



**HAL**  
open science

## Use of an avoidance test for the assessment of microbial degradation of PAHs

Christine Lors, Maite Martínez Aldaya, Sandrine Salmon, Jean-François Ponge

### ► To cite this version:

Christine Lors, Maite Martínez Aldaya, Sandrine Salmon, Jean-François Ponge. Use of an avoidance test for the assessment of microbial degradation of PAHs. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2006, 38 (8), pp.2199-2204. 10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.01.026 . hal-00495395

**HAL Id: hal-00495395**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00495395>**

Submitted on 25 Jun 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Use of an avoidance test for the assessment of microbial degradation of PAHs**

2

3 Christine Lors<sup>1</sup>, Maite Martínez Aldaya<sup>2</sup>, Sandrine Salmon<sup>3</sup>, Jean-François Ponge<sup>3</sup>

4

5 *<sup>1</sup>Centre National de Recherche sur les Sites et Sols Pollués, 930 Boulevard Lahure, BP*  
6 *537, 59505 Douai Cedex, France*

7

8 *<sup>2</sup>Universidad de Navarra, Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Zoología y Ecología,*  
9 *31080 Pamplona, Spain*

10

11 *<sup>3</sup>Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS UMR 5176, 4 Avenue du Petit-Chateau,*  
12 *91800 Brunoy, France*

13

14 Corresponding author: J.F. Ponge, tel. +33 1 60479213, fax +33 1 60465009, e-mail:  
15 [jean-francois.ponge@wanadoo.fr](mailto:jean-francois.ponge@wanadoo.fr)

16

17 **Type of contribution:** Regular paper, secondly revised version

18

19 **Date of preparation:** 20 January 2006

20

21 **Number of text pages:** 15

22

23 **Number of tables:** 3

24

25 **Number of figures:** 4

26

## 1 **Abstract**

2

3 An avoidance test using the soil springtail *Folsomia candida* was used to assess

4 changes in contamination levels at low doses of PAHs following incubation with

5 indigenous microflora. A soil from a former coke site was diluted to 1% in an unpolluted

6 soil from the same site, which was used as a control, then both substrates were

7 remoistened to 80% field capacity. The diluted soil was previously shown to be strongly

8 repellent to *F. candida*, although not toxic. After two-month incubation at 20°C, the mixture

9 lost its repellence capacity and became attractive to the test animal, while the global 16

10 PAHs content had decreased to a great extent (50%). PAH disappearance was linked to

11 the occurrence of indigenous microbiota able to degrade hydrocarbons.

12

13 *Keywords:* Avoidance; Microbial degradation; *Folsomia candida*; Soil pollution

14

## 15 **1. Introduction**

16

17 The assessment of soil pollution was fostered by ecotoxicological testing during

18 the last two decades (Cortet et al., 1999). In addition to toxicity tests, it has been shown

19 that the avoidance of pollutants by soil animals could provide a cheap method for the early

20 testing of environmental hazards (Yearley et al., 1996; da Luz et al., 2004; Martínez

21 Aldaya et al., 2005). Limits of the method are the need for the pollutant to be (i) perceived

22 in the environment, (ii) avoided by animals, (iii) not too noxious to their nervous system

23 (Eijsackers 1978; Tranvik and Eijsackers, 1989). When these three conditions are

24 satisfied, short-term avoidance tests could be predictive of acute and chronic toxicity

25 (Heupel, 2002; da Luz et al., 2004; Martínez Aldaya et al., 2005).

26

1           We applied an avoidance test using the soil-dwelling springtail *Folsomia candida*  
2 (Willem), a standard microarthropod (ISO, 1999; Fountain and Hopkin, 2005), to the  
3 microbial remediation of a soil polluted by hydrocarbons. The test was performed before  
4 and after two-month incubation with indigenous microflora.

5  
6           We hypothesized that any decrease in PAH content of the test soil was mirrored in  
7 its repellence to *F. candida*.

