

Improved donor/acceptor BRET couples for monitoring ß-arrestin recruitment to G protein-coupled receptors

Maud Kamal, Marcel Marquez, Virginie Vauthier, Audrey Leloire, Philippe Froguel, Ralf Jockers, Cyril Couturier

To cite this version:

Maud Kamal, Marcel Marquez, Virginie Vauthier, Audrey Leloire, Philippe Froguel, et al.. Improved donor/acceptor BRET couples for monitoring ß-arrestin recruitment to G protein-coupled receptors. Biotechnology Journal, 2009, 4 (8), pp.1337. 10.1002/biot.200900016. hal-00495062

HAL Id: hal-00495062 <https://hal.science/hal-00495062v1>

Submitted on 25 Jun 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Biotechnology Journal

Biotechnology Journal

Improved donor/acceptor BRET couples for monitoring ßarrestin recruitment to G protein-coupled receptors

 $\overline{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

Research Article ((4359 words))

Improved donor/acceptor BRET couples for monitoring ß-arrestin recruitment to G protein-coupled receptors

((Supporting information online))

Maud Kamal $^{1,2,\$}$, Marcel Marquez $^{3\$}$, Virginie Vauthier 1,2 Audrey Leloire 3 , Philippe Froguel³, Ralf Jockers^{1,2,*} and Cyril Couturier³.

¹ Institut Cochin, Université Paris Descartes, CNRS (UMR 8104), Paris, France.

² Inserm, U567, Paris, France.

³ Unité Mixte de Recherche 8090, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Lille 2, 59021 Lille, France.

§ equal contribution

France.

France.

France.

France.
 France.
 France. * Corresponding author: JOCKERS, Ralf (ralf.jockers@inserm.fr); Institut Cochin, 22 Rue Mechain, 75014 Paris, France. Tel: ++ (0) 1 4051 64 34; Fax:++ (0) 1 4051 64 30.

Keywords: BRET, RGFP, YPet, GPCR, ßarrestin

Abbreviations: BRET, Bioluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer; mBu, milli-BRET unit; Rluc, *Renilla* luciferase; YFP, Yellow Fluorescent Protein; RGFP, *Renilla* green fluorescent protein; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptors; BARR, Barrestin; V2R, vasopressin 2 receptor ; MT₁, melatonin 1 receptor; AVP, Argininine Vasopressine.

For Peripanties

 $\overline{1}$ $\overline{2}$

Abstract

We report highly sensitive Bioluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer (BRET) assays with optimized donor/acceptor couples. We combined the energy donors *Renilla* **luciferase (Rluc) and the Rluc8 variant with the energy acceptors yellow fluorescent protein (YFP), the YPet variant and the** *Renilla* **green fluorescent protein (RGFP). Different donor/acceptor couples were tested in well-established assays measuring ligand-induced ß-arrestin** (**ßARR) intramolecular rearrangements and recruitment to G proteincoupled receptors (GPCRs). We show increased sensitivity with Rluc8/YPet and Rluc8/RGFP couples and measured previously undetectable BRET signals. These tools improve existing ßARR assays and offer new options for the development of future BRET assays.**

PRINCE

1. Introduction

BRET is a natural phenomenon occurring in several marine organisms. In 1999, Xu *et al*. first applied BRET to the detection of protein-protein interactions in intact cells [1]. This initial version, nowadays called $BRET¹$, relies on the Rluc energy donor and its substrate, coelenterazine h, and the YFP energy acceptor. The overlap between the donor and acceptor energy spectra is substantial, resulting in a relatively high background signal that needs to be carefully controlled $[2]$. In contrast, the BRET² version uses Rluc in the presence of the blue-shifted substrate coelenterazine 400A and the GFP10 variant that shows a blue-shifted absorption spectrum [3, 4]. Despite improved spectral resolution in the BRET² version, BRET¹ is still the most widely used method due to its higher sensitivity (>100X higher luminescence signals) [5] allowing BRET measurements at physiological protein expression levels [6].

For the BRET² version uses Rluc in the presence of coelenterazine 400A and the GFP10 variant that show a spectrum [3, 4]. Despite improved spectral resolution nepectrum [3, 4]. Despite improved spectral resolution nepect The primary goal of this study was to further improve the $BRET¹$ version by testing new donor/acceptor couples. We compared the original energy donor Rluc with the recently described Rluc8 variant, which differs by eight mutations from Rluc. Rluc8 was selected for its higher stability and quantum yield [7] and was successfully applied to BRET imaging in a BRET² setting [8]. Furthermore, we compared the original YFP with the YPet variant and the recently cloned RGFP. YPet was optimized for enhanced fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) in a tandem CFP-YFP fusion protein [9], an experimental setting closely resembling the Rluc/YFP BRET couple. For RGFP, an improved energy transfer

 $\overline{1}$

Biotechnology Journal

efficiency was reported in BRET² assays but only if the N-terminus of RGFP was free, considerably restricting the use of RGFP in BRET assays [10].

GPCRs play a central role in the signal transduction of an enormous array of biological stimuli primarily through their coupling to heterotrimeric G proteins. GPCR activation initiates their feedback desensitization, which is mostly mediated by GPCR kinases (GRKs) and ßARR proteins. ßARRs can also act as scaffolds, linking GPCRs to mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways [11]. Because ßARRs bind only the activated, phosphorylated form of the receptor, BRET assays using ßARRs as biosensors for GPCR activation were widely developed in the last decade [12, 13].

