HAL open science

COMPUTING THE FROBENIUS NUMBER

Abdallah Badra

To cite this version:

Abdallah Badra. COMPUTING THE FROBENIUS NUMBER. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2010, 60 (1), pp.89-105. hal-00477408

HAL Id: hal-00477408

https://hal.science/hal-00477408v1

Submitted on 30 Apr 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

COMPUTING THE FROBENIUS NUMBER

Abdallah Badra
Laboratoire de Mathématiques,
Université Blaise Pascal, Les Cézeaux,
63177 Aubière Cedex, France
E-mail : abdallah.badra@math.univ-bpclermont.fr

Abstract. The Frobenius number $g(A)$ of a finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(A) = 1$ is the largest integer which cannot be expressed as $\sum_{a \in A} a x_a$ with non-negative integers x_a. We present an algorithm for the computation of $g(A)$. Without loss of generality we suppose that there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. We give a formula for $g(A)$ in the particular case that for all $c, d \in A$, $c + d$ can be written in the form $c + d = xa + yb$ with $x, y \geq 0$ (e.g. $c + d > ab - a - b$). Using Euler polynomials we give a formula for $g(A)$ in the case that $A = \{a, b, c\}$.

AMS subj. Classification: 11D04
Key Words: Frobenius number, linear diophantine equation.

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Throughout this paper, small letters denote integers. We will set $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3 \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We consider a finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(A) = 1$. We define $S(A)$ the additive semigroup of $\mathbb{N}_0$ generated by A i.e. $S(A) = \{\sum_{a \in A} x_a a \mid x_a \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. The Frobenius number $g(A)$ is defined as the largest integer which does not belong to $S(A)$. We are interested in computing $g(A)$ and equivalently $f(A) = g(A) + \sum_{a \in A} a$ the largest integer which cannot be expressed as $\sum_{a \in A} a x_a$ with positive integers x_a. It is well known that $g(a, b) = ab - a - b$ if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, and $g(A) = -1$ if

and only if $1 \in A$.

For $\mathrm{card}(A) \geq 3$, no general formula for $g(A)$ is known, except in particular cases, see [6] and [8]. Algorithms are developed in [4] and [7] in the case $A = \{a, b, c\}$.

Without loss of generality, we can consider only sets A containing two coprime integers a, b (see section 2). We fix two integers $a > 1$ and $b > 1$ such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, and $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let $A = \{a, b, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$.

For all $\mathbf{t} = (t_1 \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we set $\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} = \sum_1^n t_i c_i$.

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist unique integers $\hat{m}$ and $\check{m}$ such that $m = \hat{m}a - \check{m}b$ with $0 \leq \hat{m} < b$. We write $\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} = b(\mathbf{t})a - a(\mathbf{t})b$ where $b(\mathbf{t}) = \overset{\wedge}{\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}}$ and $a(\mathbf{t}) = \overset{\vee}{\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}}$.

A finite subset T of $\mathbb{N}_0^n$ is said to be *appropriate* if

$$S(A) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{t} \in T} (S(a, b) + \mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}). \tag{1}$$

It is said to be *pruned* if $\mathbf{0} \in T$ and for $\mathbf{t} \in T \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we have $b(\mathbf{t}) > 0$ and $a(\mathbf{t}) > 0$.

Let T be an *appropriate* and *pruned* subset of $\mathbb{N}_0^n$. We can write $T = \{\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_l\}$ with $0 = b(\mathbf{t}_0) < b(\mathbf{t}_1) \leq b(\mathbf{t}_2) \leq \cdots \leq b(\mathbf{t}_l) < b$. We set $b_i = b(\mathbf{t}_i), a_i = a(\mathbf{t}_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq l$, $b_{l+1} = b$ and $a_{l+1} = a$.

We obtain the sequences

$$0 = b_0 < b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_l < b_{l+1} = b$$

and

$$0 = a_0 < a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l < a_{l+1} = a.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq l$, we set $m_i = \max\{a_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq i\}$ and $g_i = (b_{i+1} - 1)a - (m_i + 1)b$.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.

$$g(A) = \max\{g_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq l\}. \tag{2}$$

A subset $M \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ is said to be *trimmed* if for all $m \in M \setminus \{0\}, \check{m} > 0$ and for all $m, d \in M$,

$$\hat{m} < \hat{d} \Leftrightarrow \check{m} < \check{d}. \tag{3}$$

We show that there exists an *appropriate* set T such that $T.\mathbf{c} = \{\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} \mid \mathbf{t} \in T\}$ is *trimmed.*

Theorem 2. *Let T be an appropriate set. If $T.\mathbf{c}$ is trimmed then*

$$g(A) = \max\{a(b_{i+1} - b_i) + \mathbf{t}_i.\mathbf{c} \mid 0 \leq i \leq l\} - (a + b) \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{t}_0 = \mathbf{0}$.

We give an algorithm for computing $g(A)$. We use Theorem 1 if we start with an arbitrary *appropriate* set T. And we use Theorem 2 if we start with an *appropriate* set T such that $T.\mathbf{c}$ is *trimmed.*

In a particular case we derive a formula for $g(A)$ from Theorem 2:

Theorem 3. *If $\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ is trimmed and for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,we have $c_i + c_j \in S(a, b)$ (e.g. $c_i + c_j > ab - a - b$) then*

$$g(A) = \max\{a(b_{i+1} - b_i) + c_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\} - (a + b) \tag{5}$$

where $b_{n+1} = b, b_0 = 0, c_0 = 0$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b_i = \hat{c}_i$.

In the case $n = 1$, i.e. $A = \{a, b, c\}$, we take $c \notin S(a, b)$ and we write $c = au_0 - bv_0$ with $0 < u_0 < b$ and $0 < v_0 < a$.