## 8 9 **2. Materials and methods**

### 10 11 *2.1. Substrate used for the experiment and chemical analyses*

12  
13           The polluted soil used in our experiment was collected from a former coke oven  
14 site in northern France (Nord-Pas-de-Calais) in September 2003, then kept frozen at the  
15 laboratory. A control soil was collected in a nearby non-polluted, plant-restored zone.  
16 Soil pH<sub>water</sub> was measured using a Consort® C83 pH-meter fitted with glass electrodes  
17 corrected for temperature and a Schott® box with Ingold® combined electrodes. Total  
18 organic carbon concentration was obtained from total carbon and inorganic carbon  
19 contents, which were determined with a TOC-5000A Shimadzu® analyser. Total organic  
20 nitrogen concentration was determined by the Kjeldahl method, and total phosphorus as  
21 well as metal (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn) concentrations were analysed by  
22 Inductive Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) in a 138 Ultrace  
23 Jobin Yvon® analyser after hot hydrofluoric and perchloric acid digestion of the solid  
24 phase. Concentrations of the 16 PAH of the US EPA list compounds (Greene et al., 1989)  
25 were measured using High Performance Liquid Chromatography in a 2690 HPLC  
26 Waters® analyser fitted with an ultraviolet inverted phase C 18 Supelco® column (length  
27 250 mm, internal diameter 2.1 mm), coupled to a 996 Waters® UV photodiode array

1 detector, after extraction by dichloromethane/acetone (50/50 v/v) using the Accelerated  
2 Solvent Extracter Dionex® ASE 200. Chemical analyses were made in triplicate.

3

## 4 *2.2. Experimental design*

5

6 The polluted soil was mixed with the control soil at 1% concentration (1 part  
7 polluted soil, 100 parts control soil). This was shown to be the level at which the polluted  
8 soil was still strongly repellent to *F. candida* without being detrimental to its survival and  
9 reproduction (Martínez Aldaya et al., 2005). Mixing of the two soils was done on moist soil  
10 immediately after thawing, then the mixture was distributed among experimental boxes.  
11 Boxes, made of crystal polystyrene, were cylindrical, 5 cm diameter and 3 cm height, with  
12 cover lid. They were filled with 40 mL of the soil mixture, then they were kept in darkness  
13 at 20°C. Moisture was kept constant by adding weekly distilled water. Twenty-five boxes  
14 were prepared, 15 for microbial degradation, 10 others for zero-time analyses. At the end  
15 of the incubation week (zero-time), 5 boxes were collected for microbiological analyses,  
16 and 5 boxes were collected for chemical analyses. The duration of the experiment was  
17 eight weeks after the incubation week.

18

## 19 *2.3. Microbiological analyses*

20

21 Total bacteria and fungi were counted from soil samples by mixing 10 g of soil with  
22 50 mL sterile Ringer solution (Ramsay, 1984) in a Waring blender for 1.5 min at high  
23 speed. Aliquots (0.1 mL) of soil suspension were spread respectively on Nutrient Agar  
24 diluted to 1/10 (Difco, Detroit, USA) and on Yeast Extract Glucose (Difco, Detroit, USA)  
25 media, on the basis of three replicates per dilution and medium. Colonies were counted  
26 after 7-day incubation at 30°C. Total culturable bacteria and fungi were expressed in  
27 Colony Forming Units of dry soil (CFU.g<sup>-1</sup>).

1

2 PAH-degrading microbiota were enumerated on sterile polypropylene-microplates  
3 (Nunc, Nunclon Delta, Wiesbaden, Germany), according to the method of Stieber et al.  
4 (1994), with 250  $\mu$ L of mineral salts medium in each well and one out of four possible  
5 PAHs (anthracene, phenanthrene, fluorene, fluoranthene, pyrene), regarded as most  
6 representative of coal tar from the coke site, as sole carbon and energy source. Each well  
7 was inoculated with 25  $\mu$ L of previously diluted soil suspension. Three wells were  
8 inoculated with the same diluted soil suspension. The microplates were inoculated at 20°C  
9 and incubated for 10-30 days then evaluated for coloured products. Enumeration of  
10 specific PAH-degrading microorganisms was carried out using the Most Probable  
11 Numbers method (de Man, 1977).

