

A damage model with non-convex free energy for quasi-brittle materials

Marc Louis Maurice François

► To cite this version:

Marc Louis Maurice François. A damage model with non-convex free energy for quasi-brittle materials. Technische Mechanik, 2010, 30 (1-3), pp.110-121. hal-00446546

HAL Id: hal-00446546 https://hal.science/hal-00446546

Submitted on 12 Jan 2010 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A dam age m odel with non-convex free energy for quasi-brittle m aterials

M arc Francois

January 12, 2010

A bstract

A state coupling between the hydrostatic (volum etric) and deviatoric parts of the free energy is introduced in a dam age mechanics model relevant for the quasi-brittle materials. It is shown that it describes the large dilatancy of concrete under compression and the dierent localization angles and dam age levels in tension and compression. A simple isotropic description is used, although similar ideas can be extended to an isotropic dam age. The model is identied with respect to tensile and compression tests and validated on bi-compression and bi-tension. Fully written in three dimensions under the framework of thermodynamics of irreversible processes, it allows further developments within a nite element code.

1 Introduction

At the ultim ate state of dam age, quasi-brittle m aterials can be regarded as granular m aterials whose behavior, due to grain interlock, is strongly dependent on the con nem ent. Classical yield criteria (Mohr-Coulomb, Drucker-Prager,...) use the con nement (the hydrostatic strain) as a reinforcement factor. But there is experim ental evidence that elasticity of powder m aterials, thus their free energy, also depend on it [1]. That point is generally not taken into account in dam age models. As a consequence, the large dilantancy observed at these states, for example on concretes [2, 3], is poorly described by classical dam age m odels as apparent Poisson's ratio cannot exceed 0.5, the lim it for linear elasticity, while experiments exhibit greater values. A nother speci city of concrete behavior is the very di erent crack angles observed in tension and com pression. In m ost dam age m odels, the H adam art and R ice criterion [4, 5] leads to the sam e localization angle in both cases. Furtherm ore, for a concrete specim en, even after a rupture in tension, some carrying capacity in compression remains: this in plies a dam age level at the onset of localization much low er in tension than in compression. The present model describes these elects that are generally m issed by most of dam age models.

This work, in continuity with [6], constitutes an attempt to use a non convex potential in the eld of dam age mechanics. For this reason, a simple isotropic

dam age law is considered. As in the K elvin's approach of elasticity [7], plasticity theory, soilm echanics and som e dam age m odels [8], the isotropic and deviatoric decom position is used. The retained yield criterion [9] is smooth and convex. The m odel is identified with respect to the well known uniaxial and multiaxial testings of β].

2 Constitutive law

H elm holtz free energy. The dam age level is described by the scalar variable d that ranges from 0 for sound material to 1 for fully dam aged material [10]. The present model is an isotropic one: the hydrostatic and deviatoric partitions of the stress $_ = _^{h} + _^{d}$ and the strain $_ = _^{h} + _^{d}$ are used (details are given in Appendix A). The state variables $(_^{d};_^{h};d)$ describe the material's state and, with respect to the generalized standard material fram ew ork [11], the associated them odynamic forces are respectively $(_^{d};_^{h};Y)$ where Y is the energy release rate density. The proposed free energy is:

$$2 \quad (\underline{\mathbf{n}}^{d}; \underline{\mathbf{n}}^{h}; d) = 3K \underline{\mathbf{n}}^{h} : \underline{\mathbf{n}}^{h} + 2 \quad 1 \quad d(1 + 2' \underline{\mathbf{n}}^{h}) \underline{\mathbf{n}}^{d} : \underline{\mathbf{n}}^{d}; \tag{1}$$

where "^h = tr(")=^p $\overline{3}$ (Appendix A). The new constant introduced is ', the other ones are the mass density and the bulk and shear moduli, respectively K and . The role of ' will be detailed further but it can be already seen that it introduces a cubic term in the free energy and that setting ' = 0 leads to a simple damage model in which only the deviatoric part is a ected by damage. This choice has been made in order to get rid of the unilateral e ect of damage on bulk modulus (that comes from crack opening and closure) because the use of positive parts of the strain tensor induces di culties associated with non regular free energy [12]. In Eq. 1 tensors <u>"</u>^h and <u>"</u>^d can be replaced by their algebraic values "^h and "^d (Appendix A).

Stress to strain relationship. The hydrostatic and deviatoric stresses, as therm odynamic forces, are obtained by di erentiation of the free energy with respect to $\underline{\tt}^{h}$ and $\underline{\tt}^{d}$:

$$\underline{h} = 3K \underline{n}^{h} \frac{2}{p_{\underline{3}}} ' d (\mathbf{n}^{d})^{2} \underline{I};$$
 (2)

$$\underline{d} = 2 \quad 1 \quad d(1 + 2' \, \mathbf{u}^{h}) \quad \underline{\mathbf{u}}^{d} : \tag{3}$$