By successive Euclidean divisions we get:

$$\begin{cases} a = u_{-1} = p_0 v_0 + u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} = p_n v_n + u_{n+1}, \ldots \\ b = v_{-1} = q_0 u_0 + v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} = q_n u_n + v_{n+1}, \ldots \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

The triplet (a,b,c) is said to be *of level* $n = n(a,b,c)$ if

$$p_0 = q_0, p_1 = q_1, \cdots, p_{n-1} = q_{n-1}$$

and ($p_n \neq q_n$ or $v_{n+1} = 0$).
Let (a,b,c) a triplet of level n. For all $0 \leq i \leq n$, we set $w_{i+1} = u_{i-1} - q_i v_i$ so for $i \leq n$, $w_i = u_i$ and $w_{n+1} = (p_n - q_n)v_n + u_{n+1}$.
We denote by

$$L(a,b,c) = (L_0, L_1) = \begin{cases} (bv_n, bw_{n+1}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (av_n, aw_{n+1}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases},$$

$$l(a,b,c) = (l_0, l_1) = \begin{cases} (aw_n, av_{n+1}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (bw_n, bv_{n+1}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

For an integer n we denote by $k(n) = k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $h(n) = h = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the greatest integer such that $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$.
Theorem 4.

$$\begin{aligned} f(a,b,c) &= aw_{2h} + bw_{2k+1} - \min\{bv_{2h}, av_{2k+1}\} \\ &= l_0 + L_1 - \min\{l_1, L_0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In particular, when $p_n = q_n$ *and* $v_{n+1} = 0$,

$$f(a,b,c) = \begin{cases} a\gcd(b,c) + \operatorname{lcm}(b,c) & \textit{if } n \textit{ is even} \\ b\gcd(a,c) + \operatorname{lcm}(a,c) & \textit{if } n \textit{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Theorem 5. *Let* $(x_{-1}, x_0, \ldots, x_{l+1}), (y_{-1}, y_0, \ldots, y_{m+1}), (d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_l)$ *and* $(e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_m)$ *be sequences such that the following conditions*

$$\begin{cases} x_{-1} = d_0 x_0 - x_1, \ldots, x_{l-1} = d_l x_l - x_{l+1}, \\ y_{-1} = e_0 y_0 - y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1} = e_m y_m - y_{m+1}, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{cases} a = x_{-1} > x_0 > x_1 > \cdots > x_l > x_{l+1} = 0, \\ b = y_{-1} > y_0 > y_1 > \cdots > y_m > y_{m+1} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

hold. Let n be an integer such that

$$\begin{cases} d_0 = e_0, d_1 = e_1, \cdots, d_{n-1} = e_{n-1} \\ \text{and } (d_n \neq e_n \text{ or } x_{n+1} = 0). \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Then,

$$f(a,b,c) = ay_{n+1} + b(x_{n-1} - e_n x_n) + \max\{a(y_n - y_{n+1}), bx_n\}. \tag{12}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 5 we prove the following theorem see [7].

Theorem 6(Rodseth). *Let R_i polynomials defined by induction as follows: $R_{-1} = 0, R_0 = 1$ and for all $i > 0$,*

$$R_{i+1} = e_i R_i - R_{i-1}. \tag{13}$$

Let n be the unique integer such that

$$\frac{y_{n+1}}{R_{n+1}} \leq \frac{c}{a} < \frac{y_i}{R_i} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq n. \tag{14}$$

Then,

$$f(a,b,c) = cR_{n+1} + ay_n - \min\{ay_{n+1}, cR_n\}. \tag{15}$$

2. Reduction to the case $\gcd(a,b) = 1$

Let $A = \{b, c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(A) = 1$. We recall the following classical result: It is always possible to choose integers $x, x_1 \ldots, x_n$ such that $1 = xb + x_1c_1 + \cdots + x_nc_n$. Then, for k an integer sufficiently large, we get

$$a = 1+[k(c_1+\cdots+c_n)-x]b = (x_1+kb)c_1+\cdots+(x_n+kb)c_n \in S(c_1, \ldots, c_n).$$

Hence, $g(A) = g(A \cup \{a\})$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.
In the particular case that
$A = \{a, b, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ such that $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = d \geq 1$ we observe that $\gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$. Therefore, to compute $g(A)$ we can use Brauer's formula

$$f(A) = df(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}, \frac{c_1}{d}, \dots, \frac{c_{n-1}}{d}, c_n), \tag{16}$$

see [3].
From now on we suppose that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

3. Case $n \geq 1$

We need some remarks.
R. If $m \geq 0$ then $a > \check{m}$.
Proof. Since $m = \hat{m}a - \check{m}b \geq 0$ we have $ba > \hat{m}a \geq \check{m}b$ hence $a > \check{m}$ □
R2. Let $m = xa - yb$. Then,

$$0 \leq x < b \Leftrightarrow \hat{m} = x \Leftrightarrow \check{m} = y$$

and

$$-b \leq x < 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{m} = x + b \Leftrightarrow \check{m} = y + a.$$

Proof. To prove the second claim we write $m = xa - yb = (x + b)a - (y + a)b$ and we use the uniqueness of $\hat{m}$ and $\check{m}$ □
R. Let $m = xa - yb$. Then, there exists a unique integer $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x = pb + \hat{m}$ and $y = pa + \check{m}$.
Proof. We write $m = xa - yb = \hat{m}a - \check{m}b$ thus $(x - \hat{m})a = (y - \check{m})b$. Since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, $p = \frac{x-\hat{m}}{b} = \frac{y-\check{m}}{a}$ is an integer □
R4. We have $m \in S(a, b)$ if and only if $\check{m} \leq 0$.
Proof. Clearly $\check{m} \leq 0 \Rightarrow m = \hat{m}a - \check{m}b \in S(a, b)$. Conversely, if $m \in S(a, b)$ then $m = xa + yb$ with $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$. By **R3** there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x = pb + \hat{m} \geq 0$ thus $p \geq 0$. We also have

$-y = pa + \check{m} \leq 0$ and so $\check{m} = -y - pa \leq 0$ $\square$

R5. For $d \geq 0$ we set $G(d) = S(a,b) \bigcup (S(a,b) + d)$.

Then, we have

$$m \notin G(d) \Leftrightarrow \check{m} > 0 \text{ and } (\hat{m} < \hat{d} \text{ or } \check{m} > \check{d}).$$

Proof. Let $n = m - d$. Since $d \geq 0$, **R1** shows that $a > \check{d}$. Hence, $\check{m} - \check{d} + a > \check{m}$. Moreover, we have $n = (\hat{m} - \hat{d})a - (\check{m} - \check{d})b$ and $-b < \hat{m} - \hat{d} < b$. It follows from **R2** that $\check{n} = \check{m} - \check{d}$ if $\hat{m} - \hat{d} \geq 0$ and $\check{n} = \check{m} - \check{d} + a > \check{m}$ if $\hat{m} - \hat{d} < 0$. We deduce that

$$\check{m} > 0 \text{ and } (\hat{m} < \hat{d} \text{ or } \check{m} > \check{d})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\check{m} > 0 \text{ and } (\hat{m} - \hat{d} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \check{m} - \check{d} > 0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\check{m} > 0 \text{ and } \check{n} > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$m \notin S(a,b) \text{ and } n = m - d \notin S(a,b)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$m \notin G(d) \square$$

As a consequence of **R5** we obtain

R6. If T is *appropriate* then

$$m \notin S(A) \Leftrightarrow \check{m} > 0 \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{t} \in T, \hat{m} < b(\mathbf{t}) \text{ or } \check{m} > a(\mathbf{t}).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. For $0 \leq i \leq l$, we set

$F(i) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \hat{m} < b_{i+1} \text{ and } \check{m} > m_i\}$.

