

No association of miscarriage and carrier status in Pakistani breast/ovarian cancer patients with a history of parental consanguinity

Muhammad U. Rashid, Diana Torres, Farah Rasheed, Faisal Sultan, Abdul R. Shakoori, Asim Amin, Klaus Schlaefer, Ute Hamann

▶ To cite this version:

Muhammad U. Rashid, Diana Torres, Farah Rasheed, Faisal Sultan, Abdul R. Shakoori, et al.. No association of miscarriage and carrier status in Pakistani breast/ovarian cancer patients with a history of parental consanguinity. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2008, 116 (1), pp.211-213. 10.1007/s10549-008-0095-1. hal-00486591

HAL Id: hal-00486591

https://hal.science/hal-00486591

Submitted on 26 May 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

No association of miscarriage and *BRCA* carrier status in Pakistani breast/ovarian cancer patients with a history of parental consanguinity

Muhammad U. Rashid · Diana Torres · Farah Rasheed · Faisal Sultan · Abdul R. Shakoori · Asim Amin · Klaus Schlaefer · Ute Hamann

Received: 13 March 2008/Accepted: 5 June 2008/Published online: 24 June 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC. 2008

To the editor

About 80% of breast and ovarian cancer families and about 50% of site-specific breast cancer families are due to germ line mutations in either *BRCA1* (MIM 113705) or *BRCA2* (MIM 600185) [1–3]. Women carrying a pathogenic mutation in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* face average lifetime risks of 65% and 45%, respectively, of developing breast cancer, and corresponding ovarian cancer risks of 39% and 11% [4]. In humans, almost all *BRCA* carriers have been found to be heterozygous [5, 6]. The lack of viable homozygous *BRCA* mutation carriers in humans suggests that homozygous fetuses may be more susceptible to spontaneous abortions. Further evidence for this hypothesis comes from in vivo animal studies showing that mice homozygous for mutations in the *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* gene die early in embryogenesis [7, 8].

M. U. Rashid · F. Rasheed · F. Sultan Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Lahore, Pakistan

M. U. Rashid · D. Torres · U. Hamann (☒)
Division of Molecular Genome Analysis, German Cancer
Research Center, Im Neuenheimer Feld 580, 69120 Heidelberg,
Germany

e-mail: u.hamann@dkfz-heidelberg.de

A. R. Shakoori University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

A. Amin Blumenthal Cancer Centre, Carolinas Medical Centre, Charlotte, NC, USA

K. Schlaefer Unit of Environmental Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany

Two recent studies have explored the impact of BRCA mutation status on the occurrence of miscarriages. One study included BRCA carriers of Ashkenazi Jewish descent [9], and the other included mutation carriers from United States and Canada [10]. Neither study found a significant difference in the rate of miscarriage between BRCA carriers and non-carriers. We conjectured that miscarriages may occur more frequently in BRCA carriers from consanguineous parents since their offspring are more often homozygous by descent compared to those of unrelated parents, and living homozygous BRCA mutation carriers have not been observed. To explore this question, we studied the rates of miscarriages in Pakistani BRCA mutation carriers and non-carriers with a history of parental consanguinity. Pakistan has one of the highest rates of consanguinity in the world (range 60–70%) [11, 12].

Breast and/or ovarian cancer families were recruited at the Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Lahore, Pakistan, between June 2001 and November 2004. Of the 165 female index patients, 30 carried a deleterious BRCA mutation, and 135 were without any identifiable mutation. All families, screening methods and mutations have been described previously [13]. Four additional families carrying a deleterious BRCA mutation identified recently were also included in this study. Relevant information regarding potential breast cancer risk factors including family history of cancer, hormone use, reproductive history, medical history and life style factors was collected by interview-administered questionnaires. Detailed information on the outcome of each pregnancy (year of each pregnancy, number of miscarriages including spontaneous and therapeutic abortions, number of still and live born), and parental consanguinity was collected. Spontaneous abortion was defined as loss of a pregnancy before the completion of 20 gestational weeks from the



Table 1 Comparison of parityrelated parameters among *BRCA* carriers and non-carriers