For Peer Revival and Source of the activated, phosphorylated form of

For Busing BARRs as biosensors for GPCR activation w

For PEET couples described above, we chose two w

For PEET couples described above, we chose two w In order to test the new BRET couples described above, we chose two wellestablished BRET assays used to monitor agonist-promoted interactions upon GPCR activation: the ligand-induced ßARR intramolecular rearrangement and the ligand-induced ßARR recruitment to GPCRs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Compounds

Arginine vasopressin (AVP) was purchased from Calbiochem/Merck (Notingham, UK), melatonin from VWR (Fontenay sous Bois, France). The d(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP compound was provided by Dr. M. Manning (Toledo, Ohio, USA).

2.2. Construction of fusion proteins

The coding sequences for humanized Rluc, YFP, and *Renilla mullerie* GFP (RGFP) were obtained by PCR amplification from previously described pcDNA3- OBR-Rluc, pcDNA3-OBR-YFP [14] and pCMV-h-RM RGFP vectors (Prolume, Arizona USA). The Rluc8 and YPet variants were generated by multi-site mutagenesis (Promega, Charbonnières-les-Bains, France) from the Rluc and YFP sequences. Tandem Acceptor-Rluc-Flag and Acceptor-Rluc8-Flag fusions were generated by PCR by inserting the AENLYFQGA linker sequence between acceptors and donors and adding a Flag epitope (DYKDDDDK) before the Stop codon. Tandem Flag-Rluc-RGFP and Flag-Rluc8-RGFP fusion proteins contain the same linker between donors and RGFP and the Flag epitope was inserted after the Start codon of the donors.

The human V2R coding region was cloned in frame with the Rluc coding region to obtain the V2R-Rluc construct. The V2R-Rluc8 construct was obtained by replacing Rluc by Rluc8. Both constructs contain a PVAT linker sequence.

and adding a Flag epitope (DYKDDDDK) before the S
 Rluc-RGFP and Flag-Rluc8-RGFP fusion proteins cont

en donors and RGFP and the Flag epitope was inserted a

donors.

Ing region was cloned in frame with the Rluc coding Rluc and YFP of the previously described Rluc-ßARR2-YFP vector [13] were replaced by Rluc8, YPet and RGFP, respectively. The linker between donors and BARR2 is composed of the following amino acids GDLRRALENSHASAGYQACGTGS and the linker between ßARR2 and the acceptors is CLEDPRVPVAT.

Acceptor-ßARR2 fusion proteins were obtained by fusing the coding region of YFP, YPet or RGFP in frame with that of rat ßARR2 without linker.

Biotechnology Journal

MT ¹-Rluc8 construct was obtained by replacing Rluc by Rluc8 from a previously described MT_1 -Rluc vector [15]. MT_1 -Rluc and MT_1 -Rluc8 contained the same linker sequence (VWDPPVAT) between human $MT₁$ and the donor.

2.3. Cell culture and transfections

HEK 293T cells were grown in complete medium (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 4.5g/L glucose, 100U/mL penicillin, 0.1mg/mL streptomycin and 1mM glutamine) (Invitrogen, CA). Transient transfections were performed using JetPEI (Polyplus Transfection, France), or Fugene6 HD (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), according to manufacturer's instructions.

For Peer Review and TmM glutamine) (Invitographic) entirely entirely entirely chinage tions were performed using JetPEI (Polyplus Transfecti D (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), according to manufacture usion protein BRET assay For Donor/Acceptor fusion protein BRET assays and corresponding separate coexpressed soluble proteins, HEK 293T cells, which do not express endogenous V2 and MT_1 receptors, were transfected with 2 to 10μ g of the different plasmids. For the double-brilliance ßARR BRET assay, wild-type HEK 293T cell transiently expressing myc-V2R or HEK 293 cells stably expressing Flag-MT₁ [16] were seeded in 6-well plates and transiently transfected with 500ng of RlucβARR-YFP, Rluc-βARR-YPet, Rluc-βARR-RGFP, Rluc8-βARR-YFP, Rluc8 βARR-YPet or Rluc8-βARR-RGFP expression vectors. 24h post-transfection, cells were transferred into 96-well plates and left another 24h before BRET measurements.

For the ßARR recruitment BRET assay, HEK293T cells were seeded in 10 cm dishes and transiently transfected with 1500ng and 750ng of myc-V2R-Rluc and

myc-V2R-Rluc8, respectively. 4h post-transfection cells were transferred into 12 well plates, and transfected 24h later with increasing amounts of YFP-βARR, YPet-βARR and RGFP-βARR expression plasmids. Cells were then transferred into 96-well plates and left another 24h before BRET measurements. Concentration-response curves were performed in presence of saturating amounts of YFP-βARR, YPet-βARR and RGFP-βARR expression plasmids. Measurements were performed 15 min after agonist addition. **Deleted:** Dose - **Deleted:**

Deleted: min

2.4. BRET experiments

For Donor-Acceptor fusion proteins and the corresponding separate soluble forms, cytoplasmic extracts were prepared 48h post-transfection as described previously [10], diluted and submitted to BRET measurements in the presence of 5μ M coelenterazine h (Interchim, Montluçon, France).

