



HAL
open science

On the second cohomology of Kähler groups

Bruno Klingler, Vincent Jf Koziarz, Julien Maubon

► **To cite this version:**

Bruno Klingler, Vincent Jf Koziarz, Julien Maubon. On the second cohomology of Kähler groups. 2010. hal-00472587v1

HAL Id: hal-00472587

<https://hal.science/hal-00472587v1>

Preprint submitted on 12 Apr 2010 (v1), last revised 8 Dec 2010 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE SECOND COHOMOLOGY OF KÄHLER GROUPS

BRUNO KLINGLER, VINCENT KOZIARZ, AND JULIEN MAUBON

ABSTRACT. Carlson and Toledo conjectured that any infinite fundamental group Γ of a compact Kähler manifold satisfies $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$. We assume that Γ admits an unbounded reductive rigid linear representation. This representation necessarily comes from a complex variation of Hodge structure (\mathbb{C} -VHS) on the Kähler manifold. We prove the conjecture under some assumption on the \mathbb{C} -VHS. We also study some related geometric/topological properties of period domains associated to such \mathbb{C} -VHS.

1. INTRODUCTION

The general setting for this note is the study of topological properties of compact Kähler manifolds, in particular complex smooth projective varieties. The possible homotopy types for these spaces are essentially unknown (cf. [Sim2]).

In this paper we restrict ourselves to an a priori simpler question: the study of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds (the so-called Kähler groups).

It is well-known that any finitely presented group Γ can be realized as the fundamental group of a 4-dimensional compact real manifold, or even of a symplectic complex compact 3-fold. A classical result of Serre shows that any finite group can be realized as a projective group (i.e. the fundamental group of a complex smooth projective variety). On the other hand there are many known obstructions for an infinite finitely presented group being Kähler (we refer to [ABCKT] for a panorama). Most of them come from Hodge theory (abelian or not) *in cohomological degree one*. As a prototype: let M be a compact Kähler manifold with fundamental group Γ . For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b^i(\Gamma) = \text{rk } H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$. Classical Hodge theory shows that $b^1(\Gamma) = b^1(M)$ has to be even. Considering finite étale covers one obtains that $b^1(\Gamma')$ has to be even for any finite index subgroup Γ' of Γ . For example, the free group on n -generator F_n is never Kähler, $n \geq 1$.

Remark 1.1. In [Voi], Voisin proved that there exist compact Kähler manifolds whose homotopy type cannot be realized by a smooth projective manifold. However, the question whether or not any Kähler group is a projective group is still open.

A very interesting conjecture concerning infinite Kähler groups, due to Carlson and Toledo and publicized by Kollár [Kol], deals with *cohomology in degree 2*:

Conjecture 1.2 (Carlson-Toledo). *Let Γ be an infinite Kähler group. Then virtually $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$.*

We refer to Section 2 for different interpretations of this conjecture. Notice that it holds trivially for fundamental groups of complex curves. Although Kähler groups are not necessarily residually finite (and thus not necessarily linear) [Tol], all known infinite such groups admit a linear representation with *unbounded image*. We will from now on restrict to this case.

The strongest evidence for Carlson-Toledo’s conjecture is the following folkloric theorem (we give a proof in Section 3 for the sake of completeness):

Theorem 1.3. *Let Γ be a Kähler group. If Γ admits a linear representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$, with G the linear group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of a finite dimensional complex vector space V or the isometry group of a Hilbert space, satisfying $H^1(\Gamma, \rho) \neq 0$, then $b^2(\Gamma) > 0$.*

Recall that a finitely generated group is said *schematically rigid* if all linear representations of Γ are *rigid*, namely if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ one has $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}\rho) = 0$. As a corollary to Theorem 1.3 one has:

Corollary 1.4. *Let Γ be an infinite Kähler group. If Γ does not satisfy Carlson-Toledo’s conjecture then necessarily:*

- (a) Γ has Kazhdan’s property (T).
- (b) Γ is schematically rigid.

Let M be a compact Kähler manifold and assume that $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ is schematically rigid and admits a reductive linear representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. By Simpson’s theory [Sim1], the representation ρ is then the monodromy of a (polarized) complex variation of Hodge structure (\mathbb{C} -VHS) $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E^i$ over M , see Section 4.3. The main result of this paper states that Conjecture 1.2 holds under some assumption on the variation of Hodge structure:

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold. Assume that there exists an irreducible rigid unbounded reductive representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for some $n > 0$ and that the variation of Hodge structure $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E^i$ over M which induces ρ satisfies $\mathrm{rk} E^i = 1$ for some $0 < i < k$. Then $H^2(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$.*

Note in particular that this result (and Lemma 4.4) implies that the Carlson-Toledo conjecture holds whenever the image of the rigid representation sits in some $\mathrm{U}(p, 1)$.

The fact that the representation ρ comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS implies in particular that $\rho(\Gamma)$ sits in some $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$, $p + q = n$. Moreover there is a period domain D , which is an open orbit of the group $\mathrm{PU}(p, q)$ in a manifold of flags of \mathbb{C}^n , and a period map, which is a ρ -equivariant holomorphic superhorizontal map from the universal cover of M to D . We call such period domain and map the $\mathrm{PU}(p, q)$ period domain and map associated to the \mathbb{C} -VHS, see Section 4.3 for details. It turns out that the Carlson-Toledo conjecture can be related to the question of whether the period map kills the second homotopy group $\pi_2(M)$ of M or not. Indeed if the answer is yes, then the conjecture is true. Since the period map is superhorizontal, a first thing to study is the existence of non homotopically trivial superhorizontal 2-spheres in the period domain D . In Section 5, using Gromov’s h -principle we prove the following result, which is of independent geometric interest:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E^i$ be a \mathbb{C} -VHS over a Kähler manifold and let D be the $\mathrm{PU}(p, q)$ period domain associated to E . Suppose E does not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5. Then all generators of $\pi_2(D)$ can be represented by superhorizontal 2-spheres.*

It is also customary to consider “smaller” period domains that one can associate to a \mathbb{C} -VHS: the real Zariski-closure G_0 of $\rho(\Gamma)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a group of Hodge type by [Sim1] and the period map can be chosen to land in a period domain which is an open G_0 -orbit in some flag manifold G/Q , where G is the complex Zariski-closure of $\rho(\Gamma)$ and Q a parabolic subgroup of G , see Sections 4.1 and 4.3. We will comment on the generalization of Theorem 1.6 to such period domains at the end of the paper.

2. WHAT DOES CONJECTURE 1.2 MEAN ?

2.1. In terms of group extensions. Recall that for Γ a group and A an Abelian group with trivial Γ -module structure the group $H^2(\Gamma, A)$ classifies the central A -extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow 1$$

of Γ . As $b^2(\Gamma) = \text{rk } H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$, Conjecture 1.2 means that any infinite Kähler group admits (after maybe passing to a finite index subgroup) a non-trivial central \mathbb{Z} -extension (which does not trivialize when restricted to any finite index subgroup).

2.2. In terms of classical topology. For any group Γ the universal coefficients exact sequence yields the isomorphism

$$H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H_2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}).$$

In particular it is equivalent to show $b_2(\Gamma) > 0$ or $b^2(\Gamma) > 0$.

For any reasonable topological space M with fundamental group Γ the universal cover $p : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M$ is a principal Γ -bundle over M . Thus it defines (uniquely in the homotopy category) a morphism $c : M \longrightarrow B\Gamma$ from M to the classifying Eilenberg-MacLane space $B\Gamma = K(\Gamma, 1)$. The induced morphism $c_* : H_*(M, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism in degree 1 and an epimorphism in degree 2:

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \twoheadrightarrow H_2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}).$$

Dually:

$$H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

How can we characterize the quotient $H_2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ of $H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$? In fact this quotient first appeared in Hopf's work on the Hurewicz morphism comparing homotopy and homology:

Theorem 2.1 (Hopf). *Let N be a paracompact topological space. Let $c : N \longrightarrow B\pi_1(N)$ be the classifying morphism and $h : \pi_*(N) \longrightarrow H_*(N, \mathbb{Z})$ the classical Hurewicz morphism. Then the sequence of Abelian groups*

$$(1) \quad \pi_2(N) \xrightarrow{h} H_2(N, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{c_*} H_2(\pi_1(N), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Cohomologically:

Corollary 2.2. *Let N be a paracompact topological space and $\pi_2(N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{h} H_2(N, \mathbb{R})$ the Hurewicz morphism. Then:*

$$H^2(\pi_1(N), \mathbb{R}) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(N, \mathbb{R}) \mid \forall \phi : S^2 \longrightarrow N, \langle [\omega], \phi_*[S^2] \rangle = 0\} \subset H^2(N, \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^2(N, \mathbb{R}) \times H_2(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the natural non-degenerate pairing between homology and cohomology.

Remark 2.3. Nowadays Theorem 2.1 is a direct application of the Leray-Cartan spectral sequence.

Carlson-Toledo's conjecture can thus be restated:

Conjecture 2.4. *Let Γ be an infinite Kähler group. There exists a compact Kähler manifold M with $\pi_1(M)$ a finite index subgroup of Γ such that the Hurewicz morphism $\pi_2(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ is not surjective.*

A stronger conjecture is then:

Conjecture 2.5. *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold with infinite fundamental group Γ . There exists a finite étale cover M' of M such that the Hurewicz morphism $\pi_2(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H_2(M', \mathbb{R})$ is not surjective.*

Of course $\pi_2(M)$ is nothing else than $H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z})$. The cohomological version of the previous conjecture gives:

Conjecture 2.6. *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold with infinite fundamental group Γ . Then the natural map*

$$\varinjlim_{M'} H^2(M', \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R})$$

is not injective (where the inductive limit is taken over the projective system of étale finite cover of M).

