

Anti-HEV antibodies in domestic animal species and rodents from Spain using a genotype 3-based ELISA

Bibiana Peralta, Maribel Casas, Nilsa de Deus, Marga Martín, Anna Ortuño,

Eva Pérez-Martín, Sonia Pina, Enric Mateu

▶ To cite this version:

Bibiana Peralta, Maribel Casas, Nilsa de Deus, Marga Martín, Anna Ortuño, et al.. Anti-HEV antibodies in domestic animal species and rodents from Spain using a genotype 3-based ELISA. Veterinary Microbiology, 2009, 137 (1-2), pp.66. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.01.006 . hal-00485529

HAL Id: hal-00485529 https://hal.science/hal-00485529

Submitted on 21 May 2010 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Anti-HEV antibodies in domestic animal species and rodents from Spain using a genotype 3-based ELISA

Authors: Bibiana Peralta, Maribel Casas, Nilsa de Deus, Marga Martín, Anna Ortuño, Eva Pérez-Martín, Sonia Pina, Enric Mateu





Please cite this article as: Peralta, B., Casas, M., de Deus, N., Martín, M., Ortuño, A., Pérez-Martín, E., Pina, S., Mateu, E., Anti-HEV antibodies in domestic animal species and rodents from Spain using a genotype 3-based ELISA, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.01.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Title: Anti-HEV antibodies in domestic animal species and rodents from Spain using a
2	genotype 3-based ELISA
3	
4	Authors: Bibiana Peralta ^{*1} , Maribel Casas ¹ , Nilsa de Deus ¹ , Marga Martín ^{1,2} , Anna Ortuño ^{1,2} ,
5	Eva Pérez-Martín ¹ , Sonia Pina ^{1,3} , Enric Mateu ^{1,2}
6	
7	¹ Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CRESA), UAB-IRTA, Campus de la Universitat
8	Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Barcelona, Spain
9	² Departament de Sanitat i d'Anatomia Animal, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193
10	Barcelona, Spain
11	³ Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Barcelona, Spain
12	
13	*Corresponding author: Bibiana Peralta. Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CRESA),
14	Campus UAB, Edifici CRESA, 08193, Bellaterra (Barcelona) Spain
15	Tel: +34 93 581 45 27 Fax: +34 93 581 44 90
16	e-mail: bibiana.peralta@cresa.uab.es
17	
18	Short title: Anti-HEV IgG in domestic animals and rodents
19	Key words: HEV, domestic animals, rodents, ELISA, genotype 3
20	

21 Abstract

22 A truncated ORF2 capsid HEV antigen derived from a genotype 3 strain was developed in 23 insect cells and insect larvae, and compared with the Sar55 antigen and a commercial ELISA. 24 The antigen expressed in insect cells showed a better correlation with Sar55 (kappa value 25 (k)=0.84) than the insect larvae antigen (k=0.69), and a better reproducibility as indicated by the 26 intra and interplate variation coefficients. Commercial ELISA designed for human diagnosis but 27 adapted to animal use using specific secondary antibodies demonstrated to have a very low sensitivity. The insect cell expressed antigen was used to develop an ELISA to detect antiHEV-28 29 IgG in serum samples of different domestic animal and rodents. Seropositivity in the studied animal populations was of 71.4% for pigs, 0.60% for goats, 1.92% for sheep, and 11.11% for 30 31 cats. None of the 1170 cattle samples or 166 rodent samples analyzed was positive.

32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	

42 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is a small non-enveloped virus belonging to the Genus Hepevirus 43 44 (Emerson et al., 2004), proposed as the *Hepeviridae* family. The virus is the causative agent of hepatitis E in humans. Four HEV genotypes have been described so far (Lu et al., 2006) with 45 several sub-genotypes that seem to have a geographical distribution. However, only one 46 47 serotype has been identified. Genotype 1 is common in Asia, particularly in the Indian 48 subcontinent (Arankalle et al., 1999). Genotype 2 was originally detected in Mexico but later on 49 has been described in Africa (Huang et al., 1992; Buisson et al., 2000). Genotype 3 strains are present in Europe, America, Asia and Oceania and genotype 4 strains seem to be autochthonous 50 51 of Asia (Nishizawa et al., 2003). Human hepatitis E is endemic in many developing countries 52 where epidemics caused by genotypes 1 and 2 are common and usually associated with contaminated drinking water. In industrialized countries, hepatitis E appears most often as 53 sporadic cases either related to travelling to endemic areas or caused by autochthonous strains 54 (Péron et al., 2006). Several studies have suggested that HEV might be a zoonotic agent. Thus, 55 56 it has been shown that exposure to domestic pigs could be a risk factor for seropositivity in humans (Meng et al., 2002), human and swine strains of genotypes 3 and 4 seem to be closely 57 related (Herremans et al., 2007; Wibawa et al., 2007) and some documented sporadic cases of 58 59 hepatitis E in humans have been related to the consumption of uncooked or undercooked meat 60 or viscera of wild boars or deers (Takahashi et al., 2004; Tei et al., 2004). In those cases, genotype 3 was involved. In Europe sporadic cases of human hepatitis E are mostly related to 61 genotype 3 of the virus. Recent studies showed that up to 97% of the pig herds studied in some 62 63 European countries including Spain (Rutjes et al., 2007; Seminati et al., 2008) have HEV 64 seropositive animals and porcine HEV isolates in European pigs belong to genotype 3 (Van der 65 Poel et al., 2001; Clemente-Casares et al., 2003; de Deus et al., 2007).

66

In addition to pigs and deers, so far HEV has been detected only in horses (Saad et al., 2007);
mongooses (Nakamura et al., 2006) and chickens (Haqshenas et al., 2001); in the last case, the
avian strains seem to belong to a different HEV species or, at least, to a different genotype.

Antibodies against HEV have been also demonstrated in species other than the abovementioned
such as cows, sheeps, goats, dogs, cats and rodents (Tien et al., 1997; Arankalle et al., 2001;
Okamoto et al., 2004; Vitral et al., 2005; Mochizuki et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008) but the
epidemiological role of those domestic species is uncertain. Most of these serological studies in
domestic animals other than pigs have been carried out in Asia and information about Europe is
still lacking.
In the current study, we developed and applied an ELISA test based on the truncated ORF2

capsid protein from a genotype 3 strain for the screening of serological evidence of HEV
infection among various domestic animal species.