Setting d = 0 leads to recover the linear isotropic elasticity law in the K elvin's decomposition form [7]. The deviatoric stress and strain remain collinear together (and collinear to the unitary tensor <u>D</u>, see Appendix A). Once projected on the orthogonal tensor base (<u>I;D</u>), the previous expression becomes:

$$^{h} = 3K \,^{nh} \, 2 \,^{\prime} d (n^{d})^{2};$$
 (4)

$$d = 2 \ 1 \ d \ 2' d^{nh} \ n^{d}$$
: (5)

Let us suppose an imposed deviatoric strain while the hydrostatic strain remains equal to zero (isochoric transform ation) then a con ning pressure ($^{\rm h}$ < 0) is

necessary to keep the volum e unchanged:

^h (
$$\mathbf{n}^{h} = 0; \mathbf{n}^{d}$$
) = 2 ' d (\mathbf{n}^{d})²: (6)

Let us suppose now that the deviatoric strain is joined with an hydrostatic stress in posed equal to zero then an induced dilatation $"^h > 0$ arises:

$$\mathbf{u}^{h}(\mathbf{h} = 0; \mathbf{u}^{d}) = \frac{2 (d(\mathbf{u}^{d})^{2})}{1 d};$$
(7)

These two aspects are relevant to the dilatancy e ect in concrete-like m aterials. The m icro-m echanical point of view associated to these e ects is the sum ounting of concrete particles, inducing voids creation, that arises under irreversible shearing [13, 14].

$$H^{0} = \frac{3K}{3} \frac{2}{\underline{I}} \underline{I} + 2 \overline{I} \underline{I} \underline{I} + \frac{\mathbf{u}^{d}}{2} \underline{I}; \qquad (8)$$

$$2^{\sim} = \frac{e^{d}}{e^{md}} = 2 (1 \ d) \ 4' d^{mh};$$
(9)

$$= \frac{4}{p} \frac{7}{3}$$
(10)

In this expression I is the fourth order identity whose expression in index form is $[I]_{ijkl} = (_{ik} _{jl} + _{il} _{jk})=2$, the symbol refers to the tensor product and (from Eq.5) ~ represents the apparent shear modulus. Then H⁰ has the index symmetries of an elasticity tensor: $\mathbb{H}^{0}_{lijkl} = \mathbb{H}^{0}_{lklj} = \mathbb{H}^{0}_{lijkl}$.

Inverse stress to strain relationship. When $d \in 0$, (the case d = 0 is straightforward), replacing "^h in Eq.5 by its value (Eq.4) gives, rst, "^d as the solutions of a third order equation and, second, the relation between "^h and "^d:

$$(\mathbf{m}^{d})^{3} + p\mathbf{n}^{d} + q = 0;$$

$$p = \frac{2'd^{h}}{4'^{2}d^{2}}; \quad q = \frac{3K}{8'^{2}d^{2}};$$

$$\mathbf{m}^{h} = \frac{h + 2'd^{md^{2}}}{3K}; \quad (11)$$

The discriminant = $(p=3)^3 + (q=2)^2$ leads to analyse from one to three real solutions. This non univocal inverse relationship would not be used in nite element calculation, but we shall have to consider these three possibilities for the sem i-analytical resolutions in Sec. 5 and 6.

3 Free energy analysis

The proposed Helm holtz non convex free energy exhibits complex behavior that has to be carefully studied. Fig. 1 shows the contour plot of the free energy

de ned by Eq.1. The transform ation APCZ is the compression studied in Sec.5 and the dam age value retained for the drawing is d(C), the maximum reached during this transform ation.



Figure 1: Iso-values of the free energy in the D rucker-P rager plane for d= 0.64

Positivity of the free energy. It signies that the material cannot restore more energy than has been stored inside. In linear elasticity, it is associated with the positiveness of the Kelvin's moduli (3K;2) and infers classic bounds for the Poisson's ratio (16 6 0:5). In the general case, from Eq.1 we have:

< 0, "^d >
$$\frac{3K}{2^{\sim}}$$
"^h: (12)

This condition corresponds to the forbidden dom ain inside the curve = 0 in Fig.1. It only exists when $\sim < 0$. It will be shown in Sec.3 that it cannot be reached during a transform ation because localization occurs at least when $\sim = 0$.

Particular lines.Lines of interest are the loci of d = 0 and h = 0.From Eq.5 we have:

$$\mathbf{n}^{h} = \frac{1}{2' d} \,) \, d = 0:$$
 (13)

From Eq.9, this corresponds to ~= 0.0n the right side of this line on Fig.1, ~< 0. The second solution for d = 0 is more classically "d = 0. The locus of h = 0 (Fig.1) is given by Eq.4:

$$\mathbf{u}^{d} = \frac{3K \ \mathbf{u}^{h}}{2 \ \mathbf{d}}) \quad ^{h} = 0:$$
(14)

Between the deviatoric axis $m^{h} = 0$ and this line, $m^{h} > 0$ and h < 0. This represents the dilatancy e ect of the model: the deviatoric strain induces dilatancy even if the material is under (moderated) pressure. The saddle point H is de ned as the intersection of the two lines h = 0 and d = 0, we have:

C

$$\mathbf{u}^{h}(\mathbf{H}) = \frac{1}{2'd}; \quad \mathbf{u}^{d}(\mathbf{H}) = \frac{1}{2'd} \quad \frac{3K}{2'd}; \quad (\mathbf{H}) = \frac{3K(1-d)^{2}}{8'^{2}d^{2}}; \quad (15)$$

As _(H) = 0, it corresponds to an unstable free stress state with "(H) \in 0. Finally, it can be easily shown that the point N, corresponding to the m inim um deviatoric strain for = 0 is such as: "^h(N) = 2"^h(H), "^d(N) = 2"^d(H).

