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## 1 Main results

In this paper, we identify a complex sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the corresponding function $a: \mathbb{N} \longmapsto \mathbb{C}$. We write thus always $a(n)$ instead of $a_{n}$.

The Stern sequence or Stern-Brocot sequence with first terms given by

$$
0,1,1,2,1,3,2,3,1,4,3,5,2,5,3,4,1,5,4,7,3,8,5,7,2,7,5,8,3, \ldots
$$

(cf. sequence A2487 of [团) is the integral sequence $s: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ recursively defined by $s(0)=0, s(1)=1$ and $s(2 n)=s(n), s(2 n+1)=s(n)+s(n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$. It is closely related to the Farey tree and induces a one-to-one map $n \longmapsto s(n) / s(n+1)$ between $\mathbb{N}$ and non-negative rational numbers, cf. [5] or Chapter 16 of [1]. It is also an example of a 2 -regular sequence, see Chapter 16 of [2].

The following result gives a different, perhaps not very well-known, description of the Stern sequence.

Proposition $1.1 s(n)$ equals the number of distinct subsequences of the form $1,101,10101, \ldots=\left\{1(01)^{*}\right\}$ in the binary expansion of $n$.

Proposition 1.1 is in fact a particular case of Proposition 2.2, an easy result concerning rational series in non-commuting variables.

Example The binary expansion of $11=2^{3}+2^{1}+2^{0}$ is given by 1011 . The string 1011 contains the following five subsequences

$$
\mathbf{1 0 1 1 , 1 0 1 1 , 1 0 1 1 , 1 0 1 1 , 1 0 1 1}
$$

printed with bold letters of the form $1(01)^{*}$ and we have $s(11)=s(5)+s(6)=$ $s(2)+s(3)+s(3)=s(1)+2(s(1)+s(2))=5 s(1)=5$.

Proposition 1.1 allows to parametrize Stern sequences by counting a subsequence of the form $1(01)^{k}$ with weight $w^{k}$, see Proposition 2.1 for formulae. For $n=11$ we get for instance $3+2 w$.

In this paper we introduce a related sequence which will be called the twisted Stern sequence since it is obtained by twisting the recursive definition of the Stern sequence with a sign. More precisely, we define the twisted

Stern sequence $t(0), t(1), \ldots$ recursively by $t(0)=0, t(1)=1$ and $t(2 n)=$ $-t(n), t(2 n+1)=-t(n)-t(n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$. It starts as

$$
0,1,-1,0,1,1,0,-1,-1,-2,-1,-1,0,1,1,2,1,3,2,3,1,2,1,1,0,-1, \ldots
$$

An inspection of these first few terms shows already some striking similarities between the Stern sequence and its twisted relative. The aim of this paper is to describe a few properties of the Stern sequence and its twist.

The following result (the identity for $s(n)$ in assertion (i) is probably wellknown to the experts) is an illustration of the similarities between these two sequences:

Theorem 1.2 (i) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s\left(2^{e}+n\right)=s\left(2^{e}-n\right)+s(n) \\
& t\left(2^{e}+n\right)=(-1)^{e} s\left(2^{e}-n\right)+s(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $e \geq 0$ and for all $n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e}$.
(ii) We have

$$
t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right)=t\left(6 \cdot 2^{e}-n\right)=(-1)^{e} s(n)
$$

for all $e \geq 0$ and for all $n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e+1}$.
The failure for $n>2^{e+1}$ of the formula

$$
t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right)=(-1)^{e} s(n)
$$

given by assertion (ii) can perhaps be mended by the following conjectural identity based on experimental observations.

Conjecture 1.3 There exists an integral sequence $u(0), u(1), u(2), \ldots$ such that we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right) z^{n}=(-1)^{e}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n) z^{n \cdot 2^{e}}\right)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s(m) z^{m}\right)
$$

for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
If the conjecture holds, the ordinary generating function of the sequence $u(0), u(1), \ldots$ is given by

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n) z^{n}=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t(3+n) z^{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) z^{n}}
$$

and it starts as

$$
1-2 z^{2}-2 z^{5}+4 z^{6}+2 z^{7}-6 z^{8}+4 z^{9}+2 z^{10}-6 z^{11}+8 z^{12}+\ldots
$$

The first equality in assertion (ii) of Theorem 1.2 shows that the finite sequences $(-1)^{e} t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}\right),(-1)^{e} t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+1, \ldots,(-1)^{e} t\left(6 \cdot 2^{e}\right)\right.$ of length $3^{e}+1$ are palindromic sequences of natural integers. The first few such sequences are

| 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |

with boldfaced 1's at one third and two thirds for highlighting the underlying partial self-similarity structure. The subsequence lying between the two boldfaced 1's appears also at the beginning of [7]. One notices that all sequences start and end with zero and that all existing central elements are equal to 2 .