Notice that for any compact manifold M and any finite étale cover M' of M the arrow $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(M', \mathbb{R})$ is injective by the projection formula.

2.3. In terms of \mathbb{C}^* -bundles. Recall that for a reasonable topological space M the group $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ canonically identifies with the group $\mathcal{L}(M)$ of principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundles: on the one hand $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = [M, K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)]$, on the other hand $\mathcal{L}(M) = [M, B\mathbb{C}^*]$. But both $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ and $B\mathbb{C}^*$ have as canonical model the infinite projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^\infty$. Thus Conjecture 1.2 states that any infinite Kähler group Γ admits a finite index subgroup Γ' whose classifying space $B\Gamma'$ supports a non-trivial principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle. Let M be a compact Kähler manifold with infinite fundamental group Γ . As \tilde{M} is the homotopy fiber of $M \rightarrow B\Gamma$, Conjecture 2.6 says there exists a finite étale cover M' of M and a non-trivial principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle L' on M' whose pull-back to \tilde{M} becomes trivial. In these statements “non-trivial” means “with non-trivial *rational* first Chern class”.

2.4. Equivalence. The equivalence of these three points of view is clear. Given M and a principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle L on M one can consider the long homotopy exact sequence for the fibration $L \rightarrow M$:

$$(2) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(L) \rightarrow \pi_2(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_L} \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(L) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow 1 .$$

The boundary map $\partial_L : \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is just the first Chern class map of L restricted to $\pi_2(M)$: if $[\alpha] \in \pi_2(M)$ is represented by $\alpha : S^2 \rightarrow M$ then $\partial_L([\alpha]) = \langle \alpha^*(c_1(L)), [S^2] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_2(M)$ and $H^2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R})$ is dual to $H_2(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R})$ the principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle p^*L is trivial if and only if $\partial_L : \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is zero. Then the long exact sequence (2) gives the short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(L) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow 1 .$$

3. CARLSON-TOLEDO'S CONJECTURE: THE NON-RIGID CASE

3.1. The reductive case. The simplest instance of Theorem 1.3 is the following:

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a Kähler group. If $b^1(\Gamma) > 0$ then $b^2(\Gamma) > 0$.*

Essentially the same proof generalizes to:

Lemma 3.2. ([Rez]). *Let Γ be a Kähler group. If Γ admits a linear representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ with Zariski-dense image, where G denotes a linear reductive complex algebraic group, and satisfying $H^1(\Gamma, \rho) \neq 0$, then $b^2(\Gamma) > 0$.*

Proof. Let M be a compact Kähler manifold whose fundamental group is isomorphic to Γ . Let $E_\rho \rightarrow M$ be the complex local system on M associated with ρ . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(\Gamma, \rho) \times H^1(\Gamma, \rho^*) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H_{DR}^1(M, E_\rho) \times H_{DR}^1(M, E_\rho^*) & \longrightarrow & H_{DR}^2(M, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

where ρ^* denotes the contragredient representation of ρ and the second row of the diagram is given by

$$(\alpha, \beta) \longrightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta \longrightarrow \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega_M^{\dim M - 1}.$$

By the hard Lefschetz theorem generalized by Simpson to the case of reductive representations [Sim1, Lemmas 2.5, 2.6] this second row defines a non-degenerate bilinear form. This implies the result. \square

3.2. The general linear case.

Lemma 3.3. *Let Γ be a Kähler group. If Γ admits a linear representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying $H^1(\Gamma, \rho) \neq 0$ then $b^2(\Gamma) > 0$.*

Proof. Let $G = R \ltimes U$ be the Levi decomposition of the complex Zariski-closure G of $\rho(\Gamma)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, where U denotes the unipotent radical of G and R a Levi factor lifting the reductive quotient G/U . Let $l(\rho) : \Gamma \rightarrow R$ be the quotient representation of ρ . As ρ has Zariski-dense image in G , the representation $l(\rho)$ has Zariski-dense image in the reductive group R .

If U is non-trivial let

$$U = U_1 \supset \cdots \supset U_i \supset \cdots \supset U_r$$

be the central descending series for U (i.e. $U_1 = U$, $U_{i+1} = \overline{[U, U_i]}$ is the Zariski-closure of the subgroup of U generated by the commutators of U and U_i). The U_i 's are unipotent, the quotients $A_i := U_i/U_{i+1}$ are non-trivial of additive type. The action of R on U by automorphisms preserves the central descending series. Let us define $G_i = R \ltimes A_i$ and $\tau_i \in H^1(G_i, A_i)$ be the canonical class of the semi-direct product G_i .

Let $\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow G_1$ be the quotient representation of ρ . If the class $\tau_{1,\Gamma} = \rho_1^*(\tau_1) \in H^1(\Gamma, (A_1)_{l(\rho)})$ does not vanish, the conclusion $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ follows from Lemma 3.2 applied to the reductive representation $(A_1)_{l(\rho)}$. If $\tau_{1,\Gamma} = 0$ then up to conjugacy by an element in G one can assume that the image $\rho_1(\Gamma)$ is contained in R . Thus the image $\rho(\Gamma)$ is contained in $R \ltimes U_2$. The quotient representation $\rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow G_2$ of ρ is then well-defined, thus also the class $\tau_{2,\Gamma} = \rho_2^*(\tau_2) \in H^1(\Gamma, (A_2)_{l(\rho)})$.

By induction, for the first step i where the class $\tau_{i,\Gamma}$ does not vanish one deduces $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ from Lemma 3.2 applied to the reductive representation $(A_i)_{l(\rho)}$. Thus one can assume that all the classes $\tau_{i,\Gamma}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, vanish. Hence $\rho(\Gamma) \subset R$, $U = \{1\}$ and $G = R$. But then ρ is reductive and satisfies by hypothesis $H^1(\Gamma, \rho) \neq 0$, thus $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.2 applied to ρ . \square

3.3. The Hilbert case. The proof of Lemma 3.1 generalizes also to the case where ρ is a unitary representation in some Hilbert space. This is the main result of Reznikov in [Rez], to which we refer for a proof.

4. CARLSON-TOLEDO CONJECTURE AND VARIATIONS OF HODGE STRUCTURE

4.1. Period domains. We recall the necessary background about period domains. We refer to [GS] for a detailed account of the subject.

Let G be a connected complex semi-simple Lie group and G_0 a noncompact real form of G . We assume that G_0 is a group of Hodge type, namely that it has a compact maximal abelian subgroup T_0 (see [Sim1, 4.4.4]). Let Q be a parabolic subgroup of G which contains T_0 and such that $V_0 := G_0 \cap Q$ is compact.

We choose a maximal compact subgroup K_0 of G_0 which contains V_0 , and a maximal compact subgroup G_u of G which contains K_0 . The Lie algebras of G , G_0 and Q will be denoted by \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{g}_0 and \mathfrak{q} . Let B be the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_u of G_u is a real form of \mathfrak{g} and we denote complex conjugation with respect to \mathfrak{g}_u by τ . Let \mathfrak{n} be the maximal nilpotent ideal of \mathfrak{q} and $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{q})$. Then $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \tau(\mathfrak{n})$. The Lie algebra of V_0 is $\mathfrak{v}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{q} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{v}$. We shall denote the Lie algebra of K_0 by \mathfrak{k}_0 and its complexification by \mathfrak{k} . We have $\mathfrak{v} \subset \mathfrak{k}$.

The complex analytic quotient space $D_u = G/Q$ is called a *flag manifold*. The group G is a holomorphic principal Q -bundle over D_u and the holomorphic tangent bundle to D_u is the bundle associated to this principal bundle by the adjoint action of Q on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q} \simeq \tau(\mathfrak{n})$. The G_u -orbit of $eQ \in D_u = G/Q$ is open because the real span of \mathfrak{g}_u and \mathfrak{q} is all of \mathfrak{g} and it is closed, since G_u is compact. Hence G_u acts transitively on D_u with isotropy group $V_u = G_u \cap Q = V_0$ and we identify the quotient space G_u/V_u with D_u .

Open G_0 -orbits in the flag manifold G/Q are called *flag domains* ([FHW]). With our assumptions, this is the case of the G_0 -orbit D of eQ , which can be identified with the quotient space G_0/V_0 . Because G_0 is of Hodge type and $V_0 = G_0 \cap Q$ is compact, we will call the homogeneous complex manifold D a *period domain*. We say that D is “dual” to D_u .

Note that any parabolic subgroup Q' of G such that $V_0 = G_0 \cap Q'$ induces a complex structure on D .

As a C^∞ vector bundle, the complex tangent bundle T_{D_u} (resp. T_D) to D_u (resp. D) is associated to the principal V_0 -bundle G_u (resp. G_0) by the adjoint representation of V_0 on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}$. We endow the tangent space of D_u at eV_0 , which is naturally isomorphic to $\tau(\mathfrak{n})$, with the $\text{Ad}V_0$ -invariant inner product $(\xi, \zeta) \mapsto -B(\xi, \tau(\zeta))$. By G_u -translation, this inner product gives rise to a G_u -invariant Hermitian metric g_{D_u} on D_u and by G_0 -translation, to a G_0 -invariant Hermitian metric g_D on D .

Let $X = G_0/K_0$ be the symmetric space associated to G_0 and $X_u = G_u/K_0$ its compact dual. Then, we have natural fibrations $\pi_u : D_u = G_u/V_u \rightarrow G_u/K_0 = X_u$ and $\pi : D = G_0/V_0 \rightarrow G_0/K_0 = X$. The fibers of π_u , resp. π , are complex submanifolds of D_u , resp. D , isomorphic to $K/K \cap Q = K_0/V_0$ in both cases (even if $\pi \neq \pi_u|_D$) and the fibration is G_u -invariant, resp. G_0 -invariant. The vectors in T_D which are tangent to the fibers of π form a C^∞ subbundle T_D^v . A vector ξ in the fiber of T_D over eV , which is identified with $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}$, is tangent to the fiber of π if and only if $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}$. It follows that T_D^v is associated to the V_0 -bundle G_0 by the adjoint representation of V_0 on $\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}$.

