

On the Design of PAMINSA: A New Class of Parallel Manipulators with High-Load Carrying Capacities

Sébastien Briot, Sylvain Guegan, Eric Courteille, Vigen Arakelian

▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Briot, Sylvain Guegan, Eric Courteille, Vigen Arakelian. On the Design of PAMINSA: A New Class of Parallel Manipulators with High-Load Carrying Capacities. 2007 ASME International Design Engineering Technical Conferences (DETC 2007), Sep 2007, Las Vegas, United States. hal-00451932

HAL Id: hal-00451932 https://hal.science/hal-00451932v1

Submitted on 26 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE DESIGN OF PAMINSA¹: A NEW CLASS OF PARALLEL MANIPULATORS WITH HIGH-LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY

Sébastien Briot Sylvain Guégan Eric Courteille

Vigen Arakelian

Département de Génie Mécanique et Automatique L.G.C.G.M. – EA3913 Institut National des Sciences Appliquées (I.N.S.A.) 20 avenue des buttes de Coësmes – CS 14315 F-35043 Rennes, France sebastien.briot@ens.insa-rennes.fr vigen.arakelyan@insa-rennes.fr

ABSTRACT

This paper deals with the new results concerning the manipulators topologically decoupled parallel called PAMINSA. The conceptual design of these manipulators, in which the copying properties of pantograph linkage are used, allows obtaining a large payload capability. A newly synthesized fully decoupled 3 degrees of freedom manipulator is discussed and a systematic approach for motion generation of input point of each limb is presented. It is shown that the conditions of complete static balancing of limbs are not effective in the case of dynamic mode of operation. This is approved by numerical simulations and experiments. A significant contribution of this paper is also the experimental validation of the suggested design concept. It is shown experimentally for the first time that the static loads on the rotating actuators, which displace the platform in the horizontal plane, are cancelled.

INTRODUCTION

A parallel manipulator comprises of several kinematical limbs which connect the fixed base to the moving platform. In this way, each kinematic chain carries only a fraction of the total load. So it allows creating mechanical structures with higher stiffness, which contain movable links having relatively small masses.

However the parallel manipulators have also some drawbacks, as for example, a limited workspace, more constraining singularity loci or a high coupling of kinematics and dynamics.

This non-linearity of the kinematic and dynamic models of parallel manipulators is not attractive for industrial applications. In order to solve this problem, in the last few years new structures had been developed. The literature review of previous research on decoupling of the kinematic and dynamic input/output relationships of parallel manipulators shows that, in most cases, two approaches are developed:

- decoupling between position and orientation [1-5];
- fully-decoupling [6-10], i.e. the decoupling of the displacements about all the degrees of freedom of the platform.

Despite rather-encouraging results, we would like to note that the fully-decoupled manipulators have drawbacks also, for example, a lack of stiffness or increasing of the joint number. It is obvious that it is not easy to solve the problem of the full decoupling of the movements and to conserve the principal advantages of the parallel structures. That's why we tried to

¹ <u>**PA**</u>rallel <u>**M**</u>anipulator of the <u>**I.N.S.A.**</u>

find a compromise between the decoupling of the movements and the architectural particularity of the parallel structures. In other words, we change the statement of the problem: it is not essential that parallel architecture will be fully-decoupled, it can also be partially decoupled. But it is important to obtain a mechanical architecture with high payload.

Let us consider a new conceptual design approach of decoupling in which the displacements of the platform in the horizontal plane are independent from its displacements along the vertical axis.

Why this approach is more effective? To answer this question, it is necessary to take into account the following considerations.

An energetic analysis shows that the work of gravity applied on a body moving in the horizontal plane is equal to zero (the gravitational forces are always perpendicular to the displacements, Fig. 1). But the work of the same force when the body is moving along the vertical axis is other than zero (the gravitational forces are parallel to the displacements). This phenomenon is used in the design of the hand operated manipulators [11, 12], in which the horizontal displacements of the payload are carried out manually and the vertical displacements are actuated. This principle is applied in the design of the new decoupled manipulators mentioned above.