79

80 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

81 2.1. Expression of a truncated HEV ORF2

82 2.1.1. Virus sample and ORF2 amplification

One HEV RT-PCR positive bile sample collected from a 14-weeks-old pig was selected and 83 84 stored at -80°C until used. Total viral RNA was extracted from 150 µl of bile with Nucleospin® RNA virus kit (Macherey-Nagel Gmbh & Co., Düren, Germany) and the full length HEV ORF2 85 was amplified by means of a two round PCR. Primers were primers F5000 (5'-86 AATGTKGCKCAGGTYTGTG-3') 87 and R7260 (5'-TTTTTTTTTTTTCCKGGGRGCGCG-3') for the first round of amplification, and 88 primers F5160 (5'-MGGSTRGAATGAATAACATG-3') and R7260 were used for the 89 seminested amplification (Peralta et al., 2008). The second round PCR product was cloned 90 into pCR®II-Blunt-TOPO® (Invitrogen). 91

92

93 2.1.2. Generation of HEV ORF2 recombinant baculovirus

Generation of the recombinant baculovirus containing the truncated HEV ORF2 was done using
the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression System (Invitrogen) following manufacturers's
instructions. Briefly, a set of primers was designed to amplify a fragment of 1,491 bp: the

97	forward	primer	For <i>Rsr</i> IIHEV	(5'-
98	ATATATT <u>CGGWCCG</u> AT	GGCTGTTTCGCCTGCG	CCTGATACGGCC-3') containing	the
99	RsrII restriction site and the	e initiation codon, and the	reverse primer RevHindIIIHEVHis	t (5'-
100	TAT <u>AAGCTT</u> CTATTAG	GATGGTGATGGTGAT	GGGCTAAAGCAGAATGCGGGG	C-3')
101	containing the HindIII restri	iction site, two stop codon	is and a $6xHis (H^{6+})$ tag. The N-terr	ninal
102	and C-terminal truncated OF	RF2 expressed corresponde	ed to the minimum sequence necessa	ry to
103	allow virus like particles (V	LPs) formation as describ	ed by Li et al. (2005), from aa 112	to aa
104	607. This means that the e	expressed product had an	N'-terminus 111 aa deletion and a	ι C'-
105	terminus 53 aa deletion.	The PCR product was go	el purified with Nucleospin® Extr	actII
106	(Macherey-Nagel), digested	with restriction enzymes,	and ligated into the pFASTBAC v	ector
107	(Invitrogen) to yield the co	onstruction pFBAC-ORF2	H^{6+} . Then, ElectroMAX TM DH5 α E.	coli
108	cells (Invitrogen) were tra	ansformed, and the reco	mbinant plasmids were extracted,	and
109	confirmed by PCR and fu	lly sequencing of the ins	ert. Competent cells MAX Efficie	ncy®
110	DH10Bac [™] were transform	ed with pFBAC-ORF2H ⁶⁺	for production of a recombinant ba	cmid
111	BAC-ORF2H ⁶⁺ . Then, BA	AC-ORF2H ⁺ was extrac	ted following standard methods	and
112	transfected into Sf9 cells us	sing Lipofectamine [™] (Inv	itrogen). Cells were cultured in Gr	ace's
113	insect media (Gibco BRL)	supplemented with 10%	o foetal bovine serum (FBS), 3%	non-
114	essential amino acids, 100	µg/ml of streptomycin an	ad 100 U/ml of penicillin and 20 μ	ıg/ml
115	gentamycin and grown at 2	27°C. At 96 hours post-tra	nsfection the supernatant containing	g the
116	recombinant baculovirus wa	s recovered and stored at 4	°C.	

117

118 2.1.3. Truncated ORF2 expression in insect cells and insect larvae

The recombinant baculovirus was used to infect Sf9 cells using a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.05. Infected cells were incubated for 6 days (the optimal conditions for recovery of the HEV protein as determined in previous assays). Total protein was extracted by collecting the infected cells and incubating them in milliQ water for 15 min in ice followed by a centrifugation at 2500xg for 10 min. The recombinant protein ORF2H⁶⁺ contained in the

supernatant was purified using the kit Protino Ni-IDA packed columns (Macherey-Nagel)
following manufacturer's instructions. The recovered protein was stored at 4°C.

126 Alternatively, Trichoplusia ni larvae were grown and inoculated as previously described (Medin et al., 1995; Pérez-Filgueira et al., 2006). The inocula consisted in 5x10⁵ recombinant virus 127 particles (BAC-ORF2H⁶⁺) or wtBAC (control baculovirus). Three days post infection, the larvae 128 129 were frozen and homogenized in an extraction buffer to obtain total soluble proteins (TSP), including our recombinant ORF2H⁶⁺ protein, as previously described (Pérez-Filgueira et al., 130 2006). The amount of TSP contained in the supernatant was quantified by Bradford assay 131 (Bradford, 1976), and lyophilised for long time storage (Pérez-Filgueira et al., 2006). The same 132 protocol was followed for the control protein extract (Ni), obtained from the Bac-Ni infected 133 134 larvae. (Pérez-Filgueira et al., 2006).

135

136 2.1.4. Analysis and quantification of recombinant protein production

The purified $ORF2H^{6+}$ and the protein extract obtained from *T. ni* larvae were run in duplicate 137 138 in NuPAGE® Novex 4-12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen). One of the gels was stained with 139 Coomasie blue and after confirmation of the presence of the protein, the other was used for 140 Western Blot analysis (WB). After transfer, nitrocellulose membranes were blocked for 1h at room temperature with PBS added with 0.02% Tween-20 and 2% skim milk. A swine anti-HEV 141 142 hyperinmune sera obtained from an experimentally infected pig was used (provided by Dr. X.J. Meng, CMMID, Virginia Tech). The antigen-antibody reaction was revealed by using a protein 143 144 A-peroxidase conjugate (Sigma) and 4-chloronaftol solution (Sigma) as a substrate. Protein quantification was performed by means of the BCA protein Assay Kit (Pierce) following 145 manufacturer's directions. The autochthonous truncated ORF2H⁶⁺ was compared with the Sar55 146 147 strain derived protein at nucleotide and aminoacid level and the hydrophobicity profile was predicted by means of the bioinformatic program BioEdit (Hall, 1999) using the Kyte and 148 149 Doolittle scale.

150

2.2. Development of an ELISA for IgG detection using the protein obtained from insectcells and insect larvae as an antigen

153 2.2.1. Porcine sera samples

Three hundred and sixty-seven pig sera were used in this study; of them, two hundred and fiftytwo samples were obtained from nine different fattening farms located in the North-East Spain. Other additional 85 samples were obtained from previous studies (de Deus et al., 2007, 2008) and corresponded to pigs with known HEV viremia status. Finally, other 30 samples kindly provided by Dr. X.J. Meng (CMMID, Virginia Tech) corresponded to experimentally infected pigs or negative controls.