The polynomials defined by these palindromic sequences are described by the following result:

Theorem 1.4 (i) The polynomials

$$
\psi_{e}=(-1)^{e} \sum_{n=0}^{3 \cdot 2^{e}} t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right) z^{n}
$$

have the factorisations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{e} & =z\left(1+z^{2^{e}}\right)\left(1+z+z^{2}\right)^{e} \prod_{n=0}^{e-2}\left(1-z^{2^{n}}+z^{2^{n+1}}\right)^{e-1-n} \\
& =z\left(1+z^{2^{e}}\right) \prod_{n=0}^{e-1}\left(1+z^{2^{n}}+z^{2 n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) We have

$$
\psi_{e+1}=\psi_{e}\left(1+z^{3 \cdot 2^{e-1}}\right)+z^{2^{e}} \psi_{e-1}\left(1+z^{2^{e}}\right)
$$

for all $e \geq 1$.
Remark 1.5 Theorem 1.4 implies the identity

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t(n) z^{n}=z-z^{2}+\sum_{e=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{e} z^{3 \cdot 2^{e}+1}\left(1+z^{2^{e}}\right) \prod_{n=0}^{e-1}\left(1+z^{2^{n}}+z^{2^{n+1}}\right)
$$

Assertion (ii) of Theorem 1.2 yields $\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{e}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) t^{n}$. The second factorisation contained in Theorem 1.4 gives a new proof of the following result due to Carlitz (see [6]):

Corollary 1.6 We have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) z^{n}=z \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1+z^{2^{n}}+z^{2^{n+1}}\right)$.
(A direct proof of Corollary 1.6 is straightforward: The series $U(z)$ defined by the right-hand-side starts as $z+\ldots=s(0)+s(1) z+\ldots$ and its even, respectively odd, subseries are given by $U\left(z^{2}\right)$, respectively $\left(\frac{1}{z}+z\right) U\left(z^{2}\right)$. Its coefficients satisfy thus the same recursion relations as the elements of the Stern sequence.)

The Carlitz factorisation of Corollary 1.6 implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) z^{n}$ has no non-zero roots in the open unit disc. This is not true for the ordinary generating series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t(n) z^{n}$ of the twisted Stern sequence which has (infinitely?) many non-zero roots in the open unit disc.

Given a natural integer $k \geq 2$ and a natural integer $i$, we consider the endomorphism $\rho(i)$ of the vector-space (or module) of formal power series defined by

$$
\rho(i)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^{n}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(i+n k) z^{n} .
$$

The $k$-kernel of a formal power series $A$ is the smallest vector space (or module when working over a ring) $\mathcal{V}$ containing $A$ such that $\rho(0) \mathcal{V}, \ldots, \rho(k-$ 1) $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}$. A formal power series is $k$-regular if its $k-$ kernel is finitely generated. Easy examples of $k-$ regular series are polynomials and ordinary generating series of periodic sequences. $k$-regular power series form a vector space (or module) which is preserved by many natural operations such as derivation, product, Hadamard product, shuffle product, ..., see [2] for details. The set of $k$-regular sequences with coefficients contained in a finite set (eg. in a finite field) coincides with the set of so-called $k$-automatic sequences, see Theorem 16.1.5 of [2].

A sequence $a(0), a(1), \ldots$ is called $k$-regular if its ordinary generating series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^{n}$ is a $k-$ regular formal power series.

The following result is also a consequence of the Carlitz factorisation:
Theorem 1.7 The logarithmic derivative

$$
H(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n+1) z^{n}\right)=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n s(n+1) z^{n-1}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n+1) z^{n}}
$$

of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n+1) z^{n}$ is 2 -regular. More precisely, $H(z)$ is defined by the functional equation

$$
H(z)=\frac{1+2 z}{1+z+z^{2}}+2 z H\left(z^{2}\right) .
$$

Coefficients of $H(z)$ appear as sequence A163659 in [ 8$]$.
2-regularity of the logarithmic derivation $H(z)$ is a special case of the following result, perhaps already known to Schützenberger:

Theorem 1.8 Given $d k$-regular series $A_{1}(z), \ldots, A_{d}(z)$ over some commutative ring $R$, $d$ linear forms $L_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right), \ldots, L_{d}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ in $d$ unknowns with coefficients in $R[z]$ and $d$ constants $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d} \in R$ such that $\alpha_{i}=A_{i}(0)+L_{i}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \quad(\bmod z)$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$, the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{1}(z) & =A_{1}(z)+L_{1}\left(U_{1}\left(z^{k}\right), \ldots, U_{d}\left(z^{k}\right)\right) \\
\vdots & \\
U_{d}(z) & =A_{n}(z)+L_{n}\left(U_{1}\left(z^{k}\right), \ldots, U_{d}\left(z^{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

determines a unique set $U_{1}(z), \ldots, U_{d}(z)$ of $d k$-regular sequences with constant coefficients $\alpha_{i}=U_{i}(0)$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$.

Remark 1.9 We have $\alpha_{i}=A_{i}(0)$ if the linear form $L_{i}$ has all its coefficients of in $z R[z]$.

The series

$$
A(z)=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-z^{2^{n}}}
$$

satisfying $A(z)=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n}\right) A\left(z^{2}\right)$ is not 2 -regular (see Remark 1.11 below). This shows that Theorem 1.8 can not be extended to equations with linear forms having $k$-regular series as coefficients.

Theorem 1.7 can be generalised as follows:
Theorem 1.10 Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial with constant coefficient 1. Then the series

$$
A=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} P\left(z^{k^{n}}\right)
$$

is $k$-regular.
Moreover, if all roots of $P(z)$ are complex roots of 1 having finite order, then the logarithmic derivative $B=A^{\prime} / A$ of $A$ is also $k$-regular.

