



**HAL**  
open science

## On the zeros of the Riemann Zeta function

Lazhar Fekih-Ahmed

► **To cite this version:**

| Lazhar Fekih-Ahmed. On the zeros of the Riemann Zeta function. 2010. hal-00476252v1

**HAL Id: hal-00476252**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00476252v1>**

Preprint submitted on 25 Apr 2010 (v1), last revised 22 Jan 2011 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# ON THE ZEROS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

LAZHAR FEKIH-AHMED

ABSTRACT. This paper is divided into two independent parts. The first part presents a new integral and series representation of the Riemann zeta function. An equivalent formulation of the Riemann hypothesis is given and few results on this formulation are briefly outlined. The second part exposes a totally different approach. Using the new series representation of the zeta function of the first part, exact information on its zeros is provided based on Tauberian-like results.

## PART I

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the Riemann zeta function defined by the Dirichlet series:

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1^s} + \frac{1}{2^s} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k^s} + \cdots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$$

converges for  $\Re(s) > 1$ , and can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane with one singularity, a simple pole with residue 1 at  $s = 1$ . It is also well known that  $\zeta(s)$  satisfies the functional equation:

$$(1.2) \quad \chi(s)\zeta(s) = \zeta(1-s)\chi(1-s) \quad \text{with} \quad \chi(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right),$$

and that the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  come into two types. The trivial zeros which occur at all negative even integers  $s = -2, -4, \dots$ , and the nontrivial zeros which occur at certain values of  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The Riemann hypothesis states that the nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  all have real part  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . From the functional equation (1.2), the Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to  $\zeta(s)$  not having any zeros in the half plane  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ .

### 2. AN ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF $\zeta(s)$

Let

$$(2.1) \quad S_n(s) = 1 - \binom{n-1}{1}2^{-s} + \binom{n-1}{2}3^{-s} - \cdots + (-1)^{n-1}(n)^{-s}, n \geq 2$$

with  $S_1(s) = 1$ .

Using the well-known identity, valid for  $\Re(s) > 0$ :

---

*Date:* May 11, 2008 and, in revised form, April 19, 2010.  
*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M26; Secondary 11M06, 11M45.  
*Key words and phrases.* Number Theory, Riemann Zeta function, Riemann Hypothesis .  
Submitted to the Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc.

$$(2.2) \quad n^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty e^{-nt} t^{s-1} dt,$$

we can rewrite  $S_n(s)$  in (2.1) as:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S_n(s) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} (k+1)^{-s} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} e^{-(k+1)t} t^{s-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{since } \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} e^{-(k+1)t} = e^{-t} (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1}.$$

We have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_n(s)}{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1}}{n+1} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$$

$$(2.5) \quad = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1}}{n+1} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$$

$$(2.6) \quad = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{t}{(1 - e^{-t})^2} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}} \right) e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt.$$

Before we proceed further, some remarks are in order:

*Remark 2.1.* The interchange of the summation and integration in equation (2.5) is valid because the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1}}{n+1} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $0 < t < \infty$ . To see this, we show uniform convergence for the dominating series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1}}{n+1} e^{-t} t^{\sigma-1} dt$ ,  $\sigma = \Re(s)$ . Indeed, let  $K = \max((1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} e^{-t/2}), 0 < t < \infty$ . A straightforward calculation of the derivative shows that  $K = (1 - \frac{1}{2n-1})^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n-1}}$  and is attained when  $e^{-t} = \frac{1}{2n-1}$ . Now, for  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} e^{-t} t^{\sigma-1} dt &= \frac{1}{n+1} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} e^{-t/2} (e^{-t/2} t^{\sigma-1}) dt \\ &\leq \frac{K}{n+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-t/2} t^{\sigma-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n-1}\right)^{n-1} \frac{2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma)}{\sqrt{2n-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^\sigma \Gamma(\sigma)}{(n+1) \sqrt{2n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality implies that the dominating series converges by the comparison test.

*Remark 2.2.* To get equation (2.6) we used the identity:

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1}}{n+1} = \frac{t}{(1 - e^{-t})^2} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}},$$

which can be obtained by putting  $X = 1 - e^{-t}$  into  $-\frac{\log(1 - X)}{X^2} - \frac{1}{X} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X^{n-1}}{n+1}$ .

