

Aspects of the Coordination Chemistry of 1,1'-Di(benzothiazol-2-ylthio)ferrocene

Ulrich Siemeling, Alexander Girod, Dag Rother, Clemens Bruhn

▶ To cite this version:

Ulrich Siemeling, Alexander Girod, Dag Rother, Clemens Bruhn. Aspects of the Coordination Chemistry of 1,1'-Di(benzothiazol-2-ylthio)ferrocene. Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry / Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 2009, 635 (9-10), pp.1402. 10.1002/zaac.200900084. hal-00479611

HAL Id: hal-00479611 https://hal.science/hal-00479611

Submitted on 1 May 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. ZAAC



Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie

Aspects of the Coordination Chemistry of 1,1'-Di(benzothiazol-2-ylthio)ferrocene

Journal:	Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie		
Manuscript ID:	zaac.200900084		
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Article		
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Feb-2009		
Complete List of Authors:	Siemeling, Ulrich; Universität Kassel, Institut für Chemie Girod, Alexander; Universität Kassel, Institut für Chemie Rother, Dag; Universität Kassel, Institut für Chemie Bruhn, Clemens; Universität Kassel, Institut für Chemie		
Keywords:	Coordination polymers, Copper		



Aspects of the Coordination Chemistry of 1,1'-Di(benzothiazol-2-ylthio)ferrocene

Ulrich Siemeling*, Alexander Girod, Dag Rother, and Clemens Bruhn

Kassel, Institut für Chemie der Universität

Received

Abstract. 1,1'-Di(benzothiazol-2-ylthio)ferrocene (1) acts as a bridging bidentate N,Nligand and affords coordination polymers with a range of Cu^I, Ag^I, Cu^{II}, Zn^{II} and Hg^{II} salts. The crystal structures of $[Ag(CF_3SO_3)(\mu-1)]_n$, $[CuCl_2(\mu-1)]_n$, $[ZnCl_2(\mu-1)]_n$ and $[HgBr_2(\mu-1)]_n$ have been determined. The silver atom exhibits a weak contact to a cyclopentadienyl π -system in addition to its trigonal-planar coordination. The copper atom is in a squareplanar coordination environment, while the coordination of zinc and mercury is best described as distorted tetrahedral in the corresponding complexes. **Keywords:** Coordination polymers; Copper; Mercury; Silver; Zinc

* Prof. Dr. U. Siemeling Universität Kassel Institut für Chemie

D-34109 Kassel

e-mail: siemeling@uni-kassel.de

Introduction

We recently reported the synthesis and crystal structure of 1,1'-di(benzothiazol-2ylthio)ferrocene (1) [1]. This compound is a symmetrically disubstituted thioether derivative of ferrocene with pendant heterocyclic groups. It contains six potentially ligating heteroatoms, viz. two `hard' nitrogen and four `soft' sulfur atoms, two of which are directly connected to the ferrocene nucleus. Chelate complexes of 1,1'-dithioetherfunctionalised ferrocene derivatives which contain additional sulfur or nitrogen donor atoms in the periphery have been described for a wide range of `soft´ mono- and divalent metal centres, viz. Rh^I, Cu^I, Ag^I, Au^I, Pd^{II}, Pt^{II}, Cu^{II} and Hg^{II} [2]. Benzothiazole coordinates almost exclusively through its nitrogen atom. Reports concerning Scoordination are scarce [3], and no structurally characterised example is known. We have been interested in studying the binding profile of 1 towards a range of transition metal ions in order to probe the suitability of **1** as a molecular electrochemical sensor with potential selectivity for `soft' metal ions. If in our case coordination occurs through the two thioether-type sulfur atoms, which are prone to bind preferentially to `soft' metal centres, the effect on the redox potential of the ferrocene moiety is expected to be much larger than in the case of exclusive coordination through the nitrogen atoms of the pendant substituents. We note that one of the most efficient molecular sensors with pronounced Hg^{II} selectivity contains two thioether units for metal coordination [4].