Remark 1.11 Given a $k$-regular series $A(z)$ with constant coefficient 1, the product $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} A\left(z^{k^{n}}\right)$ is generally not $k$-regular. Indeed, starting with the 2 -regular series $A(z)=1+z+z^{2}+\ldots=\frac{1}{1-z}$, the coefficient $b(n)$ in the series

$$
B=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n) z^{n}=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-z^{2^{n}}}
$$

counts the number of partitions of $n$ into powers of 2 , see sequence A123 in 8], and $\log (b(2 n))$ is asymptotically equal to $\frac{1}{2 \log 2}\left(\log \frac{n}{\log n}\right)^{2}$ (see equation 1.3 in [47) which is incompatible with $k$-regularity of $B$ by Theorem 16.3.1 in [2].

Remark 1.12 Another famous sequence illustrating Theorem 1.10 is the sequence

$$
\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-z^{2^{n}}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\operatorname{tm}(n)} z^{n}
$$

related to the Thue-Morse sequence $n \longmapsto \operatorname{tm}(n)$ defined by digit-sums modulo 2 for binary expansions of natural integers.

Another link between the two sequences $s$ and $t$ is given by determinants of $2 \times 2$-matrices. For $n \geq 1$ we consider the matrix

$$
M(n)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s(n) & s(n+1) \\
t(n) & t(n+1)
\end{array}\right)
$$

with first row two consecutive terms of $s$ and and second row the two corresponding consecutive terms of $t$. The first matrices are $2 \times 2$-submatrices defined by two consecutive rows of

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 7 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 3
\end{array}
$$

Injectivity of the map $n \longmapsto s(n+1) / s(n)$ shows that the matrices $M(n)$ are all different. Their determinants are characterised by the following result.

Theorem 1.13 We have $|\operatorname{det}(M(n))|=2$ for all $n \geq 1$. More precisely,

$$
\operatorname{det}(M(n))=-2(-1)^{k} \text { if } 2^{k} \leq n<2^{k+1}
$$

In particular, all matrices $M(n)$ are invertible for $n \geq 1$.
Since the recursive definitions of the integral sequences $s$ and $t$ differ only by signs (and since they satisfy the same initial conditions) they have the same reduction modulo 2 characterised by the following (easy) result, already contained in [6]:

Proposition 1.14 The integers $s(n)$ and $t(n)$ are even if and only if $n$ is divisible by 3 .

The determinants of the non-singular matrices $M(n)$ are thus in some sense as small as possible: Indeed, since the sequences $s$ and $t$ coincide modulo 2 , a matrix $M(n)$ involves either a column consisting of even integers or all its four entries are odd integers and such matrices have even determinants.

We end this paper with a last result, going back to Stern (see 6) for the first part of assertion (i):

Theorem 1.15 (i) The integer $s(n)$ divides $s(n-1)+s(n+1)$ for every $n \geq 1$. More precisely, we have

$$
\frac{s(n-1)+s(n+1)}{s(n)}=1+2 v_{2}(n)
$$

where the 2 -valuation $v_{2}(n)$ is defined as the exponent of the highest power of 2 dividing $n$.

The function

$$
C(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s(n-1)+s(n+1)}{s(n)} z^{n}
$$

satisfies $C(0)=0$ and

$$
C(z)=z \frac{1+2 z}{1-z^{2}}+C\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

and is 2-regular.
(ii) The integers $t(n)$ and $t(n-1)+t(n+1)$ are both zero if $n=3 \cdot 2^{k}$. They are both non-zero otherwise and $t(n)$ divides $t(n-1)+t(n+1)$. More precisely, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{t(n-1)+t(n+1)}{t(n)}=1+2 v_{2}(n) \\
\text { if } n \notin\left\{2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3 \cdot 2^{\mathbb{N}}\right\}, \begin{array}{l}
(t(0)+t(2)) / t(1)=(t(1)+t(3)) / t(2)=-1 \text { and } \\
\frac{t\left(2^{e}-1\right)+t\left(2^{e}+1\right)}{t\left(2^{e}\right)}=1+2(e-2)
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $e$ such that $e \geq 2$.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows: The next section contains a proof of Proposition 1.1 and a few complements.

Section 3 is devoted to the (easy) proofs of Theorem 1.2 and to a few more formulae and conjectures involving the Stern sequence and its twist.

Section 1 contains the proofs of Theorems 1.4, 1.7, 1.8, 1.10 and Corollary 1.6.

Section 5 contains the easy proof of Theorem 1.13 and a few related results.

Section 6 consists of the short proof of Theorem 1.15.

## 2 Proof of Proposition 1.1 and a few comments

We give first a bijective proof of Proposition 1.1. We describe then briefly a weighted version of the Stern sequence counting subsequences of the form
$1(01)^{*}$ with weights encoding their length. We given then a generalisation of Proposition 1.1 therefore providing a (sketch of a) second proof for Proposition 1.1.