Now, since

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{-te^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} = \frac{te^{-t}}{(1 - e^{-t})^2} - \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}},$$

an integration by parts in (2.6) yields

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_n(s)}{n+1} = \frac{s-1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} t^{s-1}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt = (s-1)\zeta(s),$$

which is valid when  $\Re(s) > 1$ . And since the integral (2.6) is valid for  $\Re(s) > 0$ , then we have proved

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\phi(t) = \frac{t}{(1 - e^{-t})^2} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}}$ , then for  $\Re(s) > 0$ ,*

$$(2.11) \quad (s-1)\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(t) e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt.$$

*Remark 2.4.* Although we will not need it in the rest of the paper, we can also obtain an analytic continuation of  $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  when  $\Re(s) \leq 0$ . We simply rewrite (2.11) as a contour integral

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \phi(t) e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the Hankel contour consisting of the three parts  $C = C_- \cup C_\epsilon \cup C_+$ : a path which extends from  $(-\infty, -\epsilon)$ , around the origin counter clockwise on a circle of center the origin and of radius  $\epsilon$  and back to  $(-\epsilon, -\infty)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrarily small positive number. The integral (2.12) now defines  $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Remark 2.5.* In particular, when  $s = k$  is a positive integer, we have yet another formula for  $\zeta(k)$ :

$$(2.13) \quad (k-1)\zeta(k) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(t) e^{-t} t^{k-1} dt.$$

*Remark 2.6.* The above integral formula for  $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ , although obtained by elementary means, does not seem to be found in the literature. As for the series formula, it has been obtained by a different method in [10]. A formula that is different but similar in form and always mentioned in the literature is that of Hasse [7].

### 3. A SERIES EXPANSION OF $(s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s)$

The analytic function  $(s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s)$  can be represented by Taylor series around any point  $s_0 = 1 + iy$  on the vertical line  $\sigma = 1$ . In particular, for  $s_0 = 1$  we obtain the well-known power series [1]:

$$(3.1) \quad (s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s) = a_0 + a_1(s-1) + a_2(s-1)^2 + a_3(s-1)^3 + \dots$$

where the coefficients  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_n$  are defined by

$$(3.2) \quad a_n = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \phi(t) e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt \right\}$$

$$(3.3) \quad = \int_0^\infty \phi(t) e^{-t} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \{t^{s-1}\} dt$$

$$(3.4) \quad = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt$$

with  $\phi(t)$  being the function defined in Theorem 2.3.

The coefficients  $a_n$  are very important in the evaluation of  $\zeta^{(k)}(0)$  as given by Apostol in [1]. Up to now the  $a_n$  are regarded as unknowns and as difficult to approximate as  $\zeta^{(k)}(0)$  itself as pointed out by Lehmer [9]. The formula above solves the exact evaluation problem of the  $\zeta^{(k)}(0)$  and many other variant formulae. The following proposition provides more information about the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *For  $n$  large enough, the coefficients  $a_n$  are given by*

$$a_n = (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{4^n}\right).$$

*Proof.* The expression of  $a_n$  can be split into the sum

$$(3.5) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 \phi(t) e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt + \frac{1}{n!} \int_1^\infty \phi(t) e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{2} + \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^1 \left[ \phi(t) e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)^n dt + \frac{1}{n!} \int_1^\infty \phi(t) e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt$$

To obtain an estimate the first integral in (3.5), we use equation (2.9). A differentiation with respect to  $t$  of the following expansion which defines the Bernoulli numbers<sup>1</sup>,

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{te^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} t^n,$$

gives

$$(3.7) \quad \phi(t) e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{-B_n}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} = -\frac{1}{6}t + \frac{1}{180}t^3 - \frac{1}{5040}t^5 + \dots$$

Now, since

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 t^m \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)^n dt = \frac{1}{(m+1)^{n+1}}$$

---

<sup>1</sup> $B_0 = 1$ ,  $B_1 = 1/2$ ,  $B_2 = 1/6$ ,  $B_3 = 0$ ,  $B_4 = -1/30$ ,  $B_5 = 0$ ,  $B_6 = 1/42$ ,  $B_7 = 0$ ,  $B_8 = -1/30$  etc.

for all  $n, m$  positive, the first integral in (3.5) without the factor  $(-1)^n$  has an expansion

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 \left[ \phi(t)e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)^n dt = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{-B_n}{(n-1)!(n+1)^{n+1}} \\ = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{180} \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{5040} \frac{1}{6^{n+1}} + \dots$$

To estimate the second integral in (3.5), we use the bound

$$(3.10) \quad (\log t)^n < e^{t}$$

which is valid for all  $t \geq n^{1+\epsilon}$  and for  $n$  large enough and where  $\epsilon$  is any positive small number. We split the integral into two parts

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{n!} \int_1^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt \leq \frac{1}{n!} \int_1^{n^{1+\epsilon}} \phi(t)e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt + \\ \frac{1}{n!} \int_{n^{1+\epsilon}}^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt$$

$$(3.12) \quad \leq \frac{C_0}{n!} (1+\epsilon)^n \log(n)^n + \frac{1}{2n!} \frac{e^{-(1-\epsilon)n^{1+\epsilon}}}{1-\epsilon}$$

where  $C_0 = \int_1^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{-t} dt = 0.58$ .