Results and Discussion

Ligand **1** was allowed to react in dichloromethane solution in a 1:1 ratio with $CuCl_2$, ZnCl₂, HgCl₂, HgBr₂ and also with [Cu(CH₃CN)₄][PF₆], Ag(CF₃SO₃) and AuCl. The

ZAAC

reactions cleanly afforded coordination compounds in all cases but two. Coordination was affected by redox processes in the case of AuCl, where formation of a gold mirror was observed, and $HgCl_2$, where an intractable mixture was obtained whose dark colour indicated generation of elemental mercury. The metal complexes obtained turned out to be essentially insoluble in dichloromethane and other common organic solvents, suggesting that coordination polymers, instead of mononuclear chelates, had formed. Unfortunately, this behaviour prevents the use of **1** as a molecular electrochemical sensor in solution.

Structural characterisation by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis was possible for [CuCl₂(1)] (Fig. 1), [ZnCl₂(1)] (Fig. 2), [HgBr₂(1)] (Fig. 3), and [Ag(CF₃SO₃)(1)] (Fig. 4). Suitable crystals were obtained by a diffusion technique, which involved layering of a solution of **1** with pure solvent and subsequently with a solution of the corresponding metal salt. All four complexes are coordination polymers. **1** exclusively acts as a bridging bidentate N,N-ligand. S-coordination is not observed. Possibly, thioether S-coordination occurs in the case of AuCl and HgCl₂, but triggers intramolecular redox processes between the ferrocene nucleus and the metal centre coordinated in very close proximity. Uncoordinated **1** exhibits eclipsed cyclopentadienyl rings [1], while a staggered conformation with diametrically opposed substituents is observed for all four crystallographically characterised complexes. Metal coordination has no pronounced effect on intraligand bond lengths and angles.



Fig.1 Short section of a polymeric chain of [CuCl₂(μ-1)]_n in the crystal. Thermal displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths/Å and angles/°: Cu(1)–Cl(1) 2.2423(16), Cu(1)–N(1) 2.012(4), N(1)–C(6) 1.318(6), N(1)–C(7) 1.408(5), S(1)–C(1) 1.767(5), S(1)–C(6) 1.744(5), S(2)–C(6) 1.750(5), S(2)–C(12) 1.742(5); Cl(1)–Cu(1)–N(1) 91.12(12).

The Cu atom of $[CuCl_2(\mu-1)]_n$ is in a square-planar coordination environment. The ligands are in a *trans* arrangement. Bond lengths and angles are very similar to those reported for the closely related *trans*-dichlorobis(3,5-dimethylthiazole)copper(II) [5] and *trans*-dichlorodi(2-aminobenzothiazole)copper(II) [6], while the dichlorocopper(II) complex of (bzt-2)₂-*o*-C₆H₄ (bzt-2 = benzothiazol-2-yl) is a *cis*-chelate which exhibits a notably distorted square-planar coordination [7]. We note that Cu^{II} complexes which contain imidazole or related heterocyclic N-ligands together with additional thioether ligands are currently attracting increased attention due to their relevance to the catalytic centre of peptidylglycine α -hydroxylating monooxygenase (PHMcc) and related oxygenases with an essentially mononuclear catalytic core [8].



Fig. 2 Short section of a polymeric chain of [ZnCl₂(μ-1)]_n in the crystal. Thermal displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths/Å and angles/°: Zn(1)–Cl(1) 2.2343(13), Zn(1)–N(1) 2.106(3), N(1)–C(6) 1.318(6), N(1)–C(12) 1.414(5), S(1)–C(1) 1.771(4), S(1)–C(6) 1.755(4); N(1)–Zn(1)–Cl(1) 116.62(10), N(1)–Zn(1)–Cl(1') 103.72(11).

The Zn atom of $[ZnCl_2(\mu-1)]_n$ is in a distorted tetrahedral coordination environment. The N–Zn–N and Cl–Zn–Cl angles are 101.8(2)° and 114.19(7)°, respectively. The corresponding angles are ca. 103.3° and 116.8° for the closely related $[ZnCl_2(bzt-7-NH_2)]$ (bzt-7 = benzothiazol-7-yl) [9] and ca. 116.3° and 112.5° for the bulkier thio-functionalised $[ZnCl_2\{bzt-2-N=C(SMe)_2\}]$ [10].



Fig. 3 Short section of a polymeric chain of [HgBr₂(μ-1)]_n in the crystal. Thermal displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths/Å and angles/°: Hg(1)–N(1) 2.409(5), Hg(1)–Br(1) 2.4973(8), N(1)–C(6) 1.301(8), N(1)–C(12) 1.427(7), S(1)–C(1) 1.769(6), S(1)–C(6) 1.762(7); N(1)–Hg(1)–Br(1) 98.84(13), N(1)–Hg(1)–Br(1') 116.09(12).

