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#### Abstract

We consider Markovian backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) with drivers of quadratic growth and bounded terminal conditions. We first show some bound estimations on the process $Z$. Then we give a new time discretization scheme for such BSDEs and we obtain an explicit convergence rate for this scheme.


## 1 Introduction

Since the early nineties, there has been an increasing interest for backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs for short). These equations have a wide range of applications in stochastic control, in finance or in partial differential equation theory. A particular class of BSDE is studied since few years: BSDEs with drivers of quadratic growth with respect to the variable $z$. This class arise, for example, in the context of utility optimization problems with exponential utility functions, or alternatively in questions related to risk minimization for the entropic risk measure (see e.g. [10]). Many papers deal with existence and uniqueness of solution for such BSDEs: we refer to [13], [14], [3], [4]] or [6]. Our concern is rather related to the simulation of BSDEs and more precisely time discretization of BSDEs coupled with a forward stochastic differential equation (SDE for short). Actually, the design of efficient algorithms that are able to solve BSDEs in any reasonable dimension has been intensively studied since the first work of Chevance [ $[7]$, see for instance [15], [1] or [8]. But in all these works, the driver of the BSDE is a Lipschitz function with respect to $z$ and this assumption play a key role in theirs proofs. To the best of our knowledge, the only work where the time approximation of a BSDE with a quadratic growth with respect to $z$ is studied is the one of Imkeller and dos Reis 11].

Our approach for the case of drivers with a quadratic growth contains an essential modification of previous works on time discretization of BSDEs. In a first step, we extend a result of [6] that shows the estimation

$$
\left|Z_{t}\right| \leqslant \frac{C}{(T-t)^{1 / 2}}, \quad 0 \leqslant t<T,
$$

without assuming that the terminal condition is a Lipschitz function. Let us notice that this type of estimation is well known in the case of drivers with linear growth as a consequence of the Bismut-Elworthy formula. But in our case, we do not need to suppose that the diffusion part of the SDE is invertible. Then, thanks to this estimation, we modify the classical uniform time net to obtain a convergence speed for a modified time discretization scheme for BSDEs: The idea is to put more discretization points near the final time $T$ than near 0 . The same idea is used by Gobet and Makhlouf in [间 for BSDEs with linear growth.

The paper is organized as follows. In the introductory Section 2 we recall some of the well known results concerning SDEs and BSDEs. In Section 3 we establish some estimates concerning the process $Z$. Finally, in Section 4 we study the convergence speed of a time discretization scheme for BSDEs.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Notations

Throughout this paper, $\left(W_{t}\right)_{t \geqslant 0}$ will denote a $d$-dimensional Brownian motion, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. For $t \geqslant 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ denote the $\sigma$-algebra $\sigma\left(W_{s} ; 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t\right)$, augmented with the $\mathbb{P}$-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. The Euclidian norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ will be denoted by $|$.$| . The operator norm induced by |$.$| on the$ space of linear operator is also denoted by $|$.$| . For p \geqslant 2, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote further

- $\mathcal{S}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, or $\mathcal{S}^{p}$ when no confusion is possible, the space of all adapted processes $\left(Y_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ normed by $\|Y\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p}}=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|Y_{t}\right|\right)^{p}\right]^{1 / p} ; \mathcal{S}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, or $\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$, the space of bounded measurable processes;
- $\mathcal{M}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, or $\mathcal{M}^{p}$, the space of all progressively measurable processes $\left(Z_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ normed by $\|Z\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}}=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{p / 2}\right]^{1 / p}$.

In the following, we keep the same notation $C$ for all finite, nonnegative constants that appear in our computations: they may depend on known parameters deriving from assumptions and on $T$, but not on any of the approximation and discretization parameters. In the same spirit, we keep the same notation $\eta$ for all finite, positive constants that we can take as little as we want independently from the approximation and discretization parameters.

### 2.2 Some results on BMO martingales

In our work, the space of Bounded Mean Oscillation martingales (BMO martingales for short) play a key role for a priori estimates needed in our analysis of solutions of BSDE. We refer the reader to [12] for the theory of BMO martingales and we just recall the properties that we will use in the sequel. Let $\Phi_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{s} d W_{s}, t \in[0, T]$ be a real square integrable martingale with respect to the Brownian filtration. Then $\Phi$ is a BMO martingale if

$$
\|\Phi\|_{B M O}=\sup _{\tau \in[0, T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\langle\Phi\rangle_{T}-\langle\Phi\rangle_{\tau} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]^{1 / 2}=\sup _{\tau \in[0, T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\tau}^{T} \phi_{s}^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]^{1 / 2}<+\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times in $[0, T] ;\langle\Phi\rangle$ denotes the quadratic variation of $\Phi$. In our case, the very important feature of BMO martingales is the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Phi$ be a BMO martingale. Then we have:

1. The stochastic exponential

$$
\mathcal{E}(\Phi)_{t}=\mathcal{E}_{t}=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{s} d W_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right), \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T
$$

is a uniformly integrable martingale.
2. Thanks to the reverse Hölder inequality, there exists $p>1$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{T} \in L^{p}$. The maximal $p$ with this property can be expressed in terms of the BMO norm of $\Phi$.

### 2.3 The backward-forward system

For functions $b, \sigma, g$ and $f$ and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we will deal with the solution processes of the following system of backward-forward stochastic differential equations. For $t \in[0, T]$ they are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{t} & =x+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d W_{s}  \tag{2.1}\\
Y_{t} & =g\left(X_{T}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d W_{s} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For the functions that appear in the above system of equations we give some general assumptions.
(HX0). $b:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \sigma:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are measurable functions. There exist four positive constants $M_{b}, K_{b}, M_{\sigma}$ and $K_{\sigma}$ such that $\forall t, t^{\prime} \in[0, T], \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|b(t, x)| & \leqslant M_{b}(1+|x|) \\
\left|b(t, x)-b\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right| & \leqslant K_{b}\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) \\
|\sigma(t)| & \leqslant M_{\sigma} \\
\left|\sigma(t)-\sigma\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| & \leqslant K_{\sigma}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

(HY0). $f:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions. There exist five positive constants $M_{f}, K_{f, x}, K_{f, y}, K_{f, z}$ and $M_{g}$ such that $\forall t \in[0, T], \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \forall y, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(t, x, y, z)| & \leqslant M_{f}\left(1+|y|+|z|^{2}\right) \\
\left|f(t, x, y, z)-f\left(t, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right| & \leqslant K_{f, x}\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+K_{f, y}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|+\left(K_{f, z}+L_{f, z}\left(|z|+\left|z^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)\left|z-z^{\prime}\right| \\
|g(x)| & \leqslant M_{g .}
\end{aligned}
$$

We next recall some results on BSDEs with quadratic growth. For their original version and their proof we refer to citer Kobylansky, Briant Confortola et Imkeller dos Reis.