Clearly, the term  $\frac{1}{2n!} \frac{e^{-(1-\epsilon)n^{1+\epsilon}}}{1-\epsilon}$  is extremely small for  $n$  large enough. In particular, for  $n \geq 2$ , it is less than  $\frac{C}{a^n}$  where  $C$  is a positive constant and  $a$  is any positive constant greater than 2.

Using Stirling formula  $n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$ , we can verify that the term  $\frac{C_0}{n!} (1+\epsilon)^n \log(n)^n$  can also be made less than  $\frac{C}{a^n}$  for  $n$  large enough. Taking  $a = 4$  for example, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{n!} \int_1^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{-t} (\log t)^n dt \leq \frac{C}{4^n}$$

By combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad a_n = (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{4^n}\right).$$

□

For any  $s_0$  of the form  $s_0 = 1 + iy$  the corresponding Taylor series is

$$(3.15) \quad (s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s) = b_0 + b_1(s-s_0) + b_2(s-s_0)^2 + b_3(s-s_0)^3 + \dots$$

where the  $b_n$  is expressed as

$$(3.16) \quad b_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{-t} (\log t)^n e^{iy} dt.$$

An asymptotic estimate of the coefficients  $b_n$  is given by the following proposition whose proof is the same as Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The radius of convergence of the series (3.15) is  $\sqrt{1+y^2}$  and for  $n$  large enough, the coefficients  $b_n$  are given by*

$$b_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1+iy)^n} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(2+iy)^n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{16+y^2})^n}\right).$$

#### 4. THE ZEROS OF $\zeta(s)$

The Taylor series expansion  $(s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s)$  provides us with a tool to study the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in a neighborhood of  $s_0 = 1+iy$ . We have

$$(4.1) \quad (s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s) = b_0 + b_1(s-s_0) + b_2(s-s_0)^2 + b_3(s-s_0)^3 + \dots$$

with

$$(4.2) \quad b_0 = iy\zeta(1+iy)\Gamma(1+iy),$$

$$(4.3) \quad b_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) e^{-t} (\log t)^n e^{iy} dt.$$

It is a well-known fact [6] that  $\zeta(1+iy) \neq 0$  for all  $y$ . Therefore  $b_0 \neq 0$  for all  $y$  and the inverse of  $(s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s)$  is well-defined and can be expanded into a power series of the form

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{(s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s)} = c_0 + c_1(s-s_0) + c_2(s-s_0)^2 + c_3(s-s_0)^3 + \dots,$$

where the coefficients  $c_n$  are given by

$$(4.5) \quad c_n = (-1)^n \frac{\Delta_n}{b_0^{n+1}},$$

with

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} b_1 & b_0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ b_2 & b_1 & b_0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{n-1} & b_{n-2} & b_{n-3} & \cdots & b_0 \\ b_n & b_{n-1} & b_{n-2} & \cdots & b_1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let  $D(s_0, \frac{1}{2})$  be the open disk of center  $s_0$  and radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are the same as those of  $(s-1)\zeta(s)\Gamma(s)$  in the right half plane; therefore,  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  in  $D(s_0, \frac{1}{2})$  for any  $y$  is equivalent to the fact that the radius of convergence of the series (4.4) is at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  for any  $y$ .

Now, the union of the strips  $S_1 = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$  and  $S_2 = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}\}$  form the critical strip minus the critical line  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ . Moreover, the strip  $S = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}\}$  can be written as

$$(4.7) \quad S = \bigcup_y D(1 + iy, \frac{1}{2})$$

We conclude from the above, that if  $\zeta(s)$  does not have a zero inside the strip  $S$  and a fortiori does not have a zero in the strip  $S_1$ , then by the functional equation  $\zeta(s)$  cannot have a zero inside  $S_2$  neither. We thus have proved

**Theorem 4.1.** *The Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to either*

- (1) *the series (4.1) does not have any zero in the disk  $D(s_0, \frac{1}{2})$  for any  $y$ .*
- (2) *the radius of convergence of the series (4.4) being at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  for any  $y$ .*

*Remark 4.2.* We have been able to prove that the series (4.1) does not have any zero in the disk  $D(1, \frac{1}{2})$  (i.e  $y = 0$ ). The proof is trivial and uses the criterion of Petrovitch [8] for power series. We also have been able to prove the well-known result that  $D(1, 1)$  is a zero-free region. We have been unable to generalize the proof for any  $y$  because the values of  $b_0$  become very small compared to that of  $b_1$ . The typical power series and polynomial non-zero regions criteria are inapplicable. More knowledge on the ratios  $b_n/b_0$  is needed.