The Hg atom of $[HgBr_2(\mu-1)]_n$ is in a very distorted tetrahedral coordination environment. The N–Hg–N and Br–Hg–Br angles are 90.1(2)° and 130.32(4)°, respectively, which is similar to the corresponding values of ca. 89.9° and 127.4° reported for the closely related $[HgI_2(bzt-2-CH=CHPh)]$ [11].



Fig. 4 Short section of a polymeric chain of [Ag(CF₃SO₃)(μ-1)]_n in the crystal. Thermal displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. O and F atoms are shown as circles of arbitrary size for clarity. Selected bond lengths/Å: Ag(1)–N(1) 2.215(7), Ag(1)–N(2') 2.177(8), Ag(1)–O(1) 2.354(8), N(1)–C(6) 1.325(9), N(1)–C(12) 1.427(10), S(1)–C(1) 1.768(8), S(1)–C(6) 1.757(8).

The Ag atom of $[Ag(CF_3SO_3)(\mu-1)]_n$ is surrounded by two benzothiazole nitrogen atoms and one triflate oxygen atom in a distorted trigonal-planar arrangement. The N–Ag–N angle has a value of 135.5(3)°, while the two N–Ag–O angles are 117.7(4)° and 96.3(4)°. The sum of angles is ca. 349.5°, which deviates considerably from the ideal value of 360° and is indicative of a weak additional interaction. Indeed, the Ag atom forms a contact with one of the cyclopentadienyl ring. It is positioned almost perpendicularly with respect to one of the edges of this ring. The corresponding Ag–C distances of ca. 2.88 and 2.94 Å (indicated by dotted lines in Fig. 4) are well below the sum of the estimated *van der Waals* radii of ca. 3.4 Å. A similar interaction between a ferrocene-type π -system and an Ag^I centre has been reported by Laguna and coworkers for $[Ag{fc(S_2CNEt_2)_2}]_n[CIO_4]_n$ (fc

ZAAC

= 1,1'-ferrocenediyl), where the corresponding Ag–C distances are close to 3.0 Å [12]. Coordinative interactions between Ag^I and aromatic π -systems have been firmly established [13] ever since the seminal crystal structure determination of the benzene complex [Ag(μ - η^2 : η^2 -C₆H₆)]_n[ClO₄]_n [14].

Crystals of the product obtained from the reaction of **1** with Cu[PF₆] proved to be unsuitable for a single-crystal X-ray structure analysis. The insolubility of this compound clearly indicates the polymeric nature of this complex, which therefore can be formulated as $[Cu(\mu-1)]_n[PF_6]_n$. However, details of the Cu^I coordination environment remain unclear.

Experimental Part

1,1'-Di(benzothiazol-2-ylthio)ferrocene (1) was prepared as described previously [1]. All other materials were procured from standard commercial sources and used as received. Elemental analyses were performed by the microanalytical laboratories of the University of Kassel and the University of Halle.

General procedure for the preparation of the metal complexes

In the case of $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4][PF_6]$ and $Ag(CF_3SO_3)$ as starting material a solution of the metal salt (0.10 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was added rapidly to a stirred solution of **1** (52 mg, 0.10 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL). In the case CuCl₂, ZnCl₂ and HgBr₂ as starting material a solution of the metal salt in acetone (5 mL) was used. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature in the dark for 14 h. The precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed with dichloromethane (2 × 0.5 mL) and dried in vacuo.

[**Cu**(**μ-1**)]_{*n*}[**PF**₆]_{*n*}: yellow, microcrystalline solid (64 mg, 88%). Elemental analysis: C₂₄H₁₆N₂S₄CuF₆FeP (725.02): C 38.81 (calcd. 39.76), H 2.55 (2.24), N 3.83 (3.86), S 17.11 (17.69).

[**Ag**(**CF**₃**SO**₃)(μ-1)]_{*n*}: yellow, microcrystalline solid (66 mg, 85%). Elemental analysis: C₂₅H₁₆N₂S₅AgF₃FeO₃ (773.42): C 38.70 (calcd. 38.82), H 2.54 (2.09), N 3.77 (3.62), S 17.01 (16.58).