Proof of Proposition 1.1 We call a subsequence of a binary expansion $B(n)$ admissible if it is of the form $1(01)^{*}$. For example, $B(11)=1011=$ $\epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{0}$ has five admissible subsequences given by the set

$$
\left\{\epsilon_{3}, \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{0}\right\}
$$

Since the number $b(n)$ of such subsequences satisfies clearly $b(2 n)=b(n)$, the equality $s(2 n)=s(n)$ shows that we can restrict our attention to $n$ odd. We consider the two cases $n=4 n+1$ and $n=4 n-1$.

If $w$ is an admissible subsequence of $B(4 n+1)$, then the digit $\epsilon_{1}=0$ of the binary expansion of $4 n+1$ is either contained in $w$ or not. In the first case, admissibility of $w$ shows that $w$ contains also the last digit $\epsilon_{0}$ of $B(4 n+1)$ and removal of $\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{0}=01$ from $w$ yields a bijection between such admissible subsequences and admissible subsequences of $B(2 n)$. In the second case where $\epsilon_{1}$ is not involved in $w$, we get a bijection between such admissible subsequences and admissible subsequences of the binary expansion $B(2 n+1)=\ldots \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{0}$ of the integer $2 n+1$. This shows the identity $b(4 n+1)=b(2 n)+b(2 n+1)$.

We consider finally the case of an admissible subsequences $w$ of $B(4 n-1)$. If $\epsilon_{0}$ is not contained in $w$, then $w$ can be associated with an admissible subsequence of $B(4 n-2)$ or equivalenty of $B(2 n-1)$. Denoting by $l$ the least integer such that $B(4 n-1)=\alpha 01^{l}$, we consider now an admissible subsequence $w$ of $B(4 n-1)$ which contains $\epsilon_{0}$. If the admissible subsequence $w$ is not of the form $\beta \epsilon_{l} \epsilon_{0}$, we transform it into the admissible subsequence $\beta \tilde{\epsilon}_{l}=\beta 1$ of $B(4 n)=\alpha \tilde{\epsilon}_{l} \tilde{\epsilon}_{l-1} \ldots \tilde{\epsilon}_{0}=\alpha 10^{l}$ or equivalently of $B(2 n)=\alpha 10^{l-1}$ (obtained from $B(4 n)$ by erasing the last digit 0 never involved in an admissible subsequence). If $w=\beta \epsilon_{l} \epsilon_{0}$ we transform it into the admissible subsequence $\beta$ of $B(4 n)$ or equivalently of $B(2 n)$. This shows $b(4 n-1)=b(2 n-1)+b(2 n)$ and ends the proof.

### 2.1 A weighted variation of the Stern sequence

We denote by $S(n) \in \mathbb{N}[w]$ the weighted number of subsequences of the form $1(01)^{*}$ in the binary expansion $B(n)$ of $n$, giving the weigth $w^{k}$ to a subsequence of the form $1(01)^{k}$. Similarly, we introduce $S_{e}(n) \in \mathbb{N}[w]$ as the weighted number of subsequences of the form $(10)^{*}$ in the binary expansion $B(n)$ of $n$, with weigth $w^{k}$ for a subsequence of the form $(10)^{k}$.

Proposition 2.1 (i) Evaluating the polynomial $S(n) \in \mathbb{N}[w]$ at $w=1$ yields the Stern sequence.
(ii) The sequences $S(n)$ and $S_{e}(n)$ are uniquely determined by the initial conditions $S(0)=0, S(1)=S_{e}(0)=S_{e}(1)=1$ and the recursive formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(2 n) & =S(n) \\
S(2 n+1) & =S(n)+S_{e}(n) \\
S_{e}(2 n) & =w S(n)+S_{e}(n) \\
S_{e}(2 n+1) & =S_{e}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) The sequence $S(n)$ is also uniquely determined by the initial conditions $S(0)=0, S(1)=1$ and by the recursive formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(2 n) & =S(n) \\
S(4 n+1) & =w S(2 n)+S(2 n+1) \\
S(4 n-1) & =S(2 n-1)+S(2 m+1)+w S(2 m)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=2^{a}(2 m+1)$.
Proof of Proposition 2.1 Assertion (i) is obvious.
In the sequel, we use the notation introduced above during the proof of Proposition 1.1.

The initial values for $S(n)$ and $S_{e}(n)$ in assertion (ii) are easy to check. The identity $S(2 n)=S(n)$ is obvious since admissible subsequences of $B(2 n)$ never involve the last digit $\epsilon_{0}=0$ in the binary expansion $B(2 n)$ of $2 n$.

Admissible subsequences of $B(2 n+1)$ not containing the last digit $\epsilon_{0}$ of $B(2 n+1)$ are in weight-preserving bijection with admissible subsequences of $B(2 n)$ or of $B(n)$. Removal of $\epsilon_{0}$ induces a weight-preserving bijection between admissible subsequences of $B(2 n+1)$ involving the last digit $\epsilon_{0}$ of $B(2 n+1)$ and monomial contributions to $S_{e}(n)$. This proves $S(2 n+1)=$ $S(n)+S_{e}(n)$.