*Remark 4.3.* Another criterion of the Riemann hypothesis can be formulated using the conformal mapping  $s = \frac{1}{1-z}$  which maps the plane  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$  onto the unit disk  $|z| < 1$ . We can write

$$(4.8) \quad \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \triangleq f(z) = \int_0^\infty \phi(t)e^{-t}t^{\frac{z}{1-z}} dt = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tilde{a}_n z^n,$$

where the coefficients  $\tilde{a}_n$  are given by

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{a}_n = \int_0^\infty \phi(t)e^{-t}L_n(-\ln t) dt,$$

$L_n(x) = L_n^0(x)$  being the Laguerre polynomial of order 0.

The Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to the function  $f(z)$  having no zeros in the unit disk.

Although, the above formulations of the Riemann hypothesis seem to be promising since exact information on the coefficients is known, we will not pursue this approach. The new approach that we will adopt is presented next.

## PART II

In this part we will pursue a completely different approach from the one presented in PART I. Using the new series representation of the zeta function of the first part, exact information on its zeros is provided based on Tauberian-like results.



5. THE SERIES REPRESENTATION OF  $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ 

In PART I, we showed that  $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  process both an integral and a series representation valid for  $\Re(s) > 0$ . In the remaining of the paper we will only consider the series representation. We recall the series representation valid when  $\Re(s) > 1$ :

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_n(s)}{n+1} = \frac{s-1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} t^{s-1}}{1-e^{-t}} dt = (s-1)\zeta(s),$$

where  $S_n(s)$  is given by

$$(5.2) \quad S_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} (k+1)^{-s}.$$

First, we will provide another proof on the validity of the series representation for  $\Re(s) > 0$ . To prove the analytic continuation when  $\Re(s) > 0$ , we need to evaluate the sum when  $\Re(s) > 0$ . The next lemma, which will also be needed in the rest of the paper, provides such an estimation. It provides an estimate of the exact asymptotic order of growth of  $\frac{S_n(s)}{n+1}$  when  $n$  is large.

**Lemma 5.1.**  $\frac{S_n(s)}{n+1} \sim \frac{1}{n(n+1)(\log n)^{1-s}\Gamma(s)}$  for  $n$  large enough and for all  $s = \sigma + it$ ,  $\Re(s) > 0$ ,  $s \notin \{1, 2, \dots\}$ .

*Proof.* By putting  $k = m-1$  in (5.2), we have by definition

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S_n(s) &= \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n-1}{m-1} (-1)^{m-1} m^{-s} = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{m}{n} \binom{n}{m} (-1)^{m-1} m^{-s} \\ &= \frac{-1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n}{m} (-1)^m m^{1-s} = \frac{-1}{n} \Delta_n(s-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where, } \Delta_n(\lambda) \triangleq \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n}{m} (-1)^m m^{-\lambda}.$$

The asymptotic expansion of sums of the form  $\Delta_n(\lambda)$ , with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  being nonintegral has been given in Theorem 3 of Flajolet et al. [4]. With a slight modification of notation, the authors in [4] have shown that  $\Delta_n(\lambda)$  has an asymptotic expansion in descending powers of  $\log n$  of the form

$$(5.4) \quad -\Delta_n(\lambda) \sim (\log n)^\lambda \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma^{(j)}(1)}{j!\Gamma(1+\lambda-j)} \frac{1}{(\log n)^j}$$

We apply the theorem to  $\Delta_n(\lambda)$  with  $\lambda = s-1$  to get

$$(5.5) \quad \Delta_n(s-1) \sim \frac{-(\log n)^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)},$$

which leads to the result

$$(5.6) \quad S_n(s) \sim \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1-s}\Gamma(s)}.$$

The Lemma follows from dividing equation (5.6) by  $n + 1$ .  $\square$

Now to obtain an analytic continuation when  $\Re(s) > 0$ , we simply observe that the logarithmic test of series in combination with the asymptotic value of  $S_n(s)$  provided by Lemma 5.1 imply that the absolute value of the series on the left hand side of (5.1) is dominated by a uniformly convergent series for all finite  $s$  whose real part is greater than 0.

