

Nonlinear magnetic induction by helical motion in a liquid sodium turbulent flow

François Pétrélis, Mickaël Bourgoin, Louis Marie, Javier Burguete, Arnaud Chiffaudel, François Daviaud, Stéphan Fauve, Philippe Odier, Jean-François Pinton

▶ To cite this version:

François Pétrélis, Mickaël Bourgoin, Louis Marie, Javier Burguete, Arnaud Chiffaudel, et al.. Nonlinear magnetic induction by helical motion in a liquid sodium turbulent flow. Physical Review Letters, 2003, 90 (17), pp.174501. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.174501 . hal-00492370

HAL Id: hal-00492370 https://hal.science/hal-00492370

Submitted on 15 Jun2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Nonlinear Magnetic Induction by Helical Motion in a Liquid Sodium Turbulent Flow

F. Pétrélis,¹ M. Bourgoin,² L. Marié,³ J. Burguete,^{3,*} A. Chiffaudel,³ F. Daviaud,³ S. Fauve,¹ P. Odier,² and J.-F. Pinton²

¹Laboratoire de Physique Statistique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, CNRS UMR 8550, 24 Rue Lhomond,

75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

²Laboratoire de Physique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5672, 47 allée d'Italie,

69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France

³Service de Physique de l'Etat Condensé, Direction des Sciences de la Matière, CEA-Saclay, CNRS URA 2464,

91191 Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France

(Received 7 December 2001; revised manuscript received 14 January 2003; published 2 May 2003)

We report an experimental study of the magnetic field \vec{B} induced by a turbulent swirling flow of liquid sodium submitted to a transverse magnetic field \vec{B}_0 . We show that the induced field can behave nonlinearly as a function of the magnetic Reynolds number, R_m . At low R_m , the induced mean field along the axis of the flow, $\langle B_x \rangle$, and the one parallel to \vec{B}_0 , $\langle B_y \rangle$, first behave like R_m^2 , whereas the third component, $\langle B_z \rangle$, is linear in R_m . The sign of $\langle B_x \rangle$ is determined by the flow helicity. At higher R_m , \vec{B} strongly depends on the local geometry of the mean flow: $\langle B_x \rangle$ decreases to zero in the core of the swirling flow but remains finite outside. We compare the experimental results with the computed magnetic induction due to the mean flow alone.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.174501

PACS numbers: 47.65.+a, 52.65.Kj, 91.25.Cw

Transport and amplification of a magnetic field by a flow of an electrically conducting fluid is a fundamental process in astrophysics at the planetary, stellar, and galactic scales [1], as well as in laboratory plasmas, where it has been observed for a long time that a toroidal magnetic field can be sustained by applying a toroidal electric field [2]. Induced magnetic fields orthogonal to the applied ones have also been observed in flows of liquid metals: generation of a toroidal field from an axial one by differential rotation (the " ω effect") [3–5], and generation of an electric current parallel to the applied magnetic field (the " α effect") [6]. These induction effects are the key mechanisms of most astrophysical and geophysical dynamo models [7–10], as well as in the recent experimental observations of self-generation of a magnetic field by a flow of liquid sodium [11]. These experiments involve flows with geometrical constraints that are chosen in order to maximize the efficiency of the dynamo effect. Several groups are also trying to achieve self-generation of a magnetic field in turbulent flows without, or with fewer, geometrical constraints, in order to study situations that are closer to astrophysical or geophysical models [12]. It is thus of primary interest to study induction effects in fully developed turbulent flows. It is known that turbulent fluctuations enhance the effective resistivity [13] but other antagonistic effects such as the turbulent α effect [8,9] or " β effect" [14] have been predicted but not experimentally observed so far.