Theorem 2.2. Under (HXO), (HYO), the system (2.1)-(2.2) has a unique solution $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}^{2} \times \mathcal{S}^{\infty} \times$ $\mathcal{M}^{2}$. The martingale $Z * W$ belongs to the space of $B M O$ martingales and $\|Z * W\|_{B M O}$ only depends on $T, M_{g}$ and $M_{f}$. Moreover, there exists a pair $(r, q)$ such that $1 / r+1 / q=1$ and $\mathcal{E}(Z * W) \in L^{r}$.

## 3 some useful estimates of $Z$

### 3.1 A first bound for $Z$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (HXO), (HYO) hold and $g$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $K_{g}$. Then, $\forall t \in[0, T]$

$$
\left|Z_{t}\right| \leqslant e^{\left(2 K_{b}+K_{f, y}\right) T} M_{\sigma}\left(K_{g}+T K_{f, x}\right) .
$$

Proof. Firstly, we suppose that $b, g$ and $f$ are differentiable with respect to $x, y$ and $z$. Then $(X, Y, Z)$ is differentiable with respect to $x$ and $(\nabla X, \nabla Y, \nabla Z)$ is solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla X_{t}= & I_{d}+\int_{0}^{t} \nabla b\left(s, X_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s  \tag{3.1}\\
\nabla Y_{t}= & \nabla g\left(X_{T}\right) \nabla X_{T}-\int_{t}^{T} \nabla Z_{s} d W_{s}  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\int_{t}^{T} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s}+\nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla Y_{s}+\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla Z_{s} d s
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\nabla X_{t}=\left(\partial X_{t}^{i} / \partial x^{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant d}, \nabla Y_{t}={ }^{t}\left(\partial Y_{t} / \partial x^{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant d} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}, \nabla Z_{t}=\left(\partial Z_{t}^{i} / \partial x^{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant d}$ and $\int_{t}^{T} \nabla Z_{s} d W_{s}$ means

$$
\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \int_{t}^{T}\left(\nabla Z_{s}\right)^{i} d W_{s}^{i}
$$

with $(\nabla Z)^{i}$ denoting the $i$-th line of the $d \times d$ matrix process $\nabla Z$. Thanks to usual transformations on the BSDE we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} \nabla Y_{t}= & e^{\int_{0}^{T} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} \nabla g\left(X_{T}\right) \nabla X_{T}-\int_{t}^{T} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla Z_{s} d \tilde{W}_{s} \\
& +\int_{t}^{T} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $d \tilde{W}_{s}=d W_{s}-\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s . Z * W$ belongs to the space of BMO martingales so we are able to apply Girsanov theorem: there exists a probability $\mathbb{Q}$ under which $(\tilde{W})_{t \in[0, T]}$ is a Brownian motion. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} \nabla Y_{t}= & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{\int_{0}^{T} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} \nabla g\left(X_{T}\right) \nabla X_{T}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t}^{T} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla Y_{t}\right| \leqslant e^{\left(K_{b}+K_{f, y}\right) T}\left(K_{g}+T K_{f, x}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\left|\nabla X_{t}\right| \leqslant e^{K_{b} T}$. Moreover, thanks to the Malliavin calculus, it is possible to show that $Z_{t}=$ $\nabla Y_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma(t)$. So we obtain

$$
\left|Z_{t}\right| \leqslant e^{K_{b} T} M_{\sigma}\left|\nabla Y_{t}\right| \leqslant e^{\left(2 K_{b}+K_{f, y}\right) T} M_{\sigma}\left(K_{g}+T K_{f, x}\right)
$$

because $\left|\nabla X_{t}^{-1}\right| \leqslant e^{K_{b} T}$.
When $b, g$ and $f$ are not differentiable, we can also prove the result by a standard approximation and stability results for BSDEs with linear growth.

### 3.2 A time dependent estimate of $Z$

We will introduce two alternative assumptions.
(HX1). $\quad b$ is differentiable with respect to $x$ and $\sigma$ is differentiable with respect to $t$. There exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mid{ }^{t} \eta \sigma(s){ }^{t} \sigma(s)^{t} \nabla b(s, x)-{ }^{t} \sigma^{\prime}(s)\right]\left.\eta|\leqslant \lambda|{ }^{t} \eta \sigma(s)\right|^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(HX1'). $\quad \sigma$ is invertible and $\forall t \in[0, T],\left|\sigma(t)^{-1}\right| \leqslant M_{\sigma^{-1}}$.
Example. Assumption (HX1) is verified when, $\forall s \in[0, T], \nabla b(s,$.$) commutes with \sigma(s)$ and $\exists A$ : $[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ bounded such that $\sigma^{\prime}(t)=\sigma(t) A(t)$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (HXO), (HYO) hold and (HX1) or (HXI') holds. Moreover, suppose that $g$ is lower (or upper) semi-continuous. Then there exists two constants $C, C^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$that depends only in $T$, $M_{g}, M_{f}, K_{f, x}, K_{f, y}, K_{f, z}$ and $L_{f, z}$ such that, $\forall t \in[0, T[$

$$
\left|Z_{t}\right| \leqslant C+C^{\prime}(T-t)^{-1 / 2} .
$$

Proof. In a first time, we will suppose that (HX1) holds and $f, g$ are differentiable with respect to $x$, $y$ and $z$. Then $(Y, Z)$ is differentiable with respect to $x$ and $(\nabla Y, \nabla Z)$ is the solution of the BSDE

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla Y_{t}= & \nabla g\left(X_{T}\right) \nabla X_{T}-\int_{t}^{T} \nabla Z_{s} d W_{s} \\
& +\int_{t}^{T} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s}+\nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla Y_{s}+\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla Z_{s} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thanks to usual transformations we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} \nabla Y_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s= \\
& e^{\int_{0}^{T} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} \nabla g\left(X_{T}\right) \nabla X_{T}+\int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s \\
& -\int_{t}^{T} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla Z_{s} d \tilde{W}_{s},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $d \tilde{W}_{s}=d W_{s}-\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s$. We can rewrite it

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t}=F_{T}-\int_{t}^{T} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla Z_{s} d \tilde{W}_{s} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
F_{t}:=e^{\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} \nabla Y_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s .
$$