Monomial contributions to $S_{e}(2 n)$ not involving the last digit $\epsilon_{0}$ of $B(2 n)$ are in (weight-preserving) bijection with monomial contributions to $S_{e}(n)$. Removing the last digit of monomial contributions to $S_{e}(2 n)$ involving the last digit $\epsilon_{0}$ of $B(2 n)$ yields admissible subsequences of $B(n)$ with weight reduced by 1 . This shows $S_{e}(2 n)=S_{e}(n)+w S_{e}(n)$.

The identity $S_{e}(2 n+1)=S_{e}(n)$ is due to the fact that monomial contributions to $S_{e}(2 n+1)$ never involve the last digit $\epsilon_{0}=1$ of $B(2 n+1)$.

Assertion (iii) follows from the bijections used in the proof of Proposition 1.1. We leave the details to the reader.

### 2.2 Counting weighted subsequences and subfactors

A famous result by Schützenberger implies essentially an identification of $k$-regular sequences with the set of rational formal power series in $k$ noncommuting variables. (One has to be a little careful with leading zeros. A
way of dealing with them is to consider only formal power series involving no monomials starting with the variable $x_{0}$ associated to the digit 0.)

Proposition 1.1 is then a particular case of the following well-known result which we give without proof. (A proof of stronger statements can be found in [3].)

Proposition 2.2 Let $A$ be a rational formal power series in $k$ non-commuting variables. Then the shuffle product of $A$ with $\frac{1}{1-\left(x_{0}+\ldots+x_{k}\right)}$ and the ordinary non-commutative product $\frac{1}{1-\left(x_{0}+\ldots+x_{k}\right)} A \frac{1}{1-\left(x_{0}+\ldots+x_{k}\right)}$ are both rational.

The shuffle-product counts subsequences encoded and weighted by $A$ in $k$-ary expansions of natural integers and the ordinary product in Proposition 2.2 counts subfactors (encoded by $A$ ) in $k$-ary expansions.

Proposition 1.1 corresponds to the case where

$$
A=x_{1} \frac{1}{1-x_{0} x_{1}}=x_{1}+x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+x_{1} x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+x_{1} x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+\ldots
$$

respectively

$$
A=x_{1} \frac{w}{1-x_{0} x_{1}}=x_{1}+w x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+w^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+\ldots
$$

in the weighted case with $x_{0}, x_{1}$ non-commuting variables and $w$ a central variable.

A famous example counting subsequences or subfactors (reduced to 1) is given by the Thue-Morse sequence corresponding to $A=x_{1}$.

Another famous example counting subfactors is given by the RudinShapiro sequence associated to $A=x_{1}^{2}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem [1.2 and more formulae

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of assertion (i) For $n=0$ we have

$$
s(1+0)=s(1)+s(0)=1+0=1, s(1+1)=s(0)+s(1)=0+1=1
$$

and

$$
t(1+0)=s(1)-s(0)=1-0=1, t(1+1)=s(0)-s(1)=0-1=-1
$$

The proof is now by induction on $e$. If $n$ is even we have

$$
s\left(2^{e}+n\right)=s\left(2^{e-1}+\frac{n}{2}\right)=s\left(2^{e-1}-\frac{n}{2}\right)+s\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)=s\left(2^{e}-n\right)+s(n)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(2^{e}+n\right) & =-t\left(2^{e-1}+\frac{n}{2}\right) \\
& =-(-1)^{e-1}\left(s\left(2^{e-1}-\frac{n}{2}\right)-s\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{e}\left(s\left(2^{e}-n\right)-s(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n$ is odd, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(2^{e}+n\right) & =s\left(2^{e-1}+\frac{n-1}{2}\right)+s\left(2^{e-1}+\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \\
& =s\left(2^{e-1}-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)+s\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)+s\left(2^{e-1}-\frac{n+1}{2}\right)+s\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \\
& =s\left(2^{e}-n\right)+s(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(2^{e}+n\right) & =-t\left(2^{e-1}+\frac{n-1}{2}\right)-t\left(2^{e-1}+\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{e}\left(s\left(2^{e-1}-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)-s\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)+s\left(2^{e-1}-\frac{n+1}{2}\right)-s\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{e}\left(s\left(2^{e}-n\right)-s(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of assertion (ii) These formulae are easy to establish for $e=0$.
For even $n$ we have

$$
t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right)=-t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e-1}+\frac{n}{2}\right)=-(-1)^{e-1} s\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)=(-1)^{e} s(n)
$$

and

$$
t\left(6 \cdot 2^{e}-n\right)=-t\left(6 \cdot 2^{e-1}-\frac{n}{2}\right)=-(-1)^{e-1} s\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)=(-1)^{e} s(n)
$$

and for odd $n$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right) & =-t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e-1}+\frac{n+1}{2}\right)-t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e-1}+\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \\
& =-(-1)^{e-1}\left(s\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)+s\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\right)=(-1)^{e} s(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(6 \cdot 2^{e}-n\right) & =-t\left(6 \cdot 2^{e-1}-\frac{n+1}{2}\right)-t\left(6 \cdot 2^{e-1}-\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \\
& =-(-1)^{e-1}\left(s\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)+s\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\right)=(-1)^{e} s(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