Formulation and the corresponding separate soluble for

vere prepared 48h post-transfection as described previou

mitted to BRET measurements in the presence of 5₁

chim, Montluçon, France).

Ince BARR2 and BARR2 recru For the double-brilliance ßARR2 and ßARR2 recruitment assays, cells were washed once with PBS 48h post-transfection, and coelenterazine h substrate was added at a final concentration of 5μ M and left for 10min at RT. Cells were then stimulated by a saturating concentration of ligands for 15 min (double-brilliance ßARR2) or 5 min (ßARR2 recruitment). Arginine vasopressin (AVP) and melatonin were used as agonist for V2R and MT ¹ experiments, respectively.

BRET readings were performed using a lumino/fluorometer (Mithras; Berthold technologies, France) allowing sequential integration of luminescence with three filter settings (Rluc filter, 485 ± 10 nm; YFP (and YPet) filter, 530 ± 12.5 nm, $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

RGFP filter, 515 ± 10 nm). Emission signals at 530 or 515 nm were divided by emission signals at 485 nm. The difference between this emission ratio obtained with donor and acceptor fused or co-expressed and that obtained with the donor protein expressed alone was defined as the BRET ratio. Results are expressed in milliBRET units (mBU) corresponding to the BRET ratio multiplied by 1000. Donor saturation curves and determination of maximal BRET values were described previously [17]. *2.5. Western Blot* Proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis was carried out with the following antibodies: Mouse-monoclonal anti-Flag M2 (SIGMA, MO), mouse monoclonal anti-myc (Santa Cruz, CA), mouse monoclonal anti-Renilla Luciferase (Chemicon International, CA), rabbit polyclonal anti-βARR (SIGMA, MO) and rabbit polyclonal anti-α-tubulin (Sigma, MO). Immunoreactivity was revealed using IRDye infrared secondary antibodies using the LI-COR Odyssey infrared imaging system (Courtaboeuf, France).

3. Results

3.1 BRET efficiency of tandem fusion proteins

We first generated a series of N-Acceptor-Donor-C fusion proteins composed of a N-terminal acceptor, a common linker sequence and a C-terminal donor.

For Peer Review

ways higher for Kluc8 fusion proteins as compared to
sion proteins. The use of RGFP in BRET has been repor
position in the fusion protein (N-terminal *vs.* C-termi
refore tested N-Donor-RGFP-C fusion proteins with RG
nus. Expression of equivalent amounts of fusion proteins in HEK 293T cells revealed the expected strong BRET for direct fusion proteins (**Fig. 1A**). Dilutions of these samples up to 64 fold showed the expected stability of the BRET ratio (data not shown). On the other hand, co-expression of the new donor/acceptor couples as separate proteins resulted in negligible BRET signals (0-30 mBU) demonstrating their suitability for the study of protein-protein interactions (**Fig. 1A**). BRET values were highest for YPet fusion proteins followed by YFP and RGFP fusion proteins and were always higher for Rluc8 fusion proteins as compared to the corresponding Rluc fusion proteins. The use of RGFP in BRET has been reported to be sensitive to its position in the fusion protein (N-terminal *vs*. C-terminal fusion) [10]. We therefore tested N-Donor-RGFP-C fusion proteins with RGFP located at the C-terminus. A robust BRET signal that was higher than that of N-RGFP-Donor-C fusion proteins was observed (**Fig. 1B**). Taken together YPet fusion proteins showed highest BRET signals, whereas BRET signals for RGFP and YFP fusion proteins were comparable.

3.2. Improved BRET signals with Rluc8/YPet and Rluc8/RGFP couples when monitoring ligand-induced ßARR2 intramolecular conformational changes

We next generated intramolecular BRET biosensors based on the recently described double-brilliance ßARR assay [13]. This assay monitors the conformational rearrangement of ßARR2 bringing its N-terminus and C-terminus into closer proximity upon recruitment to agonist activated GPCRs. Equivalent

 $\mathbf{1}$

 $\overline{1}$

Biotechnology Journal

ist-induced BRET after 15 min of stimulation show

RET for N-Rluc8-BARR2-YPet-C and N-Rluc8-BARI

pectively) when compared with other constructs (**Fig. 2A**

1-response curves were performed showing BRET E

45 nM and 79 +/amounts of the indicated N-Donor-ßARR2-Acceptor-C fusion proteins, as indicated by Western Blot, were transiently expressed in myc-V2 vasopressin receptor (myc-V2R)-expressing cells, known to recruit ßARR2. BRET values were monitored for 15 min in the absence or presence of a saturating concentration of the V2R agonist AVP (10µM). Maximal BRET signals were generally observed as early as 4 min after agonist addition, indicating that the double-brilliance fusion constructs are functional (**Supplementary Fig. 1B**). Comparison of agonist-induced BRET after 15 min of stimulation showed enhanced induced-BRET for N-Rluc8-ßARR2-YPet-C and N-Rluc8-ßARR2- RGFP-C (2-3 fold, respectively) when compared with other constructs (**Fig. 2A**). Agonist concentration-response curves were performed showing BRET EC_{50} values ranging 75 +/- 45 nM and 79 +/- 29 nM for N-Rluc8- βARR2-YPet-C and N-Rluc8- βARR2-RGFP-C constructs, respectively (Fig. 2B). Similar values were obtained for the other double-brilliance fusion constructs (data not shown). We then expressed the different ßARR2 fusion proteins in HEK 293T cells stably expressing Flag-MT ¹ melatonin receptors a GPCR whose ßARRs recruitment is difficult to monitor using the classical Rluc-ßARR2-YFP fusion protein (**Fig. 2A**). Whereas agonist-induced BRET signals (10 μ M melatonin) were barely detectable for all Rluc-containing fusions, BRET signals became clearly detectable as early as 2 min after agonist addition for N-Rluc8-ßARR2-YPet-C and N-Rluc8-ßARR2-RGFP-C constructs (**Fig. 2A**) (**Supplementary Fig. 1C**).