$Z * W$ belongs to the space of BMO martingales so we are able to apply Girsanov theorem: there exists a probability $\mathbb{Q}$ under which $(\tilde{W})_{t \in[0, T]}$ is a Brownian motion. Thanks to the Malliavin calculus, it is possible to show that $Z_{t}=\nabla Y_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma(t)$. So, we define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{t} & :=\int_{0}^{t} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} f\left(u, X_{u}, Y_{u}, Z_{u}\right) d u} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma(t) \\
\tilde{Z}_{t} & :=F_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma(t)=e^{\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d_{s}} Z_{t}+\alpha_{t} \\
\tilde{F}_{t} & :=e^{\lambda t} F_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d \nabla X_{t}=\nabla b\left(t, X_{t}\right) \nabla X_{t} d t$, then $d\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1}=-\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \nabla b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t$ and so , thanks to the Itô's formula,

$$
d \tilde{Z}_{t}=d F_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma(t)-F_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \nabla b\left(t, X_{t}\right) \sigma(t) d t+F_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

and

$$
d\left(e^{\lambda t} \tilde{Z}_{t}\right)=\tilde{F}_{t}\left(\lambda I d-\nabla b\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right) \sigma(t) d t+\tilde{F}_{t} \sigma^{\prime}(t) d t+e^{\lambda t} d F_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma(t) .
$$

Finally,

$$
\left.d\left|e^{\lambda t} \tilde{Z}_{t}\right|^{2}=d\langle M\rangle_{t}+2\left[\lambda\left|\tilde{F}_{t} \sigma(t)\right|^{2}-\tilde{F}_{t} \sigma(t){ }^{t} \sigma(t)^{t} \nabla b\left(t, X_{t}\right)-{ }^{t} \sigma^{\prime}(t)\right]^{t} \tilde{F}_{t}\right] d t+d M_{t},
$$

with $M_{t}$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-martingale. Thanks to the assumption (HX1) we are able to conclude that $\left|e^{\lambda t} \tilde{Z}_{t}\right|^{2}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-submartingale. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_{t}^{T} e^{2 \lambda s}\left|\tilde{Z}_{s}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] & \geqslant e^{2 \lambda t}\left|\tilde{Z}_{t}\right|^{2}(T-t) \\
& \geqslant e^{2 \lambda t}\left|e^{\int_{\nabla_{y}}^{t} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s} Z_{t}+\alpha_{t}\right|^{2}(T-t) \\
& \geqslant C\left(\left|Z_{t}\right|^{2}-1\right)(T-t),
\end{aligned}
$$

with C a constant that only depends on $T, K_{b}, M_{\sigma}, K_{f, x}, K_{f, y}$ and $\lambda$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_{t}^{T} e^{2 \lambda s}\left|\tilde{Z}_{s}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] & \leqslant C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2}+\left|\alpha_{s}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
& \leqslant C\left(\|Z\|_{B M O(\mathbb{Q})}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\|Z\|_{B M O(\mathbb{Q})}$ does not depend on $K_{g}$ because $(Y, Z)$ is a solution of such a quadratic BSDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=g\left(X_{T}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)-Z_{s} \nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d \tilde{W}_{s} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally $\left|Z_{t}\right| \leqslant C\left(1+(T-t)^{-1 / 2}\right)$.
When $\sigma$ is invertible, the inequality (3.4) is verified with $\lambda:=M_{\sigma^{-1}}\left(M_{\sigma} K_{b}+K_{\sigma}\right)$. Since this $\lambda$ does not depend on $\nabla b$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, we can prove the result when $b(t,$.$) and \sigma$ are not differentiable by a standard approximation and stability results for linear BSDEs. So, we are allowed to replace assumption (HX1) by (HX1').

When $f$ is not differentiable and $g$ is only Lipschitz we can prove the result by a standard approximation and stability results for linear BSDEs. But we notice that our estimation on $Z$ does not depend on $K_{g}$. This allows us to weaken the hypothesis on $g$ further: When $g$ is only lower or upper semicontinuous the result stay true. The proof is the same as the proof of Proposition 4.3 in [6].

Remark 3.3. The previous proof gives us a more precise estimation for $Z$ when $f$ is differentiable with respect to $z$ :

$$
\left|Z_{t}\right| \leqslant C+C^{\prime} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]^{1 / 2}(T-t)^{-1 / 2} .
$$

### 3.3 Zhang's path regularity Theorem

Let $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n}=T$ be any given partition of $[0, T]$, and denote $\delta_{n}$ the mesh size of this partition. We define a set of random variables

$$
\bar{Z}_{t_{i}}=\frac{1}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} Z_{s} d s \mid \mathcal{F}\right], \quad \forall i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}
$$

Then we are able to precise the famous Theorem 3.4.3 in [16]:

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that (HXO), (HYO) hold and $g$ is a Lipschitz function, with Lipschitz constant $K_{g}$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|Z_{t}-\bar{Z}_{t_{i}}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right) \delta_{n}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\delta_{n}$ and $K_{g}$.

Proof. We will follow the proof of Theorem 5.6. in [1]: we just need to specify how the estimate depends on $K_{g}$. Firstly, it is not difficult to show that $\bar{Z}_{t_{i}}$ is the best $\mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}$-adapted approximation of $Z$ in $\mathcal{M}^{2}\left(\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]\right)$, i.e.

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|Z_{t}-\bar{Z}_{t_{i}}\right|^{2} d t\right]=\inf _{Z_{i} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|Z_{t}-Z_{i}\right|^{2} d t\right]
$$

In particular,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|Z_{t}-\bar{Z}_{t_{i}}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|Z_{t}-Z_{t_{i}}\right|^{2} d t\right]
$$

In the same spirit than previous proofs, we suppose in a first time that $b, g$ and $f$ are differentiable with respect to $x, y$ and $z$. So,

$$
Z_{t}-Z_{t_{i}}=\nabla Y_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1} \sigma(t)-\nabla Y_{t_{i}}\left(\nabla X_{t_{i}}\right)^{-1} \sigma\left(t_{i}\right)=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
$$

with $I_{1}=\nabla Y_{t}\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1}\left(\sigma(t)-\sigma\left(t_{i}\right)\right), I_{2}=\nabla Y_{t}\left(\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1}-\left(\nabla X_{t_{i}}\right)^{-1}\right) \sigma\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $I_{3}=\nabla\left(Y_{t}-\right.$ $\left.Y_{t_{i}}\right)\left(\nabla X_{t_{i}}\right)^{-1} \sigma\left(t_{i}\right)$. Firstly, thanks to the estimation (3.3) we have

$$
\left|I_{1}\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|\nabla Y_{t}\right|^{2} e^{2 K_{b} T} K_{\sigma}^{2}\left|t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right) \delta_{n}^{2}
$$

We obtain the same estimation for $\left|I_{2}\right|$ because

$$
\left|\left(\nabla X_{t}\right)^{-1}-\left(\nabla X_{t_{i}}\right)^{-1}\right| \leqslant\left|\int_{t_{i}}^{t} \nabla b\left(s, X_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s} d s\right| \leqslant K_{b} e^{K_{b} T}\left|t-t_{i}\right|
$$

Lastly, $I_{3} \leqslant M_{\sigma} e^{K_{b} T}\left|\nabla Y_{t}-\nabla Y_{t_{i}}\right|$. So,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|I_{3}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant C \delta_{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\underset{t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left|\nabla Y_{t}-\nabla Y_{t_{i}}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

