

# Frobenius number of a linear Diophantine equation Abdallah Badra

### ► To cite this version:

Abdallah Badra. Frobenius number of a linear Diophantine equation. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2003, 231, pp.23-36. hal-00477434

## HAL Id: hal-00477434 https://hal.science/hal-00477434

Submitted on 30 Apr 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# FROBENIUS NUMBER OF A LINEAR DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

ABDALLAH BADRA, Université Blaise Pascal, Laboratoire de mathématiques pures, Les Cézeaux, F 63177 Aubière.

 $e-mail: \ abdallah. badra@math.univ-bpclermont. fr$ 

ABSTRACT. We denote by  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the set of nonnegative integers. Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$  a set of positive integers. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we write s(n) for the number of solutions  $(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  of the equation  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_dx_d = n$ . We set  $g(A) = \sup\{n \mid s(n) = 0\} \cup \{-1\}$  the Frobenius number of A. Let S(A) be the subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{N}_0, +)$  generated by A. We set  $S'(A) = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S(A)$ ,  $N'(A) = \operatorname{Card} S'(A)$  and  $N(A) = \operatorname{Card} S(A) \cap \{0, 1, \ldots, g(A)\}$ . Let p be a multiple of lcm(A) and  $F_p(t) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p}{a_i}-1} t^{ja_i}$ . We give an upper bound for g(A) and reduction formulas for g(A), N'(A) and N(A). Characterizations of these invariants as well as numerical symmetric and pseudo-symmetric semigroups in terms of  $F_p(t)$ , are also obtained.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}_0$  (resp.  $\mathbb{N}$ ) the set of nonnegative (resp. positive) integers. Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_d\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ . We set  $\rho = \gcd(A)$  and  $l = \operatorname{lcm}(A)$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we write s(n) for the number of solutions  $(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  of the equation  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_dx_d = n$ . We set  $g(A) = \sup\{n \mid s(n) = 0\} \cup \{-1\}$  the Frobenius number of A. Let S(A) be the subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{N}_0, +)$  generated by  $A, S'(A) = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S(A), N'(A) = \operatorname{Card} S'(A)$  and  $N(A) = \operatorname{Card} S(A) \cap \{0, 1, \ldots, g(A)\}$ . We say that S(A) is symmetric (resp. pseudo-symmetric) if  $\gcd(A) = 1$  and N'(A) = N(A) (resp. N'(A) = N(A) + 1). The generating function of the s(n) is

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - t^{a_i})}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(1-t^{a_i})} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \ge 0} t^{ja_i} = \sum_{n \in S(A)} s(n)t^n.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>2000 mathematics subject classification 11D04, 13D40, 20M99

For  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ , we define the Frobenius polynomial

$$F_p(t) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p}{a_i}-1} t^{ja_i} = \frac{(1-t^p)^d}{\prod_{i=1}^d (1-t^{a_i})}$$
$$\Phi(t) = \frac{F_p(t)}{(1-t^p)^d}.$$
(1)

 $(1-t^p)^a$ In theorem 3.1 we give formulas for g(A), N'(A) and N(A) in terms of  $F_p(t)$ . As a consequence we obtain an upper bound for the Frobenius number (corollary 3.2) which improves the upper bound given by Chrzastowski-Wachtel and mentioned in [9]. A characterization of numerical symmetric and pseudo-symmetric semigroups (corollary 3.4) is also obtained. In theorem 3.7 we prove reduction formulas for g(A), N'(A) and N(A). The first one generalizes a Raczunas and Chrzastowski-Wachtel theorem [9]. As a consequence (corollary 3.10) we obtain a generalization of a Rödseth formula [10]. It is known that the Hilbert function of a graded module over a polynomial graded ring as well as s(n) are numerical quasi-polynomial functions. In examples 4.9 and 4.10 we give a description of these functions in terms of the Frobenius polynomial.

#### 2 PRELIMINARIES

and we write

Given  $Q(t) = \sum_{j} q_{j}t^{j} \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  and an integer  $p \geq 1$ , there exists a unique sequence  $Q_{0}, \ldots, Q_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $Q(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^{r}Q_{r}(t^{p})$ . Namely,  $Q_{r}(t) = \sum_{k} q_{r+pk}t^{k}$ . The  $Q_{r}$  are called the *p*-components of Q. We denote by  $\omega(Q) = \inf\{j \mid q_{j} \neq 0\}$  the valuation of Q and  $\deg(Q) = \sup\{j \mid q_{j} \neq 0\}$  the degree of Q, with  $\omega(0) = +\infty$  and  $\deg(0) = -\infty$ . The following invariants will be associated with Q

$$\begin{split} \omega_p(Q) &= \sup\{\omega(t^r Q_r(t^p)) \mid 0 \le r \le p-1\} \text{ the } p\text{-valuation of } Q.\\ \delta_p(Q) &= \inf\{\deg(t^r Q_r(t^p)) \mid 0 \le r \le p-1\} \text{ the } p\text{-degree of } Q.\\ \Omega_p(Q) &= \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \omega(Q_r).\\ \Delta_p(Q) &= \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \deg(Q_r). \end{split}$$

Thus we have

 $\omega_p(Q) = +\infty = \Omega_p(Q)$  and  $\delta_p(Q) = -\infty = \Delta_p(Q)$  if  $Q_r = 0$  for some r.

