There is no minimal action of \mathbb{Z}^2 on the plane

Frédéric Le Roux*

September 10, 2010

AMS classification 37E30 (Homeomorphisms and diffeomorphisms of planes and surfaces).

1 Introduction

A group acting on a topological space is said to act minimally if every orbit is dense. Let $g_1:(x,y)\mapsto (x+1,y)$ be the horizontal translation of the plane, $g_2:(x,y)\mapsto (x,y+1)$ the vertical translation, and $g_3:(x,y)\mapsto (x,y)+\vec{v}$ a translation by a vector \vec{v} with irrational coordinates and irrational slope. Then the \mathbb{Z}^3 action generated by g_1,g_2,g_3 on the plane is minimal. On the other hand there is no minimal homeomorphism of the plane: this is a consequence of Brouwer plane translation theorem. In other words the group \mathbb{Z} does not act minimally. Thus it is a natural question to determine whether the group \mathbb{Z}^2 admits a minimal plane action. In this paper, we answer this question negatively.

Theorem. There is no minimal action of \mathbb{Z}^2 by homeomorphisms of the plane.

Here is another motivation. Assume \mathbb{Z}^2 acts on the plane, let g_1, g_2 be generators of the action. If g_1 is conjugate to a translation, then the quotient \mathbb{R}^2/g_1 is homeomorphic to the infinite annulus, and g_2 induces an annulus homeomorphism. If the \mathbb{Z}^2 action was minimal, then so would be the induced annulus homeomorphism. But this would contradict Le Calvez-Yoccoz's theorem.

Theorem (Le Calvez-Yoccoz, [LecYoc97]). There is no minimal homeomorphism of the infinite annulus.

^{*}Laboratoire de mathématique (CNRS UMR 8628), Université Paris Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

Thus the non-existence of minimal \mathbb{Z}^2 action may be seen as a (slight) generalisation of Le Calvez-Yoccoz's theorem.

In contrast, there exist actions of \mathbb{Z} on the plane with some dense orbits; however, due again to Brouwer's theorem, such an action cannot be free. There also exist free transitive actions of \mathbb{Z}^2 . Both examples may be constructed using transitive skew products on the infinite annulus (see [Bes51]). I do not know if there exists a transitive free action of \mathbb{Z}^2 for which no element is conjugate to a translation.

Sketch of the proof

We argue by contradiction. Let g_1, g_2 be two commuting homeomorphisms of the plane that generates a minimal action of \mathbb{Z}^2 . For simplicity, let us assume that these homeomorphisms preserve the orientation. We first prove (Lemma 2.2) that the action of \mathbb{Z}^2 is free; this is essentially due to the non-existence of minimal actions of \mathbb{Z} . Applying Le Calvez-Yoccoz's theorem, we see that no element of the action is conjugate to a translation. Let D be a small disk. Since g_1g_2 is not conjugate to a translation, the sequence of positive iterates of D under g_1g_2 accumulates somewhere in the plane. The same holds for $g_1g_2^{-1}$. From this, using the minimality of the action, we are able to construct a "periodic pseudo-orbit" for g_1 (more precisely, a periodic disk chain). This is too much recurrence for a fixed point free orientation preserving homeomorphism of the plane, as encapsuled by John Franks's disk chain lemma, and we get a contradiction.

2 Background material

Brouwer homeomorphisms

A Brouwer homeomorphism is a fixed point free, orientation preserving homeomorphism of the plane. Let h be a Brouwer homeomorphism. A disk is free for h if it does not meet its image. The free disk lemma says that every free disk D is disjoint from all its iterates $h^n(D), n \neq 0$. In particular, a Brouwer homeomorphism has no periodic orbit, and no dense orbit. Another immediate consequence is that there is no minimal orientation preserving homeomorphism of the plane. See for example [Bro12, HomTer53, Fra92, Gui94, BegLeR03] for more details about Brouwer homeomorphisms.

A couple (x, y) of points in the plane is said to be *singular* for the Brouwer homeomorphism h if there exist a sequence (z_k) of points converging to x, and a sequence (n_k) of integers tending to $+\infty$, such that the sequence of points $(h^{n_k}(z_k))$ converges to y. The *singular set* of h is the set of singular couples. It has the following properties. The singular set of h^n is equal to the singular set of h for every $n \neq 0$. Furthermore, this set is empty if

and only if h is conjugate to a translation. From this we see that if some power $h^n, n \neq 0$ is conjugate to a translation, then so is h. In particular, concerning our problem, Le Calvez-Yoccoz's theorem may be reformulated as follows.