12

#### 13 2.4. Avoidance test

14

15 Avoidance tests were performed in sterile crystal polystyrene Petri dishes (55 mm  
16 diameter, 10 mm height), the bottom of which was lined with two half-disks of glass fiber  
17 filter paper (50 mm diameter). The entire surface of each half-disk was covered with a soil  
18 paste. One control half disk was covered with the control soil, the other with the polluted  
19 soil diluted at 1%. The two half-disks were separated by a 2 mm space line, at the center  
20 of which one individual of *F. candida* was deposited. The animals chosen for the  
21 avoidance test were naive adults or subadults and came from the same batch culture,  
22 which was maintained on fine quartz sand with ground cow dung as food for more than  
23 two years (originating from one female collected in the Park of the Laboratory). The  
24 position of the animal was recorded each 20 min up to 100 min. Previous assays showed  
25 that 100 min were enough to let the animal choose definitely between both sides after  
26 preliminary exploration of the Petri dish. Twenty replicates, in two successive batches of  
27 ten, were followed together. During the experiment, Petri dishes were placed under a

1 Sharp® fluorescent illuminator in a chamber at 20°C. Care was taken that the animals  
2 were not disturbed by the observer, who checked for the position of the animal through  
3 the cover lid by help of a hand-held magnifying glass. Blank experiments using control soil  
4 at both sides checked for the absence of any light gradient which could bias the results  
5 (Salmon and Ponge, 1998). Totals of five counts over 100 min for each Petri dish were  
6 used as scores for testing differences between control and polluted sides.

7

8 The repellence of the contaminated soil turned to attraction after two-month  
9 incubation. This unexpected result was checked by repeating the avoidance test the day  
10 after the main test, using another aliquot of the contaminated soil and another batch of 20  
11 naive animals issuing from the same culture.

12

### 13 *2.5. Statistical treatment of the data*

14

15 Choice in favour of the contaminated soil or of the control soil, before and after  
16 incubation, was tested using sign tests, with the 20 Petri dishes as replicates and total  
17 counts on both sides of Petri dishes as data.

18

19 Differences between treatments (T<sub>0</sub>, T+2) were tested on microbiological and  
20 chemical data by one-way ANOVA followed by a posteriori tests for differences among  
21 means (Student-Newman-Keuls procedure). Data were log-transformed when necessary.

22

## 23 **3. Results**

24

### 25 *3.1. Chemical data*

26

1 Chemical characteristics of the soil samples (texture, moisture, pH, Total Organic  
2 Carbon, Total Organic Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, 16 US EPA PAHs) are reported in  
3 Table 1. Metal concentrations of polluted and control soils are presented in Table 2.  
4 Before use in our experiments both soils had been kept frozen at -20°C, at approximately  
5 20% moisture.

6  
7 Total cyanides were weakly present in the polluted soil, never exceeding 1 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>  
8 dry soil (Table 1) and trace elements were of the same order as the geochemical  
9 background (Table 2). The studied matrix (polluted soil diluted to 1/100 in the control soil)  
10 contained about 10 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> dry matter from the 16 US EPA PAHs (Table 3), with a  
11 majority of 4-ring (65%) and a lesser amount of 3-ring (17%) PAHs (Fig. 1). Fluoranthene  
12 was the best represented 4-ring PAH (about 51% of this category), followed by pyrene  
13 (23%). Phenanthrene was the most represented 3-ring PAH (58%), followed by  
14 anthracene (30%).

15  
16 After two-month incubation, we observed a 50% decrease of PAH concentration  
17 (Table 3). This decrease concerned 3- and 4-ring PAHs, the dominant forms, their rate of  
18 disappearance being 50% and 65%, respectively (Fig. 1). Among 3-ring PAHs, most  
19 reduction was displayed by phenanthrene (55%) and anthracene (66%). Among 4-ring  
20 PAHs, most reduction was displayed by fluoranthene (68%) and pyrene (73 %).