We fix an integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and we set

$$\widehat{Q}(t) = t^n Q(t^{-1}).$$

So we have  $\widehat{\widehat{Q}} = Q$  and

$$\deg(Q) + \omega(\widehat{Q}) = n = \deg(\widehat{Q}) + \omega(Q) \text{ if } Q \neq 0.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The *p*-components  $\widehat{Q}_r$  of  $\widehat{Q}$  can be deduced from the *p*-components of *Q*. Namely, we write  $n = p\lambda + \gamma$  with  $0 \le \gamma < p$ , so we get

$$\widehat{Q}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^{p\lambda+\gamma-r} Q_r(t^{-p}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\gamma} t^{\gamma-r} (t^p)^{\lambda} Q_r(t^{-p}) + \sum_{r=\gamma+1}^{p-1} t^{p+\gamma-r} (t^p)^{\lambda-1} Q_r(t^{-p}).$$

It follows from the uniqueness of the p-components that

$$\widehat{Q}_r(t) = t^{\lambda} Q_{\gamma - r}(t^{-1}) \text{ for } 0 \le r \le \gamma$$
(3)

and

$$\widehat{Q}_r(t) = t^{\lambda - 1} Q_{p + \gamma - r}(t^{-1}) \text{ for } r > \gamma.$$
(4)

So we obtain

$$\widehat{Q}_r = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q_{\gamma - r} = 0 \text{ for } 0 \le r \le \gamma$$
(5)

and

$$\widehat{Q}_r = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q_{p+\gamma-r} = 0 \text{ for } r > \gamma.$$
(6)

If  $\widehat{Q}_r \neq 0$ , we also deduce from (2)-(4) that

$$\lambda = \deg(\widehat{Q}_r) + \omega(Q_{\gamma-r}) \text{ when } 0 \le r \le \gamma$$
(7)

and

$$\lambda - 1 = \deg(\widehat{Q}_r) + \omega(Q_{p+\gamma-r}) \text{ when } r > \gamma.$$
(8)

Moreover, writing  $n = p\lambda + r + (\gamma - r) = p(\lambda - 1) + r + (p + \gamma - r)$  we get

$$n = \deg(t^r \widehat{Q}_r(t^p)) + \omega(t^{\gamma - r} Q_{\gamma - r}(t^p)) \text{ for } 0 \le r \le \gamma$$

and

$$n = \deg(t^r \widehat{Q}_r(t^p)) + \omega(t^{p+\gamma-r} Q_{p+\gamma-r}(t^p)) \text{ for } r > \gamma.$$

Hence

$$n = \delta_p(\widehat{Q}) + \omega_p(Q) = \delta_p(Q) + \omega_p(\widehat{Q}).$$
(9)

Furthermore, using (7) and (8) we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\gamma} \left( \deg(\widehat{Q}_r) + \omega(Q_{\gamma-r}) \right) + \sum_{r=\gamma+1}^{p-1} \left( \deg(\widehat{Q}_r) + \omega(Q_{p+\gamma-r}) \right)$$
$$= (\gamma+1)\lambda + (p-\gamma-1)(\lambda-1) = n-p+1.$$

It follows that

$$\Delta_p(\widehat{Q}) + \Omega_p(Q) = n - p + 1 = \Delta_p(Q) + \Omega_p(\widehat{Q}).$$
(10)

Given  $m, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider the following polynomials

$$N_{m,j}(t) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (t-j+i) \text{ if } m > 1, N_{m,j}(t) = 0 \text{ if } m \le 0 \text{ and } N_{1,j}(t) = 1.$$

For  $Q(t) = \sum_{j} q_{j} t^{j} \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $Q(1) \neq 0$ , we define

$$V_m(Q,t) = \sum_j q_j N_{m,j}(t).$$

Furthermore, let  $Q_0, \ldots, Q_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  be the *p*-components of Q. We consider the polynomials  $U_0, \ldots, U_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  defined as follows  $U_r = 0$  if  $Q_r = 0$  and  $Q_r(t) = (1-t)^{i_r} U_r(t)$  with  $U_r(1) \neq 0$  otherwise. For all  $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ , we put  $m_r = m - i_r$  and we define the function

$$H_m(Q,.): \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$$
 by  $H_m(Q,r+pk) = V_{m_r}(U_r,k).$ 

In order to illustrate these definitions we give the following examples.

EXAMPLE 2.1 Let  $Q(t) = F_{12} = \frac{(1-t^{12})^2}{(1-t^2)(1-t^3)} = 1 + t^2 + t^3 + t^4 + t^5 + 2t^6 + t^7 + 2t^8 + 2t^9 + 2t^{10} + 2t^{11} + t^{12} + 2t^{13} + t^{14} + t^{15} + t^{16} + t^{17} + t^{19}.$ We take p = 12, n = 19 and m = 2. We write  $Q(t) = (1 + t^{12}) + t(2t^{12}) + t^2(1 + t^{12}) + t^3(1 + t^{12}) + t^4(1 + t^{12}) + t^5(1 + t^{12}) + t^$  $t^{12}$ ) + 2 $t^{6}$  +  $t^{7}(1 + t^{12})$  +  $2t^{8}$  +  $2t^{9}$  +  $2t^{10}$  +  $2t^{11}$ . We see that the 12-components of Q(t) are  $Q_0(t) = Q_2(t) = Q_3(t) = Q_4(t) =$  $Q_5(t) = Q_7(t) = (1+t), Q_1(t) = 2t$  and  $Q_6(t) = Q_8(t) = Q_9(t) = Q_{10}(t) = 0$  $Q_{11}(t) = 2.$ We also have  $\widehat{Q}(t) = t^{19}Q(t^{-1}) = Q(t).$  $\omega_{12}(Q) = 13, \ \delta_{12}(Q) = 6, \ \Omega_{12}(Q) = 1, \ \Delta_{12}(Q) = 7.$  $N_{2,0}(t) = t + 1, N_{2,1}(t) = t.$  $U_r = Q_r$  for all r.  $V_2(U_r, t) = 2t + 1$  for  $r \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}, V_2(U_1, t) = 2t$  and  $V_2(U_r, t) = 2(t + 1)$  for  $r \in \{6, 8, 9, 10, 11\}.$ We obtain  $H_2(Q, 12k+r) = 2k+1$  for  $r \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}, H_2(Q, 12k+1) = 2k$  and  $H_2(Q, 12k+r) = 2(k+1)$  for  $r \in \{6, 8, 9, 10, 11\}.$ 

EXAMPLE 2.2 Let  $Q(t) = F_6(t) = 1 + t^2 + t^3 + t^4 + t^5 + t^7 = \frac{(1-t^6)^2}{(1-t^2)(1-t^3)}$ . We take p = 6, n = 7 and m = 2. We obtain  $\omega_6(Q) = 7, \ \delta_6(Q) = 0, \ \Omega_6(Q) = 1, \ \Delta_6(Q) = 1$ .  $U_r = Q_r \text{ for all } r$ .  $N_{2,0}(t) = t + 1, N_{2,1}(t) = t$ .  $V_2(U_r, t) = t + 1 \text{ for } r \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \text{ and } V_2(U_1, t) = t$ .  $H_2(Q, 6k + r) = k + 1 \text{ for } r \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \text{ and } H_2(Q, 6k + 1) = k$ . We observe that  $H_2(F_6, .) = H_2(F_{12}, .)$ .

