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#### Abstract

Reaction of the bisamidinate zinc complex $\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn} \mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{ZnMe}_{2}$ yielded the corresponding monoamidinate zinc complex $\left\{\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnMe}\right\}_{2} 2.2$ reacts with iodine with formation of $\left.\left\{\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnI}\right\}_{2} \mathbf{3}\right) .2$ and $\mathbf{3}$ both adopt dimeric structures in the solid state as was shown by single crystal X-ray analyses. 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ were characterized by elemental analyses, mass and multinuclear ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ ) NMR spectroscopy ( $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. In addition, X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ are reported.
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## Introduction

$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ chelating amidinate-ligands $\left[\mathrm{RC}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right]$ have a long tradition in s -, p -, d- and fblock metal chemistry.[1] Their steric and electronic properties can easily be modified by variation of the organic substituents R and $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ and they have been found to coordinate very flexible to the metal center either as monodentate $\left(\eta^{l}\right)$, chelating ( $\eta^{2}$ ) or bridging monodentate $\left(\mu-\eta^{l}-\eta^{l}\right)$ four-electron donor.[2] These prominent properties render amidinate substituents very effective for the stabilization of a variety of metal complexes, including unusual low-valent metal complexes.[3] In addition, amidinate metal complexes have found widespread technical applications as tailor-made catalysts for olefin polymerization[4], rac-lactide polymerization[5] and ring opening polymerization reactions (ROP) of $\varepsilon$-caprolactone.[6] Moreover, thin films of metals and metal nitrides were deposited by CVD processes (chemical vapor deposition) in recent years using metal amidinate complexes.[7] Considering these interesting applications, it came as a surprise to us, that only a very few zinc amidinate[8] as well as boramidinate complexes[9] have been reported in the literature prior to our work.

We became only recently interested in zinc amidinate complexes and reported on the reactions of $\mathrm{ZnR}_{2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et})$ with iso-propyl carbodiimide $i-\mathrm{PrN}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N} i-\mathrm{Pr}$, which either proceed with formation of the expected amidinate complex $\left[\mathrm{EtC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{ZnEt}\right]_{2}$ [10] or with formation of novel cluster-type complexes such as $\{\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{N} i-$ $\left.\left.\operatorname{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{ZnMe}\right]\right\}_{4},[11]$ Moreover, mono- and bis-amidinate zinc complexes of the type $[t$ $\left.\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{NR})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$ and $\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{NR})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnX}(\mathrm{R}=i-\mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Cy}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ have been synthesized by salt elimination reactions.[12] In these reactions it became evident, that the synthesis of monoamidinate complexes is often complicated due to the formation of the corresponding bisamidinates. We became therefore interested in a more straightforward route to monoamidinate complexes $\left[\mathrm{RC}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{ZnX}(\mathrm{X}=$ halide, alkyl), which are expected to be valuable starting reagents for ligand exchange and reductive coupling reactions as well as halide abstraction reactions.

Herein, we report on the reaction of $\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn} 1$ with $\mathrm{ZnMe}_{2}$ and subsequent reaction of as-formed monoamidinate complex $\left\{\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnMe}\right\}_{2} \mathbf{2}$ with iodine yielding $\left\{\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnI}\right\}_{2} 3$.

## Experimental Section

## General Procedure

Manipulations were performed in a glovebox under an Ar-atmosphere or with standard Schlenk techniques. Dry solvents were obtained from a solvent purification system (MBraun) and degassed prior to use. $\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn} 1$ was prepared according to literature method,[10] $\mathrm{ZnMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ were obtained from Acros and used as received. A Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer was used for NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were referenced to internal $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: \delta=7.154 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: \delta=128.0\right)$. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha-T FT-IR spectrometer. Melting points were measured in sealed capillaries and were not corrected. Elemental analyses were performed at the Elementaranalyse Labor of the University of Essen.
$\left\{\left[t-\mathbf{B u C}(\mathbf{N i}-\mathbf{P r})_{2}\right]_{\mathbf{Z n M e}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{2}^{2} \mathbf{2 :} 0.48 \mathrm{~g}(5 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{ZnMe}_{2}\right.$ was added to a solution of 2.16 g ( 5 mmol ) $\mathbf{1}$ in 20 mL of toluol at ambient temperature and stirred for 3 h . The solution was concentrated in vacuum and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Colorless crystals were isolated by filtration.

