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# ON THE UNIMODALITY OF INVERSE POSITIVE STABLE LAWS 

THOMAS SIMON


#### Abstract

We observe that the function $F_{\alpha}(x)=\left(1+\alpha x^{\alpha}\right) e^{-x^{\alpha}}$ is completely monotone iff $\alpha \leq \alpha_{0}$ for some $\left.\alpha_{0} \in\right] 2 / 3,3 / 4[$. This property is equivalent to the unimodality of the inverse positive $\alpha$-stable law. The random variable associated with $F_{\alpha}$ appears then in two different factorizations of the positive $\alpha$-stable distribution. Furthermore, it is infinitely divisible iff $\alpha \leq \alpha_{1}$ for some $\left.\alpha_{1} \in\right] 2 / 3, \alpha_{0}\left[\right.$ and self-decomposable iff $\alpha \leq \alpha_{2}$ for some $\left.\alpha_{2} \in\right] 2 / 3, \alpha_{1}[$.


The purpose of this note is to point out a curious phenomenon for positive stable distributions for which we did not find any trace in the literature, though it could be of some interest. For every $\alpha \in] 0,1\left[\right.$, let $f_{\alpha}$ be the positive $\alpha$-stable density and $Z_{\alpha}$ the corresponding random variable, normalized such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} f_{\alpha}(t) d t=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda Z_{\alpha}}\right]=e^{-\lambda^{\alpha}}, \quad \lambda \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f_{\alpha}$ is known to be smooth, which can also be easily retrieved in inverting (1). Consider now the function $g_{\alpha}: t \mapsto 2 f_{\alpha}(t)+t f_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)$.

Theorem. There exists a critical value $\left.\alpha_{0} \in\right] 2 / 3,3 / 4[$ such that the following are equivalent:
(a) One has $\alpha \leq \alpha_{0}$.
(b) The function $F_{\alpha}: x \mapsto\left(1+\alpha x^{\alpha}\right) e^{-x^{\alpha}}$ is completely monotone.
(c) The random variable $Z_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is unimodal.
(d) The function $g_{\alpha}$ is a probability density over $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
(e) There exists a factorization

$$
Z_{\alpha} \stackrel{d}{=} U^{-1} \times \tilde{Z}_{\alpha}
$$

where $U$ is a uniform variable in $[0,1]$ and $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ an independent variable with density $g_{\alpha}$.
Proof: We first consider the simple equivalence (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d), which was the starting point of this work. Recall the Humbert-Pollard representation for $f_{\alpha}$ which may be found e.g. in [7], formula (14.31):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha}(t)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \Gamma(1+\alpha n) \sin \pi \alpha n}{\pi n!} t^{-\alpha n-1}=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!\Gamma(-\alpha n)} t^{-\alpha n-1} \quad t>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Differentiating (2) term by term shows that $g_{\alpha}$ is bounded and integrable. Since on the other hand $f_{\alpha}(0)=0$, an integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} e^{-\lambda t} g_{\alpha}(t) d t & =e^{-\lambda^{\alpha}}+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} t e^{-\lambda t} f_{\alpha}(t) d t \\
& =e^{-\lambda^{\alpha}}+\lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}\left(e^{-\lambda^{a}}\right)=\left(1+\alpha \lambda^{\alpha}\right) e^{-\lambda^{a}}=F_{\alpha}(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\lambda \geq 0$. Specifying to $\lambda=0$ yields

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} g_{\alpha}(t) d t=1
$$

so that $g_{\alpha}$ is a probability density iff it is everywhere non-negative. By the above computation and Berstein's theorem, this is equivalent to the complete monotonicity of $F_{\alpha}$. On the other hand, the density of the random variable $Z_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is $h_{\alpha}(t)=t^{-2} f_{\alpha}\left(t^{-1}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, the latter asymptotic coming from (2). In particular, we see that $Z_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is unimodal iff $h_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is everywhere non-increasing. Computing

$$
h_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)=-t^{-3} g_{\alpha}\left(t^{-1}\right), \quad t>0
$$

and putting everything together entails the equivalence $(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{d})$.
Let us now prove (c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e). From the above discussion, we know that $Z_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is unimodal iff it is unimodal with mode at zero. By Khintchine's theorem, the latter is equivalent to the factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\alpha}^{-1} \stackrel{d}{=} U \times Y_{\alpha} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is uniform on $[0,1]$ and $Y_{\alpha}$ some independent positive random variable. This yields (e) $\Rightarrow$ (c), and the reverse inclusion will be also true once it is proved that the density of the variable $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}=Y_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is $g_{\alpha}$. This can be done in evaluating the moments: from (3) and the classical computation made for $Z_{\alpha}$ - see e.g. formula (25.5) in [7], one gets first