Given  $\Phi(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[[t, t^{-1}]]$ , we write  $\Phi(t) = \sum_n \varphi(n) t^n$  and we introduce

$$g(\Phi) = \sup\{n \mid \phi(n) = 0\}.$$
  

$$S'(\Phi) = \{n \ge 0 \mid \varphi(n) = 0\}.$$
  

$$S(\Phi) = \{0 \le n \le g(\Phi) \mid \varphi(n) \ne 0\}.$$
  

$$N'(\Phi) = \text{Card } S'(\Phi).$$
  

$$N(\Phi) = \text{Card } S(\Phi).$$

LEMMA 2.3 Given  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q(t) = \sum_j q_j t^j \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $Q(1) \neq 0$ , we consider  $\Phi(t) = \sum_n \varphi(n) t^n$  the expansion of  $(1-t)^{-m}Q(t)$  as a formal power series. Then, the following conditions hold

- 1.  $\varphi(n) = V_m(Q, n)$  for all  $n > \deg(Q) m$ .
- 2. We suppose that m > 0 and Q(t) has nonnegative coefficients. Then,
  - (a)  $\varphi(n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow n < \omega(Q).$
  - (b)  $g(\Phi) = \omega(Q) 1.$
  - (c)  $N'(\Phi) = \max\{\omega(Q), 0\}$ . In particular,  $N'(\Phi) = \omega(Q)$  if  $Q(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ .

PROOF. 1. Suppose m > 0. We have  $\Phi(t) = (1-t)^{-m}Q(t) = (\sum_j q_j t^j) \sum_{j \ge 0} {\binom{j+m-1}{m-1}} t^j$ . So  $\varphi(n) = \sum_{j=\omega(Q)}^n q_j {\binom{n-j+m-1}{m-1}}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\binom{n-j+m-1}{m-1} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (n-j+i) \text{ if } n \ge j.$$

Hence  $\varphi(n) = V_m(Q, n)$  if  $n \ge \deg(Q)$ , in particular, the statement is true for m = 1. Now, suppose m > 1 and  $\deg(Q) - m < n < \deg(Q)$  then  $-m < n - \deg(Q) \le n-j < 0$  for all j such that  $n < j \le \deg(Q)$ . It follows that there exists  $1 \le i \le m-1$  such that n - j + i = 0 thus  $N_{m,j}(n) = 0$ . So we can write

$$V_m(Q,n) = \sum_{j=\omega(Q)}^n q_j N_{m,j}(n) = \sum_{j=\omega(Q)}^n q_j \binom{n-j+m-1}{m-1} = \varphi(n).$$

Furthermore, if  $m \leq 0$  then  $\varphi(n) = 0$  for  $n > \deg(Q) - m$  because  $\Phi(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$ and  $\deg(Q) - m = \deg \Phi(t)$ .

2. Follows from the fact that  $\varphi(n) = \sum_{j=\omega(Q)}^{n} q_j \binom{n-j+m-1}{m-1} > 0$  if  $n \ge \omega(Q)$  and  $\varphi(n) = 0$  if  $n < \omega(Q) \square$ 

THEOREM 2.4 Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given  $Q(t) = \sum_j q_j t^j \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $Q(1) \neq 0$ , we consider  $\Phi(t) = \sum_n \varphi(n)t^n$  the expansion of  $(1 - t^p)^{-m}Q(t)$  as a formal power series. Then the following conditions hold

- 1.  $\varphi(n) = H_m(Q, n)$  for all  $n > \deg(Q) mp$ .
- 2. We suppose that m > 0 and Q(t) has nonnegative coefficients. Then,
  - (a)  $\varphi(pk+r) = 0 \Leftrightarrow k < \omega(Q_r).$
  - (b)  $g(\Phi) = \omega_p(Q) p = \deg(Q) p \delta_p(\hat{Q})$  where  $\hat{Q}(t) = t^{\deg(Q)}Q(t^{-1})$ .
  - (c)  $N'(\Phi) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \max\{\omega(Q_r), 0\}.$ In particular,  $N'(\Phi) = \Omega_p(Q)$  if  $Q(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t].$

 $p(\deg(Q_r)-m)+r \Rightarrow k>\deg(Q_r)-m=\deg(U_r)-m_r.$ 2 (a) follows from lemma 2.3.2 (a).

b) We have  $g(\Phi) = \max\{pg(\Phi_r) + r \mid 0 \le r \le p-1\} = \max\{p(\omega(Q_r) - 1) + r \mid 0 \le r \le p-1\} = \omega_p(Q) - p$ . Moreover, if  $Q_r \ne 0$  for all r we have  $\omega_p(Q) - p = \deg(Q) - p - \delta_p(\hat{Q})$  by (9). Since  $\omega_p(Q) = +\infty = -\delta_p(\hat{Q})$  if  $Q_r = 0$  for some r, the equality is still true in this case. c) Follows from lemma 2.3.2 (c)  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 2.5 Let  $\xi = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{p}}$  be a primitive p-th root of unity and  $Q(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^r Q_r(t^p) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent

- 1.  $Q(\xi^j) = 0$  for 0 < j < p.
- 2.  $Q(1) = pQ_r(1)$  for  $0 \le r \le p 1$ .