[**CuCl₂(μ-1)**]_{*n*}: brown, microcrystalline solid (54 mg, 83%). Elemental analysis: C₂₄H₁₆N₂S₄Cl₂CuFe (650.97): C 43.56 (calcd. 44.28), H 2.54 (2.48), N 4.24 (4.30), S 18.85 (19.70).

[ZnCl₂(μ-1)]_n: yellow, microcrystalline solid (56 mg, 86%). Elemental analysis: C₂₄H₁₆N₂S₄Cl₂FeZn (652.75): C 43.65 (calcd. 44.16), H 2.94 (2.47), N 4.30 (4.29), S 19.35 (19.65).

[**HgBr**₂(μ-1)]_{*n*}: dark yellow, microcrystalline solid (74 mg, 84%). Elemental analysis: C₂₄H₁₆N₂S₄Br₂FeHg (876.89): C 33.44 (calcd. 32.87), H 2.35 (1.84), N 3.52 (3.19), S 14.66 (14.63).

X-ray crystallography

Single crystals were obtained by a diffusion technique which involved layering of a dichloromethane solution of **1** with pure dichloromethane and subsequently with a solution

ZAAC

of the corresponding metal salt in acetone or dichloromethane. For each data collection a single crystal was mounted on a glass fibre and all geometric and intensity data were taken from this sample. Data collection using MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) was made on a Stoe IPDS2 diffractometer equipped with a 2-circle goniometer and an area detector. Absorption correction was done by integration using X-red [15]. The data sets were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS97) and refined using alternating cycles of least squares refinements against F^2 (SHELXL97) [16]. All non H atoms were found in difference Fourier maps and were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, except C(25) in the silver complex [Ag(CF₃SO₃)(µ-1)] which was refined isotropically. H atoms were placed in constrained positions according to the riding model with the 1.2 fold isotropic displacement parameters. Pertinent crystallographic data are collected in Table 1. Graphical representations were made using ORTEP-3 win [17].

Supplementary Material. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplemental publication CCDC-713654 ([CuCl₂(1)]·CH₂Cl₂), CCDC-713655 ([ZnCl₂(1)]), CCDC-713656 ([HgBr₂(1)]) and CCDC-713657·([Ag(CF₃SO₃)(1)]). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1 EZ, UK (Fax: int.code+(1223)336-033; e-mail for enquiry: <u>fileserv@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u>).

Wiley-VCH

Compound	$[CuCl_2(1)]{\cdot}CH_2Cl_2$	$[ZnCl_2(1)]$	$[HgBr_2(1)]$	$[Ag(CF_3SO_3)(1)]$
Empirical formula	C25H18Cl4CuFeN2S4	C24H16Cl2FeN2S4Zn	C24H16Br2FeHgN2S4	C25H16AgF3FeN2O3S5
Formula weight	735.89	652.75	876.89	773.42
Temperature / K	153(2)	153(2)	173(2)	213(2)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	C2/c	C2/c	C2/c	P-1
Lattice parameters	a = 24.871(9)	a = 14.271(2)	a = 15.4795(15)	a = 8.9260(18)
length / Å	b = 9.205(5)	b = 12.9492(14)	b = 12.3068(12)	b = 10.208(2)
-	c = 16.612(4)	c = 14.910(2)	c = 15.3354(15)	c = 15.643(3)
angle / °	$\beta = 130.469(17)$	$\beta = 116.271(11)$	$\beta = 118.252(7)$	$\alpha = 100.485(15)$
				$\beta = 98.867(15)$
				$\gamma = 95.070(16)$
Cell volume / $Å^3$	2893(2)	2470.9(6)	2573.4(5)	1374.9(5)
Z	4	4	4	2
$d_{\rm calc} / {\rm g cm}^{-3}$	1.689	1.755	2.263	1.868
μ/mm^{-1}	1.915	2.130	9.970	1.673
F(000)	1476	1312	1656	768
θ range / °	2.15 to 25.0	2.24 to 25.0	2.23 to 25.18	1.34 to 25.0
Index ranges	$-29 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 29$	$-16 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 16$	$-18 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 18$	$-10 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 10$
	$-10 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 10$	$-11 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 15$	$-14 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 14$	$-12 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 12$
	$-10 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 10$	$-17 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 17$	$-18 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 18$	$-18 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 17$
Refls collected	9083	6474	8238	8998
Independent refls.	$2542 [R_{int} = 0.0915]$	$2177 [R_{int} = 0.0874]$	$2250 [R_{int} = 0.0695]$	$4568 [R_{int} = 0.0879]$
Refls. observed	1348	1627	1934	1686
$T_{\rm min} / T_{\rm max}$	0.7899 and 0.9090	0.7866 and 0.8586	0.2135 and 0.2977	0.8340 and 0.9682
Data / restraints / parameters	2542/0/171	2177/0/156	2250/0/156	4568 / 3 / 359
GOF on F^2	0.723	0.943	1.035	0.647
Final R indices	R1 = 0.0391	R1 = 0.0434	R1 = 0.0328	R1 = 0.0501
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	wR2 = 0.0657	wR2 = 0.1074	wR2 = 0.0767	wR2 = 0.0820
R indices	R1 = 0.0391	R1 = 0.0594	R1 = 0.0393	R1 = 0.1395
(all data)	wR2 = 0.0961	wR2 = 0.1134	wR2 = 0.0787	wR2 = 0.0951
Largest diff. peak and hole / $e Å^{-3}$	0.356 and -0.458	0.555 and -0.649	0.731 and -1.454	1.643 and -0.553