160

161 2.2.2. ELISA procedure

Ninety-six well polysterene plates were coated with 100 µl of either the purified protein 162 163 recovered from Sf9 cell cultures at a concentration of 0.125µg/ml (final protein amount 12.5 164 ng/well) or with the protein extract obtained from the infected larvae at 3.5µg/ml (final protein 165 amount 350 ng/well) diluted in coating buffer (0.015M Na₂CO₃, 0.035M NaHCO₃, pH 9.6. 166 Those concentrations were determined to be optimal in previous titration experiments (not shown). In order to minimize the effect of unspecific binding to the plates or to the antigen, sera 167 168 were analysed in duplicate in antigen-coated and mock-coated wells. For the ELISA procedure, 169 after coating the plates for 18h, wells were washed with PBS added with 0.02% Tween-20 (PBS -T) and blocked for 1h at 37°C with blocking buffer (BB) (140µl/well; PBS, 0.035 M NaCl plus 170 0.5% gelatine and 10% FBS). After washing the BB, serum samples were diluted 1:100 in BB 171 and 100µl were dispensed per well. After 45 min of incubation at 37°C, plates were washed 5 172 173 times with PBS-T and a HRP-conjugated goat anti-swine IgG (Serotec Ltd., Oxford, UK) was added at a dilution 1:100,000. Plates were incubated for 45 min at 37°C and washed as 174 described above. The reaction was revealed by adding 100µl of TMB (Sigma Chemical, St. 175 176 Louis, MO., USA) and stopped with 100 μ l of H₂SO₄ 2M. Plates were read in an ELISA reader 177 at 450nm. The specific absorbance value for each sample was calculated by subtracting the value of the mock-coated wells from the values of the plates coated with the specific protein. 178

179 Cut-off was set at 0.3 that was about 4 standard deviations above the mean OD value of the180 negative control sera.

181

182 2.2.3. Validation of the genotype 3 ELISA antigens and comparison with a commercial kit 183 A truncated ORF2 obtained from the HEV genotype 1 Sar55 strain (Robinson et al., 1998) was used in order to validate the genotype 3 ELISA antigen. Three hundred and sixty seven swine 184 185 samples were analyzed in coated and uncoated wells using the Sar55 protein at a concentration of 0.25µg/ml (25 ng of protein per well) following the procedure described above. Also thirty 186 selected samples were tested by the test Bioelisa HEV IgG (Biokit) (intended for diagnosis in 187 humans) following manufacturer's instructions but modified for the analysis of porcine samples 188 189 by using an anti-swine IgG conjugate as explained above.

190

191 2.3. Application of genotype 3 antigens for screening HEV seroprevalence in different
192 domestic species and rodents.

193

194 2.3.1. Immunization of different animal species to obtain hyperimmune serum

195 In order to obtain a positive serum to be used as a positive control in the developed ELISA for 196 different species, an immunization assay was performed in cows, sheeps, goats, and rodents. For 197 that purpose, two 3-months-old cows, two adult goats, two adult sheep and five ICR-CD1 6months-old mice were housed in the Veterinary School facilities of the Universitat Autònoma of 198 Barcelona. One week after their arrival animals were injected with the HEV ORF2H⁶⁺ purified 199 200 recombinant protein using the dosages, adjuvants and inoculation routes summarized in Table 1. 201 For each group one animal was kept as a negative control inoculated with sterile saline solution 202 with the adjuvant. Blood samples were periodically obtained by jugular punction in the case of 203 the ruminants and by tail incision in mice. The procedures were performed in accordance with 204 the guidelines of the Good Experimental Practices (GEP), under the supervision of the Ethical 205 Welfare Committee on Human and Animal Experimentation of the Universitat Autònoma de

Barcelona (CEEAH), Spain. Blood samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 2,500 xg, and sera
were stored at -80°C until used.

208

209 2.3.2. Sampling in domestic animals and rodents

Sera from ruminants (cows, sheeps, goats) were collected in farms of Catalonia (Spain). Sampling was adjusted to detect a 3% of infected herds. Only counties accounting for $\geq 2.5\%$ of the livestock census were taken into account. At the end, serum samples were collected from 242 herds accounting for 1,170 cows, 1,357 sheeps and 1,143 goats. Cat samples (n=54) were collected from seven cat shelters located in urban areas near Barcelona. Sera from wild rodents (n=166) were collected in rural areas in central Spain and belonged to the species *Apodemus sylvaticus*, *Apodemus flavicolis*, *Mus musculus*, *Myodes glaerolus and Rattus norvergicus*.

217

218 2.3.3. ELISA for anti-HEV IgG detection

The ELISA was done as described above, but the secondary antibodies were HRP-conjugated 219 220 sheep anti-bovine IgG at a 1:5,000 dilution (Serotec Ltd., Oxford, UK) for cow samples; HRPconjugated donkey anti-sheep/goat IgG (Serotec Ltd., Oxford, UK) at 1:24,000 dilution for 221 222 sheep and goats, a protein A-peroxidase conjugate for cat samples (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, 223 MO., USA) at 1:2,000 dilution, and a goat anti-mouse IgG (Fc specific) peroxidase conjugated 224 antibody (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO., USA) at 1:80,000 for rodents. Samples were tested 225 in duplicate using the purified antigen expressed in cell culture and in both coated and uncoated 226 wells as detailed for pig samples. Cut-off were set to the mean OD plus 4x standard deviations 227 of the negative controls. Thus, the cut-offs were 0.13 for cattle, 0.24 for sheep, 0.20 for goats, 228 0.50 for cats and 0.40 for rodents.

229

230 2.3.4. Western blot and dot blot analysis

231 Confirmation of positive ELISA results was made by WB analysis using the truncated protein
232 expressed in insect cells. One µg of purified protein was run in a single well protein

electrophoresis gel (NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris Gel 1.0mm x 2D, Invitrogen) for 1 h at 170V and 233 transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane Amersham Hybond[™] ECL[™] (GE Healthcare). Each 234 membrane was cut into 4mm strips and stored at 4°C until use. The Amersham^{$^{\text{M}}$} ECL 235 Advance[™] Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Healthcare) was used following manufacturer's 236 237 instructions. Strips were treated with the blocking solution at 4°C overnight. After washing with PBS 0.02% Tween 20, sera from cats, goats ans sheeps were diluted 1:1,000 in blocking 238 239 solution and added to the strips. Following 1 hour incubation, the strips were washed as 240 mentioned and the secondary antibody was added at the dilution determined in previous assays, which resulted in 1:100,000 for goats and sheep and 1:5,000 for cats. Pig results were not 241 confirmed by WB since the infection in Spanish swine population has been reported before. 242

Chemiluminescence was detected using the fluorescence imager FluorChem[®] HD2 Imaging System (Alpha Innotech). Sera positive in WB were re-confirmed in dot blot using both the Sar55 and the ORF2-truncated protein expressed in insect cells adsorbed in native conformation or after denaturation. Finally, in order to warrant specificity of the assay, we only considered as positive the sera yielding positive results with both Sar55 and the ORF2H⁶⁺ protein.