Yield $1.2 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%), \mathrm{Mp}:>220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental Analysis $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}(527.44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ : found (calcd): H, 9.72 (9.94); C, 54.17 (54.65); N, 10.48 (10.62) \%.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta-0.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ZnCH} 3), 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 1.24 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Bu}), 3.95$ (sep, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6} 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ): $\delta-$ $10.0\left(\mathrm{ZnCH}_{3}\right), 26.9(\mathrm{CHMe} 2), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CCMe}_{3}\right), 39.8\left(\mathrm{CCMe}_{3}\right), 46.5\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$. IR (Nujol): $v 2924,2856,1460,1376,1318,1261,1184,1121,1017,819,722,656,446 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\left\{\left[t-\mathbf{B u C}(\mathbf{N i}-\mathbf{P r})_{2}\right] \mathbf{Z n I}\right\}_{2}$ 3: A solution of $1.27 \mathrm{~g}(5 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{I}_{2}$ in 10 mL hexane was added dropwise to $1.32 \mathrm{~g}(5 \mathrm{mmol}) 2$ dissolved in 30 ml hexane at $\quad-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and stirred for additional 12 h . The solution was concentrated in vacuum and stored at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Colorless crystals were isolated by filtration.
Yield $1.60 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%), \mathrm{Mp}:>220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental Analysis $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(751.18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ : found (calcd): H, 6.08 (6.17); C, 34.92 (35.18); N, 7.33 (7.46) \%.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 1.03\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}, t-B u), 4.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right.$, $\left.25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 27.8(\mathrm{CHMe} 2), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CCMe}_{3}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{CCMe}_{3}\right), 46.8$ ( $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ ). IR (nujol): $v$ 2956, 2958, 2925, 2855, 1555, 1461, 1377, 1261, 1151, 1099, 1018, 801, 722, $468 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

X-ray Structure Solution and Refinement of 1-3. Crystallographic data of 1-3 are given in Table 2. Figure 1-3 show ORTEP diagrams of the solid state structures of 1-3. Data were collected on a STOE IPDS-II diffractometer. The structures were solved by Direct Methods (SHELXS-97)[13] and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ (SHELXL-97).[14] All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms by a riding model. Multi-scan absorption corrections were applied. Crystallographic data of the structures (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-726835 (1), CCDC-726836 (2), and CCDC-726837 (3). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: int.code_(1223)336-033; e-mail for inquiry: fileserv@ccdc.cam.ac.uk; e-mail for deposition: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

## Results and Discussion

Equimolar amounts of $\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn} 1$ and $\mathrm{ZnMe}_{2}$ react at ambient temperature with subsequent formation of $\left\{\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{N} i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnMe}\right\}_{2} \mathbf{2}$ in quantitative yield. $\mathbf{2}$ can be easily converted into $\left\{\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{N} i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnI}\right\}_{2} \mathbf{3}$ by reaction with an equimolar amount of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$.

## ((Scheme 1 here))

2 and 3 were obtained as colorless crystalline solids after re-crystallization from solutions in toluene (2) and $n$-hexane (3), respectively, at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2}$ show the expected resonances due to the amidinate ligand and the Me group in the expected 1:1 intensities, whereas $\mathbf{3}$ only shows the resonances of the amidinate ligand.

