

## Impact of selenite and selenate on differentially expressed genes in rat liver examined by microarray analysis

Astrid C Bosse, Josef Pallauf, Bettina Hommel, Mariana Sturm, Nicole M Wolf, Susanne Fischer, Andreas S Mueller

## ▶ To cite this version:

Astrid C Bosse, Josef Pallauf, Bettina Hommel, Mariana Sturm, Nicole M Wolf, et al.. Impact of selenite and selenate on differentially expressed genes in rat liver examined by microarray analysis. Bioscience Reports, 2010, 30 (5), pp.293-306. 10.1042/BSR20090089 . hal-00479318

## HAL Id: hal-00479318 https://hal.science/hal-00479318

Submitted on 30 Apr 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1	Impact of selenite and selenate on differentially expressed genes in rat liver examined
2	by microarray analysis
3	
4	Astrid C. BOSSE <sup>1#)</sup> , Josef PALLAUF <sup>1#)</sup> , Bettina HOMMEL <sup>2#)</sup> , Mariana STURM <sup>2#)</sup> , Susanne
5	FISCHER <sup>2#)</sup> , Nicole M. WOLF <sup>2#)</sup> and Andreas S. MUELLER <sup>2#)</sup> *
6	
7	1) Interdisciplinary Research Centre
8	Institute of Animal Nutrition and Nutritional Physiology
9	Justus Liebig University Giessen, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26 – 32,
10	D-35392 Giessen (Germany)
11	
12	2) Institute of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences
13	Preventive Nutrition Group
14	Martin Luther University Halle Wittenberg, Von-Danckelmann-Platz 2
15	D-06120 Halle/Saale (Germany)
16	
17	
18	Short (page heading) title: Selenium and differential gene expression
19	
20	
21	
22	*Corresponding author:
23	Andreas S. Mueller
24	Institute of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences
25	Preventive Nutrition Group
26	Martin Luther University Halle Wittenberg, Von-Danckelmann-Platz 2
27	D-06120 Halle/Saale (Germany
28	Phone: 0345 - 5522724
29	Email: andreas.mueller@landw.uni-halle.de
30	
31	
32	<sup>#</sup> All authors declare that they have approved the manuscript, and that they have no conflicts
33	of interest.
34	
35	



#### 36 SYNOPSIS

Sodium selenite and sodium selenate are approved inorganic Se compounds in human and animal nutrition serving as precursors for selenoprotein synthesis. In recent years numerous additional biological effects over and above their functions in selenoproteins have been reported. For greater insight into these effects our study examined the influence of selenite and selenate on the differential expression of genes encoding non-selenoproteins in the rat liver using microarray technology. 5 groups of 9 growing male rats were fed a Se deficient diet or diets supplemented with 0.20 or 1.0 mg Se/kg as sodium selenite or sodium selenate for 8 weeks. Genes which were more than 2.5-fold up- or down-regulated by selenite or selenate compared to Se deficiency were selected. Glutathione peroxidase 1 was up-regulated 5.5-fold by both Se compounds, whereas glutathione peroxidase 4 was upregulated only 1.4-fold. Selenite and selenate down-regulated three phase II enzymes. Despite the regulation of many other genes in an analogous manner, frequently only selenate changed the expression of these genes significantly. In particular, genes involved in the regulation of the cell cycle, apoptosis, intermediary metabolism, and those involved in Se deficiency disorders were more strongly influenced by selenate. The comparison of selenite-and selenate-regulated genes revealed that selenate may have additional functions in the protection of the liver, and that it may be more active in metabolic regulation. In our opinion the more pronounced influence of selenate compared to selenite on differential gene expression results from fundamental differences in the metabolism of these two Se compounds. 

Keywords: Selenium, selenite, selenate, differential gene expression, microarray rat



#### 81 INTRODUCTION

82 Since the discovery of the essentiality of selenium (Se) five decades ago, this element has 83 been increasingly associated with many biological processes [1]. In contrast to other metal 84 trace elements which interact with proteins as cofactors, the physiological functions of Se 85 derive mainly from the incorporation of Se into the active sites of functional selenoproteins 86 via the cotranslational synthesis of selenocysteine [1]. To date the existence of not less than 87 33 functional selenoproteins with at least one selenocysteine residue has been reported. The best investigated characteristic of Se is its antioxidant role. Six different glutathione 88 89 peroxidases (GPx1 – GPx6) and two thioredoxin reductases (TrxR 1 and TrxR2) contribute 90 largely to the maintenance of cellular antioxidant defense. The involvement in thyroid 91 metabolism through iodothyronin deiodinase activity represents a further important Se 92 dependent target [2]. Under conditions of low Se availability, these selenoproteins selectively 93 are down-regulated. Whereas GPx1, exhibiting a high and ubiguitous expression and activity 94 in mammalian tissues, and plasma glutathione peroxidase (GPx3) undergo a rapid and 95 peroxidase severe down-regulation, gastrointestinal glutathione (GPx2) and 96 phospholipidhydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase (GPx4) are far more conserved [3, 4]. 97 Depending on the animal species, a long term Se deficiency causes liver necrosis and 98 myopathies [5-8]. Besides the mechanisms of functional selenoproteins, in recent years 99 additional information on Se biochemistry has been obtained. The down-regulation of phase 100 II detoxification enzymes such as glutathione-S-transferases and aflatoxin b1 aldehyde 101 reductase (Afar) due to adequate Se supply impressively shows the counter regulation of 102 cellular stress response [9, 10]. Anti-carcinogenic effects of supranutritive Se dosages, 103 investigated in animal trials and tissue culture experiments, may result from the initiation of 104 proapoptotic mechanisms by the generation of monomethylselenol and high concentrations 105 of reactive oxygen species [11], or from the response of phosphorylation- and signal 106 transduction mechanisms [12]. Other hypotheses suggest the reversion of the 107 hypermethylated DNA of tumour suppressor genes [13] or the reduction of prostaglandin E2 108 and cyclooxygenase 2 via GPx2 activity as being the underlying mechanisms for the 109 protective effect of Se against colon cancer [14]. However, the results of large placebo 110 controlled human intervention trials did not support uniquely beneficial effects of 111 supranutritional Se supplementation in the prevention of several cancers [15, 16]. The 112 influence of Se supplementation and selenoprotein activities on obesity, insulin resistance, 113 diabetes and hyperlipidaemia has been the subject of recent controversial discussion. 114 Whereas only very high supranutritive selenate doses have antidiabetic effects [17], a high 115 activity of GPx1 as well as a high Se status derived from the permanent intake of Se 116 supplements have lately been reported as being one possible cause of the accelerated 117 development of obesity, insulin resistance, diabetes and hyperlipidaemia [18-22]. These 118 important results were the outcome of the above mentioned cancer intervention trials and of 119 large cross sectional studies [15, 19-21]. Optimum activities of all functional selenoproteins 120 can be obtained by a daily Se uptake of 30-70 µg in humans and by a concentration of 0.15-121 0.30 mg Se/kg diet in animals. The inorganic Se compounds sodium selenite (+IV) and 122 sodium selenate (+VI) are approved Se additives both in human mineral supplements and in 123 animal feed. Both selenite and selenate have a high absorption rate from the small intestine 124 [23], but they exhibit some fundamental differences in their absorption mechanism as well as 125 in their intermediary metabolism. There is no doubt that selenite and selenate represent 126 valuable inorganic Se compounds to ensure adequate selenoprotein synthesis [24, 25]. 127 Nevertheless, information is lacking whether and in what way selenite and selenate influence 128 the expression of genes beyond functional selenoproteins. In this context the present study 129 using microarray analysis (MA) as the analytical tool had the following aims:

- Data mining of genes regulated differentially by selenite or selenate supplementation
   versus a control group with Se deficiency.
- Exploring genes responding in particular to selenite or selenate supplementation by
   direct comparison of the selenite and selenate expression profile.
- 134



A nutrition trial with healthy growing rats served as the basis for the examination of
 differential gene expression by selenite and selenate.

#### 138 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 139 Rats and diet preparation

140 45 weanling albino rats (HK 51, strain of the Institute of Animal Nutrition and Nutritional 141 Physiology) were randomly assigned to 5 groups of 9. The average initial body weight (62.8 142 ± 4.0 g) did not vary among groups. The rats were fed a Torula yeast based diet as 143 described earlier [30] for 8 weeks. The diet was composed according to the current 144 recommendations of the National Research Council (NRC) [26] with the exception of Se. The 145 analyzed Se concentration of the basal diet (group 0) was below the detection limit (<0.03 146 mg Se/kg diet). Groups I and II were fed diets adequate in Se (0.20 mg Se/kg diet) either 147 supplemented with sodium selenite (group I) or sodium selenate (group II). The diets of 148 groups III and IV were supplemented with sodium selenite or sodium selenate to a 149 supranutritive Se concentration of 1.0 mg Se/kg diet. The rats were kept individually in plastic 150 cages with wood shavings as bedding under standard laboratory conditions (22°C, 55% 151 humidity, 12h light : dark cycle) and had free access to the diet and deionized water. The 152 protocol of the animal experiment was approved by the Regional Council of Giessen and by 153 the animal welfare committee of Giessen University. At the end of the trial, the rats were 154 anaesthetized in a carbon dioxide atmosphere and subsequently decapitated. The liver was 155 removed, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until analysis. 156

#### 157 Se analysis in diets and liver

Se concentration in the diets and the livers was determined by hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS, Unicam PU 9400 X; PU 3960 X) as reported previously [27]. Certified samples from the National Institute of Standard and Technology (soft winter wheat flour, NIST No. 8438 and bovine liver, NIST No. 1577 b) served as reference material for Se determination in the different matrices.