Comparable BRET EC₅₀ values of 6.3 $+/- 3.7$ nM and 8.2 $+/- 4.9$, were obtained

Deleted: dose

for melatonin using N-Rluc8-ßARR2-YPet-C and N-Rluc8-ßARR2-RGFP-C constructs, respectively (**Fig. 2C**).

Expression of equivalent amounts of the indicated N-Donor-ßARR2-Acceptor-C fusion proteins in HEK 293T cells in the absence of V2R and MT ¹ did not result in agonist-induced BRET signals, demonstrating the specificity of the assay (data not shown). Taken together, these results show that the Rluc8/YPet and particularly the Rluc8/RGFP couple significantly amplify weak and previously undetectable BRET signals of the intramolecular ßARR2 biosensor.

3.3. Improved BRET signals with Rluc8/YPet and Rluc8/RGFP couples when monitoring ligand-induced ßARR2 recruitment to GPCR

gnals of the intramolecular BARR2 biosensor.
 Signals with Rluc8/YPet and Rluc8/RGFP couples wited βARR2 recruitment to GPCR

he donor/acceptor couples in the BARR2 recruitment as

ants of V2R-Rluc or V2R-Rluc8 C-termin We further analyzed the donor/acceptor couples in the BARR2 recruitment assay [12, 18]. Similar amounts of V2R-Rluc or V2R-Rluc8 C-terminal fusion proteins were co-expressed with increasing amounts of N-Acceptor-ßARR2-C fusion constructs and ligand-induced BRET was measured at maximal ßARR2 recruitment (5 min after agonist addition). BRET donor saturation curves were generated in the absence or presence of AVP (10 µM) as previously described [14, 19] to determine $BRET_{max}$ values that correspond to the saturation of all donor molecules by acceptor molecules (**Fig. 3**)(**Supplementary Fig. 2**). All couples showed significant agonist-induced BRET signals with highest $BRET_{max}$ values for the V2R-Rluc8/ N-RGFP-ßARR2-C couple (**Table 1**). No significant ligandinduced BRET signals were observed in the presence of the V2R antagonist

 $\mathbf{1}$

Biotechnology Journal

Vd(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP **(Table 1)(Supplementary Fig. 2**). Basal BRET values were highest for the V2R-Rluc8/ N-RGFP-ßARR2-C couple indicating the increased sensitivity of this couple to monitor basal ßARR2 recruitment. This recruitment is specific as expression of V2R-Rluc8 in the presence of soluble RGFP gave negligible BRET values (**Supplementary Fig. 2A**). Basal ßARR2 recruitment to V2R has been observed recently [10]. Moreover, agonist concentration-response curves, in the presence of saturating amounts of acceptors, were performed showing BRET EC_{50} ranging from 5 to 15 nM AVP for the different N-Acceptor-βARR2-C constructs (data not shown).

Deleted: dose-

We then measured β ARR2 recruitment to MT₁-Rluc and MT₁-Rluc8. Whereas the recruitment to MT_1 -Rluc was undetectable, recruitment to MT_1 -Rluc8 became detectable in combination with YPet and RGFP further demonstrating the superiority of these new BRET couples.

4. Discussion

were performed showing BRET EC₅₀ ranging from 5 to
ent N-Acceptor-βARR2-C constructs (data not shown).
RR2 recruitment to MT₁-Rluc and MT₁-Rluc8. Whereas
tluc was undetectable, recruitment to MT₁-Rluc8 beca
aation The ßARR recruitment assay has become increasingly popular to monitor GPCR activation. Since the development of the BRET technology in 1999 and the first ßARR assay in 2000, several improvements have been reported to increase signaling amplitude and stability [20] [21]. Here, we focused on improving the most sensitive and widely used $BRET¹$ version by combining recently developed energy donors (Rluc, Rluc8) and acceptors (YFP, YPet, RGFP) in a systematic manner. By using two well-established BRET assays measuring ßARR2

Biotechnology Journal

intramolecular rearrangements and recruitment to GPCRs, we show that Rluc8/YPet(RGFP) couples significantly increase the sensitivity of these assays, allowing the detection of previously undetectable BRET signals.