Positivity of the energy release rate. The energy release rate ${\tt Y}$ is associated with the dam age d:

$$Y = \frac{d}{dd} = (1 + 2'')^{h} (''^{d})^{2} :$$
 (16)

The therm all dissipation is $q_{\pm}^d = Y d$. As damaged cannot physically decrease, its positiveness in plies that if Y is negative, the evolution of the damage must stop:

$$u^{h} < \frac{1}{2'}$$
) d= 0: (17)

In the example of Sec. 5, ' = 160 then this condition is "^h < 3:1210³. That region, denoted as d= 0 on Fig. 1 corresponds to high con nem ents out of m ost practical applications (far to be reached in the presented examples); it is strongly due to the initial choice of dam age acting only on the hydrostatic part. One can rem ark that some experiments tend to con rm the existence of a dam age locking at high con nem ents [15].

Localization. The Hadam art and Rice criterion [4, 5] of localization authorizes the existence of a localization plane (a concentration of large strains) orthogonal to the unit vector n as soon as:

$$det(n : H : n) \in 0:$$
 (18)

A though we still consider here elastic transform ations, we assume this localization to correspond to the apparition of a macroscopic crack. Once appeared, the dam age model ceases to apply as the body is split into pieces: it infers a restriction to the dom ain of de nition of the model. Among possible vectors n, we consider n_I, an eigenvector of the strain deviator tensor, then $\underline{}^{\rm nd} n_{\rm I} = {}^{\rm nd} n_{\rm I}$, where $\underline{}^{\rm nd}_{\rm I}$ is the corresponding eigenvalue of $\underline{}^{\rm nd}_{\rm I}$ (a principal deviatoric strain). As H = H⁰ in this case, Eq.8 give:

$$\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{H}^{0} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{I}} = \frac{3\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{k}}{3} \quad 2 \quad \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{I}} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{I}$$
(19)

The determ inant of this expression is:

$$\det(\mathbf{n}_{I} \mathbf{H}^{0} \mathbf{n}_{I}) = \sim^{2} \frac{3K + 4}{3} 2 \mathbf{u}_{I}^{d} :$$
 (20)

This shows that $\sim = 0$ is a su cient condition of localization. The domain (grayed) on the right side of this line in Fig. 1 will not be concerned by the possible evolutions. From Eq. 12, it always contains the domain 6 0. From Eq. 63, $\mathbf{m}_{1}^{d} > \mathbf{m}_{2}^{d} = 6 > 0$, considering that K, \sim and are positive and using Eq. 9 and 10, we obtain a second su cient condition for localization:

$$m^{d} > \frac{3K + 4 (1 d)}{4 2 d} p_{\overline{2}} m^{h}$$
: (21)

The corresponding line is denoted as on the Fig.1. The localization occurs at least when a transform ation reaches the lines or $\sim = 0$; the dom ain above them (grayed) is not concerned by possible evolutions.

O ther rem arks about stability. The current line ! passes by the saddle point H.From its de nition $\frac{h}{h} = \frac{h}{d} = \frac{d}{h}$ com es:

2
$$(1 \ d)^{nd} \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{\underline{\mathbf{h}}} 4 \ \mathbf{'} d^{nh} \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{\underline{\mathbf{h}}} = 3K^{nh} \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{\underline{\mathbf{d}}} 2 \ \mathbf{'} d^{(nd)}^{2} \underline{\mathbf{n}}_{\underline{\mathbf{d}}};$$
 (22)

This expression has no simple analytic solution and ! has been drawn on Fig.1 with a steepest slope algorithm. Below !, the stress tends to bring back the system to the stable original state 0; above ! it tends to make the system reach the domain above the line where the localization occurs. Then the domain between ! and can be considered as unstable, leading to an instantaneous evolution towards the lines and $\sim = 0$ where the localization occurs.

4 D issipative behaviour

Y ield surface. This yield surface is based on the elastic criterion proposed



Figure 2: Initial yield surface (M Pa)

by [9]. Its shape, smooth and convex, can be regarded as a softened approximation of the Von M ises and Rankine criterions. It is in good agreement with the elastic limit identied by [3] in biaxial testings (Fig. 2). The rst member

corresponds to the Von M ises expression; the second one, due to a tensor exponential, grow ths quick ly for positive values of any principal stress. The material constants are $_y$, that principally rules the limit stress in compression and $_0$, that strongly in uences the tension to compression stress ratio. The functions g(d) and h(d), with g(0) = h(0) = 1, are hardening functions (whose detailed expressions are given by Eq. 47 and 48).