Theorem (Le Calvez-Yoccoz). There is no minimal action of \mathbb{Z}^2 on the plane for which some element is conjugate to a translation.

The positive singular set of h is the first projection of the singular set, that is, the set of points x such that (x, y) is a singular couple for some point y.

A disk chain for h is a sequence $(D_i)_{i=1..k}$ of pairwise disjoint free disks such that for every $i=1,\dots,k-1$, some positive iterate of the disk D_i meets D_{i+1} . The disk chain is periodic if some positive iterate of D_k meets D_1 . The disk chain lemma is a generalization of the free disk lemma:

Lemma (John Franks, [Fra92]). A Brouwer homeomorphism admits no periodic disk chain.

In all our statements about disks we will assume that the disks are open. This does not really matter here (in particular, all the previous statements also hold for closed disks). Note that the disk chain lemma is still true if one replaces euclidean disks by *topological disks*, that is, simply connected open subsets of the plane.

Orientation-reversing Brouwer homeomorphisms

In this paper we will consider actions by homeomorphisms of the plane, and we will not assume that these homeomorphisms preserve the orientation. Thus we also need to collect some results about orientation reversing homeomorphisms. We begin by a lemma that was certainly known to Kérékjártó.

Lemma 2.1. Let g be a homeomorphism of the plane such that some power g^p is a translation. Then g is conjugate either to a translation or to the map $(x,y) \mapsto (x+1,-y)$.

Proof. Under the assumptions it is easy to see that the map g acts properly discontinuously on the plane, and thus the quotient space \mathbb{R}^2/g is a (Hausdorff) surface. Moreover, this surface has a p-fold covering by the annulus \mathbb{R}^2/g^p . The classification of surfaces now tells us that \mathbb{R}^2/g is homeomorphic either to the infinite annulus $\mathbb{R}^2/(x,y) \mapsto (x+1,y)$, or to the Mobius band $\mathbb{R}^2/(x,y) \mapsto (x+1,-y)$. This provides the two cases, since g is an automorphism of the covering map $\mathbb{R}^2/g^p \to \mathbb{R}^2/g$.

Fortunately, Brouwer theory has been adapted to the orientation-reversing case by M. Bonino. We will make use of the following *orientation-reversing free disk lemma* (see [Bon04, Lemma 5.2]). Let g be an orientation

reversing homeomorphism of the plane with no periodic point of period 2, and D be a disk which is disjoint from both g(D) and $g^2(D)$. Then D is disjoint from all its iterates $g^n(D), n \neq 0$. In particular, there is no orientation reversing minimal homeomorphism of the plane.

Next we notice that the argument given by Le Calvez and Yoccoz on the annulus works equally well on the Mobius band.

Theorem (essentially due to Le Calvez-Yoccoz). There is no minimal homeomorphism of the Mobius band.

Proof. Assume there is some minimal homeomorphism h of the Mobius band M. The homeomorphism h extends to a homeomorphism of the one-point compactification $M \cup \{\infty\}$ that fixes the point ∞ . If h is minimal then this point is not a source nor a sink, and no neighbourhood of it can contain a whole orbit (see the precise hypotheses in [LecYoc97]). Then Le Calvez-Yoccoz's index theorem applies, and tells us that for some positive iterate of h, the fixed point index of ∞ is non-positive. On the other hand, this iterate is isotopic to the identity (see [Ham65]) and we may apply Lefchetsz formula: the sum of its fixed points indices is equal to 1 (the Euler caracteristic of $M \cup \{\infty\}$, topologically a projective plane). This shows that h has some periodic orbit on M, in contradiction with the hypothesis that it is minimal.

Minimal actions are free

Let G be a group of homeomorphisms of the plane. Recall that G is free if every non trivial element of G is fixed point free. The following lemma explains why Brouwer theory is useful for minimal actions.

Lemma 2.2. Assume G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 . If the action of G is minimal then it is free.

An element g_1 of G will be called *primitive* if there is no element $g' \in G$ and integer p > 1 such that $g_1 = g'^p$. Equivalently, when G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 , g_1 is primitive if there exists $g_2 \in G$ such that $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates G.