We have measured the induced magnetic field \vec{B} generated by a turbulent von Kármán swirling flow of liquid sodium submitted to a transverse external magnetic field \vec{B}_0 (see Fig. 1). The sodium flow is operated in a loop that has been described elsewhere together with the details of the experimental setup [5]. In this study, the flow is driven by rotating one of the two disks of radius *R* located at

position (1) or (2) in a cylindrical vessel, 410 mm in inner diameter and 400 mm in length. In most experiments presented here, we use disks of radius R = 150 mm, fitted with eight straight blades of height h = 10 mm driven at a rotation frequency up to f = 30 Hz. Four baffles, 20 mm in height, have been mounted on the cylindrical vessel inner wall, parallel to its axis. A turbulent swirling flow with an integral Reynolds number, $\text{Re} = 2\pi R^2 f/\nu$, up to 3×10^6 is driven by the rotating disk. The mean flow has the following characteristics: the fluid is ejected radially outward by the disk; this drives an axial flow toward the disk along its axis and a recirculation in the opposite direction along the cylinder lateral boundary. The baffles inhibit the azimuthal velocity of the recirculating flow and thus prevent a global rotation of the fluid.



FIG. 1. Geometry of the experimental setup. The flow is generated by rotating only one disk either at position (1) or (2). The magnetic field is measured at position S.

In some experiments, we have used a disk of radius R = 190 mm, fitted with 16 curved blades of height h = 40 mm, with or without the lateral baffles in order to observe the effect of a stronger azimuthal flow. Without baffles, we have for the maximum velocity of the mean flow $V_M/2\pi f R \approx 0.39$ for R = 150 mm and 0.58 for R = 190 mm. Two coils generate a nearly homogeneous magnetic field \vec{B}_0 , perpendicular to the cylinder axis (see Fig. 1). The three components of the field induced by the flow are measured with a 3D Hall probe, located 200 mm away from the disk in the plane perpendicular to \vec{B}_0 and containing the rotation axis. The probe distance from the rotation axis is adjustable (z = 42, 100, 150 mm).

The equations governing the magnetic field $\vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t)$, where $\vec{B}(\vec{r}, t)$ is the magnetic field generated by the flow in the presence of the applied field \vec{B}_0 , are in the MHD approximation,

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{V} \times (\vec{B} + \vec{B}_0)) + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \Delta \vec{B}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\vec{V}(\vec{r}, t)$ is the velocity field, μ_0 is the magnetic permeability of vacuum, and σ is the fluid electric conductivity. The reaction of the magnetic field on the flow is characterized by the ratio of the Lorentz force to the characteristic pressure forces driving the flow. This is measured by the interaction parameter, $N = \sigma R B_0^2 / r^2$ ρV_M , where ρ is the fluid density. The maximum field amplitude being $B_0 = 12$ G, N is in the range 10^{-4} – 10^{-2} , thus the effect of the magnetic field on the flow is negligible. This has been checked directly by measuring B as a function of B_0 at a constant driving of the flow. We calculate the mean induced field $\langle \vec{B} \rangle$ where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ stands for the average in time, as well as its rms fluctuations in time, $\dot{B}_{\rm rms}$. Both vary linearly with B_0 , thus showing that the modification of the velocity field \vec{V} in Eq. (2) can be neglected [5]. Thus, the only relevant dimensionless parameters of our experiments are the magnetic Prandtl number, $P_m = \mu_0 \sigma \nu \approx 10^{-5}$, where ν is the kinematic viscosity, and the magnetic Reynolds number, $R_m =$ $2\pi\mu_0\sigma R^2 f$, which is proportional to the rotation frequency f and has been varied up to 40 for radius of the disks R = 150 mm (55 for R = 190 mm).

The three components of the mean magnetic field $\vec{B}_0 + \langle \vec{B}(\vec{r}) \rangle$, at z = 100 mm above the rotation axis, are displayed in Fig. 2 as a function of the rotation frequency. We observe that when the rotation of the disk is reversed, $f \rightarrow -f$, we get approximately $(\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, \langle B_z \rangle) \rightarrow (-\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, -\langle B_z \rangle)$. When disk (2) is rotated instead of (1) but keeping f unchanged, we get $(\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, \langle B_z \rangle) \rightarrow (-\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, \langle B_z \rangle)$ (note that the measurements of \vec{B} are performed in the midplane between the two disks). Assuming that the swirling flow has not broken the symmetries of the driving configuration, the above trans-



FIG. 2. Components of the total mean magnetic field as a function of the rotation frequency of disk (2). The disk radius is R = 150 mm with straight blades. Four baffles are mounted on the inner wall of the cylindrical vessel. The magnetic field is measured at z = 100 mm. $[(\bigcirc) \frac{\langle B_x \rangle}{B_o}; (\blacksquare) \frac{B_o + \langle B_y \rangle}{B_o}; (\blacktriangle) \frac{\langle B_z \rangle}{B_o}]$.

formations of the field components can be understood using the following symmetry transformations.