Parameter	BRCA carriers ^a N (%)	Non-carriers N (%)	P-value
Total number of patients	29	99	
Age at diagnosis of breast cancer (years) ^b	24	82	
Mean \pm SD	35 ± 8	32 ± 8	0.082^{c}
Range	22-50	22-70	
Age at diagnosis of ovarian cancer (years)	5	17	
Mean \pm SD	39 ± 6	35 ± 6	0.194 ^c
Range	29-45	26-45	
Year of birth			
Mean \pm SD	1965 ± 10	1969 ± 8	
Range	1938-1980	1922-1980	
Total number of pregnancies	116	371	
Mean \pm SD	4.0 ± 2.4	3.7 ± 2.2	0.798^{d}
Range	1–8	1–12	
Total number of live births	83	307	
Mean \pm SD	2.9 ± 1.9	3.1 ± 1.8	0.389^{d}
Range	0–8	0–8	
Total number of miscarriages	22	60	
Mean \pm SD	0.8 ± 1.3	0.6 ± 1.0	0.891^{d}
Range	0–5	0–4	
Study participants with miscarriages			
0	19 (66)	63 (64)	$1.000^{\rm e}$
>0	10 (34)	36 (36)	
1	3 (10)	20 (20)	
2	5 (17)	11 (11)	
3+	2 (7)	5 (5)	

SD, standard deviation

^a The carrier group includes *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* carriers

^b Including three patients who developed ovarian cancer as a second cancer; exclusion of these patients yielded mean ages at breast cancer diagnoses of 34 years in carriers and 31 years in non-carriers (t-test, *P* = 0.262).

^c t-test: pooled (equal variance)

^d Wilcoxon's signed rank test

^e No miscarriage versus one or

more miscarriages, Fisher's

exact test

previous menstrual period or fetal weight of < 500 g according to the recommendation of the World Health Organization [14]. Parental consanguinity was classified into four types: no consanguinity, first cousins, second cousins and more distant relatives. A total of 128 breast/ ovarian cancer patients comprising 29 BRCA carriers and 99 non-carriers who had at least one pregnancy were included in the study. Mean and standard deviation (SD) was calculated for total number of pregnancies, live births, and miscarriages. The Wilcoxon's signed rank test was performed to test if BRCA carriers and non-carriers were independent of the number of pregnancies and its outcome (number of live births and number of miscarriages, respectively). The Student's t-test was used to test for independency of age at diagnosis and carrier status. All other variables were dichotomized, and tested using Fisher's exact test. Two-sided P-values of 0.05 or less were considered as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.1.

A comparison of parity-related parameters between *BRCA* carriers and non-carriers is shown in Table 1. The mean age at diagnosis of breast and ovarian cancer among carriers and non-carriers did not show any significant

difference (t-test, P = 0.082 and P = 0.194, respectively). In addition, no difference was found between the two groups in the mean number of pregnancies, live births, miscarriages, and in the proportion of study participants with and without miscarriages.

No association was noted between miscarriage and parental consanguinity, disregarding BRCA carrier status (miscarriage among related couples versus miscarriage among unrelated couples: Fisher's exact test, P = 0.463; miscarriage among married first and second cousins versus miscarriage among unrelated couples: Fisher's exact test, P = 0.435) (data not shown), which is in agreement with previous studies conducted in countries with high rates of consanguinity [15–18].

When *BRCA* status was considered, there was no statistically significant difference between the occurrence of miscarriage among related and unrelated study participants (Table 2). Even when the analysis was restricted to marriages between close relatives (first or second cousins), no significant association with miscarriages could be shown.

In the two previous studies the rate of three or more spontaneous miscarriages among Israeli Jewish *BRCA* carriers with at least one live birth was 4.4% (15/343) and



P-value^b Study participants with miscarriages Study participants without miscarriages BRCA carriers^a Non-carriers BRCA carriers^a Non-carriers Total N(%)N(%)N(%)N(%)N 10 (7.8) 36 (28.1) 19 (14.8) 63 (49.2) 128 1.000 All study participants Unrelated study participants 20 (34.5) 29 (50.0) 1.000 3(5.2)6(10.3)58 Related study participants 7 (10.0) 16 (22.9) 13 (18.6) 34 (48.6) 70 1.000 First and second cousins 5 (9.4) 12 (22.6) 11 (20.8) 25 (47.2) 53 1.000 First cousins 10 (23.3) 11 (25.6) 19 (44.2) 43 3(7.0)0.491 Second cousins 2 (20.0) 2 (20.0) 0(0.0)6 (60.0) 10 0.133 Distant relatives 9 (52.9) 17 0.584 2(11.8)4 (23.5) 2(11.8)

Table 2 Comparison of miscarriages between BRCA carriers and non-carriers, and parental consanguinity

3.0% (12/401) in non-carriers [9]; the rates were 2.5% (71/2,846) and 2.3% (15/657), respectively, in women from United States and Canada [10]. We found similar rates in Pakistani women: 6.9% (2/29) for *BRCA* carriers and 5.1% (5/99) for non-carriers.