PROOF. By successive substitutions of  $1, \xi, \ldots, \xi^{p-1}$  for t in  $Q(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^r Q_r(t^p)$ we obtain a Vandermonde linear system  $\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \xi^{rj} Q_r(1) = Q(\xi^j)$  for  $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$ . If  $Q(\xi) = \cdots = Q(\xi^{p-1}) = 0$ , the unique solution is  $Q_r(1) = \frac{1}{p}Q(1)$  for every  $0 \le r \le p-1$ . Conversely, if  $\frac{Q(1)}{p}$  is the common value of the  $Q_r(1)$  then  $\frac{Q(1)}{p} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \xi^{rj} = 0 = Q(\xi^j)$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, p-1$ 

LEMMA 2.6 Let p, q, u be positive integers and  $Q(t), K(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that p = qu and  $K(t^u) = Q(t)$ . We denote by  $Q_r$  (resp.  $K_s$ ) the p-components of Q (resp. the q-components of K). Then,

- 1.  $Q_{su} = K_s$  and  $Q_r = 0$  for all  $r \notin u\mathbb{Z}$ .
- 2. We set  $\xi = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{p}}$ , then the following conditions are equivalent
  - (a)  $Q(\xi^j) = 0$  for 0 < j < q.
  - (b)  $Q(\xi^q) = qQ_r(1) = K(1)$  for all  $r \in u\mathbb{Z}$ .

PROOF. We can write  $Q(t) = K(t^u) = \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} t^{us} K_s(t^p)$ . It follows from the uniqueness of the  $Q_r$  that  $Q_{su} = K_s$  for  $0 \le s < q$ . Now,  $Q(\xi^q) = K(1)$  and  $Q(\xi^j) = K(\alpha^j)$  with  $\alpha = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{q}} = \xi^u$ . We apply lemma 2.5  $\Box$ 

For every  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ , we set  $F_p(t) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p}{a_i}-1} t^{ja_i}$  the Frobenius polynomial of A. We write  $F_{p,r}$  for the p-components of  $F_p$ . It is easy to see that for  $n = \deg(F_p) = pd - \sum_{i=1}^d a_i$ , we have  $\widehat{F}_p(t) = t^n F_p(t^{-1}) = F_p(t)$ . Let us write  $p = q\rho$  and  $a_i = b_i\rho$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , where  $\rho = \gcd(A)$ . So we can write  $F_p(t) = K(t^\rho)$  with

$$K(t) = \frac{(1 - t^q)^d}{\prod_{i=1}^d (1 - t^{b_i})}.$$

Moreover, for 0 < j < q the number  $\xi^j = e^{\frac{2ij\pi}{q}}$  is a root of  $\prod_{i=1}^d (1-t^{b_i})$  of multiplicity < d because  $gcd(b_1, ..., b_d) = 1$  whereas  $\xi^j$  is a root of  $(1-t^q)^d$  of multiplicity = d, then  $K(\xi^j) = 0$ . It follows from lemma 2.6 that  $F_{p,r} = K_{\frac{r}{\rho}}$  if  $r \in \rho\mathbb{Z}$  and  $F_{p,r} = 0$  otherwise. We also deduce that  $F_{p,r}(1) = \frac{1}{q}K(1) = \frac{\rho p^{d-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^d a_i}$  if  $r \in \rho\mathbb{Z}$ 

#### **3 FROBENIUS NUMBER AND NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS**

In the case of the Frobenius polynomial  $F_p$  we set  $\omega_p(F_p) = \omega_p(A)$ ,  $\delta_p(F_p) = \delta_p(A)$ ,  $\Omega_p(F_p) = \Omega_p(A)$ ,  $\Delta_p(F) = \Delta_p(A)$ .

THEOREM 3.1 For every  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ , we have

- 1.  $g(A) = \omega_p(A) p = p(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \delta_p(A) = l(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \delta_l(A).$
- 2.  $N'(A) = \Omega_p(A) = \Omega_l(A).$
- 3.  $N(A) = \Delta_p(A) \delta_p(A) = \Delta_l(A) \delta_l(A).$

PROOF. We see that for every  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ , the function  $\Phi(t) = (1 - t^p)^{-d}F_p(t) = \sum_n s(n)t^n$  is the generating function of the s(n) so  $g(A) = g(\Phi)$ . 1. follows from theorem 2.4.2 (b).

- 2. follows from theorem 2.4.2 (b).
- 3. is a consequence of  $(10)\Box$

## COROLLARY 3.2

1. For every  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$g(A) = p(d-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i$$
 if and only if  $\delta_p(A) = 0$ .

- 2.  $g(A) = +\infty$  if and only if  $\rho > 1$ .
- 3. If  $\rho = 1$ , we have the following upper bound for the Frobenius number

$$g(A) \le l(d-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i.$$

4. If there exists h such that  $1 \le h \le d$  and  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_h) = 1$  then  $g(A) \le lcm(a_1, \ldots, a_h)(h-1) - \sum_{i=1}^h a_i.$ 

REMARK 3.3 The upper bound we give in 3) improves the following inequality

$$g(A) \le l(d-1)$$

proved by Chrzastowski-Wachtel and mentioned in [9].

COROLLARY 3.4 Suppose gcd(A) = 1. Then the following conditions hold

- 1. S(A) is symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow \Delta_p(A) = \Omega_p(A) + \delta_p(A)$  for some  $p \in l\mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow \Delta_p(A) = \Omega_p(A) + \delta_p(A)$  for all  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ .
- 2. S(A) is peudo-symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow \Delta_p(A) + 1 = \Omega_p(A) + \delta_p(A)$  for some  $p \in l\mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow \Delta_p(A) + 1 = \Omega_p(A) + \delta_p(A)$  for all  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ .

We suppose gcd(A) = 1. Let  $q_1, ..., q_d$  be positive integers such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq d, q_i$  is a divisor of  $gcd(a_1, ..., a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, ..., a_d)$ . So  $gcd(q_i, q_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  because gcd(A) = 1. We set  $\hat{q} = \prod_{j=1}^d q_j, \hat{q}_i = \prod_{j\neq i} q_j, a_i = b_i \hat{q}_i$  and  $B = \{b_1, ..., b_d\}$ . We have gcd(B) = 1 and  $l = lcm(A) = \hat{q}lcm(B)$ . For  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ , we write  $p = \hat{q}u$  with  $u \in lcm(B)\mathbb{N}$ .

THEOREM 3.5 The following formulas hold

1. 
$$\delta_p(A) = \hat{q}\delta_u(B).$$
  
2.  $\omega_p(A) = \hat{q}\omega_u(B) + \sum_{i=1}^d (q_i - 1)a_i.$   
3.  $\Omega_p(A) = \hat{q}\Omega_u(B) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d (q_i - 1)a_i - \hat{q} + 1 \right).$   
4.  $\Delta_p(A) = \hat{q}\Delta_u(B) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d (q_i - 1)a_i - \hat{q} + 1 \right).$ 

In order to prove this theorem we need a lemma.

LEMMA 3.6 Let q and c be two positive integers,  $B = \{b_1, .., b_{d-1}, c\}$ , and  $A = \{a_1, .., a_{d-1}, c\}$  where  $a_1 = qb_1, .., a_{d-1} = qb_{d-1}$ . Suppose gcd(A) = 1 and choose  $p \in lcm(B)\mathbb{N}$  so gcd(q, c) = 1 and  $qp \in lcm(A)\mathbb{N}$ . Then, the following formulas hold

1.  $\delta_{qp}(A) = q \delta_p(B).$ 2.  $\omega_{qp}(A) = q \omega_p(B) + (q-1)c.$ 3.  $\Omega_{qp}(A) = q \Omega_p(B) + \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(c-1).$ 4.  $\Delta_{qp}(A) = q \Delta_p(B) + \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(c-1).$ 

PROOF. We denote by

$$F(t) = F_p(t) = \frac{(1-t^p)^d}{(1-t^c)\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}(1-t^{b_i})} = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^r F_r(t^p)$$

the Frobenius polynomial associated with B and

$$G(t) = G_{qp}(t) = \frac{(1 - t^{qp})^d}{(1 - t^c) \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (1 - t^{a_i})} = \sum_{s=0}^{qp-1} t^s G_s(t^{qp})$$

the Frobenius polynomial associated with A. We see that

$$G(t) = (1 + t^{c} + ... + t^{(q-1)c})F(t^{q}) = (1 + t^{c} + ... + t^{(q-1)c})\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^{qr}F_{r}(t^{qp}).$$

So we obtain

$$G(t) = \sum_{\substack{k=ic+jq\\0\le i\le q-1}} t^k F_j(t^{qp}) = \sum_{\substack{0\le k=ic+jq\le qp-1\\0\le i\le q-1}} t^k F_j(t^{qp}) + \sum_{\substack{k>qp-1\\0\le i\le q-1}} t^{k-qp} t^{qp} F_j(t^{qp})$$

By identification we deduce that  $G_s(t^{qp}) = F_j(t^{qp})$  when s = ic + jq and  $G_s(t^{qp}) = t^{qp}F_j(t^{qp})$  when s = ic + jq - qp = ic - (p - j)q. In particular, we have deg $(G_s) = deg(F_j)$  and  $\omega(G_s) = \omega(F_j)$  when s = ic + jq and deg $(G_s) = 1 + deg(F_j)$  and  $\omega(G_s) = 1 + \omega(F_j)$  when s = ic + jq - qp. Therefore, for all s which can be written in the form s = ic + jq we get deg $(t^sG_s(t^{qp})) = ic + jq + qp \deg(F_j)$  and  $\omega(t^sG_s(t^{qp})) = ic + jq + qp \deg(F_j)$ . For all s which can be written in the form  $s = ic + jq + qp\omega(F_j)$ . For all s which can be written in the form s = ic + jq - qp, we get deg $(t^sG_s(t^{qp})) = ic + jq + qp \deg(F_j)$  and  $\omega(t^sG_s(t^{qp})) = ic + jq - qp + qp(1 + \deg(F_j)) = ic + jq + qp \deg(F_j)$  and  $\omega(t^sG_s(t^{qp})) = ic + jq - qp + qp(1 + \omega(F_j))$ . It follows that  $\delta_{qp}(G) = \min\{ic + jq + qp \deg(F_j)\} = (q-1)c + q\max\{j + p\omega(F_j)\} = q\omega_p(F) + (q-1)c$ . We also have

$$\Omega_{qp}(G) = \sum_{s=ic+jq} \omega(G_s) + \sum_{s=ic+jq-qp} \omega(G_s) = \sum_{s=ic+jq} \omega(F_j) + \sum_{s=ic-jq} (\omega(F_j) + 1)$$

