Construction process for simple Lie algebras

Dehbia Achab

To cite this version:

Dehbia Achab. Construction process for simple Lie algebras. 2010. hal-00445795

HAL Id: hal-00445795

https://hal.science/hal-00445795

Preprint submitted on 11 Jan 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CONSTRUCTION PROCESS FOR SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

Dehbia ACHAB

Abstract

We give here a construction process for the complex simple Lie algebras and the non Hermitian type real forms which intersect the minimal nilpotent complex adjoint orbit, using a finite dimensional irreducible representation of the conformal group, or of some 2-fold covering of it, with highest weight a semi-invariant of degree 4. This process leads to a 5-graded simple complex Lie algebra and the underlying semi-invariant is intimately related to the structure of the minimal nilpotent orbit. We also describe a similar construction process for the simple real Lie algebras of Hermitian type.

Conformal and Meta-Conformal Groups

Let V be a Euclidean complex vector space and Q a degree 4 homogeneous polynomial on V. Let L be defined by

$$L := \{g \in GL(V) \mid \exists \gamma(g) \in \mathbb{C}, Q(gz) = \gamma(g)Q(z)\}$$

and suppose that it has an open orbit and that L is self-ajoint :

$$\forall g \in L, g^* \in L.$$

More precisely, V is a semi-simple complex Jordan algebra with rank ≤ 4, L is the structure group of V and Q semi-invariant for L.

If $V = \sum_{i=1}^{s} V_i$ is the decomposition of V into simple ideals, then $Q(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i^{k_i}(z_i)$, where Δ_i is the determinant polynomilal of V_i and the k_i are positive integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i r_i = 4$, r_i being the rank of the Jordan algebra V_i. In the sequel, e is the unit element of V.

Let K be the conformal group of V, that is the set of rationnal transformations g of V such that, for each $z \in V$ where g is defined, the differential $Dg(z) \in L$.

K is a semi-simple Lie group. It is generated by L, the group N of translations $\tau_a (a \in V)$ and the conformal inversion $\sigma(z) = \nabla log Q(z)$.

$P := L \ltimes N$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of K and L is its Lévi factor.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of K writes $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_{-1} + \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{k}_1$, with

$$\mathfrak{k}_{-1} = Lie(N), \mathfrak{k}_1 = Lie(\sigma N \sigma)\ , \mathfrak{k}_0 = Lie(L).$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the complex vector space generated by the polynomials $Q(z-a)$ with $a \in V$.

We first suppose that there exists a character χ of L such that

$$Q(l.z) = \chi(l)^2 Q(z).$$

Then, the conformal group K acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ by :

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

$$(\kappa(g)p)(z) = \mu(g,z)p(g^{-1}z)$$

with

$$\mu(g^{-1},z) = \chi(Dg(z))^{-1}.$$

In particular

$$(\kappa(\tau_a)p)(z) = p(z-a) \qquad (a \in V)$$
$$(\kappa(l)p)(z) = \chi(l)p(l^{-1}z) \qquad (l \in L)$$
$$(\kappa(\sigma)p)(z) = Q(z)p(-z^{-1}).$$

κ is the finite dimensional irreducible representation of K with highest weight Q. It is also the representation $Ind_P^K\chi$ obtained by parabolic induction from the chatacter χ of L. The derived representation $d\kappa$ can be obtained on the generators of $\mathfrak{k}$ as follows : For $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$, let $v \in V$ be such that $exp(tX) = \tau_{tv}$, then

$$(d\kappa(X)p)(z) = \tfrac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} p(z-tv) = -Dp(z)(v).$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{k}_0$,

$$(d\kappa(X)p)(z) = \frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} \gamma(exp(\frac{t}{2}X))p(exp(-tX)z)$$
$$= D\gamma(id)\circ D(exp)(0)(\frac{1}{2}X)p(z) - Dp(z)(D(exp)(0)(X)z)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}D\gamma(id)(X)p(z) - Dp(z)(X.z).$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{k}_1$, $exp(tX) = \sigma\tau_{tu}\sigma$ with $u \in V$, then

$$(d\kappa(X)p)(z) = \frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} (\kappa(\sigma\tau_{tu}\sigma)p)(z)$$
$$= \frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} (\kappa(\sigma)\kappa(\tau_{tu})[Q(z)p(\sigma(z))] = \frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} (\kappa(\sigma)[Q(z-tu)p(\sigma(z-tu))]$$
$$= \frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} [Q(z)Q(\sigma(z)-tu)p(\sigma(\sigma(z)-tu))] = \frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} Q(e-tzu)p(z\sigma(e-tzu))$$
$$= -DQ(e)(zu)p(z) - Dp(z)(zu)$$

If the character χ of L does'nt exist, we consider the 2-fold-covering group of L defined by

$$\tilde{L}^{(2)} = \{(l,\alpha) \in L \times \mathbb{C}^* \mid \alpha^2 = \gamma(l)^{-1}\}.$$

$\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ acts on V by $(l,\alpha).z = \alpha l.z$, then

$$Q((l,\alpha).z) = \alpha^4\gamma(l)Q(z) = \alpha^2 Q(z) = \tilde{\chi}(l,\alpha)^2 Q(z)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}(l,\alpha) = \alpha$ is a character of $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$. Moreover, $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ is a subgroup of a 2-fold-covering group of the conformal group K, which will be called the meta-conformal group, defined by :

$$\tilde{K}^{(2)} = \{(g,\phi) \in K \times \mathcal{O}(V) \mid \phi(z)^2 = \gamma(Dg(z))^{-1}\}$$

equipped with the group law given by :

$$(g_1,\phi_1).(g_2,\phi_2) = (g_1g_2,\phi_3), \text{ with } \phi_3(z) = \phi_1(g_2z)\phi_2(z).$$

Proposition. For each $g \in K$, the function $\psi_g : z \mapsto \gamma(Dg(z))^{-1}$, holomorphic on $V - \{z \in V \mid Dg(z) = 0\}$, has an analytic continuation to V. Moreover, there exists $\phi_g \in O(V)$, such that $\psi_g = \phi_g^2$.