248

249 2.3.5. Statistical analysis

- 250 Statistical analyses were done using Epi-Info 2000 v 3.4.1. Kappa value was calculated using
- 251 WinEpiscope software.
- 252

253 **3. RESULTS**

3.1. Standardization of an ELISA test for IgG anti-HEV based on the truncated ORF2H⁶⁺ of genotype 3

- **256** 3.1.1. Protein production
- After six days of incubation, 1.65 mg of HEV $ORF2H^{6+}$ were recovered from 3.6×10^7 Sf9 cells.
- 258 For the insect larvae expression, the amount of total protein recovered was 13 mg per larva, of
- which about 10% was the specific protein (determined by OD comparison with the purified

protein). In both cases the truncated HEV ORF2H⁶⁺ had a molecular weight of 55kDa. The protein produced in larvae was little soluble in water making very difficult to further purify the larva extracts by the column method used in this study probably due to the formation of aggregates with other molecules present in the larvae extract. In addition, storage of this extract at 4°C or -20°C rapidly produced a loss of antigenic reactivity as revealed in ELISA (data not shown). This did not occur with the cell culture-expressed protein.

Both recombinant proteins, the ORF2H⁶⁺ and the Sar55 protein, were located between aminoacid positions 112 and 607, so the length was of 495 aminoacids for the Sar55 truncated protein and 501 aminoacids for the ORF2H⁶⁺ protein, since a 6x histidine tail was added to the 3' end. Although at nucleotide level the identity was of 78%, only a difference of 5% at aminoacid level was observed. The sequence of the strain used for the protein expression is available at GenBank under the accession number EU723512. Moreover, the hydrophobicity analysis performed revealed almost identical patterns for both proteins (data not shown).

273

274 3.1.2. Sensitivity, specificity and variability of the genotype 3 antigens

When the 30 sera from experimental pigs were analysed, the ORF2H⁶⁺ ELISA diagnosed 275 276 correctly 13/13 positive and 17/17 negative samples. Considering Sar55 ELISA results as the golden standard (100% of relative sensitivity and specificity) and using the 252 field sera, the 277 truncated ORF2H⁶⁺ protein-ELISA expressed in cell cultures had a relative sensitivity of 98.9% 278 (180/182; CI_{95%}: 97.4-100%), whereas the relative specificity was 78.8% (55/70; CI_{95%}: 68.9-279 280 88.2%). The ELISA set with the protein expressed in larvae showed a sensitivity of 97.8% (178/182, CI_{95%}: 95.0-99.1%) and a specificity of 61.4% (43/70, CI_{95%}: 50.0-72.8%) compared 281 282 with the Sar55 protein ELISA. In order to know if the ELISA developed with the Spanish strain of HEV genotype 3 was similar in performance to the Sar55 ELISA in terms of global 283 agreement of results, the kappa value was calculated. Thus, kappa was 0.82 (CI_{95%} 0.69-0.94%) 284 for the cell culture-expressed protein and 0.66 (CI_{95%} 0.54-0.78) for the antigen expressed in 285 286 insect larvae.

287

To further characterize the ELISA test developed, an analysis of intra- and interplate variability was performed. This assay was conducted using only the 252 samples from commercial farms. Samples were analyzed twice in the same plate and in different ELISA plates as described above. For the cell culture expressed antigen, the results showed a 2.7% and 6.0% of intra- and interplate variation, respectively and these values were 6.0% and 9.0% for the antigen expressed in insect larvae.

294

Finally, a comparison of results with a commercially available HEV-antibody ELISA kit was
done. In this case, the commercial ELISA only recognized as positive pig sera yielding
ODs≥1.0 in our ELISA. Relative sensitivity and specificity were 31.25% (8.5-53.9%) and 100%
respectively.

299

300 **3.2.** Serological survey of HEV in domestic species and rodents

Positive control sera obtained by hyperimmunization with the truncated $ORF2H^{6+}$ protein had the following titre sin ELISA: sheep and goat sera: 1:16,000; cow and mouse sera titers were 1:1,000 and >1:128,000, respectively (Figure 1).

Two hundred and fifty-two pig samples collected in nine farms were examined; all nine herds had HEV-seropositive pigs with an average within farm prevalence of 79.45% (±19.31%). For sheeps a total of 1357 samples from 89 different herds were analysed by ELISA, being positive 36 samples belonging to 27 farms. For goats the number of samples was 1143 form 76 herds. and the ELISA revealed 18 positive sera from 16 farms. For cows, after analysing 1170 animals from 77 herds, no positive results were observed. Twenty cats of 5 catteries were also seropositive but none of the rodents presented antibodies.

Positive samples were tested in WB. For sheep, 28/33 animals of 26 herds produced a positive

result in this test; for goats, 14/17 positive sera (14 farms) in ELISA were confirmed in WB.

313 Regarding cat samples, due to the scarce amount of serum final confirmation was only done by

dot blot. Thus, final confirmation of WB positive sera by dot blot using Sar55 and the ORF2H⁶⁺

truncated protein either under native and denaturing conditions produced the following results:

for sheep, 26/28 WB positive sera were confirmed (22 herds); for goats 7/14 WB positive sera were also positive with Sar55 (7 herds) and 6 cats reacted positively in WB (table 2 summarizes these results). Interestingly, reactivity of sera was decreased by denaturation of Sar55 or ORF2H⁶⁺ protein (figure 2) indicating that antibodies recognised primarily conformational epitopes.