Single crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ were obtained by sublimation of freshly prepared $\mathbf{1}$, whereas single crystals of 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ suitable for X-ray structure determinations were obtained from solutions in toluene (2) and $n$-hexane (3) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
((Figures 1-3 here))
$\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $\mathrm{Pben}, \mathbf{2}$ in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{l} / n$ and $\mathbf{3}$ in the triclinic space group P-1 with two half independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ form centrosymmetric dimers in the solid state with bridging N -centers and the center of symmetry in the $\mathrm{Zn}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ ring, whereas $\mathbf{1}$ is monomeric in the solid state as was expected. The structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are comparable to those previously observed for monoamidinate zinc halides $\left\{\left[t-\mathrm{BuRC}(\mathrm{N} i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnX}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ and bisamidinate complexes $\left[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{NCy})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn},[12]$ whereas the structure of $[t-\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{Ni}$ $\left.\operatorname{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{ZnMe}\right]_{2} \mathbf{2}$ significantly differs from that reported for $\left[\mathrm{EtC}(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{ZnEt}\right]_{2} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{4}$ forms an eight-membered $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{NCN})_{2}\right]$ heterocycle, which adopts a boat-type conformation and shows short $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds within the ring (1.991(2) - 2.014(2) $\AA$ ) and only weak transannular $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds (2.669(1), 2.653(1) Å).[10] In contrast, the $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths within the eight-membered ring in 2 are significantly elongated (Zn1-N1 2.0974(11), $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{a} 2.1184(10) \AA$ ) compared to $\mathbf{4}$ whereas the transannular distances ( $\mathrm{Zn} 1-$ $\mathrm{N} 2 / \mathrm{Zn} 1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{a} 2.1952(11) \mathrm{A}$ ) are remarkably shorter. Almost identical bond lengths are observed in 3 (Zn1-N1 2.083(3), Zn1-N2a 2.053(3), Zn1-N2/Zn1a-N2a 2.136(3) A). As a consequence, the amidinate group in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ rather serves as chelating $\left(\eta^{2}\right)$ unit whereas in 4 it serves as bridging monodentate $\left(\mu-\eta^{l}-\eta^{l}\right)$ four-electron donor. Moreover, the Zn atoms in $\mathbf{2}$ adopt distorted tetrahedral coordination spheres whereas in 4 trigonal-planar coordination geometry was observed.

## ((Table 1 here))

Another structural difference between $\mathbf{1}$ on the one hand and $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ on the other hand is reflected by the different coordination modes of the amidinate moiety. The N atoms in the bis-amidinate complex $\mathbf{1}$ are threefold coordinated and the $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths are almost identical. The sum of the bond angles of the central carbon atom $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }}\right)$ and the nitrogen atoms N 1 and N 2 of the planar amidinate ligand are close to $360^{\circ}$, indicating $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized C and N atoms. In addition, the almost equal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths observed in $1\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }} 1.335(2), \mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }} 1.343(2) \mathrm{A}\right)$, which are in between typical values for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ single and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bonds, prove an almost perfect delocalization of the $\pi$ electrons within the $\mathrm{CN}_{2}$ backbones of both four-membered rings. In contrast, the N1/2$\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }}$ bond lengths in $2(1.3110(16), 1.3929(15) \AA$ ) and particularly $\mathbf{3}$ (1.298(5),
$1.435(4) \AA$ ) differ significantly, with the distances of the four-coordinated N atoms being elongated compared to those of the three-coordinated N atoms. Moreover, the three-coordinate carbon and nitrogen atoms in 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ are almost planar whereas significantly smaller sum of bond angles are observed for the four-coordinate nitrogen atoms. These findings clearly demonstrate a rather strong disturbance of the $\pi$-electrons. Consequently, the coordination mode of the amidinate ligand in 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ is better described by resonance structure $\mathbf{A}$ whereas resonance structure $\mathbf{B}$ reflects the coordination properties of the amidinate moiety in $\mathbf{1}$.
((Scheme 2 here))
((Table 2 here))

Conclusion. Bisamidinate complexes $\left[\mathrm{RC}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$ can be converted into monoamidinate complexes $\left[\mathrm{RC}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{ZnX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{I})$, which are promising starting reagents for further derivatization reactions as well as reductive coupling and halide/methyl abstraction reactions.
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## Figure / Scheme Captions

Figure 1. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme of 1; displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 2. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme of 2; displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 3. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme of 3; displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms and the second independent molecule have been omitted for clarity.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2 and 3.

Scheme 2. Different resonance structures of the amidinate ligand in complexes $\mathbf{1 - 3}$.