#### RNA extraction and microarray analysis

165 The analysis of differentially expressed genes was performed using "10k rat oligoarrays" 166 from MWG (Ebersberg, Germany). On one single MA slide, short nucleotide sequences of 167 9,715 different rat genes are spotted in duplicate, which allows the co-hybridisation of RNA 168 samples from 2 experimental groups on one MA slide. RNA was extracted from the liver of 5 169 rats per group by the phenol chloroform guanidine thiocyanate extraction protocol [28], 170 further purified by a DNAse I digest and pooled. Direct Cy3 or Cy5 labeling of single stranded 171 DNA by synthesis from 100 ug total RNA, MA hybridization- and scanning, background 172 correction, and data analysis up to comparable expression values were performed by MWG-173 BIOTECH AG, Ebersberg, Germany (http://www.mwg-biotech.com/). The samples were 174 assigned to the 6 microarrays as follows:

Microarray 1: Cy3 (group 0), Cy5 (group I); Microarray 2: Cy3 (group 0), Cy5 (group II);
Microarray 3: Cy3 (group 0), Cy5 (group III); Microarray 4: Cy3 (group 0), Cy5 (group IV);
Microarray 5: Cy3 (group I), Cy5 (group II); Microarray 6: Cy3 (group III), Cy5 (group IV).

178 For the comparison of the expression data from selenite and selenate treated rats with that of 179 their companions on Se deficiency the following criteria were applied:

- Only genes with average Cy3 and Cy5 values that were 2-fold above the background were used.
- Only genes that were at least 2.5-fold up- or down-regulated by selenite or selenate
   treatment were considered.
- Only genes regulated in an analogous manner by selenite and selenate were considered.
- Only genes which fulfilled the statistical assumptions were considered (c.f. statistical analysis).
- 188 Differentially expressed genes were assigned to 5 functional areas and are shown in Table 1.

164

Licenced copy. Copying is not permitted, except with prior permission and as allowed by law.

© 2009 The Authors Journal compilation © 2009 Portland Press Limited





- 189 Genes involved in phase I and phase II detoxification Area I:
- 190 Area II: Genes involved in the regulation of intermediary metabolism and in the 191 regulation of appetite, body weight or other metabolic processes
- 192 Area III: Genes related to the cell cycle, cell development, cell death (apoptosis) or 193 tumour metabolism
- 194 Area IV: Genes related to inflammatory or immunomodulatory response
- 195 Area V: Genes with other functions (e.g., hormonal function, receptor function, signal 196 transduction)

197 In addition to the examination of the influence of selenite and selenate on differential gene 198 expression versus Se deficiency a direct comparison of selenite- and selenate-regulated 199 gene expression was carried out. 200

#### 201 Validation of the MA data for selected differentially expressed genes (GPx1, GPx4, 202 GSTa2, PTP1B) by two step reverse transcriptase PCR (2-step RT-PCR)

203 For GPx1, GPx4, GSTa2 and PTP1B the validation of the MA data was carried out using 2-204 step reverse transcriptase PCR (2-step RT-PCR). The expression data were normalized to  $\beta$ 205 actin expression. The 2-step RT-PCR method applied was elaborated and refined in our 206 laboratory, and was described in detail previously [22]. New liver RNA pools were prepared 207 for the RT-PCR experiments from the same 5 rats per group to exclude casual and 208 systematic errors of the MA expression data. The gene bank accession numbers, the PCR 209 primers used, and the length of the amplificates were as follows: Rat GPx1: NM 030826, 210 primer forward: TCA TTG AGA ATG TCG CGT CT, primer reverse: CCC ACC AGG AAC 211 TTC TCA AA, amplificate length: 388 bp; Rat GPx4: NM: 017165, primer forward: ATG CAC 212 GAA TTC TCA GCC AAG, primer reverse: GGC AGG TCC TTC TCT AT, amplificate length: 213 461 bp; Rat GSTa2: NM 0170131, primer forward: CAG GAG TGG AGT TTG ATG AGA, 214 primer reverse: AGA GGG AAA GAG GTC AGA AG, amplificate length: 463 bp; Rat PTP1B: 215 NM 012637, primer forward: GCA CTT CTG GCA GAT GGT GT, primer reverse: AAG AGG 216 AAA GAC CCG TCC TC, amplificate length: 449; Rat  $\beta$  actin: NM 0311441, primer forward: 217 TGT TAC CAA CTG GGA CGA CA, primer reverse: TCT CAG CTG TGG TGG TGA AG, 218 amplificate length: 396 bp. 219

#### Determination of GPx1 and GPx4 activity

221 The activities of GPx1 and GPx4 were determined spectrophotometrically (Beckmann DU 222 50) recording glutathione dependent peroxide reduction coupled to glutathione reductase and NADPH oxidation at 340 nm [29]. For GPx1 activity, the diluted cytosolic supernatants of 223 224 rat liver homogenates served as sample material. For GPx4 activity, crude homogenates of 225 livers were prepared in a sucrose buffer [30]. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> served as the substrate for GPx1 226 determination, whereas freshly synthesized phosphatidylcholine hydroperoxide (PCOOH) 227 was used for measurement of GPx4 activity [30]. One unit of GPx1 and GPx4 activity was 228 defined as one micromole NADPH oxidized/min and normalized to 1 mg protein. 229

#### Activity of the phase II detoxification enzymes GSTa2 and epoxide hydrolase

231 The activity of alpha glutathione-S-transferases to which GSTa2 activity makes a large 232 contribution was selectively determined according to a spectrophotometric protocol using the 233 specific substrate 7-chloro-4-nitrobenzoic-2-oxa-1,3-diazole (NBD-CI) [31]. The increase in 234 absorption at 419 nm caused by the conjugation of NBD-CI to 7-glutathionyl-4-nitrobenzoic-235 2-oxa-1,3-diazole (NBD-GSH) was recorded for 3 min. 1 U of alpha glutathione-S-transferase 236 activity was defined as the formation of 1 µmol of NBD-GSH/min and normalized to 1 mg 237 protein.

238 Epoxide hydrolase activity in 1:5 (w/v) crude liver homogenates was measured according to 239 a spectrophotometric protocol using styrene oxide as the substrate [42]. In the first reaction 240 step, 45 µL of the liver homogenates were incubated in 630 µL of 50 mM phosphate buffer 241 containing 10% DMSO and 10 mmol/L of the substrate styrene oxide for 2 h at 36°C. This 242 reaction step allows the formation of the vicinal diol from the epoxide by the activity of 243 epoxide hydrolase. Subsequently the samples were treated with 200 µL 100 mM sodium 244 periodate and 135 µL 0.8 M sodium sulphite in order to oxidize the vicinal diol to the

5 Licenced copy. Copying is not permitted, except with prior permission and as allowed by law.

220

230

245 corresponding aldehyde and to reduce excess sodium periodate to sodium iodide. 500 µL 246 supernatant of this reaction were incubated at 70°C with 50 µL Schiffs reagent to form a red 247

magenta dye. The extinction was read in a spectrophotometer at 560 nm and compared with 248 a calibration curve prepared with 1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol. 1U of epoxide hydrolase was 249 defined as the formation of 1 µmol vicinal diol/min and related to 1 mg protein. 250

#### 251 Statistical analysis

252 Data are given as means±standard deviation. For the enzymatic analyses and for the RT-253 PCR data, statistical differences between means (P<0.05) were analysed with "SPSS 14.0 254 for Windows" by one way ANOVA after ascertaining the normality of distribution (Shapiro 255 Wilk test and Kolmogorov Smirnov test) and homogeneous variances (Levene test). 256 Differences between means were analyzed with the LSD test if variances were 257 homogeneous or with the Games Howell test if not. Changes in gene expression (MA data) 258 were analyzed with Student's t test. At P<0.05, expression differences were accepted as 259 statistically significant if homogeneous variances and the normality of distribution could be 260 ensured. In order to reduce the genes differentially expressed among treatment groups to a 261 manageable number for publication only genes which were at least 2.5-fold up-or down-262 regulated by selenite or selenate treatment were considered (Table 1). Only genes which 263 were regulated in an analogous manner by selenate at both dietary concentrations or in 264 addition by selenite at one dietary concentration are displayed. 265

#### RESULTS

266

267

271

272 273

#### 268 Effect of Se status on feed intake and live weight 269

After 8 weeks no significant differences in feed intake and live weight (final average weight 270 285.8 ± 7.0 g) were observed between rats receiving a Se deficient diet (0) compared to their littermates with Se adequate nutrition (I, II) or supranutritive Se supply (III, IV).

#### Concentration of Se in diets and liver

274 The basal diet based on Torula yeast was readily accepted by the rats and exhibited a Se 275 concentration below the detection limit (<0.03 mg/kg). Analyzed Se levels of the 276 supplemented diets corresponded well with the values scheduled in the experimental design 277 (I: 0.21±0.009 mg/kg fresh matter (~90% dry matter), II: 0.19±0.010, III 0.96±0.071, 278 0.85±0.025). Liver Se concentration in group 0 (21.2±2.40 µg/kg fresh matter) decreased to 279 2% of that of rats with adequate Se intake (I: 1044±88.6, II: 1050±78.4). Supranutritive Se 280 (III: 2233±197, IV: 2148±149) again increased liver Se concentration significantly compared 281 to groups I and II. At both dietary levels no significant differences existed between selenite 282 and selenate regarding their efficacy in influencing liver Se concentration. 283

#### 284 GPx1- and GPx4 activity and expression

285 As a sensitive parameter of Se deficiency, GPx1 expression and activity were significantly 286 decreased in the Se deficient group 0. (Figure 1 A, C). Independent of the Se compound, the 287 supplementation with 0.2 mg Se/kg diet (groups I and II) resulted in a strong increase in 288 GPx1 activity (73-fold) which was accompanied by multiplied gene expression in the MA 289 experiment (~7.5-fold). MA data also indicated a marked increase in GPx1 expression (~6.0-290 fold) for groups III and IV which received diets with supranutritive Se (1.0 mg Se/kg) 291 compared to group 0 (Figure 1 A). GPx1 activity did not quite reach the level of the 292 adequately supplemented groups (Figure 1 C). The differences in GPx1 mRNA expression, 293 as indicated by the MA data, could be confirmed by 2-step RT-PCR analysis (Figure 1 A). In 294 contrast only a non significant weak influence of varying Se supplementation on gene 295 expression of GPx4 was measured. GPx4 responded to Se deficiency only by a non 296 significant minor down-regulation of its mRNA (1.2 to 1.6-fold) and by a 1.5 to 2.0-fold loss of 297 activity (Figure 1 B, C). The mRNA expression data obtained by MA analysis could be 298 confirmed by 2-step RT-PCR analysis. No influence of the supplemented Se compound 299 (selenite or selenate) and of the dietary Se level (0.2 and 1.0 mg/kg) on GPx4 expression 300 and activity could be observed.