### 21 22 *3.2. Microbiological data*

23  
24 Total counts for soil samples of culturable bacteria and fungi are reported on  
25 Figure 2. Colony-forming culturable microbiota were abundant and their counts remained  
26 of the same order of magnitude before and after 2-month incubation, 10<sup>7</sup> to 10<sup>8</sup> bacteria  
27 and 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> fungi per g dry soil, despite a weak but significant decrease.



1

2 Microbiota degrading 3- and 4-ring PAHs, and in particularly phenanthrene,  
3 anthracene, fluorene and fluoranthene, which were present in large amounts in the initial  
4 matrix, did not show any significant decrease (Fig. 3). On the contrary, pyrene-degrading  
5 microflora ( $10^4$  bacteria per g of dry soil in the initial matrix) showed a significant 100-fold  
6 increase.

7

### 8 *3.3. Avoidance of the contaminated soil*

9

10 Previous to the incubation, the contaminated soil proved to be strongly repellent to  
11 *Folsomia candida*, which shifted to the control soil in choice experiments (Fig. 4). After  
12 two-month incubation, the direction of the choice was inverted, the percent choice in  
13 favour of the contaminated soil being 85%. The test was repeated, in order to provide a  
14 more convincing evidence of the attractive power of the contaminated soil after 2-month  
15 incubation. The percent choice in favour of the contaminated soil was 88% in the repeat  
16 test ( $P = 2.10^{-3}$ ).

17

## 18 **4. Discussion**

19

20 The contaminated soil used in the present study was heavily polluted with  
21 hydrocarbons, among them the 16 PAHs of the EPA list amounted to 3‰ of its dry weight  
22 (Table 1). After dilution with the control soil, the amount was still 10 times that of the  
23 unpolluted control soil. According to the review by Bouchez et al. (1996) the composition  
24 of the contaminated soil was typical of a pyrolysis product, by the dominance of  
25 fluoranthene and pyrene (Table 3) and the narrow ratio phenanthrene/anthracene (1.9). In  
26 two months, 50% of the global content in the 16 PAHs was degraded. This concerned  
27 mainly 4-ring PAHs, which were the best represented compounds in the studied soil.

1 Three-ring PAHs also contributed to the observed decrease, but to a lesser extent. The  
2 disappearance of PAHs was linked to bacterial degrading activity. Microbiota degrading 3-  
3 and 4-ring PAHs, in particular phenanthrene, anthracene, fluoranthene and pyrene, were  
4 found in large amounts, about  $10^5$  to  $10^6$  bacteria per g of dry soil (Fig. 3). This  
5 demonstrates the occurrence of adapted microbiota in the coke site, probably because of  
6 the ancient past of the site (Kästner et al., 1994).

7

8 *Folsomia candida* was strongly repelled by the contaminated substrate, even at  
9 1% dilution in the control (unpolluted) soil, and was attracted to it after two-month  
10 incubation in the presence of an indigenous microflora. We interpreted results of choice  
11 experiments in terms of avoidance or attraction for a test (contaminated) soil, compared  
12 with an uncontaminated soil used as a control. As in every choice experiment, attraction  
13 and avoidance are two faces of the same biological property: the proneness of animals to  
14 move among a variety of micro-sites which they encounter in the course of their  
15 peregrination, their directional movements being dictated by the balance between  
16 attraction and repellence. Traces of volatile and non-volatile molecules produced in the  
17 environment of soil animals (or by the animals themselves) have been shown to elicit  
18 attraction or avoidance, in particular fungal metabolites (Hedlund et al., 1995), earthworm  
19 excreta (Salmon and Ponge, 2001) and pheromones (Verhoef, 1984). Movements of  
20 Collembola far from or towards a fungal colony are highly correlated with the nutritional  
21 value or toxicity of the strain (Sadaka-Laulan et al., 1998). In the present experiment, we  
22 can hypothesize that physiological analogues of fungal metabolites, resulting from the  
23 microbial degradation of PAHs, could be responsible for the attraction observed after 2-  
24 month incubation of the contaminated soil.