$$f(\underline{;}d) = \frac{\underline{j}\underline{j}^{d}\underline{j}}{g(d)} + \frac{0}{h(d)} \exp \frac{-p}{0} \frac{p}{3} \qquad y:$$
(23)

N am ing ($_{I}$; $_{II}$; $_{III}$) the eigenvalues of the stress tensor and considering sound m aterial (d = 0), this criterion w rites:

$$f'(_{I};_{II};_{III};d=0) = \frac{r \frac{(_{II} - _{III})^2 + (_{III} - _{I})^2 + (_{I} - _{III})^2}{s - _{3}} + _{0} \exp \frac{2_{_{II}}}{_{0}} + \exp \frac{2_{_{III}}}{_{0}} + \exp \frac{2_{_{III}}}{_{0}} p \frac{1}{3} (24)$$

The identication of ($_0$; $_y$) is done with respect to the measured elastic limit stresses $^{\circ} = 9.98 \text{ MPa}$ in pure compression and $^{t} = 1.29 \text{ MPa}$ in pure tension. The difference f(t ;0;0;0) f($^{\circ}$;0;0;0) gives:

This equation accepts a num eric resolution given by the intersection of the two functions of $_0$ that correspond to left and right members. This intersection is unique because each one is monotonic. The value of $_y$ is obtained from f(t ;0;0;0) = 0 or f(c ;0;0;0) = 0. Num erical values of ($_0$; $_y$) are reported in table (1).

Tangent m odulus and evolution equation. In case of dissipative transform ation, the consistency equation df (_;d) = 0 and the dimension of (_;d) give the dam age evolution dd:

$$dd = \frac{\underline{\theta}f}{\underline{\theta}d} + \frac{\underline{\theta}f}{\underline{\theta}} : \frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}d}^{-1} \cdot \frac{\underline{\theta}f}{\underline{\theta}}^{-1} : H^{0} : d\underline{"}:$$
(26)

and the expression of the tangent m odulus $H = d_=d'$:

$$H = I + \frac{@f}{@d} \frac{^{1}}{@d} \frac{@f}{@d} \frac{@f}{@} : H^{0}:$$
(27)

The inverse of the fourth rank tensor can easily be obtained in a convenient tensorial base [7] as the inverse of a 6x6 square matrix. The involved derivatives

are obtained without diculty from Eq. 2, 3 and 23:

$$\frac{\underline{\theta}f}{\underline{\theta}d} = \frac{\underline{j}\underline{j}\underline{d}, \underline{j}\underline{g}^{0}(\underline{d})}{\underline{g}^{2}(\underline{d})} - \frac{\underline{0}h^{0}(\underline{d})}{\underline{h}^{2}(\underline{d})} - \exp - \frac{\underline{p}}{\underline{0}} - \frac{\underline{p}}{3}; \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{@f}{@_} = \frac{_^{d}}{g(d)\underline{j}\underline{j}^{d}\underline{j}} + \frac{1}{h(d)} \frac{\exp(2_=_{0})}{\underline{j}\exp(_=_{0})\underline{j}};$$
(29)

$$\frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}d} = 2 \mathbf{\prime} (\mathbf{u}^{d})^{2} \frac{\underline{\mu}}{\underline{p}} = 2 (1 + 2\mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{u}^{h}) \underline{\mathbf{u}}^{d} :$$
(30)

The elasticity equations (2, 3), the yield condition (23) and the evolution equations (26 to 30) constitute the set that is required for the use of them odelw ithin a FEM code.

5 Tension and compression simulation

Stress to strain relationship. The stress tensor expresses as $_ = e_1 e_1$. Due to the isotropy of both the material and the model, the strain tensor writes:

$$\underbrace{}^{2} = \begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array}$$

where " is the uni-dimensional strain and ~ the apparent Poisson's ratio. Using the projection equations (57, 58) and denoting $\underline{D} = \underline{\mathbf{I}}^{d} = \underline{\mathbf{j}} \underline{\mathbf{I}}^{d}$ jj (see Appendix A), we have:

$$\underline{D} = \operatorname{sign}("(1 + \sim))^{4} 0 \qquad 1 = {}^{2} \overline{6} \qquad 0 \qquad 3 \qquad 3$$

$$\underline{D} = \operatorname{sign}("(1 + \sim))^{4} 0 \qquad 1 = {}^{2} \overline{6} \qquad 0 \qquad 5; \qquad (32)$$

$$0 \qquad 0 \qquad 1 = {}^{2} \overline{6}$$

$$\mathbf{n}^{h} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{2^{\sim}}{3} \mathbf{n}; \quad \mathbf{n}^{d} = \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{j}^{\mathbf{n}} (1 + \sim) \mathbf{j}; \quad (33)$$

U sing these equations in Eq. 4 and 5 leads to two expressions for the stress to strain relationship:

$$= 3K (1 2^{\circ})'' \frac{4'd}{p} \frac{7}{3} (1 + {^{\circ}})^2 {''}^2; \qquad (34)$$

$$= 2 \quad 1 \quad d \quad 2' d \frac{1 \quad 2^{\sim}}{3} " \quad (1 + \sim)":$$
(35)

E lim inating between them gives a second order equation in \sim :

$$\sim^{2} \frac{12 \ 'd}{p_{\overline{3}}}$$
" + $\sim 2 \ (1 \ d) + 6K + \frac{12 \ 'd}{p_{\overline{3}}} + 2 \ (1 \ d) 3K = 0$: (36)

Eq. 34 or 35 gives from zero to two solutions for a given strain " (these solutions correspond to di erent values of \sim , then to di erent strain tensors ").