#### 301 General results for differential gene expression with regard to the comparison of 302 selenite- or selenate feeding versus Se deficiency

Despite no differences between selenite and selenate in the regulation of the selenoproteins GPx1 and GPx4, the most challenging and unexpected finding in our study was the fact that compared to Se deficiency (group 0) both dietary selenate levels (groups II and IV) had a distinctly stronger influence on differential gene expression than selenite (groups I and III). Thus with a ratio of 2.5 compared to Se deficiency about 300 genes were significantly regulated by selenate feeding, whereas selenite only affected the expression of 43 and 44

genes, respectively. For both inorganic Se compounds the comparison of the nutritional level
 (0.2 mg/kg) and the supranutritive level (1.0 mg/kg) revealed no significant differences in the
 total number of up-or down-regulated genes.

312

#### 313 Specific results for differential gene expression with regard to the comparison of 314 selenite- or selenate feeding versus Se deficiency after mapping functional areas

315 After analysis of the general effects of selenite and selenate on differential gene expression 316 we mapped genes which were up- or down-regulated in an analogous manner compared to 317 Se deficiency at least by selenate feeding (242 genes) into 5 functional areas (c.f. Material 318 and Methods). The results of this mapping are shown in Table 1 for 44 representative genes. 319 By displaying the regulation ratio as well as the significance level also for the selenite groups 320 I and III, Table 1 clearly shows that selenate feeding (groups II and IV) compared to Se 321 deficiency (group 0) influences the differential expression of genes in all functional areas 322 investigated much more distinctly and significantly than selenite feeding. To confirm the 323 results of the MA analysis we validated the expression data for 3 representative target genes 324 (GST2a, area I; epoxide hydrolase, area I; PTP1B, area II) by 2-step RT-PCR and/or 325 enzymatic analysis in addition to GPx1- and GPx4-expression. One very challenging result of 326 our study was the fact that Se strongly influences the regulation of phase I- and phase II-327 detoxification genes (Table 1, area I). Three mRNAs of phase II-detoxifying enzymes, 328 namely Aflatoxin B1 aldehyde reductase (Afar), Alpha glutathione-S-transferase (GSTA2) 329 and Epoxide hydrolase were distinctly down-regulated by Se feeding (groups I, II, III, and IV) 330 compared to Se deficiency (group 0). For these enzymes, selenite feeding was also effective 331 at both dietary levels. We could confirm the validity of the MA data by measurement of 332 GSTA2 expression and activity (Figure 2 A and B) and Epoxide hydrolase activity Figure 2 C). Contrary to the down-regulation of phase II-detoxifying enzymes, the phase I-333 334 haemoprotein cytochrome p450c was up-regulated by selenate.

335 In particular by selenate feeding, numerous genes controlling intermediary nutrient 336 metabolism, the regulation of appetite and body weight and other metabolic processes 337 (Table 1, area II) were differentially regulated compared to Se deficiency. Although selenite 338 feeding influenced the expression of these genes into the same direction, the strength of 339 regulation was not significant in most cases. In the case of Heme oxygenase 3 and 340 Transferrin receptor, two genes of iron metabolism were distinctly affected in their expression by selenate feeding. The distinct up-regulation of Agouti related protein precursor, Hypocretin 341 342 orexin receptor 1, Neuropeptide y precursor, and Ob-receptor gene related protein suggests 343 the involvement of Se in central processes of appetite- and body weight-regulation. Moreover 344 a distinct influence of Se on carbohydrate- and lipid metabolism was indicated by the 345 regulation of Acyl-coenzyme A:cholesterol acyltransferase 1, Fructose-6-phosphate-2-346 kinase, and Phosphorylase-b-kinase. A strong influence of selenate was also observed for 347 the regulation of genes involved in metabolic signal transduction pathways (80-k 348 diacylglycerol kinase, and Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B), the regulation of vascular tone 349 (Arginase II) and even in folate metabolism (Gamma glutamyl hydrolase precursor). In area II 350 the validity of the MA data was confirmed by the examination of the expression and the 351 activity of the insulin antagonistic PTP1B (Figure 3). Thus Se feeding with both selenite and 352 selenate led to a 1.7 to 2.8-fold up-regulation of PTP1B mRNA, accompanied by a ~3.0-fold 353 increase in enzyme activity (Figure 3).

In area III (Table 1) a number of apoptosis promoting genes such as BCL2-antagonist, Dermatan sulfate proteoglycan II, FOS related antigen, Mast cell protease 1, p58, and



THIS IS NOT THE VERSION OF RECORD - see doi:10.1042/BSR20090089

Rapamycin- and FKBP 12 target 1 were distinctly up-regulated by selenate. p58 was also strongly affected by selenite feeding.

358 In contrast, other regulated genes in area III (NAPOR-3, Granzyme k) indicated an 359 antiapoptotic role of Se. Moreover, in area III the regulation of genes participating in growth 360 and differentiation of various tumours (ADAMTS-1, PKCQ interacting protein picot, and 361 Proliferin related protein) indicate a tumour-protective function of Se. The differential 362 regulation of numerous genes related to inflammatory or immunomodulatory reactions (area 363 IV) as well as of genes with other functions, such as structural protein function, hormonal 364 function, receptor function, a function in signal transduction or a function as an ion channel 365 (area V) clearly indicated biological functions of Se beyond its role in functional 366 selenoproteins. Whereas the regulated genes of area IV indicate an important target function 367 of Se in the modulation of immune response, numerous regulated genes of area V may give 368 some new insight into the beneficial effects of Se in the prevention of Se deficiency diseases, 369 such as muscular dystrophy and liver necrosis. Differentially expressed genes indicating 370 such a response are e.g., Calcium transporting ATPase Serca 1a, Cardiac ankyrin repeat 371 protein, Mu-calpain large subunit, Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 3, Titin, and Cyclic-372 3',5'-monophosphate response element binding protein 1. 373

#### Results for differential gene expression with regard to the direct comparison of selenite and selenate feeding

At a ratio of 2.5 (P<0.05) a total number of 18 genes (3 down-regulated and 15 up-regulated) were found as being differentially expressed by selenate and selenite feeding. Table 2 shows a selection of 11 differentially expressed genes from this comparison. As can be seen from Table 2 the final ratios originate from different regulatory effects (for details see table legend).

381 The regulation of the 5-hydroxytryptamine serotonin receptor 6 and the Growth hormone-382 releasing hormone receptor beta indicates a more pronounced influence of selenate in liver 383 fatty acid- and sterol metabolism as well as in central mechanisms of body weight regulation 384 compared to selenite. The Alphab crystalline-related protein (Heat shock protein 20) and its 385 precursor the golgi associated protein Gcp 360 which are down-regulated during the 386 development of hepatocellular carcinoma showed a higher expression due to selenate 387 feeding. Both genes were also found as being more highly expressed by selenate in the 388 expression profile versus Se deficiency (c.f. Table 1). The differential regulation of Ly-49.9 389 antigen and the inhibitory natural killer cell receptor Nkr-p1b point to a higher influence of 390 selenate in modulating T cell response compared to selenite. The Aldo-keto reductase akr1b-391 7 which was up-regulated by selenate compared to selenite plays an important role in lipid 392 detoxification, in particular in the small intestine. Titin which increases the elasticity of 393 cardiomyocytes showed a significantly up-regulation due to selenate feeding. Titin was also 394 found as being more highly expressed by selenate in the expression profile versus Se 395 deficiency (c.f. Table 1).

397 Additional information on functions of the regulated genes in Table 1 and on other selected 398 genes of the functional areas I to V are shown in Tables 1 to 5 as online supporting material. 399 Moreover the full microarray data sets are available as online supporting material. The 400 assignment of the samples to the 6 microarrays can be seen from the first sheet in the Excel 401 file containing the data of the microarrays 1 to 3. The second Excel file contains the original 402 data of the microarrays 4 to 6. The red marked columns I and L are the backgroundcorrected expression data for the single genes which can be used to compare gene 403 404 expression.

#### 405 406 **DISCUSSION**

396

In recent years specific knowledge of the biological effects of Se beyond its role in functional selenoproteins has advanced. Both positive and critical functions of Se with regard to diseases of the Western World such as cancer, cardiac diseases, obesity, insulin resistance, diabetes and hyperlipidaemia have been investigated. To obtain information on cellular mechanisms underlying such specific biological effects of Se we used MA scanning as the

8

Licenced copy. Copying is not permitted, except with prior permission and as allowed by law.

