars and bipolars (Kutcher and Marton, 1991).

Thirdly, we studied twins and, thus, the outcome may not be representative of singletons. However, several studies support that both monozygotic and dizygotic twins

resemble the background population in their risk for mood disorders (Kendler *et al*, 1996).

Finally, the post hoc analyses on effect of zygosity in the high-risk group and gender by risk effect on the association between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding represent trends only. The generated hypotheses need to be confirmed in future studies.

In conclusion, our data suggest that familial risk of developing mood disorders modifies the positive association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism. The stronger association between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in individuals at high familial risk may reflect an increased stress reactivity that enhances the effect of neuroticism in shaping the impact of potential environmental stress and thereby influences serotonergic neurotransmission.

COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that, except for income received from their primary employers, no financial support or compensation has been received from any individual or corporate entity over the past three years for research or professional service and there are no personal financial holdings that could be perceived as constituting a potential conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the participants. We wish to thank Karin Stahr, Dorthe Givard and the staff at the PET centre, Rigshospitalet for their superb technical assistance. Thanks to the Department of Psychiatric Demography, University of Aarhus, Psychiatric Hospital, Riskov, Denmark, and to the Danish Twin Registry for cooperation in the study. The John and Birthe Meyer Foundation is thanked for the donation of the Cyclotron and PET scanner. This work was generously supported by Danish Medical Research Council, The Health Science Faculty, University of Copenhagen, the 1991 Pharmacy Foundation, The Lundbeck Foundation, the EU Sixth framework programme (EC-FP6-project DiMI (LSHB-CT-2005-512146), Sawmill owner Jeppe Juhl and Wife Ovita Juhls Foundation, “Laegernes forsikringsforening af 1891”, and “Fonden af 1870”.

Table 1. Comparison of clinical data

	Total groups			Sub-groups	
	<i>High-risk</i> <i>N=21</i>	<i>Low-risk</i> <i>N=16</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>High-risk MZ</i> <i>N=9</i>	<i>High-risk DZ</i> <i>N=12</i>
Age (years)	39.3±11.9	38.6±12.0	p=0.95	41.3±12.1	38.0±12.1
Gender (% women)	62%	75%	p=0.49	78%	50%
Smoking (% smokers)	48%	12%	p=0.035	33%	58%
Cigarettes per day	6.9±8.0	1.2±3.2	p=0.013	4.4±6.8	8.8±8.6
Alcohol (units/week)	7.1±8.0	3.6±2.9	p=0.11	5.6±5.9	8.3±9.5
Body mass index	23.9±3.1	25.4±3.1	p=0.15	24.4±3.2	23.4±3.0
Education years	12.7±2.8	13.8±2.6	p=0.27	12.9±2.2	12.6±3.3
Hamilton	2.7±1.3	2.3±1.4	p=0.34	2.3±1.0	3.0±1.5
BDI depression	2.2±2.5	1.3±1.3	p=0.17	1.7±1.7	2.7±2.9
BDI anxiety	0.9±1.4	1.2±1.5	p=0.55	0.67±1.0	1.1±1.7
Neuroticism	71±14	68±25	p=0.67	76±13	68±14
SLE, 3 or more	40%	27%	p=0.49	44%	33%
Twin age of onset	32.2±8.8	NA	NA	33.3±8.0	31.6±9.6
Years without diagnosis	7.0±7.6	NA	NA	7.7±10.3	6.4±5.2

BDI depression: Becks Depression Inventory, depression symptoms component (21 items). BDI anxiety: anxiety component (14 items). Hamilton: Hamilton Depression rating Scale score of depressive symptoms (17 items). SLE: the fraction of participants experiencing 3 or more stress-full life events within the last 12 months. Neuroticism: score from 240-item NEO-PI-R, Danish version. Body mass index= weight/ height² (kg/m²). Smoking: proportion of smokers. Years without diagnosis: number of years passed from age of onset of mood disorder of co-twin and the PET-scan. MZ: monozygotic, DZ: dizygotic. NA: not applicable. Statistics: For the total high-risk and low-risk groups differences in numerical variables were tested by unpaired t-tests, differences in proportions by Fischer's exact test. P-values are reported with no corrections for multiple comparisons. Data for the high-risk subgroups are included for descriptive purposes.