 $=q\Omega_p(F)+N'(c,q)=q\Omega_p(F)+\frac{1}{2}(q-1)(c-1).$  It follows that  $\Delta_{qp}(G)=\Omega_{qp}(G)+\delta_{qp}(G)=q(\Omega_p(F)+\delta_p(F))+\frac{1}{2}(q-1)(c-1)\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.5. By induction on the number h = d - k + 1 such  $q_1 = q_2 = ... = q_{k-1} = 1$ . If h = 1 the result is given by lemma 3.6. Suppose that the result is true when  $q_1 = q_2 = ... = q_{k-1} = 1$ . We choose  $p \in \text{lcm}(A)\mathbb{N}$  and we set  $v = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ ,  $t_i = q_i$  for  $i \neq k$  and  $t_k = 1$ . Then, we get  $\hat{t}_i = \frac{\hat{q}_i}{q_k}$  for all  $i \neq k$ ,  $\hat{t}_k = \hat{q}_k$  and  $\hat{t} = \frac{\hat{q}}{q_k}$ . We also have  $\frac{a_i}{q_k} = \frac{b_i \hat{q}_i}{q_k} = b_i \hat{t}_i$  for all  $i \neq k$  and  $a_k = b_k \hat{t}_k$ . We put  $c_i = b_i \hat{t}_i$  for all i and  $C = \{c_1, ..., c_d\}$ , thus  $a_i = q_k c_i$  for all  $i \neq k$  and  $a_k = c_k$ . It follows from lemma 3.6 and the induction hypothesis that 1)  $\delta_p(A) = q_k \delta_v(C) = q_k \hat{t} \delta_u(B) = \hat{q} \delta_u(B)$ . 2)  $\omega_p(A) = q_k \omega_v(C) + (q_k - 1)c_k = q_k \{\hat{t} \omega_u(B) + \sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - 1)c_i\} + (q_k - 1)c_k = \hat{q} \omega_u(B) + \sum_{i=1}^d (q_i - 1)a_i$ . 3) $\Omega_r(A) = q_n \Omega_r(C) + \frac{1}{2}(q_i - 1)(a_k - 1) = q_k \{\hat{t} \Omega_r(B) + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - 1)c_i - \hat{t} + 1)\} + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - 1)c_i - \hat{t} + 1)\}$ 

$$3)\Omega_p(A) = q_k\Omega_v(C) + \frac{1}{2}(q_k - 1)(a_k - 1) = q_k\{t\Omega_u(B) + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - 1)c_i - t + 1)\} + \frac{1}{2}(q_k - 1)(a_k - 1) = \hat{q}\Omega_u(B) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d (q_i - 1)a_i - \hat{q} + 1\right).$$
  

$$4) \ \Delta_p(A) = \Omega_p(A) + \delta_p(A) = \hat{q}\Delta_u(B) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d (q_i - 1)a_i - \hat{q} + 1\right) \square$$

THEOREM 3.7 The following formulas hold

1.  $g(A) = \hat{q}g(B) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} (q_i - 1)a_i.$ 2.  $N'(A) = \hat{q}N'(B) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} (q_i - 1)a_i - \hat{q} + 1 \right).$ 3.  $N(A) = \hat{q}N(B) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} (q_i - 1)a_i - \hat{q} + 1 \right).$ 

REMARK 3.8 In formula 1) if we take  $q_1 = ... = q_{d-1} = 1$  then we obtain a Brauer and Shockley formula [5] and if we take  $q_i = \text{gcd}(A \setminus \{a_i\})$  for all *i*, we obtain a Raczunas and Chrzastowski-Wachtel formula [9]. Moreover formula 2) is a generalization of a Rödseth formula [10] which is obtained for  $q_1 = ... = q_{d-1} = 1$ .

#### THEOREM 3.9 The following conditions hold

1. S(A) is symmetric if and only if S(B) is symmetric.

2. If  $\hat{q} > 1$  then S(A) is not pseudo-symmetric.

COROLLARY 3.10 Suppose there exists i such that  $b_i = 1$  (i.e.  $a_i = \hat{q}_i$ ). Then, S(A) is symmetric and we have

- 1. (a)  $g(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (q_i 1)a_i \hat{q}.$ (b)  $N(A) = N'(A) = \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^{d} (q_i - 1)a_i - \hat{q} + 1).$
- 2. Suppose, in addition, that  $b_i = 1$  (i.e.  $a_i = \hat{q}_i$ ) for all *i*. Then, we have
  - (a)  $g(A) = l(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i$ .
  - (b)  $N(A) = N'(A) = \frac{1}{2}(l(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i + 1).$

PROOF. Since  $1 \in B$ , we have  $S(B) = \mathbb{N}_0$  then g(B) = -1 and N(B) = N'(B) = 0. So 1. follows from theorem 3.7. To prove 2., we observe that  $q_i a_i = \hat{q} = l = \operatorname{lcm}(A)$  if  $a_i = \hat{q}_i$  for all  $i\Box$ 

COROLLARY 3.11 Let b, d, h, v be positive integers such that  $b \ge d \ge 2$  and gcd(b, v) = 1. Let  $B = \{b, hb + v, ..., hb + (i - 1)v, ..., hb + (d - 1)v\}$ ,  $((b_1, ..., b_d)$  is called an "almost" arithmetic sequence). Then,

S(A) is symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow S(B)$  is symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow d = 2$  or  $b \equiv 2 \mod(d-1)$ .

PROOF. We write  $b - 1 = \beta(d - 1) + \alpha$  with  $0 \le \alpha < d - 1$ , and we use the following known formulas  $g(B) = \left(h \left\lfloor \frac{b-2}{d-1} \right\rfloor + h - 1\right)b + bv - v$  [8] and  $N'(B) = \frac{1}{2}\{(b-1)(h\beta + v + h - 1) + h\alpha(\beta + 1)\}$  [11]  $\Box$ 

EXAMPLE 3.12 Let  $A = \{150, 462, 840, 1365\} = \{5(2 \times 3 \times 5), 11(2 \times 3 \times 7), 12(2 \times 5 \times 7), 13(3 \times 5 \times 7)\}$ . We set  $q_1 = 7, q_2 = 5, q_3 = 3, q_4 = 2$  and  $B = \{5, 11, 12, 13\}$ . This is an almost arithmetic sequence with b = 5, v = 1, h = 2, d = 4. We see that  $b \equiv 2 \mod(d-1)$  hence S(B) is symmetric and we have g(B) = 19, N'(B) = N(B) = 10. Moreover, it follows from theorem 3.9 that S(A) is symmetric. Using theorem 3.7 we obtain  $g(A) = 210 \times 19 + 6 \times 150 + 4 \times 462 + 2 \times 840 + 1365 = 9783$ .  $N'(A) = N(A) = 210 \times 10 + \frac{1}{2}(6 \times 150 + 4 \times 462 + 2 \times 840 + 1365 - 210 + 1) = 4892$ .