25

26 In the absence of reliable methods for the analytical assessment of the  
27 bioavailable fraction of PAHs in the soil, biological tests using soil animals may help to

1 directly address the environmental impact of pollution by hydrocarbons, and the extent to  
2 which bioremediation will alleviate soil toxicity (Henner et al., 1999; Johnson et al., 2002).  
3 The avoidance test used in the present study is a rapid, cheap assessment of the  
4 behaviour of a test animal face to environmental pollution. Previous studies showed that it  
5 could detect the presence of a repellent pollutant at a very low concentration, far below  
6 toxicity thresholds (Martínez Aldaya et al., 2005). Other studies showed that avoidance  
7 behaviour in soil invertebrates was strongly related with inadequacy of food or substrate to  
8 sustain the growth of populations (Sadaka-Laulan et al., 1998; Shakir Hanna and Weaver,  
9 2002). Attraction, reinforced by contact and aggregation pheromones, is an efficient way  
10 by which soil animals find proper sites for feeding, moulting and ovipositing (Leonard and  
11 Bradbury, 1984; Michelozzi et al., 1997; Salmon and Ponge, 2001). Thus, any shift from  
12 avoidance to attraction (or neutral behaviour) of a polluted substrate might give an early  
13 indication of potential changes in soil animal communities which could stem from  
14 decontamination.

15

## 16 **Acknowledgements**

17

18 The present study was performed with a financial support from the Agence de  
19 l'Environnement et de la Maitrise de l'Énergie (ADEME), which is greatly acknowledged.

20

## 21 **References**

22

23 Bouchez, M., Blanchet, D., Haeseler, F., Vandecasteele, J.P., 1996. Les hydrocarbures  
24 aromatiques polycycliques dans l'environnement. I. Propriétés, origines, devenir.  
25 Revue de l'Institut Français du Pétrole 51, 407-419.

26

- 1 Cortet, J., Gomot-De Vaufleury, A., Poinot-Balaguer, N., Gomot, L., Texier, C., Cluzeau,  
2 D., 1999. The use of invertebrate soil fauna in monitoring pollutant effects.  
3 European Journal of Soil Biology 35, 115-134.  
4
- 5 Eijsackers, H., 1978. Side effects of the herbicide 2,4,5-T affecting mobility and mortality  
6 of the springtail *Onychiurus quadriocellatus* Gisin (Collembola). Zeitschrift für  
7 Angewandte Entomologie 86, 349-372.  
8
- 9 Fountain, M.T., Hopkin, S.P., 2005. *Folsomia candida* (Collembola): a “standard” soil  
10 arthropod. Annual Review of Entomology 50, 201-222.  
11
- 12 Gillet, S., Ponge, J.F., 2005. Species assemblages and diets of Collembola in the organic  
13 matter accumulated over an old tar deposit. European Journal of Soil Biology 41,  
14 39-44.  
15
- 16 Greene, J.C., Bartels, C.L., Warren-Hicks, W.J., Parkhurst, B.R., Linder, G.L., Peterson,  
17 S.A., Miller, W.E., 1989. Protocols for Short-Term Toxicity Screening of Hazardous  
18 Waste Sites, EPA/600/3-88/029. United States Environmental Protection Agency,  
19 Corvallis, Oregon.  
20
- 21 Hedlund, K., Bengtsson, G., Rundgren, S., 1995. Fungal odour discrimination in two  
22 sympatric species of fungivorous collembolans. Functional Ecology 9, 869-875.  
23
- 24 Henner, P., Schiavon, M., Druelle, V., Lichtfouse, E., 1999. Phytotoxicity of ancient  
25 gaswork soils. Effect of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) on plant  
26 germination. Organic Geochemistry 30, 963-969.  
27