By using the BSDE (3.2), (HY0), the estimation on $\nabla X_{s}$ and the estimation (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla Y_{t}-\nabla Y_{t_{i}}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\int_{t_{i}}^{t} C\left(1+K_{g}\right)+\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right| d s\right)^{2}+C\left(\int_{t_{i}}^{t} \nabla Z_{s} d W_{s}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality of Burkholder-Davis-Gundy gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\underset{t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left|\nabla Y_{t}-\nabla Y_{t_{i}}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right)+C \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right| d s\right)^{2}+C \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right)+C \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right| d s\right)^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from Corollary 9 in [2] we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover, by defining $\mathbb{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ the vector which all components are equal to 1 , we have
$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right| d s\right)^{2}\right] \leqslant C \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{T}\right| \nabla Z_{s}| | \nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)|\mathbb{1} d s|^{2}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leqslant & C \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{T}\right| \nabla Z_{s}\left|\left(d W_{s}-\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right| \mathbb{1} d s\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +C \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{T}\right| \nabla Z_{s}\left|d W_{s}\right|^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $|Z| * W$ belongs to the space of BMO martingales, there exists a probability $\mathbb{Q}$ under which $\left(\tilde{W}_{t}:=\int_{0}^{t} d W_{s}-\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right| \mathbb{1} d s\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$ is a Brownian motion. Moreover, there exists a pair $(r, p) \in] 1,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$ such that $1 / r+1 / p=1, \mathcal{E} \in L^{r}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \in L^{r}$ with $\mathcal{E}:=\frac{d \mathbb{Q}}{d \mathbb{P}}$. Then, the inequalities of Hölder and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy give us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right| d s\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \leqslant C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{T}\right| \nabla Z_{s}\left|d \tilde{W}_{s}\right|^{2 p}\right]^{1 / p}+C \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{T}\right| \nabla Z_{s}\left|d W_{s}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leqslant C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left.\left.\left|\int_{0}^{T}\right| \nabla Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}+C \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(\nabla Y, \nabla Z)$ is also the solution of the BSDE

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla Y_{t}= & \nabla g\left(X_{T}\right) \nabla X_{T}-\int_{t}^{T} \nabla Z_{s} d \tilde{W}_{s}+\int_{t}^{T} \nabla Z_{s}\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right| \mathbb{1} d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{T} \nabla_{x} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla X_{s}+\nabla_{y} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla Y_{s}+\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) \nabla Z_{s} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

we are allowed to use again Corollary 9 in [/] to obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla_{z} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|\left|\nabla Z_{s}\right| d s\right)^{2}\right] \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right)
$$

Finally,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|I_{3}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right) \delta_{n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|Z_{t}-\bar{Z}_{t_{i}}\right|^{2} d t\right] & \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\left|I_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|I_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|I_{3}\right|^{2} d t\right] \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+K_{g}^{2}\right) \delta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Convergence of a modified time discretization scheme for the BSDE

In all this section we suppose that (HX0), (HY0) and (HX1) or (HX1') hold.

### 4.1 An approximation of the quadratic BSDE

In a first time we will approximate our quadratic BSDE (2.2) by an another one. We set $\varepsilon \in] 0, T$ [ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\left(Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)$ the solution of the BSDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}=g_{N}\left(X_{T}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f^{\varepsilon}\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon} d W_{s} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
f^{\varepsilon}(s, x, y, z):=\mathbb{1}_{s<T-\varepsilon} f(s, x, y, z)+\mathbb{1}_{s \geqslant T-\varepsilon} f(s, x, y, 0)
$$

and $g_{N}$ a Lipschitz approximation of $g$ with Lipschitz constant $N . f^{\varepsilon}$ verifies assumption (HY0) with the same constants as $f$. Since $g_{N}$ is a Lipschitz function, $Z$ is bounded and the $\operatorname{BSDE}$ (4.1) is a BSDE with a linear growth. Moreover, we can apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain:

Proposition 4.1. There exist three constants $M_{z, 1}, M_{z, 2}, M_{z, 3} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$that do not depend on $N$ and $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right| \leqslant\left(M_{z, 1}+\frac{M_{z, 2}}{(T-s)^{1 / 2}}\right) \wedge\left(M_{z, 3} N\right)
$$

Thanks to BMO tools we have a stability result for quadratic BSDEs (see [2] and [11]):
Proposition 4.2. There exists a constant $C$ that does not depend on $N$ and $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t}\right|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant C\left(e_{1}(N)+e_{2}(N, \varepsilon)\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{1}(N):=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g_{N}\left(X_{T}\right)-g\left(X_{T}\right)\right|^{2 q}\right]^{1 / q}, \square \\
e_{2}(N, \varepsilon):=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{T-\varepsilon}^{T}\left|f\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)-f\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}, 0\right)\right| d t\right)^{2 q}\right]^{1 / q}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $q$ defined in Theorem 2.2.
Then, in a second time, we will approximate our modified backward-forward system by a discretetime one. We will slightly modify the classical discretization by using a non equidistant net with $2 n+1$ discretization times. We define the $n+1$ first discretization times by

$$
t_{k}=T\left(1-\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{T}\right)^{k / n}\right)
$$

and we use an equidistant net on $[T-\varepsilon, T]$ for the last $n$ discretization times:

$$
t_{k}=T-\left(\frac{2 n-k}{n}\right) \varepsilon, \quad n \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n
$$

[^0]We denote the time step by $\left(h_{k}:=t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n-1}$. We consider $\left(X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right)_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n}$ the classical Euler scheme for $X$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{0}^{n} & =x \\
X_{t_{k+1}}^{n} & =X_{t_{k}}^{n}+h_{k} b\left(t_{k}, X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right)+\sigma\left(t_{k}\right)\left(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n-1 \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote $\rho_{s}: \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ the projection on the ball

$$
B\left(0, M_{z, 1}+\frac{M_{z, 2}}{(T-s)^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

with $M_{z, 1}$ and $M_{z, 2}$ given by Proposition 4.1. Finally we denote ( $Y^{N, \varepsilon, n}, Z^{N, \varepsilon, n}$ ) our time approximation of $\left(Y^{N, \varepsilon}, Z^{N, \varepsilon}\right)$. This couple is obtain by a slight modification of the classical dynamic programming equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{t_{2 n}}^{N, \varepsilon, n} & =g_{N}\left(X_{t_{2 n}}^{n}\right) \\
Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n} & =\rho_{t_{k+1}}\left(\frac{1}{h_{k}} \mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\left(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}}\right)\right]\right), \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n-1  \tag{4.3}\\
Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n} & =\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right]+h_{k} \mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[f\left(t_{k}, X_{t_{k}}^{n}, Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}, Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right], \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n-1 \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The aim of our work is to study the error of discretization

$$
e(N, \varepsilon, n):=\sup _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Y_{t_{k}}\right|^{2}\right]+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}\right|^{2} d t\right]
$$

It's easy to see that

$$
e(N, \varepsilon, n) \leqslant C\left(e_{1}(N)+e_{2}(N, \varepsilon)+e_{3}(N, \varepsilon, n)\right)
$$

with $e_{1}(N)$ and $e_{2}(N, \varepsilon)$ defined in Proposition 4.2, and

$$
e_{3}(N, \varepsilon, n):=\sup _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right]+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right]
$$

### 4.2 Studying of the time approximation error $e_{3}(N, \varepsilon, n)$

We need an extra assumption.
(HY1). There exists a positive constant $K_{f, t}$ such that $\forall t, t^{\prime} \in[0, T], \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$
\left|f(t, x, y, z)-f\left(t^{\prime}, x, y, z\right)\right| \leqslant K_{f, t}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

Moreover, we set $\varepsilon=T n^{-a}$ and $N=n^{b}$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{+, *}$ two parameters. Before giving our error estimations, we recall two technical lemmas that we will prove in the appendix.