Step 1.

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus S(A) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{l} F(i). \tag{17}$$

Let $m \in F(i)$. For every $0 \leq j \leq l$, $\hat{m} < b_{i+1} \leq b_j$ if $j \geq i+1$ and $\check{m} > m_i \geq a_j$ if $j \leq i$. Hence, **R6** shows that $m \notin S(A)$.
Conversely, let $m \notin S(A)$ then $\check{m} > 0$ by **R4**. Since $b_0 = 0 \leq \hat{m} < b = b_{l+1}$ and $0 = b_0 < b_1 \leq b_1 \leq \cdots \leq b_l \leq b_{l+1} = b$, there exists $0 \leq j \leq l$ such that $\hat{m} < b_{j+1}$. We put $i = \min\{j \mid \hat{m} < b_{j+1}\}$. We thus get for $0 \leq j \leq i$, $b_j \leq b_i \leq \hat{m} < b_{i+1}$ and $\check{m} > a_j$ by **R6**. Hence, $\check{m} > m_i$. We conclude that $m \in F(i)$.
Step 2. For $0 \leq i \leq l$, $g_i = \max F(i)$.
Since $0 \leq b_{i+1} - 1 < b$, we have $\hat{g}_i = b_{i+1} - 1$ and $\check{g}_i = m_i + 1 > m_i$. We thus get $g_i \in F(i)$. Moreover, for all $m \in F(i), m = \hat{m}a - \check{m}b \leq (b_{i+1} - 1)a - (m_i + 1)b$ then $g_i = \max F(i)$ $\square$
In particular, when $T = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ i.e. when all $c_i \in S(a,b)$ we have $b_0 = 0 < b_1 = b, a_0 = 0$ and $m_0 = 0$. Therefore, $g(A) = g_0 = (b_1 - 1)a - (m_0 + 1)b = ab - a - b = g(a,b)$.
Proof of Theorem 2. If $T.\mathbf{c}$ is *trimmed* then $0 = b_0 < b_1 < b_1 < \cdots < b_l < b_{l+1} = b$ and thus $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_1 < \cdots < a_l < a_{l+1} = a$. In particular, T is *pruned* and for all $0 \leq i \leq l$, $m_i = a_i$. We can write $g_i = a(b_{i+1} - b_i) + ab_i - ba_i - (a+b) = a(b_{i+1} - b_i) + \mathbf{t}_i.\mathbf{c} - (a+b)$ $\square$
Algorithm.

1. For every i, we choose $\lambda_i > 0$ such that $\lambda_i c_i \in S(a,b)$. Numbers λ_i exist. Indeed, it is sufficient to take $\lambda_i > \frac{g(a,b)}{c_i} = \frac{ab-a-b}{c_i}$. The following set

$$U = \{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mid t_i < \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is thus *appropriate*.
We remove from U all elements $\mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that $b(\mathbf{t}) = 0$ or $a(\mathbf{t}) \leq 0$. The set T of all remaining elements is *pruned* and still *appropriate*.
We number the elements of $T = \{\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_l\}$ in such a

way that $0 = b(\mathbf{t}_0) < b(\mathbf{t}_1) \leq b(\mathbf{t}_2) \leq \cdots \leq b(\mathbf{t}_l)$. We compute $m_i = \max\{a_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq i\}$, $g_i = (b_{i+1} - 1)a - (m_i + 1)b$ and $g(A) = \max\{g_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq l\}$ where $b_i = b(\mathbf{t}_i), a_i = a(\mathbf{t}_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq l$, $b_{l+1} = b$ and $a_{l+1} = a$.

2. The algorithm can be modified as follows: For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we start removing from A all elements c_j such that $\hat{c}_i \leq \hat{c}_j$ and $\check{c}_j \leq \check{c}_i$. We choose an *appropriate* and *pruned* set $T = \{\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_l\}$. We can suppose that $0 = b(\mathbf{t}_0) < b(\mathbf{t}_1) \leq b(\mathbf{t}_2) \leq \cdots \leq b(\mathbf{t}_l) < b$. For all $0 \leq i \leq l$, we remove from T all $\mathbf{t}_j$ such that $(b(\mathbf{t}_i) \leq b(\mathbf{t}_j)$ and $a(\mathbf{t}_j) \leq a(\mathbf{t}_i))$. Considering the set of the remainding elements we can suppose that $T.\mathbf{c}$ is trimmed. The Frobenius number can therefore be computed using Theorem 2.

Proof.

1. For all $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we have $G(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}) = S(a,b) \bigcup (S(a,b) + \mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}) \subset S(A)$ thus $\bigcup_{\mathbf{t} \in U} G(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}) \subset S(A)$. Conversely, let $m \in S(A)$, then $m = xa + yb + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i c_i$ with $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ and $x_i \geq 0$. By Euclidean division we write $x_i = q_i \lambda_i + t_i$. We thus get $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in U$ and $m = xa + yb + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \lambda_i c_i + \mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}$. Since $\lambda_i c_i \in S(a,b)$, we have $m \in G(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c})$. The equality

$$S(A) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{t} \in U} G(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}) \tag{18}$$

follows. Therefore, U is *appropriate*.
By construction T is *pruned* and it is still *appropriate*. Indeed, for $\mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} \notin S(a,b)\}$ if and only if $a(\mathbf{t}) > 0$ by **R4**. Therefore,

$$T = \{\mathbf{t} \in U \mid \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0} \text{ or } \mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} \notin S(a,b)\} \tag{19}$$

and

$$S(A) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{t} \in T} G(\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c}). \tag{20}$$

2. If $\hat{c}_i \leq \hat{c}_j$ and $\check{c}_j \leq \check{c}_i$ then $c_j = c_i + (\hat{c}_j - \hat{c}_i)a + (\check{c}_i - \check{c}_j)b \in S(c_i, a, b)$. Therefore, $g(A) = g(A \setminus \{c_j\})$. If $b(\mathbf{t}_i) \leq b(\mathbf{t}_j)$ and $a(\mathbf{t}_j) \leq a(\mathbf{t}_i)$ then $\mathbf{t_j}.\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{t_i}.\mathbf{c} + (b(\mathbf{t}_j) - b(\mathbf{t}_i))a + (a(\mathbf{t}_i) - a(\mathbf{t}_j))b \in G(\mathbf{t_i}.\mathbf{c})$ thus $G(\mathbf{t_j}.\mathbf{c}) \subset G(\mathbf{t_i}.\mathbf{c})$. We see that (20) is not altered by removing $\mathbf{t_j}$ from T $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Since $2c_i \in S(a, b)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $U = \{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mid 0 \leq t_i \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is *appropriate*. Furthermore, for $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in U$, if $\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} \notin S(a, b)$ then there exists c_i and $y \in S(a, b)$ such that $\mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} = c_i + y \in G(c_i)$. Therefore, the set $T = \{\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_n\}$ where $\mathbf{t}_i = (0, \ldots, t_i, \ldots, 0)$ and $t_i = 1$ is also *appropriate*. Moreover, $T.\mathbf{c} = \{0, c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ is *trimmed* and $b(\mathbf{t}_i) = \hat{c}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ $\square$

4. Case $n = 1$

Let E be a totally ordered set and $x \in E$. We call *successor* of x in E, and we denote x^+, the smallest element of E (if there exists any) such that $x < x^+$.