ecrease protein expression levels to physiologically relevant

For Peer Experiments using YPet-CFP fus

For Peer Reviewal Section CFP (9). Whereas

For Peer Reviewal Section 19. The CFP in combination with Rluc

For Peergy We observed that Rluc8 and Rluc8 fusion proteins emit 2-4 times more light than the corresponding Rluc proteins expressed at equivalent levels as previously reported (**Supplementary Fig. 3**) [7, 8, 21]. The higher luminescence intensity of Rluc8 implies a more efficient acceptor excitation and re-emission and the possibility to further decrease protein expression levels to physiologically relevant levels. In agreement with previous FRET experiments using YPet-CFP fusion proteins, we show that YPet is a better BRET acceptor than YFP [9]. Whereas the energy transfer efficiency in BRET assays is typically low, Molinari *et al.* recently reported the striking observation that RGFP in combination with Rluc can generate close to 100% energy transfer associated with a dramatic increase in acceptor light output [10]. The underlying molecular basis of this phenomenon, which is only observed when the N-terminus of RGFP is free, remains unclear. By using N-terminal RGFP fusion proteins in two independent assays (RGFP-Rluc tandem fusion protein and GPCR-Rluc/ RGFP-ßARR2 couple), we were unable to confirm these observations. Indeed, no major difference in BRET signals generated by YFP- and RGFP-containing fusion proteins were observed. Accordingly, Rluc light output did not vary significantly with increased BRET (not shown). The reason for this discrepancy remains currently unknown and warrants further studies. We furthermore noted that BRET values obtained with RGFP fusion proteins may be subject to some variation as illustrated by the

 $\mathbf{1}$

Biotechnology Journal

scattering of BRET values obtained in the donor saturation experiment with RGFP-ßARR2 and V2R-Rluc8 (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). These results indicate that RGFP is a highly sensitive energy acceptor. RGFP can be therefore considered as an interesting BRET acceptor, which can result in superior BRET values, particularly when compared to YFP. The data show that the use of RGFP is not restricted to N-terminal fusion proteins, which considerably increases its attractiveness for the BRET assay. More importantly, RGFP shows improved sensitivity compared to YFP when combined with the Rluc8 variant.

The VIP when combined with the Riuc8 variant.

Ind Riuc8/RGFP couples provide superior results compare

IFP couple. According to the data obtained in the BAR

se BRET couples primarily increase the overall sensitiv

the si Overall, Rluc8/YPet and Rluc8/RGFP couples provide superior results compared to the classical Rluc/YFP couple. According to the data obtained in the ßARR2 recruitment assay, these BRET couples primarily increase the overall sensitivity of the assay whereas the signal-to-noise ratio appears to be comparable for all combinations (3-5 fold increase between basal and AVP-induced BRET signals) (**Table 1**). Despite obvious conceptual advantages of the double brilliance ßARR2 biosensor such as the use of unmodified wild-type GPCR and high assay stability due to the fixed donor/acceptor ratio, the assay is not broadly used in the literature [13, 22]. The low amplitude of ligand-induced BRET signals is one of these reasons. The increased sensitivity observed with the new donor/acceptor combinations clearly increases the attractiveness of the assay. A widespread application to monitor ßARR-dependent signaling events in the context of ligandbiased signaling can be anticipated.

Several ßARR activation/recruitment assays have been developed over the years, particularly for high-throughput screening purposes. Each of these assays has its

 $\mathbf{1}$

For the anticipated in a high-throughput screening ass

<u>For this between receptor</u> activation and gene transcript
 For S do not suffer from these potential drawbacks as reception

<u>Example to the final read-out.</u> This i own advantages and disadvantages. BRET assays measure ligand-induced signals. This is not the case for the fluorescence-based assay that monitors the cellular localization of βARR-EGFP fusion proteins [23, 24]. If the changes in ßARR localization following agonist stimulation are small, data analysis may become difficult. The TANGO™ (Invitrogen corporation) ßARR assay that is coupled to a protease-mediated transcriptional reporter gene readout shows excellent assay sensitivity, due to the sensitive reporter gene read-out [25]. However, a high number of false positives can be anticipated in a high-throughput screening assays due to multiple intermediates between receptor activation and gene transcription initiation. BRET assays do not suffer from these potential drawbacks as receptor activation is closely coupled to the final read-out. This is also the case of the recently described enzyme fragment complementation assay for ßARR, now commercially available as PathHunter technology from DiscoverX [26]. Conditions for successful enzyme complementation might be more demanding than conditions for successful energy transfer leading potentially to the detection of less compounds compared to BRET assays. Relatively low signals can be considered as one of the major disadvantages of ßARR-based BRET assays. The new donor-acceptor couples presented here improve this parameter, in particular in the double-brilliance assay.

In conclusion, the new BRET¹ couples, Rluc8 and YPet/RGFP clearly widen the application of ßARR BRET assays as previously weakly detectable or even undetectable BRET signals are now reliably detected. These new BRET couples are likely to become of general interest for the detection of protein-protein

 $\overline{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

interactions in intact cells at physiological expression levels. Enhanced ligandpromoted BRET signals might also be beneficial for high-throughput screening procedures.

Acknowledgments

Expression plasmid du Nord Pas de Calais", Institut National de la Sa

Médicale (INSERM), Centre National de la Recher

We thank Dr. AM Daulat (Institut Cochin) for help

expression plasmid, Patty Chen for help in preparin This work was supported by grants from SERVIER, the Fondation Recherche Médicale ("Equipe FRM"), Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (ARC N° 3970), the "Conseil Régional du Nord Pas de Calais", Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS). We thank Dr. AM Daulat (Institut Cochin) for help in obtaining the RGFP expression plasmid, Patty Chen for help in preparing the manuscript and Drs. M. Manning (Toledo, Ohio, USA) and B. Mouillac (Montpellier, France) for providing the d(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP compound and the Myc-V2R expression plasmid.