Biescience Reports

> 412 analytical tool. Moreover, we examined the effectiveness of the two inorganic 413 selenocompounds selenite (Se oxidation state +IV) and selenate (Se oxidation state +VI) for 414 differential gene expression. In order to produce as distinct effects for Se as possible, we 415 compared the gene expression of Se supplemented rats with that of their companions during 416 Se deficiency. In addition a direct comparison of selenite- and selenate-regulated genes was 417 carried out. With regard to the regulation of functional selenoproteins our data (Table 3) are 418 in accordance with very recent findings on the influence of Se status on selenoprotein mRNA 419 levels in mice and rats [33].

> 420 The pronounced loss of GPx1 mRNA expression and activity due to Se deficiency and a 421 maximization of both parameters by Se supply at the recommended dietary level (0.2 mg 422 Se/kg as selenite or selenate, groups I and II) and at a moderate supranutritive supply (1.0 423 mg Se/kg as selenite or selenate) confirm the low rank of GPx1 in the hierarchy of 424 selenoproteins. Conversely the high rank of GPx4 was confirmed by only a small loss of 425 mRNA expression and enzyme activity as well as a low P value for regulation (Table 3) due 426 to a lack in dietary Se supply. Our results moreover suggest that selenite and selenate are 427 similar in their effectiveness in influencing the expression and activity of GPx1, GPx4 and the 428 expression of further selenoproteins.

> The counter-regulation of GPx1 and the phase II detoxification enzymes GSTa2, epoxide 429 430 hydrolase and Afar (Table 1, area I) was another central finding of our study. In the literature a few reports describe similar effects of Se on these so called xenobiotica metabolizing 431 432 enzymes. Thus in a rat trial an increased aflatoxin toxicity due to Se supplementation was 433 observed, while a moderate Se deficiency effected a distinctly better aflatoxin detoxification 434 based on the up-regulation of the assigned Aflatoxin-B1-aldehyde reductase (Afar) and 435 additionally of GSTA2 [9]. Other studies reported an up-regulation of several glutathione-S-436 transferases and of Epoxide hydrolase in rat liver by Se deficiency. However, recent 437 consolidated findings have shown the underlying mechanism for this phenomenon. In Se 438 deficiency, the transcription of phase II detoxification enzymes increases via the activation of 439 the Antioxidant Response Element (ARE), a DNA structure located upstream the coding 440 DNA sequence of these enzymes. ARE activation is sensitive to changes in cellular redox 441 status, an increase in peroxide tone, and to interaction with the transcription factor NF-E2-442 related factor 2 (Nrf2). Different glutathione-S-transferases, various aldo-keto-reductases, 443 such as Afar, and epoxide hydrolases possess an ARE. In our study the up-regulation of 444 phase II detoxification enzymes by Se deficiency and vice versa the down-regulation with 445 sufficient Se supply were supported by Heme oxygenase 3 regulation, representing another 446 enzyme with an ARE in its DNA. That heme oxygenases respond to Se status is confirmed 447 by the results of other trials, in which an up-regulation of heme oxygenase 1 due to a lack of 448 Se supply was reported [10].

> However, a very important and unexpected result of our study was the finding that selenate feeding related to Se deficiency had a distinctly stronger influence on differential gene expression than selenite.

> Fundamental differences in the intestinal absorption pathways, in the blood transport to 452 453 peripheral organs, and in the subsequent intermediary metabolism of selenite (Se oxidation 454 state +IV) and selenate (Se oxidation state +VI) may provide one plausible explanation for 455 this effect [23, 34]. In peripheral organs the absorption products from dietary selenite 456 (oxidation states 0 and –I) need far fewer reduction steps than selenate (oxidation state +VI). 457 In Figure 4 A, B and C possible chemical reactions of selenite and selenate are summarized 458 [17, 35, 36] which may explain the increased thiol reactivity of dietary selenate in peripheral 459 tissues and represent one essential reason for its greater potential in influencing differential 460 gene expression. The metabolic fates of different Se compounds still need intensive 461 investigation using Se species analysis and protein mass spectrometry. Antidiabetic and 462 insulin sensitizing effects of Se, restricted exclusively to the intermittent application of very 463 high selenate doses, are based on the inhibition of Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B 464 (PTP1B). According to Figure 4 B and C selenious acid formation and superoxide anion 465 generation during the peripheral reduction of larger selenate quantities provide a good 466 example of the high reactivity of oral selenate towards cysteine residues in proteins such as 467 PTP1B. For the interpretation of future data from Se studies, one should consider that orally

> > 9

Licenced copy. Copying is not permitted, except with prior permission and as allowed by law.



468 applied selenate mimics properties of selenite applied in cell cultures and in other in vitro 469 studies [11, 17, 23].

470 In our present MA experiment we have analyzed a distinct up-regulation of PTP1B mRNA 471 due to Se supplementation at the recommended dietary level and at a slightly supranutritive 472 concentration. PTP1B has recently been discussed as being a promising target in the 473 treatment of obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes. Moreover, the enzyme is involved in 474 growth and development of mammary tumours and in the activation of lipogenesis. Via the 475 reduction of tyrosine phosphorylation of key signalling proteins such as IRS1 and Akt, an 476 increased expression and activity of PTP1B may force the development of insulin resistance, 477 obesity, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes [37, 38]. It may also be critical with regard to the 478 growth of mammary cancer [39]. In contrast the reduced Akt phosphorylation mediated by 479 increased PTP1B activity through dietary Se supplementation has been investigated as one 480 mechanism explaining possible beneficial Se effects in prostate cancer treatment [12]. With 481 regard to metabolic disorders recent large cross sectional studies and Se intervention trials 482 support the PTP1B hypothesis by the finding that a significant positive correlation exists 483 between Se status and the incidence of type II diabetes and increased blood lipids [15, 19-484 21]. In this context the detailed regulation of PTP1B by dietary Se has recently been 485 investigated by our group [22].

The regulation of other genes by selenate feeding (Table 1, area II) in particular indicates additional pathways by which Se may promote the development of insulin resistance, obesity, hyperlipidaemia and diabetes beside PTP1B regulation. Both the down-regulation of 80-k diacylglycerolkinase and the up-regulation of the Agouti related protein precursor, the Hypocretin orexin receptor and of the Neuropeptide y precursor open perspectives for future research concerning the role of Se in metabolic diseases [15, 18-21].

- 492 As mentioned above our data for the comparison of Se supplementation versus Se 493 deficiency suggest a possible preventive mechanism of Se with regard to prostate cancer. 494 Similarly the regulation of genes involved in growth and differentiation of mammary cancer 495 and lung cancer (ADAMTS-1), of colorectal cancer (PKCQ-interacting protein Picot), as well 496 as of various cancer types (Proliferin related protein) indicated a cancer protective function of 497 Se (Table 1, area III) [40-42]. However these effects did not increase by increasing Se 498 supplementation from the recommended dietary amount to the supranutritive level. The 499 comparison of selenite- and selenate-regulated genes indicated a possible additional role of 500 selenate with regard to the prevention of hepatocellular cancer (Alphab crystalline-related 501 protein = heat shock protein 20, Table 2) [43].
- 502 Large human intervention trials carried out to investigate the preventive role of long term Se 503 supplement use in cancer prevention revealed inconsistent results. Thus in the Nutritional 504 Prevention of Cancer (NPC) study in which 1,312 high-risk dermatology patients received 505 200 µg Se/day in the form of selenized yeast or a placebo (matched control), a decreased 506 risk of lung, colon, prostate, and total cancers was obtained by Se intervention, but an 507 increased risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer. In a smaller subtrial of the NPC study in which 508 the participants received even 400 µg Se/day the cancer protective effect of the 200 µg dose 509 was lost [16]. In contrast the Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT) in 510 which healthy men were supplemented either with 200 µg Se/day in the form of 511 selenomethicnine or with vitamin E (400 I.U./day) or with a combination of both supplements 512 compared to a placebo group was abandoned prematurely in autumn 2008 since the Se 513 supplemented participants showed a 5% higher incidence of prostate cancer [15]. Due to the 514 fact that tumour cells frequently possess a changed apoptosis program, the long term use of 515 supranutritional Se supplements in cancer prevention and treatment should be reconsidered 516 as well. This position is corroborated by our microarray data indicating both pro- and anti-517 apoptotic features of Se by modulation of the expression of NAPOR 3, BCL2-antagonist 1, 518 FOS related antigen, Granzyme k, Mast cell protease, and p58 (Table 1, area III) [44-49]. 519 Very recent findings suggest that Se supplementation for cancer prevention is of particular 520 interest for humans with selenoprotein P polymorphisms since this genetic constellation may 521 reduce Se transfer to the peripheral organs and the subsequent sufficient synthesis of 522 antioxidant selenoproteins [50].



523 From the comparison of gene expression related to Se deficiency, our data suggest that Se 524 and, in particular, selenate is effective in influencing many other genes in the mammalian 525 organism. Thus the regulation of genes that are also expressed in the liver and have specific 526 functions for the integrity of the heart muscle and the skeletal muscle (e.g., Cardiac ankyrin 527 repeat protein, Fibroblast Growth factor 13, Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor receptor 528 beta, Mu-calpain large subunit, Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 3, Titin, and Wingless-529 type MMTV integration site family) [51-56] may help to gain new insight into the beneficial 530 effects of Se in the prevention of muscular dystrophy (Table 1, area V). Similarly, the 531 established effectiveness of Se in preventing liver necrosis is supported by the up-regulation 532 of Alpha 7 c integrin, Mitogen activated protein kinase 12 ZPK, Plectin 1 (Table 1, area III) 533 and of Cyclic-Adenosine-3',5'-monophosphate response element binding protein 1 (Table 1, 534 area V) [57-60].