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that some element of G has a fixed point x_0 . This element is equal to g_1^p for some primitive element g_1 . Since G is abelian, every point of the G-orbit of x_0 is fixed by g_1^p . If the action is minimal then the G-orbit of x_1 is dense, and thus g_1^p is the identity. By a theorem of Kérékjártó (see [ConKol94]), g_1 is conjugate to an isometry. Using the classification of plane isometries we see that g_1 itself must have a fixed point. Again, since the group is abelian and acts minimally, we get that g_1 is the identity. Now the group G/g_1 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} and acts minimally on the plane, which contradicts the (orientation preserving or reversing) free disk lemma.

3 The orientation-preserving case

Proof of the theorem in the orientation-preserving case. Let G be a group of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of the plane. We argue by contradiction, assuming that G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 and acts minimally on the plane. According to lemma 2.2, the non-trivial elements of G are Brouwer homeomorphisms. According to Le Calvez-Yoccoz's theorem, they are not conjugate to a translation. Let g_1, g_2 be a set of generators of G. The Brouwer homeomorphism g_1g_2 is not conjugate to a translation, thus it has a non-empty positive singular set. Since the action is minimal, and the singular set is a conjugacy invariant, the positive singular set of g_1g_2 is dense in the plane¹. Choose any sufficiently small (open) disk D, so that D is disjoint from its image under each of the four elements $g_1, g_2, g_1g_2, g_1g_2^{-1}$ (remember that the action is free). Let (x, y) be a singular couple, for g_1g_2 , with x in D. By minimality of the action there exists some $g = g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2}$ such that g(y) belongs to D, or equivalently y belongs to $g^{-1}(D)$. Since (x,y) is singular for g_1g_2 , there exists some arbitrarily large positive integer N such that $(g_1g_2)^N(D)$ meets $g^{-1}(D)$, that is, the topological disk

$$g_1^{N+n_1}g_2^{N+n_2}(D)$$

meets D. In particular we have found some positive integers N_1, N_2 such that $g_1^{N_1} g_2^{N_2}(D)$ meets D.

Arguing similarly with $g_1g_2^{-1}$, we find another couple of positive integers, say N'_1, N'_2 , such that $g_1^{N'_1}g_2^{-N'_2}(D)$ meets D.

We will now get a contradiction by finding some g_2 -iterates of D that constitute a disk chain for the Brouwer homeomorphism g_1 . More precisely we get the conclusion out of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let g_1, g_2 be two commuting Brouwer homeomorphisms. For any disk D, consider the return-time set

$$R(D, g_1, g_2) = \{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2}(D) \cap D \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Assume this set does not contain (1,0) nor (0,1): in other words the disk D does not meet its image under g_1 and g_2 . Then $R(D,g_1,g_2)$ is either contained in the set

$$E_{+} = \{(n_1, n_2), n_1 n_2 > 0\}$$

or in the set

$$E_{-} = \{(n_1, n_2), n_1 n_2 < 0\}.$$

See [BarFra93] for some nice results about the set R(D,g) in the case of a free \mathbb{Z} -action.

¹Note that there is no contradiction here: examples of Brouwer homeomorphisms with a dense positive singular set are described in [HomTer53] or [LeR04, page 18].

Proof of the lemma. Under the assumptions of the lemma, first note that the set $R(D, g_1, g_2)$ does not contain any couple of the form (0, n) nor (n, 0) with $n \neq 0$: indeed this is the content of the free disk lemma when applied to g_1 and g_2 .

We argue by contradiction, assuming that the set $R(D, g_1, g_2)$ contains (N_1, N_2) and $(N'_1, -N'_2)$ where N_1, N_2, N'_1, N'_2 are positive integers (as was the case in the course of the proof of the theorem). We write

$$N_2 = n_2 p, \quad N_2' = n_2' p$$

where p is the greatest commun divisor of N_2, N'_2 . Consider the (cyclic) sequence of topological disks $(D_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/(n_2+n'_2+1)\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting in the following g_2 -iterates of D:

$$D, \ g_2^{N_2'}(D), \ g_2^{2N_2'}(D), \ \dots, \ g_2^{n_2N_2'}(D) = g_2^{n_2'N_2}(D), \ g_2^{(n_2'-1)N_2}(D), \ \dots, \ g_2^{N_2}(D).$$

Since $pn_2n'_2 = n'_2N_2 = n_2N'_2$ is the least commun multiple of N_2 and N'_2 , all the powers of g_2 involved in the sequence are distinct. Thus the disks in the sequence are pairwise disjoint, otherwise the set $R(D, g_1, g_2)$ would contain some couple of the form (0, n). By assumption $R(D, g_1, g_2)$ contains (N_1, N_2) and $(N'_1, -N'_2)$. This means that for each disk D_i in this cyclic sequence, the image of D_i under either $g_1^{N'_1}$ or $g_1^{N_1}$ meets the next disk D_{i+1} . Thus this sequence constitutes a periodic disk chain for the Brouwer homeomorphism g_1 , in contradiction with Franks's lemma.