References

[1] Xu, Y., Piston, D. W., Johnson, C. H., A bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) system: application to interacting circadian clock proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1999, *96*, 151-156.

[2] Milligan, G., Bouvier, M., Methods to monitor the quaternary structure of G protein-coupled receptors. *Febs J* 2005, *272*, 2914-2925.

[3] Ramsay, D., Kellett, E., McVey, M., Rees, S., Milligan, G., Homo- and hetero-oligomeric interactions between G protein-coupled receptors in living cells monitored by two variants of bioluminescence resonance energy transfer. *Biochemical Journal* 2002, *365*, 351-354.

[4] Bertrand, L., Parent, S., Caron, M., Legault, M .*, et al.*, The BRET2/arrestin assay in stable recombinant cells: a platform to screen for compounds that interact with G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRS). *J Recept Signal Transduct Res* 2002, , 533-541.

 $\overline{1}$

60

[5] Hamdan, F. F., Audet, M., Garneau, P., Pelletier, J., Bouvier, M., Highthroughput screening of G protein-coupled receptor antagonists using a bioluminescence resonance energy transfer 1-based beta-arrestin2 recruitment assay. *J Biomol Screen* 2005, *10*, 463-475.

[6] Bacart, J., Corbel, C., Jockers, R., Bach, S., Couturier, C., The BRET technology and its application to screening assays. *Biotechnol J* 2008, *3*, 311-324. [7] Loening, A. M., Fenn, T. D., Wu, A. M., Gambhir, S. S., Consensus guided mutagenesis of Renilla luciferase yields enhanced stability and light output.

Protein Eng Des Sel 2006, *19*, 391-400. [8] De, A., Loening, A. M., Gambhir, S. S., An improved bioluminescence resonance energy transfer strategy for imaging intracellular events in single cells and living subjects. *Cancer Res* 2007, *67*, 7175-7183.

[9] Nguyen, A. W., Daugherty, P. S., Evolutionary optimization of fluorescent proteins for intracellular FRET. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005, *23*, 355-360.

[10] Molinari, P., Casella, I., Costa, T., Functional complementation of highefficiency resonance energy transfer: a new tool for the study of protein binding interactions in living cells. *Biochem J* 2008, *409*, 251-261.

[11] Luttrell, L. M., Lefkowitz, R. J., The role of beta-arrestins in the termination and transduction of G-protein-coupled receptor signals. *J Cell Sci* 2002, *115*, 455- 465.

[12] Angers, S., Salahpour, A., Joly, E., Hilairet, S.*, et al.*, Detection of b2 adrenergic receptor dimerization in living cells using bioluminescence resonance energy transfert (BRET). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000, *97*, 3684-3689.

[13] Charest, P. G., Terrillon, S., Bouvier, M., Monitoring agonist-promoted conformational changes of beta-arrestin in living cells by intramolecular BRET. *EMBO Rep* 2005, *6*, 334-340.

[14] Couturier, C., Jockers, R., Activation of leptin receptor by a ligand-induced conformational change of constitutive receptor dimers. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003, *278*, 26604-26611.

[15] Ayoub, M. A., Couturier, C., Lucas-Meunier, E., Angers, S.*, et al.*, Monitoring of ligand-independent dimerization and ligand-induced conformational changes of melatonin receptors in living cells by bioluminescence resonance energy transfer. *J Biol Chem* 2002, *277*, 21522-21528.

[16] Levoye, A., Dam, J., Ayoub, M. A., Guillaume, J. L.*, et al.*, The orphan GPR50 receptor specifically inhibits MT(1) melatonin receptor function through heterodimerization. *EMBO J* 2006, *25*, 3012-3023.

sella, 1., Costa, 1., Functional complementation of hi
nergy transfer: a new tool for the study of protein bind
ells. *Biochem 1* 2008, 409, 251-261.
efkowitz, R. J., The role of beta-arrestins in the terminat
protein-coup [17] Ayoub, M. A., Levoye, A., Delagrange, P., Jockers, R., Preferential formation of MT1/MT2 melatonin receptor heterodimers with distinct ligand interaction properties compared with MT2 homodimers. *Mol Pharmacol* 2004, *66*, 312-321.

[18] Kroeger, K., Hanyaloglu, A., Seeber, R., Miles, L., Eidne, K., Constitutive and agonist-dependent homo-oligomerization of the thyrotropin-releasing hormone receptor. detection in living cells using bioluminescence resonance energy transfer. *J Biol Chem* 2001, *276*, 12736- 12743.

Biotechnology Journal

[19] Mercier, J. F., Salahpour, A., Angers, S., Breit, A., Bouvier, M., Quantitative assessment of beta 1 and beta 2-adrenergic receptor homo and hetero-dimerization by bioluminescence resonance energy transfer. *J Biol Chem* 2002, *277*, 44925- 44931.

[20] Pfleger, K. D., Eidne, K. A., Illuminating insights into protein-protein interactions using bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET). *Nat Methods* 2006, *3*, 165-174.