*Remark 5.2.* By Weierstrass theorem, we can see that the function  $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  can be extended outside of the domain  $\Re(s) > 1$  and that it does not have any singularity when  $\Re(s) > 0$ . Moreover, by repeating the same process for  $\Re(s) > -k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is clear that the series, defines an analytic continuation of  $\zeta(s)$  valid for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## 6. PREPARATION LEMMAS

Throughout this section, we suppose that  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ . For a fixed  $s = \sigma + it$ , we associate with  $\zeta(s)$ , the following power series,

$$(6.1) \quad (s-1)\zeta(s, x) \triangleq \frac{S_1(s)}{2}x + \frac{S_2(s)}{3}x^2 + \cdots + \frac{S_{n-1}(s)}{n}x^{n-1} + \frac{S_n(s)}{n+1}x^n + \cdots$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let's also further define the "comparison" power series by

$$(6.2) \quad \Phi(x) \triangleq (1-x) \left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^s = \phi_0 + \phi_1 x + \phi_2 x^2 + \cdots + \phi_n x^n + \cdots$$

It is easy to verify that for  $\sigma > 0$ ,

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x) \left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^s = 0.$$

Furthermore, direct calculation of  $\Phi'(x)$  yields:

$$(6.4) \quad \Phi'(x) = \left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^s \left( -1 + s - \frac{s}{\log(1-x)} - \frac{s}{x} \right).$$

Clearly,  $\Phi'(x)$  is well-defined for all  $x \in [0, 1)$  and satisfies

$$(6.5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} |\Phi'(x)| = \infty.$$

In other words the power series  $\Phi'(x)$  is a continuous well defined function of  $x$ , converges for all values of  $x \in [0, 1)$  and diverges when  $x \rightarrow 1$ . Moreover, because  $\Phi(x)$  is analytic at  $x = 0$ ,  $\Phi'(x)$  must possess the following power series expansion around  $x = 0$ :

$$(6.6) \quad \Phi'(x) = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2 x + \cdots + n\phi_n x^{n-1} + \cdots$$

We further associate to the series (6.6) the following positive coefficients power series:

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(x) \triangleq |\phi_1| + 2|\phi_2|x + \cdots + n|\phi_n|x^{n-1} + \cdots,$$

The proofs in the remaining of this section will be based on two theorems. The first theorem, which is due to Nörlund and generalized by Flajolet et al., estimates the asymptotic behavior of the coefficients of certain powers series:

**Theorem 6.1** ([5]). *Let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer and  $\beta$  be a real or complex number,  $\beta \notin \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Define the function  $f(z)$  by*

$$(6.8) \quad f(z) = (1-z)^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{z} \left( \log \frac{1}{1-z} \right)^\beta \right).$$

*Then, the Taylor coefficients  $f_n$  of  $f(z)$  satisfy*

$$(6.9) \quad f_n \sim n^{-\alpha-1} (\log n)^\beta \left( \frac{e_1}{1!} \frac{-\beta}{(\log n)} + \frac{e_2}{2!} \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{(\log n)^2} + \dots \right),$$

*with*

$$(6.10) \quad e_k = \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \right) \Big|_{s=\alpha}.$$

The second theorem, due to Appell, is the counterpart of l'Hospital's rule for divergent positive coefficients power series:

**Theorem 6.2** ([2] p. 66). *Let  $f(x), g(x)$  be two real power series of the form*

$$(6.11) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n, g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n, a_n, b_n > 0 \text{ for all } n > N, 0 < x < 1.$$

*We further suppose that*

- *the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  are both divergent so that  $x = 1$  is a singular point of both  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ .*
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = l,$

*then,*

$$(6.12) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = l.$$

Our first result establishes an important property on the behavior of the derivative of the function  $\Phi(x)$  when  $x$  is close to 1:

**Lemma 6.3.** *There exists an  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  and a constant  $C$  independent of  $x$  such that for all  $x \in (x_0, 1)$  we have  $\frac{|\Phi'(x)|}{\tilde{\Phi}(x)} > C > 0$ .*

*Proof.* From (6.4),

$$(6.13) \quad |\Phi'(x)| = \left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^\sigma \left| -1 + s - \frac{s}{\log(1-x)} - \frac{s}{x} \right|.$$