Proof. Using the cocycle property $D(g_1g_2)(z) = Dg_1(g_2(z))Dg_2(z)$ which implies $\psi_{g_1g_2}(z) = \psi_{g_1}(g_2.z)\psi_{g_2}(z)$, it suffises to prove the proposition for the generators of K. For $g = l \in L$, $Dg(z) = l$ and $\psi_g(z) = \gamma(l)^{-1}$ for $z \in V$. For $g = \tau_v$, $Dg(z) = id_V$ and $\psi_g(z) = 1$ for $z \in V$. If $g = \sigma$, as for each invertible z,$D\sigma(z) = P(z)^{-1}$ and $det(P(z)w) = det(z)^2 det(w)$ (cf. [F-K] Proposition II.3.3), then we get $\psi_g(z) = Q(z)^2$ which is a polynomial, it follows that ψ_g has analytic continuation to V and $\phi_g(z)^2 = \psi$, ϕ_g being the holomorphic function $\phi_g(z) = Q(z)$. $\square$

Corollary. $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ is a 2-fold covering group of K, which contains the covering $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ of L. Moreover, $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ is generated by the elements

$$(l, \alpha) \quad \text{with } (l \in L, \alpha = \gamma(l)^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$
$$(\tau_v, 1) \quad \text{with } v \in V,$$
$$(\sigma, Q).$$

The group $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ will be called the meta-conformal group of V, associated to the semi-invariant Q. The subgroup $\tilde{P}^{(2)}$ generated by $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ and the $(\tau_v, 1)$ is maximal parabolic of $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ with Levi factor $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$.

We consider the representation $\tilde{\kappa}$ of $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ defined by

$$(\tilde{\kappa}(\tau_v, 1)p)(z) = p(z - v)$$
$$(\tilde{\kappa}(l, \alpha)p)(z) = \alpha^{-1}p(l^{-1}.z)$$
$$(\tilde{\kappa}(\sigma, Q)p)(z) = Q(z)p(-\sigma(z)).$$

$\tilde{\kappa}$ is the finite dimensional irreducible representation of $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ with highest weight Q. It is also the representation $Ind_{\tilde{P}^{(2)}}^{\tilde{K}^{(2)}}\tilde{\chi}$ obtained by parabolic induction from the chatacter $\tilde{\chi}$ of $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$. The derived representation $d\tilde{\kappa}$ can be obtained on the generators of $\mathfrak{k}$ as follows :

For $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$, let $v \in V$ be such that $exp(tX) = (\tau_{tv}, 1)$, then

$$(d\tilde{\kappa}(X)p)(z) = \tfrac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} p(z - tv) = -Dp(z)(v).$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{k}_0$,

$$(d\tilde{\kappa}(X)p)(z) = \frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} \gamma(exp(\frac{t}{2}X))p(exp(-tX)z)$$
$$= D\gamma(id) \circ D(exp)(0)(\frac{1}{2}X)p(z) - Dp(z)(D(exp)(0)(X)z)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}D\gamma(id)(X)p(z) - Dp(z)(X.z).$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{k}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(d\tilde{\kappa}(X)p)(z) &= \frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=0} (\tilde{\kappa}((\sigma, Q).(\tau_{tu}, 1).(\sigma, Q))p)(z) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=0} (\tilde{\kappa}((\sigma, Q))\tilde{\kappa}((\tau_{tu}, 1))\tilde{\kappa}((\sigma, Q))p)(z) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=0} (\tilde{\kappa}((\sigma, Q))\tilde{\kappa}((\tau_{tu}, 1))[Q(z)p(\sigma(z))] \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=0} (\tilde{\kappa}((\sigma, Q))[Q(z - tu)p(\sigma(z - tu))] \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=0} [Q(z)Q(\sigma(z) - tu)p(\sigma(\sigma(z) - tu))] \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=0} Q(e - tzu)p(z\sigma(e - tzu)) \\
&= -DQ(e)(zu)p(z) - Dp(z)(zu).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the infinitesimal representations $d\kappa$ and $d\tilde{\kappa}$ are equal. In the sequel, We denote this representation of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ by ρ.

Graduation of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = Lie(K)$ is the Kantor-Koecher-Tits Lie algebra of V.
We denote by h_t the dilation of V : $h_t.z = e^{-t}z \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$. Then $h_t \in L, h_t = e^{tH}$ with $H \in Lie(L)$ and $\chi(h_t) = e^{-2t}$ (In the case of the character $\tilde{\chi}$ of $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$, we consider $\tilde{h}_t = (h_t, e^{2t}) \in \tilde{L}^{(2)}$ in such a way that $\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{h}_t) = e^{-2t}$).
We can prove that $\rho(H) = \mathcal{E} - 2$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the Euler operator $(\mathcal{E}p)(z) =< z, \nabla p(z) >$.
H defines a graduation of $\mathfrak{k}$:

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$$

with

$$\mathfrak{k}_j = \{X \in \mathfrak{k} \mid ad(H)X = jX\} \qquad j = -1, 0, 1.$$

Notice that

$$Ad(\sigma) : \mathfrak{k}_j \to \mathfrak{k}_{-j}, X \mapsto \sigma X \sigma$$
$$\mathfrak{k}_{-1} = Lie(N) \simeq V, \mathfrak{k}_0 = Lie(L), \mathfrak{k}_1 = Lie(\sigma N \sigma) \simeq V$$

and that

$$H \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_0) \quad \text{(centre of } \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_0)).$$

H defines also a graduation of $\mathfrak{p}$:

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{-2} + \mathfrak{p}_{-1} + \mathfrak{p}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2$$

with

$$\mathfrak{p}_j = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid \rho(H)p = jp\}.$$

$\mathfrak{p}_j$ is the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree $j + 2$ in $\mathfrak{p}$.
Notice that

$$\kappa(\sigma) : \mathfrak{p}_j \to \mathfrak{p}_{-j}, p \mapsto \kappa(\sigma)p$$
$$\mathfrak{p}_{-2} = \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathbb{C}.Q, \mathfrak{p}_{-1} \simeq V, \mathfrak{p}_1 \simeq V.$$

Construction process of simple Lie algebras

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the vector space defined by $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$. Let's denote by $E = Q, F = 1$ $(E, F \in \mathfrak{p})$.

Theorem 1. There exists on $\mathfrak{g}$ a unique Lie algebra structure such that :
(S_1) $[X, X'] = [X, X']_{\mathfrak{k}}$ $(X, X' \in \mathfrak{k})$
(S_2) $[X, p] = \rho(X)p$ $(X \in \mathfrak{k}, p \in \mathfrak{p})$
(S_3) $[E, F] = H$.

Lemma 1.
(a) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}, \rho(X)F = 0$ et $\forall Y \in \mathfrak{k}_1, \rho(Y)E = 0$.
(b) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}, \rho(X)E \in \mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\forall Y \in \mathfrak{k}_1, \rho(Y)F \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$.
(c) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{k}_0$, $\rho(X)E = \alpha(X)E$ and $\rho(X)F = -\alpha(X)F$ with $\alpha(X) = -\frac{1}{2}D\gamma(id)(X)$.