The limitations of this study are that it is not populationbased as the study subjects were recruited based on a personal or family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer in a hospital, and the small study size.

In conclusion, our findings suggest no difference in the occurrence of miscarriage between *BRCA* carriers and non-carriers when parental consanguinity was taken into consideration. Additional studies are warranted.

References

- Narod SA, Ford D, Devilee P, Barkardottir RB, Lynch HT, Smith SA, the Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium (1995) An evaluation of genetic heterogeneity in 145 breast-ovarian cancer families. Am J Hum Genet 56:254–264
- Narod S, Ford D, Devilee P, Barkardottir RB, Eyfjord J, Lenoir G et al (1995) Genetic heterogeneity of breast-ovarian cancer revisited. Am J Hum Genet 57:957–958
- Ford D, Easton DF, Stratton M, Narod S, Goldgar D, Devilee P, the Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium (1998) Genetic heterogeneity and penetrance analysis of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in breast cancer families. Am J Hum Genet 62:676–689. doi: 10.1086/301749
- Antoniou A, Pharoah PD, Narod S, Risch HA, Eyfjord JE, Hopper JL et al (2003) Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations detected in case series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. Am J Hum Genet 72:1117–1130. doi:10.1086/375033
- Friedman E, Bar-Sade Bruchim R, Kruglikova A, Risel S, Levy-Lahad E, Halle D et al (1998) Double heterozygotes for the Ashkenazi founder mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes. Am J Hum Genet 63:1224–1227. doi:10.1086/302040
- Kuschel B, Gayther SA, Easton DF, Ponder BA, Pharoah PD (2001)
 Apparent human BRCA1 knockout caused by mispriming during polymerase chain reaction: implications for genetic testing. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 31:96–98. doi:10.1002/gcc.1122

- Gowen LC, Johnson BL, Latour AM, Sulik KK, Koller BH (1996) Brca1 deficiency results in early embryonic lethality characterized by neuroepithelial abnormalities. Nat Genet 12:191–194. doi:10.1038/ng0296-191
- 8. Suzuki A, de la Pompa JL, Hakem R, Elia A, Yoshida R, Mo R et al (1997) Brca2 is required for embryonic cellular proliferation in the mouse. Genes Dev 11:1242–1252. doi:10.1101/gad.11. 10.1242
- Gal I, Sadetzki S, Gershoni-Baruch R, Oberman B, Carp H, Papa MZ et al (2004) Offspring gender ratio and the rate of recurrent spontaneous miscarriages in Jewish women at high risk for breast/ovarian cancer. Am J Hum Genet 74:1270–1275. doi: 10.1086/421442
- Friedman E, Kotsopoulos J, Lubinski J, Lynch HT, Ghadirian P, Neuhausen SL, Hereditary Breast Cancer Clinical Study Group et al (2006) Spontaneous and therapeutic abortions and the risk of breast cancer among BRCA mutation carriers. Breast Cancer Res 8:R15. doi:10.1186/bcr1387
- Hashmi MA (1997) Frequency of consanguinity and its effect on congenital malformation—a hospital based study. J Pak Med Assoc 47:75–78
- Hussain R, Bittles AH (1998) The prevalence and demographic characteristics of consanguineous marriages in Pakistan. J Biosoc Sci 30:261–275. doi:10.1017/S0021932098002612
- 13. Rashid MU, Zaidi A, Torres D, Sultan F, Benner A, Naqvi B et al (2006) Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Pakistani breast and ovarian cancer patients. Int J Cancer 119:2832–2839. doi:10.1002/ijc.22269
- 14. World Health Organization. WHO: recommended definitions, terminology and format for statistical tables related to the perinatal period and use of a new certificate for cause of perinatal deaths (1977) Modifications recommended by FIGO as amended October 14, 1976. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 56:247–253
- Abdulrazzaq YM, Bener A, al-Gazali LI, al-Khayat AI, Micallef R, Gaber T (1997) A study of possible deleterious effects of consanguinity. Clin Genet 51:167–173
- Al Husain M, al Bunyan M (1997) Consanguineous marriages in a Saudi population and the effect of inbreeding on prenatal and postnatal mortality. Ann Trop Paediatr 17:155–160
- Demirel S, Kaplanoğlu N, Acar A, Bodur S, Paydak F (1997) The frequency of consanguinity in Konya, Turkey, and its medical effects. Genet Couns 8:295–301
- Bittles AH, Grant JC, Shami SA (1993) Consanguinity as a determinant of reproductive behaviour and mortality in Pakistan. Int J Epidemiol 22:463–467. doi:10.1093/ije/22.3.463



^a The carrier group includes BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers

b Fisher's exact test