D issipative transform ations equations. The yield function (23) becomes:

$$f(;d) = \frac{r}{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{jj}{g(d)} + \frac{0}{h(d)} \exp \frac{2}{0} + 2 \frac{p}{3} \frac{1}{y}; \quad (37)$$

As in the general 3D case, from the dimensional of (";d) and the consistency equation df (;d) = 0 is obtained the stress to strain dimensional relationship:

$$d \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial d} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial d} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial d} \frac{\partial}{\theta} d''$$
(38)

From Eq.37 we have:

$$\frac{@f}{@} = \frac{r}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{sign()}{g(d)} + \frac{exp \frac{2}{0}}{r}; \qquad (39)$$

$$\frac{@f}{@d} = \frac{r}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{j j j^{0}(d)}{g^{2}(d)} \frac{0 h^{0}(d)}{h^{2}(d)} \frac{2 + exp \frac{2}{0}}{2 + exp \frac{2}{0}} r \frac{1}{3}; \qquad (40)$$

From the stress to strain relationship (Eq. 34,35 and 36), the following derivatives, in which @=@" is the tangent Young modulus and the discriminant (in Eq. 36), are obtained:

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta} = 3K (1 - 2^{\circ}) \frac{\theta}{p_{3}} 'd(1 + ^{\circ})^{2} " 3K + \frac{4}{p_{3}} 'd(1 + ^{\circ})" 2"\frac{\theta}{\theta}"; (41)$$

$$\frac{3}{2 "(1 + ^{\circ})} \frac{\theta}{\theta} = \frac{2'}{p_{3}} 2"\frac{\theta}{\theta}" 1 + 2^{\circ} (1 + ^{\circ})" 1 + 2'\frac{1 + 2'}{p_{3}}" 2(1 + ^{\circ}) + 2"\frac{\theta}{\theta}"(42)$$

$$2"\frac{@}{@"} = (1+2\sim) - \frac{2(1-d)+12'd"=^{p}\overline{3}}{p-}:$$
(43)

Localization in tension and compression. In the tension or compression state, the axis 1 is the symmetry axis. As axis 2 and 3 have an equivalent role, we can de ne with no restriction n in the plane $[e_1;e_2]$ as: $n = \cos()e_1 + \sin()e_2$. For dissipative transform ations, the general equations of Sec. 4 allow to compute det(n + n) num erically by searching itsm inimum value with respect to . The non convex potential authorizes possible localization during elastic transform ations. From Eq.8 we have:

$${}^{2}_{b+(a+4c)\cos^{2}()}(a+c)\sin()\cos() 0$$

n H⁰ n = ⁴ (a+c)sin()cos() b+ (a 2c)sin²() 0⁵; (44)
0 0 b

$$a = K + \frac{1}{3}(1 \ d) \frac{2}{3 \ \overline{3}} \ 'd(1 \ 2 \sim)"; \ b = (1 \ d) \frac{2}{p \ \overline{3}} \ 'd(1 \ 2 \sim)"; \ c = \frac{4}{3 \ \overline{3}} \ 'd(1 + \sim)":$$
(45)

Identi cation. The identi cation of the Young M odulus E = 312 G Pa and the Poisson's ratio = 0.162 being very classic, they will not be detailed; the corresponding bulk and shear m oduli (K;) are given in table (1). The procedure of identi cation of ($_0$; $_y$) is given in Sec. 4. E lim inating d between Eq. 34 and 35 gives the following expression that can be used with the experimental data in order to determ ine ':

$$' = \frac{p}{2} \frac{3}{3K} = 3K (1 - 2^{-}) \frac{3K}{3K} = 3K (1 - 2^{-}) \frac{3K}{2} = 3K \frac{3K}{2}$$

The hardening functions g(d) and h(d) are identiaed with respect to the transformation AC (see Fig. 3). Their structure has been chosen in order to have a smooth transition at the yielding point A.

$$g(d) = 1 + g_0 d;$$
 (47)

$$h(d) = 1 \quad d + h_0 (d \quad d):$$
 (48)

Table 1: Material constants

K		У	0	'	g ₀	h ₀
15.4	13.4	8.004	0.4551	160	3.00	160
(GPa)	(GPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)			