Proof.
(a) Let be $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$, then $(\rho(X)F)(z) = -DF(z)(v) = 0$.
Let be $Y \in \mathfrak{k}_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho(Y)E)(z) &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}(\kappa(\sigma)\kappa(\tau_{tv})\kappa(\sigma)E)(z)\\ &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}(\kappa(\sigma)\kappa(\tau_{tv})F)(z) = \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}(\kappa(\sigma)F)(z) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let be $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho(X)E)(\lambda z) &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}E(\lambda z + tv) = \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}E(\lambda(z + \frac{t}{\lambda}v))\\ &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}\lambda^4 E(z + \frac{t}{\lambda}v) = \lambda^4\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{d}{ds}_{s=0}E(z + sv)\\ &= \lambda^3(\rho(X)E)(z).\end{aligned}$$

Let be $Y \in \mathfrak{k}_1$. Then $Y = \sigma X\sigma$ with $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$. It follows that $(\rho(Y)F) = \kappa(\sigma)\rho(X)E \in \kappa(\sigma)(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$.
(c) Let be $X \in \mathfrak{k}_0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho(X)E)(z) &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}\gamma(exp(\frac{t}{2}X)E(exp(-tX)z)\\ &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}\gamma(exp(-\frac{t}{2}X))E(z) = -\frac{1}{2}D\gamma(id)(X)E(z)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho(X)F)(z) &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}\gamma(exp(\frac{t}{2}X)F(exp(-tX)z)\\ &= \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}\gamma(exp(\frac{t}{2}X))F(z) = \frac{1}{2}D\gamma(id)(X)F(z). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.

(a) $\forall p \in \mathfrak{p}_1, \exists! X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}, p = \rho(X)E$.

(b) $\forall p \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}, \exists! Y \in \mathfrak{k}_1, p = \rho(Y)F$.

Proof. As $\mathfrak{p}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{k}$-module with highetst weight E, we can write $\mathfrak{p} = \rho(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}))E$, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$ is the envelopping algebra of $\mathfrak{k}$. This allows, using lemma 1, to prove that X, Y, X_1, X_2 exist. On an other side, the linear maps

$$\mathfrak{k}_{-1} \to \mathfrak{p}_1, X \mapsto \rho(X)E \text{ and } \mathfrak{k}_1 \to \mathfrak{p}_{-1}, Y \mapsto \rho(Y)F$$

are injective. In fact, let $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$ be such that $d\kappa(X)E = 0$. Then, for each $z \in V$, $\frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}E(z+tv) = 0$. As $E(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i^{k_i}(z_i)$, then, denoting by $z = (z_i)$ and $v = (v_i)$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}E(z+tv) = \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i^{k_i}(z_i + tv_i) = 0.$$

Then if all the z_i are invertible,

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i^{k_i}(z_i + tv_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i \Delta_i^{k_i}(z_i) tr(z_i^{-1}u_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \Delta_j^{k_j}(z_j) = E(z) \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i tr(z_i^{-1}v_i),$$

then for each $z_i \in V_i$ invertible, $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i tr(z_i^{-1}v_i) = 0$, which implies that for each $z_i \in V_i$, $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i tr(z_i v_i) = 0$, and finally for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, $\forall z_i \in V_i, tr(z_i v_i) = 0$, and as the bilinear form $tr(xy)$ is non degenerated on each V_i, then for each i, $v_i = 0$, i.e. $v = 0$ and $X = 0$.

Let $Y \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ be such that $\rho(Y)F = 0$, i.e. $\kappa(\sigma)d\kappa(X)E = 0$ where $Y = \sigma X \sigma$ with $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$. Then $\rho(X)E = 0$ and then $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3. The representation $\rho : \mathfrak{k} \to End(\mathfrak{p})$ is injective.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ be such that $\rho(X) = 0$. We write $X = X_{-1} + X_0 + X_1$ with $X_j \in \mathfrak{k}_j$. As $\rho(\mathfrak{k}_j)(\mathfrak{p}_i) \subset \mathfrak{p}_{i+j}$, we obtain that $\rho(X_{-1}) = \rho(X_0) = \rho(X_1) = 0$.

$\rho(X_{-1}) = 0$ implies that for all $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $z \in V$, $Dp(z)(v) = 0$ (where $exp(tX_{-1}) = \tau_{tv}$). In particular, if $p(z) = tr(zu)$ with $u \in V$, then $tr(vu) = 0$ for arbitrary u, and , as V semisimple means that the bilinear form $tr(uv$ is non degenerate, then we get $v = 0$ and$X_{-1} = 0$.

$\rho(X_0) = 0$ implies $\rho(X_0)E = 0$, then $D\gamma(id)(X_0) = 0$. Now, as for each linear form p on V, $\rho(X_0)p(z) == \frac{1}{2}D\gamma(id)(X_0)p(z) + \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}p(exp(-tX_0)z) = 0$, and as $D\gamma(id)(X_0) = 0$, we have $\frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}p(exp(-tX_0)z) = p(-X_0.z) = 0$. If $p(z) = tr(zu)$ with $u \in V$ then $tr(-X_0.zu) = 0$ for each $z, u \in V$, then $X_0 = 0$.

As $X_1 = \sigma Y_{-1} \sigma$ with $Y_{-1} \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$, then it is clear that $\rho(X_1) = 0$ implies $\rho(Y_{-1}) = 0$, implies $Y_{-1} = 0$ and $X_1 = 0$.

Proof of theorem 1. We define the Lie bracket $[p,p'] \in \mathfrak{k}$ for two elements p,p' of $\mathfrak{p}$ in such a way that

$$[E,F]=H,\ [\mathfrak{p}_i,\mathfrak{p}_j]\subset \mathfrak{k}_{i+j}$$

and

$$[X,[p,p']]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [[X,p],p']_{\mathfrak{k}} + [p,[X,p']]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [\rho(X)p,p']_{\mathfrak{k}} + [p,\rho(X)p']_{\mathfrak{k}}. \quad (\forall X\in\mathfrak{k}).$$

It follows that

$$\forall X\in\mathfrak{k}_{-1}, [\rho(X)E,F]=[X,[E,F]]=[X,H]=X$$

and the bracket $[\mathfrak{p}_1,\mathfrak{p}_{-2}]\subset\mathfrak{k}_{-1}$ is well defined.

$$\forall Y\in\mathfrak{k}_1, [\rho(Y)F,E]=[Y,[F,E]]=-[Y,H]=Y$$

and the bracket $[\mathfrak{p}_{-1},\mathfrak{p}_2]\subset\mathfrak{k}_1$ is well defined.

$$\forall X\in\mathfrak{k}_{-1}, p\in\mathfrak{p}_0, [\rho(X)E,p]=[[X,E],p]=[X,[E,p]]-[E,[X,p]]=[\rho(X)p,E]\in[\mathfrak{p}_{-1},\mathfrak{p}_2]$$

and the bracket $[\mathfrak{p}_1,\mathfrak{p}_0]\subset\mathfrak{k}_1$ is well defined.

$$\forall Y\in\mathfrak{k}_1, p\in\mathfrak{p}_0, [\rho(Y)F,p]=[[Y,F],p]=[Y,[F,p]]-[F,[Y,p]]=[\rho(Y)p,F]\in[\mathfrak{p}_1,\mathfrak{p}_{-2}]$$

and the bracket $[\mathfrak{p}_{-1},\mathfrak{p}_0]\subset\mathfrak{k}_{-1}$ is well defined.