Fig. 1. GRAVITY WORK IN SPACE: MOTIONS IN THE HORIZONTAL PLANE AND ALONG THE VERTICAL AXIS.

Let us consider the mechanical architectures with these properties.

The typical limbs are single-open-chains which are kinematic chains serialized by only binary-joint-links. For the development of new architectures, the pantograph linkage is used as a limb. The pantograph is a mechanical system with two input points A_i and B_i and one output point C_i (Fig. 2). These input points linearly control the displacement of the output point C_i . Thus one linear actuator connected with input point B_i can control the vertical displacement of the output point C_i and the other linear actuator with horizontal axis can control its horizontal displacements. Note please that these motions are completely decoupled, i.e. they can be carried out independently.

Let us suppose that there is a concentrated mass in the point C_i . In this case, the load of the gravitational forces on the actuator of the horizontal displacements will be equal to zero

(the gravitational forces are always perpendicular to the displacements). With regard to the actuator for the vertical displacements, the load of the gravitational forces is not zero (the gravitational forces are parallel to the displacements). However, the input/output relationships for vertical and horizontal displacements are linear and they are determined by the magnification factor of the pantograph. These properties of the pantograph mechanism are used in the new class of manipulators called PAMINSA.



FIG. 2. SCHEINER PANTOGRAPH LINKAGE.



FIG. 3. PAMINSA WITH 4-DOF (a); KINEMATIC CHAIN OF EACH LIMB (b).

Now let us connect three Scheiner pantograph linkages with the base and the platform as it is shown in Fig. 3. In the obtained structure, one vertical actuator M_v controls the vertical displacement of point B_i of the pantograph linkages, and as a result, the vertical displacement of pairs C_i of the moving platform. The generation of motion in the horizontal plane is achieved by the actuators M_1 , M_2 and M_3 connected through two passive pairs (H_i and I_i) with input joint A_i . The movement of each chain $M_iH_iI_i$ is planar as well as the displacement of input joint A_i . As a result, actuators M_i control the horizontal displacements of points C_i .

Thus, it is easy to see, that for the suggested architecture, the vertical translation of the platform along z axis is decoupled from its displacements in the horizontal plane (translations along x and y axes and rotation ϕ about z axis).

Among the obvious advantages of the suggested manipulator architecture, we had noted followings points:

- the decoupling of the control powers in two parts, makes it possible to raise an important payload to a fixed altitude by powerful actuators and then to displace it on the horizontal plane by less powerful actuators;
- (ii) a great accuracy in the horizontal positioning, because the payload can be locked in the horizontal plane by mechanical architecture of the manipulator (in other words, if the position of the vertical actuator is fixed, the altitude of the platform cannot change);
- (iii) the cancellation of static loads on the rotating actuators which displace the platform in the horizontal plane;
- (iv) the simplification of the vertical control based on linear input/output relationships.

It should be noted that the motion generation of the input point A_i can be carried out by several manners. All architectures shown in appendix have the same properties mentioned above. The different schematics for input motion generation can be easily distinguished by the projection of the structure on the horizontal plane (the pair M'_i – or H'_i – corresponds to the displacement of both pair M_i – or H_i – and pantograph linkage).

Basic structures of PAMINSA

Based on this approach, it is possible to obtain parallel manipulators from 3 to 6 DOF [13]. Among several structures, the manipulators for the production of Schoenflies motions (Fig. 3) are more appealing for industrial applications because they allow the positioning of a device at a given point and then its orientation around one given axis.

The next evolution of manipulators PAMINSA showed that it is also possible to create fully-decoupled structures based on the pantograph linkages.

It should be noted that fully-decoupling the three possible translations of a manipulator is an important challenge for many researchers [7-9]. Such manipulators are able to replace the existing serial Cartesian robot (XYZ).

Let us consider for the first time a fully-decoupled PAMINSA manipulator with translatory motions.

