

Magnetic frustration in an iron based Cairo pentagonal lattice

Eric Ressouche, Virginie Simonet, Benjamin Canals, Marin Gospodinov,

Vassil Skumryev

► To cite this version:

Eric Ressouche, Virginie Simonet, Benjamin Canals, Marin Gospodinov, Vassil Skumryev. Magnetic frustration in an iron based Cairo pentagonal lattice. Physical Review Letters, 2009, 103, pp.267204. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.267204 . hal-00443990

HAL Id: hal-00443990 https://hal.science/hal-00443990v1

Submitted on 5 Jan 2010 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Magnetic frustration in an iron based Cairo pentagonal lattice

E. Ressouche,^{1,*} V. Simonet,² B. Canals,² M. Gospodinov,³ and V. Skumryev⁴

¹CEA/Grenoble, INAC/SPSMS-MDN, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

²Institut Néel, CNRS & Université Joseph Fourier, BP166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

³Institute of Solid State Physics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1184 Sofia, Bulgaria ⁴Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avanats (ICREA) and Departament de Física,

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

(Dated: January 5, 2010)

The Fe^{3+} lattice in the $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ compound is found to materialize the first analogue of a magnetic pentagonal lattice. Due to its odd number of bonds per elemental brick, this lattice, subject to first neighbor antiferromagnetic interactions, is prone to geometric frustration. The $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ magnetic properties have been investigated by macroscopic magnetic measurements and neutron diffraction. The observed non-collinear magnetic arrangement is related to the one stabilized on a perfect tiling as obtained from a mean field analysis with direct space magnetic configurations calculations. The peculiarity of this structure arises from the complex connectivity of the pentagonal lattice, a novel feature compared to the well-known case of triangle-based lattices.

The pentagon, a 5-edges polygon, is an old issue in mathematical recreation. It forms the faces of the dodecahedron, one of the platonic solids whose shape is reproduced in biological viruses and in some metallic clusters [1]. The main peculiarity of this polygon is that, contrary to triangles, squares or hexagons, it is impossible to tile a plane with congruent **regular** pentagons, the tilings must involve additional shapes to fill the gaps [2], like in the Penrose lattice (Fig. 1). It exists however several possibilities of tessellation of a plane with **nonregular** pentagons, a famous one being the Cairo tessellation whose name was given because it appears in the streets of Cairo and in many Islamic decorations (Fig. 1).



FIG. 1: (color online) Pentagonal lattices. (a) Cairo pentagonal tiling, (b) Penrose pentagonal tiling, (c) pentagonal lattice studied in refs. 6, 7, 8, (d) dodecahedron.

Such a lattice could attract interest in the field of geometric frustrated magnetism. Indeed, frustration usually arises when all pairs of magnetic interactions are not simultaneously satisfied in a system due to the lattice topology, resulting in unusual physical properties [3]. Today, most of the studied systems are mainly based on triangles (or tetrahedra in three dimensions), and can be made perfect from both structural (regular polygons) and magnetic (equal first neighbor magnetic interactions) points of view. This is not the case with pentagonal lattices that must involve only non-regular pentagons to fill the space. The experimental realization of such a model system is thus a unique opportunity that could bring new interesting features in this field of magnetic frustration. In this letter we show that the Fe³⁺ lattice in Bi₂Fe₄O₉ materializes the first analogue of a magnetic pentagonal lattice, and we interpret its peculiar non-collinear magnetic arrangement with respect to magnetic frustration.

 $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ is a common by-product in the synthesis of the multiferroic compound BiFeO₃, and has been claimed recently to display itself multiferroic properties [4]. This was the initial motivation of our study: this finding requires a precise determination of the symmetries in the magnetic ordered phase, since ferroelectricity can only arise in polar space groups. Such a precise determination has never been carried out on single crystals of Bi₂Fe₄O₉, and only powder neutron diffraction measurements have been reported [5] with no definitive conclusions due to the complexity of this orthorhombic structure. Actually, there are two different sites of four iron atoms in $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$: Fe₁ occupies a tetrahedral position and Fe₂ an octahedral one. In the structure, columns of edgesharing Fe_2 octahedra form chains along the c axis, and these chains are linked together by corner-sharing Fe_1 tetrahedra and Bi atoms (Fig. 2). As a result, the lattice formed by the different Fe³⁺ magnetic atoms is quite remarkable. From a simple examination of the structure, five main magnetic superexchange interactions, J_1 to J_5 , can be identified (Fig. 2). The structure can be simply viewed as layers perpendicular to the \mathbf{c} axis: the magnetic coupling of these layers along the **c** direction in-