(i) The symmetry with respect to the vertical plane perpendicular to \vec{B}_0 , x0z, shows that if the disk is rotated in the opposite way, $f \rightarrow -f$, we get $(\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, \langle B_z \rangle) \rightarrow (-\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, -\langle B_z \rangle)$ (\vec{B} is a pseudovector).

(ii) The symmetry with respect to the vertical plane parallel to \vec{B}_0 , y0z, followed by the transformation $\vec{B}_0 \rightarrow -\vec{B}_0$, shows that when we rotate disk (2) instead of disk (1) without changing the sign of f, we get $(\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, \langle B_z \rangle) \rightarrow (-\langle B_x \rangle, \langle B_y \rangle, \langle B_z \rangle).$

The induced field component $\langle B_{\rm v} \rangle$ at z = 100 mmabove the rotation axis is opposed to B_0 and increases in amplitude, thus the total field along \vec{B}_0 decreases as R_m is increased. This expulsion of a transverse magnetic field from eddies is well documented, both theoretically [15] and experimentally [16]. The expulsion is stronger close to the axis of the cylinder (z = 42 mm). On the contrary, closer to the cylinder lateral boundary (z = 150 mm), the field increases with R_m . Thus, the field is expelled from the core of the swirling flow and concentrates at its periphery. The components of the field induced perpendicular to \vec{B}_0 at z = 100 mm both increase in amplitude from zero, reach a maximum, and then saturate when R_m is increased further. The large R_m behavior depends on z as shown for $\langle B_x \rangle$ in Fig. 3. $\langle B_x \rangle$ vanishes at large R_m in the core of the swirling flow (z = 42 mm), whereas it saturates outside (z = 100 and 150 mm). Figure 3 also shows that for fixed R_m , $\langle B_x \rangle$ increases with the distance to the cylinder axis in the range 42 < z < 150 mm. We can show that it should vanish for z = 0: indeed, the rotation of angle π around the x axis followed by the transformation $\vec{B}_0 \rightarrow -\vec{B}_0$, which implies $\vec{B} \rightarrow -\vec{B}$, gives $\langle B_x(x, 0, z) \rangle = -\langle B_x(x, 0, -z) \rangle.$



FIG. 3. Axial mean component of the induced magnetic field as a function of the rotation frequency of disk (2) for different depths z: (\blacktriangle) z = 42 mm, (\blacksquare) z = 100 mm, (\bigcirc) z = 150 mm. Same experimental configuration as in Fig. 2.

As shown in Fig. 4, $\langle B_x \rangle$ and $\langle B_z \rangle$ do not scale in the same way at small rotation frequency, i.e., at small R_m . The amplitude of the vertical component $\langle B_z \rangle$ increases linearly, whereas the axial component $\langle B_x \rangle$ increases quadratically with R_m . Indeed, at the location of the measurements, near z = 100 mm, we observe $\langle B_x \rangle \propto \langle B_z \rangle^2$ and $\langle B_y \rangle \propto \langle B_z \rangle^2$, roughly up to f = 10 Hz (see Fig. 4).

Writing $\vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = \langle \vec{B}(\vec{r}) \rangle + \vec{b}(\vec{r}, t)$, where \vec{b} is the fluctuating part of the magnetic field, and similarly for \vec{V} , we get from Eq. (2) for the mean induced field

$$-\frac{1}{\mu_0\sigma}\Delta\langle\vec{B}\rangle = \vec{\nabla}\times(\langle\vec{V}\rangle\times\vec{B}_0 + \langle\vec{V}\rangle\times\langle\vec{B}\rangle + \langle\vec{v}\times\vec{b}\rangle).$$
(3)

When the magnetic Reynolds number is small, the first source term on the right hand side of Eq. (3) is the dominant one, and we usually get the *i*th component of the mean induced field $\langle B_i \rangle \propto R_m B_0$. This is the case for the vertical component $\langle B_z \rangle$, which is mainly generated by the interaction of the toroidal velocity field with \vec{B}_0 . The sign of $\langle B_z \rangle$ is thus given by the one of *f*.