The maximal compact subgroup K_0 of G_0 determines a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. The adjoint action of V_0 on $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ associates a C^∞ vector bundle T_D^h to the V_0 -principal bundle G_0 and since $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$, $T_D = T_D^v \oplus T_D^h$ is a G_0 -invariant orthogonal splitting of the tangent bundle into two C^∞ subbundles. Moreover, if we endow X with its natural G_0 -invariant metric then π is a Riemannian submersion, meaning that $d\pi|_{T_D^h} : T_D^h \rightarrow T_X$ is an isometry.

Of course, we can make the same kind of constructions on D_u (with obvious changes) and we get in particular a G_u -invariant decomposition $T_{D_u} = T_{D_u}^v \oplus T_{D_u}^h$.

The Hermitian metric g_{D_u} (resp. g_D) induces a G_u -invariant metric h_{D_u} (resp. a G_0 -invariant metric h_D) on the canonical bundle $K_{D_u} = \Lambda^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} D_u} T_{D_u}^*$ of D_u (resp. the canonical bundle $K_D = K_{D_u|_D}$ of D). The computations of [GS] show that

Proposition 4.1. *The G_u -invariant curvature form $\Theta_{D_u}(K_{D_u})$ of (K_{D_u}, h_{D_u}) is negative, and the G_0 -invariant curvature form $\Theta_D(K_D)$ of (K_D, h_D) is positive on the horizontal space T_D^h and negative on the vertical space T_D^v . In particular, $\log(h_{D_u}/h_D)$ is a well-defined function on D whose Levi form is positive definite on T_D^h .*

It follows from [BR, Chap. 4.A] that there exists an element ξ in the center of \mathfrak{g} such that all the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}\xi$ acting on \mathfrak{g} belong to $\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ and moreover, if \mathfrak{g}_ℓ denotes the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue $\sqrt{-1}\ell$, then if $\mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$ denotes the r -th step of the central descending series of \mathfrak{n} ,

$$\mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_\ell \text{ and } \mathfrak{n}^{(r)} = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq r} \mathfrak{g}_\ell.$$

For all $m > 0$, let $W_m = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq -m} \mathfrak{g}_\ell$. Then $W_m/\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}$ is an $\text{Ad } Q$ -invariant subspace, hence defines a holomorphic subbundle of T_{D_u} (or T_D). In particular, for $m = 1$, we get a holomorphic subbundle H_{D_u} , resp. $H_D = H_{D_u|_D}$, of T_{D_u} , resp. T_D , which is included in $T_{D_u}^h$, resp. T_D^h , and called *the superhorizontal distribution* of D_u , resp. D . Moreover, \mathfrak{g}_1 Lie-generates \mathfrak{n} and this implies that the superhorizontal distribution satisfies the so-called bracket-generating condition (see for example [Pan]).

4.2. Measurable open orbits in flag manifolds. We keep the assumptions and notations of the previous section, and we consider a new parabolic subgroup Q' of G which also contains the maximal abelian subgroup T_0 of G_0 , but this time we do not assume that $G_0 \cap Q'$ is compact. To emphasize this difference, we set $L_0 = G_0 \cap Q'$.

It follows from [FHW, Sect. 4.2 and 4.5] that the G_0 -orbit D' of eQ' in D'_u is open and measurable, meaning that it admits a G_0 -invariant, possibly indefinite, Kähler metric. We will identify D' with G_0/L_0 and D'_u with G_u/L_u where L_u is the compact real Lie subgroup $G_u \cap Q' \subset G$. D' contains a special compact complex submanifold $C \simeq K_0/K_0 \cap L_0$ ([FHW, Sect. 4.3]).

There is a decomposition $\mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}'$, where \mathfrak{l} is the complexification of the Lie algebra of L_0 and \mathfrak{n}' is the maximal nilpotent ideal of \mathfrak{q}' . As in the case of period domains, G can be seen as a holomorphic principal Q' -bundle on D'_u and the holomorphic tangent bundle to D'_u is the bundle associated to this principal bundle by the adjoint action of Q' on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}' \simeq \tau(\mathfrak{n}')$. Let us mention that the underlying C^∞ vector bundle on D' , which is associated to the principal L_0 -bundle G_0 , admits in general no decomposition similar to the decomposition in horizontal and vertical components. Nevertheless, we can endow the canonical bundle $K_{D'_u}$ of D'_u (resp. $K_{D'}$ of D') with a G_u -invariant (resp. G_0 -invariant) metric $h_{D'_u}$ (resp. $h_{D'}$) and an analogous statement to Proposition 4.1 (see [FHW, Sect. 4.7]) is available:

Proposition 4.2. *The G_u -invariant curvature form $\Theta_{D'_u}(K_{D'_u})$ of $(K_{D'_u}, h_{D'_u})$ is negative, and the G_0 -invariant curvature form $\Theta_{D'}(K_{D'})$ of $(K_{D'}, h_{D'})$ has signature $(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} D' - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} C, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} C)$. In particular, $\varphi := \log(h_{D'_u}/h_{D'})$ is a well-defined function on D' whose Levi form $\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ has everywhere at least $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} D' - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} C$ positive eigenvalues.*

We will need later a more precise description of the tangent vectors on which $\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ is positive definite. Recall that the tangent bundle to D' is the bundle associated to the principal L_0 -bundle G_0 by the adjoint representation of L_0 on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}'$. From the computations of [FHW], $\Theta_{D'}(K_{D'})$ is positive on any tangent vector $(e, \xi) \in G_0 \times_{L_0} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{q}' \simeq T_{D'}$ such that $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}'$.

By G_0 -invariance of $\Theta_{D'}(K_{D'})$, it follows that it is positive on any tangent vector to D' which has a representative (g, ξ) with $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}'$ and then, on such a tangent vector, $\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ is also positive.

4.3. Variations of Hodge structure. We refer to [Gri] and [Sim1] for details on this section.

Definition 4.3. *Let M be a complex analytic manifold. A (polarized) complex variation of Hodge structure (\mathbb{C} -VHS for short) on M is a C^∞ complex vector bundle E (of finite rank) with a decomposition $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E^i$ in a direct sum of C^∞ subbundles, a flat connection ∇ satisfying Griffiths's transversality condition*

$$\nabla : E^i \longrightarrow A^{0,1}(E^{i+1}) \oplus A^{1,0}(E^i) \oplus A^{0,1}(E^i) \oplus A^{1,0}(E^{i-1})$$

and a parallel Hermitian form h which makes the Hodge decomposition orthogonal and which on E^i is positive if i is even and negative if i is odd. If we set $r_i = \text{rk } E^i$, the monodromy representation ρ of the flat connection ∇ has image contained in a group isomorphic to $U(p, q) \subset \text{GL}(p+q, \mathbb{C})$ where $p = \sum_{i \text{ even}} r_i$ and $q = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} r_i$.

Assume we have a complex variation of Hodge structure on a complex manifold M . We have a so-called Hodge filtration of E by the subbundles $F^s = \bigoplus_{i \geq s} E^i$ which are holomorphic for the connection ∇ .

Let us fix $x_0 \in M$, and let $\mathbb{E} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathbb{E}^i$ be the fiber of E over x_0 endowed with the restriction h_0 of the Hermitian metric h on E . Then, using notations which are consistent with those in Section 4.1, we may set $G = \text{PGL}(\mathbb{E})$, $G_0 = \text{PU}(\mathbb{E}, h_0)$ and let Q be the parabolic subgroup of G which stabilizes the flag $\mathbb{F}^k \subset \mathbb{F}^{k-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{F}^1 \subset \mathbb{F}^0 = \mathbb{E}$ defined by the Hodge filtration and $V_0 = G_0 \cap Q = \text{P}(U(\mathbb{E}^0, h_0|_{\mathbb{E}^0}) \times \dots \times U(\mathbb{E}^k, h_0|_{\mathbb{E}^k}))$. We obtain a period domain $D = G_0/V_0$ in the flag manifold $D_u = G/Q$. We call D the $\text{PU}(p, q)$ period domain associated to the \mathbb{C} -VHS E .

Choose bases of each of the \mathbb{E}^i and put them together in order to get a basis of \mathbb{E} . Identifying now $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{E})$ with $\mathfrak{sl}(p+q, \mathbb{C})$, the usual Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the set of (tracefree) diagonal matrices. We denote by Δ the root system associated to \mathfrak{h} and Δ^+ the set of positive roots with respect to the usual ordering of Δ . Then, $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+q-1}\} \subset \Delta$ (where $\alpha_j(\text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_{p+q})) = h_{j+1} - h_j$) is a simple system. For each root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote by \mathfrak{g}^α the corresponding root-space. The parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{q} is associated to the subset $\Pi_{\mathfrak{q}} = \{\alpha_{r_0}, \alpha_{r_0+r_1}, \dots, \alpha_{r_0+\dots+r_{k-1}}\} \subset \Pi$ in the sense that if $\Gamma = \Delta^+ \cup \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha \in \text{span}(\Pi \setminus \Pi_{\mathfrak{q}})\}$ then

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha.$$

We also have

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma \cap -\Gamma} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma \\ \alpha \notin -\Gamma}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha.$$

Moreover, in this case, $\mathfrak{g}_\ell = \bigoplus_{s-r=\ell} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{E}^r, \mathbb{E}^s) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{E})$ for all ℓ , so that $\mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{r=0}^k \text{Hom}(\mathbb{E}^r, \mathbb{F}^r) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{E})$ and the superhorizontal distribution is the holomorphic bundle associated to $(\bigoplus_{r=0}^k \text{Hom}(\mathbb{E}^r, \mathbb{F}^{r-1}) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{E}))/\mathfrak{q}$ by the adjoint action of Q .