For $X\in\mathfrak{k}_{-1}, Y\in\mathfrak{k}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}[\rho(X)E,\rho(Y)F] &= [X,[E,\rho(Y)F]]-[E,\rho(X)\rho(Y)F]\\ &= [X,[E,\rho(Y)F]]-[E,\rho([X,Y])F]\\ &= -[X,Y]+\alpha([X,Y])H\in\mathfrak{k}_0\end{aligned}$$

and the bracket $[\mathfrak{p}_1,\mathfrak{p}_{-1}]\subset\mathfrak{k}_0$ is well defined.

$$\forall X,X'\in\mathfrak{k}_{-1},\ [\rho(X)E,\rho(X')E]=[X,[E,\rho(X')E]]-[E,\rho(X)\rho(X')E]=0,$$

then $[\mathfrak{p}_1,\mathfrak{p}_1]=0$.

$$\forall Y,Y'\in\mathfrak{k}_1, [\rho(Y)F,\rho(Y')F]=[Y,[F,\rho(Y')F]]-[F,\rho(Y)\rho(Y')F]=0,$$

then $[\mathfrak{p}_{-1},\mathfrak{p}_{-1}]=0$.

The bracket $[\mathfrak{p}_0,\mathfrak{p}_0]$ is then well determined. In fact, for $p,p'\in\mathfrak{p}_0$, as the restriction of ρ to $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is injective, we define $X_0=[p_0,p_0']$ as the unique element of $\mathfrak{k}_0$ such that $\rho(X_0)$ satisfies :

$$\rho(X_0)E=0,\ \rho(X_0)F=0 \text{ and } \rho(X_0)\phi=-[[\phi,p_0],p_0']-[p_0,[\phi,p_0']]\ \forall\phi\in\mathfrak{p}_{-1}\cup\mathfrak{p}_1$$

and its restriction to $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is then determined because $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is generated by the brackets $[X,p]$ with $X\in\mathfrak{k}_{-1}, p\in\mathfrak{p}_1$, and in this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho(X_0)([X,p])=-[[X,p],X_0]=-[[X,p],[p_0,p_0']]=-[[X,p],p_0],p_0']-[p_0,[[X,p],p_0']]\\ &=[[[p_0,X],p],p_0']+[[X,[p_0,p]],p_0']+[p_0,[[p_0',X],p]]+[p_0,[X,[p_0',p]]]\\ &\in[[[\mathfrak{p}_0,\mathfrak{k}_{-1}],\mathfrak{p}_1],\mathfrak{p}_0]+[[\mathfrak{k}_{-1},[\mathfrak{p}_0,\mathfrak{p}_1]],\mathfrak{p}_0]+[\mathfrak{p}_0,[[\mathfrak{p}_0,\mathfrak{k}_{-1}],\mathfrak{p}_1]]+[\mathfrak{p}_0,[\mathfrak{k}_{-1},[\mathfrak{p}_0,\mathfrak{p}_1]]]\\ &\subset[[\mathfrak{p}_{-1},\mathfrak{p}_1],\mathfrak{p}_0]+[[\mathfrak{k}_{-1},\mathfrak{k}_1],\mathfrak{p}_0]+[\mathfrak{p}_0,[\mathfrak{p}_{-1},\mathfrak{p}_1]]+[\mathfrak{p}_0,[\mathfrak{k}_{-1},\mathfrak{k}_1]]\\ &\subset[\mathfrak{k}_0,\mathfrak{p}_0]+[\mathfrak{k}_0,\mathfrak{p}_0]+[\mathfrak{p}_0,\mathfrak{k}_0]+[\mathfrak{p}_0,\mathfrak{k}_0]\subset[\mathfrak{k}_0,\mathfrak{p}_0]\subset\mathfrak{p}_0.\end{aligned}$$

Let the vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be equipped with the bracket defined by

$$[X + p, X' + p'] = [X, X']_{\mathfrak{k}} + [p, p'] + \rho(X)p' - \rho(X')p \quad (X, X' \in \mathfrak{k}, p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}).$$

It remains to prove that the Jacobi identity holds. In fact, as $\mathfrak{k}$ is a Lie algebra and ρ is a representation of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, then it is clear that for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{k}$,

$$[X, [Y, Z]] = [X, [Y, Z]_{\mathfrak{k}}]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z]_{\mathfrak{k}} + [X, [Y, Z]_{\mathfrak{k}}]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [[X, Y], Z] + [X, [Y, Z]]$$

and for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{k}, p \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$[X, [Y, p]] = d\kappa(X)d\kappa(Y)p = d\kappa([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{k}})p + d\kappa(Y)d\kappa(X)p = [[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{k}}, p] + [Y, [X, p]].$$

By an other side, the Lie bracket has been defined such that for $X \in \mathfrak{k}, p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$[X, [p, p']] = [[X, p], p'] + [p, [X, p']].$$

It remains just to establish the identity

$$[p", [p, p']] = [[p", p], p'] + [p, [p", p']] \quad \forall p, p', p" \in \mathfrak{p} \qquad (*)$$

We prove this identity step by step. Notice first that we can suppose $p" = E$.

In the cases $(p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_2), (p \in \mathfrak{p}_2, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_1), (p \in \mathfrak{p}_2, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_0), (p \in \mathfrak{p}_2, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}), (p \in \mathfrak{p}_2, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-2}), (p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_1), (p \in \mathfrak{p}_1, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_0), (p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_0)$, the identity (*) is trivial.

If $p \in \mathfrak{p}_1, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$ then $p = \rho(X)E$ et $p' = \rho(X')F$ with $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$ and $X' \in \mathfrak{k}_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [E, [p, p']] &= [E, [X', X]] - \alpha([X', X])[E, H] \\ &= \rho([X', X])E - 2\alpha([X', X])E \\ &= -\alpha([X', X])E \end{aligned}$$

and as $[[E, p], p'] = 0$ and $[p, [E, p']] = [p, -X'] = \rho(X')d\kappa(X)E = \rho([X', X])E = -\alpha([X', X])E$, the identity (*) is satisfied.

If $p \in \mathfrak{p}_1, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-2}$ then $p = \rho(X)E$ with $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$ and $p' = F$, then $[E, [p, p']] = [E, X] = -\rho(X)E, [[E, p], p'] = 0, [p, [E, p']] = [p, H] = -[H, p] = -\rho(X)E$, then (*) is satisfied.

If $p \in \mathfrak{p}_0, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$ then $p' = \rho(X')F$ with $X' \in \mathfrak{k}_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [E, [p, p']] &= [E, [p, \rho(X')F]] = [E, [X', [p, F]] - [[X', p], F]] \\ &= [E, [-\rho(X')p, F]] = -[\rho(X')p, [E, F]] = \rho(X')p \end{aligned}$$

and $[[E, p], p'] = 0$ and $[p, [E, p']] = [p, [E, \rho(X')F]] = [X', p] = \rho(X')p$, then (*) is satisfied.