Let's suppose that the power series expansion of  $\left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^\sigma \triangleq \Psi(x)$  is given by

$$(6.14) \quad \Psi(x) = \psi_0 + \psi_1 x + \psi_2 x^2 + \dots + \psi_n x^n + \dots,$$

then applying Theorem 6.1 with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = \sigma$ , implies that for large values of  $n$ , the coefficients  $\psi_n$  satisfy the following asymptotic value:

$$(6.15) \quad \psi_n \sim \frac{\sigma}{n(\log n)^{1-\sigma}}.$$

Similarly, for  $\Phi'(x) = \phi_1 + 2\phi_2x + \dots + n\phi_nx^{n-1} + \dots$ , Theorem 6.1 with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = s$ , implies that for large values of  $n$ , the coefficients  $\phi_n$  satisfy the following asymptotic estimates:

$$(6.16) \quad \phi_n \sim \frac{-s\gamma}{n^2(\log n)^{1-s}},$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

The asymptotic value of  $n|\phi_n|$  imply by Abel's Theorem and the logarithmic test of series that, like  $\Psi(x)$ , the series  $\tilde{\Phi}(x)$  goes to infinity as  $x$  approaches 1.

We thus have

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{|\Phi'(x)|}{\tilde{\Phi}(x)} = \frac{|\Psi(x)x}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\phi_n|x^n} \left| -1 + s - \frac{s}{\log(1-x)} - \frac{s}{x} \right|.$$

Now as  $x$  approaches 1,  $x \left| -1 + s - \frac{s}{\log(1-x)} - \frac{s}{x} \right|$  approaches 1 so that given any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $x_1$  such that for  $x \in (x_1, 1)$ ,  $x \left| -1 + s - \frac{s}{\log(1-x)} - \frac{s}{x} \right| > 1 - \epsilon$ .

Moreover, since  $\Psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_nx^n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\phi_n|x^n$  both go to infinity as  $x$  approaches 1, and since the asymptotic estimates (6.15)-(6.16) of  $\psi_n$  and  $\phi_n$  verify

$$(6.18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_n}{n|\phi_n|} = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma|s|},$$

then Theorem 6.2 gives

$$(6.19) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_nx^n}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\phi_n|x^n} = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma|s|}.$$

In other words, given any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $x_2$  such that for  $x \in (x_2, 1)$ ,  $\frac{\Psi(x)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\phi_n|x^n} > \frac{\sigma}{\gamma|s|} - \epsilon$ .

To complete the proof take for example  $C = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma|s|} - \epsilon$  and  $x_0 = \max\{x_1, x_2\}$   $\square$

The second lemma that we need establishes a relationship between the derivative of  $\zeta(s, x)$  to that of  $\Phi(x)$ :

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\Phi$  be defined as above and let  $\zeta'(s, x)$  be  $\frac{d}{dx}\zeta(s, x)$ , then*

$$(6.20) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta'(s, x)}{\Phi'(x)} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)},$$

where the limit is taken from below.

*Proof.* We have

$$(6.21) \quad (s-1)\zeta'(s, x) = \frac{S_1(s)}{2} + \frac{2S_2(s)}{3}x + \dots + \frac{(n-1)S_{n-1}(s)}{n}x^{n-2} + \frac{nS_n(s)}{n+1}x^{n-1} + \dots$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Lemma 5.1 gives  $\frac{S_n(s)}{n+1} \sim \frac{1}{n(n+1)(\log n)^{1-s}\Gamma(s)}$  for  $n$  large enough and for all  $s = \sigma + it$ ,  $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ . Combining with the estimate (6.16) yields

$$(6.22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \frac{S_n(s)}{n+1}}{n\phi_n} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)}$$

The limit (6.22) is equivalent to saying that there exists a complex sequence  $\epsilon_n$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$  such that

$$(6.23) \quad n \frac{S_n(s)}{n+1} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} n\phi_n + \epsilon_n n\phi_n$$

or equivalently

$$(6.24) \quad n \frac{S_n(s)}{n+1} x^{n-1} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} n\phi_n x^{n-1} + \epsilon_n n\phi_n x^{n-1}$$

and finally adding the equalities for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , yields

$$(6.25) \quad (s-1)\zeta'(s, x) = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} \Phi'(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n\phi_n x^{n-1}$$

Now by dividing all sides of (6.25) by  $\Phi'(x)$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ , and taking the limit as  $x \rightarrow 1$ , we get

$$(6.26) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta'(s, x)}{\Phi'(x)} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} + \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n\phi_n x^{n-1}}{\Phi'(x)}$$

To prove the lemma it suffices to show that

$$(6.27) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n\phi_n x^{n-1}}{\Phi'(x)} \right| = 0.$$

Indeed, using our first preparation Lemma 6.3, simple calculations yield

$$(6.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n\phi_n x^{n-1}|}{|\Phi'(x)|} &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\epsilon_n||\phi_n|x^{n-1}}{|\Phi'(x)|} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\epsilon_n||\phi_n|x^{n-1}}{\tilde{\Phi}(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\Phi}(x)$  is defined in (6.7).