Let $K_0 = \text{P}(U(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{E}^{2i}, h_0|_{\bigoplus_i \mathbb{E}^{2i}}) \times U(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{E}^{2i+1}, h_0|_{\bigoplus_i \mathbb{E}^{2i+1}}))$. This is a maximal compact subgroup of G_0 containing V_0 . The symmetric space $X = G_0/K_0$ is Hermitian but we stress that $\pi : D \longrightarrow X$ is never holomorphic if D is endowed with the complex structure defined by Q (except if $V_0 = K_0$).

Now, let \tilde{M} be the universal cover of M . The complex variation of Hodge structure E induces a ρ -equivariant map $f : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow D$ called the *period map*, and the \mathbb{C} -VHS E is

said to be nontrivial if f is a nonconstant map. The transversality condition implies that f is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure induced by Q and moreover that $df(T_{\tilde{M}}) \subset H_D$: we say that f is a holomorphic *superhorizontal map*. This can be interpreted as follows: if we endow the vector bundles $E^i \simeq F^i/F^{i+1}$ with the holomorphic quotient structure, we deduce from ∇ some \mathcal{O}_M -linear maps $\theta_i : E^i \rightarrow E^{i-1} \otimes \Omega_M^1$ which are holomorphic sections of $\text{Hom}(E^i, E^{i-1}) \otimes \Omega_M^1$. Then, f^*H_D is a holomorphic bundle on \tilde{M} which goes down on M by ρ -equivariance. As such, it can be identified with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}(E^i, E^{i-1})$ and $\theta := \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \theta_i$ can be seen as the $(1, 0)$ part of df .

Changing notations, we could also have set $G = \overline{\rho(\pi_1(M))}$, the Zariski-closure of the image of the monodromy representation ρ in $\text{PGL}(\mathbb{E})$, and $G_0 = G \cap \text{PU}(\mathbb{E}, h_0)$. Then by [Sim1], G_0 is the real Zariski closure of $\rho(\pi_1(M))$ and is a group of Hodge type. Moreover the subgroup of G stabilizing the flag $\mathbb{F}^k \subset \mathbb{F}^{k-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{F}^1 \subset \mathbb{F}^0 = \mathbb{E}$ is a parabolic subgroup Q of G and setting $V_0 = G_0 \cap Q$, we obtain another period domain $D = G_0/V_0$ in the flag manifold G/Q . Again, there is a period map f from the universal cover of M to D , which is ρ -equivariant, holomorphic and superhorizontal.

4.4. A possible strategy for proving Carlson-Toledo's conjecture. In [Rez], Reznikov treated a few special cases when $\Gamma := \pi_1(M)$ admits a rigid linear representation. More precisely, let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$ be a rigid, unbounded, reductive and irreducible representation. It follows from the general theory of Simpson [Sim1] that such a representation necessarily is the monodromy of a complex variation of Hodge structure over M . As we just explained, if G , resp. G_0 , is the Zariski closure, resp. real Zariski closure, of $\rho(\Gamma)$ in $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$, then we obtain a period map f from the universal cover \tilde{M} of M to a period domain D of the form G_0/V_0 . Reznikov assumed that G_0 is a Hermitian group and that in fact D is the Hermitian symmetric space X associated to G_0 . Then the pull-back of the Kähler form ω_X by f , which goes down to M by equivariance, gives a non trivial cohomology class in $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ (because $f^*\omega_X$ is semi-positive and f is non constant). The fact that this class comes from a class in $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ follows from the fact that $\pi_2(X) = 0$ (see below).

When the period domain D is not a bounded symmetric domain, for example if G_0 is not of Hermitian type, it might still be possible to produce a class in $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ from the geometry of the \mathbb{C} -VHS and the period map $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow D$. Indeed, there are G_0 -homogeneous line bundles over D (for example the canonical bundle K_D). Let $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow D$ be such a line bundle and assume that it admits a G_0 -invariant metric whose curvature form $\Theta(\mathbf{L})$ is positive on the superhorizontal distribution (this is the case for K_D by Proposition 4.1). The line bundle $f^*\mathbf{L} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ descends to a line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ by equivariance and, as before, $f^*\Theta(\mathbf{L})$ induces a non trivial cohomology class $\eta \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, which is just the first Chern class of L . Moreover, the image of η in $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ does not vanish because the semi-positivity of $f^*\Theta(\mathbf{L})$ implies that η cannot be a torsion class. Again, it remains to prove that η belongs to the image of the natural inclusion $\iota : H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

In view of the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_2(L) \rightarrow \pi_2(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_L} \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(L) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow 1$$

it would be sufficient to show that the connecting morphism $\partial_L : \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial for some line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ induced by a homogeneous $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow D$ as above.

As we said, there are cases where this works in an obvious way:

Lemma 4.4. ([Rez]). *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold. Assume that there exists a rigid unbounded reductive representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for some $n > 0$ and that*

the period domain associated to the variation of Hodge structure is a symmetric space (i.e. $V_0 = K_0$). Then $H^2(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$.

Indeed, in this case $\pi_2(D)$ is trivial.

4.5. Variations of Hodge structure with a rank 1 subbundle: proof of Theorem 1.5.

In this section, we show that a slight modification of the strategy we just described gives a proof of Theorem 1.5.

We work here with the $\text{PU}(p, q)$ period domain D and map f associated to the \mathbb{C} -VHS E the representation ρ comes from, and we keep the notations of the beginning of Section 4.3. Recall that each $\theta_j : E^j \otimes T_M \rightarrow E^{j-1}$ deduced from the flat connection ∇ on E can be interpreted as a part of the differential of the period map.

By assumption, there exists i , $0 < i < k$, such that $r_i = \text{rk } E^i = 1$. First, we show that θ_i has (complex) rank 1 generically on M (this kind of property was already observed by Siu, Carlson-Toledo and others). If it were not the case, θ_i would generically have rank greater than or equal to 2 (θ_i cannot vanish identically otherwise the variation of Hodge structure E splits in two subvariations of Hodge structure and this is impossible since ρ is assumed to be irreducible). This would imply that for a generic $x \in M$, writing $E_x^i = \mathbb{C}v$, there exist $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in T_{M,x}$ such that $\theta_i(\xi_1)v$ and $\theta_i(\xi_2)v$ are not colinear. Let now $\zeta \in T_{M,x}$ and $w \in E_x^{i+1}$. If $\theta_{i+1}(\zeta)w \neq 0$, then $\theta_i(\xi_1) \circ \theta_{i+1}(\zeta)w$ and $\theta_i(\xi_2) \circ \theta_{i+1}(\zeta)w$ should be linearly independent but they are respectively equal to $\theta_i(\zeta) \circ \theta_{i+1}(\xi_1)w$ and $\theta_i(\zeta) \circ \theta_{i+1}(\xi_2)w$ which are colinear since E_i has rank 1. In other words, if θ_i has (complex) rank greater than or equal to 2 then θ_{i+1} vanishes generically, hence everywhere. As above, this contradicts the irreducibility of ρ .

Consider the maximal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ associated to $\Pi_{\mathfrak{q}'} = \{\alpha_{r_0+\dots+r_i}\}$ (see Section 4.3) and the corresponding parabolic subgroup $Q' \subset G$: we have $Q \subset Q'$. The projection map $\nu : D_u = G/Q \rightarrow D'_u := G/Q'$ is holomorphic with respect to the natural complex structure on D_u and D'_u . Its restriction to D , which we still denote by ν , induces a holomorphic map $\nu : D \rightarrow D'$ where D' is the G_0 -orbit of eQ' in D'_u (it is measurable open, see Section 4.2).

The map $g := \nu \circ f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow D'$ is holomorphic and the fact that θ_i has rank 1 is equivalent to the fact that g has rank 1. As a consequence, the fibers of g are pure dimensional, of codimension 1. We can thus apply a theorem of Kaup [Gra, Theorem 6] which states that there exists a normal complex curve N and holomorphic maps $\hat{g} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow N$, $\psi : N \rightarrow D'$, with \hat{g} surjective, such that $g = \psi \circ \hat{g}$. We claim that N cannot be isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Indeed, f is superhorizontal, hence $\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ is positive on each nonvanishing tangent vector in the image of the differential of g (see Proposition 4.2 and the discussion following it). As a consequence, $\psi^*\varphi$ is a subharmonic function on N and its Levi form $\psi^*\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ is generically positive since ψ is nonconstant. This is a contradiction if N is compact.

Finally, we can apply the method described above, using $g^*K_{D'}$ instead of f^*K_D . The restriction of $g^*K_{D'}$ to any 2-sphere lying in \tilde{M} is clearly topologically trivial since g factors through N which is aspherical.

5. SUPERHORIZONTAL 2-SPHERES IN PERIOD DOMAINS

Let E be a \mathbb{C} -VHS over a compact Kähler manifold M , and $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow D$ the corresponding period map. Since f is superhorizontal, if $\tilde{\sigma} : S^2 \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is any (smooth) lift of some element $[\sigma] \in \pi_2(M)$, $f \circ \tilde{\sigma} : S^2 \rightarrow D$ is a superhorizontal 2-sphere in the period domain D . Of course, if such superhorizontal spheres were homotopically trivial, then for every homogeneous line bundle $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow D$, the connecting homomorphism $\partial_L : \pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ would be trivial and the non-vanishing of $H^2(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{R})$ would easily follow from the arguments of Section 4.4.

However, this is in general not true, and we will show in this section that in most cases, there are many non-trivial superhorizontal 2-spheres in the period domain D . In fact, when Theorem 1.5 does not apply, and if D is the $\mathrm{PU}(p, q)$ period domain associated to E , $\pi_2(D)$ is generated by superhorizontal spheres, see Theorem 5.7.