FIG. 2: (color online) The Bi₂Fe₄O₉ compound (space group *Pbam*; a = 7.965(4), b = 8.448(5), c = 6.007(3) Å at 300 K). (left): View of the unit cell with the environment of the two Fe ions. Bi atoms are purple, Fe yellow and O red. Projection of the structure on the (a, c) plane (middle) and on the (a, b) plane (right). The atoms labels are in blue. Black lines materialize the magnetic exchange interactions.

volves only Fe₂ atoms in the octahedron columns and is achieved through two interactions, J_1 between the atoms within the unit cell and J_2 between the atoms of adjacent cells. Within a layer, each Fe₁ interacts with its nearest neighbour Fe₁ via J_4 and with two nearest neighbour Fe₂ pair (located above and below the mean plane) via J_3 and J_5 . The projection of this layer along **c** forms a pentagonal lattice with three slightly different bonds per pentagon (Fig. 2). This geometry is equivalent to a distorted Cairo lattice, the perfect one involving convex equilateral pentagons with equal-length sides, but with different associated angles (Fig. 1).

What should be expected from such a geometry and what is actually observed ? Up to now, no experimental studies and very few theoretical calculations are available in the literature [6, 7, 8]. They only concern a very particular pentagonal tiling, derived from the hexagonal lattice (see lattice \mathbf{c} of Fig.1). Calculations within the antiferromagnetic Ising model on this lattice yields a disordered ground state with a finite entropy per spin [6, 8], whereas in the Heisenberg model the classical ground state of the perfect pentagonal lattice has not yet been solved [7]. Single crystals of $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ were grown by the high temperature solution growth method using a flux of Bi_2O_3 [9]. The temperature and magnetic field dependences of the magnetization along the principal crystallographic axes were measured using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design) in the temperature range 2-380 K and in fields up to 5.5 T. The reported data, measured on the very same crystal used for the neutron diffraction study $(2.5 \times 2 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^3)$, were corrected for the demagnetizing field effect. The DC susceptibility between 300 K and 800 K was measured on a home made Faraday-type magnetic balance in a magnetic field of 0.7 T, using an assembly of several randomly oriented single crystals. The reported susceptibility was corrected from diamagnetism using the Pascal's constants $\chi_{dia} = -198 \ 10^{-6} \ \text{emu/mol.}$ Above room temperature, the magnetic susceptibility of Bi₂Fe₄O₉ is found to obey a Curie-Weiss law with an extrapolated paramagnetic temperature $\theta_p \approx -1670$ K and an effective magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 6.3(3) \ \mu_B$ per iron atom (see Fig. 3). This later value is compatible with the 5.9 μ_B expected for Fe³⁺ ions (J=S=5/2). When decreasing the temperature, an evident drop in the (**a**,**b**) plane susceptibility and a well pronounced increase of the **c** axis susceptibility are observed at $T_N = 238(2)$ K, indicating a transition toward a magnetic long range order. This Néel temperature is in agreement with the results on single crystal reported in ref. 10 but some 20 K lower that the one reported in refs. 4, 5, 11 for sintered samples. The observed ratio between the Néel temperature and the paramagnetic one, $\theta_p/T_N \approx 7$, suggests, as expected from the geometry, a large degree of frustration.

Further insights concerning the magnetic arrangement below T_N were obtained using neutron diffraction. The neutron diffraction experiments were performed on the two CEA-CRG diffractometers D23 and D15 at the Institut Laue-Langevin (Grenoble, France) using wavelengths of respectively $\lambda = 1.280$ Å and 1.173Å. Complete data collections have been made at two different temperatures T = 15 K and T = 300 K. The experimental data were corrected from absorption using the CCSL library [12]. The structural and magnetic arrangements were refined using the least-square programs MXD [13] and FULL-PROF [14], including extinction corrections [15].

When decreasing the temperature, new reflections, characteristic of an antiferromagnetic order, appear around $T_N = 244(2)$ K. They can be indexed with a propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$, that is a doubling of the magnetic cell compared to the nuclear one in the three directions. The temperature variation of a magnetic Bragg peak is presented in Fig. 3. The magnetic structure was solved from the 654 magnetic Bragg peaks collected at T= 15 K taking into account the presence of two magnetic domains [9]. There are four Fe₁ atoms and four Fe₂ in the unit cell, whose coordinates are re-



FIG. 3: (color online) Linear magnetic susceptibility of $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ as a function of temperature measured in magnetic fields of 0.1 T and 0.7 T below and above 300 K respectively. Inset: Temperature variation of the (1/2, 3/2, -1/2) magnetic Bragg peak in zero field from neutron diffraction.