Lemma 4.3. For all constant $M>0$ there exist a constant $C$ that depends only on $T, M$ and $a$, such that

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{2 n-1}\left(1+M h_{i}\right) \leqslant C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

Lemma 4.4. For all constants $M_{1}>0$ and $M_{2}>0$ there exist a constant $C$ that depends only on $T$, $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $a$, such that

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{1} h_{i}+M_{2} \frac{h_{i}}{T-t_{i+1}}\right) \leqslant C n^{a M_{2}}
$$

Firstly, we give a convergence result for the Euler scheme.
Proposition 4.5. Assume (HXO) holds. Then there exists a constant $C$ that does not depend on $n$ and such that

$$
\sup _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2}\right] \leqslant C \frac{\ln n}{n}
$$

Proof. We just have to copy the classical proof to obtain, thanks to Lemma 4.3,

$$
\sup _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2}\right] \leqslant C \sup _{0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-1} h_{i}=C h_{0}
$$

But

$$
h_{0}=T\left(1-n^{-a / n}\right) \sim a T \frac{\ln n}{n}
$$

and the proof is completed.
Now, let treat the BSDE approximation. In a first time we will study the time approximation error on $[T-\varepsilon, T]$.

Proposition 4.6. Assume that (HXO), (HYO) and (HY1) hold. Then there exist a constant $C$ that does not depend on $n$ and such that

$$
\sup _{n \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right]+\sum_{k=n}^{2 n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant \frac{C \ln n}{n^{1-2 b}}
$$

Proof. The BSDE 4.1 has a linear growth with respect to $z$ on $[T-\varepsilon, T]$ so we are allowed to apply classical results that give us

$$
\sup _{n \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right]+\sum_{k=n}^{2 n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant C\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g_{N}\left(X_{T}\right)-g_{N}\left(X_{T}^{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right]+\frac{\varepsilon}{n}\right)
$$

Since $g_{N}$ is $N$-Lipschitz, we obtain the result by applying Proposition 4.5.
Now, let see what happen on $[0, T-\varepsilon]$.
Theorem 4.7. Assume that (HXO), (HYO), (HY1) and (HX1) or (HX1') hold. Then for all $\eta>0$, there exist a constant $C$ that does not depend on $N, \varepsilon$ and $n$, and such that

$$
\sup _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right]+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{1-2 b-K a}}
$$

with $K=4(1+\eta) L_{f, z}^{2} M_{z, 2}^{2}$.

Proof. We will fit the classical proof to our situation. From (4.1) and 4.4) we get
$Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}=\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right]+\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left(f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)-f\left(t_{k}, X_{t_{k}}^{n}, Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}, Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right) d s$.
We introduce a parameter $\gamma_{k}>0$ that will be chosen later. Thank's to Proposition 4.1 and assumption (HY0), $f$ is Lipschitz on $\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]$ with a Lipschitz constant $K_{k}:=K^{1}+\frac{K^{2}}{\left(T-t_{k+1}\right)^{1 / 2}}$ where $K^{2}=$ $2 L_{f, z} M_{z, 2}$. A combination of Young's inequality $(a+b)^{2} \leqslant\left(1+\gamma_{k} h_{k}\right) a^{2}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k} h_{k}}\right) b^{2}$ and properties of $f$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant & \left(1+\gamma_{k} h_{k}\right) \mathbb{E}\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right]\right|^{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
& +(1+\eta) K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +C\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right)\left(h_{k}^{2}+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We define

$$
\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}:=\frac{1}{h_{k}} \mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\left(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}}\right)\right]
$$

So, $Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}=\rho_{t_{k+1}}\left(\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)$. Moreover, Proposition 4.1 implies that $Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}=\rho_{t_{k+1}}\left(Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)$, and, since $\rho_{t_{k+1}}$ is 1-Lipschitz, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}=\left|\rho_{t_{k+1}}\left(Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)-\rho_{t_{k+1}}\left(\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Theorem 3.4, we define $\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}$ by

$$
h_{k} \bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}:=\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon} d s=\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right) d s\right)^{t}\left(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}}\right)\right)
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d s=\mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s+h_{k} \mathbb{E}\left|\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)^{t}\left(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}}\right)\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant h_{k}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{k} \mathbb{E}\left|\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant & (1+\eta) \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +C h_{k} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (4.7) and (4.8) into (4.5), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant & \left(1+\gamma_{k} h_{k}\right) \mathbb{E}\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right]\right|^{2} \\
& +(1+\eta) K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +C\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right)\left(h_{k}^{2}+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d s\right) \\
& +(1+\eta) K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +C K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) h_{k} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant 2 \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+2 \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}  \tag{4.9}\\
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2} \leqslant 2 \mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}\right|^{2}+2 \mathbb{E}\left|X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant & \left(1+\gamma_{k} h_{k}\right) \mathbb{E}\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right]\right|^{2} \\
& +(1+\eta) K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +C\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right)\left(h_{k}^{2}+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}\right|^{2} d s+h_{k} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +C\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right)\left(\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s+h_{k} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +(1+\eta) K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +C K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) h_{k} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

take $\gamma_{k}=(1+\eta) K_{k}^{2}$ : for $h_{k}$ small enough, it gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant & \left(1+(1+\eta) K_{k}^{2} h_{k}\right) \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}+C h_{k}^{2}+C h_{k} \max _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2} \\
& +C \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s+C \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +C \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s+C h_{k} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

because $K_{k}^{2} h_{k} \leqslant C\left(h_{0}+h_{k}\left(T-t_{k+1}\right)^{-1}\right) \leqslant C \frac{\ln n}{n}$. The Gronwall's lemma gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant & C \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[\prod_{i=0}^{k}\left(1+(1+\eta) K_{i}^{2} h_{i}\right)\right]\left[h_{k}^{2}+h_{k} \max _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& +\mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}\right|^{2}+\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s \\
& \left.+h_{k} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s+\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{n}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{n}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we apply Lemma 4.4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant & C n^{(1+\eta)\left(K^{2}\right)^{2} a}\left[h_{0}+\max _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}\right|^{2}+\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s\right] \\
& \left.+h_{0} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s+\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{n}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{n}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