Table 2. Comparison of imaging outcome parameters

	Total groups			Sub-groups	
	<i>High-risk</i> <i>N=21</i>	<i>Low-risk</i> <i>N=16</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>High-risk MZ,</i> <i>N=9</i>	<i>High-risk DZ</i> <i>N=12</i>
Frontolimbic BP_p (unitless)	2.56±0.64	2.76±0.48	p=0.32	2.86±0.61	2.34±0.60
Non-specific binding (unitless)	1.97±0.53	2.10±0.67	p=0.52	2.16±0.65	1.83±0.39
C_{plasma} kBq/mL	1.92±0.51	2.02±0.38	p=0.50	1.88±0.52	1.95±0.52
f_p %	0.31±0.16	0.36±0.13	p=0.38	0.26±0.15	0.35±0.16

Abbreviations: BP_p , binding potential of specific [^{18}F]altanserin binding. C_{plasma} , steady state activity of non-metabolized [^{18}F]altanserin in plasma. f_p , free (non-protein bound) fraction of [^{18}F]altanserin in plasma. MZ, monozygotic. DZ, dizygotic. Non-specific binding is the volume-weighted average partial volume corrected counts per second in the cerebellum gray-matter divided by C_{plasma} . Statistics: For the total high-risk and low-risk groups differences in numerical variables were tested by unpaired t-tests. Data for the high-risk subgroups are included for descriptive purposes.

Table 3. Main effects of clinical variables on frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in a model adjusting for age and BMI

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Estimate</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>95% Confidence limits</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>DF</i>
Gender	0.13	0.20	(-0.26 ; 0.53)	p=0.50	33
Smoking	0.036	0.20	(-0.37 ; 0.44)	p=0.86	33
Cigarettes per day	0.0005	0.014	(-0.027 ; 0.091)	p=0.97	33
BDI_depression	0.0035	0.048	(-0.095 ; 0.10)	p=0.94	31
BDI_anxiety	0.017	0.069	(-0.12 ; 0.16)	p=0.81	31
Hamilton	-0.047	0.073	(-0.20 ; 0.10)	p=0.52	32
SLE-3or more	-0.28	0.21	(-0.70 ; 0.14)	p=0.18	31
Neuroticism	0.010	0.0052	(-0.00013 ; 0.021)	p=0.053	33
Risk-status	-0.014	0.19	(-0.53 ; 0.25)	p=0.46	33
Bi or unipolar	0.0062	0.37	(-0.78 ; 0.79)	p=0.99	17
Age of onset	-0.0060	0.027	(-0.063 ; 0.051)	p=0.83	17
“Years passed”	0.0060	0.027	(-0.051 ; 0.063)	p=0.83	17

Gender: women>men. Smoking: smoker>non-smoker. SLE_3or more: 3 or more>0,1,2 SLE. Risk-status: high-risk>low-risk. The effects of diagnoses, age of onset and “years passed” concern the high-risk group only. Bi or unipolar diagnoses of co-twin: diagnosis at first admission. Age of onset: age of first diagnosis. Years passed: number of years passed since age of onset of mood disorder of co-twin to PET-scan. DF: degrees of freedom.

Titles and legends to figures

Figure 1. Effect of familial risk on the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor BP_P and neuroticism, adjusted for BMI and age. In the high-end of the neuroticism scale, the high-risk group showed an elevated BP_P , whereas, in the low-end they showed a decreased BP_P as compared to the low-risk group. This reflects the stronger association between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in individuals at high familial risk of developing mood disorders ($p=0.026$). The high-risk group showed a significant positive association between neuroticism and frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding, whereas the low-risk group did not. Please see text for estimates.

Point-wise 95% symmetric confidence bands of the regression lines are displayed. The regression lines represent the associations given a mean BMI and mean age.