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}^{s}\right]=\frac{(1-s) \Gamma(1-s / \alpha)}{\Gamma(1-s)}, \quad s<\alpha \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\mathcal{R}(z)=\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}^{z}\right]$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z)<\alpha$ and inverting this Mellin transform, one sees that $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ has a continuous bounded density $\tilde{f}_{\alpha}$ given by

$$
\tilde{f}_{\alpha}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{i} z) t^{-\mathrm{i} z} d z, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Computing the integral on the right-hand side with the help of a semi-circular contour in the lower half-plane and an evaluation of the residues at $-\mathrm{i} \alpha n, n \geq 1$, entails

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{\alpha}(t)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n}(1-\alpha n)}{n!\Gamma(-\alpha n)} t^{-\alpha n-1}, \quad t>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we see from (2) that the right-hand side is $g_{\alpha}(t)$. We will leave the details to the interested reader since an alternative route which is much simpler consists in deriving from
(4) the identity

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}^{s}\right]=2 \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{\alpha}^{s}\right]-(1+s) \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{\alpha}^{s}\right]=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} t^{s} f_{\alpha}(t) d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} t^{s+1} f_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} t^{s} g_{\alpha}(t) d t
$$

where the second equality comes after an integration by parts, noticing that (27) and the condition $s<\alpha$ let the boundary term at infinity vanish. It is then sufficient to invoke the injectivity of the Mellin transform to show that $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ has density $g_{\alpha}$.

It remains to prove the equivalence $(\mathrm{a}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ and to estimate the location of the threshold $\alpha_{0}$, which will be slightly more involved. We first remark that from the decomposition

$$
F_{\beta}(\lambda)=(1-\beta / \alpha) e^{-\lambda^{\beta}}+(\beta / \alpha) F_{\alpha}\left(\lambda^{\beta / \alpha}\right)
$$

and Criterion 2 p. 417 in [6] , the function $F_{\beta}$ is completely monotone (CM) as soon as $F_{\alpha}$ is CM and $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that $F_{\alpha}$ is not CM whenever $\alpha>3 / 4$. Indeed, if it were, then it would be also log-convex as the Laplace transform of a positive function (this latter assertion follows immediately from the Hölder inequality) so that the function $G_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\log \left(1+\lambda^{\alpha}\right)-\lambda^{\alpha} / \alpha$ would be convex. We compute

$$
G_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{\alpha-2}\left((\alpha-1)^{2}+(2-3 \alpha) \lambda^{\alpha}+(1-\alpha) \lambda^{2 \alpha}\right)}{\left(1+\lambda^{\alpha}\right)^{2}}
$$

and we see after some discussion on the sign of the trinomial that the right-hand side is everywhere non-negative over $\mathbb{R}^{+}$iff $\alpha \leq 3 / 4$.

We can also check that $F_{3 / 4}$ itself is not CM: although $(-1)^{n} F_{3 / 4}^{(n)}(\lambda) \geq 0$ for every $n \leq 4$, some further computation together with the change of variable $\mu=\lambda^{-3 / 4}$ gives

$$
(-1)^{5} F_{3 / 4}^{(5)}(\lambda)=\frac{9 e^{-\lambda^{3 / 4}}}{4^{6} \lambda^{1 / 2}}\left(195 \mu^{5}+35 \mu^{4}-150 \mu^{3}-135 \mu^{2}-27 \mu+81\right)
$$

and the right-hand side is negative for $\mu=4 / 5$. However, we will now exhibit some cases where $F_{\alpha}$ is CM. Let us write

$$
F_{\alpha}(\lambda)=e^{-H_{\alpha}(\lambda)}
$$

with $H_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\lambda^{\alpha}-\log \left(1+\alpha \lambda^{\alpha}\right)$ a non-negative function. Again, from Criterion 2 p. 417 in [3] the function $F_{\alpha}$ will be CM as soon as $H_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is CM. Moreover, since $H_{\alpha}$ is non-negative and $H_{\alpha}(0)=0$, the latter condition is known to be equivalent to the fact that $H_{\alpha}$ is a so-called Bernstein function, in other words the Laplace exponent of some subordinator. Changing the variable $\mu=\lambda \alpha^{1 / \alpha}$ yields the formulae

$$
\lambda^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(1-e^{-\mu x}\right) \frac{d x}{x^{1+\alpha}} \quad \text { and } \quad \log \left(1+\alpha \lambda^{\alpha}\right)=\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(1-e^{-\mu x}\right) E_{\alpha}\left(-x^{\alpha}\right) \frac{d x}{x}
$$

where

$$
E_{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}
$$

is the Mittag-Leffler function of index $\alpha$. We refer to Remark 2.2 in [6] (correcting $x^{k} \rightarrow$ $x^{\alpha k}$ therein) for the second formula, which actually follows [3] p. 429. We also recall the
asymptotic