Uniaxial compression simulation. The set of equations (31 to 43) de nes the strain driven compression and tension curves (Fig. 3 and 4), allowing the com parison with K upfer's data (circles). The com pression starts by the linear elastic transform ation OA, with d = 0. The material begins to yield at point A where $(A) = ^{\circ}$. The transform ation ABC corresponds to the dam age grow th, up to point C where d(C) = 0.64. The localization criterion is never reached during ABC. At point P, $\sim = 0.5$: the material begins to dilate. Unloadings such as 0B0 for d = 0.5 and 0C0 for d = 0.64 reveal weak nonlinear elasticity: it can be noticed that the curvature of OC (the tangent modulus decreases as the load increases) is qualitatively in good agreem entwith the experim ents of [16] for exam ple. Of course hysteresis loops or perm anent strains are not described by the present simple form of the model that does not takes into account plasticity e ects. Point C corresponds to a horizontal slope for the transform ation. Then d = 0 and, as the value of @f=@d & 0 at this point, Eq. 38 shows that @ =@" = 0: the elastic transform ation OCZ has a also an horizontal tangent at point C. A fter C, 0 = 0 < 0, the transform ation CZ is a non linear elastic softening. The dam age rem ains xed at d(C). From some point just before Z, an unloading follows the unrealistic elastic transformation ZCO (this aspect of the model remains to be enhanced). At point Z the localization condition relative to the elastic transform ations (Eq. 45), is reached and this point is close to the



Figure 3: Uniaxial compression simulation

experimental breakdown point identi ed by Kupfer (although this value has not been used during the identi cation process). The continuation of the elastic transform ation after Z is, for information, drawn on Fig. 1; as "^h and "^d are dependent upon" by Eq. 33, they become simultaneously equal to zero at the saddle point H. The localization arises at point Z for the angle ^c = 56 degrees: the crack plane is rather close to the load axis e_1 , as classically observed in experiments. The apparent Poisson's ratio ~ reaches 0.70 at the point Z and this large value, that cannot be reached by a classic dam agem odel, is responsible of the good description of the transverse strain.

Uniaxial tension simulation. The tension curve (Fig. 4) is also referred to points A, B, C', Z whose roles are similar to the ones used in compression. The yielding occurs at point A , at the identi ed value $\ ^{\rm t}$ that is, according to K upfer and con m ed by [17], less than the half of the peak stress: this result is dierent from the classic vision of a fragile behavior in tension. At point C', @f = @d = 0 then (from Eq. 38) the slope is horizontal. It is not reached because the localization occurs before, at point Z, during the dissipative transform ation. If [17] describes a post-peak evolution, K upfer does not, neither this model with the retained constants. Again, although not used in the identi cation process, the stress (Z) is close to the experimental value. The angle of localization at point Z is t = 27 degrees, leading to a crack plane rather close to be orthogonal to the tensile axis e_1 , as commonly observed (moreovermost of dam age models suppose a crack at 0 degree). The lateral strain, due to low dam age values, remains very small, consistently with experiments. The damage value at the localization point d(Z)= 0:22 is weak: although isotropic, this model allows to have a rupture in tension and to keep a loading capacity in compression.



Figure 4: Uniaxial tension simulation

6 Equi-biaxial simulations

In this section we consider an equi-biaxial bading (strain driven) in order to validate the model with the constants identied in tension and compression. The stress tensor is $_{=} = (e_2 \quad e_2 + e_3 \quad e_3)$. Due to the initial isotropy of both the material and the model, the strain tensor writes:

with "6 0. The projection equations (57, 58) give:

$$\frac{2}{2} = \frac{p}{6} = 0 = 0^{3}$$

$$\frac{p}{2} = \frac{p}{6} = 0^{-5}; \quad (50)$$

$$0 = 1 = 6^{-5}; \quad (50)$$

$$\mathbf{m}^{h} = \frac{2}{p} \frac{\hat{}}{3} \mathbf{m}; \quad \mathbf{m}^{d} = \frac{r}{3} \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{j}^{\mathbf{m}} (1 + \hat{}) \mathbf{j};$$
 (51)

U sing these equations in Eq. 4 and 5 gives two expressions for the stress to strain relationship:

$$= \frac{3K}{2} (2 ^{\prime})'' \frac{2 ^{\prime} d}{p \overline{3}} (1 + ^{\prime})^{2} ''^{2}; \qquad (52)$$

$$= 2 \quad 1 \quad d \quad 2' d \frac{2}{p_{\overline{3}}} " (1 + ^)":$$
 (53)

E lim inating gives the following second order equation which accepts from zero to two solutions in $\hat{}$:

$$^{2}2^{p}\overline{3}'d'' + ^{2}(1 d) + \frac{3K}{2} + 2(1 d) 3K 2^{p}\overline{3}'d'' = 0:$$
 (54)

O ther calculi are sim ilar to the previous case.

Equi-biaxial compression simulation. Directly dependent upon the



Figure 5: Equi-biaxial com pression simulation

yield surface, the yield point A (Fig. 5) is well depicted. The dam age increases during transform ation AZ up to d(Z) = 0.70, this value being greater than the m axim alone obtained in uniaxial compression. At point Z, (which is before the point C'where @f=@d=0) the localization condition for dissipative transform ations (Eq. 18) is reached. The localization angle is ^{cc} = 40 degrees, consistently with K upfer's post m ortem picture. The conical crack shape in Fig. 5 has been chosen in order to respect the sym m etry axis of the problem . W ithout contradiction, the observed structure is pyram idal, this shape allowing the kinem atics of the concrete pieces. The localization appears too quickly and the large dilatancy is a little overestim ated. M oreover, this post peak region di ers according to di erent experim entalm ethods and concretes: for exam ple the high strength concrete tested by [18] exhibits no post peak.