5.1. Second homotopy groups of D_u and D . Keeping the notations of Section 4.3, let D be the $\mathrm{PU}(p, q)$ period domain associated to the variation of Hodge structure $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E^i$ over M and let D_u be its dual.

We first sum up some results of [BR, Chap. 4.D]. Let $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{n}$ be a root-space (i.e. $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $-\alpha \notin \Gamma$). Then $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}]$ is a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which is the complexification of a subalgebra \mathfrak{s}_α of \mathfrak{g}_u isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Let S_α be the corresponding copy of $\mathrm{PU}(2)$ and $U_\alpha \subset S_\alpha$ the connected subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha = [\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}] \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{v}_0$. Then U_α is a copy of $U(1)$ so that S_α/U_α is a 2-sphere. The homomorphism $i_\alpha : S_\alpha \rightarrow G_u$ corresponding to the inclusion $\mathfrak{s}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}_u$ defines an i_α -equivariant immersion $\varphi_\alpha : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow D_u$ (since $i_\alpha(U_\alpha) \subset V_0$) which is moreover totally geodesic and holomorphic.

The classes $[\Sigma_\alpha]$ of the spheres $\Sigma_\alpha := \varphi_\alpha(\mathbb{P}^1)$ (with α as before) generate $\pi_2(D_u)$ and moreover, if α and β are such that $\alpha + \beta$ is also a root, then $[\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta}] = [\Sigma_\alpha] + [\Sigma_\beta]$ in $\pi_2(D_u)$. Also, if there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap -\Gamma$ such that $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ then $[\Sigma_\alpha] = [\Sigma_\beta]$. Finally, we remark that the spheres Σ_α are superhorizontal for each $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Then (see [BR]),

Proposition 5.1. $\pi_2(D_u) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$ and a basis of this \mathbb{Z} -module is given by $\{[\Sigma_\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{q}}\}$.

Define $\beta_i := \alpha_{r_0+\dots+r_i} \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and for any $i < j$, set $\beta_{i,j} := \sum_{s=r_0+\dots+r_i}^{r_0+\dots+r_j} \alpha_s$. Consider now the projection map $\pi_u : D_u \rightarrow X_u$. For any $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{q}}$, its restriction $\pi_u|_{\Sigma_\alpha}$ has degree one. Moreover, $\pi_2(X_u) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and for the right choice of a generator $[T]$, $[\pi_u(\Sigma_{\beta_j})] = (-1)^j [T]$ (in fact, $\pi_u|_{\Sigma_{\beta_j}}$ is alternately holomorphic and antiholomorphic). As a consequence, the morphism $\pi_{u\star}$ induced by π_u on the second homotopy groups is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{u\star} : \pi_2(D_u) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k &\longrightarrow \pi_2(X_u) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{\beta_i} [\Sigma_{\beta_i}] &\longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i a_{\beta_i} [T] . \end{aligned}$$

If we apply Proposition 5.1 to a fiber F of π_u , we get $\pi_2(F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$ and by the above combinatorial description, it is easily seen that $\pi_2(F) \simeq \mathrm{Ker} \pi_{u\star}$, a basis of whom is given by

$$\{[\Sigma_{\beta_i}] + [\Sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}] \mid i = 0, \dots, k-2\} = \{[\Sigma_{\beta_{i,i+1}}] \mid i = 0, \dots, k-2\} .$$

Remark that each representative of the latter basis is contained in the central fiber of π_u . Since F is a deformation retract of the period domain D , we deduce the

Corollary 5.2. $\pi_2(D) \simeq \pi_2(F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$.

5.2. Construction of horizontal maps via Gromov h -principle. Following [Gro1, Gro2] and [Pan], we explain in this section how the h -principle may be used to produce horizontal maps. These considerations apply to a much wider setting than superhorizontal maps in period domains, and the notations of this section might differ from those used in the rest of the paper.

Let M be a real closed k -dimensional manifold, N a real n -dimensional manifold, and let H be a subbundle of the tangent bundle T_N of N , called the horizontal distribution, $\mathrm{rk}(H) = h \geq k$. Let θ be the projection $T_N \rightarrow T_N/H$ seen as a 1-form on N with values in T_N/H and let $d\theta : H \times H \rightarrow T_N/H$ be defined on horizontal vector fields by $d\theta(\xi, \eta) = [\xi, \eta] \bmod H$ ($d\theta$ is in fact a 2-form on H with values in T_N/H).

Assume that f is an H -horizontal immersion of M into N , namely an immersion such that for all $x \in M$, $d_x f$ maps $T_{M,x}$ in $H_{f(x)} \subset T_{N,f(x)}$. The differential of f gives rise to a map

$F : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(H)$, where $\text{Gr}_k(H) \rightarrow N$ is the bundle of Grassmannians of k -planes in H over N . The horizontality of f is equivalent to the vanishing $f^*\theta = 0$. Moreover, if f is C^2 , then $f^*d\theta = 0$ also holds. This means that df sends the tangent spaces $T_{M,x}$ to *isotropic* subspaces of H , namely subspaces S of $H_{f(x)}$ on which $d\theta$ vanishes. Therefore, a necessary condition for a C^2 horizontal immersion to exist is the existence of a map F from M to the bundle of Grassmannian of horizontal isotropic k -planes over N .

We shall indicate the different steps of the construction of a *folded* horizontal immersion $f : M \rightarrow N$ from the data of $F : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k^{\text{reg,iso}}(H)$, where $\text{Gr}_k^{\text{reg,iso}}(H) \rightarrow N$ is the bundle of *regular* horizontal isotropic k -planes over N . The regularity assumption will be explained in the next paragraphs. The meaning of a folded immersion will be given later.

First, one wants to construct germs of horizontal immersions tangent to given horizontal subspaces of T_N at given points. One therefore wants to locally solve the horizontality equation $\mathcal{H}(f) := f^*\theta = 0$. Since this is a local problem, we assume that M is an open set in \mathbb{R}^k , $0 \in M$, and that the quotient bundle T_N/H is trivial on N , so that θ and $d\theta$ can be seen as globally defined \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued forms on N . Here, \mathcal{H} is understood as a differential operator from the space of sections of the trivial bundle $M \times N \rightarrow M$ (so that sections of this bundle are just maps $M \rightarrow N$) to the space of \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued 1-forms on M . Spaces of sections are endowed with the *fine* topology: a basis of the fine C^0 -topology on the space of continuous sections $C^0(M, E)$ of a bundle E over M is given by the sets $C^0(M, \mathcal{V})$ of continuous \mathcal{V} -valued sections of E , for all open sets $\mathcal{V} \subset E$. The fine C^r -topology on $C^r(M, E)$ is induced from the fine C^0 -topology on the space of sections of the r -jets bundle $E^{(r)} \rightarrow M$ via the natural inclusion $C^r(M, E) \subset C^0(M, E^{(r)})$.

The idea is to right invert the linearization $D_f\mathcal{H}$ of the operator \mathcal{H} at f . If ξ is a section of f^*T_N , then

$$D_f\mathcal{H}(\xi) = d(\iota_\xi\theta) + f^*(\iota_\xi d\theta).$$

Therefore, if ξ is horizontal (i.e. ξ is a section of f^*H), $D_f\mathcal{H}(\xi) = f^*(\iota_\xi d\theta)$. The linearization $D_f\mathcal{H}$ is seen as a bundle map from f^*H to the space $M \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^{n-h})$ of \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued 1-forms on M . That is where the regularity assumption comes from: a k -dimensional subspace S of $T_{N,y}$ is said to be *regular* if the linear map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_y & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{R}^{n-h}) \\ \xi & \longmapsto & \iota_\xi d\theta \end{array}$$

is surjective (if $S \subset T_{N,y}$ is not horizontal, i.e. not contained in H_y , this definition depends on the choice of θ as an \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued 1-form on N).

The set of regular k -dimensional subspaces is open in the bundle of Grassmannians of k -planes in T_N . Indeed, locally, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of y in N , we may assume that $H = \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}^h$ and $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider a regular k -plane (y, S) in $T_{\mathcal{U},y} \simeq \{y\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. This means that there exist $k(n-h)$ tangent vectors v_i in \mathbb{R}^h such that the family $\{(d\theta)_y(v_i)\}$ is a basis of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{R}^{n-h})$, or in other words that the matrix of this family of vectors in a basis $e_i(S)^* \otimes f_j$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq n-h$ of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{R}^{n-h}) \simeq S^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n-h}$ is non singular. For (y', S') a k -plane in $T_{\mathcal{U},y'} \simeq \{y'\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ sufficiently close to (y, S) , we can choose a basis $\{e_i(S')\}$ of S' close to $\{e_i(S)\}$ and hence the matrix of the family $\{(d\theta)_{y'}(v_i)\}$ in the basis $e_i(S')^* \otimes f_j$ is also non singular, hence (y', S') is also regular.

This also implies that the set $\text{RegIm}(M, N)$ of regular immersions $M \rightarrow N$ is open in the space of maps $M \rightarrow N$.

It turns out that the regularity assumption is enough for being able to solve the horizontality equation up to infinite order, and then to right invert the linearization of \mathcal{H} . Namely:

Proposition 5.3. ([Pan, Prop. 29]). *Let $S \subset H_y$ be a k -dimensional regular isotropic subspace. Then there exists a germ of immersion $f : (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (N, y)$ which is tangent to S at the origin: $d_0f(\mathbb{R}^k) = S$, and which satisfies the horizontality equation to all orders: $\mathcal{H}(f)(x) = o(|x|^N)$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proposition 5.4. (See [Pan, Prop. 31]). *Assume $f : M \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow N$ is a regular immersion (i.e. $d_xf(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a regular k -dimensional subspace of $T_{N,f(x)}$ for all $x \in M$), then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f^*H) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(M \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^{n-h})) \\ M &\longmapsto f^*(\iota_M d\theta) \end{aligned}$$

is right invertible.