### 3.2 A few other formulae

Proposition 3.1 (i) We have

$$
s\left(2^{e+1}+n\right)=s\left(2^{e}+n\right)+s(n)
$$

for $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e}$, (see the remark by T. Tokita concerning the Stern-sequence A2487 in [(8]).
(ii) We have

$$
t\left(2^{e+1}+n\right)+t\left(2^{e}+n\right)=(-1)^{e+1} s(n)
$$

for $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e}$.
The formulae of Proposition 3.1 have the following conjectural generalisation, analogous to Conjecture 1.3:

Conjecture 3.2 (i) The series
$A(z)=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(s(2+n)-s(1+n)) z^{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) z^{n}}=1-2 z+2 z^{2}-4 z^{4}+4 z^{5}+2 z^{6}+\ldots$
satisfies

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(s\left(2^{e+1}+n\right)-s\left(2^{e}+n\right)\right) z^{n}=A\left(z^{2^{e}}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) z^{n}
$$

for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
Similarly, the series
$B(z)=-\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(t(2+n)+t(1+n)) z^{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) z^{n}}=1-2 z-2 z^{2}+4 z^{3}+6 z^{6}-6 z^{7}+\ldots$
satisfies

$$
(-1)^{e+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(t\left(2^{e+1}+n\right)+t\left(2^{e}+n\right)\right) z^{n}=B\left(z^{2^{e}}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n) z^{n}
$$

for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof of Proposition 3.1 The formulae hold for $n=0$ and $a \in\{0,1\}$. The induction step is an easy computation for odd $a$ and obvious for even $a$.

Proposition 3.3 (i) We have

$$
s(n)=-s\left(n-2^{e}\right)+s\left(n-2 \cdot 2^{e}\right)+2 s\left(n-3 \cdot 2^{e}\right), 2^{e+2} \leq n \leq 2^{e+3}-2^{e}
$$

for $e \geq 0$.
(ii) We have

$$
t(n)=t\left(n-2^{e}\right)-t\left(n-2^{e+1}\right)
$$

for $2^{e+2} \leq n \leq 2^{e+3}$.

Proof The case $e=0$ implies $n \in\{4,5,6,7\}$ in assertion (i) and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s(4)=1=-2+1+2 \cdot 1=-s(3)+s(2)+2 s(1) \\
& s(5)=3=-1+2+2 \cdot 1=-s(4)+s(3)+2 s(2) \\
& s(6)=2=-3+1+2 \cdot 2=-s(5)+s(4)+2 s(3) \\
& s(7)=3=-2+3+2 \cdot 1=-s(6)+s(5)+2 s(4)
\end{aligned}
$$

The induction step for $e>0$ is easy if $n$ is even and involves the usual identity $s(n)=s((n-1) / 2)+s((n+1) / 2)$ if $n$ is odd.

The proof of assertion (ii) is similar.
The following result gives a few partial sums associated to the Stern sequence and its twist:

Proposition 3.4 We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e}} s(n) & =\frac{3^{e}+1}{2}, e \geq 0 \\
\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e}}(-1)^{n} s(n) & =\frac{1-3^{e-1}}{2}, e \geq 1 \\
\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e}} t(n) & =\frac{(-1)^{e}+1}{2}, e \geq 0 \\
\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e}}(-1)^{n} t(n) & =\frac{-3+(-1)^{e}}{2}, e \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof The first equality holds for $e=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{2^{e+1}} s(n) & =\sum_{n=0}^{2^{e}} s_{2 n}+\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e}} s_{2 n-1} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{2^{e}} s(n)+\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e}}(s(n-1)+s(n)) \\
& =-s\left(2^{e}\right)+3 \sum_{n=0}^{2^{e}} s(n)=-1+3 \frac{3^{e}+1}{2}=\frac{3^{e+1}+1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by induction.
For the next identity one finds similarly

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e+1}}(-1)^{n} s(n)=1-\sum_{n=1}^{2^{e}} s(n)=1-\frac{3^{e}+1}{2}=\frac{1-3^{e}}{2}
$$

The computations for the partial sums involving $t(n)$ and $(-1)^{n} t(n)$ are analogous.

We end this section with a list of a few more identities.

## Proposition 3.5 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right)=s\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}-n\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e, n$ such that $0 \leq e \leq 2^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right)=s\left(3 \cdot 2^{e-1}+n\right)+2 s(n) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e, n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t\left(2^{e}+n\right)=t\left(2^{e}+n-2^{e-2}\right)-t\left(2^{e}+n-2^{e-1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e, n$ such that $e \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq 2^{e}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(2^{e}+n\right)=(-1)^{e} t\left(2^{e}+n\right)+2 s(n) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e, n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(2^{e}+a\right)=(-1)^{e} t\left(2^{e}-n\right)-3 s(n) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e, n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(2^{e}-a\right)=(-1)^{e} t\left(2^{e}-n\right)+2 s(n) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e, n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(2^{e}-n\right)=(-1)^{e} t\left(2^{e}+n\right)+s(n) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $e, n$ such that $0 \leq n \leq 2^{e}$.
Proofs are easy and left to the reader.