321

322 4. DISCUSSION

323 Several tools have been developed for HEV diagnosis. Molecular biology techniques such as 324 PCR produce the more certain results since they give proof of the presence of HEV in a tissue, 325 fluid or excreta of an animal. The main problem for the PCR detection of HEV is that, usually, 326 viremia or shedding in faeces is of short duration and thus, the chance to find a positive animal is limited. In animals, other samples where the virus could be found easily, such as liver or bile, 327 328 are most often only available post-mortem. For this reason, serological tests such as ELISA are widely used. Commercially available HEV ELISA kits for human diagnosis are usually based 329 330 on HEV genotype 1 or 2 peptides. Cross-reactivity among antigens obtained from different genotypes seems to exist (Engle et al., 2002; Arankalle et al., 2007) and based on this property, 331 332 most serological studies in animals have been done using genotype 1 peptides, particularly from the Sar55 strain (Meng et al., 1997; Arankalle et al., 2001; Seminati et al., 2008) However, 333 334 genotype 3 has demonstrated to be the most common in animals, particularly in pigs (Huang et 335 al., 2002; Ning et al., 2008). Thus, and although differences between ORF2 proteins of genotype 1 and 3 seem not to be large, minor changes could affect sensitivity and specificity of 336 the test when applied to animals. 337

338

One of the aims of this study was to develop an antigen based on a genotype 3 European strain. Two different expression systems were used: insect cells (a method reported to be optimal for HEV (Mast et al., 1998) and insect larvae (a large scale production system). In our hands, protein recovery was higher in insect larvae (about 1mg/larva) compared to insect cells; however the larva protein was not possible to be purified and was little stable in cold storage.

Although specific experiments to clarify these facts were not conducted, the reasons for this may be the formation of protein aggregates so there is no free protein with exposed epitopes which antibodies can recognize or the presence of proteases in the larva extract that even at low temperatures can degrade the antigenic protein. These were two serious disadvantages for that protein to be used in serological tests.

Regarding the performance of the commercial kit, it was inefficient for the serodiagnosis inanimals due to poor sensitivity.

The performance of the two expressed proteins (cell culture and larvae-produced) was assessed 351 by examining sera from experimental infections and by comparing results with the Sar55-352 ELISA. The protein expressed in insect cells always exceeded in performance the larvae-353 354 produced protein and thus it was the protein selected for the epidemiological study. The ELISA 355 set with this cell culture-produced protein recognized accurately positive and negative sera from experimental pig and had sensitivity and specificity of 98.9% and 78.8% relative to the Sar55-356 ELISA. It is worth to comment the apparent discrepancy in specificities between the 357 recombinant ORF2H⁶⁺ ELISA and the Sar55-ELISA. Both proteins had 497 aminoacids located 358 359 between aminoacid positions 112 and 607, with minor differences (5% at the aminoacid level). 360 The predicted hidrofobicity of the two proteins was quite similar as well and therefore, the 361 causes for this discrepancy were not evident. If we take into account that antibodies recognised 362 primarily conformational epitopes as evidenced by the Dot Blot analysis there is the possibility that the 6x histidine tail is interfering in the protein natural conformation leading to a loss of 363 364 sensitivity and specificity. Experiments with a truncated protein without the histidine tag were 365 not performed. The fact that some react differently with the different proteins looked 366 unimportant for pigs since in this species most animals are reported to be seropositive (Seminati 367 et al., 2008) with seroprevalences that can reach up to 90% or higher. In contrast, a minor lack 368 of specificity could lead to an overestimation of the prevalence in other species. Thus a stringent 369 strategy for diagnosis was adopted: only sera reacting positively in ELISA, western blot and 370 finally the dot blot using both Sar55 and our protein would be considered to be truly positive. 371 With this approach, none of the cows was positive but 1.92% (1.29-2.84%) and 0.60% (0.26-

372 1.28%) of sheep and goat, respectively were found to be positive. In the case of cows, other 373 authors reported seroprevalences ranging from 1.42% to 6.9% (Arankalle et al., 2001; Wang et 374 al., 2002; Vitral et al., 2005). For sheep and goats, reports are controversial. However, viral 375 genome has never been detected in any of the domestic ruminant species. In our case, the 376 seroprevalence in sheep and goats was very low and the fact that in most cases a single reactor 377 per herd was found, opens the question of whether or not those could be false positive results in 378 spite of the stringency of the conditions required in the present study to be considered 379 seropositive. Our opinion is that extreme caution should be applied to the interpretation of 380 serological results in species where HEV has not been detected directly, particularly when a 381 very large number of sera are examined since this increases the chance of finding false positives 382 in spite a high specificity. In any case, true or false positive, our results indicate that HEV is 383 either not present or present at a very low frequency in domestic ruminants of Spain.

Six out of 54 cats analysed resulted positive (11%, 4.6-23.32%). In this case, HEV seropositive 384 cats were present in four out of seven studied catteries with several seropositive individuals per 385 386 cattery suggesting transmission among animals in the cattery. As carnivores, as well as dogs, 387 there is the possibility that cats get infected via the food chain. It is a common practice to feed 388 cats and dogs with raw meat, that could probably be contaminated with swine HEV. A 389 seroprevalence study performed on dog samples collected in the same area in our lab revealed 390 that more than 20% of the animals tested positive for anti-HEV IgG detection supporting this hypothesis (Peralta et al., 2006). If this was true it does not necessarily mean that cats and dogs 391 392 suffer the infection, the antibodies found in this species could only be the result of repeatedly 393 contact with the virus. The percentage of positive cats found in our study is in agreement with 394 other previous studies (Okamoto et al., 2004; Mochizuki et al., 2006) that reported that the 33% 395 of the tested cats had anti-HEV antibodies. Those studies were done in an area with the same 396 epidemiological situation, sporadic cases in humans and endemic situation in pigs. This fact 397 may have a very important role in HEV transmission, since there are evidences of direct 398 transmission from a cat to its owner (Kuno et al., 2003).

399 Finally, all examined rodents analyzed in this work were negative. Studies made in other 400 countries reported seroprevalences varying from 0 to 90% in different rodent species depending 401 on the country and the species examined (Tien et al., 1997; Kabrane-Lazizi et al., 1999; Favorov 402 et al., 2000; Arankalle et al., 2001; He et al., 2002; Withers et al., 2002). The species analyzed 403 in this work were A.sylvaticus, A. flavicolis, M. musculus, R. norvegicus and M. glaerolus, and 404 few samples of each were tested. Seropositive results had been previously reported in M. 405 musculus (Favorov et al., 2000), A. sylvaticus (Karetnyi et al., 1993) and R. norvegicus (Kabrane-Lazizi et al., 1999; Favorov et al., 2000), but M. glaerolus and A. flavicolis have been 406 407 never analyzed before. So far, the species that have shown to be more prevalent are R. rattus and R. norvergicus, which were not present or present in a little quantity in our sampling. 408 409 Before assuring that the infection is not present in rodents in Spain, a more accurate analysis 410 should be made on species that have proved to be positive in other studies such as species of the 411 genera *Rattus*, preferably caught in rural areas close to pig farms.