E qui-biaxial tension simulation. The part before the peak stress is in good agreement with the experiments for both axial and lateral strains (Fig. 6). Contrary to experiments, a dissipative post-peak evolution C'Z is described (it represents a minor problem compared to the elastic transformation CZ in the uniaxial compression case). The damage d(Z) = 0.67 at ultimate point reaches about the same value than in biaxial tension. The localization angle tt = 12.6 degrees obtained in this case involves cracks approximatively orthogonal to the load directions, very consistent with K upfer's postmortem pictures. Again, the



Figure 6: Equi-biaxial tension simulation

conical shape is only the representation of the sym metry of the problem as the model only de nes the angle of the crack, not its shape.

7 Conclusions

This study shows that the simple coupling introduced between the hydrostatic and deviatoric parts of the strain in the free energy leads to a num ber of consequences, even if the primary e ect, the non linear elasticity, slightly in uences the shape of the elastic discharges. The important dilatancy of the concrete at ultimate stages is well depicted. The localization criterion is used as an indicator of the creation of a macroscopic crack, it applies at stress and strain levels that are quite consistent with experiments. The dam age value predicted at localization in pure tension remains small, letting to the material some load bearing capacity, for example for further compression. The crack angle given by the localization condition is di erent in tension and compression and consistent with observations.

The description of these e ects constitutes an improvement with respect to most of available damage models of comparable complexity (ve constants are used). They are particularly relevant when, as in earthquake engineering, the response of a concrete structure under severe conditions is considered. The global structure of the model and its embedding thermodynamic framework, opens the way to numerical implementation within a nite element code.

The introduction of a plasticity form alism [19, 20] would help to describe m issing e ects such as hysteresis loops and permanent strains and to correct som e irrelevant post-peak responses. The hydrostatic part of the free energy could be a ected by damage in order to extent the eld of application of the m odel to con ned states and avoid the damage locking condition present in the actual form. The three-dimensionnal stress to strain relationship is univocal, how ever the inverse one is not: interesting theoretical analysis can be done on stress driven loadings as they may involve multiphased states (this refers to the work of [21] in elasticity and [22, 23] in plasticity).

Appendices

A Tensorial form alism

For any symmetric second order tensor <u>A</u> the hydrostatic and deviatoric parts are denoted respectively by \underline{A}^h and \underline{A}^d . The hydrostatic part is obtained by the projection onto the norm ed hydrostatic tensor $\underline{I} = \frac{1}{3}$ (where \underline{I} is the identity tensor).

$$\underline{A} = \underline{A}^{h} + \underline{A}^{d} \tag{55}$$

$$\underline{A}^{h} = \underline{A} : \frac{\underline{I}}{\underline{3}} \quad \frac{\underline{I}}{\underline{3}}$$
(56)

The symbol ":" denotes the double contraction i.e. $\underline{A} : \underline{I} = A_{ij \ ji} = tr(\underline{A})$. In this model, the deviatoric parts _d and <u>"</u>d (of the Cauchy stress _ and the in nitesimal strain <u>"</u>) will remain collinear but may be a priori of opposite directions. We choose to de ne this direction with respect to the norm ed tensor \underline{D} given by:

$$\underline{D} = \frac{\mathbf{n}^{d}}{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{n}^{d}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{j}}$$
(57)

The norm used is the euclidean natural one i.e. $j\underline{A} j j = {}^{p} \overline{A_{ij}A_{ij}}$. The algebraic values ("h; "d; h; d) are de ned as:

$$\mathbf{n}^{h} = \underline{\mathbf{n}} : \frac{\underline{\mathbf{n}}}{\underline{\mathbf{p}}}; \quad {}^{h} = \underline{\mathbf{n}} : \frac{\underline{\mathbf{n}}}{\underline{\mathbf{p}}}; \quad {}^{d} = \underline{\mathbf{n}} : \underline{\mathbf{n}} :$$

O ne can remark that "^d is positive but ^d can a priori either be positive or negative. These projections are known under the names p and q where $p = {}^{h} = \frac{1}{3}$ and $q = \frac{1}{3=2} j^{d} j$ in soils mechanics.