Fully-decoupled architecture with 3 translatory DOF

As for the basic version of the PAMINSA with 4-DOF is concerned (Fig. 3), one vertical actuator M_{ν} (Fig. 4) controls the vertical displacement of point B_i of the pantograph linkages and, as a result, the vertical displacement of pairs C_i of the moving platform. The horizontal displacements of the manipulator along x and y axes are allowed by the translations of actuators M_1 and M_3 . Let us now suppose legs 1 and 2 are disconnected from leg 3. Input points A_1 and A_2 are linked to actuator M_1 through the two kinematic chains H_1I_1 and H_2I_2 . Thus, if actuators M_1 and M_{ν} are fixed, the permitted passive motion of the platform is a pure translation along an axis parallel to joints H_1 and H_2 . Analogically, the passive displacement of point J_3 of the third leg is a pure translation along an axis parallel to H_3 . As a result, the planar projection of the manipulator is equivalent to the decoupled planar parallel manipulator presented at figure 4b. Thus, the three translations of the manipulator are fully decoupled.



FIG. 4. FULLY-DECOUPLED PAMINSA WITH 3-DOF.

The kinematics of such a manipulator is very simple. Let q_1 , q_3 and q_v respectively be the articular coordinates of actuators M_1 , M_3 and M_v . The coordinates of the controlled point of the platform are x, y and z. Thus we have the following relationship:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{q} \ , \ \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{X} = [x, y, z]^T$, $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, q_2, q_y]^T$ and k is the magnification factor of the pantograph linkages. Thus, the velocity equation is:

$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{J}\dot{\mathbf{a}}$

So, **J** is the Jacobian matrix of the manipulator. Since **J** is a constant diagonal matrix with non zero elements, the manipulator does not have any singularities of Type 1, 2 and 3 [15]. However, please note that only cases of singularities appear with the degeneracy of the parallelograms $A_i E_i D_i F_i$.

Our observations showed that, in the typical fullydecoupled manipulators, the payload is supported by only one limb. In the case of the suggested structure, the distribution of the payload is more efficient because each limb carries only a fraction of the load. As a result, the manipulator based on these properties should have a better stiffness.

INPUT TORQUES MINIMIZATION

In this section, the minimization of input torques of PAMINSA manipulator with 4-DOF is discussed. The optimal results are obtained on the bases of static and dynamic models of the manipulator developed in [16].

Reduction of input torques in static mode of operation.

In [16], it was shown that the input torques due to the gravitational forces of the pantograph linkage can be cancelled by its optimal redistribution. Thus, by complete static balancing of legs, it should be possible to cancel the loads due to the movable masses of the limbs on the rotating actuators.

Fig. 5 shows the variations of the actuator torques before and after mass balancing. After complete static balancing the potential energy of the manipulator is constant for any configuration and zero actuator torques are required.



FIG. 5. VARIATIONS OF THE ACTUATOR TORQUES FOR z = -0.6 m AND $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ BEFORE (DARK GREY) AND AFTER (BRIGHT GREY) STATIC BALANCING OF LEGS.

The presented example was calculated using the link parameters of the developed prototype [16]. The added masses are located at the axis F_i and their values are 2.8 kg (to observe the increase in masses after balancing, it should be noted that the mass of each pantograph linkage before balancing was 3.1 kg).

It is obvious that such a balancing is very useful for static applications of the manipulator. However, in the case of increase in acceleration of the movable masses, the complete static balancing becomes ineffective because the increase in inertia forces leads to the complementary loads. That is why an optimal balancing of limbs is considered below.

Reduction of input torques in dynamic mode of operation

In [16], we presented an analytic dynamic model of PAMINSA based on the Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j} = Q_j + \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \cdot A_{ij}$$

where λ_i are the Lagrange multipliers (*i*=1,..., 4), q_i are the generalized coordinates (j=1,...,8), Q_i are the input torques or forces

Coefficients A_{ii} are obtained by differentiating the closureloop equations of the manipulator with respect to the generalized coordinates. Then the given system of equations is solved as follows: firstly the Lagrange multipliers must be obtained from the first four Lagrange equations (for $q_i = x, y, \phi$, z) and then the input torques/forces Q_i can be determined from the last four Lagrange equations.