[21] Kocan, M., See, H. B., Seeber, R. M., Eidne, K. A., Pfleger, K. D., Demonstration of improvements to the bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) technology for the monitoring of G protein-coupled receptors in live cells. *J Biomol Screen* 2008, *13*, 888-898.

[22] Shukla, A. K., Violin, J. D., Whalen, E. J., Gesty-Palmer, D.*, et al.*, Distinct conformational changes in beta-arrestin report biased agonism at seventransmembrane receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008, *105*, 9988-9993.

[23] Oakley, R. H., Hudson, C. C., Sjaastad, M. D., Loomis, C. R., The ligandindependent translocation assay: an enabling technology for screening orphan G protein-coupled receptors by arrestin recruitment. *Methods Enzymol* 2006, *414*, 50-63.

[24] Fergusson, S. S., Caron, M. G., Green fluorescent protein-tagged betaarrestin translocation as a measure of G protein-coupled receptor activation. *Methods Mol Biol* 2004, *237*, 121-126.

[25] Verkaar, F., van Rosmalen, J. W., Blomenrohr, M., van Koppen, C. J.*, et al.*, G protein-independent cell-based assays for drug discovery on seventransmembrane receptors. *Biotechnol Annu Rev* 2008, *14*, 253-274.

[26] Olson, K. R., Eglen, R. M., Beta galactosidase complementation: a cell-based luminescent assay platform for drug discovery. *Assay Drug Dev Technol* 2007, *5*, 137-144.

France

Biotechnology Journal

 $\mathbf{1}$

Legends to figures:

Figure 1: BRET measured with different donor/acceptor couples. (**A)** Donor/acceptor proteins were co-transfected (\square) or transfected as N-Donor-Acceptor-C tandem proteins (\blacksquare) and BRET was measured. (**B**) Comparison of BRET measured for N-Donor-RGFP-C and N-RGFP-Donor-C fusion proteins. n=5 independent experiments.

of ligand-induced BAKR2 intramolecular rearrangement

g the intramolecular rearrangement of the indicated BAR

tructs upon recruitment to agonist activated V2R (\square)

T cells (15 min stimulation with agonist); n=4 indepen Figure 2: Monitoring of ligand-induced BARR2 intramolecular rearrangement. (A) Bar Charts showing the intramolecular rearrangement of the indicated ßARR2 double-brilliance constructs upon recruitment to agonist activated V2R (\Box) or MT_1 (\blacksquare) in HEK 293T cells (15 min stimulation with agonist); n=4 independent experiments. (B) AVP concentration-response curves showing for N-Rluc8βARR2-YPet-C (O) and N-Rluc8-βARR2-RGFP-C (\square) (n=2). (C) Melatonin concentration-response curves for N-Rluc8-βARR2-YPet-C (O) and N-Rluc8βARR2-RGFP-C () (n=2). Expression of ßARR2 was verified by western blotting using anti-ßARR antibodies. Further experimental details and controls are shown in **Supplementary Fig. 1**. A, acceptor; C, C-terminus; D, donor; N, Nterminus; α-tub, α-tubulin.

Figure 3: BRET measured with the ßARR recruitment assay. Agonist-induced recruitment of the indicated BARR2 fusion constructs to V2R-Rluc or V2R-Rluc8 (\square) (10 µM AVP, 5 min), and MT₁-Rluc or MT₁-Rluc8 (\square) (10 µM melatonin, 5 min). Expression of V2R-Rluc and V2R-Rluc8 was verified by western blot using

Deleted: dose-

Deleted: dose-

 $\mathbf{1}$

Biotechnology Journal

anti-Rluc antibodies (that recognize Rluc and Rluc8 equally well, data not shown). Ligand-induced BRET was defined as the difference between $BRET_{max}$ values obtained from donor saturation curves +/- ligand. Saturation curves and further controls are shown in **Supplementary Fig. 2**; α -tub, α -tubulin. n=4 α -tub, α -tubulin. <u>n=4</u> independent experiments.

For Peripanties

 $\overline{1}$

BRETmax and fold stimulation values following agonist stimulation.

BRET_{max} values were determined as described in the "Material and Methods" section and ligand-induced BRET (shown in Fig. 2) was defined as the difference between $BRET_{max}$ values in the absence and presence of ligand. BRET was measured in the absence and presence of the V2R agonist AVP and V2R antagonist Vd(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP. The fold induction values are expressed as the ratio of the agonist induced Bret_{max}/Basal Bret_{max}.

For Private Seconds Assements and the Britain Control of Private Second Private Control of Private Control of Co

Figure 1: BRET measured with different donor/acceptor couples. (A) Donor/acceptor proteins were co-transfected (ο) or transfected as N-Donor-Acceptor-C tandem proteins (<) and BRET was measured. (B) Comparison of BRET measured for N-Donor-RGFP-C and N-RGFP-Donor-C fusion proteins. n=5 independent experiments. 122x87mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Wiley-VCH

Figure 2: Monitoring of ligand-induced ßARR2 intramolecular rearrangement. (A) Bar Charts showing the intramolecular rearrangement of the indicated ßARR2 double-brilliance constructs upon recruitment to agonist activated V2R (0) or MT1 (<) in HEK 293T cells (15 min stimulation with agonist); n=4 independent experiments. (B) AVP dose-response curves showing for N-Rluc8- βARR2- YPet-C (Υ) and N-Rluc8-βARR2-RGFP-C (o) (n=2). (C) Melatonin dose-response curves for N-Rluc8βARR2-YPet-C (Υ) and N-Rluc8-βARR2-RGFP-C (ο) (n=2). Expression of ßARR2 was verified by western blotting using anti-ßARR antibodies. Further experimental details and controls are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. A, acceptor; C, C-terminus; D, donor; N, N-terminus; α -tub, α -tubulin.