(Bottom) Graphical representation of the magnetic structure refinement at T = 15 K in $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$: observed versus calculated intensities.

ported in Table I. The best refinement led to a residual factor Rw = 6.4%, with two nearly equally populated domains (42/58%). The magnetic moment parameters for the first domain are reported in Table I. The corresponding pictures of the magnetic arrangements are presented in Fig. 4. The moments on all the atoms are found restricted in the (**a**, **b**) plane. On each site, the four atoms are gathered into two pairs, antiferromagnetically coupled in the case of Fe_1 and ferromagnetically coupled for Fe_2 . The magnetic moments of each pair are oriented at 90° , that is **perpendicular** to the moments of the other pair on the same site. The phase between the two sublattices Fe_1 and Fe_2 is 155°. The moment amplitudes on the two sites Fe_1 and Fe_2 are slightly different, and both much smaller than the expected value for a Fe^{3+} ion $(5\mu_B)$. A spin transfer process from the iron to the neighbouring oxygen ions [16] could explain this reduction.

	х	у	\mathbf{Z}	μ	θ	ϕ
r 1	0.0540	0.0001	0 5	0 50(1)	100 1 (1)	00
Fe ₁	0.3540	0.3361	0.5	3.52(1)	100.1(1)	90
$\mathrm{Fe_1}^2$	0.6460	0.6639	0.5	3.52(1)	$\theta_1^1 + 180$	90
$\mathrm{Fe_1}^3$	0.1460	0.8361	0.5	3.52(1)	θ_1^1 - 90	90
$\mathrm{Fe_1}^4$	0.8540	0.1639	0.5	3.52(1)	$\theta_{1}^{1} + 90$	90
$\operatorname{Fe_2}^1$	0	0.5	0.2582	3.73(1)	-105.4(1)	90
$\mathrm{Fe_2}^2$	0	0.5	0.7418	3.73(1)	θ_2^1	90
$\mathrm{Fe_2}^3$	0.5	0	0.7418	3.73(1)	θ_{2}^{1} +90	90
$\mathrm{Fe_2}^4$	0.5	0	0.2582	3.73(1)	$\theta_{2}^{1} + 90$	90

TABLE I: Coordinates of the Fe³⁺ ions in Bi₂Fe₄O₉ and spherical components of their magnetic moments in the first magnetic domain. The magnetic moments are defined in spherical coordinates by (μ, θ, ϕ) . In cartesian coordinates (X, Y, Z) where X is along **a**, Y along **b** and Z along **c**, the magnetic moment components are : $M_X = \mu \cos\theta \sin\phi, M_Y = \mu \sin\theta \sin\phi, M_Z = \mu \cos\phi$.

As it can be seen on Fig. 4, this non-collinear magnetic structure forms imbricated rectangles of spins. This is quite unusual in such an orthorhombic system, where all the directions are non-equivalent and where there is no fourfold axis. This peculiarity certainly has an origin in the frustration of the magnetic interactions. One should also notice that for this structure the magnetic space group is centrosymmetric, that is non polar. This result is *a priori* not compatible with ferroelectricity, and this shed some doubts on the claimed ferroelectricity observed in polycrystalline Bi₂Fe₄O₉ [4].

To check the relevance of the Cairo pentagon lattice model and the role of geometric frustration on the observed magnetic structure of Bi₂Fe₄O₉, we have undertaken a two step theoretical approach based on a localized spin model. Calculations have been made both for an ideal Cairo lattice (including the perfect case $J_3 = J_4 = J_5$, and in the real geometry. The angles between magnetic moments in a system is imposed by the set of magnetic interactions. In a first step, an exact Fourier space analysis at zero temperature is performed, assuming a single-k like ordering, which allows to find the periodicity of the magnetic structure [17]. Once the periodicity is known, an energy minimization in real space gives the respective orientation of the magnetic moments within the magnetic unit cell. For these calculations, the five exchange interaction parameters J_1 to J_5 already described have been considered, using a Heisenberg Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} J_k \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$. All the moments were assumed to be of equal amplitudes.