## Scheme 1



## Scheme 2



Table 1. Selected bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$.

|  | 1 | 2 | $3^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zn1-N1 | 2.0175(13) | 2.0974(11) | 2.083(3) |
| Zn1-N2 | $2.0297(14)$ | 2.1952(11) | 2.136(3) |
| Zn1-N2a | $2.0297(14)$ | 2.1184(10) | 2.053(3) |
| $\mathrm{Zn1}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Me }} / \mathrm{IL}$ |  | 1.9812(16) | 2.5440(4) |
| N1-C ${ }_{\text {Amid }}$ | 1.335(2) | 1.3110(16) | 1.298(5) |
| N1-C ${ }_{i-P r}$ | 1.457(2) | $1.4765(16)$ | 1.488(5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }}$ | 1.343(2) | $1.3929(15)$ | 1.435(4) |
| N2-C ${ }_{\text {i-Pr }}$ | 1.463(2) | $1.4902(16)$ | 1.512(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }}$ - $\mathrm{C}_{\text {t-Bu }}$ | 1.555(2) | $1.5695(18)$ | $1.556(5)$ |
| N1-Zn1-N2 | 65.34(6) | 62.87(4) | 64.42(11) |
| N1-Zn1-N1a | 134.35(9) |  |  |
| N1-Zn1-N2a |  | 109.15(4) | 117.11(10) |
| N2-Zn1-N2a | 134.90(6) | 94.29(4) | 91.32(10) |
| N1-Zn1-C ${ }_{\text {Me }} / \mathrm{IL}$ |  | 124.63(7) | 112.35(8) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Me }} / \mathrm{IL}$ |  | 132.72(7) | 131.25(7) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Me }} / \mathrm{I} 1$ |  | 119.20(6) | 125.40(7) |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }}$ | 93.07(10) | 95.52(8) | 95.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C}_{i-\mathrm{Pr}}$ | 135.24(12) | 134.62(9) | 136.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Amid }}$ | 92.29(10) | 88.94(7) | 89.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{Zn} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C}_{i-\mathrm{Pr}}$ | 133.28(12) | 123.69(8) | 118.6(2) |

Table 2. Crystallographic details of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| emp.form. | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ |
| mol. mass | 432.00 | 527.44 | 751.17 |
| cryst syst. | orthorhombic | monoclinic | triclinic |
| space group | Pben | P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ | P-1 |
| a [ $\AA$ ] | 16.7038(10) | 10.5974(5) | 9.9516(8) |
| b [ A ] | 9.4860(7) | 12.9710(7) | 10.7946(8) |
| c [ $\AA$ ] | 16.7124(15) | 10.6565(4) | 14.6318(12) |
| $\alpha[\AA]$ | 90 | 90 | 91.415(6) |
| $\beta$ [ $\AA$ ] | 90 | 102.954(3) | 107.994(6) |
| $\gamma[\AA]$ | 90 | 90 | 97.242(6) |
| $\mathrm{V}\left[\AA^{3}\right]$ | 2648.1(3) | 1427.55(12) | 1479.6(2) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| T [K] | 173(2) | 173(2) | 173(2) |
| radiation ( $\lambda$ [ A$]$ ) | $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}(0.71073)$ | Mo-K ${ }_{\alpha}(0.71073)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}(0.71073)$ |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.940 | 1.696 | 3.723 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc. }}\left[\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.084 | 1.227 | 1.686 |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }}\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 51.4 | 59.4 | 51.2 |
| cryst dim. [mm] | $0.47 \times 0.42 \times 0.39$ | $0.41 \times 0.37 \times 0.34$ | $0.21 \times 0.17 \times 0.12$ |
| no. reflns | 19562 | 36353 | 20535 |
| unique | 2491 | 3999 | 5518 |
| $R_{\text {merg }}$ | 0.0559 | 0.0451 | 0.0650 |
| param./restraints | 124 / 0 | 137 / 0 | 271 / 0 |
| $R 1^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0299 | 0.0257 | 0.0324 |
| $w R 2{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0745 | 0.0666 | 0.0826 |
| goodness of fit ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.038 | 1.040 | 1.006 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { final max } / \min . \Delta \rho, \\ & \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \end{aligned}$ | 0.221/-0.169 | 0.336 / -0.372 | 0.975 / -1.286 |
|  |  |  |  |
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