If $p \in \mathfrak{p}_0, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-2}$ then $p' = F$ and $[E, [p, p']] = 0, [[E, p], p'] = 0$ and $[p, [E, p']] = -[p, H] = 0$, then (*) is satisfied.

If $p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$ then $p = \rho(X)F$, $p' = \rho(X')F$ with $X, X' \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ and $[E, [p, p']] = 0$, then

$$[[E, p], p'] = [[E, \rho(X)F], \rho(X')F] = \rho(X)\rho(X')F$$

and

$$[p,[E,p']] = [\rho(X)F,[E,\rho(X')F]] = -\rho(X')\rho(X)F$$

and, as $[X,X'] = 0$, then (*) is satisfied.

If $p \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}, p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-2}$ then $p = \rho(Y)F$ with $Y \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ and $p' = F$, then $[E,[p,p']] = 0$,

$$[[E,p],p'] = [[E,\rho(Y)F],F] = -[Y,F] = -\rho(Y)F$$

and

$$[p,[E,p']] = [\rho(Y)F,[E,F]] = -[\rho(Y)F,H] = -\rho(Y)F$$

and (*) is satisfied.

If $p,p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-2}$ then $p = p' = F$, then

$[E,[p,p']] = 0, [[E,p],p'] = [H,F] = -2F$ and $[p,[E,p']] = [p,H] = -[F,H] = -2F$

and (*) is satisfied. $\square$

.

Proposition. (E,F,H) is an sl_2-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$[H,E] = 2E \quad , \quad [H,F] = -2F \quad , \quad [E,F] = H.$$

$ad(H)$ has eigenvalues $-2,-1,0,1,2$ with respective eigenspaces $\mathfrak{g}_{-2},\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{g}_2$ where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-2} = \mathfrak{p}_{-2}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{k}_{-1} + \mathfrak{p}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{k}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_2.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is 5-graded :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{g}_2, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_i,\mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$$

such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = [\mathfrak{g}_{-2},\mathfrak{g}_2] + [\mathfrak{g}_{-1},\mathfrak{g}_1].$$

Moreover, the map $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\tau(X+p) = \sigma X \sigma + \kappa(\sigma)p \quad (X \in \mathfrak{k}, p \in \mathfrak{p})$$

is an involution of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\tau(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \mathfrak{g}_{-i}$.

$\mathfrak{g}$ will be called the Lie algebra associated to the pair (V,Q).

Theorem 2. $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple complex Lie algebra.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} \neq \{0\}$ be an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. For $T \in \mathfrak{g}$, we write $T = T_{\mathfrak{k}} + T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $T_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}, T_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$. We consider

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid \exists T \in \mathcal{I}, T_{\mathfrak{p}} = p\}.$$

$\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a a non trivial $\rho(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}))$-submodule of $\mathfrak{p}$, then equal to $\mathfrak{p}$. In fact, if $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{0\}$ then $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ and then, for each $X \in \mathcal{I}$ and $p \in \mathfrak{p}$, $[X,p] = 0$, which means $\rho(X) = 0$ and then , as ρ is injective (lemma 3), $X = 0$. We deduce that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \{0\}$. Now, let be $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $p \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exists $T \in \mathcal{I}$ tel que $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = p$. Let be $T = T_{\mathfrak{k}} + p \in \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$, then

$$[X,T] = [X,T_{\mathfrak{k}}] + [X,p] = [X,T_{\mathfrak{k}}] + \rho(X)p$$

and as $[X,T] \in \mathcal{I}$, then $[X,p] = \rho(X)p \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Finally, as , $\mathfrak{p}$ is a simple $\rho(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}))$-module then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}$.

It follows that there exist $T \in \mathcal{I}$ and $T' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = E$ and $T'_{\mathfrak{p}} = F$. We denote by $T = T_{\mathfrak{k}} + E$ and $T' = T'_{\mathfrak{k}} + F$ and with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_{-1} + \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{k}_1$ we write

$$T_{\mathfrak{k}} = T_{-1} + T_0 + T_1 \text{ and } T'_{\mathfrak{k}} = T'_{-1} + T'_0 + T'_1.$$

Then

$$[H, T] = -T_{-1} + T_1 + 2E \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } [H, T'] = -T'_{-1} + T'_1 - 2F \in \mathcal{I}.$$

It follows that

$$S = T + [H, T] = 2T_1 + T_0 + 3E \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } S' = T' + [H, T'] = 2T'_1 + T'_0 - F \in \mathcal{I}$$
$$[H, S] = 2T_1 + 6E \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } [H, S'] = 2T'_1 + 2F \in \mathcal{I}$$
$$[H, S] - S = -T_0 + 3E \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } [H, S'] - S' = -T_0 + 3F \in \mathcal{I}$$

and finally

$$[H, [H, S] - S] = 6E \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } [H, [H, S'] - S'] = -6F \in \mathcal{I}$$

then

$$E \in \mathcal{I}, F \in \mathcal{I}, H \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We deduce that for $i \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathcal{I}$. Moreover, as $\mathfrak{p} = \rho(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}))E$, then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{I}$ and in particular $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}$. At last, $\mathfrak{k}_0 = [\mathfrak{k}_{-1}, \mathfrak{k}_1] \subset \mathcal{I}$, and $\mathcal{I} = \mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.

$$dim\mathfrak{g} = 4dim(V) + 2 + dim\mathfrak{k}_0 + dim\mathfrak{p}_0.$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}_0$ which contain H, and if

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid [X, p] = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}\}$$

then $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and

$$rank(\mathfrak{g}) = rank(\mathfrak{k}_0) + dim(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$ are isomorphic to V. Moreover, $\mathfrak{p}_1$ is generated by the first order partial derivatives of Q, $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is generated by the second order partial derivatives of Q and $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}$, which is genrated by the order 3 partial derivatives of Q, is equal to the space of linear forms on V. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}$ are isomorphic to V. As $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathbb{C}.Q$ et $\mathfrak{p}_{-2} = \mathbb{C}.1$, the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ is then given by

$$dim\mathfrak{g} = 4dim(V) + 2 + dim\mathfrak{k}_0 + dim\mathfrak{p}_0.$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$ containing H, it is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}_0$ and the subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$, defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid [X, p] = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}\}$$

is contained in $\mathfrak{p}_0$, and is Abelian. It follows that the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $rank(\mathfrak{g}) = rank(\mathfrak{k}_0) + dim(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. $\square$

Cartan-Adapted Real Forms

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the conformal Lie algebra of Euclidean real form J of the Jordan algebra V. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{k}$. Notice that $H \in \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The Cartan involution of $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by $\theta : \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}, X \mapsto -\sigma X \sigma$, and the Cartan decomposition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ writes $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} + \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-}$, with