#### 4 QUASI-POLYNOMIALS

DEFINITION 4.1 A quasi-polynomial P of period p and degree d is a sequence  $P = (P_0, \ldots, P_{p-1})$  with  $P_r \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  such that  $d = \sup\{\deg(P_r) \mid 0 \le r \le p-1\}$ .

A quasi-polynomial P is said to be *uniform* if all the  $P_r$  have the same degree dand the same leading coefficient c(P). Given a function  $h : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define  $h_r : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $k \mapsto h(pk + r)$ . We say that h is a quasi-polynomial function if there exists a quasi-polynomial  $P = (P_0, \ldots, P_{p-1})$  such that  $h_r(k) = P_r(k)$  for all  $k \gg 0$  and  $0 \le r \le p$ . We also say that h is P-quasi-polynomial. It is easily seen that a quasi-polynomial function h has a minimal period and every period of h is a multiple of this minimal period. Furthermore, for a fixed period p, his a P-quasi-polynomial for a unique sequence  $P = (P_0, \ldots, P_{p-1})$ . A P-quasipolynomial h is said to be uniform if P is uniform. We write  $\deg(h) = \deg(P)$ and c(h) = c(P). We denote by  $F(\mathbb{Z})$  the set of all functions  $h : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ . For every integer  $i \ge 0$  we consider the operators  $E^i$  and  $\Delta_i$ , which act as follows:  $(E^ih)(n) = h(n+i), \ (\Delta_ih)(n) = h(n+i) - h(n).$  We set  $E^0 = I, \ E^1 = E$  and  $\Delta_1 = \Delta$  so  $\Delta = E - I, \ \Delta_0 = 0$  and  $\Delta_i = E^i - I.$  For  $a \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ , we have  $(I + E^a + \dots + E^{(n-1)a}) \circ (E^a - I) = E^{na} - I = \Delta_{na}.$ 

LEMMA 4.2 Given  $h \in F(\mathbb{Z})$ , then the following identities hold

- 1.  $(E^{pi}h)_r = E^i h_r \text{ for } i \ge 0.$
- 2.  $(\Delta_p^m h)_r = \Delta^m h_r$  for  $m \ge 0$ .

PROOF. 1. We write  $(E^{pi}h)_r(k) = (E^{pi}h)(pk+r) = h(p(k+i)+r) = h_r(k+i) = (E^ih_r)(k)$ .

2. We have  $\Delta_p^m = (E^p - I)^m = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} {m \choose i} E^{pi}$ . Therefore,  $(\Delta_p^m h)_r = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} {m \choose i} (E^{pi} h)_r = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} {m \choose i} E^i h_r = (E - I)^m h_r = \Delta^m h_r \square$ 

PROPOSITION 4.3 A function  $h \in F(\mathbb{Z})$  is quasi-polynomial of period p and degree d if and only if there exists  $(c_0, \ldots, c_{p-1}) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$  such that  $(\Delta_p^d h)_r(k) = c_r$  for all  $k \gg 0$  and  $0 \le r \le p-1$ .

PROOF. Follows from lemma 4.2 and [6, lemma 4.1.2]  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 4.4 For  $h \in F(\mathbb{Z})$ , if  $\prod_{i=1}^{d} (E^{a_i} - I)(h)(n) = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$ , then h is quasi-polynomial of period  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$  and degree < d.

PROOF. Follows from  $\Delta_p^d = (E^p - I)^d = (\prod_{i=1}^d (\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p}{a_i} - 1} E^{ja_i})) \circ (\prod_{i=1}^d (E^{a_i} - I) \square$ 

EXAMPLE 4.5 Given  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $Q(1) \neq 0$ . The function  $H_m(Q, .)$  associated with Q is a P-quasi-polynomial of period p, where  $P = (P_0, .., P_{p-1})$  is given by  $P_r = V_{m_r}(U_r, .)$ .

REMARK 4.6 Suppose m > 0. Then, we have

- 1.  $\deg(H_m(Q,.)) = m 1.$
- 2.  $m_r > 0 \Rightarrow \deg(P_r) = m_r 1$  and  $c(P_r) = \frac{U_r(1)}{(m_r 1)!}$
- 3. If  $Q(1) = pQ_r(1) \neq 0$  for all  $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ , then  $H_m(Q, .)$  is uniform of degree m-1 and its leading coefficient is  $c(H_m(Q, .)) = \frac{Q_r(1)}{(d-1)!} = \frac{Q(1)}{p(d-1)!}$ .
- 4. Suppose p = qu and there exists  $K(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $K(t^u) = Q(t)$ , we set  $\xi = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{p}}$ . If  $Q(\xi^j) = 0$  for 0 < j < q and  $Q(\xi^q) \neq 0$ . Then, the following conditions hold
  - (a)  $P_r = 0$  if  $r \notin u\mathbb{Z}$ .

(b) 
$$\deg(P_r) = m - 1$$
 and  $c(P_r) = \frac{Q_r(1)}{(m-1)!} = \frac{Q(\xi^q)}{p(m-1)!}$  if  $r \in u\mathbb{Z}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.7 Let m > 0 be an integer and  $h \in F(\mathbb{Z})$  be a function satisfying h(n) = 0 for  $n \ll 0$ . We consider  $\Phi(t) = \sum_n h(n)t^n$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent

- 1. *h* is quasi-polynomial of period p and of degree m 1.
- 2.  $(1-t^p)^m \Phi(t) = Q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]$  and there exists a p-component  $Q_r$  of Q such that  $Q_r(1) \neq 0$ .
- 3. There exists a unique  $Q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $degH_m(Q, .) = m 1$  and  $H_m(Q, n) = h(n)$  for n > deg(Q) pm.