412

In conclusion, this study shows the performance of different HEV antigens used for the serological diagnosis in animals and the importance to adopt stringent criteria for the serodiagnosis. The seroprevalence of HEV in domestic ruminants was very low or nil while frequency of antibodies in cats of catteries was high. These results emphasize the need for developing more accurate serological tools for HEV diagnosis in animals as well as the importance of gaining understanding of the role of animals in this infection.

419

420 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the research grant AGL2004/06688 from the Spanish government.
Bibiana Peralta, Eva Pérez-Martín and Maribel Casas have a fellowship from the Generalitat de
Catalunya. Nilsa de Deus has a fellowship from CReSA. The authors are grateful to Drs. R.H.
Purcell and R.E. Engle for providing the Sar55 antigen and for technical advice, Maribel
Gegúndez from Universidad de Alcalá de Henares and from Red EVITAR (Fondo de
Investigaciones Sanitarias, Spanish Ministry of Health, grant no. G03/059) for providing rodent

427	samples and all the staff from DAR (Agricultural and Lifestock Department of the Generalitat
428	de Catalunya for providing ruminant samples. We also thank Dr. A. Bensaid for useful advice.
429	
430	REFERENCES
431	Arankalle, V.A., Paranjape, S., Emerson, S.U., Purcell, R.H., Walimbe, A.M. 1999.
432	Phylogenetic analysis of hepatitis E virus isolates from India (1976-1993). J. Gen.
433	Virol. 80, 1691-1700.
434	Arankalle, V. A., Josh, i M. V., Kulkarni, A. M., Gandhe, S. S., Chobe, L. P., Rautmare, S. S.,
435	Mishra, A. C., Padbidri V. S. 2001. Prevalence of anti-hepatitis E virus antibodies in
436	different Indian animal species. J. Viral. Hepat. 8, 223-227.
437	Arankalle, V.A., Lole, K.S., Deshmukh, T.M., Chobe, L.P., Gandhe, S.S. 2007. Evaluation of
438	human (genotype 1) and swine (genotype 4)-ORF2-based ELISAs for anti-HEV IgM
439	and IgG detection in an endemic country and search for type 4 human HEV infections.
440	J. Viral. Hepat. 6, 435-445.
441	Bradford, M.M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities
442	of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem. 72, 248-254.
443	Buisson, Y., Grandadam, M., Nicand, E., Cheval, P., van Cuyck-Gandre, H., Innis, B., Rehel,
444	P., Coursaget, P., Teyssou, R., Tsarev, S. 2000. Identification of a novel hepatitis E
445	virus in Nigeria. J. Gen. Virol. 81, 903-909.
446	Clemente-Casares, P., Pina, S., Buti, M., Jardi, R., Martín, M., Bofill-Mas, S., Girones, R. 2003.
447	Hepatitis E virus epidemiology in industrialized countries. Em. Infect. Dis. 9, 448-454.
448	de Deus, N., Seminati, C., Pina, S., Mateu, E., Martín, M., Segalés, J. 2007. Detection of
449	hepatitis E virus in liver, mesenteric lymph node, serum, bile and faeces of naturally
450	infected pigs affected by different pathological conditions. Vet. Microbiol. 119, 105-
451	114.
452	de Deus, N., Casas, M., Peralta, B., Nofrarías, M., Pina, S., Martín, M., Segalés, J. 2008.
453	Hepatitis E virus infection dynamics and organic distribution in naturally infected pigs
454	in a farrow-to-finish farm. Vet Microbiol. 132, 19-28.

455	Emerso	n, S.U.,	And	lerson, D., A	Arank	alle, A., Meng, 2	X.J., P	urdy, N	1., Sch	lauder, (G.G., Tsarev,
456		Mayo,	J.	Maniloff,	U.	Desselberger,	and	L.A.	Ball	(eds),	pp851-855.
457		Elsevier	r/Aca	ademic Press	s, Lor	ndon.					

- Engle, R.E., Yu C., Emerson, S.U., Meng, X.J., Purcell, R.H. 2002. Hepatitis E virus (HEV)
 capsid antigens derived from viruses of human and swine origin are equally efficient for
 detecting anti-HEV by enzyme immunoassay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40,4576-4580.
- Favorov, M.O., Kosoy, M.Y., Tsarev, S.A., Childs, J.E., Margolis, H.S. 2000. Prevalence of
 antibody to hepatitis E virus among rodents in the United States. J. Infect. Dis. 181,449463 455.
- Guo, H., Zhou, E.M., Sun, Z.F., Meng, X.J., Halbur, P.G. 2006. Identification of B-cell epitopes
 in the capsid protein of avian hepatitis E virus (avian HEV) that are common to human
 and swine HEVs or unique to avian HEV. J. Gen. Virol. 87, 217-23.
- 467 Hall, T.A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and
 468 analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl. Acids. Symp. Ser. 41, 95-98.
- Haqshenas, G., Shivaprasad, H.L., Woolcock. P.R., Read. D.H., Meng, X.J. 2001. Genetic
 identification and characterization of a novel virus related to human hepatitis E virus
 from chickens with hepatitis-splenomegaly syndrome in the United States. J. Gen.
 Virol. 82, 2449-2462.
- He, J., Innis, B.L., Shrestha, M.P., Clayson, E.T., Scott, R.M., Linthicum, K.J., Musser, G.G.,
 Gigliotti, S.C., Binn, L.N., Kuschner, R.A., Vaughn, D.W. 2002. Evidence that rodents
 are a reservoir of hepatitis E virus for humans in Nepal. J. Clin .Microbiol. 40, 4493476 4498.
- Herremans, M., Vennema, H., Bakker, J., van der Veer, B., Duizer, E., Benne, C.A., Waar, K.,
 Hendrixks, B., Schneeberger, P., Blaauw, G., Kooiman, M., Koopmans, M.P. 2007.
 Swine-like hepatitis E viruses are a cause of unexplained hepatitis in the Netherlands. J.
 Viral. Hepat. 14, 140-146.

18

481	Huang, F.F., Haqshenas, G., Guenette, D.K., Halbur, P.G., Schommer, S.K., Pierson, F.W.,
482	Toth, T.E., Meng, X.J. 2002. Detection by reverse transcription-PCR and genetic
483	characterization of field isolates of swine hepatitis E virus from pigs in different
484	geographic regions of the United States. J Clin Microbiol. 40, 1326-1332.