Figure 2. Effect of zygosity and familial risk on the association between frontolimbic 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and neuroticism, adjusting for BMI and age. Genetic risk-load: (low-risk DZ and MZ < high-risk DZ < high-risk MZ). The high-risk MZ group show the strongest association followed by the high-risk DZ group, and the low-risk group. However, the differences in slopes between groups represented a trend only ($p=0.12$).

References

- Adams KH, Pinborg LH, Svarer C, Hasselbalch SG, Holm S, Haugbol S, *et al* (2004). A database of [(18)F]-altanserin binding to 5-HT(2A) receptors in normal volunteers: normative data and relationship to physiological and demographic variables. *Neuroimage* **21**(3): 1105-1113.
- Akiskal HS, Benazzi F (2006). The DSM-IV and ICD-10 categories of recurrent [major] depressive and bipolar II disorders: evidence that they lie on a dimensional spectrum. *J Affect Disord* **92**(1): 45-54.
- Attar-Levy D, Martinot JL, Blin J, Dao-Castellana MH, Crouzel C, Mazoyer B, *et al* (1999). The cortical serotonin₂ receptors studied with positron-emission tomography and [18F]-setoperone during depressive illness and antidepressant treatment with clomipramine. *Biol Psychiatry* **45**(2): 180-186.
- Barr CS, Newman TK, Schwandt M, Shannon C, Dvoskin RL, Lindell SG, *et al* (2004a). Sexual dichotomy of an interaction between early adversity and the serotonin transporter gene promoter variant in rhesus macaques. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**(33): 12358-12363.
- Barr CS, Newman TK, Shannon C, Parker C, Dvoskin RL, Becker ML, *et al* (2004b). Rearing condition and rh5-HTTLPR interact to influence limbic-hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis response to stress in infant macaques. *Biol Psychiatry* **55**(7): 733-738.
- Berton O, Aguerre S, Sarrieau A, Mormede P, Chaouloff F (1998). Differential effects of social stress on central serotonergic activity and emotional reactivity in Lewis and spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Neuroscience* **82**(1): 147-159.
- Bhagwagar Z, Hinz R, Taylor M, Fancy S, Cowen P, Grasby P (2006). Increased 5-HT(2A) receptor binding in euthymic, medication-free patients recovered from depression: a positron emission study with [(11)C]MDL 100,907. *Am J Psychiatry* **163**(9): 1580-1587.
- Biver F, Wikler D, Lotstra F, Damhaut P, Goldman S, Mendlewicz J (1997). Serotonin 5-HT₂ receptor imaging in major depression: focal changes in orbito-insular cortex. *Br J Psychiatry* **171**: 444-448.
- Brummett BH, Boyle SH, Siegler IC, Kuhn CM, Ashley-Koch A, Jonassaint CR, *et al* (2007). Effects of Environmental Stress and Gender on Associations among Symptoms of Depression and the Serotonin Transporter Gene Linked Polymorphic Region (5-HTTLPR). *Behav Genet*.
- Cahir M, Ardis T, Reynolds GP, Cooper SJ (2007). Acute and chronic tryptophan depletion differentially regulate central 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in the rat. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **190**(4): 497-506.
- Caspi A, Sugden K, Moffitt TE, Taylor A, Craig IW, Harrington H, *et al* (2003). Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. *Science* **301**(5631): 386-389.
- Christensen MV, Kyvik KO, Kessing LV (2007). Subclinical psychopathology and socio-economic status in unaffected twins discordant for affective disorder. *J Psychiatr Res* **41**(3-4): 229-238.
- Dwivedi Y, Mondal AC, Payappagoudar GV, Rizavi HS (2005). Differential regulation of serotonin (5HT)_{2A} receptor mRNA and protein levels after single and repeated stress in rat brain: role in learned helplessness behavior. *Neuropharmacology* **48**(2): 204-214.
- Erritzoe D, Frokjaer VG, Haugbol S, Marnier L, Svarer C, Holst K, *et al* (2009). Brain serotonin 2A receptor binding: Relations to body mass index, tobacco and alcohol use. *Neuroimage* **46**: 23-30.