A classical estimation gives us $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}\right|^{2}\right] \leqslant\left|s-t_{k}\right|$. Moreover, since $Z^{N, \varepsilon}$ is bounded,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s & \leqslant C T\left(1+\left|Y^{N, \varepsilon}\right|_{\infty}\right)+C \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t_{n}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{4} d s \\
& \leqslant C T\left(1+\left|Y^{N, \varepsilon}\right|_{\infty}\right)+C n^{2 b} \int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

But we have an a priori estimate for $\int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s$ that does not depend on $N$ and $\varepsilon$. So

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s \leqslant C n^{2 b}
$$

With the same type of argument we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leqslant C h_{k} n^{2 b} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we add Zhang's path regularity theorem 3.4, Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} \leqslant C n^{(1+\eta)\left(K^{2}\right)^{2} a} \frac{n^{2 b} \ln n}{n}=C \frac{\ln n}{n^{1-2 b-(1+\eta)\left(K^{2}\right)^{2} a}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let deal with the error on $Z$. First of all, (4.6) gives us

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right]
$$

For $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, we can use (4.7) and (4.8) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|\tilde{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \\
& +(1+\eta) \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +C h_{k} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} f\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)^{2} d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumptions on $f$ and estimation for $Z$ give us

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \\
& +(1+\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +C h_{0} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} 1+|Y|_{\infty}+n^{2 b}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right| d s\right] \\
\leqslant & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|\bar{Z}_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \\
& +(1+\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +(1+\eta) \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{n}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{n}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right]+C h_{0} n^{2 b} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

with an index change in the penultimate sum. Then, by using (4.5) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1+\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leqslant & (1+\eta) \gamma_{k} h_{k} \mathbb{E}\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left[Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right]\right|^{2}+(1+\eta)^{2} K_{k}^{2}\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +C\left(h_{k}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\right) h_{k}\left(h_{k}+\sup _{s \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right]\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and a classical estimation on $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}\right|^{2}\right]$ we have

$$
\sup _{s \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{s}-X_{t_{k}}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left|Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right] \leqslant h_{k} n^{2 b}+\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

Let set $\gamma_{k}=3(1+\eta)^{2} K_{k}^{2}$. We recall that $h_{k} K_{k}^{2} \leqslant \frac{C \ln n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow 0$. So, for $n$ big enough, (4.14) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1+\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\mathbb{E}_{t_{k}}\left(Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leqslant & \frac{C \ln n}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}-Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +C h_{k}^{2} n^{2 b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we inject this last estimation in (4.13) and we use Theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant C h_{0} n^{2 b}+C \ln n \sup _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t_{k+1}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

By using (4.12) and Proposition 4.6, we finally have

$$
\sup _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Y_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right]+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}\left|Z_{t_{k}}^{N, \varepsilon, n}-Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d t\right] \leqslant C \frac{(\ln n)^{2}}{n^{1-2 b-K a}}
$$

with $K=4(1+\eta) L_{f, z}^{2} M_{z, 2}^{2}$. Since this estimation is true for every $\eta>0$, we have prove the result.

### 4.3 Studying of the global error $e(N, \varepsilon, n)$

Let us study errors $e_{1}(N)$ and $e_{2}(N, \varepsilon)$.
Proposition 4.8. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
e_{2}(N, \varepsilon) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{2 a-4 b}}
$$

Proof. We just have to notice that $\left|f\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)-f\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}, 0\right)\right| \leqslant C\left|Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|Z_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|$ is bounded by $C n^{b}$.
Proposition 4.9. We assume that $g$ is $\alpha$-Hölder. Then, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
e_{1}(N) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\frac{2 b \alpha}{1-\alpha}}}
$$

Proof. We set

$$
g_{N}(x)=\inf \left\{g(u)+N|x-u| \mid u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}
$$

Then $g_{N}$ is $N$-Lipschitz and $g_{N} \rightarrow g$ when $N \rightarrow+\infty$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. More precisely, we have

$$
\left|g-g_{N}\right|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{C}{N^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}}
$$

Remark 4.10. For some explicit examples, it is possible to have a better convergence speed. For example, let take $g(x)=\left(|x|^{\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{x \geqslant 0}\right) \wedge C$ and assume that $\sigma$ is invertible. Then, we can use the fact that this function is not Lipschitz only in 0 , and obtain

$$
e_{1}(N) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\frac{2 \alpha b}{1-\alpha}}} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{T} \in\left[0, N^{\frac{-1}{1-\alpha}}\right]\right)^{1 / q} \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\frac{b}{1-\alpha}\left(2 \alpha+\frac{1}{q}\right)}}
$$

Remark 4.11. It is also possible to obtain convergence speed when $g$ is not $\alpha$-Hölder. For example, we assume that $\sigma$ is invertible and we set $g(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{1}_{x_{i}>0}(x)$. Then

$$
e_{1}(N) \leqslant C\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{P}\left(\left(X_{T}\right)_{i} \in[0,1 / N]\right)\right]^{1 / q} \leqslant \frac{C}{N^{1 / q}}=\frac{C}{n^{b / q}}
$$

Now we are able to gather all these errors.
Theorem 4.12. We assume that (HXO), (HYO), (HY1), and (HX1) or (HX1') hold. We assume also that $g$ is $\alpha$-Hölder. Then for all $\eta>0$, there exists a constant $C>0$ that does not depend on $n$ such that

$$
e(n):=e(N, \varepsilon, n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\frac{2 \alpha}{(2-\alpha)(2+K)-2+2 \alpha}}}
$$

with $K=4(1+\eta) L_{f, z}^{2} M_{z, 2}^{2}$.

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 4.7, Proposition 4.8 and Proposition 4.9 we have

$$
e(n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{1-2 b-K a}}+\frac{C}{n^{2 a-4 b}}+\frac{C}{n^{\frac{2 \alpha b}{1-\alpha}}}
$$

Then we just have to set $a:=\frac{1+2 b}{2+K}$ and $b:=\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha)(2+K)-2+2 \alpha}$ to obtain the result.
Corollary 4.13. We assume that assumptions of Theorem 4.12 hold. Moreover we assume that $f$ has a sub-quadratic growth with respect to $z$ : there exists $0<\beta<1$ such that, for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $y \in R, z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$,

$$
\left|f(t, x, y, z)-f\left(t, x, y, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant\left(K_{f, z}+L_{f, z}\left(|z|^{\beta}+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\beta}\right)\right)\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|
$$

Then we are allowed to take $K$ as small as we want. So, for all $\eta>0$, there exists a constant $C>0$ that does not depend on $n$ such that

$$
e(n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\alpha-\eta}}
$$

Remark 4.14. When we are allowed to take $K$ as small as we want, then we have $\varepsilon=n^{-a}<h_{0}$ for $K$ sufficiently small. So we do not need to have a discretization grid on $[T-\varepsilon, T]: n+2$ points of discretization are sufficient on $[0, T]$.