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} = \{X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \sigma X \sigma = -X\} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-} = \{X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \sigma X \sigma = X\}.$$

The compact real form $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathfrak{k}$ is then given by $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+} + i\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-}$. Notice that $\sigma H \sigma(z) = \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}\sigma(exp(-t)\sigma(z)) = \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}exp(t)z = -Hz$, then $\sigma H \sigma = -H$ and $H \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition. Let $c_{\mathfrak{k}}$ be the conjugation of $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is given by $c_{\mathfrak{k}}(X) = -\sigma \bar{X} \sigma$, where $X \mapsto \bar{X}$ is the conjugation of $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover $c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{k}_j) = \mathfrak{k}_j$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $X = X_1 + iX_2$ with $X_1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{+}$ and $X_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-}$. Then $-\sigma \bar{X} \sigma = -\sigma \bar{X}_1 \sigma + i\sigma \bar{X}_2 \sigma = X_1 + iX_2 = X$. For $X \in \mathfrak{k}_j$, $[H, c_{\mathfrak{k}}(X)] = c_{\mathfrak{k}}([H, X]) = jc_{\mathfrak{k}}(X)$. $\square$

We denote by $\mathfrak{u}$ the compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} + i\mathfrak{u} \text{ and } \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{u}.$$

We put $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{p} \cap i\mathfrak{u}$ then the real Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Its Cartan decomposition is just $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The Cartan signature of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is then given by

$$s_c = dim(\mathfrak{p}) - dim(\mathfrak{k}) = dim(\mathfrak{p}_0) - dim(\mathfrak{k}_0) + 2.$$

The real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ will be called the Cartan-Adapted real form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ associated to the pair (V, Q). (cf. table 1 for the classification)

Corollary. The symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is non Hermitian.

Proof. It is a consequence of the fact that the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ is the complexification of the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the fact that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{k}$-module.
$\square$

Remark. It is possible to see the statement of theorem 1 as a special case of constructions of Lie algebras by Allison and Faulkner, using the Cayley-Dickson process to associate a 5-graded simple Lie algebra to some structurable algebra W, that is $W = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$ in our terminology (cf. [A-F]).

(Table 1)

The classification of the simple complex Lie algebras associated to the pair (V, Q) where V is a semisimple Jordan algebra of rank ≤ 4 and Q is a degree 4 semi-inavariant can be obtained by considering all the possible cases for $V = \sum_{i=1}^{s} V_i$ and $Q = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_i^{k_i}$ where $k_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and Δ_i is the polynomial determinant of the simple Jordan algebra V_i. For each case, we determine the dimension and the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$, and the Cartan signature of the real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We obtain by this construction process all the simple real Lie algebras which intersect the minimal nilpotent complex adjoint orbit (cf.[B.]).

V	Q	$\mathfrak{k}$	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$
$\mathbb{C}$	z^4	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	$sl(3,\mathbb{C})$	$su(2)$	$sl(3,\mathbb{R})$
$\mathbb{C}^{p-2}$	$\Delta(z)^2$	$so(p,\mathbb{C})$	$sl(p,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p,\mathbb{R})$	$sl(p,\mathbb{R})$
$\mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}$	z^2w^2	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})^{\oplus 2}$	$so(6,\mathbb{C})$	$su(2)^{\oplus 2}$	$so(3,3)$
$\mathbb{C}^{\oplus 3}$	z^2uv	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})^{\oplus 3}$	$so(7,\mathbb{C})$	$so(3)^{\oplus 3}$	$so(3,4)$
$\mathbb{C}^{\oplus 4}$	$zuvw$	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})^{\oplus 4}$	$so(8,\mathbb{C})$	$so(3)^{\oplus 4}$	$so(4,4)$
$\mathbb{C}^{p-2} + \mathbb{C}$	$\Delta(z)w$	$so(p,\mathbb{C}) + sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p+3,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p) + so(3)$	$so(p,3)$
$\mathbb{C}^{p-2} + \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}$	$\Delta(z)uv$	$so(p,\mathbb{C}) + sl(2,\mathbb{C})^{\oplus 2}$	$so(p+4,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p) + so(3)^{\oplus 2}$	$so(p,4)$
$\mathbb{C}^{p-2} + \mathbb{C}^{q-2}$	$\Delta(z)\Delta(w)$	$so(p,\mathbb{C}) + so(q,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p+q,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p) + so(q)$	$so(p,q)$
$Sym(4,\mathbb{C})$	$det(z)$	$sp(8,\mathbb{C})$	E_6	$sp(8)$	$E_{6(6)}$
$M(4,\mathbb{C})$	$det(z)$	$sl(8,\mathbb{C})$	E_7	$su(8)$	$E_{7(7)}$
$Asym(8,\mathbb{C})$	$det(z)$	$so(16,\mathbb{C})$	E_8	$so(16)$	$E_{8(8)}$
$Sym(3,\mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{C}$	$det(z)w$	$sp(6,\mathbb{C}) + sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$sp(6) + su(2)$	$F_{4(4)}$
$M(3,\mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{C}$	$det(z)w$	$sl(6,\mathbb{C}) + sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	E_6	$su(6) + su(2)$	$E_{6(2)}$
$Asym(6,\mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{C}$	$det(z)w$	$so(12,\mathbb{C}) + sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	E_7	$so(12) + su(2)$	$E_{7(-5)}$
$Herm(3,\mathbb{O})^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathbb{C}$	$det(z)w$	$E_7 + sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	E_8	$E_7^c + su(2)$	$E_{8(-24)}$
$\mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}$	z^3w	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})^{\oplus 2}$	G_2	$so(3)^{\oplus 2}$	$G_{2(2)}$

where $p, q \geq 5$.

About the minimal nilpotent orbit

Let G be a connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, the adjoint group G_{ad} of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $G_{ad} = G/Z(G)$. Denote by $G_{ad\mathbb{R}}$ the connected subgroup of G_{ad} with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$, K_{ad} the connected subgroup of G_{ad} with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, and by $K_{ad\mathbb{R}}$ the maximal compact subgroup of both $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and K_{ad} with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is well known, by the Kostant Sekiguchi correspondance (cf. [S.]), that there is a bijection between the set of nilpotent K_{ad}-orbits in $\mathfrak{p}$ and the set of nilpotent $G_{ad\mathbb{R}}$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{O}_{min}$ is the minimal nilpotent adjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$, then, as $\mathfrak{g}$ is non Hermitian, $\mathcal{O}_{min} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is equal to the K_{ad}-orbit of the highest weight vector in $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{min} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the corresponding orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by the Sekiguchi bijection.
As E is the highest weight vector of the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{O}_{min} \cap \mathfrak{p} = K_{ad}E.$$