- Huang, C.C., Nguyen, D., Fernandez, J., Yun, K.Y., Fry, K.E., Bradley, D.W., Tam, A.W.,
 Reyes, G.R. 1992. Molecular cloning and sequencing of the Mexico isolate of hepatitis
 E virus (HEV). Virology 191, 550-558.
- Kabrane-Lazizi, Y., Fine, J.B., Elm, J., Glass, G.E., Higa, H., Diwan, A., Gibbs, C.J. Jr., Meng,
 X.J., Emerson, S.U., Purcell, R.H. 1999. Evidence for widespread infection of wild rats
 with hepatitis E virus in the United States. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 61, 331-335.
- Karetnyi, Y.V., Dzhumalieva, D.I., Usmanov, R.K., Titova, I.P., Litvak, I.I., Balaian, M.S.
 1993. Possible involvement of rodents in the spread of viral hepatitis E. Zh Mikrobiol
 Epidemiol Immunobiol. 4:52-56.
- Kuno, A., Ido, K., Isoda, N., Satoh, Y., Ono, K., Satoh, S., Inamori, H., Sugano, K., Kanai, N.,
 Nishizawa, T., Okamoto, H. 2003. Sporadic acute hepatitis E of a 47-year-old man
 whose pet cat was positive for antibody to hepatitis E virus. Hepatol. Res. 26, 237-242.
- 497 Li, T.C., Takeda, N., Miyamura, T., Matsuura, Y., Wang, J.C., Engvall, H., Hammar, L., Xing,
- L., Cheng, R.H. 2005. Essential elements of the capsid protein for self-assembly into
 empty virus-like particles of hepatitis E virus. J. Virol. 79, 12999-30006.
- Lu, L., Li, C., Hagedorn, C.H. 2006. Phylogenetic analysis of global hepatitis E virus
 sequences: genetic diversity, subtypes and zoonosis. Rev. Med. Virol. 16, 5-36.
- Mast, E.E., Alter, M.J., Holland, P.V., Purcell, R.H. 1998. Evaluation of assays for antibody to
 hepatitis E virus by a serum panel. Hepatology 27, 857-861.
- Medin, J.A., Gathy, K., Coleman, M.S. 1995. Expression of foreign proteins in Trichoplusia ni
 larvae. Methods Mol. Biol. 39, 265-275.
- 506 Meng, X.J., Purcell, R.H., Halbur, P.G., Lehman, J.R., Webb, D.M., Tsareva, T.S., Haynes, J.S.,
- 507 Thacker, B.J., Emerson, S.U. 1997. A novel virus in swine is closely related to the
 508 human hepatitis E virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 94, 9860-9865.

- Meng, X.J., Wiseman, B., Elvinger, F., Guenette, D.K., Toth, T.E., Engle, R.E., Emerson, S.U.,
 Purcell, R.H. 2002. Prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis E virus in veterinarians
 working with swine and in normal blood donors in the United States and other
 countries. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40, 117-122.
- Mochizuki, M., Ouchi, A., Kawakami, K., Ishida, T., Li, T.C., Takeda, N., Ikeda, H.,
 Tsunemitsu, H. 2006. Epidemiological study of hepatitis E virus infection of dogs and
 cats in Japan. Vet. Rec. 159, 853-854 .
- Nakamura, M., Takahashi, K., Taira, K., Taira, M., Ohno, A., Sakugawa, H., Arai, M., Mishiro,
 S. 2006. Hepatitis E virus infection in wild mongooses of Okinawa, Japan:
 Demonstration of anti-HEV antibodies and a full-genome nucleotide sequence. Hepatol.
 Res. 34, 137-140.
- Ning, H., Yu, S., Zhu, Y., Dong, S., Yu, R., Shen, S., Niu, Z., Li, Z. 2008. Genotype 3 hepatitis
 E has been widespread in pig farms of Shanghai suburbs. Vet Microbiol. 126, 257-263.
- 522 Nishizawa, T., Takahashi, M., Mizuo, H., Miyajima, H., Gotanda, Y., Okamoto, H. 2003.
- 523 Characterization of Japanese swine and human hepatitis E virus isolates of genotype IV
 524 with 99 % identity over the entire genome. J. Gen. Virol. 84, 1245-1251.
- Okamoto, H., Takahashi, M., Nishizawa, T., Usui, R., Kobayashi, E. 2004. Presence of
 antibodies to hepatitis e virus in Japanese pet cats. Infection. 32, 57-58.
- Peralta, B., Martín, M., Mateu, E. 2006. Detección de IgG e IgM contra el virus de la hepatitis E
 en la población canina de Barcelona. Laboratorio Veterinaria Avedila. 36, 2-6.
 (Spanish)
- Peralta, B., Mateu, E., Casas ,M., de Deus, N., Martín, M., Pina, S. 2008. Genetic
 characterization of the complete coding regions of genotype 3 hepatitis E virus isolated
 from Spanish swine herds. Vir. Res., in press.
- Pérez-Filgueira, D.M., González-Camacho, F., Gallardo, C., Resino-Talaván, P., Blanco, E.,
 Gómez-Casado, E., Alonso, C., Escribano, J.M. 2006. Optimization and validation of
 recombinant serological tests for African Swine Fever diagnosis based on detection of
 the p30 protein produced in Trichoplusia ni larvae. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44, 3114-3121.