Proof. The set of regular k -planes in the bundle of Grassmannians $\text{Gr}_k(T_N)$ is open as we mentioned. Hence the set $\text{RegInj}(T_M, T_N)$ of triples (x, y, L) with $x \in M$, $y \in N$ and L an injective linear map from \mathbb{R}^k to $T_{N,y}$ with regular image, is open in the bundle $\text{Hom}(T_M, T_N)$ over $M \times N$.

Given $(x, y, L) \in \text{RegInj}(T_M, T_N)$, the set of right inverses of the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} H_y &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^{n-h}) \\ v &\longmapsto (d\theta)_y(v, L(\cdot)) \end{aligned}$$

is an affine space of dimension $k(n-h)(h-k(n-h))$. Therefore the collection of these spaces over $\text{RegInj}(T_M, T_N)$ is a bundle, with contractible fibers, and hence admits a section $(x, y, L) \mapsto \text{rightinv}(x, y, L)$.

Now, given an \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued 1-form β on M , i.e. $\beta(x) \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^{n-h})$ for all $x \in M$, define an horizontal vector field along f by $M_f(\beta) = (x, \text{rightinv}(x, f(x), d_xf)(\beta(x)))$. M_f is a right inverse of $D_f\mathcal{H}$. \square

Now, a theorem of Gromov in the spirit of the inverse function theorem of Nash shows that if the linearization $D_f\mathcal{H}$ of the operator \mathcal{H} can be right inverted for all $f \in \text{RegIm}(M, N)$, then locally, the operator \mathcal{H} itself can be right inverted: for any regular immersion f , there exists a right inverse \mathcal{H}_f^{-1} of \mathcal{H} , defined on a C^s -neighbourhood \mathcal{V}_f of $\mathcal{H}(f)$, such that $\mathcal{H}_f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(f)) = f$. Moreover, \mathcal{H}_f^{-1} depends smoothly on parameters. See [Gro1, p. 114-120] for a precise statement of the theorem and its corollaries in the form that is useful here.

This theorem and the two propositions prove that the Cauchy problem for horizontal immersions, with initial data a point $y \in N$ and a regular horizontal isotropic k -plane S in $T_{N,y}$, has a local solution: there exists a germ of horizontal immersion $f : (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (N, y)$ which is tangent to S at the origin. Indeed, Proposition 5.3 gives a germ of regular immersion $f_0 : (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \rightarrow (N, y)$ such that $\mathcal{H}(f_0) = o(|x|^n)$. Proposition 5.4 and Gromov's inverse function theorem shows that there exists a right inverse $\mathcal{H}_{f_0}^{-1}$ of \mathcal{H} defined in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{H}(f_0)$. Taking a smaller neighbourhood if necessary, we may assume that the identically zero 1-form belongs to this neighbourhood. Applying $\mathcal{H}_{f_0}^{-1}$ gives the desired germ f .

In fact, we can do better: the germ f_0 can be deformed to a true horizontal germ. Indeed, again working on a smaller neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ if necessary, we may assume that $(1-t)\mathcal{H}(f_0)$ belongs to the given neighbourhood \mathcal{V}_{f_0} of $\mathcal{H}(f_0)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and we have the deformation $f_t := \mathcal{H}_{f_0}^{-1}((1-t)\mathcal{H}(f_0))$ from f_0 to f_1 which is horizontal.

We will say that regular horizontal immersions satisfy the *local h -principle*.

The right inverse \mathcal{H}_f^{-1} has moreover the property of being a local operator ([Gro1]): for a given Riemannian metric on M and $r > 0$, we may assume that for all regular immersions $f : M \rightarrow N$, all 1-forms $\beta \in \mathcal{V}_f$ and all $x \in M$, the value of $\mathcal{H}_f^{-1}(\beta)$ at x depends only on the

values of f and β in a ball of radius r around x (of course \mathcal{V}_f depends on the metric and on r). This in turns implies an important fact about regular horizontal immersions $M \rightarrow N$: they are *microflexible*. By definition, this means that given two compact sets $K' \subset K \subset M$, a continuous family of regular horizontal immersions $\{f_a\}_{a \in A}$ defined near K and indexed by a polyhedron A , and a family $\{f_{a,t}\}_{a \in A}$ of regular horizontal immersions, defined near K' , continuous in $a \in A$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $f_{a,0} = f_a$ near K' for all $a \in A$, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a continuous family $\{\tilde{f}_{a,t}\}_{a \in A}$ of regular horizontal maps, defined near K and for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$, such that for all $a \in A$, $\tilde{f}_{a,0} = f_a$ near K and $\tilde{f}_{a,t} = f_{a,t}$ near K' .

Indeed, for t small, we can find regular immersions $F_{a,t}$ from a neighbourhood of K in M to N such that $F_{a,t} = f_{a,t}$ near K' , and then, for t small, we may define $\tilde{f}_{a,t} = \mathcal{H}_{F_{a,t}}^{-1}(0)$. This gives a family of regular horizontal immersions defined near K which agree with $f_{a,t}$ near K' by locality.

By [Gro2, p. 262], the local h -principle and the microflexibility imply that given our k -dimensional closed manifold M and a continuous map F from M to the bundle $\text{Gr}_k^{\text{reg,iso}}(H) \xrightarrow{\pi} N$ of Grassmannians of regular H -horizontal isotropic k -planes over N , there is a polyhedron W , homotopy equivalent to M , and a folded horizontal immersion $f : W \rightarrow N$ which is homotopic to $\pi \circ F$. Here by a folded horizontal immersion $f : W \rightarrow N$ we mean that f is continuous and is a smooth horizontal immersion on the interior of each simplex of W .

The construction of such a map is explained in [Pan] in the case where $M = S^1$. Here we will give a detailed construction for $M = S^2$ since this is the case we are ultimately interested in. For the general case, see Remark 5.5 (1).

From our discussion so far, we know that there exists a continuous choice of germs of horizontal immersions f_x , $x \in S^2$, defined on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, such that $f_x(0) = \pi \circ F(0) \in N$ and $d_0 f_x(\mathbb{R}^2) = F(x)$. We may assume that all these germs are defined in the Euclidean ball B of radius 2 around 0. Consider a fixed equilateral triangle \mathbf{T} inscribed in the circle of radius 1 in B , let v_r , v_g and v_b be its vertices, and e_{rg} , e_{gb} , e_{br} its edges. Using microflexibility, we are going to construct families of horizontal immersions defined near \mathbf{T} in B , with prescribed boundary values on $\partial \mathbf{T}$, from which we shall select maps which we shall then glue together along a pattern given by a suitably chosen triangulation of S^2 .

Let U_r , U_g , U_b be small disjoint discs centered at v_r , v_g and v_b , and U_{rg} , U_{gb} and U_{br} be small cylindrical neighbourhood of e_{rg} , e_{gb} , e_{br} in B such that $\overline{U_{rg} \cap U_{gb}} \subset U_g$, $\overline{U_{gb} \cap U_{br}} \subset U_b$ and $\overline{U_{br} \cap U_{rg}} \subset U_r$.

All the forthcoming metric notions are to be understood with respect to the round metric on the sphere S^2 .

Let $x \in S^2$ and ξ be a unit tangent vector to S^2 at x . For $t \in [0, 1]$, define an horizontal immersion $f_{x,\xi,t}$ on a neighbourhood of $\overline{U_r \cup U_g}$ by

$$f_{x,\xi,t}^{\text{rg}} = \begin{cases} f_{c_{x,\xi}(-t)} & \text{near } \overline{U_r} \\ f_{c_{x,\xi}(t)} & \text{near } \overline{U_g} \end{cases}$$

where $c_{x,\xi}$ is the unit speed geodesic going through x in the direction of ξ .

The maps $f_{x,\xi,t}^{\text{rb}}$, $t \in [0, 1]$ give a deformation of the horizontal map $f_x : B \rightarrow N$ near $\overline{U_r \cup U_g}$. By microflexibility, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, uniform in x and ξ , and a deformation of f_x by horizontal immersions $\tilde{f}_{x,\xi,t}^{\text{rg}} : B \rightarrow N$, $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, such that $\tilde{f}_{x,\xi,t}^{\text{rg}} = f_{x,\xi,t}^{\text{rg}}$ near $\overline{U_r \cup U_g}$.

In the same way we define horizontal maps $\tilde{f}_{x,\xi,t}^{\text{gb}}$ and $\tilde{f}_{x,\xi,t}^{\text{br}}$ for $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$.