FIG. 6. THE PRESCRIBED TRAJECTORY FOR z = -0.7 m and $\phi = 0^{\circ}$.



(a) TORQUE OF THE ACTUATOR M₁



(b) TORQUE OF THE ACTUATOR M₂



(c) TORQUE OF THE ACTUATOR M₃

FIG. 7. ACTUATORS' TORQUES FOR UNBALANCED (FULL LINE) AND STATICALY BALANCED MANIPULATORS (DOTTED LINE).

For a comparative analysis of the unbalanced and statically balanced manipulators in dynamic mode of operation, a prescribed trajectory in horizontal plane is defined (Fig. 6) and, for the manipulator parameters given in [16], the input torques are determined (Fig. 7).

Thus, the obtained results showed that in the case of accelerated motions for input torques minimization, it is better to achieve a partial mass balancing.



(a) Torque of the actuator M_1



(b) Torque of the actuator M_2



(c) Torque of the actuator M_3

FIG. 8. ACTUATORS' TORQUES FOR UNBALANCED (FULL LINE) AND PARTIALY BALANCED MANIPULATORS (DOTTED LINE).

The minimization problem can be expressed as the following:

$$\max |Q_j^{dyn}| \to \min_{m_{ip}, r_{ip}}$$

i.e. it is necessary to find such a distribution r_{ip} of moving masses m_{ip} which allows the minimization of the maximum values of the input torques.

The calculated values of added masses located at the axis F_i of each leg are 1.3 kg. The values of the input torques after complete static balancing and optimal balancing are presented in figure 8.

Thus, the analysis of obtained results showed that such an optimization allows the reduction of the maximal values of the input torques up to 45%.

PROTOTYPE AND EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

In the I.N.S.A. of Rennes, a prototype of PAMINSA for the production of Schoenflies motions was built (Fig. 9) [13]. The displacements on the horizontal plane of the developed prototype are obtained by Harmonic Drive motors connected with the legs by means of toothed-belt transmissions.



FIG. 9. PROTOTYPE OF THE PAMINSA WITH 4-DOF.

As an actuator which controls the linear displacement of the vertical axes of the manipulator's legs, the PARVEX motor system was chosen. Considering that the pantograph links are hollow aluminum tubes with a thickness of 1.5 mm, the pantograph linkage has been carried out with double rods in order to improve the stiffness of the manipulator.

Validation of the design concept

In order to validate the suggested design concept, we have measured the input torques/efforts of the actuators with the payload of 200 N (Fig. 9a) and without it (Fig. 9b) for the trajectory given in figure 10. The obtained results are presented in figure 11.

The numerical simulations were validated by experimental tests. The curves with and without payload for the 3 rotating actuators (Fig. 11a, b, c) are superposed. We can see that they

are similar, i.e. the loads on these actuators are cancelled. The small differences might result from friction in the joints, manufacturing errors, elasticity of the links and tracking errors.

Regarding vertical actuator (Fig. 11d), it supports the payload and the increase of the input force is significant.



FIG. 10. POSITION OF THE PLATFORM FOR z = -0.6 m AND $\phi = 0^{\circ}$.



(a) INPUT TORQUE OF ACTUATOR M₁.





(c) INPUT TORQUE OF ACTUATOR M_3 .



(d) INPUT FORCE OF ACTUATOR M_V .

FIG. 11. INPUT TORQUES/EFFORT ON THE ACTUATORS WITH AND WITHOUT AN EMBEDDED LOAD OF 200 N.

Reduction of input torques in static mode of operation.

The static balancing of the manipulator is experimentally accomplished by adding counterweights of 2.8 kg at the axis F_i of the pantograph linkages (Fig. 12).



FIG. 12. COUNTERWEIGHTS ADDED ON PANTOGRAPH LINKAGES.

In order to prove the minimization of input torques before and after balancing, some arbitrary configurations of the manipulator were examined. The tested poses are given in table 1.