For an *appropriate* set T, we put $b(T) = \{b(\mathbf{t}) \mid \mathbf{t} \in T\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = b(T) \cup \{b\}$. We equip $\mathcal{B}$ with the natural order $\leq$.

To apply Theorem 2, in the case that $n = 1$, it is convenient to formulate it as follows:

Theorem 2′. *Let T be an appropriate set such that $T.\mathbf{c}$ is trimmed. Then,*

$$g(A) = \max\{a(b(\mathbf{t})^+ - b(\mathbf{t})) + \mathbf{t}.\mathbf{c} \mid \mathbf{t} \in T\} - (a + b) \tag{21}$$

where $b(\mathbf{t})^+$ is the successor of $b(\mathbf{t})$ in $\mathcal{B}$.

Suppose that there exists integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and a partition $E_1, \dots, E_q$ of T such that $b(\mathbf{t})^+ - b(\mathbf{t}) = \alpha_i$ for all $\mathbf{t} \in E_i$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. Then,

$$g(A) = \max\{a\alpha_i + \beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq q\} - (a+b) \tag{22}$$

where we put $\beta_i = \max E_i.\mathbf{c}$.

To compute such a partition, we are led to introduce what we call Euler order on T. We will use Euler polynomials.

Euler polynomials

Let $(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_i, \dots)$ be a sequence of positive integers. We define Euler polynomials Q_i by induction as follows: $Q_{-1} = 0, Q_0 = 1$ and for $i \geq 0$,

(23)
$$Q_{i+1}(q_0, \dots, q_i) = q_i Q_i(q_0, \dots, q_{i-1}) + Q_{i-1}(q_0, \dots, q_{i-2}).$$

We set $Q_{i+1} = Q_{i+1}(q_0, \dots, q_i), Q_i^1 = Q_i(q_1, \dots, q_i)$, $P_{i+1} = Q_i + Q_{i+1}$ and $P_{i+1}^1 = Q_i^1 + Q_{i+1}^1$.

We deduce immediately that

(24)
$$Q_{n+1} = q_n Q_n + q_{n-2} Q_{n-2} + \dots + q_{n-2i} Q_{n-2i} + Q_{n-2i-1}$$

for $0 \leq 2i \leq n$.

Euler order $\leq_e$

Proposition 1. *Every integer $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written uniquely in the form*

$$t = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \dots + t_n Q_n \tag{25}$$

where

$$\min\{i \mid t_i > 0\} \text{ is even }, \tag{26}$$

(27) $$0 \leq t_i \leq q_i \textit{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n$$

and

(28) $$t_i = q_i \Rightarrow t_{i-1} = 0 \textit{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Equality (25) is called Euler expansion of t.

Proof. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $t < Q_{n+1}$. By successive Euclidean divisions we can write

$t = t_n Q_n + s_n$ with $0 \leq s_n < Q_n$,

$s_n = t_{n-1} Q_{n-1} + s_{n-1}$ with $0 \leq s_{n-1} < Q_{n-1}$,

$\vdots$

$s_2 = t_1 Q_1 + s_1$ with $0 \leq s_1 < Q_1$,

$s_1 = t_0 Q_0$ with $t_0 = s_1$.

We put $i = \min\{j \mid t_j > 0\}$. If $i = 2e$ then $t = t_{2e} Q_{2e} + t_{2e+1} Q_{2e+1} + \cdots + t_n Q_n$ is Euler expansion of t. If $i = 2e+1$, using (24) we take $t = q_0 Q_0 + \cdots + q_{2e} Q_{2e} + (t_{2e+1} - 1) Q_{2e+1} + \cdots + t_n Q_n$ as Euler expansion of t. Conditions (27) and (28) follow from $s_{i+1} < Q_{i+1} = Q_{i-1} + q_i Q_i$. The uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of the Euclidean division and the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^{2e} t_i Q_i < Q_{2e+1}$ if and only if there exists $0 \leq j \leq e$ such that $t_{2j} < q_{2j}$ $\square$

For $t, x \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $t, x \leq Q_{n+1}$. We consider Euler expansions of t and x respectively

$t = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + t_n Q_n$ and $x = x_0 Q_0 + x_1 Q_1 + \cdots + x_n Q_n$.

We define Euler order $\leq_e$ as follows: $t \leq_e x$ if

$(t_0, -t_1, \ldots, (-1)^i t_i, \ldots, (-1)^n t_n) \leq_l (x_0, -x_1, \ldots, (-1)^i x_i, \ldots, (-1)^n x_n)$

where $\leq_l$ is the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Lemma. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We consider $U_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, Q_{n+1} - 1\}$ and $V_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, P_n - 1\}$ equipped with induced Euler order $\leq_e$.*

1. *We define a partition of* $U_n = F_1 \cup F_2$ *as follows:*

$$F_1 = \begin{cases} \{0, 1, \dots, Q_{2k+1} - Q_{2k} - 1\} & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \{Q_{2k+1}, \dots, Q_{2k+2} - 1\} & \text{if } n = 2k+1 \end{cases}$$

$$F_2 = \begin{cases} \{Q_{2k+1} - Q_{2k}, \dots, Q_{2k+1} - 1\} & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \{0, 1, \dots, Q_{2k+1} - 1\} & \text{if } n = 2k+1 \ . \end{cases}$$

Then, the successor, in U_n*, of all* $t \in F_1$ *(resp.* $t \in F_2$*) is* $t^+ = t + (-1)^n Q_n$ *(resp.* $t^+ = t + (-1)^n [Q_n - Q_{n+1}]$*). In particular, if* $q_n = 1$ *then for all* $t \in F_2$*,* $t^+ = t + (-1)^{n-1} Q_{n-1}$*.*

2. *We define a partition of* $V_n = E_1 \cup E_2$ *as follows:* $E_1 = \{0, \dots, Q_{2h-1} - 1\}$*,* $E_2 = \{Q_{2h-1}, \dots, P_n - 1\}$*. Then, the successor, in* V_n*, of all* $t \in E_1$ *(resp.* $t \in E_2$*) is* $t^+ = t + Q_{2k}$ *(resp.* $t^+ = t - Q_{2h-1}$*).*

Proof. Let $t = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + t_n Q_n$ be Euler expansion of t.