However, we emphasize that both $\langle B_x \rangle$ and $\langle B_y \rangle$ behave quadratically at low R_m (at least at the location of our measurements). We have thus probed the nonlinear source terms of Eq. (3). As shown above, $\langle B_x(x, 0, z) \rangle =$ $-\langle B_x(x, 0, -z) \rangle$, and $\langle B_x \rangle$ is generated by a current density parallel to \vec{B}_0 . Its sign is changed by the two transformations (i) and (ii) described above and is thus determined by the flow helicity, $h = \vec{V} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V})$ where the overbar stands for the spatial average. One can easily check that $\langle B_x \rangle$ changes sign under any symmetry with respect to a plane containing the rotation axis, just as does the pseudoscalar *h*. Although the terminology α effect is usually



FIG. 4. (a),(b) Axial and vertical mean components of the induced magnetic field as a function of the rotation frequency. (c),(d) Axial and transverse mean components of the induced magnetic field as a function of the square of the vertical one, for f < 10 Hz. Same experimental configuration as in Fig. 2 (z = 100 mm).

restricted to configurations involving scale separation, whereas our experiment does not, we do observe the generation of a current parallel to \vec{B}_0 by a cyclonic eddy as described qualitatively by Parker [7]. In addition, its magnitude increases like R_m^2 at low R_m , and its sign is determined by the flow helicity, as in the case of the α effect.

When R_m is increased, $\langle B_x \rangle$ seems to saturate for z = 100 mm (see Fig. 2). Closer to the rotation axis (z = 42 mm), it reaches a maximum and then decreases roughly to zero when f is increased up to 30 Hz. This traces back to the expulsion of \vec{B}_0 from the core of the swirling flow. However, we emphasize that the effect of the expulsion on the induced fields is not straightforward. Indeed, $\langle B_z \rangle$ does not vanish but saturates to a finite value at high frequency, even close to the rotation axis. Induction mechanisms being nonlocal, the expulsion of \vec{B}_0 from the core of the flow does not necessary imply that the induced fields all decrease to zero.

It is, however, possible to enhance the effect of the expulsion by removing the baffles from the cylindrical vessel inner wall, thus allowing global rotation of the flow. Then all the components of the induced field measured 100 mm away from the rotation axis decrease almost to zero at large R_m (see Fig. 5 and compare with Fig. 2 where they stay finite). We thus observe that the axial field decreases to zero at large R_m when the azimuthal flow is large enough. A similar effect has been recently computed by Rädler *et al.* in the case of the Roberts flow [17]. These authors have shown by computing terms higher than the second order in R_m that the α effect reaches a maximum and then tends to zero when R_m is increased further (compare their Fig. 3 with our Fig. 5).



FIG. 5. Components of the total mean magnetic field as a function of the rotation frequency of disk (2). The disk radius is R = 190 mm with curved blades. There are no baffles on the inner wall of the cylindrical vessel. The magnetic field is measured or computed at z = 100 mm. The open symbols refer to the measurements and the dark ones to numerical results obtained from the computation of the induced magnetic field by the mean flow alone $[(\bigstar) \frac{\langle B_x \rangle}{B_o}; (\blacksquare) \frac{B_o + \langle B_y \rangle}{B_o}].$