Now, following the terminology of Sekiguchi (cf. [S.]), the sl_2-triple (H, E, F) is normal for the symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$, that means $H \in \mathfrak{k}, E, F \in \mathfrak{p}$. But the conditions of strict normality, i.e. $H \in i\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}, E+F \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}, i(E-F) \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (which are equivalent to $\tilde{\theta}(H) = -H$ and $\tilde{\theta}(E) = -F$ where $\tilde{\theta}$ is the Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$) are not satisfied (because $H \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathfrak{u}$). However, by a lemma of Sekiguchi (cf.[S.]), there exists $k_0 \in K_{ad}$ such that the the normal sl_2-triple $(k_0.H, k_0.E, k_0.F)$ is also strictly normal. Then, the real nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ associated to the orbit $K_{ad}.E$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ by the Sekiguchi bijection is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathcal{O}_{min} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = G_{ad\mathbb{R}}.(k_0[\tfrac{1}{2}(E + F + iH)]).$$

A theorem of M. Vergne (cf. [V.]) gives a canonical $K_{ad\mathbb{R}}$-equivariant diffeomorphism (the Vergne-Kronheimer diffeomorphism) from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ onto $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. (a kind of generalization of the realisation of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as $\mathbb{C}^n$.)

In our context, where K is the conformal group and $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ the meta-conformal group, it is natural to consider the K-orbit $\Xi = \kappa(K).E$ or the $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$-orbit $\tilde{\Xi}^{(2)} = \tilde{\kappa}(\tilde{K}^{(2)}).E$. As the groups K (resp. $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$) and K_{ad} (with same Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$) are very closer (they may be equal or one may be a 2-fold covering of the other), and as the stabilizer of E in K (resp. $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$) is equal to $L' \rtimes \sigma N \sigma$, where L' is the kernel of the character χ (resp. $\tilde{\chi}$), then these orbits are finite order coverings of the minimal orbit $\mathcal{O}_{min} \cap \mathfrak{p}$.

Application to Representation Theory

Theorem 4. Let $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume that $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that its differential $d\pi$ extends to an irreducible representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the space $\mathcal{H}^{K_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$-finite vectors. Assume that the operators $\tilde{\rho}(p)$ are antisymmetric for $p \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then there exists a unique unitary irreducible representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $d\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\rho}$.

Proof. In fact, by the Nelson criterion, it is enough to prove that $\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{L})$ is essentially self-adjoint for the Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let's consider a basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_k\}$ of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a basis $\{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$ of $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$. As $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then the Laplacian and the Casimir operators of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are respectively given by

$$\mathcal{L} = X_1^2 + \ldots + X_k^2 + p_1^2 + \ldots + p_l^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{C} = X_1^2 + \ldots + X_k^2 - p_1^2 - \ldots - p_l^2.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{L} = 2(X_1^2 + \ldots + X_k^2) - \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{L}) = 2\tilde{\rho}(X_1^2 + \ldots + X_k^2) - \tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{C})$.

As $\tilde{\rho}(X_1^2 + \ldots + X_k^2) = d\pi(X_1^2 + \ldots + X_k^2)$ and as π is a unitary representation of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$, then the image $\tilde{\rho}(X_1^2 + \ldots + X_k^2)$ of the Laplacian of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is essentially self-adjoint. Moreover, $\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{C})$ is scalar, because the dimension of $\mathcal{H}^{K_{\mathbb{R}}}$ being countable, then the commutant of $\tilde{\rho}$, which is a division algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ has a countable dimension too, and then is equal to $\mathbb{C}$.

It follows that $\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{L})$ is essentially self-adjoint and that $\tilde{\rho}$ integrates to a unitary representation of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$. $\square$

The case of degree 2 semi-invariant

Suppose that $E = Q$ has degree 2. Then $\chi(h_t) = e^{-t}$ and H defines a graduation on $\mathfrak{p}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{-1} + \mathfrak{p}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_1$$

with $\mathfrak{p}_{-1} = \mathbb{C}.F, \mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathbb{C}.E$ and $\mathfrak{p}_0 \simeq V$ is generated by the first order partial derivatives of Q. We consider the vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ and, as in the preceding sections, we can prove the following :

Theorem 5. There exists on $\mathfrak{g}$ a unique Lie algebra structure such that

(S_1) $\quad [X, X'] = [X, X']_{\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}} \quad \forall X, X' \in \mathfrak{k}$

(S_2) $\quad [X, p] = \rho(X)p \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{k}, p \in \mathfrak{p}$

(S_3) $\quad [E, F] = H.$

$\mathfrak{g}$, endowed with this structure, is a simple 3-graded Lie algebra.

Moreover

$$dim\mathfrak{g} = 3dim(V) + 2 + dim(\mathfrak{k}_0)$$

and, if $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}_0$ which contains H, and if

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid [X, p] = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}\}$$

then $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and

$$rank(\mathfrak{g}) = rank(\mathfrak{k}_0) + dim(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the compact real form of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ is the compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} + i\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}$ is the Cartan decomposition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Moreover, if we denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{p} \cap i\mathfrak{u}$, then the real Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Its Cartan decomposition is just $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The Cartan signature of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is then given by

$$s_c = dim(\mathfrak{p}) - \dim(\mathfrak{k}) = 2 - dim(\mathfrak{k}_0) - dim(V).$$

The real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ will be called the Cartan-Adapted real form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ associated to the pair (V, Q). The symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is non Hermitian (cf. table 2 for the classification).

(Table 2)

V	Q	$\mathfrak{k}$	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$
$\mathbb{C}$	z^2	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	$so(4,\mathbb{C})$	$su(2)$	$so(3,1)$
$\mathbb{C}^{p-2}$	$\Delta(z)$	$so(p,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p+1,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p)$	$so(p,1)$
$\mathbb{C}+\mathbb{C}$	zw	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})+sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	$so(5,\mathbb{C})$	$su(2)+su(2)$	$so(4,1)$

where $p \geq 5$.