## 4 Proofs related to factorisations

Proof of Theorem 1.4 We set $\psi_{e}=z\left(1+z^{2^{e}}\right)\left(1+z+z^{2}\right) \prod_{n=0}^{e-2}\left(1-z^{2^{n}}+\right.$ $\left.z^{2^{n+1}}\right)^{e-1-n}$. Iterating the trivial identity

$$
\left(1+z^{n}+z^{2 n}\right)\left(1-z^{n}+z^{2 n}\right)=\left(1+z^{2 n}+z^{4 n}\right)
$$

we get the equivalent expression

$$
\psi_{e}=z\left(1+z^{2^{e}}\right) \prod_{n=0}^{e-1}\left(1+z^{2^{n}}+z^{2^{n+1}}\right)
$$

The proof of the identity $\psi_{e}=(-1)^{e} \sum_{n=0}^{3 \cdot 2^{e}} t\left(3 \cdot 2^{e}+n\right) z^{n}$ is by induction on $e$. It holds for $e=0$. The induction step follows from the recursive definition of the sequence $t(0), t(1), \ldots$ and from the equality $\psi_{e+1}(z)=$ $\left(\frac{1}{z}+1+z\right) \psi_{e}\left(z^{2}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.7: Using the Carlitz factorisation

$$
\tilde{S}(z)=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1+z^{2^{n}}+z^{2^{n+1}}\right)
$$

of $\tilde{S}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(n+1) z^{n}$ we have

$$
H(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log (\tilde{S}(z))=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n} z^{2^{n}-1}+2^{n+1} z^{2^{n+1}-1}}{1+z^{2^{n}}+z^{2^{n+1}}} .
$$

The summand of index $n=0$ yields $\frac{1+2 z}{1+z+z^{2}}$ and the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cdots$ can be rewritten as $2 z H\left(z^{2}\right)$.

The proof of 2 -regularity of $H(z)$ is an easy consequence of the functional equation for $H$, see Theorem 1.8 below.

Uniqueness of $H$ defined by the functional equation $H(z)=\frac{1+2 z}{1+z+z^{2}}+$ $2 z H\left(z^{2}\right)$ follows from the fact that the map

$$
A(z) \longmapsto \frac{1+2 z}{1+z+z^{2}}+2 z A\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

has a unique attracting fixpoint for formal power series (with respect to the obvious topology given by coefficent-wise convergency).

Proof of Theorem 1.8 We assume first that no linear form $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{d}$ involves coefficients of degree $\geq k$. For $i=0, \ldots, k-1$, we denote as before by $\rho(i)$ the linear map

$$
\rho(i)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^{n}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(i+n k) z^{n} .
$$

Given solutions $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d}$, we consider a finitely generated vector space or module $\mathcal{V}$ containing $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d}$ and the $k$-kernel of $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d}$. We have then

$$
\rho(i) U_{j}=\rho(i) A_{j}+\left(\left[x^{i}\right] L_{j}\right)\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d}\right)
$$

where $\left[x^{i}\right] L_{j} \in R\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ is the linear form obtained from $L_{j}$ by considering the coefficients of $z^{j}$. The power series $\rho(i) U_{j} \in \mathcal{V}$ is thus a linear combination of $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{1}$ and of the $k$-kernel of $A_{j}$. The set $\mathcal{V}$ contains thus the $k$-kernel of $U_{j}$ and $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{d}$ are all $k$-regular.

If there are linear forms among $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{d}$ which are of degree $\geq k$, we introduce the $k$-regular series $A_{d+1}=z A_{1}, \ldots, A_{2 d}=z A_{d}$, the series $U_{d+1}=z U_{1}, \ldots, U_{2 d}=z U_{d}$, the linear forms $L_{d+1}=z L_{1}, \ldots, L_{2 d}=z L_{d} \in$ $z R[z]\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ and set $\alpha_{d+1}=\ldots=\alpha_{2 d}=0$. We have then the equations

$$
U_{i}(z)=A_{i}(z)+z L_{i}\left(U_{1}\left(z^{k}\right), \ldots, U_{n}\left(z^{k}\right)\right)
$$

and the identities $\alpha_{i}=U_{i}(0)$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 d$. Modifying a linear form $L_{j}$ of degree $\geq k$ by substituting all occurences of $z^{k+i} U_{j}\left(z^{k}\right)$ with $z^{i} U_{d+j}\left(z^{k}\right)$
we construct an equivalent system with strictly smaller maximal degree for the linear forms $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{2 d}$. Iteration of this construction leads eventually to a system containing only linear forms of degree strictly smaller than $k$.

Existence and unicity of the solution follow from unicity of the attracting fixpoint of the dynamical system defined by the map

$$
U_{i}(z) \longmapsto A_{i}(z)+L_{i}\left(U_{1}\left(z^{k}\right), \ldots, U_{d}\left(z^{k}\right)\right), i=1, \ldots, d
$$

starting from the point $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 1.10 The first part follows Theorem 1.8 applied to the identity $A(z)=P(z) A\left(z^{k}\right)$. We present here however a second, independent proof.

Working over the field of complex numbers and using the fact that products of two $k$-regular series are $k$-regular (cf. Theorem 16.4.1 in [2]), it is enough to prove the result for polynomials of degree 1 . We can thus assume that $P(z)=1+\lambda z$. The coefficient of $z^{n}$ in $A(z)=\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1+\lambda z^{k^{m}}\right)$ is then given by zero if the $k$-ary expansion of $n$ involves digits greater than 1 and it is given by $\lambda^{\alpha}$ otherwise, where $\alpha$ equals the number of ones in the $k$-ary expansion of $n$. This implies $k$-regularity of $A(z)$.