This completes the proof of the theorem in the orientation-preserving case. $\hfill\Box$

4 The orientation-reversing case

We will explain how to modify the arguments of the previous section.

Proof of the theorem in the orientation-reversing case. Assume as before that G is a group of homeomorphisms of the plane, that is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 , and acts minimally. Also assume that it contains some orientation reversing elements. Lemma 2.2 applies, so again the elements of G are fixed point free. No element of G is conjugate to a translation: otherwise G would contain some primitive element conjugate either to a translation or to the map $(x,y) \mapsto (x+1,-y)$ (see Lemma 2.1), we would get a minimal homeomorphism of the annulus or the Mobius band, both situations contradicting Le Calvez-Yoccoz's results.

The set G^+ of orientation-preserving elements of G is a subgroup of index 2 which is again isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 . We now consider a basis $\{g_1, g_2\}$ of G such that G^+ contains g_1 (and not g_2). Let D be a disk which is disjoint from its images under the five maps $g_1, g_2, g_2^2, g_1g_2^2, g_1g_2^{-2}$. The last two maps are

(orientation preserving) Brouwer homeomorphisms whose positive singular set is dense: as above we find some positive integers N_1, N_2, N'_1, N'_2 such that the return-time set $R(D, g_1, g_2)$ (defined as in Lemma 3.1) contains (N_1, N_2) and $(N'_1, -N'_2)$.

Since $D, g_2(D), g_2^2(D)$ are mutually disjoint, we may apply Bonino's orientation reversing free disk lemma: we get that D is disjoint from all its g_2 -iterates. Now, as in Lemma 3.1, we can make a periodic disk chain for g_1 out of the g_2 -iterates of D. Since g_1 is a Brouwer homeomorphism, this again contradicts Franks's Lemma.

References

- [BarFra93] Barge, Marcy; Franks, John. Recurrent sets for planar homeomorphisms. From Topology to Computation: Proceedings of the Smalefest (Berkeley, CA, 1990), 186–195, Springer, New York, 1993.
- [BegLeR03] Béguin, François.; Le Roux, Frédéric. Ensemble oscillant d'un homéomorphisme de Brouwer, homéomorphismes de Reeb. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **131** (2003), 149–210.
- [Ber00] Bernardes, Nilson C. On the set of points with a dense orbit. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), no. 11, 3421–3423.
- [Bes51] Besicovitch, Abraham S. A problem on topological transformations of the plane. II. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 47 (1951), 38–45.
- [Bon04] Bonino, Marc. A Brouwer-like theorem for orientation reversing homeomorphisms of the sphere. Fund. Math. 182 (2004), no. 1, 1–40.
- [Bro12] Brouwer, Luitzen Egbertus Jan. Beweis des ebenen Translationssatzes. *Math. Ann.*, **72** (1912) 37–54.
- [ConKol94] Constantin, Adrian; Kolev, Boris. The theorem of Kérékjártó on periodic homeomorphisms of the disk and the sphere. *Enseign. Math.* (2) **40** (1994), no. 3-4, 193–204.
- [Fra92] Franks, John. A new proof of the Brouwer plane translation theorem. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **12** (1992), 217–226.
- [Ham65] Hamstrom, Mary-Elizabeth. Homotopy properties of the space of homeomorphisms on P^2 and the Klein bottle. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1965), 37–45.
- [Gui94] Guillou, Lucien. Théorème de translation plane de Brouwer et généralisations du théorème de Poincaré-Birkhoff. *Topology*, **33** (1994), 331–351.

- [HomTer53] Homma, Tatsuo; Terasaka, Hidetaka. On the structure of the plane translation of Brouwer. Osaka J. Math., 5 (1953), 233–266.
- [LecYoc97] Le Calvez, Patrice; Yoccoz, Jean-Christophe. Un théorème d'indice pour les homéomorphismes du plan au voisinage d'un point fixe. Ann. of Math. 146 (1997), no. 2, 241–293.
- [LeR04] Le Roux, Frédéric. Homéomorphismes de surfaces : théorèmes de la fleur de Leau-Fatou et de la variété stable. Astérisque **292** (2004).