- Peron, J.M., Mansuym J.M., Poirson, H., Bureau, C., Dupuis, E., Alric, L., Izopet, J., Vinel, J.P.
 2006. Hepatitis E is an autochthonous disease in industrialized countries. Gastroenterol.
 Clin. Biol. 30, 757-762.
- Robinson, R.A., Burgess, W.H., Emerson, S.U., Leibowitz, R.S., Sosnovtseva, S.A., Tsarev, S.,
 Purcell, R.H. 1998. Structural characterization of recombinant hepatitis E virus ORF2
 proteins in baculovirus-infected insect cells. Protein Expr. Purif. 12, 75-84.
- Rutjes, S.A., Lodder, W.J., Bouwknegt, M., de Roda Husman, A.M. 2007. Increased hepatitis E
- virus prevalence on Dutch pig farms from 33 to 55% by using appropriate internal
 quality controls for RT-PCR. J. Virol. Methods 143, 112-116.
- Saad, M.D., Hussein, H.A., Bashandy, M.M., Kamel, H.H., Earhart, K.C., Fryauff, D.J.,
 Younan, M., Mohamed, A.H. 2007. Hepatitis E virus infection in work horses in Egypt.
 Infect. Genet. Evol. 7, 368-73.
- Seminati, C., Mateu, E., Peralta, B., de Deus, N., Martin, M. 2008. Distribution of hepatitis E
 virus infection and its prevalence in pigs on commercial farms in Spain. Vet. J. 175,
 130-132.
- Takahashi, K., Kitajima, N., Abe, N., Mishiro, S. 2004. Complete or near-complete nucleotide
 sequences of hepatitis E virus genome recovered from a wild boar, a deer, and four
 patients who ate the deer. Virology. 330, 501-505.
- Tei, S., Kitajima, N., Ohara, S., Inoue, Y., Miki, M., Yamatani, T., Yamab, H., Mishiro, S.,
 Kinoshita, Y. 2004. Consumption of uncooked deer meat as a risk factor for hepatitis E
 virus infection: an age- and sex-matched case-control study. J. Med.Virol. 74, 67-70.
- Tien, N.T., Clayson, H.T., Khiem, H.B., Sac, P.K., Corwin, A.L., Myint, K.S., Vaughn, D.W.
 1997. Detection of immunoglobulin G to the hepatitis E virus among several animal
 species in Vietnam, Am. Trop. Med. Hyg. 57: 211 (abstract).
- Van der Poel, W.H., Verschoor, F., van der Heide, R., Herrera, M.I., Vivo, A., Kooreman, M.,
 de Roda Husman, A.M. 2001. Hepatitis E virus sequences in swine related to sequences
 in humans, The Netherlands. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7, 970-976.

Vitral, C., Pinto, M. A., Lewis-Ximenez, L. L., Khudyakov, Y. E., Dos Santos, D. R., Gaspar,
A. M. C. 2005. Serological evidence of hepatitis E virus infection in different animal
species from the Southeast of Brazil. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz. 100, 117-122.

Wang, Y.C., Zhang, H.Y., Xia, N.S., Peng, G., Lan, H.Y., Zhuang, H., Zhu, Y.H., Li, S.W.,
Tian, K.G., Gu, W.J., Lin, J.X., Wu, X., Li, H.M., Harrison, T.J. 2002. Prevalence,
isolation, and partial sequence analysis of hepatitis E virus from domestic animals in

- 570 China. J. Med. Virol. 67, 516-521.
- Wibawa, I.D., Suryadarma, I.G., Tsuda, F., Matsumoto, Y., Ninomiya, M., Takahashi, M.,
 Okamoto, H. 2007. Identification of genotype 4 hepatitis E virus strains from a patient
 with acute hepatitis E and farm pigs in Bali, Indonesia. J. Med. Virol. 79, 1138-1146.
- Withers, M.R., Correa, M.T., Morrow, M., Stebbins, M.E., Seriwatana, J., Webster, W.D., Boak
 M.B., Vaughn, D.W. 2002. Antibody levels to hepatitis E virus in North Carolina swine
 workers, non-swine workers, swine, and murids. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 66, 384-388.
- 577 Zhang, W., Shen, Q., Mou, J., Gong, G., Yang, Z., Cui, L., Zhu, J., Ju, G., Hua, X. 2008.

578 Hepatitis E Virus Infection among Domestic Animals in Eastern China. Zoonoses

- 579 Public Health. 55, 291-298.
- 580
- 581
- 582

583 Figure legends

584 Figure 1. Anti-HEV antibodies titre in inoculated animals measured by indirect ELISA.

585

- 586 Figure 2. Dot Blot analysis of ELISA positive samples. Lines 1 to 7 belong to cat samples, lines
- 587 8 to 14 belong to goat samples and lines 15 to 20 are sheep samples. C-, negative control sera;
- 588 C+, positive control sera. a) blank, b) denatured Sar55 protein, c) native Sar55 protein, d)
- denatured $ORF2H^{6+}$, e) native $ORF2H^{6+}$. Only samples positives to $ORF2H^{6+}$ and at least one of
- the two conditions of the Sar55 protein were considered to be positive.
- 591

Figure 1





Table 1

Characteristics of the inoculations for the immunization of the different animal species in order to obtain hyper-immune sera

Specie	Num. of	Time between	Dosage per	Inoculation
	inoculations	inoculations	animal/adjuvant	way
Mouse	3	2 weeks	35 μg/FA ^a	Intraperitoneal
Goat	2	3 weeks	500 µg/FA	Subcutaneous
Sheep	2	3 weeks	500 µg/FA	Subcutaneous
Cow	2	3 weeks	750 µg/FA	Subcutaneous

^a Freund adjuvant, complete Freund adjuvant was used for the first inoculation and incomplete Freund adjuvant was used for the second and third immunizations.

Table 2

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIP

Table 2 IgG anti-hepatitis E virus positivity rates in serum from different animal species using ELISA and Dot Blot confirmation

		ELISA	results		Dot Blo	Final results			
		ORF2H ⁶⁺		ORF2H ⁶⁺	ORF2H ⁶⁺		Sar55		
Species		positives/tested	% (CI95%)	positives/tested	% (CI95%)	positives/tested	% (CI95%)	positives/tested	% (CI95%)
Pigs	individuals herds	180/252 9/9	71.4 (65.4-75.8) 100	NT ^a NT	NT NT	NT NT	NT NT	180/252 9/9	71.4 (65.4-75.8) 100
Sheeps	individuals herds	36/1357 27/89	26 (19-3.7) 30.3 (21.3-41.1)	32/1357 26/89	2.4 (1.6-3.4) 29.2 (20.3-39.9)	26/1357 22/89	1.9 (1.3-2.8) 24.7 (16.5-32.2)	26/1357 22/89	1.9 (1.3-2.8) 24.7 (16.5-32.2)
Goats	individuals herds	18/1143 16/76	1.6 (1-2.5) 21.1 (12.9-32.2)	16/1143 15/76	1.4 (0.8-2.3) 19.7 (11.8-30.8)	7/1143 7/76	0.6 (0.3-1.3) 9.2 (4.1-18.6)	7/1143 7/76	0.6 (0.3-1.3) 9.2 (4.1- 18.6)
Cats	individuals shelters	20/54 5/7	37 (24.6-51.3) 71.4 (30.3-94.9)	17/54 5/7	31.5 (19.9-45.7) 71.4 (30.3-94.9)	6/54 3/7	11.1 (4.6-23.3) 42.9 (11.8-79.7)	6/54 3/7	11.1 (4.6-23.3) 42.9 (11.8-79.7)
Cows	individuals	0/1170	0	NT	NT	NT	NT	0/1170	0
Rodents	individuals locations	0/166 0/4		NT NT NT	NT NT	NT NT	NT NT	0/77 0/166 0/4	0 0 0
^a NT=not	tested		CC						