The direct comparison of selenite- and selenate regulated genes revealed additional effects of selenate e.g. in liver fatty acid- and sterol metabolism and in central mechanisms of body weight regulation (5-hydroxytryptamine serotonin receptor 6 and growth hormone-releasing hormone receptor beta) [61], in the protection against hepatocellular carcinoma (Alphab crystalline-related protein=heat shock protein 20), in the modulation of T cell response (Ly-49.9 antigen and the inhibitory natural killer cell receptor Nkr-p1b), and in the protection against lipid toxicity (aldo-keto reductase akr1b-7) [43, 62]

543 In summary our study on the effects of the two common inorganic Se compounds selenite 544 and selenate on the differential expression of genes other than selenoproteins showed the 545 following:

- Compared to Se deficiency as a control, selenite (Se oxidation state +IV) and selenate (Se oxidation state +IV) resembled each other in their effectiveness for seleoprotein synthesis. Both Se compounds could be demonstrated to be equally effective in the down-regulation of not less than 3 enzymes of phase II detoxification and of a further gene with an ARE in its DNA (Afar, epoxide hydrolase, GSTa2, heme oxygenase 3). This result demonstrates mechanisms of counter-regulation of antioxidant enzymes.
- Compared to Se deficiency selenate has a distinctly stronger influence than selenite on differential gene expression in various functional areas. This distinct difference, so far unknown, may result from fundamental differences in the absorption and the intermediary metabolism of both Se compounds. This remarkable difference for both Se compounds should be considered in future investigations into Se biochemistry.
- The direct comparison of selenite- and selenate regulated genes revealed additional functions of selenate in liver fatty acid- and sterol metabolism and in central mechanisms of body weight regulation, in the protection against hepatocellular carcinoma, in the modulation of T cell response, and in the protection against lipid toxicity (aldo-keto reductase akr1b-7).
- In view of the distinct influence of Se on differential gene expression, our data could contribute to an improved understanding of mechanisms by which Se may influence diseases of the Western world. Other genes differentially regulated by selenate provided deeper insight into the beneficial effects of Se in preventing Se deficiency symptoms such as muscular dystrophy and liver necrosis.

We conclude that future studies on the pathways of Se in the treatment of diseases should consider the particular effects of the Se compound used, and that the compilation of comparative differential gene expression profiles for further selenium compounds would be of interest.

572

568

569

570

571

#### 573 **REFERENCES**

1 Hesketh, J. (2008) Nutrigenomics and selenium: gene expression patterns, physiological targets, and genetics. Annu. Rev. Nutr. **28**, 157-177

11



- 2 Gromer, S., Eubel, J. K., Lee, B. L. and Jacob, J. (2005) Human selenoproteins at a glance. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 62, 2414-2437
- 3 Wingler, K., Böcher, M., Flohé, L., Kollmus, H. and Brigelius-Flohé, R. (1999) mRNA stability and selenocysteine insertion sequence efficiency rank gastrointestinal glutathione peroxidase high in the hierarchy of selenoproteins. Eur. J. Biochem. **259**, 149-157
- 4 Weiss Sachdev, S. and Sunde, R.A. (2001 Aug 1) Selenium regulation of transcript abundance and translational efficiency of glutathione peroxidase-1 and -4 in rat liver. Biochem. J. **357**, 851-858
- 5 Cooper, L.T., Rader, V. and Ralston, N.V. (2007) The roles of selenium and mercury in the pathogenesis of viral cardiomyopathy. Congest. Heart Fail. **13**, 193-199
- 6 Rederstorff, M., Krol, A. and Lescure, A. (2006) Understanding the importance of selenium and selenoproteins in muscle function. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. **63**, 52-59
- 7 Burk, R.F., Lawrence, R.A. and Lane, J.M. (1980) Liver necrosis and lipid peroxidation in the rat as the result of paraquat and diquat administration. Effect of selenium deficiency. J. Clin. Invest. **65**, 1024-1031
- 8 Korpela, H. (1990) Hepatic selenium concentration in pigs with microangiopathy (mulberry heart disease)-an animal model for the study of oxidative damage. Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res. **60**, 156-158
- 9 McLeod, R., Ellis, E.M., Arthur, J.R., Neal, G.E., Judah, D.J., Manson, M.M. and Hayes, J.D. (1997) Protection conferred by selenium deficiency against aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in the rat is associated with the hepatic expression of an aldo-keto reductase and a glutathione-S-transferase subunit that metabolize the mycotoxin. Cancer Res. **57**, 4257-4266
- 10 Sengupta, A., Carlson, B.A., Weaver, J.A., Novoselov, S.V., Fomenko, D.E., Gladyshev, V.N. and Hatfield, D.L. (2008) A functional link between housekeeping selenoproteins and phase II enzymes. Biochem. J. **413**, 151-161
- 11 Xiang, N., Zhao, R. and Zhong, W. (2009) Sodium selenite induces apoptosis by generation of superoxide via the mitochondrial-dependent pathway in human prostate cancer cells. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. **63**, 351-362
- 12 Wu, Y., Zu, K., Warren, M.A., Wallace, P.K. and Ip, C. (2006) Delineating the mechanism by which selenium deactivates Akt in prostate cancer cells. Mol. Cancer Ther. **5**, 246-252
- 13 Xiang, N., Zhao, R., Song, G. and Zhong, W. (2008) Selenite Reactivates Silenced Genes by Modifying DNA Methylation and Histones in Prostate Cancer Cells. Carcinogenesis. **29**, 2175-2181
- 14 Banning, A., Florian, S., Deubel, S., Thalmann, S., Müller-Schmehl, K., Jacobasch, G. and Brigelius-Flohé, R. (2008) GPx2 counteracts PGE2 production by dampening COX-2 and mPGES-1 expression in human colon cancer cells. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 10, 1491-1500
- 15 Lippman, S.M., Klein, E.A., Goodman, P.J., Lucia, M.S., Thompson, I.M., Ford, L.G., Parnes, H.L., Minasian, L.M., Gaziano, J.M., Hartline, J.A., Parsons, J.K., Bearden, J.D. 3rd, Crawford, E.D., Goodman, G.E., Claudio, J., Winquist, E., Cook, E.D., Karp, D.D., Walther, P., Lieber, M.M., Kristal, A.R., Darke, A.K., Arnold, K.B., Ganz, P.A., Santella, R.M., Albanes, D., Taylor, P.R., Probstfield, J.L., Jagpal, T.J., Crowley, J.J., Meyskens, F.L. Jr, Baker, L.H., Coltman, C.A. Jr. (2009) Effect of selenium and vitamin E on risk of prostate cancer and other cancers: the Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT). JAMA 301, 39-51
- 16 Reid, M.É., Duffield-Lillico, A.J., Slate, E., Natarajan, N., Turnbull, B., Jacobs, E., Combs, G.F. Jr, Alberts, D.S., Clark, L.C., Marshall, J.R. (2008) The nutritional prevention of cancer: 400 mcg per day selenium treatment. Nutr. Cancer 60, 155-63
- 17 Mueller, A.S. and Pallauf, J. (2006) Compendium of the antidiabetic effects of supranutritional selenate doses. In vivo and in vitro investigations with type II diabetic db/db mice. J. Nutr. Biochem. **17**, 548-560
- 18 McClung, J.P., Roneker, C.A., Mu, W., Lisk, D.J., Langlais, P., Liu, F. and Lei, X.G. (2004) Development of insulin resistance and obesity in mice overexpressing cellular glutathione peroxidase. PNAS **101**, 8852-8857

Licenced copy. Copying is not permitted, except with prior permission and as allowed by law.