- 1 Heupel, K., 2002. Avoidance response of different collembolan species to Betanal.  
2 European Journal of Soil Biology 38, 273-276.  
3
- 4 ISO, 1999. International Standard ISO 11267. Soil Quality. Inhibition of Reproduction of  
5 Collembola (*Folsomia candida*) by soil pollutants. ISO, Geneva, 16 pp.  
6
- 7 Johnson, D.L., Jones, K.C., Langdon, C.J., Pearce, T.G., Semple, K.T., 2002. Temporal  
8 changes in earthworm availability and extractability of polycyclic aromatic  
9 hydrocarbons in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 1363-1370.  
10
- 11 Kästner, M., Breuer-Jammali, M., Mahro B., 1994. Enumeration and characterisation of  
12 the soil microflora from hydrocarbon contaminated soil sites able to mineralise  
13 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Applied and Environmental  
14 Microbiology 41, 273-297.  
15
- 16 Leonard, M.A., Bradbury, P.C., 1984. Aggregative behaviour in *Folsomia candida*  
17 (Collembola: Isotomidae), with respect to previous conditioning. Pedobiologia 26,  
18 369-372.  
19
- 20 da Luz, T.N., Ribeiro, R., Sousa, J.P., 2004. Avoidance tests with Collembola and  
21 earthworms as early screening tools for site-specific assessment of polluted soils.  
22 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 23, 2188-2193.  
23
- 24 de Man, J.C., 1977. MPN tables for more than one test. European Journal of Applied  
25 Microbiology 4, 307-316.  
26

- 1 Martínez Aldaya, M., Lors, C., Salmon, S., Ponge, J.F., 2005. Avoidance bio-assays may  
2 help to test the ecological significance of soil pollution. *Environmental Pollution* (in  
3 press).
- 4
- 5 Michelozzi, M., Raschi, A., Tognetti, R., Tosi, L., 1997. Eco-ethological analysis of the  
6 interaction between isoprene and the behaviour of Collembola. *Pedobiologia* 41,  
7 210-214.
- 8
- 9 Ramsay, A.J., 1984. Extraction of bacteria from soil: efficiency of shaking or  
10 ultrasonification as indicated by direct counts and autoradiography, *Soil Biology*  
11 *and Biochemistry* 16, 475-481.
- 12
- 13 Sadaka-Laulan, N., Ponge, J.F., Roquebert, M.F., Bury, E., Boumezzough, A., 1998.  
14 Feeding preferences of the collembolan *Onychiurus sinensis* for fungi colonizing  
15 holm oak leaf litter. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 34, 179-188.
- 16
- 17 Salmon, S., Ponge, J.F., 1998. Responses to light in a soil-dwelling springtail. *European*  
18 *Journal of Soil Biology* 34, 199-201.
- 19
- 20 Salmon, S., Ponge, J.F., 2001. Earthworm excreta attract soil springtails: laboratory  
21 experiments on *Heteromurus nitidus* (Collembola: Entomobryidae). *Soil Biology*  
22 *and Biochemistry* 33, 1959-1969.
- 23
- 24 Shakir Hanna, S.H., Weaver, R.W., 2002. Earthworm survival in oil contaminated soil.  
25 *Plant and Soil* 240, 127-132.
- 26

- 1 Sterckeman, T., Douay, F., Proix, N., Fourrier, H., 2000. Vertical distribution of Cd, Pb and  
2 Zn in soils near smelters in the North of France. *Environmental Pollution* 107, 377-  
3 389.
- 4
- 5 Stieber, M., Haeseler, F., Werner, P., Frimmel, F.H., 1994. A rapid screening method for  
6 microorganisms degrading polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in microplates.  
7 *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 40, 753-755.
- 8
- 9 Tranvik, L., Eijsackers, H., 1989. On the advantage of *Folsomia fimetarioides* over  
10 *Isotomiella minor* (Collembola) in a metal polluted soil. *Oecologia* 80, 195-200.
- 11
- 12 Verhoef, H.A., 1984. Releaser and primer phromones in Collembola. *Journal of Insect*  
13 *Physiology* 30, 665-670.
- 14
- 15 Yeardley, R.B., Lazorchak, J.M., Gast, L.C., 1996. The potential of an earthworm  
16 avoidance test for evaluation of hazardous waste sites. *Environmental Toxicology*  
17 *and Chemistry* 15, 1532-1537.
- 18