The two series in right hand side of the last inequality are both divergent positive coefficients power series. An application of Theorem 6.2 shows that the limit in (6.28) is equal to the limit of

$$(6.29) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n|\epsilon_n||\phi_n|}{n|\phi_n|} = 0,$$

and the lemma is proved. □

Now let  $s$  be a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$ . By Abel's theorem  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (s-1)\zeta(s, x) = \zeta(s, 1) = 0$ . In addition,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \Phi(x) = 0$ . The next and last preparation lemma shows that because of the particular function  $\Phi(x)$ , l'Hospital's rule which usually does not apply to vector valued or complex valued function, does apply for this particular case:

$$(6.30) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta(s, x)}{\Phi(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta'(s, x)}{\Phi'(x)} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)}.$$

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $\Phi$  be defined as above and let  $s$  be a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$ , then*

$$(6.31) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta(s, x)}{\Phi(x)} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)}$$

where the limit is taken from below.

*Proof.* From Lemma 6.4, we have

$$(6.32) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta'(s, x)}{\Phi'(x)} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)}.$$

Define  $\delta(x)$  by

$$(6.33) \quad \delta(x) \triangleq \frac{(s-1)\zeta'(s, x)}{\Phi'(x)} + \frac{1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)},$$

so that (6.32) can be written as

$$(6.34) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \delta(x) = 0.$$

Multiplying equation (6.33) by  $\Phi'(x)$ , and integrating<sup>2</sup> from  $x$  to 1, we obtain

$$(6.35) \quad (s-1) \left( \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \zeta(s, 1-\epsilon) - \zeta(s, x) \right) + \frac{\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Phi(1-\epsilon) - \Phi(x)}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} = \int_x^1 \delta(y) \Phi'(y) dy.$$

Now recalling that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Phi(1-\epsilon) = 0$ , and that  $s$  is a zero of  $\zeta(s)$  so that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \zeta(s, 1-\epsilon) = 0$ , and dividing both sides of (6.35) by  $\Phi(x)$ , we finally get

$$(6.36) \quad \frac{(s-1)\zeta(s, x)}{\Phi(x)} + \frac{1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} = - \frac{\int_x^1 \delta(y) \Phi'(y) dy}{\Phi(x)},$$

by which we obtain:

$$(6.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left| \frac{(s-1)\zeta(s, x)}{\Phi(x)} + \frac{1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} \right| &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left| \int_x^1 \delta(y) \Phi'(y) dy \right|}{|\Phi(x)|} \\ &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_x^1 |\delta(y)| |\Phi'(y)| dy}{|\Phi(x)|} \end{aligned}$$

By observing that the ratio

$$(6.38) \quad \frac{|\Phi'(y)|}{|\Phi(y)|'} = \frac{\left| -1 + s - \frac{s}{\log(1-y)} - \frac{s}{y} \right|}{\left| -1 + \sigma - \frac{\sigma}{\log(1-y)} - \frac{\sigma}{y} \right|},$$

<sup>2</sup>The integral is an improper integral, i.e. it is defined as  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_x^{1-\epsilon} f(y) dy$

where  $|\Phi(y)|' = \frac{d}{dy}|\Phi(y)|$  is always bounded by a suitable constant, say  $K$ , for  $y \in (x_0, 1)$ ,  $x_0$  close to 1, the limit in (6.37) is less than or equal to

$$(6.39) \quad K \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_x^1 |\delta(y)| |\Phi(y)|' dy}{|\Phi(x)|}.$$

The last limit in (6.39) consists of a limit of the ratio of two real functions that satisfy the hypothesis of l'Hospital's rule. That is

$$(6.40) \quad K \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_x^1 |\delta(y)| |\Phi(y)|' dy}{|\Phi(x)|} = K \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{|\delta(x)| |\Phi(x)|'}{|\Phi(x)|} = K \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} |\delta(x)| = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$(6.41) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left| \frac{(s-1)\zeta(s, x)}{\Phi(x)} + \frac{1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)} \right| = 0,$$

and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Equation (6.31) in Lemma 6.5 is a quite remarkable identity. It is in fact the key formula for proving the Riemann hypothesis. It says that if  $s$  is a zero of  $\zeta(s)$  and even though for this particular value of  $s$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (s-1)\zeta(s, x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \Phi(x) = 0$ , the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta(s, x)}{\Phi(x)}$  is well defined and is equal to  $\frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)}$ . Using this identity, we are now ready to prove the Riemann hypothesis.