- 19 Stranges, S., Marshall, J.R., Natarajan, R., Donahue, R.P., Trevisan, M., Combs, G.F., Cappuccio, F.P., Ceriello. A, and Reid, M.E. (2007) Effects of long-term selenium supplementation on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Ann. Intern. Med. **147**, 217-223
- 20 Bleys, J., Navas-Acien, A. and Guallar, E. (2007 Apr) Serum selenium and diabetes in U.S. adults. Diabetes Care. **30**, 829-834
- 21 Bleys, J., Navas-Acien, A., Stranges, S., Menke, A., Miller, E.R. 3<sup>rd</sup> and Guallar, E. (2008) Serum selenium and serum lipids in US adults. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. **88**, 416-423
- 22 Mueller, A.S., Bosse, A.C., Most, E., Klomann, S.D., Schneider, S. and Pallauf, J. Regulation of the insulin antagonistic protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B by dietary Se studied in growing rats. J. Nutr. Biochem. 20, 235-247
- 23 Vendeland, S.C., Butler, J. A. and Whanger, P.D. (1992) Intestinal absorption of selenite, selenate, and selenomethionine in the rat. J. Nutr. Biochem. 3, 359-365
- 24 Shigeta, K., Matsumura, K., Suzuki, Y., Shinohara, A. and Furuta, N. (2008) Distribution and dynamic pathway of selenium species in selenium-deficient mice injected with (82) Se-enriched selenite. Anal. Sci. **24**, 1117-1122
- 25 Ravn-Haren, G., Bügel, S., Krath, B. N., Hoac, T., Stagsted, J., Jørgensen, K., Bresson, J. R., Larsen, E. H. and Dragsted, L. O. (2008) A short-term intervention trial with selenate, selenium-enriched yeast and selenium-enriched milk: effects on oxidative defence regulation. Br. J. Nutr. **99**, 883-892
- 26 National Research Council (NRC). (1995) Nutrient Requirements of Laboratory Animals, 4<sup>th</sup> revised ed. Washington D.C.: National Academies Press
- 27 Muller, A.S., Pallauf, J. and Most, E. (2002) Parameters of dietary selenium and vitamin E deficiency in growing rabbits. J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol. **16**, 47-55
- 28 Chomczynski, P. and Sacchi, N. (1987) Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. Anal. Biochem. **162**, 156-159
- 29 Tappel, M.E., Chaudiere, J. and Tappel, A.L. (1982) Glutathione peroxidase activities of animal tissues. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. (B) **73**, 945-949
- 30 Weitzel, F., Ursini, F. and Wendel, A. (1990) Phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase in various mouse organs during selenium deficiency and repletion. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1036**, 88-94
- 31 Ricci, G., Caccuri, A.M., Lo Bello, M., Pastore, A., Piemonte, F. and Federici, G. (1994) Colorimetric and fluorometric assays of glutathione transferase based on 7-chloro-4nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-diazole. Anal. Biochem. **218**, 463-465
- 32 Doderer, K., Lutz-Wahl, S., Hauer, B. and Schmid, R.D. (2003) Spectrophotometric assay for epoxide hydrolase activity toward any epoxide. Anal. Biochem. **321**, 131-141
- 33 Barnes, K.M., Evenson J.K., Raine, A.M. and Sunde, R.A. (2009) Transcript analysis of the selenoproteome indicates that the dietary selenium requirements of rats based on selenium-regulated selenoprotein mRNA levels are uniformly less than those based on glutathione peroxidase activity. J. Nutr. **139**, 199-206
- 34 Haratake, M., Hongoh, M., Miyauchi, M., Hirakawa, R., Ono, M. and Nakayama, M. (2008) Albumin-mediated selenium transfer by a selenotrisulfide relay mechanism. Inorg. Chem. 47, 6273-6280
- 35 Suzuki, K.T., Ohta, Y. and Suzuki, N. (2006) Availability and metabolism of 77Semethylseleninic acid compared simultaneously with those of three related selenocompounds. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. **217**, 51-62
- 36 Ohta, Y. and Suzuki, K.T. (2008) Methylation and demethylation of intermediates selenide and methylselenol in the metabolism of selenium. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. **226**, 169-177
- 37 Koren, S. and Fantus, I.G. (2007) Inhibition of the protein tyrosine phosphatase PTP1B: potential therapy for obesity, insulin resistance and type-2 diabetes mellitus. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. **21**, 621-640
- 38 Shimizu, S., Ugi, S., Maegawa, H., Egawa, K., Nishio, Y., Yoshizaki, T., Shi, K., Nagai, Y., Morino, K., Nemoto, K., Nakamura, T., Bryer-Ash, M. and Kashiwagi, A. (2003) Protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B as new activator for hepatic lipogenesis via sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1 gene expression. J. Biol. Chem. **278**, 43095-43101



- 39 Tonks, N.K. and Muthuswamy, S.K. (2007) A brake becomes an accelerator: PTP1B--a new therapeutic target for breast cancer. Cancer Cell **11**, 214-216
- 40 Rocks, N., Paulissen, G., Quesada Calvo, F., Polette, M., Gueders, M., Munaut, C., Foidart, J.M., Noel, A., Birembaut, P. and Cataldo, D. (2006) Expression of a disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAM and ADAMTS) enzymes in human non-small-cell lung carcinomas (NSCLC). Br. J. Cancer **94**, 724-730
- 41 Lu, Y., Wang, X., Liu, Z., Jin, B., Chu, D., Zhai, H., Zhang, F., Li, K., Ren, G., Miranda-Vizuete, A., Guo, X. and Fan, D. (2008) Identification and distribution of thioredoxin-like 2 as the antigen for the monoclonal antibody MC3 specific to colorectal cancer. Proteomics **8**, 2220-2229
- 42 Bengtson, N.W. and Linzer, D.I. (2000) Inhibition of tumor growth by the antiangiogenic placental hormone, proliferin-related protein. Mol. Endocrinol. **14**, 1934-1943
- 43 Noda, T., Kumada, T., Takai, S., Matsushima-Nishiwaki, R., Yoshimi, N., Yasuda, E., Kato, K., Toyoda, H., Kaneoka, Y., Yamaguchi, A., Kozawa, O. (2007) Expression levels of heat shock protein 20 decrease in parallel with tumor progression in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncol. Rep. **17**, 1309-1314
- 44 Pacini, A., Toscano, A., Cesati, V., Cozzi, A., Meli, E., Di Cesare Mannelli, L., Paternostro, F., Pacini, P. and Pellegrini-Giampietro, D.E. (2005) NAPOR-3 RNA binding protein is required for apoptosis in hippocampus. Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. **140**, 34-44
- 45 Cherñavsky, A.C., Rubio, A.E., Vanzulli, S., Rubinstein, N., de Rosa, S. and Fainboim, L. (2002) Evidences of the involvement of Bak, a member of the Bcl-2 family of proteins, in active coeliac disease. Autoimmunity **35**, 29-37
- 46 Hamdi, M., Popeijus, H.E., Carlotti, F., Janssen, J.M., van der Burgt, C., Cornelissen-Steijger, P., van de Water, B., Hoeben, R.C., Matsuo, K. and van Dam, H. (2008) ATF3 and Fra1 have opposite functions in JNK- and ERK-dependent DNA damage responses. DNA Repair (Amst). 7, 487-496
- 47 Zhao, T., Zhang, H., Guo, Y. and Fan, Z. (2007) Granzyme K directly processes bid to release cytochrome c and endonuclease G leading to mitochondria-dependent cell death. J. Biol. Chem. **282**, 12104-12111
- 48 Drubin, D.A. and Clawson, G.A. (2004) Spontaneous transformation of an immortalized hepatocyte cell line: potential role of a nuclear protease. Cancer Lett. **213**, 39-48
- 49 Yun, X., Wu, Y., Yao, L., Zong, H., Hong, Y., Jiang, J., Yang, J., Zhang, Z. and Gu, J. (2007) CDK11 (p58) protein kinase activity is associated with Bcl-2 down-regulation in pro-apoptosis pathway. Mol Cell Biochem. **304**, 213-218
- 50 Méplan, C., Nicol, F., Burtle, B., Crosley, L., Arthur, J., Mathers, J., Hesketh, J. (2009) Relative abundance of selenoprotein P isoforms in human plasma depends on genotype, Se intake, and cancer status. Antioxid. Redox Signal. May 19. [Epub ahead of print]
- 51 Witt, S.H., Labeit, D., Granzier, H., Labeit, S. and Witt, C.C. (2005) Dimerization of the cardiac ankyrin protein CARP: implications for MARP titin-based signaling. J. Muscle Res. Cell Motil. **26**, 401-408
- 52 Yao, D.L., Masonic, K., Petullo, D., Li, L. Y., Lincoln, C., Wibberley, L., Alderson, R.F. and Antonaccio, M. (1999) Pretreatment with intravenous FGF-13 reduces infarct volume and ameliorates neurological deficits following focal cerebral ischemia in rats. Brain Res. **18**, 140-146
- 53 Barnoy, S., Supino-Rosin, L. and Kosower, N.S. (2000) Regulation of calpain and calpastatin in differentiating myoblasts: mRNA levels, protein synthesis and stability. Biochem. J. **351** Pt 2, 413-20
- 54 Higuchi, M., Yasuda, O., Kawamoto, H., Yotsui, T., Baba, Y., Ozaki, T., Maeda, N., Fukuo, K., Rakugi, H. and Ogihara, T. (2007) Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-3 deficiency inhibits blood pressure elevation and myocardial microvascular remodeling induced by chronic administration of Nomega-nitro-L-arginine methylester in mice. Hypertens. Res. **30**, 563-71
- 55 Rankinen, T., Rice, T., Boudreau, A., Leon, A.S., Skinner, J.S., Wilmore, J.H., Rao, D.C. and Bouchard, C. (2003) Titin is a candidate gene for stroke volume response to endurance training: the HERITAGE Family Study. Physiol. Genomics **15**, 27-33

Licenced copy. Copying is not permitted, except with prior permission and as allowed by law.



- Shang, Y.C., Wang, S.H., Xiong, F., Zhao, C.P., Peng, F.N., Feng, S.W., Li, M. S., Li, Y. and Zhang, C. (2007) Wht3a signaling promotes proliferation, myogenic differentiation, and migration of rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 28, 1761-1774
- Liu, J., Burkin, D.J. and Kaufman, S.J. (2008) Increasing alpha 7 beta 1-integrin promotes muscle cell proliferation, adhesion, and resistance to apoptosis without changing gene expression. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 294, C627-640
- Douziech, M., Grondin, G., Loranger, A., Marceau, N. and Blouin, R. (1998) Zonal induction of mixed lineage kinase ZPK/DLK/MUK gene expression in regenerating mouse liver. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 249, 927-932
- Cheng, C.C., Liu, Y.H., Ho, C.C., Chao, W.T., Pei, R.J., Hsu, Y.H., Yeh, K.T., Ho, L.C., Tsai, M.C. and Lai, Y.S. (2008) The influence of plectin deficiency on stability of cytokeratin18 in hepatocellular carcinoma. J. Mol. Histol. 39, 209-216
- Muraoka, R.S., Waltz, S.E. and Degen, S.J. (1999) Expression of hepatocyte growth factor-like protein is repressed by retinoic acid and enhanced by cyclic adenosine 3',5'monophosphate response element-binding protein (CREB)-binding protein (CBP). Endocrinology **140**, 187-196
- Suter, L., Haiker, M., De Vera, M.C., Albertini, S. (2003) Effect of two 5-HT6 receptor antagonists on the rat liver: a molecular approach. Pharmacogenomics J. 3, 320-334
- Spite, M., Baba, S.P., Ahmed, Y., Barski, O.A., Nijhawan, K., Petrash, J.M., Bhatnagar, A., Srivastava, S. (2007) Substrate specificity and catalytic efficiency of aldo-keto reductases with phospholipid aldehydes. Biochem. J. 405: 95-105