1. (a) Suppose that $t \in F_1$. It is easily seen that $t_n > 0$ if $n = 2k+1$ and $t_n < q_n - 1$ or ($t_n = q_n - 1$ and $t_{n-1} = 0$) if $n = 2k$. Therefore, $t^+ = t + (-1)^n Q_n$.

   (b) Suppose that $t \in F_2$, then $t = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + t_{2k} Q_{2k}$. Since $t < Q_{2k+1}$, there exists $j \leq k$ such that $t_{2j} < q_{2j}$. Taking $i = \max\{j \mid t_{2j} < q_{2j}\}$ we can write $t = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + t_{2i} Q_{2i} + [q_{2i+2} Q_{2i+2} + \cdots + q_{2k} Q_{2k}] = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + t_{2i} Q_{2i} - Q_{2i+1} + Q_{2k+1}$.
   If $t_{2i} < q_{2i} - 1$ or ($t_{2i} = q_{2i} - 1$ and $t_{2i-1} = 0$) then $t^+ = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + (t_{2i} + 1) Q_{2i} + (q_{2i+1} - 1) Q_{2i+1} + \cdots + q_{2h-1} Q_{2h-1} = t + Q_{2h} - Q_{2k+1}$.
   If $t_{2i} = q_{2i} - 1$ and $t_{2i-1} > 0$ then $t^+ = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + (t_{2i-1} - 1) Q_{2i-1} + q_{2i+1} Q_{2i+1} + \cdots + q_{2h-1} Q_{2h-1} = t + Q_{2h} - Q_{2k+1}$.

2. It is a particular case: Taking $q_n = 1$ we get $P_n = Q_{n-1}+Q_n = Q_{n+1}$ and $V_n = U_n$. Moreover, in this case we have $E_1 = F_2$ and $E_2 = F_1$ if $n = 2k$ and $E_1 = F_1$ and $E_2 = F_2$ if $n = 2k+1$ $\square$

Let $(r = r_{-1}, r_0, \dots, r_n)$ and $(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n)$ be sequences of positive integers and $r_{n+1} \geq 0$. We suppose that

$$r_{i-1} = q_i r_i + r_{i+1} \tag{29}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$.
We thus have $r > r_0 > r_1 > \cdots > r_n > 0$ and $r_{n-1} > r_{n+1} \geq 0$.
We prove by induction the following identities:

$$r = r_i Q_{i+1} + r_{i+1} Q_i \tag{30}$$

and

$$r_0 Q_i = (-1)^i r_i + r Q^1_{i-1}. \tag{31}$$

It follows from (31) that

$$r_0 P_{i+1} = (-1)^i (r_i - r_{i+1}) + r P^1_i. \tag{32}$$

Let $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Given $t = t_0 Q_0 + t_1 Q_1 + \cdots + t_n Q_n$ its Euler expansion, we associate with t the following numbers: $r(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i t_i r_i$ and $E(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_i Q^1_{i-1}$. It follows from (31) that

$$t r_0 = r(t) + r E(t). \tag{33}$$

Moreover, if $0 < t < Q_{n+1}$ then

$$r_n \leq r(t) \leq r - r_n \tag{34}$$

and if $0 < t < P_n$ then

$$r_{2k} \leq r(t) \leq r - r_{2h-1} \tag{35}$$

Indeed, for $0 < t < Q_{n+1}$, let $t = t_0Q_0 + \cdots + t_nQ_n$ be Euler expansion of t. We can write $t = \sum_{i=e}^{k} t_{2i}Q_{2i} + \sum_{i=e}^{h-1} t_{2i+1}Q_{2i+1}$ with $t_{2e} > 0$. Hence, $r(t) = \sum_{i=e}^{k} t_{2i}r_{2i} - \sum_{i=e}^{h-1} t_{2i+1}r_{2i+1}$.
Using $r_{2e} = \sum_{i=e}^{h-1} q_{2i+1}r_{2i+1} + r_{2h}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= (t_{2e} - 1)r_{2e} + \textstyle\sum_{i=e+1}^{k} t_{2i}r_{2i} \\ &\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=e}^{h-1}(q_{2i+1} - t_{2i+1})r_{2i+1} + r_{2h}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $n = 2k + 1$ we get

(36)

$$r_{2h} + r_{2k+1} \leq r(t) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} q_{2i}r_{2i} = r - r_{2k+1} = r - r_n.$$

If $n = 2k$ there exists $j \leq k$ such that $t_{2j} < q_{2j}$ since otherwise $t = Q_{n+1}$. We then get

(37)

$$r_n \leq r(e) \leq r(t) \leq r - r_{2j} - r_{2k+1} \leq r - r_{2k} - r_{2k+1}.$$

Hence, assumption (34) follows. In the particular case that $q_n = 1$ we have $P_n = Q_{n+1}$ and $r_{n-1} = r_n + r_{n+1}$. In this case if $n = 2k$ we get $r_{n-1} \leq r(t) \leq r - r_n$ by (36) and if $n = 2k + 1$ we get $r_n \leq r(t) \leq r - r_{n-1}$ by (37) thus assumption (35) follows □

Proposition 2. *We suppose that $r_{n+1} = 0$. We equip $\{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}$ with the natural order $\leq$ and $U_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, Q_{n+1}-1\}$ with Euler order $\leq_e$. Then, the mapping $(U_n, \leq_e) \to (\{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}, \leq)$, $t \mapsto r(t)$ is strictly increasing.*

Proof. Given $t \leq_e x$ in U_n we have
$(t_0, -t_1, \ldots, (-1)^j t_j, \ldots, (-1)^n t_n) \leq_l (x_0, -x_1, \ldots, (-1)^j x_j, \ldots, (-1)^n x_n)$
and $r(x) - r(t) = (-1)^j(x_j - t_j)r_j + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i r_i - \sum_{i=j+1}^{n}(-1)^i t_i r_i$
where j is the smallest integer such that $t_j \neq x_j$.