For these seven positions of the platform, the maximal absolute values of the input torques of the 3 rotating actuators before and after complete static balancing are measured (table 2). The reduction of the maximal input torques varies from 74% to 85%.

TABLE 1 THE POSES FOR THE EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION OF THE STATIC BALANCING.

Pose	<i>x</i> (m)	y (m)	<i>z</i> (m)	ϕ (deg.)
1	0.124	0.096	-0.6	34.72
2	0.015	0.047	-0.615	-20.23
3	-0.149	0.009	-0.733	4.53
4	0.072	0.129	-0.497	9.23
5	-0.053	0.09	-0.540	33.92
6	-0.134	-0.075	-0.389	-3.5
7	-0.173	-0.042	-0.687	15.64

TABLE 2

THE ABSOLUTE VALUES OF THE MAXIMAL INPUT TORQUES BEFORE (CASE 1) AND AFTER (CASE 2) STATIC BALANCING

Pose	Case 1 (N.m)	Case 2 (N.m)	Reduction
1	1.78	0.46	74 %
2	1.81	0.26	86 %
3	1.38	0.34	76 %
4	3.31	0.47	86 %
5	3.23	0.59	82 %
6	1.93	0.35	82 %
7	2.4	0.55	77 %

Reduction of input torques in dynamic mode of operation.

For the trajectory given in Fig. 6, we measure the input torques of the three rotating actuators for cases (without any payload and with the payload for dynamic mode of operation).

Figure 13 shows the obtained results. The reduction of the maximal input torques with the added counterweight of 1.3 kg varies from 41% to 55%.

Thus, we can note that the obtained measures prove all numerical simulations presented above.

CONCLUSIONS

PAMINSA is a new family of parallel manipulators designed for high load carrying. In this paper, new results concerning these manipulators are presented. Particularly, a new architecture with 3 fully decoupled translatory motions is disclosed.



FIG. 13. ACTUATORS' TORQUES WITHOUT (FULL LINE) AND WITH (DOTTED LINE) ADDED MASSES FOR DYNAMIC OPTIMIZATION

The reduction of the input torques is also studied. It is shown that, for a dynamic mode of operation, the complete static balancing can not be effective in terms of input torques. In the case of accelerated motions, it is proposed to carry out an optimal redistribution of the movable masses and to achieve a partial mass balancing.

Then, a prototype of PAMINSA and experimental tests are presented. It is shown that the experimental tests prove the validity of the suggested design concept and the numerical simulations.

Finally, it should be noted that the proposed manipulators have been patented [17] and additional information is available upon request.

REFERENCES

[1] Bouzgarrou, B. C., Fauroux, J. C., Gogu, G., and Heerah, Y., 2004, "Rigidity Analysis of T3R1 Parallel Robot with Uncoupled Kinematics," ISR-2004, Paris, France.

[2] Yu, A., Bonev, I. A., and Zsombor-Murray, P., 2006, "New XY-Theta Positioning Table with Partially Decoupled Parallel Kinematics," IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE 2006), Montréal, Québec, July 9– 13.

[3] Bernier, D., Castelain, J.M., and Li, X., 2005, "A New Parallel Structure with 6 Degrees of Freedom," Proceedings of the 9th World Congress on the Theory of Machines and Mechanisms, Milan, Italy, pp.8-12.

[4] Di Gregorio, R., 2001, "A New Decoupled Parallel Manipulator," Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Robotics, Vienna, Austria, May 16-18.

[5] Lallemand, J.P., Goudali, A., and Zeghloul, S., 1997, "The 6-dof 2-DELTA Parallel Robot," Robotica, 15, pp. 407-416.

[6] Carricato, M., and Parenti-Castelli, V., 2004, "A novel fully decoupled 2-dof parallel wrist," The International Journal of Robotics Research, 23(6), pp. 661–667.