Theorem 4.12 is not interesting in practice because the speed of convergence strongly depends on $K$. But, we just see that the global error becomes $e(n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\alpha-\eta}}$ when we are allowed to choose $K$ as small as we want. Under extra assumption we can show that we are allowed to take the constant $M_{z, 2}$ as small as we want.
(HX2). $\quad b$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ by a constant $M_{b}$.
Theorem 4.15. We assume that (HXO), (HYO), (HY1), (HX2) and (HX1) or (HX1') hold. We assume also that $g$ is $\alpha$-Hölder. Then for all $\eta>0$, there exists a constant $C>0$ that does not depend on $n$ such that

$$
e(n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\alpha-\eta}}
$$

Remark 4.16. With assumptions of the previous theorem, it is also possible to have an estimation of the global error for examples given in remarks 4.10 and 4.11. When $g(x)=\left(|x|^{\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{x \geqslant 0}\right) \wedge C$, we have

$$
e(n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\alpha+\frac{1-\alpha}{1+2 q}-\eta}}
$$

and when $g(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{1}_{x_{i}>0}(x)$, we have

$$
e(n) \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\frac{1}{1+2 q}-\eta}}
$$

Proof. Firstly, we suppose that $f$ is differentiable with respect to $z$. Thanks to remark 3.3 we see that it is sufficient to show that

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]
$$

is small uniformly on $\Omega$, in $N$ and $\varepsilon$ when $t$ is close to $T$. We will obtain an estimation for this quantity by applying the same computation than [2]] for the BMO norm estimate of $Z$ page 831 . Thus we have

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \leqslant \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\left|\varphi\left(Y_{T}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)-\varphi\left(Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right)\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]+C(T-t)
$$

with $\varphi(x)=\left(e^{2 c(x+m)}-2 c(x+m)-1\right) /\left(2 c^{2}\right), m=|Y|_{\infty}$ and $c$ that depends on constants in assumption (HYO) but does not depend on $\nabla_{z} f$. Let notice that $m, c$ and so $\varphi$ do not depend on $N$ and $\varepsilon$. Since $Y$ is bounded, $\varphi$ is a Lipschitz function, so

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \leqslant C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\left|Y_{T}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]+C(T-t)
$$

We denote $\left(Y^{N, \varepsilon, t, x}, Z^{N, \varepsilon, t, x}\right)$ the solution of BSDE (4.1) when $X_{t}^{t, x}=x$. As usual, we set $X_{s}^{t, x}=x$ and $Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon, t, x}=0$ for $s \leqslant t$ and we define $u^{N, \varepsilon}(t, x):=Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon, t, x}$. Then we give a proposition that we will prove in the appendix.

Proposition 4.17. We assume that (HXO), (HYO), (HY1), (HX2) and (HX1) or (HX1') hold. We assume also that $g$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then $u^{N, \varepsilon}$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and their exists $\omega$ a concave modulus of continuity for all functions in $\left\{u^{N, \varepsilon} \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon>0\right\}$ : that is to say, $\omega$ does not depend on $N$ and $\varepsilon$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\left|Y_{T}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]= & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\left|u^{N, \varepsilon}\left(T, X_{T}\right)-u^{N, \varepsilon}\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
\leqslant & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\left|\left.\right|_{t} ^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right| \leqslant \nu}\left|u^{N, \varepsilon}\left(T, X_{T}\right)-u^{N, \varepsilon}\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+2\left|Y^{N, \varepsilon}\right|_{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right|>\nu} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
\leqslant & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\omega\left(|T-t|+\mathbb{1}_{\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right| \leqslant \nu}\left|X_{T}-X_{t}\right|\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2\left|Y^{N, \varepsilon}\right|_{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right|>\nu} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $d \tilde{W}_{s}=d W_{s}-\nabla_{z} f^{\varepsilon}\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right) d s$. But,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{1}_{\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right| \leqslant \nu}\left|X_{T}-X_{t}\right| \\
= & \mathbb{1}_{\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right| \leqslant \nu}\left|\int_{t}^{T} b\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{t}^{T} \nabla_{z} f^{\varepsilon}\left(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right) d s+\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right| \\
\leqslant & M_{b}(T-t)+\nu+C \int_{t}^{T}\left(1+\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|\right) d s \\
\leqslant & C(T-t)+\nu+C(T-t)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\omega$ is concave, we have by Jensen's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\omega\left(|T-t|+\mathbb{1}_{\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right| \leqslant \nu}\left|X_{T}-X_{t}\right|\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
\leqslant & \omega\left(C|T-t|+\nu+C(T-t)^{1 / 2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\left(\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\
\leqslant & \omega\left(C|T-t|+\nu+C(T-t)^{1 / 2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|Z_{s}^{N, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]^{1 / 2}\right) \\
\leqslant & \omega\left(C|T-t|+\nu+C(T-t)^{1 / 2}\left\|Z^{N, \varepsilon}\right\|_{B M O(\mathbb{Q})}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But, $\left\|Z^{N, \varepsilon}\right\|_{B M O(\mathbb{Q})}$ only depends on constants in assumption (HY0), so it is bounded uniformly in $N$ and $\varepsilon$. Moreover, $\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d W_{s}\right|$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ so we have by Bienaymé-Tchebychev inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right|>\nu} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] & =\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}\left(\left|\int_{t}^{T} \sigma(s) d \tilde{W}_{s}\right|>\nu\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{\int_{t}^{T} t \sigma(s) \sigma(s) d s}{\nu^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{M_{\sigma}^{2}(T-t)}{\nu^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\left|Y_{T}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] & \leqslant \omega\left(C|T-t|^{1 / 2}+\nu\right)+C \frac{(T-t)}{\nu^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \omega\left(C|T-t|^{1 / 2}+|T-t|^{1 / 4}\right)+C|T-t|^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by setting $\nu=|T-t|^{1 / 4}$, and $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{N, \varepsilon}}\left[\left|Y_{T}^{N, \varepsilon}-Y_{t}^{N, \varepsilon}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $\Omega$, in $N$ and $\varepsilon$ when $t \rightarrow T$. When $f$ is not differentiable with respect to $z$ but is only locally Lipschitz then we can prove the result by a standard approximation.