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1} \ Crystal \ data \ and \ structure \ refinement \ for \ the \ coordination \ polymers \ [CuCl_2(1)], \ [ZnCl_2(1)], \ [HgBr_2(1)] \ and \ [Ag(CF_3SO_3)(1)] \end{array}$

References

[1] T. Weidner, N. Ballav, M. Zharnikov, A. Priebe, N. J. Long, J. Maurer, R. Winter, A. Rothenberger, D. Fenske, D. Rother, C. Bruhn, H. Fink, U. Siemeling, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 4346.

[2] Reviews: a) U. Siemeling in Ferrocenes: Ligands, Materials and Biomolecules, P.

Štěpnička (ed.), Wiley, Chichester, **2008**, chapter 4; b) U. Siemeling, T.-C. Auch, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2005**, *34*, 584.

[3] a) S. Karahan, P. Kose, E. Subasi, H. Alp, H. Temel, Transition Met. Chem. 2008, 33,

849; b) N. Karaböcek, S. Karaböcek, H. Mazlum, İ. Değirmencioğlu, K. Serbest, Turk. J.

Chem. 2004, 28, 87; c) E. J. Duff, M. N. Hughes, K. J. Rutt, J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 2354.

[4] E. M. Nolan, S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5910.

[5] D. P. Gavel, D. J. Hodgson, Acta Crystallogr. B 1979, 35, 2704.

[6] W. Maniukiewicz, Acta Crystallogr. E 2004, 60, m340.

[7] a) J. C. T. Rendell, L. K. Thompson, *Can. J. Chem.* 1979, *57*, 1; R. G. Ball, J. Trotter, *Can. J. Chem.* 1979, *57*, 1368.

[8] a) Review: Y. Lee, D. Karlin in *Concepts and Models in Bioinorganic Chemistry*, H.-B. Kraatz, N. Metzler-Nolte (eds), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006, chapter 16; selected recent papers concerning PHM model systems: b) L. Zhou, K. M. Nicholas, *Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 47, 4356; c) Y. Lee, D.-H. Lee, A. A. Narducci-Sarjeant, L. N. Zakharov, A. L. Rheingold, K. D. Karlin, *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 10098.

[9] Z. Popovic, G. Pavlovic, Z. Soldin, V. Tralic-Kulenovic, L. Racané, *Acta Crystallogr*.*C* 2003, *59*, m4.

[10] F. Téllez, A. Flores-Parra, N. Barba-Behrens, S. Contreras, *Polyhedron* 2004, 23, 2481.

[11] L. P. Battaglia, A. Bonamartini Corradi, M. R.Cramarossa, I. M.Vezzosi, J. G.Giusti, *Polyhedron* **1993**, *12*, 2235.

[12] O. Crespo, M. C. Gimeno, P. G. Jones, A. Laguna, C. Sarroca, J. Chem. Soc., Chem.Commun. 1998, 1481.

[13] For a recent review, see: M. Munakata, L. P. Wu, G. L. Ning, *Coord. Chem. Rev.***2000**, *198*, 171.

[14] R. E. Rundle, J. H. Goring, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 5337.

[15] Stoe & Cie, *X-red ver. 1.06, Program for numerical absorption correction*, Darmstadt, 2004.

[16] G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXS 97 and SHELXL 97, Programs for crystal structure solution and refinement*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

[17] L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 837.