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha}\left(-x^{\alpha}\right) \sim \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow+\infty($ see (18.1.7) in $[2])$ which ensures that the integral in the second formula does converge. We deduce from these two formulae the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(1-e^{-\mu x}\right)\left(1-U_{\alpha}(x)\right) \frac{d x}{x^{1+\alpha}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the notation $U_{\alpha}(x)=\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha) x^{\alpha} E_{\alpha}\left(-x^{\alpha}\right)$, and the Lévy-Khintchine formula for subordinators entails that $H_{\alpha}$ is a Bernstein function iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha}(x) \leq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \geq 0$. We now discuss this latter inequality with the help of some features of the Mittag-Leffler functions. From (1) and Exercise 29.18 in [7] , one gets first the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha}\left(-x^{\alpha}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-x^{\alpha} / Z_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-x\left(\bar{Z}_{\alpha} / Z_{\alpha}\right)}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Z}_{\alpha}$ is an independent copy of $Z_{\alpha}$. Evaluating the moments with the help of Formula (25.5) in [7] entails

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\bar{Z}_{\alpha}^{s}}{Z_{\alpha}^{s}}\right]=\frac{\Gamma(1-s / \alpha) \Gamma(1+s / \alpha)}{\Gamma(1-s) \Gamma(1+s)}=\frac{\sin (\pi s)}{\alpha \sin (\pi s / \alpha))}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sin \pi \alpha) t^{s+\alpha-1}}{\pi\left(t^{2 \alpha}+2 t^{\alpha} \cos \pi \alpha+1\right)} d t
$$

the last equality being a standard computation carried out e.g. in [1] Formula VIII.(10.2.4). This gives the expression of the density of the variable $\bar{Z}_{\alpha} / Z_{\alpha}$. Plugging it now into (9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha}\left(-x^{\alpha}\right)=\frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \frac{t^{\alpha-1} e^{-x t}}{t^{2 \alpha}+2 t^{\alpha} \cos \pi \alpha+1} d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that after a change of variable,

$$
U_{\alpha}(x)=\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \frac{t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t}}{(t / x)^{2 \alpha}+2(t / x)^{\alpha} \cos \pi \alpha+1} d t
$$

and the right-hand side is easily seen to be smaller than $\alpha$ if $\alpha \leq 1 / 2$, respectively smaller than $\alpha / \sin ^{2}(\pi \alpha)$ if $\alpha>1 / 2$. Putting everything together entails that $H_{\alpha}$ will be Bernstein and hence $F_{\alpha}$ will be CM whenever $\alpha \leq \max \left(1 / 2, \sin ^{2} \pi \alpha\right)$ i.e. $\alpha \in\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right]$ for some $\alpha^{*} \in$ ] $2 / 3,3 / 4[$.

All in all, we showed that there exists a threshold $\left.\alpha_{0} \in\right] 2 / 3,3 / 4\left[\right.$ such that $F_{\alpha}$ is not CM if $\alpha>\alpha_{0}$ and $F_{\alpha}$ is CM if $\alpha<\alpha_{0}$. A straightforward continuity argument entails that $F_{\alpha_{0}}$ itself is CM, and the proof is complete.

Remarks. (a) From the final part of our proof, one may wonder whether $U_{\alpha} \leq 1$ whenever $F_{\alpha}$ is CM, in other words whether $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ is infinitely divisible (ID) as soon as $g_{\alpha}$ is a density function. This is however not the case, since the threshold variable $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_{0}}$ is not ID. Indeed, we easily see from (5) that there exists some $t_{0}>0$ and a neigbourhood $\mathcal{V}_{0}$ of $\alpha_{0}$ such that $g_{\alpha}(t)>0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_{0}$ and every $t \geq t_{0}$. From the continuity of the application
$(\alpha, t) \mapsto g_{\alpha}(t)$ - which comes from (2) - and the fact that $g_{\alpha}$ is a density iff it is non-negative, one sees that $g_{\alpha_{0}}$ must vanish inside $] 0, t_{0}\left[\right.$. If $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_{0}}$ were ID, then its Laplace exponent would be $H_{\alpha}$ and we see by (7) that $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_{0}}$ would be driftless and that the support of its Lévy measure would contain zero. From Theorem 24.10 in [7] this would entail that the support of $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_{0}}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{+}$and since $g_{\alpha}$ is smooth, Theorem 3.3 in [ 8$]$ ] entails that $g_{\alpha}$ would never vanish on $] 0,+\infty[$, a contradiction.