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical characteristics of the polluted soil and the corresponding control soil, before use in the experiments. Means of three replicated measures are followed by standard deviations. Concentrations are expressed on a dry soil basis

	Texture	Moisture (%)	pH <sub>water</sub>	Total organic carbon (%)	Total organic nitrogen (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Total phosphorus (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Σ 16 PAHs (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Cyanides (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Polluted soil</b>	Silty sand	24.3±0.5	7.9±0.02	9	1700	620	2894±54	0.8
<b>Control soil</b>	Medium silt	6.4±0.1	8.4±0.04	0.7	670	410	0.97±0.09	<0.1

1

2



**Table 2.** Concentration of heavy metals ( $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of dry soil) in the polluted soil compared with the geochemical background (Sterckeman *et al.* 2000). Means of three replicated measures are followed by standard deviations

	<b>As</b>	<b>Cd</b>	<b>Co</b>	<b>Cr</b>	<b>Cu</b>	<b>Ni</b>	<b>Pb</b>	<b>Zn</b>
<b>Polluted soil</b>	6.5±0.5	<0.4	9.6±0.2	32.9±0.3	19.3±0.4	19.8±0.2	23.9±0.8	92.7±0.8
<b>Geochemical background</b>	8.9±1.2	0.4±0.03	9.3±0.9	48.8±2.7	16.7±1.8	24.7±5.7	38.4±5.6	73.7±6.2

1

2

**Table 3.** PAH concentrations ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$  of dry soil) of the polluted soil, diluted in the control soil at 1% , before and after the 2-month experiment. Values are means of five replicates ( $\pm$  S.E.), followed by letters indicating homogeneous groups (Newman-Keuls test after ANOVA). <d.l. = below detection limit

	<b>T0</b>	<b>T+2</b>
Naphthalene	0.33 $\pm$ 0.02b	0.39 $\pm$ 0.01a
Acenaphthylene	<d.l.	<d.l.
Acenaphthene	<d.l.	<d.l.
Fluorene	0.20 $\pm$ 0.01a	0.10 $\pm$ 0.01b
Phenanthrene	1.07 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.49 $\pm$ 0.05b
Anthracene	0.55 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.13 $\pm$ 0.01b
Fluoranthrene	3.48 $\pm$ 0.19a	0.90 $\pm$ 0.09b
Pyrene	1.88 $\pm$ 0.11a	0.59 $\pm$ 0.06b
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.74 $\pm$ 0.05a	0.46 $\pm$ 0.04b
Chrysene	0.67 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.43 $\pm$ 0.04b
Benzo(b)fluoranthrene	<d.l.	<d.l.
Benzo(k)fluoranthrene	0.24 $\pm$ 0.01a	0.22 $\pm$ 0.02a
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.48 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.39 $\pm$ 0.04b
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.07 $\pm$ 0.00b	0.26 $\pm$ 0.01a
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.32 $\pm$ 0.01b	0.40 $\pm$ 0.03a
Indeno(123cd)pyrene	0.32 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.28 $\pm$ 0.03a
<b><math>\Sigma</math> 16 PAHs</b>		

1

2

1 **Figure captions**

2

3 **Fig. 1.** Mean concentration of 2- to 6-ring PAHs, before and after 2-month incubation.

4 Values are means of five replicates. Differences among means were tested by  
5 Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) procedure following one-way ANOVA. Common  
6 letters indicate homogeneous groups of the five PAH types, which were treated  
7 separately

8

9 **Fig. 2.** Total (bacterial and fungal) microbiota in polluted soil before (To) and after 2-

10 month incubation (T+2). Differences among means were tested by Student-  
11 Newman-Keuls (SNK) procedure following one-way ANOVA. Common letters  
12 indicate bacterial and fungal homogeneous groups, which were treated separately

13

14 **Fig. 3.** PAH-degrading bacterial in polluted soil before (To) and after 2-month incubation