## 7. PROOF OF RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that  $s = \sigma + it$  is a zero of  $\zeta(s)$  with  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . From the functional equation (1.2),  $1-s$  must also be a zero of  $\zeta(s)$ .

We have from the previous analysis:

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(s-1)\zeta(s, x)}{\Phi(x)} = \frac{-1}{\gamma s \Gamma(s)}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\Phi(x) \triangleq (1-x) \left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^s.$$

Similarly, for  $1-s$ , we define the comparison function  $\hat{\Phi}(x)$  which is analogous of  $\Phi(x)$  but with  $1-s$  in place of  $s$  to get

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{-s\zeta(1-s, x)}{\hat{\Phi}(x)} = \frac{-1}{\gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1-s)}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\hat{\Phi}(x) \triangleq (1-x) \left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^{1-s}$$

Taking absolute values and dividing equation (7.1) by (7.2)<sup>3</sup>, we must then have

---

<sup>3</sup>We could have evaluated the quotient directly without taking absolute values, but then the limit of the quotient exists under the condition that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\hat{\Phi}(x)}{\Phi(x)}$  exists; and this is not always

$$(7.3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left| \frac{(1-s)\zeta(s, x) \hat{\Phi}(x)}{s\zeta(1-s, x) \bar{\Phi}(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{(1-s)\zeta(s)}{s\zeta(1-s)} \right| \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left| \frac{\hat{\Phi}(x)}{\bar{\Phi}(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{1-s}{s} \right|;$$

consequently,

$$(7.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left| \frac{\hat{\Phi}(x)}{\bar{\Phi}(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{\zeta(1-s)}{\zeta(s)} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \right|.$$

The right hand side of (7.4) has a finite value for any zero of  $\zeta(s)$  since the functional equation (1.2) implies that:

$$(7.5) \quad \frac{\zeta(1-s)}{\zeta(s)} = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}$$

so that  $\frac{\zeta(1-s)}{\zeta(s)}$  has a finite value for any zero of  $\zeta(s)$ . But exact calculation of the left hand side of (7.4) gives:

$$(7.6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left| \frac{\hat{\Phi}(x)}{\bar{\Phi}(x)} \right| = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left( \frac{\log(1-x)}{-x} \right)^{1-2\sigma} = \infty.$$

This contradicts equation (7.4) unless  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$  in which case the limit in (7.4) is equal to 1. So there cannot be a zero such that  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and therefore all the zeros must lie on the line  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ . The proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, Formulas for Higher Derivatives of the Riemann Zeta Function, Mathematics of Computation, Vol. 44, No. 169 (1985), pp. 223-232.
- [2] E. Borel, Leçons sur les séries à termes positifs professées au collège de France, Gauthier-Villars, 1902.
- [3] D. S. Carter, L'Hospital's Rule for Complex-Valued Functions, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 65, No. 4 (1958), pp. 264-266.
- [4] P. Flajolet, R. Sedgewick, Mellin Transforms and Asymptotics: Finite Differences and Rice's Integrals, INRIA Report No. 2231, (1994).
- [5] P. Flajolet, A. Odlyzko, Singularity Analysis of Generating Functions, SIAM J. Disc. Math., Vol. 3, No. 2, (1990), pp. 216-240.
- [6] J. Hadamard, Sur la distribution des zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  et ses conséquences arithmétiques, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Tome 24, 1896, pp. 199-220.
- [7] H. Hasse, Ein Summierungsverfahren für die Riemannsche Reihe, Mathematische Zeitschrift, Vol. No 32, (1930), pp. 456-465.
- [8] E. Landau, Sur quelques théorèmes de M. Pétrovitch relatifs aux zéros des fonctions analytiques, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Tome 33, 1905, pp. 251-261.
- [9] D. H. Lehmer, The Sum of Like Powers of the Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function, Mathematics of Computation, Vol. 50, No. 181 (1988), pp. 265-273.
- [10] J. Ser, Sur une expression de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann, C.R. Academie des Sciences, Vol. 182, No.18, (1926), pp. 1075-1077.

ÉCOLE NATIONALE DES INGÉNIEURS DE TUNIS, BP 37, LE BELVÉDÈRE 1002 , TUNIS, TUNISIA  
*Current address:* École Nationale des Ingénieurs de Tunis, BP 37, Le Belvédère 1002 , Tunis, Tunisia

*E-mail address:* lazhar.fekihahmed@enit.rnu.tn

---

true for complex-valued functions (e.g. for  $s = 0.5 + it$ ,  $t \neq 0$ , the limit does not exist). By taking the absolute values, the quotients are real valued and we circumvent such cases.