## 608Table 1Genes from various functional areas (I-V) differentially regulated in rat609liver by feeding selenite and selenate at two dietary levels (0.2 and 1.0610mg/kg) versus Se deficiency

Gene Bank Assoc	Gene name	Selenite0.2	Selenite1.0	Selenate0.2	Selenate1.0
Area I: Genes related to r		phase I- or ph	ase II detoxi	fication	(i value)
NM013215	Aflatoxin B1 Aldehyde	4.07.	3 14	4 10	2 25
1111013213	Reductase	4.07¥ (<0.001)	(<0.001)	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
NM012540	Cytochrome P450	1.85↑	1.84↑	2.99↑	2.70↑
		(0.434)	(0.209)	(0.022)	(0.030)
M26125	Epoxide Hydrolase	3.13↓	2.43↓	2.69↓	1.74↓
		(<0.001)	(<0.001)	(<0.001)	(0.001)
NM017013	Glutathione-S- Transferase A2	2.49↓	2.50↓	2.28	2.52↓
Area II: Conos involv	ad in the regulation of	(0.003)	(0.002) motabolism		
Area II: Genes Involv	and body weight or	other metab	olic processe	s s	n of appente
NM031118	Acyl Coenzyme A :	2.37↑*	3.52↑*	3.44↑	3.00↑
	Cholesterol Acyltransferase 1	(0.149)	(0.092)	(0.018)	(0.040)
AF206017	Agouti Related Protein	1.95↑*	3.57↑	6.17↑	3.00↑
	Precursor	(0.208)	(0.002)	(0.017)	(0.002)
NM019168	Arginase II	1.10↑*	5.88↑	3.14↑	3.25↑
		(0.860)	(0.046)	(0.014)	(0.006)
S49760	Diacylglycerol Kinase,	1.07↓*	1.52↓*	2.39↓	3.03↓
	80k-DG Kinase	(0.876)	(0.289)	(0.014)	(0.008)
NM019333	Fructose-6-Phosphate-	3.27 <b>↑</b> *	2.74↑*	3.41↑	4.43↑
. =		(0.176)	(0.161)	(0.012)	(0.005)
AF058787	Heme Oxygenase 3	1.16	1.28↓*	2.55↓	3.30↓
NIM024265	E hudrow transpon	(0./06)	(0.445)	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
INIVIU24305	serotonin receptor 6	2.05 *	1.10 *	4.15	7.13 (<0.001)
NM013064	Hypocretin Orexin Receptor 1	(0.214) 1.61 <sup>+</sup> *	(0.888) 1 00 <b>^</b> *	(0.003) 3.32↑	(<0.001)
		(0.549)	(0.385)	(0.008)	(0.008)
NM012614	Neuropeptide	2.33	1 81 <sup>+</sup>	3 301	3 701
	Precursor	(0.321)	(0.213)	(0.004)	(0.002)
NM020099	Ob Receptor Gene	2.49↑*	2.18↑*	3.00↑	3.96↑
	Related Protein	(0.297)	(0.377)	(0.046)	(0.019)
NM022626	Phosphorylase B	3.25**	3 35↑*	3 60↑	5 <b>/</b> 8 <sup>↑</sup>
NINO22020	Kinase Alpha Subunit	(0.151)	(0.071)	(0.027)	(0.005)
NM012637	Protein Tyrosine	1.841*	1.601*	<u>(0:0±1)</u> 3.14↑	2.53
	Phosphatase 1B	(0.003)	(0.007)	(0.024)	(<0.001)
M58040	Transferrin Receptor	3.401*	2.531*	3.84↑	4.03↑
		(0.087)	(0.169)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Area III: Genes rela	ted to the cell cycle, ce	ell developme	ent, apoptosis	s or tumour m	etabolism
NM024400	ADAMTS-1	1.32↓*	1.75↓*	2.48↓	2.50↓
		(0.330)	(0.055)	(0.006)	(0.006)
X74294	Alpha 7c Integrin	3.11*	3.331*	4.50↑	4.11↑
		(0.025)	(0.055)	(0.002)	(0.003)
D29960 (Table 2)	Alphab crystallin-related	1.90	1.59	<b>5.85</b> ↑	<b>4.10</b> ↑
		(0.219)	(0.453)	(0.002)	(0.005)
D25543 (Table 2)	кат дсрзюй	1.59T (0.498)	2.26 (0.178)	4.67↑ (<0.001)	5.64 (<0.001)
AF090695	NAPOR-3	1.08↓*	1.03↓*	2.34↓	2.54↓
		(0.839)	(0.924)	(0.019)	(0.017)

611

THIS IS NOT THE VERSION OF RECORD - see doi:10.1042/BSR20090089

1111.	Bioscience
Uh.	Reports

612

am			Selenite	0.2	Selenite1.0	Selenate0 2	Selenate1 0
			(P valu	ie)	(P value)	(P value)	(P value)
e ce	cycl	e, ce	ll develo	pme	nt, apoptosi	s or tumour m	etabolism
ntag	ist 1		1.371,	*	1.72↑*	2.81↑	3.16↑
-			(0.517	)	(0.349)	(0.011)	(0.010)
in	Su	lfate	2.87	*	2.57↑*	3.98↑	3.63↑
ycar			(0.225	)	(0.284)	(0.009)	(0.011)
ateo	ntige	'n	3.511;	*	3.27↑*	6.54↑	4.67↑
1/			(0.122	)	(0.186)	(0.009)	(0.004)
ne K			1.79↓ <sup>3</sup> (0.071	*	1.13↓* (0.731)	2.48↓ (0.005)	2.46↓ (0.009)
ll Pr	ase 1	1	1 771	*	2 47*	3.72↑	<u>(0.000)</u> 2.73↑
		-	(0.488	)	(0.274)	(0.013)	(0.040)
	Activa	ated	2.321,	*	1.99↑*	4.03↑	3.48↑
Kina	12 ZI	PK	(0.351	)	(0.357)	(0.050)	(0.040)
			1.421*	*	1.81↑*	2.85↑	<b>2.09</b> ↑
			(0.625	)	(0.087)	(0.007)	(0.036)
	iterac	cting	1.21	*	1.03↓*	2.30↓	2.08↓
-ICO			(0.582	)	(0.914)	(0.006)	(0.008)
			2.00 <sup>+</sup>	*	3.28↑*	5.14↑	5.54↑
			(0.296	) (	(0.070)	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
1	Rela	ated	2.33T*	*	2.68 <b>T</b> *	<b>4.15</b> ↑	<b>3.61</b> ↑
ain.		۸nd	(0.275	<u>)</u>	(0.228)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Tar	t-1	And	2.431	*	2.951*	3.84   (0.010)	3.84   (<0.001)
ate	o inf	flam	matory o	r imr	munomodula	tory response	9
Pro	adan	dine l	1 7213	*	<u>२ ६४</u> ↑∗	2.22↑	<u>∕</u> 52↑
e	igian	unic	(0.314	)	(0.074)	(0.023)	(<0.001)
in 1	Recep	ptor	1.941*	*	2.67 <sup>+</sup>	2.81↑	2.84↑
			(0.220	)	(0.065)	(0.010)	(0.009)
nage	Infla	mm.	1.48↓*	*	1.33↓*	3.17↓	3.86↓
I Alp	Rec	;.	(0.299	)	(0.485)	(0.004)	(0.003)
ural	iller	Cell	1.871;	*	2.40↑*	<b>2.59</b> ↑	3.75↑
3 1			(0.334	)	(0.018)	(0.005)	(0.004)
:tio	(hor	rmor	nal functi	on, r	receptor fund	ction, signal ti	ansduction)
Ank	n Rej	peat	2.00	*	1.46↓*	3.05↓	2.92↓
_			(0.115	)	(0.319)	(0.043)	(0.045)
den	ne 3	3',5'-	1.867*	*	1.531*	<b>3.29</b> ↑	<b>2.58</b> ↑
ndin	Protei	esp. ein	(0.421	)	(0.451)	(0.018)	(0.020)
st	Gro	owth	2.801,	*	3.14↑*	4.63↑	<b>4.09</b> ↑
3			(0.129	)	(0.078)	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
ell	ne l	Der.	1.571,	*	2.41↑*	3.88↑	3.66↑
phic	Fa	actor	(0.524	)	(0.332)	(0.006)	(0.019)
alpa	La	arge	1.531;	*	2.69^*	4.00↑	4,55↑
		0 -	(0.430	)	(0.227)	(0.013)	(0.006)
In	itor	of	1.901;	*	4.44↑	3.76↑	2.681
rote	se 3		(0.358	)	(0.046)	(0.011)	(0.045)
			1.001,	*	1.53↑*	2.72↑	3.62↑
			(0.999	)	(0.204)	(0.016)	(0.012)
s T	) MN	MTV	<b>2.91</b> †*	*	6.16↑	3.51↑	5.44↑
on S	гат	шу	(0.188	)	(0.011)	(0.039)	(0.008)
s T on S	e Mi Fam	MTV 1ily equla	(0.358 1.00 <sup>†;</sup> (0.999 2.91 <sup>†;</sup> (0.188	* * * * *	(0.046) 1.53↑* (0.204) 6.16↑ (0.011) ues into the s	( (	0.011) 2.72↑ 0.016) 3.51↑ 0.039)

supplementation (up $\uparrow$ /down $\downarrow$ ), but that these effects were not significant (P<0.05); genes occurring additionally in Table 2 are highlighted on a grey background and a reference remark to Table 2 is given

THIS IS NOT THE VERSION OF RECORD - see doi:10.1042/BSR20090089

17

Licenced copy. Copying is not permitted, except with prior permission and as allowed by law.