1. When $j = 2i$ we get $x_{2i} > t_{2i} \geq 0$ and $x_{2i+1} \leq q_{2i+1} - 1$. Then, $r(x) - r(t) = (x_{2i} - t_{2i})r_{2i} + [-x_{2i+1}r_{2i+1} + \cdots + (-1)^n x_n r_n] - [-t_{2i+1}r_{2i+1} + \cdots + (-1)^n t_n r_n] \geq (x_{2i} - t_{2i})r_{2i} - [(q_{2i+1} - 1)r_{2i+1} + \cdots + q_{2h-1}r_{2h-1}] - [q_{2i+2}r_{2i+2} + \cdots + q_{2k}r_{2k}] \geq (x_{2i} - t_{2i})r_{2i} - r_{2i} + r_{2h} + r_{2k+1} > 0$.

2. When $j = 2i - 1$ we get $t_{2i-1} > x_{2i-1} \geq 0$ and $t_{2i} \leq q_{2i} - 1$. Then, $r(x) - r(t) = (t_{2i-1} - x_{2i-1})r_{2i-1} + [x_{2i}r_{2i} + \cdots + (-1)^n x_n r_n] - [t_{2i}r_{2i} + \cdots + (-)^n t_n r_n] \geq (t_{2i-1} - x_{2i-1})r_{2i-1} - [q_{2i+1}r_{2i+1} + \cdots + q_{2h-1}r_{2h-1}] - [(q_{2i} - 1)r_{2i} + \cdots + q_{2k}r_{2k}] \geq (t_{2i-1} - x_{2i-1})r_{2i-1} + r_{2h} - r_{2i-1} + r_{2k+1} > 0$ $\square$

Now we consider another sequence of positive integers ($s = s_{-1}, s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n$) and $s_{n+1} \geq 0$ such that $s_{i-1} = q_i s_i + s_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.
We also define $s(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i t_i s_i$.
Using (31) we prove the following identity

$$(rs_0 - sr_0)Q_i = (-1)^i (rs_i - sr_i). \tag{38}$$

We derive

$$(rs_0 - sr_0)P_{i+1} = (-1)^i [r(s_i - s_{i+1}) + s(r_{i+1} - r_i)] \tag{39}$$

from (32) and

$$t(rs_0 - sr_0) = rs(t) - sr(t) \tag{40}$$

from (33).
Proof of Theorem 4.
First step : Reduction to the case $n = 2k$.
Suppose that $n = n(a, b, c) = 2k + 1$.
Since $g(a, b, c) = g(b, a, c)$, it suffices to show that $n(b, a, c)$ is even, $L(a, b, c) = L(b, a, c)$ and $l(a, b, c) = l(b, a, c)$.

We write $c = b(a - v_0) - a(b - u_0)$. We consider two cases:
If $p_0 = q_0 > 1$ then we can write $a = (a - v_0) + v_0, a - v_0 = (q_0 - 1)v_0 + v_1, b = (b - u_0) + u_0, b - u_0 = (q_0 - 1)u_0 + u_1$. Therefore, $n(b, a, c) = n(a, b, c) + 1$, $L(b, a, c) = L(a, b, c)$ and $l(b, a, c) = l(a, b, c)$.
If $p_0 = q_0 = 1$ we get $a - v_0 = u_1$ and $b - u_0 = v_1$. We therefore get $a = (q_1 + 1)(a - v_0) + v_2$ and $b = (p_1 + 1)(b - u_0) + u_2$. It follows that $n(b, a, c) = n(a, b, c) - 1$. Furthermore, we observe that if $n(a, b, c) > 1$ then we have obviously $L(a, b, c) = L(b, a, c)$ and $l(a, b, c) = l(b, a, c)$. If $n(a, b, c) = 1$ we have $L(b, a, c) = (av_1, a(b - (q_1 + 1)v_1)) = (av_1, a(u_0 - q_1 v_1)) = L(a, b, c)$ and $l(b, a, c) = (bu_1, bv_2)) = l(a, b, c)$. In both cases, $n(b, a, c)$ is even. Therefore, the assumption follows.
Moreover, we can write

$$c = w_n w_{n+1} - v_n v_{n+1}. \tag{41}$$

Since $c > 0$, we get $w_{n+1} = (p_n - q_n)v_n + u_{n+1} > 0$ thus $p_n > q_n$ or ($p_n = q_n$ and $v_{n+1} = 0$). When $p_n = q_n$ and $v_{n+1} = 0$ we then have

$$c = w_n w_{n+1} = u_n u_{n+1}. \tag{42}$$

In the following steps we suppose that $n = 2k$.
Second step: Case $p_n > q_n$.
Taking $a = r, v_0 = r_0, b = s$ and $u_0 = w_0 = s_0$ it follows from (39) that $cP_{n+1} = a(w_n - v_{n+1}) + b(w_{n+1} - v_n) \in S(a, b)$ because $w_{n+1} > v_n$ and $w_n > v_{n+1}$. Moreover, it follows from (40) and (35) that $s(t) = b(t)$ and $r(t) = a(t)$. Hence, for all $0 < t < P_{n+1}$, $tc = ab(t) - ba(t) \notin S(a, b)$ by **R4**. We conclude that

$$P_{n+1} = \min\{t > 0 \mid tc \in S(a, b)\} \tag{43}$$

and by (19)-(20), that $V_n = \{0, 1, \dots, P_{n+1} - 1\}$ is *appropriate*. Let us show that $V_n.c$ is *trimmed*. Indeed, for $0 < t < x < P_{n+1}$, since

$x-t < P_{n+1}$, we have $0 < (x-t)c = (b(x)-b(t))a-(a(x)-a(t))b \notin S(a,b)$. Then, $b(x) < b(t)$ if and only if $a(x) < a(t)$ by **R4**.
Furthermore, it follows from proposition 2 that $b(t)^+ - b(t) = b(t^+) - b(t) = b(t^+ - t)$. Writing $V_n = E_1 \cup E_2$ with the notation of the lemma, Theorem 2 and (22) show that $g(a,b,c) = \max\{a\alpha_1 + \beta_1, a\alpha_2 + \beta_2\} - (a+b)$ where $\alpha_1 = b(t^+) - b(t) = b(Q_n)$ for all $t \in E_1$, $\alpha_2 = b(t^+) - b(t) = -b(Q_{n+1})$ for all $t \in E_2$, and $\beta_i = \max E_i.c$.
It follows from (31) that $\alpha_1 = w_n$, and $\alpha_2 = v_{n+1}$. Moreover, we see that $\beta_2 = c(P_{n+1} - 1)$, $\beta_1 = c(Q_{n+1} - 1) = -cQ_n + cP_{n+1} - c = bv_n - aw_n + cP_{n+1} - c$ by (38). We therefore obtain the formula