Analogy with the Hermitian case

Now, let V be a semi-simple Jordan algebra with rank r, Q semi-invariant of degree $2r$ and we denote L the structure group of V given by

$$L := \{g \in GL(V) \mid \exists \gamma(g) \in \mathbb{C}, Q(gz) = \gamma(g)Q(z)\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the complex vector space generated by the polynomials $Q(z-a)$ with $a \in V$. As in the part I, if there exists a character χ of L such that

$$Q(l.z) = \chi(l)^2 Q(z)$$

then the conformal group K of V acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ by the representation κ and if the character χ does not exist, then we consider the action in $\mathfrak{p}$ given by the representation $\tilde{\kappa}$ of the meta-conformal group associated to the semi-invariant Q. We denote by $\rho = d\kappa = d\tilde{\kappa}$. In particular, L acts in $\mathfrak{p}$ by the restriction of κ and for $X \in \mathfrak{l} = Lie(L)$,

$$(\rho(X)p)(z) = \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0}\gamma(exp(\tfrac{1}{2}tX))p(exp(-tX)z).$$

In this case, if $h_t \in K_\circ$ is the dilation of V : $h_t.z = e^{-t}z$ and $H \in \mathfrak{k}_\circ$ the corresponding infinitesimal element, then $\chi(h_t) = e^{-rt}$ and H defines a graduation of $\mathfrak{p}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{-r} + \mathfrak{p}_{-r+1} + \ldots + \mathfrak{p}_0 + \ldots + \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} + \mathfrak{p}_r$$

with

$$\mathfrak{p}_j = \{p \in \mathfrak{p} \mid \rho(H)p = jp\}$$

$\mathfrak{p}_j$ is the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree $j+r$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ and in particular,

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-r} = \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{p}_r = \mathbb{C}.Q, \mathfrak{p}_{-r+1} \simeq \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} \simeq V.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{V}^+ = \mathfrak{p}_{-r+1}, \mathcal{V}^- = \mathfrak{p}_{r-1}$. They are two simple $\mathfrak{l}$-modules. Denote by $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^+ + \mathcal{V}^-$ and then we consider the complex vector space defined by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathcal{V}$.

Theorem 6. There exists on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ a Lie algebra structure such that

(S_1) $\quad [X, X'] = [X, X']_{\tilde{\mathfrak{k}}} \quad \forall X, X' \in \mathfrak{l}$

(S_2) $\quad [X, p] = \rho(X)p \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{l}, p \in \mathcal{V}.$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, endowed with this structure, is a simple 3-graded Lie algebra.

Moreover

$$dim\mathfrak{g} = 2dim(V) + dim(\mathfrak{l})$$

and, if $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{l}$, then it is a Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $rank(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = rank(\tilde{\mathfrak{k}})$.

Proof. In fact, as for each $p \in \mathfrak{p}_{r-1}$ and for each $p' \in \mathfrak{p}_{-r+1}$, there exists a unique $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$ and a unique $X' \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that $p = \rho(X)F$ and $p' = \rho(X')E$ (where $F = 1$ and $E = Q$), then we define $[p, p'] = [X, X'] \in \mathfrak{l}$. Also, for $p_1 = \rho(X_1)E$ and $p_2 = \rho(X_2)E$ (with $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{k}_{-1}$), we define the bracket as $[p_1, p_2] = [X_1, X_2] = 0$ and for $p'_1 = \rho(X'_1)F$ and $p'_2 = \rho(X'_2)F$ (with $X'_1, X'_2 \in \mathfrak{k}_1$), we define the bracket as $[p'_1, p'_2] = [X'_1, X'_2] = 0$. It becomes then easy to show that the Jacobi identity holds and that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is isomorphic to the conformal Lie algebra of V. $\quad\square$

Moreover, denote by $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the compact real form of $\mathfrak{l}$ and by $\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}$ the compact real form of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{u}} + i\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}$ is the Cartan decomposition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{u}} \cap \mathfrak{l}$. Moreover, if we denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{V} \cap i\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}$, then the real Lie subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Its Cartan decomposition is just $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The Cartan signature of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is then given by

$$s_c = dim(\mathcal{V}) - \dim(\mathfrak{l}) = 2dim(V) - dim(\mathfrak{l}).$$

The real form $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ will be called the Cartan-Adapted real form of the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathcal{V}$ associated to the pair (V, Q). (cf. table 3 for the classification)

Corollary. The real forms $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are of Hermitian type.

Proof. It is a consequence of the fact that the decomposition $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathcal{V}$ is the complexification of the Cartan decomposition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the fact that $\mathcal{V}$ is a sum of two irreducible representations, $\mathcal{V}^+$ and $\mathcal{V}^-$ of $\mathfrak{l}$. $\square$

(Table 3)

V	Q	$\mathfrak{l}$	$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$	$\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}}$	$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}}$
$\mathbb{C}$	z^2	$\mathbb{C}$	$sl(2,\mathbb{C})$	$i\mathbb{R}$	$sl(2,\mathbb{R})$
$\mathbb{C}^{p-2}$	$\Delta(z)^2$	$so(p-2,\mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{C}$	$so(p,\mathbb{C})$	$so(p-2) + i\mathbb{R}$	$so(p-2,2)$
$Sym(r,\mathbb{C})$	$det(z)^2$	$sl(r,\mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{C}$	$sp(r,\mathbb{C})$	$su(r) + i\mathbb{R}$	$sp(r,\mathbb{R})$
$M(r,\mathbb{C})$	$det(z)^2$	$sl(r,\mathbb{C})^{\oplus 2} + \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}$	$sl(2r,\mathbb{C})$	$su(r)^{\oplus 2} + i\mathbb{R}^{\oplus 2}$	$sl(2r,\mathbb{R})$
$Asym(2r,\mathbb{C})$	$det(z)$	$sl(2r,\mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{C}$	$so(4r,\mathbb{C})$	$so(2r)$	$so^*(4r)$
$Herm(3,\mathbb{O})^{\mathbb{C}}$	$det(z)^2$	$E_6(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{C}}$	$E_7(\mathbb{C})$	$E_6(\mathbb{R}) + i\mathbb{R}$	$E_{7(-25)}$

where $p \geq 5$.

References

[A.] D. Achab (2000), *Algèbres de Jordan de rang 4 et représentations minimales,* Adv. Math., **153**,155-183.

[A.2] B. Allison (1979), *Models of isotropic simple Lie algebras,*Comm. in Alg. **7,** , 1835-1875.

[A-F] B. Allison and J. Faulkner (1984), *A Cayley-Dickson Process for a class of structurable algebras,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283.**

[A.2] B. Allison (1990), *Simple structurable algebras of skew dimension one,*Comm. in Alg. **18,** 1245-1279.

[B-K] R. Brylinski and B. Kostant (1994), *Minimal representations, geometric quantization and unitarity,* Proc. Nat. Acad. USA, **91,** 6026-6029.

[B.] R. Brylinski (1998), *Geometric quantization of real minimal nilpotent orbits.* Symplectic geometry, Differential Geom. Appl., **9**,5-58.

[F-K] J. Faraut, A. Korany (1994), *Analysis on symmetric cones,* Oxford science publications.

[K.] P. Kronheimer (1990), *Instantons and the geometry of the nilpotent variety,*J. Differential Geom. **32**473-490.

[R.] H. Rubenthaler (1992), *Algèbres de Lie et espaces préhomogènes,* Travaux en cours, Hermann.

[S.]J Sekiguchi (1987), *Remarks on nilpotent orbits of a symmetric pair,* Jour. Math. Soc. Japan **39,** 127-138.

[V.] M. Vergne (1995), *Instantons et correspondance de Kostant-Sekiguchi,* CR. Acad. Sci. Paris **320**, 901-906.