B Useful property of the deviator

The sorted eigenvalues of $\underline{\underline{}}^{d}$ are $(\underline{}^{u}_{1}; \underline{}^{u}_{11}; \underline{}^{u}_{111})$. From Eq. 58, we have:

$$(\mathbf{u}_{\rm I}^{\rm d})^2 + (\mathbf{u}_{\rm II}^{\rm d})^2 + (\mathbf{u}_{\rm III}^{\rm d})^2 = (\mathbf{u}^{\rm d})^2$$
(59)

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{d}} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\mathrm{d}} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{TTT}}^{\mathrm{d}} = 0 \tag{60}$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{d}} > \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\mathrm{d}} > \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{III}}^{\mathrm{d}} \tag{61}$$

This leads to the following expressions:

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{d}} = \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\mathrm{d}}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{2(\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{d}})^{2}} \frac{3(\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\mathrm{d}})^{2}}{2(\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{d}})^{2}} ; \quad \mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{III}}^{\mathrm{d}} = \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\mathrm{d}}}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{2(\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{d}})^{2}} \frac{3(\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\mathrm{d}})^{2}}{(\mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{d}})^{2}} (62)$$

As soon as \mathbf{m}_{II}^{d} evolves between its bounds [$\mathbf{m}_{=}^{d} = \mathbf{\overline{6}}; \mathbf{m}_{=}^{d} = \mathbf{\overline{6}}$] (given respectively by $\mathbf{m}_{II}^{d} = \mathbf{m}_{II}^{d}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{II}^{d} = \mathbf{m}_{I}^{d}$), these functions are monotonic and:

$$r = \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{u}^{d} > \mathbf{u}^{d}_{I} > \frac{\mathbf{u}^{d}}{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\mathbf{u}^{d}}{6}$$
(63)

References

- A nna O uglova, Y ves B erthaud, M arc Francois, and Francois Foct. M echanical properties of ferric oxide form ed by corrosion in reinforced concrete structures. Corrosion Sci., 48:3988{4000, 2006.
- [2] P Jamet, A lain M illard, and G Nahas. Triaxial behaviour of a microconcrete complete stress-strain for con ning pressures ranging from 0 to 100 M Pa. In Proc. of Int. C onf. on C oncrete under M ultiaxial C onditions, volum e 1, pages 133 {140, Toulouse, France, 1984. Universite Paul Sabatier.
- [3] HelmutKupfer, HubertK Hilsdorf, and HubertRusch.Behavior of concrete under biaxial stresses. ACI Journal, 66:656 (666, 1969.

- [4] J W Rudnicki and J Rice. Conditions for the localization of deformation in pressure sensitive dilatant materials. J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 23:371 (394, 1975.
- [5] Claudia Comi, Yves Berthaud, and Rene Billadon. On localization in ductile-brittle materials under compressive loadings. Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids, 14:19{43, 1995.
- [6] Tuan Hung Le.Contribution a la modelisation du comportem ent des betons. Phd thesis, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie Paris VI, 2005.
- [7] J Rychlewski. On hooke's law. Prikl. M atem. M ekhan., 48:420 (435, 1984.
- [8] Pierre Ladeveze. On anisotropic dam age theory. Failure criteria of Structured m edia, Proc. of the CNRS international colloquium No 351, pages 355{363, 1993.
- [9] Marc Francois. A new yield criterion for the concrete materials. C.R. Mecanique, DOI: 10.1016/j.crm e.2008.01.010 under press, 2008.
- [10] Jean Lem aitre. A Course on Dam age Mechanics. Springer, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [11] Bernard Halphen and Quoc Son Nguyen. Sur les materiaux standards generalises. Journal de Mecanique, 14:39(63, 1975.
- [12] Pierre Bernard Badel, Vincent Godard, and Jean-Baptiste Leblond. Application of some anisotropic dam age model to the prediction of the failure of some complex industrial concrete structure. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 44:5848 (5874, 2007.
- [13] S Yazdani and H Schreyer. An anisotropic dam age m odel with dilatation for concrete. M ech. M aterials, 7:231{244, 1988.
- [14] M arc Francois and G ianniR over C arfagni. Structured deform ation of dam aged continua w ith cohesive-frictional sliding rough fractures. Eur. J. M ech. A /Solids, 24:644{660, 2005.
- [15] Nicolas Burlion. Compaction des betons : elements de modelisation et caracterisation experimentale. Phd thesis, ENS de Cachan, 1997.
- [16] Salah Ram tani. Contribution a la modelisation du com portment multiaxial du beton endom mage avec description du caractere unilateral. Phd thesis, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie Paris V I, 1990.
- [17] M ichel Terrien. A coustic em ission and post-criticalm echanical behaviour of a concrete under tensile stress. Bulletin de Liaison du Laboratoire des Ponts et Chausses, 105:65{72, 1980.
- [18] A. Hussein and B. Marzouk. Behavior of high-strength concrete under biaxial stresses. ACIM at. J., 1:27{36, 2000.

- [19] Frederic Ragueneau, Christian La Borderie, and Jacky Mazars. Damage model for concrete like materials coupling cracking and friction, contribution towards structural damping: First uniaxial application. J. Cohesive Frictional Mat., 5:607{625,2000.
- [20] M R Salari, S Saeb, K J W illiams, S J Patchet, and R C Carrasco. A coupled elastoplastic damage model for geomaterials. Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng., 193:2625{2643,2004.
- [21] J L Eriksen. Equilibrium of bars. J. Elasticity, 5:191{202, 1975.
- [22] M aurizio Froli and G ianni R oyer Carfagni. A mechanical model for the elastic-pastic behavior of metallic bars. Int. J. Solids Struct., 37:3901 3918, 2000.
- [23] Giovanni Puglisi and Lev Truskinovsky. Thermodynamics of rateindependent plasticity. J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 53:655{679, 2005.