We have

$$
B(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log (A(z))=\sum_{n=0} \frac{P^{\prime}\left(z^{k^{n}}\right) k^{n} z^{k^{n}-1}}{P\left(z^{k^{n}}\right)}
$$

The summand of index $n=0$ yields $\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}$ and the remaining summation $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cdots$ can be rewritten as $k z^{k-1} B\left(z^{k}\right)$. This shows that $B(z)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
B(z)=\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}+k z^{k-1} B\left(z^{k}\right)
$$

Using Theorem 16.4.3 of [2] we see that the rational fraction $\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}$ is $k$-regular if and only if all zeroes of $P(z)$ are roots of unity (ie. if $P(z)$ divides $\left(z^{N}-1\right)^{N}$ for some integer $N)$. Theorem 1.8 implies then $k$-regularity of $B(z)$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem [1.13 and other results involving matrices

Proof of Theorem 1.13 The trivial identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(M(2 n)) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s(2 n) & s(2 n+1) \\
t(2 n) & t(2 n+1)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s(n) & s(n)+s(n+1) \\
-t(n) & -t(n)-t(n+1)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s(n) & s(n+1) \\
t(n) & t(n+1)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{det}(M(n))
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(M(2 n-1)) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s(2 n-1) & s(2 n) \\
t(2 n-1) & t(2 n)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s(n-1)+s(n) & s(n) \\
-t(n-1)-t(n) & -t(n)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s(n-1) & s(n) \\
t(n-1) & t(n)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{det}(M(n-1))
\end{aligned}
$$

imply the result.
Proof of Proposition 1.14 The reduction modulo 2 of the Stern sequence $s(0), s(1), \ldots$ is the 3 -periodic sequence $0,1,1,0,1,1, \ldots$. Indeed, this holds for $s_{0}=0, s_{1}=s_{2}=1$ and the recursive formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(6 n) & =s(3 n) \\
s(6 n+1) & =s(3 n)+s(3 n+1) \\
s(6 n+2) & =s(3 n+1) \\
s(6 n+3) & =s(3 n+1)+s(3 n+2) \\
s(6 n+4) & =s(3 n+2) \\
s(6 n+5) & =s(3 n+2)+s(3 n+3)
\end{aligned}
$$

imply the 3 -periodicity of $s(n)(\bmod 2)$ by induction. The reduction modulo 2 of twisted Stern sequence $t(0), t(1), \ldots$ coincides with the reduction modulo 2 of the Stern sequence.

### 5.1 Other results involving matrices

The proofs of the following results are easy and omitted.
Proposition 5.1 (i) The matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s(n) & s(n+1) \\
s\left(2^{e}+n\right) & s\left(2^{e}+n+1\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

have determinant -1 for $n$ such that $0 \leq n<2^{e}$ and determinant 1 for $n$ such that $2^{e} \leq n<2^{e+1}$.
(ii) The matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s(n) & s(n+1) \\
t\left(2^{e}+n\right) & t\left(2^{e}+n+1\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

have determinant $(-1)^{e+1}$ for $n$ such that $0 \leq n<2^{e}$ and determinant $(-1)^{e}$ for $n$ such that $2^{e} \leq n<2^{e+2}$.
(iii) The matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t(n) & t(n+1) \\
s\left(2^{e}+n\right) & s\left(2^{e}+n+1\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

have determinant $(-1)^{e+1}$ for $n$ such that $2^{e+1}<n<5 \cdot 2^{e}$.
(iv) The matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t(n) & t(n+1) \\
t\left(2^{e}+n\right) & t\left(2^{e}+n+1\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

have determinant 1 for $n$ such that $2^{e-2} \leq n<2^{e}$ or $7 \cdot 2^{e} \leq n<2^{e+3}$ and determinant -1 for $n$ such that $2^{e} \leq n<7 \cdot 2^{e}$.

## 6 Proof for Theorem 1.15

For odd $n$ we have

$$
s(n)=s((n-1) / 2)+s((n+1) / 2)=s(n-1)+s(n+1)
$$

We have thus $\frac{s(n-1)+s(n+1)}{s(n)}=1=1+2 v_{2}(n)$ since $v_{2}(n)=0$ for odd $n$.
For $n$ even we have by induction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{s(n-1)+s(n+1)}{s(n)} \\
= & \frac{s((n-2) / 2)+s(n / 2)+s(n / 2)+s((n+2) / 2)}{s(n / 2)} \\
= & \frac{s(n / 2-1)+s(n / 2+1)}{s(n / 2)}+2 \\
= & 1+2 v_{2}(n / 2)+2=1+2 v_{2}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof of assertion (i).
The twisted Stern sequence satisfies also the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(n-1)+t(n+1) & =t(n), n \text { odd } \\
t(n-1)+t(n+1) & =t(n / 2-1)+t(n / 2+1)+2 t(n), n \text { even }
\end{aligned}
$$

involved in the previous proof. This implies assertion (ii) by checking the initial cases and the case of $n \in 3 \cdot 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
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