- Fanous A, Gardner CO, Prescott CA, Cancro R, Kendler KS (2002). Neuroticism, major depression and gender: a population-based twin study. *Psychol Med* **32**(4): 719-728.
- Fanous AH, Neale MC, Aggen SH, Kendler KS (2007). A longitudinal study of personality and major depression in a population-based sample of male twins. *Psychol Med* **37**(8): 1163-1172.
- Frokjaer VG, Mortensen EL, Nielsen FA, Haugbol S, Pinborg LH, Adams KH, *et al* (2008). Frontolimbic serotonin 2A receptor binding in healthy subjects is associated with personality risk factors for affective disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* **63**(6): 569-576.
- Gaspar P, Cases O, Maroteaux L (2003). The developmental role of serotonin: news from mouse molecular genetics. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **4**(12): 1002-1012.
- Gotlib IH, Joormann J, Minor KL, Hallmayer J (2008). HPA Axis Reactivity: A Mechanism Underlying the Associations Among 5-HTTLPR, Stress, and Depression. *Biol Psychiatry* **63**(9):847-851.
- Gunther L, Liebscher S, Jahkel M, Oehler J (2008). Effects of chronic citalopram treatment on 5-HT1A and 5-HT2A receptors in group- and isolation-housed mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **593**:49-61.
- Hasin DS, Goodwin RD, Stinson FS, Grant BF (2005). Epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcoholism and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **62**:1097-1106.
- Heal DJ, Philpot J, Molyneux SG, Metz A (1985). Intracerebroventricular administration of 5,7-dihydroxytryptamine to mice increases both head-twitch response and the number of cortical 5-HT₂ receptors. *Neuropharmacology* **24**(12): 1201-1205.
- Jacobs N, Kenis G, Peeters F, Derom C, Vlietinck R, van Os J (2006). Stress-related negative affectivity and genetically altered serotonin transporter function: evidence of synergism in shaping risk of depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **63**(9): 989-996.
- Kajantie E (2006). Fetal origins of stress-related adult disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1083**: 11-27.
- Kendler KS, Gatz M, Gardner CO, Pedersen NL (2006a). A Swedish national twin study of lifetime major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* **163**(1): 109-114.
- Kendler KS, Gatz M, Gardner CO, Pedersen NL (2006b). Personality and major depression: a Swedish longitudinal, population-based twin study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **63**(10): 1113-1120.
- Kendler KS, Kessler RC, Walters EE, MacLean C, Neale MC, Heath AC, *et al* (1995). Stressful life events, genetic liability, and onset of an episode of major depression in women. *Am J Psychiatry* **152**(6): 833-842.
- Kendler KS, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ (1993a). A longitudinal twin study of personality and major depression in women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **50**(11): 853-862.
- Kendler KS, Neale MC, MacLean CJ, Heath AC, Eaves LJ, Kessler RC (1993b). Smoking and major depression. A causal analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **50**(1): 36-43.
- Kendler KS, Pedersen NL, Farahmand BY, Persson PG (1996). The treated incidence of psychotic and affective illness in twins compared with population expectation: a study in the Swedish Twin and Psychiatric Registries. *Psychol Med* **26**(6): 1135-1144.
- Kutcher S, Marton P (1991). Affective disorders in first-degree relatives of adolescent onset bipolars, unipolars, and normal controls. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* **30**(1): 75-78.
- Levinson DF (2006). The genetics of depression: a review. *Biol Psychiatry* **60**(2): 84-92.