Now, let η_r , η_g and η_b be unit tangent vectors at some point $x \in S^2$. Let $m_{rg}(t)$, $m_{gb}(t)$ and $m_{br}(t)$ be the midpoints of the geodesic segments $[c_{x,\eta_r}(t), c_{x,\eta_g}(t)]$, $[c_{x,\eta_g}(t), c_{x,\eta_b}(t)]$ and $[c_{x,\eta_b}(t), c_{x,\eta_r}(t)]$. Let also $\xi_{rg}(t)$, $\xi_{gb}(t)$, $\xi_{br}(t)$ and $s_{rg}(t)$, $s_{gb}(t)$, $s_{br}(t)$ be such that

$$\begin{cases} c_{m_{rg}(t), \xi_{rg}(t)}(s_{rg}(t)) = c_{x,\eta_g}(t) \\ c_{m_{gb}(t), \xi_{gb}(t)}(s_{gb}(t)) = c_{x,\eta_b}(t) \\ c_{m_{br}(t), \xi_{br}(t)}(s_{br}(t)) = c_{x,\eta_r}(t) \end{cases}$$

Everything is made so that the maps $f_{x,\eta_r,\eta_g,\eta_b,t}^{rgb}$ given for $t \in [0, \varepsilon_1]$ for some $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$ by

$$f_{x,\eta_r,\eta_g,\eta_b,t}^{rgb} = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_{m_{rg}(t), \xi_{rg}(t), s_{rg}(t)}^{rg} & \text{near } \overline{U_{rg}} \\ \tilde{f}_{m_{gb}(t), \xi_{gb}(t), s_{gb}(t)}^{gb} & \text{near } \overline{U_{gb}} \\ \tilde{f}_{m_{br}(t), \xi_{br}(t), s_{br}(t)}^{br} & \text{near } \overline{U_{br}} \end{cases}$$

are well defined on $\overline{U_{rg} \cup U_{gb} \cup U_{br}}$. Indeed, for example on $\overline{U_{rg} \cap U_{gb}} \subset U_g$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{m_{rg}(t), \xi_{rg}(t), s_{rg}(t)}^{rg} &= f_{c_{m_{rg}(t), \xi_{rg}(t)}(s_{rg}(t))} \\ &= f_{c_{x,\eta_g}(t)} \\ &= f_{c_{m_{gb}(t), \xi_{gb}(t)}(-s_{gb}(t))} \\ &= \tilde{f}_{m_{gb}(t), \xi_{gb}(t), s_{gb}(t)}^{gb} \end{aligned}$$

Hence these maps give a deformation of $f_x : B \rightarrow N$ near $\overline{U_{rg} \cup U_{gb} \cup U_{br}}$ and by microflexibility, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, uniform in $x, \eta_r, \eta_g, \eta_b$, and a deformation of f_x by horizontal immersions $\tilde{f}_{x,\eta_r,\eta_g,\eta_b,t}^{rgb} : B \rightarrow N$ defined for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ such that $\tilde{f}_{x,\eta_r,\eta_g,\eta_b,t}^{rgb} = f_{x,\eta_r,\eta_g,\eta_b,t}^{rgb}$ near $\overline{U_{rg} \cup U_{gb} \cup U_{br}}$.

Now consider a triangulation \mathcal{T} of S^2 , and assume that it is geodesic, that each triangle T of \mathcal{T} is contained in a convex ball of radius $< \varepsilon/p$ for some large number p , and that its angles are not too obtuse (the center of the circumcircle of T should also be contained in the ball of radius $< \varepsilon/p$ containing T). Assume moreover that \mathcal{T} is even, namely that there is an even number of triangles around each vertex of \mathcal{T} . Triangulations satisfying our assumptions exist for any value of p , as is easily verified. The fact that the triangulation is even implies that \mathcal{T} is 3-colorable, namely that we can color its vertices with 3 colors, say red, green and blue, so that adjacent vertices have different colors.

Choose a 3-coloring of the vertices of the triangulation \mathcal{T} and, for each triangle T of \mathcal{T} , let x^T be the center of the circumcircle of T and let η_r^T (resp. η_g^T, η_b^T) be the unit tangent vector at x^T in the direction of the red (resp. green, blue) vertex of T . Construct the horizontal maps $f_{T,t} = \tilde{f}_{x^T, \eta_r^T, \eta_g^T, \eta_b^T, t}^{rgb}$ as before. Let t^T be such that $m_{rg}(t^T)$ (resp. $m_{gb}(t^T), m_{br}(t^T)$) is the midpoint of the red-green (resp. green-blue, blue-red) edge of T and set $f_T = f_{T,t^T}$. The maps f_T are well-defined because we assumed that the triangles are very small. Moreover, if two triangles T and T' are adjacent, say along their red-green edges, then, on $U_{rg} \subset B$, the maps f_T and $f_{T'}$ agree because they depend only on the red and green vertices they share, and on the midpoint of the red-green edge connecting them.

Consider one copy \mathbf{T}_T of \mathbf{T} for each triangle T of the triangulation \mathcal{T} , and identify the red-green (resp. green-blue, blue-red) edge of \mathbf{T}_T with the red-green (resp. green-blue, blue-red) edge of $\mathbf{T}_{T'}$ if T and T' intersect along their red-green (resp. green-blue, blue-red) edges. The resulting polyhedron W is homeomorphic to the sphere S^2 . Moreover, the map $f : W \rightarrow N$ defined by $f = f_T$ on \mathbf{T}_T is well-defined, and it is the folded horizontal immersion we wanted.

- Remark 5.5.* (1) When M is any closed k -dimensional manifold, the arguments given here can be adapted to prove existence of a folded horizontal immersion. It is indeed enough to have a triangulation of M with a “canonical identification” of its simplices with some model simplex, which will be used to glue horizontal maps defined on copies of this model simplex, as we just did. This identification is given by a proper $(n + 1)$ -coloring of the vertices of the triangulation. Such triangulations, as fine as needed, and with control on the shape of the simplices, always exist, since the barycentric subdivision of any triangulation of M is $(n + 1)$ -colorable.
- (2) Near the edges of the polyhedron W , the folded horizontal immersion f is not smooth a priori, but we can deform it to a smooth horizontal map $S^2 \rightarrow N$ if we like (in general, the resulting map will not be an immersion).
- (3) If instead of a map $F : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k^{\text{reg,iso}}(H)$, we start with a map $F : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}^{\text{reg,iso}}(H)$ in the bundle of flags of regular k -planes in regular horizontal isotropic l -planes, $l > k$, then the so-called micro-extension trick can be used to get a smooth (non folded) horizontal immersion $M \rightarrow N$, see [EM, Theorem 4.6.1] and [Gro1].
- (4) If the triangulation \mathcal{T} is chosen sufficiently fine, namely if p is sufficiently big, the folded map is very close to the map $\pi \circ F$ and therefore homotopic to it. In particular, if $f_0 : M \rightarrow N$ is a given map, and if we can “lift” f_0 to a map $F : M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_2^{\text{reg,iso}}(H)$ such that $\pi \circ F$ is homotopic to f_0 , then our construction provides us with a horizontal map homotopic to f_0 .

5.3. Application to superhorizontal spheres in period domains. Using the results of the previous section, we shall now prove that in general period domains have plenty of non-trivial superhorizontal 2-spheres.

We begin by studying the period domain $D = \text{PU}(n, 2)/\text{P}(\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(n) \times \text{U}(1))$, $n \geq 2$. It is an open set in the flag manifold $D_u = \text{PU}(n + 2)/\text{P}(\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(n) \times \text{U}(1)) = \text{PGL}(n + 2, \mathbb{C})/Q$, where Q is the parabolic subgroup of $\text{PGL}(n + 2, \mathbb{C})$ stabilizing the flag $\{\langle e_1 \rangle \subset \langle e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+2}\}$ of \mathbb{C}^{n+2} . The complex dimension of D is $2n + 1$ and the superhorizontal distribution H_D of D is a holomorphic subbundle of TD of complex rank $2n$.

We want to construct *superhorizontal* maps $S^2 \rightarrow D$, homotopic to given elements of the second fundamental group $\pi_2(D)$ of D . A map $f : S^2 \rightarrow D$ is said to be superhorizontal simply if it is H_D -horizontal, namely if for all $x \in S^2$ and $\xi \in T_{S^2, x}$, $f_*\xi \in H_{D, f(x)}$.

Proposition 5.6. *For $n \geq 2$, all elements of $\pi_2(D)$ can be represented by smooth superhorizontal spheres $S^2 \rightarrow D$. For $n \geq 3$, they can be represented by smooth immersed superhorizontal spheres.*

Proof. All we have to prove (see Remark 5.5 (2) (4)) is that the elements of $\pi_2(D)$ can be lifted to $\pi_2(\text{Gr}_2^{\text{reg,iso}}(H_D))$, where $\text{Gr}_2^{\text{reg,iso}}(H_D)$ is the bundle of Grassmannians of regular isotropic superhorizontal 2-planes over D . Note that $H_D \rightarrow D$ is a holomorphic complex vector bundle, but we consider *real* 2-planes, namely real spans of couples of \mathbb{R} -linearly independent vectors of H_D . Regularity and isotropy are defined as before with respect to the 2-form $d\theta : H_D \times H_D \rightarrow TD/H_D$. At the point $eQ \in D \subset D_u$, H_D can be identified with $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and $d\theta$ restricts to the complex symplectic form

$$\begin{aligned} q : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n) \times (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ ((Z_1, Z_2), (W_1, W_2)) &\longmapsto {}^tZ_1W_2 - {}^tZ_2W_1 \end{aligned}$$

Since the superhorizontal 2-planes in TD are candidates for being the images of the tangent planes of S^2 by the differential of a map $f : S^2 \rightarrow D$, and since we have a natural orientation on TS^2 , we will in fact consider the bundle $\text{Gr}_{2,or}^{\text{reg,iso}}(H_D)$ of regular isotropic superhorizontal

oriented 2-planes. If we can lift elements of $\pi_2(D)$ to elements of $\pi_2(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}(H_D))$, then using the natural projection $\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}(H_D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_2^{reg,iso}(H_D)$, we are done.

The fiber of the fibration $\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}(H_D) \rightarrow D$ at eQ is $\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}$, the Grassmannian of oriented (real) 2-planes S in \mathbb{C}^{2n} , isotropic for the complex symplectic form q and regular in the sense that

$$\mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(S, \mathbb{C}), \quad v \mapsto \iota_v q|_S$$

is surjective.

The long exact sequence of homotopy groups associated to the fibration is

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}(H_D)) \rightarrow \pi_2(D) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Therefore the elements of $\pi_2(D)$ which come from elements of $\pi_2(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}(H_D))$ are those which are in the kernel of the map $\pi_2(D) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso})$.

It is easy to check that a real 2-plane S in \mathbb{C}^{2n} is regular if and only if it isn't a complex line, namely if and only if it is spanned by two \mathbb{C} -linearly independent vectors.