15 (T+2). Differences among means were tested by Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK)  
16 procedure following one-way ANOVA. Common letters indicate bacterial PAH-  
17 degrading homogeneous groups, which were treated separately. Phe =  
18 phenanthrene, Flu = fluoranthene, Ant = anthracene, Flt = fluoranthene, Pyr =  
19 pyrene

20

21 **Fig. 4.** Avoidance/attraction test. Percent choice in favour of the control (unpolluted) or

22 test soil (1% dilution of the polluted soil) by *Folsomia candida*, before (To) and  
23 after 2-month incubation (T+2) of the polluted substrate. Significance of the  
24 difference between control and test side was assessed by a sign test (20  
25 replicates)

26

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical characteristics of the polluted soil and the corresponding control soil, before use in the experiments. Means of three replicated measures are followed by standard deviations. Concentrations are expressed on a dry soil basis

	Texture	Moisture (%)	pH <sub>water</sub>	Total organic carbon (%)	Total organic nitrogen (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Total phosphorus (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Σ 16 PAHs (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Cyanides (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Polluted soil</b>	Silty sand	24.3±0.5	7.9±0.02	9	1700	620	2894±54	0.8
<b>Control soil</b>	Medium silt	6.4±0.1	8.4±0.04	0.7	670	410	0.97±0.09	<0.1

1

2

**Table 2.** Concentration of heavy metals ( $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of dry soil) in the polluted soil compared with the geochemical background (Sterckeman *et al.* 2000). Means of three replicated measures are followed by standard deviations

	<b>As</b>	<b>Cd</b>	<b>Co</b>	<b>Cr</b>	<b>Cu</b>	<b>Ni</b>	<b>Pb</b>	<b>Zn</b>
<b>Polluted soil</b>	6.5±0.5	<0.4	9.6±0.2	32.9±0.3	19.3±0.4	19.8±0.2	23.9±0.8	92.7±0.8
<b>Geochemical background</b>	8.9±1.2	0.4±0.03	9.3±0.9	48.8±2.7	16.7±1.8	24.7±5.7	38.4±5.6	73.7±6.2


1

2

**Table 3.** PAH concentrations ( $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of dry soil) of the polluted soil, diluted in the control soil at 1%, before and after the 2-month experiment. Values are means of five replicates ( $\pm$  S.E.), followed by letters indicating homogeneous groups (Newman-Keuls test after ANOVA). <d.l. = below detection limit

	<b>T0</b>	<b>T+2</b>
Naphthalene	0.33 $\pm$ 0.02b	0.39 $\pm$ 0.01a
Acenaphthylene	<d.l.	<d.l.
Acenaphthene	<d.l.	<d.l.
Fluorene	0.20 $\pm$ 0.01a	0.10 $\pm$ 0.01b
Phenanthrene	1.07 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.49 $\pm$ 0.05b
Anthracene	0.55 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.13 $\pm$ 0.01b
Fluoranthrene	3.48 $\pm$ 0.19a	0.90 $\pm$ 0.09b
Pyrene	1.88 $\pm$ 0.11a	0.59 $\pm$ 0.06b
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.74 $\pm$ 0.05a	0.46 $\pm$ 0.04b
Chrysene	0.67 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.43 $\pm$ 0.04b
Benzo(b)fluoranthrene	<d.l.	<d.l.
Benzo(k)fluoranthrene	0.24 $\pm$ 0.01a	0.22 $\pm$ 0.02a
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.48 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.39 $\pm$ 0.04b
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.07 $\pm$ 0.00b	0.26 $\pm$ 0.01a
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.32 $\pm$ 0.01b	0.40 $\pm$ 0.03a
Indeno(123cd)pyrene	0.32 $\pm$ 0.02a	0.28 $\pm$ 0.03a
<b>1</b> $\Sigma$ 16 PAHs	<b>10.39<math>\pm</math>0.55a</b>	<b>5.07<math>\pm</math>0.43b</b>


2



1

2 Fig. 1

3




1

2 Fig. 2

3






1

2 Fig. 3

3



1

2 Fig. 4

3