$$g(a,b,c) = cP_{n+1} + \max\{av_{n+1}, bv_n\} - (a+b+c) \tag{44}$$

which can be written in the form

$$f(a,b,c) = a(w_n - v_{n+1}) + b(w_{n+1} - v_n) + \max\{av_{n+1}, bv_n\} \tag{45}$$

by (39). Finally,

$$f(a,b,c) = aw_n + bw_{n+1} - \min\{av_{n+1}, bv_n\}. \tag{46}$$

Third step: Case $p_n = q_n$ and $v_{n+1} = 0$.
We have $cQ_{n+1} = bw_{n+1} \in S(a,b)$ by (38) and for all $0 < t < Q_{n+1}$, $tc = ab(t) - ba(t) \notin S(a,b))$ by (34). We deduce that

$$Q_{n+1} = \min\{t > 0 \mid tc \in S(a,b)\}. \tag{47}$$

Using (19)-(20) we show by a similar argument that $U_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, Q_{n+1} - 1\}$ is *appropriate* and $U_n.c$ is *trimmed*. Furthermore, since $v_{n+1} = 0$ the lemma show that $b(t^+) - b(t) = w_n$ for all $t \in U_n$. Therefore, by (21) $f(a,b,c) = aw_n + cQ_{n+1} = aw_n + bw_{n+1}$.
Moreover, since $v_{n+1} = 0$, we get $w_n = \gcd(b, w_0) = \gcd(b,c)$ and

$b = w_n Q_{n+1}$ by (30). Using (42) we deduce that $\mathrm{lcm}(b,c) = bw_{n+1}$ $\square$

Remark. The case that ($p_n = q_n$ and $v_{n+1} = 0$) can be deduced from Brauer's formula (16): We put $d = \gcd(b,c) = \gcd(b,w_0) = w_n$, $c' = \frac{c}{d} = w_{n+1}$ and $b' = \frac{b}{d} = Q_{n+1}$. Using (30) we get $a = v_n Q_{n+1} + w_{n+1} Q_n > w_{n+1} Q_{n+1} = b'c'$. We thus have $f(a,b',c') = g(a,b',c') + a + b' + c' = b'c' + a$. Hence, (16) show that $f(a,b,c) = df(a,b',c') = bc' + da = \mathrm{lcm}(b,c) + a\gcd(b,c)$ $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. We first prove by induction that polynomials R_i satisfy the following properties: For $i \leq n$,

$$cR_i = ay_i - bx_i, \tag{48}$$

$$cR_{n+1} = ay_{n+1} - b(e_n x_n - x_{n-1}), \tag{49}$$

$$y_{-1} = y_i R_{i+1}(e_0, \dots, e_i) - y_{i+1} R_i(e_0, \dots, e_{i-1}) \tag{50}$$

and for $j \leq i$,

$$y_{j-1} = y_i R_{i-j+1}(e_j, \dots, e_i) - y_{i+1} R_{i-j}(e_j, \dots, e_{i-1}). \tag{51}$$

In the particular case that $e_j = e_{j+1} = \cdots = e_i = 2$, we get

$$R_{i-j+1}(e_j, \dots, e_i) = (i - j + 2) \tag{52}$$

and

$$y_{j-1} - y_j = y_j - y_{j+1} = \cdots = (y_i - y_{i+1}) \tag{53}$$

so in this case (51) can be written in the form

$$y_{j-1} = (i - j + 2)(y_i - y_{i+1}) + y_{i+1}. \tag{54}$$

Now we consider the set
$K = \{e_i \mid i = 0 \text{ or } (0 < i < m \text{ and } e_i > 2)\} = \{e_0 = e_{k_0}, e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_{s-1}}\}$
and we set $k_s = m$. We can suppose that $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_s = m$.
We have

$$y_{-1} = (e_0 - 1)y_0 + (y_0 - y_1) \tag{55}$$

and for $0 < i \leq s$,

$$y_{k_i - 1} - y_{k_i} = (e_{k_i} - 2)y_{k_i} + (y_{k_i} - y_{k_i+1}). \tag{56}$$

Furthermore, (54) show that

$$y_{k_{(i-1)}} = (k_i - k_{(i-1)})(y_{k_i-1} - y_{k_i}) + y_{k_i} \tag{57}$$

and (53) that for $0 < i < s$,

$$y_{k_{(i-1)}} - y_{k_{(i-1)}+1} = \cdots = y_{k_i-1} - y_{k_i} > y_{k_i}. \tag{58}$$

To apply Theorem 4 we set

$$v_{-1} = y_{-1}, u_0 = y_0, v_1 = (y_0 - y_1), q_0 = (e_0 - 1)$$

and for $0 < i < s$,

$$v_{2i-1} = y_{k_i-1} - y_{k_i}, u_{2i} = y_{k_i}, q_{2i} = (e_{k_i} - 2), p_{2i-1} = (k_i - k_{(i-1)}).$$

Furthermore, if $e_m > 2$ we set

$$v_{2s-1} = (y_{m-1} - y_m), v_{2s+1} = y_{m+1} = 0, p_{2s-1} = (m - k_{(s-1)}), u_{2s} = y_m, q_{2s} = (e_m - 1)$$

and if $e_m = 2$ we set

$$v_{2s-1} = y_m, p_{2s-1} = (m + 1 - k_{(s-1)}) \text{ and } u_{2s} = y_{m+1} = 0.$$

We thus get

$$v_{-1} > u_0 > \cdots > v_{2i-1} > u_{2i} > v_{2i+1} > \cdots$$

and

$$v_{2i-1} = q_{2i}u_{2i} + v_{2i+1}; u_{2i} = p_{2i+1}v_{2i+1} + u_{2i+2}.$$

Using (11) we get $c = ay_0 - bx_0 = x_n y_n(d_n - e_n) + x_n y_{n+1} - y_n x_{n+1} > 0$. Then, $d_n > e_n$ or ($d_n = e_n$ and $x_{n+1} = 0$).

To prove (12) we consider two cases:

Case $n = 0$.

We can write $a = (d_0 - 1)x_0 + (x_0 - x_1)$ and $b = (e_0 - 1)y_0 + (y_0 - y_1)$ with $d_0 - 1 > e_0 - 1$ or $d_0 - 1 = e_0 - 1$ and $x_1 = 0$. Then, $L(a, b, c) = (bx_0, b(a - (e_0 - 1)x_0)), l(a, b, c) = (ay_0, a(y_0 - y_1))$. We conclude that $f(a, b, c) = ay_0 + b(a - (e_0 - 1)x_0) - \min\{a(y_0 - y_1), bx_0\} = ay_1 + b(a - e_0x_0) + \max\{a(y_0 - y_1), bx_0\}$ by (7).

Case $n > 0$.

Let $r = \max\{i \mid k_i < n\}$.