[7] Carricato, M., and Parenti-Castelli, V., 2004, "On the topological and geometrical synthesis and classification of translational parallel mechanisms," Proceedings of the 11th World Congress in Mechanism and Machine Science, Tianjin, China, pp. 1624–1628.

[8] Kong, X., and Gosselin, C. M., 2002, "A Class of 3-DOF Translational Parallel Manipulator with Linear Input - Output Equations," Workshop on Fundamental Issues and Future Research for Parallel Mechanisms and Manipulators, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, pp. 25-32.

[9] Gosselin, C. M., Kong, X., Foucault, S., and Bonev, I.A., 2004, "A Fully Decoupled 3-DOF Translational Parallel Mechanism," PKM International Conference, Chemnitz, Germany, pp. 595- 610.

[10] Gogu, G., 2005, "Singularity-Free Fully-Isotropic Parallel Manipulators with Schönflies Motions," Proceedings of the ICAR International Conference on Advanced Robotics, July 18-20, pp. 194-201.

[11] Arakelian, V., 1998, "Equilibrage des manipulateurs manuels," Mechanism and Machine Theory, 33(4), pp. 437-442.

[12] Arakelian, V., 2004, "The history of the creation and development of hand-operated balanced manipulators," Proceedings of the HMM2004, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[13] Arakelian, V., Briot, S., Guegan, S., and Le Flecher, J., 2005, "Design and Prototyping of New 4, 5 and 6 Degrees of Freedom Parallel Manipulators Based on the Copying Properties of the Pantograph Linkage," Proceedings of the 36th International Symposium on Robotics (ISR), 29 November – 1st December, Tokyo, Japan.

[14] Briot, S., and Arakelian, V., 2007, Singularity analysis of PAMINSA manipulator," 12th IFToMM World Congress, Besançon, France, June 18-21.

[15] Gosselin, C.M., and Angeles, J., 1990, "Singularity analysis of closed-loop kinematic chains," IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automatics, 6(3), pp.281-290.

[16] Arakelian, V., Briot, S., and Guégan, S., 2005, "Static and Dynamic Analysis of the PAMINSA," Proceedings of

IDETC/CIE, ASME 2005, september 24-28, Long Beach, Californie, USA.

[17] Arakelian, V., Maurine, P., Briot, S., and Pion, E., 2006, "Robot Parallèle Comprenant des Moyens de Mise en Mouvement Décomposés en Deux Sous-Ensembles," WO 2006/021629, January 27th.

APPENDIX EXAMPLES OF MOTION GENERATION OF THE INPUT POINT A, OF PANTOGRAPH LINKAGES

Kinematic chain	Planar representation		3D representation	
Kinchiaut thain	Туре	Schematics	5D representation	
M_{v} H_{i} H_{i	3- <u>R</u> RR	H'_1 H'_1 H'_2 H'_2		
$ \begin{array}{c} G_{I} \\ M_{V} \\ F_{I} \\ B_{I} $	3-R <u>R</u> R	H'_{3} H'_{3} H'_{3} H'_{1} H'_{1} H'_{1} H'_{2} H'_{2} H'_{2}		
$\begin{array}{c} H_{i} \\ H_{i} \\$	3- <u>R</u> PR	M'_3 H'_3 J_1 J_2 H'_2 H'_2 M'_1 H'_1 M'_2		
$\begin{array}{c} M_{i} \\ M_{v} \\ F_{i} \\ F_{i} \\ B_{i} \\ D_{i} \\ E_{i} \\ D_{i} \\ E_{i} \\ D_{i} \\ E_{i} \\ C_{i} \\ D_{i} \\ E_{i} \\ D_{i} \\ E_{i} \\ C_{i} \\ D_{i} \\ E_{i} \\ C_{i} \\ C_{i} \\ D_{i} \\ E_{i} \\ C_{i} \\$	3-R <u>P</u> R	H'_3 M'_3 J_3 J_1 J_2 H'_2 H'_2		
$ \begin{array}{c} $	3- <u>R</u> RP	H'_{1} H'_{1} H'_{2} H'_{2} H'_{2} H'_{2}		