© 2009 The Authors Journal compilation © 2009 Portland Press Limited



616	Table 2	Selection of genes differentially regulated in rat liver comparing selenate
617		feeding with selenite feeding at both dietary levels (0.2 and 1.0 mg/kg)

Gene Bank	Gene name	Selenate	Selenite0.2	Selenite1.0	Selenate0.2	Selenate1.0
Assoc		vs. Selenite	VS.	VS.	vs.	VS.
Number		[both levels] (P value)	0Se	0Se	0Se	0Se
		(Selenatel) 2 vs.	(P value)	(P value)	(P value)	(P value)
		Selenite 0.2]	( ,	( ,	(	
		ISelenate 1.0 vs.				
		Selenite1.0/				
NM012850	Putative growth	3.22	1.11	1.27	2.80	3.17
	horm. releasing	(0.005)	(0.628)	(0.525)	(0.020)	(0.014)
	normone receptor-related	12.51 4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , ,		,
	protein	[4.03↓]				
**	Nkr-p1b	2.42↓	1.59↑	1.46↑	2.51↓	1.14
000900		(0.007)	(0.522)	(0.601)	(0.523)	(0.886)
		14 00 JI	, , ,	, ,		
		[1.694]				
* 1401157	Titin	2.50	1.00	1.531	2.72↑	3.61
(Table 1)		(0.013)	(0.999)	(0.204)	(0.016)	(0.012)
(Table I)		12.72 <b>1</b>			, ,	. ,
		[2.367]				
*D25543	Rat gcp360	2.67↑	1.591	2.26↑	4.67↑	5.64↑
(Table 1)		(0.004)	(0.498)	(0.178)	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
		12.92 <b>1</b> 1		. ,	, ,	, ,
		[1.74]				
AF100789	G protein-coupled	2.74	1.37↓	1.27	2.61	2.86↑
	receptor gpr55	(0.002)	(0.501)	(0.639)	(0.015)	(0.008)
		[3.597]				
		[2.247]				
*D29960	Alphab crystallin-	2.85↑	1.90↑	1.59↑	5.85↑	4.10↑
(Table 1)	related protein	(0.004)	(0.219)	(0.453)	(0.002)	(0.005)
(100000)		[3.07 <i>1</i> ]				
		[2.571]				
S64315	Metabotropic	3.72↑	1.45↓	1.18↑	4.68↑	2.20↑
	receptor subtype	(0.047)	(0.407)	(0.843)	(0.006)	(0.002)
	5b	[6.8 <i>21</i> ]				
		[1.817]				
NM024365	5-hydroxy-	3.81↑	2.04↑	1.10↓	4.14↑	7.12↑
	receptor 6	(0.006)	(0.214)	(0.887)	(0.005)	(<0.001)
		[2.02 <i>1</i> ]				
		[7.847]				
**NM05378	Aldose-reductase-	5.14↑	1.13↓	1.36↓	3.75↑	4.56↑
1		(0.014)	(0.823)	(0.637)	(0.017)	(0.007)
		[4.24 1]				
		[6.207]				
**U56863	Ly-49.9 antigen	7.92↑	1.49↓	3.78↓	3.23↑	4.16↑
		(0.003)	(0.296)	(0.033)	(0.004)	(0.020)
		[4.81 7]				
<u> </u>		[15.87]				
hatelunar sono.	in an analogous ma	nnor ny colonato and	i colonito aro hic	muanted with * c	and annear on a	dark grov



Genes regulated in an analogous manner by selenate and selenite are highlighted with \* and appear on a dark grey background. Moreover a reference to Table 1 is given. Genes regulated in a contrary manner by selenate and selenite are highlighted with \*\* and appear on a light grey background. Genes regulated indifferently by selenite, but consistently by selenate appear on a white background.



622	Table 3	Hierarchy	of	functional	selenoproteins	in	rat	liver	as	analyzed	by
623		microarray	/ ana	alysis							

Selenoprotein	Selenite 0.2 vs. 0 Se	Selenate 0.2 vs. 0 Se	Selenite 1.0 vs. 0 Se	Selenate 1.0 vs. 0 Se	Mean P value for extent of regulation
GPx1	6.99	5.48	6.62	5.88	0.0056
SelP	2.06	1.71	2.11	1.94	0.0112
15 kDa Sep	1.15	1.54	1.30	1.32	0.0210
SelW	1.15	1.54	2.18	1.21 🔷	0.0230
DIO1	1.57	1.52	1.62	1.24	0.0335
GPx4	1.33	1 24	1.32	1.31	0.0883

() (	
634	
635	
636	
637	
638	
639	
640	
641	
642	
643	
644	
645	
646	
647	
648	
649	
650	
651	
652	
653	
654	
655	
656	
657	
658	
659	
660	
661	
662	
663	
	19



#### 664 FIGURE 1







697	Figure 1	Regulation of GPx1 and GPx4 in rat liver after 8 weeks of Se deficiency
698		(0) compared to Se supplementation with 0.2 or 1.0 mg Se/kg diet as
699		selenite (I, III) or selenate (II, IV)
700	A) mRNA e	xpression of GPx 1 as analyzed by MA analysis and controlled by 2-step RT-
701	PCR; B) n	nRNA expression of GPx 4 as analyzed by MA analysis and controlled by 2-step
702	RT-PCR	C) GPx1- and GPx4-activity
703	Values are	means±SD. Significant differences between means (p<0.05) are indicated by
704	different sma	all letters for MA expression data (A, B), by different capital letters for 2-step RT-
705	PCR (A, B),	by different small letters for GPx1 activity and by different capital letters for GPx4
706	activity (C).	
707	/	
708		
709		
710		
711		
/12		
/13 71/		
714		
716		
717		
718		
719		
720		
721		
722		
723		
724		
725		
727		
728		
729		
730		
731		
732		
733		
734		
736		
737		
738		
739		
740		
741		
742		
743		
744		
/45 716		
/40		



#### 747 **FIGURE 2**





# 780Figure 2Regulation of GSTa2 and epoxide hydrolase in rat liver after 8 weeks of781Se deficiency (0) compared to Se supplementation with 0.2 or 1.0 mg782Se/kg diet as selenite (I, III) or selenate (II, IV)

A) mRNA expression of GSTa2 as analyzed by MA analysis and controlled by 2-step RT PCR B) GSTa2 activity C) epoxide hydrolase activity

Values are means±SD. Significant differences between means (p<0.05) are indicated by</li>
different small letters for MA expression data for GSTa2 (A), by different capital letters for 2step RT-PCR of GSTa2 (A), and by different small letters for GSTa2 and epoxide hydrolase
enzyme activities (B, C).





870 871



THIS IS NOT THE VERSION OF RECORD - see doi:10.1042/BSR20090089



<sup>© 2009</sup> The Authors Journal compilation © 2009 Portland Press Limited



# 923Figure 4Pathways and chemical reactions of selenite and selenate during924absorption and in peripheral tissues, and reactions leading to protein-925thiol-modfications

#### 926 A) Selenite pathways:

927 **(1):** Selenite reacts already during its absorption with thiol compounds, such as GSH, to form selenodiglutathione and selenotrisulfides.

929 **(1 and 2):** Se metabolites delivered to tissues from selenite absorption are 930 selenodiglutathione, selenotrisulfides or hydrogen selenide.

931 (2 and 3): Pathways by which orally administered selenite can modify cysteine residues in 932 other proteins and thereby lead to changes in differential gene expression derive from the 933 formation of methylselenol from methylselenide, which again can be produced from hydrogen 934 selenide, obtained from the entire reduction of selenite.

(3): Methylselenol may modify cysteine residues in proteins and change the activity of these
 proteins via thiol modifications such as glutathionylation by methylselenolate release in the
 presence of glutathione.

#### 938 B) Selenate pathways:

(1): Selenate may exert a stronger influence on differential gene expression starting with its
 unmodified uptake through the small intestine into the organism. During its reduction in
 peripheral organs the following reactions with cysteine containing proteins are feasible:

942 (1): In the first reaction step the unreactive selenate (oxidation state +VI) is reduced in a GR
 943 or TrxR dependent reaction to the highly thiol reactive selenite oxidation state +IV.

944 **(2):** In the further course selenite (selenious acid) can modify protein thiol groups to selenodiglutathione intermediates.

- 946 **(3):** Excess selenite (selenious acid) reacts with GSH to form hydrogen selenide. In the 947 further course of the reaction in the presence of oxygen, superoxide anion radicals  $(O_2^{-})$  and 948 elemental Se can be formed.
- (4): The superoxide radical again can attack cysteine residues in proteins and oxidize themto sulfenic acid derivatives.
- 951 **(5):** In the presence of glutathione the sulfenic acid can react to a reversibly inactivated (glutathionylated) protein-thiol compound.
- The pathways (6), (7), and (8) in selenate metabolism, starting from hydrogen selenide are identical with the pathways (2), (3), and (4) in selenite metabolism.

<sup>\*</sup>in Figure 4 A and B indicate that in selenite metabolism only one pathway leads to the formation of glutathionylated (inactivated) protein intermediates with SH-group containing proteins, whereas this modification can be formed by two pathways during selenate metabolism.

### 959 <u>C) Evidence for the higher thiol reactivity of selenate compared to selenite from</u>

#### 960 diabetic dbdb mice, treated with supranutritional selenite- and selenate doses

The higher protein glutathionylation effected by orally applied selenate compared to selenite,

962 marked with<sup>\*</sup> in Figure 4 A and B can clearly be proven by Western Blot Analysis using an 963 "anti glutathione antibody". The Western Blot shows a distinctly higher glutathionylation of 964 PTP1B, a protein with a catalytically active cystein SH-residue, in dbdb mice treated with 965 supranutritional selenate compared to their selenite treated companions [unpublished results 966 from 17]. Thus this reaction is clear proof of the higher peripheral thiol reactivity of selenate.