138x144mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Ligand

O

Substrate

For Per Pluce
 For Per Pluce

Figure 3

GPCF

Substrate

D

GPCR-Rluc GPCR-Rluc8

Figure 3: BRET measured with the ßARR recruitment assay. Agonist-induced recruitment of the indicated ßARR2 fusion constructs to V2R-Rluc or V2R-Rluc8 (ο) (10 µM AVP, 5 min), and MT1-Rluc or MT1-Rluc8 (<) (10 µM melatonin, 5 min). Expression of V2R-Rluc and V2R-Rluc8 was verified by western blot using anti-Rluc antibodies (that recognize Rluc and Rluc8 equally well, data not shown). Ligand-induced BRET was defined as the difference between BRETmax values obtained from donor saturation curves +/- ligand. Saturation curves and further controls are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2; α -tub, α -tubulin. n=4 independent experiments. 71x155mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Supplementary Figures:

Supplementary Fig. 1: Supplementary data of donor/acceptor tandem fusion protein BRET assay and double-brilliance ßARR2 biosensors shown in Fig. 1. (**A**) Expression of Myc-V2R and Flag-MT ¹ in Fig. 1B was verified by western blot using anti-Myc and anti-Flag antibodies. (**B**) Kinetics of AVP- and melatonin-promoted BRET changes of the indicated double-brilliance ßARR2 biosensors in HEK 293T cells. AVP (V2R agonist) and melatonin (MT_1 agonist) were used at 10 μ M. NT, non-transfected.

For Peer Tells

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

Supplementary Fig. 1 : Supplementary data of donor/acceptor tandem fusion protein BRET assay and double-brilliance ßARR2 biosensors shown in Fig. 1. (A) Expression of Myc-V2R and Flag - MT1 in Fig. 1B was verified by western blot u sing anti-Myc and anti-Flag antibodies. (B) Kinetics of AVP- and melatonin-promoted BRET changes of the indica ted double-brilliance ßARR2 biosensors in HEK 293T cells. AVP (V2R agonist) and melatonin (MT1 agonist) were used

Supplementary Fig. 2: Supplementary data of the ßARR2 recruitment assay shown in Fig. 2. BRET donor saturation curves for V2R-Rluc and V2R-Rluc8 were generated in HEK 293T cells in the presence of different N-Acceptor-ßARR2-C fusion constructs. BRET was measured 5 min after ligand addition. BRET was measured in the absence and presence of the V2R agonist AVP and V2R antagonist Vd(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP. The absence of ligand-induced BRET in the presence of the antagonist demonstrates the specificity of the assay. Basal condition (\bullet) ; 0.1 μ M Vd(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP (\bullet); 0.1 μ M AVP (\circ); soluble RGFP (\Box). **Supplementary figure 2**

Supplementary Fig. 2: Supplementary data of the ßARR2 recruitment assay shown in Fig. 2. (A) BRET donor saturation curves for V2R-Rluc and V2R-Rluc8 were generated in HEK 293T cells in t he presence of different N-Acceptor-ßARR2-C fusion constructs. BRET was measured 5 min after ligand addition. BRET was measured in the absence and presence of the V2R agonist AVP and V2R antagonist Vd(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP. The absence of ligand-induced BRET in the presence o f t he antagonist demonstrates the specificity o f t he assay. Basal condition (\bullet); 0.1µM Vd(CH2)5[D-Tyr(Et)2, Ile4, Eda9]AVP (\bullet); 0.1µM AVP (\circ); soluble $RGFP(D)$.

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

Supplementary Fig. 3: Comparison of emitted light intensities of Rluc and Rluc8 fusion proteins. Rluc (A) and the indicated BARR2 double-brilliance (B) and V2R (C) constructs were expressed in HEK 293T cells. Luciferase activity was determined in the presence of 5 µM coelenterazine h (middle panels) and another aliquot submitted to western blot analysis (upper panels) using anti-Flag (**A**), anti-ßARR (**B**) and anti-Rluc (**C**) antibodies. Protein bands were quantified and luciferase activity of Rluc8 fusion proteins expressed as % of the corresponding Rluc fusion protein (lower panels).

FOR PROLL

Supplementary Fig. 3: Comparison of emitted light intensities of Rluc and Rluc8 fusion proteins. Rluc (A) and the indicated *SARR2* double-brilliance (B) and V2R (C) constructs were ex pressed in HEK 293T cells. Luciferase activity was deter mined in the presence of 5?M coelenterazine h (middle panels) and another aliquot submitted to western blot analysis (upper panels) using anti-Flag (A), anti-ßARR (B) and anti-Rluc (C) anti bodies. Protein bands were quantified and luciferase activity of Rluc8 fusion proteins expressed as % of the corresponding Rluc fusion protein (lower panels).

 $\mathbf{1}$