Using dimensional analysis, we can write $\langle B_x(\vec{r}) \rangle =$ $B_0 \mathcal{F}(\vec{r}, R_m, P_m, N)$. As said above, for B_0 small enough, \mathcal{F} does not depend on the interaction parameter N. We have provided a detailed investigation on the nonlinear behavior of \mathcal{F} on R_m even at small R_m , in a nongeometrically constrained flow. Its dependence on P_m , or equivalently on the Reynolds number of the flow, is governed by the relative contribution of the two nonlinear source terms $\nabla \times (\langle \vec{V} \rangle \times \langle \vec{B} \rangle)$ and $\nabla \times (\langle \vec{v} \times \vec{b} \rangle)$ in Eq. (3). Both the velocity field, measured in water [5], and the magnetic field display large fluctuations (roughly 20%). An estimate of the effect of $\langle \vec{v} \times b \rangle$ can be obtained from the difference between the measured magnetic field (open symbols in Fig. 5) and a numerical computation of the magnetic field induced by the mean flow alone (solid symbols) measured in a 1/2-scale water experiment as explained in [18]. We observe a perfect agreement at low R_m (up to nearly f = 5 Hz). At larger R_m , Fig. 5 displays clear differences between the computed and measured fields for $\langle B_x \rangle$ and $\langle B_z \rangle$. Although we cannot rule out possible inaccuracies of the numerical modelization (the cylindrical boundary made of copper is modeled by sodium at rest, the boundary conditions are periodic along the x axis, slight nonaxisymmetry of the mean flow is not taken into account), we observe a clear difference between the magnetic induction by the turbulent flow at large R_m and the computed one resulting from the mean flow alone.

We acknowledge the assistance of J.-B. Luciani and M. Moulin. We thank J. Léorat for letting us use his numerical code to get the curves displayed in Fig. 5. This work is supported by the French institutions Direction des Sciences de la Matière and Direction de l'Energie Nucléaire of CEA, Ministère de la Recherche, and Centre National de Recherche Scientifique. J. Burguete was supported by a grant from ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) while at CEA-Saclay.

- *Present address: Departamento de Física y Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Navarra, E-31080 Pamplona, Spain.
- Ya. B. Zeldovich, A. A. Ruzmaikin, and D. D. Sokoloff, Magnetic Fields in Astrophysics (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1983).
- [2] See, for instance, L. Garzotti *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5532 (2000); P.W. Fontana *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 566 (2000), and references therein.
- [3] B. Lehnert, Ark. Fys. 13, 109 (1957).
- [4] P. Odier, J.-F. Pinton, and S. Fauve, Phys. Rev. E 58, 7397 (1998).
- [5] M. Bourgoin et al., Phys. Fluids 14, 3046 (2002).
- [6] M. Steenbeck et al., Sov. Phys. Dokl. 13, 443 (1968).
- [7] E. N. Parker, Astrophys. J. 122, 293 (1955).
- [8] F. Krause and K.-H. R\u00e4dler, Mean Field Magnetohydrodynamics and Dynamo Theory (Pergamon Press, New York, 1980).
- H. K. Moffatt, Magnetic Field Generation in Electrically Conducting Fluids (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978).
- [10] P.H. Roberts, in *Lectures on Solar and Planetary Dynamos*, edited by M. R. E. Proctor and A. D. Gilbert (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994), Chap. 1.
- [11] R. Stieglitz and U. Müller, Phys. Fluids 13, 561 (2001);
 A. Gailitis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3024 (2001).
- [12] See, for instance, L. Marié et al., Dynamo and Dynamics, a Mathematical Challenge, edited by P. Chossat et al. (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001), pp. 35–50.R. O'Connell et al., pp. 59– 66, W. L. Shew et al., pp. 83–92.
- [13] A. B. Reighard and M. R. Brown, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2794 (2001).
- [14] A. Lanotte, A. Noullez, M. Vergassola, and A. Wirth, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 91, 131 (1999); V. A. Zheligovsky, O. M. Podvigina, and U. Frisch, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 95, 227 (2001).
- [15] R. L. Parker, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 291, 60 (1966);
 N. O. Weiss, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 293, 310 (1966).
- [16] P. Odier, J.-F. Pinton, and S. Fauve, Eur. Phys. J. B 16, 373 (2000).
- [17] K.-H. R\u00e4dler, E. Apstein, and M. Sch\u00fcler, in *Transfer Phenomena in Magnetohydrodynamic and Electrocon-ducting Flows* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999), Vol. 1, pp. 9–14.
- [18] L. Marié, J. Burguete, F. Daviaud, and J. Léorat, "Numerical Study of Homogeneous Dynamo Based on Experimental von Kármán Type Flows" (to be published).