- Mannie ZN, Harmer CJ, Cowen PJ (2007). Increased waking salivary cortisol levels in young people at familial risk of depression. *Am J Psychiatry* **164**(4): 617-621.
- Messa C, Colombo C, Moresco RM, Gobbo C, Galli L, Lucignani G, *et al* (2003). 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding is reduced in drug-naive and unchanged in SSRI-responder depressed patients compared to healthy controls: a PET study. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **167**(1): 72-78.
- Meyer JH, Kapur S, Eisfeld B, Brown GM, Houle S, DaSilva J, *et al* (2001). The effect of paroxetine on 5-HT_{2A} receptors in depression: an [(18)F]setoperone PET imaging study. *Am J Psychiatry* **158**:78-85.
- Meyer JH, McMain S, Kennedy SH, Korman L, Brown GM, DaSilva JN, *et al* (2003). Dysfunctional attitudes and 5-HT₂ receptors during depression and self-harm. *Am J Psychiatry* **160**(1): 90-99.
- Modell S, Lauer CJ, Schreiber W, Huber J, Krieg JC, Holsboer F (1998). Hormonal response pattern in the combined DEX-CRH test is stable over time in subjects at high familial risk for affective disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **18**(4): 253-262.
- Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Rutter M (2005). Strategy for investigating interactions between measured genes and measured environments. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **62**(5): 473-481.
- Mormede P, Courvoisier H, Ramos A, Marissal-Arvy N, Ousova O, Desautels C, *et al* (2002). Molecular genetic approaches to investigate individual variations in behavioral and neuroendocrine stress responses. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **27**(5): 563-583.
- Müller-Gärtner HW, Links JM, Prince JL, Bryan RN, McVeigh E, Leal JP, *et al* (1992). Measurement of radiotracer concentration in brain gray matter using positron emission tomography: MRI-based correction for partial volume effects. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* **12**: 571-83.
- Pinborg LH, Adams KH, Svarer C, Holm S, Hasselbalch SG, Haugbol S, *et al* (2003). Quantification of 5-HT_{2A} receptors in the human brain using [18F]altanserin-PET and the bolus/infusion approach. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* **23**(8): 985-996.
- Pini S, de Queiroz V, Pagnin D, Pezawas L, Angst J, Cassano GB, Wittchen HU (2005). Prevalence and burden of bipolar disorders in European countries. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **15**:425-434.
- Portella MJ, Harmer CJ, Flint J, Cowen P, Goodwin GM (2005). Enhanced early morning salivary cortisol in neuroticism. *Am J Psychiatry* **162**(4): 807-809.
- Quarantelli M, Berkouk K, Prinster A, Landeau B, Svarer C, Balkay L, *et al* (2004). Integrated Software for the Analysis of Brain PET/SPECT Studies with Partial-Volume-Effect Correction. *J Nucl Med* **45**:192-201.
- Skovdahl-Hansen H, Mortensen, EL., Scioetz, HK. (2004). *Dokumentation for den danske udgave af NEO PI-R og NEO PI-R Kort Version*. Dansk psykologisk forlag: Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Stockmeier CA (2003). Involvement of serotonin in depression: evidence from postmortem and imaging studies of serotonin receptors and the serotonin transporter. *J Psychiatr Res* **37**(5): 357-373.
- Svarer C, Madsen K, Hasselbalch SG, Pinborg LH, Haugbol S, Frokjaer VG, *et al* (2005). MR-based automatic delineation of volumes of interest in human brain PET images using probability maps. *Neuroimage* **24**(4): 969-979.
- Talge NM, Neal C, Glover V (2007). Antenatal maternal stress and long-term effects on child neurodevelopment: how and why? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* **48**(3-4): 245-261.

Trajkovska V, Kirkegaard L, Krey G, Marcussen AB, Thomsen MS, Chourbaji S, *et al* (2009). Activation of glucocorticoid receptors increases 5-HT_{2A} receptor levels. *Exp Neurol* **218**(1): 83-91.

Uher R, McGuffin P (2008). The moderation by the serotonin transporter gene of environmental adversity in the aetiology of mental illness: review and methodological analysis. *Mol Psychiatry* **13**(2): 131-146.

Vinberg M, Mortensen EL, Kyvik KO, Kessing LV (2007). Personality traits in unaffected twins discordant for affective disorder. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **115**(6): 442-450.

Woods RP, Cherry SR, Mazziotta JC (1992). Rapid automated algorithm for aligning and reslicing PET images. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* **16**: 620-633.

Yatham LN, Liddle PF, Shiah IS, Scarrow G, Lam RW, Adam MJ, *et al* (2000). Brain serotonin₂ receptors in major depression: a positron emission tomography study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **57**(9): 850-858.

Figure 1