1. Suppose that $d_n \geq e_n > 2$. We can write $x_{n-1} - x_n = (d_n - 2)x_n + (x_n - x_{n+1})$ and $y_{n-1} - y_n = (e_n - 2)y_n + (y_n - y_{n+1})$ with $d_n - 2 > e_n - 2$ or $d_n - 2 = e_n - 2$ and $x_{n+1} = 0$. It follows that the level of (a, b, c) is even, $L(a, b, c) = (bx_n, b(x_{n-1} - (e_n - 1)x_n)$ and $l(a, b, c) = (ay_n, a(y_n - y_{n+1}))$. Therefore, $f(a, b, c) = ay_{n+1} + b(x_{n-1} - e_n x_n) + \max\{a(y_n - y_{n+1}), bx_n\}$ by (7).

2. Suppose that $d_n > e_n = 2$. We thus have $k_{(r+1)} > n$. we can write $y_{k_r} = (k_{(r+1)} - k_r)(y_{k_{(r+1)}-1} - y_{k_{(r+1)}}) + y_{k_{(r+1)}}$ and $x_{k_r} = (n - k_r)(x_{n-1} - x_n) + x_n$ by (57). Moreover, we have $y_{k_{(r+1)}-1} - y_{k_{(r+1)}} = y_{n-1} - y_n = y_n - y_{n+1}$ by (53) and $y_{k_r} - (n - k_r)(y_{n-1} - y_n) = y_n$ by (54). Therefore, the level of (a, b, c) is odd, $L = (a(y_n - y_{n+1}), ay_n)$ and $l = (b(x_{n-1} - x_n), bx_n)$. We deduce that $f(a, b, c) = ay_{n+1} + b(x_{n-1} - 2x_n) + \max\{a(y_n - y_{n+1}), bx_n\}$ by (7).

3. Suppose that $d_n = e_n = 2$ and $x_{n+1} = 0$ then $k_{(r+1)} \geq n + 1$. Using (57) we can write $y_{k_r} = (k_{(r+1)} - k_r)(y_{k_{(r+1)}-1} - y_{k_{(r+1)}}) + y_{k_{(r+1)}} = (n + 1 - k_r)(y_n - y_{n+1}) + y_{n+1}$ and $x_{k_r} = (n + 1 - k_r)x_n$. Hence, the level of (a, b, c) is odd. Since $y_{k_r} - (n + 1 - k_r)(y_n - y_{n+1}) = y_{n+1}$, we get $L = (a(y_n - y_{n+1}), ay_{n+1})$ and $l = (bx_n, 0)$. Therefore, $f(a, b, c) = ay_{n+1} + bx_n = ay_{n+1} + \max\{a(y_n - y_{n+1}), bx_n\}$ because, by (48), we have $bx_n - ay_n + ay_{n+1} = -cR_n + cR_{n+1}$ and it is easily seen that $-cR_n + cR_{n+1} < 0$.

Finally we have proved that

(59)
$$f(a, b, c) = ay_{n+1} + b[x_{n-1} - e_n x_n] + \max\{a(y_n - y_{n+1}), bx_n\}\square$$

To prove Theorem 6 we observe, using (48)-(49), that (11) and the following condition

$$\frac{y_{n+1}}{R_{n+1}} \leq \frac{c}{a} < \frac{y_i}{R_i} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq n. \tag{60}$$

are equivalent. Taking account of (48)-(49) we obtain

$$f(a, b, c) = cR_{n+1} + ay_n - \min\{ay_{n+1}, cR_n\}\square \tag{61}$$

5. Examples

1. $A = \{31, 44, 462, 674, 402, 932, 1214\}$.
   We take $a = 31, b = 44$. We obtain
   $(\overset{\wedge}{462}, \overset{\wedge}{674}, \overset{\wedge}{402}, \overset{\wedge}{932}, \overset{\wedge}{1214}) = (22, 26, 30, 40, 42)$
   and $(\overset{\vee}{462}, \overset{\vee}{674}, \overset{\vee}{402}, \overset{\vee}{932}, \overset{\vee}{1214}) = (5, 3, 12, 7, 2)$.
   We remove 674, 932, 1214 from A without altering $g(A)$. We consider $(a, b, c_1, c_2) = (31, 44, 462, 402)$. Applying Theorem 3 we obtain $g(A) = 761$.

2. $A = \{57, 83, 367, 543, 605\}$.
   We take $a = 57, b = 83$. We have $(\overset{\wedge}{367}, \overset{\wedge}{543}, \overset{\wedge}{605}) = (21, 27, 31)$, $(\overset{\vee}{367}, \overset{\vee}{543}, \overset{\vee}{605}) = (10, 12, 14)$,
   $\mathcal{B} = b(T) \cup \{b\} = \{21, 27, 31, 42, 48, 52, 58, 62, 63, 69, 73, 79, 83\}$,
   $\mathcal{A} = a(T) \cup \{a\} = \{10, 12, 14, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 57\}$.
   We obtain $g(A) = 1603$.

3. $A = \{a, b, c\} = \{137, 250, 337\}$. We have $(\hat{c}, \check{c}) = (101, 54), l = 4$,
   $\mathcal{B} = \{53, 101, 154, 202, 250\}, \mathcal{A} = \{25, 54, 79, 108, 137\}$.
   Using Theorem 2 we obtain
   $g(137, 250, 337) = \max\{g_1, g_2, g_3\} = 7537$.
   Let us compute $g(A)$ by Theorem 5. We get $n(a, b, c) = 1$, $L(a, b, c) = (6576, 7261), l(a, b, c) = (7250, 6250)$. We obtain $g(a, b, c) = 7250 + 7261 - 6250 - 137 - 250 - 337 = 7537$.

References

[1] A. Brauer, On a problem of partitions, *Amer. J. Math.* 64, (1942), 299-312.

[2] A. Brauer, B. M. Seelbinder, On a problem of partitions II, *Amer. J. Math.* 76, (1954), 343-346.

[3] A. Brauer, J. E. Shockley, On a problem of Frobenius, *J. reine angew. Math.* 211, (1962), 215-220.

[4] J. L. Davison, On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *Journal of Number Theory* 48, (1994), 353-363.

[5] P. Erdös, R. L. Graham, On a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *Acta Arith.* 21, (1972), 399-408.

[6] M. Raczunas, P. Chrstowski-Wachtel, A diophantine problem of Frobenius in terms of the least common multiple, *Discrete Mathematics,* 150, (1996), 347-357.

[7] O. J. Rodseth, On a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius,

J. reine angew. Math. 301, (1978),171-178.
[8] E.S. Selmer, On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. reine angew. Math.* 293/294, (1977),1-17.