On the other hand, our proof shows that $Z_{\alpha}$ is ID whenever $\alpha<\alpha_{1}$ for some $\left.\alpha_{1} \in\right] 2 / 3, \alpha_{0}$ ]. Since (91) entails that $U_{\alpha}(x) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ for every $\left.\alpha \in\right] 0,1[$, similarly as above there exists $x_{1}>0$ and a neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ of $\alpha_{1}$ such that $U_{\alpha}(x)<1$ for every $x>x_{1}$ and every $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_{1}$. By the continuity of $(\alpha, x) \mapsto U_{\alpha}(x)$, this entails that $U_{\alpha_{1}} \leq 1$ everywhere so that the critical variable $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_{1}}$ is ID. In particular, from the above discussion we see that $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{0}$.
(b) The last part of our proof and the well-known characterization given e.g. by [7] Corollary 15.11, entail also that $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ is self-decomposable (SD) iff the function

$$
x \mapsto x^{-\alpha}-\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha) E_{\alpha}\left(-x^{\alpha}\right)
$$

is non-increasing, which is equivalent to the fact that $V_{\alpha}(x)=\alpha x^{2 \alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) E_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(-x^{a}\right) \leq 1$ for every $x \geq 0$. Differentiating (10) and changing the variable yield on the other hand

$$
V_{\alpha}(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \frac{t^{\alpha} e^{-t}}{(t / x)^{2 \alpha}+2(t / x)^{\alpha} \cos \pi \alpha+1} d t
$$

Discussions similar to the above show then that $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ is SD iff $\alpha \leq \alpha_{2}$ for some $\alpha_{2}>2 / 3$. Notice also with the previous notations that since $1-U_{\alpha_{1}}$ must vanish inside $] 0, x_{1}$ [ and not at infinity, the variable $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_{1}}$ itself is not SD, so that $\alpha_{2}<\alpha_{1}$.
(c) The two above remarks allow to give a characterization of the two thresholds $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ in terms of extremal properties for the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha}$ and its derivative $E_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, through inequalities for the above functions $U_{\alpha}$ and $V_{\alpha}$. But we could not find any simple characterization for the critical value $\alpha_{0}$, save of course by the CM property for $F_{\alpha}$. One could also view $\alpha_{0}$ as the largest index $\alpha$ for which the function

$$
\frac{(1+\mathrm{i} s) \Gamma(1+\mathrm{i} s / \alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\mathrm{i} s)}
$$

is a characteristic function but this does not seem to give any valuable information on $\alpha_{0}$. Notice that formula (3.6) in 5 draws attention upon a characteristic function which is infinitely divisible (but not SD) and somewhat close to the above function.
(d) The function

$$
x \mapsto \frac{F_{\alpha}(x)}{2 \Gamma(1+1 / \alpha)}
$$

is a density over $\mathbb{R}^{+}$for every $\alpha>0$ and we know from our proof that it is log-convex iff $\alpha \leq 3 / 4$. In particular, Theorem 51.4 in [7] entails that the corresponding variable is ID whenever $\alpha \leq 3 / 4$. An easy computation entails that it is also ID for $\alpha=1$, but we do not know whether it remains ID for $\alpha \in] 3 / 4,1[$, as for the completely monotone density $e^{-x^{\alpha}} / \Gamma(1+1 / \alpha)$.
(e) When $F_{\alpha}$ is CM, the factorization $e^{-\lambda^{\alpha}}=\left(1+\alpha \lambda^{\alpha}\right)^{-1} F_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ gives an other, additive identity in law

$$
Z_{\alpha} \stackrel{d}{=} \alpha^{1 / \alpha} M_{\alpha}+\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}
$$

where $M_{\alpha}$ is a so-called Mittag-Leffler random variable [G] independent of $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$. A straightforward computation - see also the final remark in [6] - gives also for every $\alpha \in] 0,1[$ the identity

$$
M_{\alpha} \stackrel{d}{=} Z_{\alpha} \times L^{1 / \alpha}
$$

where $L$ is an independent standard exponential variable. On the other hand, it is purposeless to search for a multiplicative factorization of $Z_{\alpha}$ through $M_{\alpha}$ since the latter is unimodal with mode at zero (this comes from the fact that its density is $\alpha x^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(-x^{a}\right)$, a decreasing function over $\mathbb{R}^{+}$- see (18.1.6) in [2\|) whereas $Z_{\alpha}$ is not, and this would contradict Khintchine's theorem.
(f) When $F_{\alpha}$ is CM, the multiplicative factorization for $Z_{\alpha}$ can also be read $Z_{\alpha} \stackrel{d}{=} e^{L} \times \tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ where $L$ is a standard exponential variable. In view of Kanter's "polynomial" representation

$$
Z_{\alpha} \stackrel{d}{=} L^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha} \times Y_{\alpha}
$$

for some variable $Y_{\alpha}$ - see Corollary 4.1 in [4] , our identity might seem a little bit surprising.
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