## A Appendix

## A. 1 Proof of Lemma 4.3.

We have,

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{2 n-1}\left(1+M h_{i}\right)=\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M h_{i}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{i=n}^{2 n-1}\left(1+M h_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Firstly,

$$
\prod_{i=n}^{2 n-1}\left(1+M h_{i}\right) \leqslant\left(1+M \frac{T}{n}\right)^{n} \leqslant C
$$

Moreover, for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$,

$$
h_{i}=t_{i+1}-t_{i}=T n^{-a i / n}\left(1-e^{-\frac{a \ln n}{n}}\right) \leqslant T n^{-a i / n} a \frac{\ln n}{n}
$$

thanks to the convexity of the exponential function. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M h_{i}\right) & \leqslant \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M \operatorname{Tan}^{-a i / n} \frac{\ln n}{n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln \left(1+\operatorname{MTan}^{-a i / n} \frac{\ln n}{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} M T a\left(n^{-a / n}\right)^{i} \frac{\ln n}{n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(M T a \frac{\ln n}{n}\left(\frac{1-\left(1 / n^{a}\right)}{1-\left(1 / n^{(a / n)}\right)}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(M T a \frac{\ln n}{n} \frac{n^{a / n}}{n^{a / n}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But,

$$
\frac{\ln n}{n} \frac{n^{a / n}}{n^{a / n}-1} \sim \frac{\ln n}{n} \frac{1}{a \frac{\ln n}{n}} \sim \frac{1}{a}
$$

Thus, we have shown the result.

## A. 2 Proof of Lemma 4.4.

Thanks to Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{1} h_{i}+M_{2} \frac{h_{i}}{T-t_{i+1}}\right)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{2} \frac{h_{i}}{T-t_{i+1}}\right)} \leqslant \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{M_{1}}{1+M_{2} \frac{h_{i}}{T-t_{i+1}}} h_{i}\right) \leqslant \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{1} h_{i}\right) \leqslant C
$$

So, we just have to show that

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{2} \frac{h_{i}}{T-t_{i+1}}\right) \leqslant C n^{a M_{2}}
$$

But,

$$
1+M_{2} \frac{h_{i}}{T-t_{i+1}}=1+M_{2}\left(n^{a / n}-1\right)
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+M_{2} \frac{h_{i}}{T-t_{i+1}}\right) & =\left(1+M_{2}\left(n^{a / n}-1\right)\right)^{n} \\
& =\exp \left(n \ln \left(1+a M_{2} \frac{\ln n}{n}+O\left(\frac{\ln ^{2} n}{n^{2}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\exp \left(a M_{2} \ln n+O\left(\frac{\ln ^{2} n}{n}\right)\right) \sim n^{a M_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have shown the result.

## A.3 Proof of Proposition 4.17.

We will prove this proposition as the author of [7] do for Proposition 4.2. In all the proof we omit the superscript $N, \varepsilon$ for $u, Y$ and $Z$ to be more readable. Let $x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $t_{0}, t_{0}^{\prime} \in[0, T]$. By an argument of symmetry we are allowed to suppose that $t_{0} \leqslant t_{0}^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u\left(t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-u\left(t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

Let us begin with the first term. We will use a classical argument of linearization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-Y_{t}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}= & g_{N}\left(X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}\right)-g_{N}\left(X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} \alpha_{s}\left(X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)+\beta_{s}\left(Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right) d s \\
& -\int_{t}^{T}\left(Z_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-Z_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right) d \tilde{W}_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\alpha_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{f^{\varepsilon}\left(s, X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}, Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}, Z_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)-f^{\varepsilon}\left(s, X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}, Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}, Z_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)}{X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}} & \text { if } X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}} \neq 0 \\
0 & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{f^{\varepsilon}\left(s, X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}, Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}, Z_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)-f^{\varepsilon}\left(s, X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}, Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}, Z_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)}{Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}} & \text { if } Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-Y_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}} \neq 0, \\
0 & \text { elsewhere, }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and $d \tilde{W}_{s}:=d W_{s}-\gamma_{s} d s$. By a BMO argument, there exists a probability $\mathbb{Q}$ under which $\tilde{W}$ is a brownian motion. Then we apply a classical transformation to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta_{s} d s}\left(Y_{t}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-Y_{t}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)\right]= & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{T} \beta_{s} d s}\left(g_{N}\left(X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}\right)-g_{N}\left(X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{T} \alpha_{s} e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{s} \beta_{u} d u}\left(X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right) d s\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\omega\left(\left|X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right|\right)\right]+\int_{t_{0}}^{T} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left|X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right|\right] d s\right)
$$

with $\omega$ a modulus of continuity of $g$ that is also a modulus of continuity for $g_{N}$. We are allowed to suppose that $\omega$ is concave? so Jensen's inequality gives us

$$
\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\omega\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left|X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right|\right]\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{T} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left|X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}}-X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right|\right] d s\right)
$$

By using the fact that $b$ is bounded we can prove the following lemma exactly as authors of [f] do for their Proposition 4.7:

Proposition A.1. $\exists C>0$ that does not depend on $N$ and $\varepsilon$ such that $\forall t, t^{\prime} \in[0, T], \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $\forall s \in[0, T]$,

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left|X_{s}^{t, x}-X_{s}^{t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}}\right|\right] \leqslant C\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Then,

$$
\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\omega\left(\left|x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)+\left|x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

Now we will study the second term:

$$
\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-u\left(t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|Y_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}-Y_{t_{0}^{\prime}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant\left|Y_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}-Y_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right|+\left|Y_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}-Y_{t_{0}^{\prime}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right|
$$

Firstly,

$$
\left|Y_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}-Y_{t_{0}^{\prime}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}} f\left(s, x_{0}^{\prime}, Y_{s}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}, 0\right) d s\right| \leqslant C\left|t_{0}-t_{0}^{\prime}\right| .
$$

[^1]Moreover, as for the first term we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta_{s} d s}\left(Y_{t}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}-Y_{t}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)\right]= & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{T} \beta_{s} d s}\left(g_{N}\left(X_{T}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)-g_{N}\left(X_{T}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{T} \alpha_{s} e^{\int_{t_{0}}^{s} \beta_{u} d u}\left(X_{s}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}-X_{s}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right) d s\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|Y_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}}-Y_{t_{0}^{\prime}}^{t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant C\left(\omega\left(\left|t_{0}-t_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)+\left|t_{0}-t_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Finally,

$$
\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-u\left(t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\omega\left(\left|t_{0}-t_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)+\left|t_{0}-t_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u\left(t_{0}^{\prime}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\omega\left(\left|x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)+\omega\left(\left|t_{0}-t_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)+\left|x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}\right|+\left|t_{0}-t_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) .
$$

So $u$ is uniformy continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and this function has a modulus of continuity that does not depend on $N$ and $\varepsilon$. Moreover, we are allowed to suppose that this modulus of continuity is concave.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The authors of [11] obtain this result with $q^{2}$ instead of $q$. Nevertheless, we are able to obtain the good result by applying estimations of 有].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ There exist two positive constants $a$ and $b$ such that $\omega(x) \leqslant a x+b$. Then the concave hull of $x \mapsto \omega(x) \vee\left(\mathbb{1}_{x \geqslant 1}(a x+b)\right)$ is a also a modulus of continuity of $g$.