In the Cairo pentagonal lattice, a large set of J_i values yield the observed (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) propagation vector. The doubling of the magnetic cell along **c** alone requires however J_2 to be negative and J_1 positive or slightly negative. Whatever the $\mathbf{k} = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ solution found,



FIG. 4: (color online) Magnetic structure. First and second magnetic domains. (Yellow): Fe₁ sites. (Orange): Fe₂ sites. The second domain is deduced from the first one by applying the symmetry operation $(x + 1/2, \bar{y} + 1/2, \bar{z})$ lost by the magnetic structure, taking into account the translational part that shifts atoms from one cell to a neighbouring one.

the magnetic configuration is always made of antiparallel Fe_1 pairs of moments and parallel Fe_2 ones. On a same site, the pairs of moments are **perpendicular** to each other. This is exactly what is observed experimentally. The only parameter that could vary as a function of the particular values of the J_i 's is the phase angle between the two sites Fe₁ and Fe₂. The $\sim 155^{\circ}$ observed value requires J_3 , J_4 , J_5 to be all negative, with a ratio $J_3/J_5 = 2.15$ for $|J_4| \ge |J_3|$. The observed magnetic structure of $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ and in particular the 90° phase angle between pairs of atoms at the same site, is thus recovered by this model with some realistic set of the five superexchange interactions J_i . In the Bi₂Fe₄O₉ real geometry, the Fe³⁺ lattice differs from the Cairo pentagonal one by the presence of two stacked Fe₂ atoms, instead of a single atom, at two vertices in each pentagon. Performing the calculations in the real geometry yield very similar results than those of the ideal case. The perpendicular ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic Fe_1 and Fe_2 pairs is a robust recovered feature. The only difference between both lattices is the domain of existence of this phase that extends to lower $|J_3|$ and $|J_5|$ with respect to $|J_4|$ in the real case. The $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ real lattice is therefore topologically equivalent to the Cairo one after renormalization of the J_3 and J_5 interactions with respect to the J_4 one.

To summarize, we have found that the compound $Bi_2Fe_4O_9$ displays a very original non-collinear magnetic structure, made of four Fe_1 moments and four Fe_2 ones forming interpenetrating patterns of four-fold spin rotations. This magnetic arrangement is very peculiar for a pentagonal lattice with nearest neighbour interactions only and is not a mere propagation of the magnetic arrangement minimizing the energy in each individual pentagon, contrary to the case of triangles based lattices. It actually results from two ingredients, a high geometrical frustration and an additional complex connectivity (two kinds of sites with different coordinations). This first materialisation of a pentagonal Cairo lattice opens new perspectives in the field of magnetic frustration.

Work at Sofia was supported by the Bulgarian Science Foundation under Grants No. TK-X-1712/2007. The authors are grateful to Michael Mikhov for the high temperature susceptibility results and to Luc Valentin for the help with the tilings.

* ressouche@ill.fr

- X. J. Kong, Y. P. Ren, L. S. Long, Z. Zheng, R. B. Huang, and L. S. Zheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **129**, 7016 (2007).
- [2] J. Kepler, *Harmonices Mundi* (J. Planck for G. Tampach, Linz, Austria, 1619).
- [3] R. Moessner, A. P. Ramirez, Physics Today, **59** (2), 24 (2006).
- [4] A. K. Singh, S. D. Kaushik, B. Kumar, P. K. Mishra, A. Venimadhav, V. Siruguri, S. Patnaik, Appl. Phys. Lett., 92, 132910 (2008).
- [5] N. Shamir, E. Gurewitz, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A34, 662 (1978).
- [6] M. H. Waldor, W. F. Wolff, J. Zittartz, Phys. Lett. 106A, 261 (1984); Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 59, 43 (1985).
- [7] U. Bhaumik, I. Bose, Phys. Rev. B 58, 73 (1998).
- [8] R. Moessner, S. L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B 63, 224401 (2001).
- [9] E. Ressouche *et al.*, in preparation.
- [10] D. M. Gianchinta, G. C. Papaefthymiou, W. M. Davis, H. C. Zur Loye, J. of Solid State Chem. 99, 120 (1992).
- [11] A. G. Tutov, I. E. Mylnikova, N. N. Parfenova, V. A. Bokov, S. A. Kizhaev, Sov. Phys. Solid State 6, 963 (1964).
- [12] J. Brown, J. Matthewman, Cambridge Crystallography Subroutine Library, Report No. RAL93-009 (1993).
- [13] P. Wolfers, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 23, 554 (1990).
- [14] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Physica B **192**, 55 (1993).
- [15] P.J. Becker, P. Coppens, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A30, 129 (1974).
- [16] V. C. Rackhecha, N. S. Sayta Murthy, J. Phys. C.:Solid State Phys. 11, 4389 (1978).
- [17] E. F. Bertaut, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **21**, 256 (1961).