In particular, h is a uniform quasi-polynomial function of period p and degree m-1 if and only if there exists a unique  $Q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $Q(1) \neq 0, Q(e^{j\frac{2i\pi}{p}}) = 0$  for all 0 < j < p and  $H_m(Q, n) = h(n)$  for  $n > \deg(Q) - pm$ . In this case, the leading coefficient is  $c(h) = \frac{Q(1)}{p(m-1)!}$ .

PROOF. Assume 1. and set  $\Phi_r(t) = \sum_n h_r(n)t^n$  for all  $0 \le r \le p-1$ . It follows from [6, 4.1.7] that  $(1-t)^m \Phi_r(t) = Q_r(t) \in Z[t, t^{-1}]$ . Since deg $(h) = m-1 \ge 0$ , there exists  $0 \le r \le p-1$  such that  $Q_r(1) \ne 0$ . Setting  $Q(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^r Q_r(t^p)$  we deduce 2.

 $2. \Rightarrow 3.$  follows from theorem 2.4.

 $3. \Rightarrow 1.$  follows from the definition of  $H_m$ .

The particular case follows from lemma 2.6 and remark 4.6  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 4.8 Let N(t) be an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $N(1) \neq 0$  and  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ . We set  $\Phi(t) = \sum_n h(n)t^n$  the expansion of

$$\frac{N(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - t^{a_i})} = (1 - t^p)^{-d} N(t) F_p(t)$$

as a formal Laurent series. Then,  $h(n) = H_d(NF_p, n)$  for  $n > \deg(N) - \sum_{i=1}^d a_i$ . Moreover, if in addition  $\gcd(A) = 1$ , then  $h = H_d(NF_p, .)$  is uniform of degree d-1 and its leading coefficient is  $c(h) = \frac{N(1)p^{d-1}}{(d-1)!\prod_{i=1}^d a_i}$ .

EXAMPLE 4.9 We write s(n) for the number of solutions of the equation  $a_1x_1 + ... + a_dx_d = n$  in nonnegative integers we get  $s(n) = H_d(F_p, n)$  for all  $n \ge 0$  where  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$ . In particular, if gcd(A) = 1 then  $n \mapsto s(n)$  is a uniform quasi-polynomial function of degree d-1 and of leading coefficient  $c(s) = \frac{p^{d-1}}{(d-1)!\prod_{i=1}^{d} a_i}$ .

For instance, the number of solutions of the equation  $2x_1 + 3x_2 = n$  is  $s(n) = H_2(F_6, n)$  (see example 2.2).

EXAMPLE 4.10 Let  $R_0$  be a commutative ring and  $R = R_0[t_1, \ldots, t_d]$ . Suppose that R is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded in such a way that every element of  $R_0$  is homogeneous of degree zero and each  $t_i$  is homogeneous of degree  $a_i$ . Let  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  be a finitely generated graded R-module such that the length  $l_{R_0}(M_n)$  of each  $M_n$  as an  $R_0$ - module is finite. The numerical function  $H^0(M, .) : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, n \mapsto l_{R_0}(M_n)$ is called the Hilbert function of M. The iterated cumulative Hilbert functions are defined by  $H^{j+1}(M, n) = \sum_{i=0}^n H^j(M, i)$ . The Poincaré series of M is denoted by  $P_M(t) = \sum_n H^0(M, n)t^n$ . By the Hilbert-Samuel theorem [3, 4.2 Theorem 1] there exists  $Q_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$  such that  $Q_M(1) \neq 0$  and  $P_M(t) = \frac{Q_M(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^d (1-t^{a_i})}$ . Moreover, it is known that  $H^0(M, .)$  is quasi-polynomial [2]. Given  $p \in l\mathbb{N}$  and  $j \ge 1$  we set  $a_{d+1} = \cdots = a_{d+j} = 1$ . So the generating function of the  $H^j(M, .)$  is

$$\sum_{n} H^{j}(M, n)t^{n} = \frac{P_{M}(t)}{(1-t)^{j}} = \frac{Q_{M}(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d+j} (1-t^{a_{i}})}$$

It follows from corollary 4.8 that  $H^j(M,n) = H_{d+j}(Q_M F_p, n)$  for all  $j \ge 0$  and  $n > \deg(Q_M) - \sum a_i$ . Moreover, if j > 0 or j = 0 and  $\gcd(A) = 1$  then  $H^j(M, .)$  is a uniform quasi-polynomial function of degree d + j - 1 and of leading coefficient  $c(H^j(M, n)) = \frac{Q_M(1)p^{d+j-1}}{(d+j-1)!\prod_{i=1}^d a_i}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- V. Barucci, D. E. Dobbs and M. Fontana, Maximality Properties in Numerical Semigroups and Applications to One-Dimentional Analytically Irreducible Local Domains. Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. vol 125, no 598: 1-78, 1997.
- V.V. Bavula, Identification of the Hilbert function and Poincaré series, and the dimension of modules over filtred rings. Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 44, n° 2: 225-246, 1995.
- 3. N. Bourbaki, Algèbre commutative. Chapitre 8, Masson, 1983.
- 4. A. Brauer, On a problem of partitions, Amer. J. Math. 64: 299-312, 1942.
- A. Brauer and J. E. Shockley, On a problem of Frobenius. J. Reine Angew. Math. 211: 215-220, 1962.
- W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay Rings. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1993.
- R. Fröberg, The Frobenius number of some semigroups. Comm. in Algebra 22, 14: 6021-6024, 1994.
- M. Lewin, On a problem of Frobenius for an almost consecutive set of integers. J. Reine Angew. Math. 273: 134-137, 1975.
- M. Raczunas and P. Chrzastowski-Wachtel, A Diophantine problem of Frobenius in terms of the least common multiple. Discrete Mathematics, 150: 347-357, 1996.
- O.J. Rödseth, On a linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius. J. Reine Angew. Math. 301: 171-178, 1978.
- E.S. Selmer, A linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius. J. Reine Angew. Math. 293/294: 1-17, 1977.