For $k \leq n$, the q -symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on the set of k -tuples of \mathbb{C} -linearly independent vectors spanning a k -dimensional complex isotropic subspace in \mathbb{C}^{2n} , and the stabilizer Q_k of such a k -tuple is topologically $\mathrm{Sp}(n-k, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^{2k(n-k)} \times \mathbb{C}^{k(k+1)/2}$, as a simple computation shows.

Therefore for $n \geq 2$ the stabilizer in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of an oriented regular isotropic 2-plane is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R}) \times Q_2$. Hence $\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso} \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) / (\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R}) \times Q_2)$. Since $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is path-connected and simply-connected, $\pi_1(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}) \simeq \pi_0(\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R}) \times Q_2)$. Now $\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R}) \times Q_2$ is path-connected, hence $\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}$ is simply-connected and all the elements of $\pi_2(D)$ can be lifted to elements of $\pi_2(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,or}^{reg,iso}(H_D))$.

If $n \geq 3$, we may also look at flags of oriented 2-planes in oriented superhorizontal regular isotropic 3-planes: $\mathrm{Gr}_{2,3,or}^{reg,iso} \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) / ((\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^2) \times Q_3)$ and find that all elements of $\pi_2(D)$ can be lifted to elements of $\pi_2(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,3,or}^{reg,iso}(H_D))$. This implies that for $n \geq 3$, all the elements of $\pi_2(D)$ can be represented by smooth immersed superhorizontal spheres (see Remark 5.5 (3)). \square

5.3.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Proposition 5.6 and the results of Section 5.1 enable us to state the following theorem, of which Theorem 1.6 is a particular case:

Theorem 5.7. *Let $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E^i$ be a \mathbb{C} -VHS and set $r_i = \mathrm{rk} E^i$. Let D be the $\mathrm{PU}(p, q)$ period domain associated to E . Then, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k-2\}$ such that $r_{i+1} \geq 2$, there exists a superhorizontal representative $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow D$ of $[\Sigma_{\beta_{i,i+1}}]$. In particular, when Theorem 1.5 does not apply, all generators of $\pi_2(D)$ can be represented by superhorizontal 2-spheres.*

Proof. If $r_{i+1} \geq 2$, $\{\beta_i, \sum_{j=r_{i+1}}^{r_{i+1}-1} \alpha_j, \beta_{i+1}\}$ are the simple roots of a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{E})$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ which is the complexification of a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2)$. As in the case of \mathbb{P}^1 in Section 5.1, this defines a totally geodesic embedding of the period domain $D' = \mathrm{PU}(2, 2) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$ in D . Moreover, identifying D' with its image in D , the superhorizontal distribution of D' satisfies $H_{D'} \subset H_{D|D'}$ and we have $\Sigma_{\beta_{i,i+1}} \subset D'$. Hence we can apply Proposition 5.6 in D' . \square

Remark 5.8. For a general period domain $D = G_0/V_0$, we can use this method to try to produce superhorizontal representatives of some elements of $\pi_2(D)$. Let us rephrase the proof we just gave. The group $\pi_2(D)$ is generated by vertical spheres. More precisely if $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a root-space and $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}]$ is the corresponding copy of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ in \mathfrak{g} , then exponentiating its real form $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ gives a 2-sphere S_α in D , and these spheres generate $\pi_2(D)$. Now, if there is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ which maps the root space $\mathfrak{sl}^{e_1-e_4}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ to \mathfrak{g}^α and the root spaces $\mathfrak{sl}^{e_1-e_2}$, $\mathfrak{sl}^{e_1-e_3}$, $\mathfrak{sl}^{e_2-e_4}$ and $\mathfrak{sl}^{e_3-e_4}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then as before there will be a copy of the period domain $\mathrm{PU}(2, 2)/\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$ in D , with the right superhorizontality property and containing S_α . Therefore Proposition 5.6 yields a superhorizontal representative of the generator corresponding to the root-space $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$.

It is difficult to give a general criterion for the existence of these special homomorphisms $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. We list a few examples (there are many others) where the method can be applied at least partially, for classical matrix period domains of the type considered by Griffiths. In this case, G_0 is either $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ with p or q even.

- (1) for $D = \mathrm{SO}(2p, q)/(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q))$ with $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$, $\pi_2(D) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and can be generated by a superhorizontal sphere;
- (2) for $D = \mathrm{SO}(4, 4)/(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(2))$, $\pi_2(D) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$ and all generators can be represented by superhorizontal spheres;
- (3) for $D = \mathrm{SO}(2p, 2q_1 + q_2)/(\mathrm{U}(q_1) \times \mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q_2))$ with $p \geq 2$, $q_1 \geq 1$ and $q_2 \geq 3$, $\pi_2(D) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ and all generators can be represented by superhorizontal spheres;
- (4) for $D = \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})/(\mathrm{U}(k) \times \mathrm{U}(n - 2k) \times \mathrm{U}(k))$ with $k \geq 1$ and $n - 2k \geq 2$, $\pi_2(D) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and (at least) one generator can be represented by a superhorizontal sphere;
- (5) $D = \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})/(\mathrm{U}(k) \times \mathrm{U}(n - k))$ with $k \geq 1$ and $n - k \geq 3$, $\pi_2(D) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and can be generated by a superhorizontal sphere.

Remark 5.9. Concerning the possibility of generating the second homotopy group by horizontal spheres in $\mathrm{PU}(p, q)$ period domains, there is one question which remains unanswered. In the notations of Theorem 1.6, we do not know whether $[\Sigma_{\beta_{i, i+1}}]$ can be represented by a superhorizontal 2-sphere when $r_{i+1} = 1$. To take the simplest example, it would be very interesting to know what happens for the period domain $D = \mathrm{PU}(1, 2)/\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$, whose second homotopy group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . As pointed out above, our implementation of the h -principle in $\mathrm{PU}(n, 2)/\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$ fails when $n = 1$.

Nevertheless, the arguments of the proof of Theorem 1.5 enable us to state that for any period domain D of the form $\mathrm{PU}(1, p + q)/\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(q))$ (with $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$), and for any holomorphic superhorizontal map $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow D$, we have $f_*(\pi_2(\tilde{M})) = \{1\}$.

Indeed, let us consider the holomorphic projection $\nu : D \rightarrow D' := \mathrm{PU}(1, p + q)/\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q + 1))$ and the projection onto the symmetric space $\pi : D \rightarrow X := \mathrm{PU}(1, p + q)/\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{U}(p + q) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$. Then, for any 2-sphere $\sigma : S^2 \rightarrow \tilde{M}$, $\nu \circ f \circ \sigma : S^2 \rightarrow D'$ and $\pi \circ f \circ \sigma : S^2 \rightarrow X$ are homotopically trivial (the former by the arguments of Theorem 1.5, the latter because X is contractible). Let $\tau_1 : S^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D'$ be an homotopy between $\nu \circ f \circ \sigma$ and a constant map and $\tau_2 : S^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be an homotopy between $\pi \circ f \circ \sigma$ and a constant map. Now, for any $(s, t) \in S^2 \times [0, 1]$, $\tau_1(s, t) \subset \tau_1(s, t) \oplus \tau_2(s, t)$ defines an element of D (even if $\tau_1(s, t)$ and $\tau_2(s, t)$ are not necessarily orthogonal with respect to the indefinite form h_0 on \mathbb{C}^{n+1}), and in this way we get an homotopy between $f \circ \sigma$ and a constant map.

REFERENCES

- [ABCKT] J. Amorós, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick, D. Toledo, *Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996 1

- [BR] F. E. Burstall and J. H. Rawnsley, *Twistor theory for Riemannian symmetric spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1424, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990 7, 11
- [EM] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev, *Introduction to the h-principle*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 48, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002 16
- [FHW] G. Fels, A. Huckleberry and J. A. Wolf, *Cycle spaces of flag domains. A complex geometric viewpoint*, Progr. Math. 245, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006 6, 7
- [Gra] H. Grauert, *Set theoretic complex equivalence relations*, Math. Ann. **265**, 1983, 137-148 10
- [Gri] P. Griffiths, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds, III. Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. **38**, 1970, 125-180 8
- [GS] P. Griffiths and W. Schmid, *Locally homogeneous complex manifolds*, Acta Math. **123**, 1969, 253-302 6, 7
- [Gro1] M. Gromov, *Partial differential relations*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986 11, 13, 16
- [Gro2] M. Gromov, *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, Sub-Riemannian geometry, Progr. Math. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, 79-323 11, 14
- [Kol] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich maps and automorphic forms*, M. B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995 1
- [Pan] P. Pansu, *Submanifolds and differential forms on Carnot manifolds, after M. Gromov and M. Rumin*, Preprint, 2006 7, 11, 13, 14
- [Rez] A. Reznikov, *The structure of Kähler groups, I: second cohomology*, Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part II (Irvine, CA, 1998), 717–730, Int. Press Lect. Ser., 3, II, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002 4, 5, 9
- [Sim1] C. T. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. **75**, 1992, 5-95 2, 5, 6, 8, 9
- [Sim2] C. T. Simpson, *The construction problem in Kähler geometry*, Different faces of geometry, *Int. Math. Ser.* (N. Y.), Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2004, 365-402 1
- [Tol] D. Toledo, *Projective varieties with non-residually finite fundamental group*, Publ. Math. IHES **77**, 1993, 103-119. 1
- [Voi] Voisin C., *On the homotopy types of compact Kähler and complex projective manifolds*, Invent. Math. **157**, 2004, 329-343 1

IMJ, UNIVERSIT PARIS-DIDEROT - PARIS VII, CNRS, 175 RUE DU CHEVALERET, F-75013 PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: klingler@math.jussieu.fr

IECN, NANCY-UNIVERSIT, CNRS, INRIA, BOULEVARD DES AIGUILLETES B. P. 239, F-54506
VANDŒUVRE-LS-NANCY, FRANCE
E-mail address: koziarz@iecn.u-nancy.fr
E-mail address: maubon@iecn.u-nancy.fr