



HAL
open science

Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism, Opers and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles over curves

Kirti Joshi, Christian Pauly

► **To cite this version:**

Kirti Joshi, Christian Pauly. Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism, Opers and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles over curves. *Advances in Mathematics*, 2015, pp.39-75. hal-00442035v3

HAL Id: hal-00442035

<https://hal.science/hal-00442035v3>

Submitted on 15 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HITCHIN-MOCHIZUKI MORPHISM, OPERS AND FROBENIUS-DESTABILIZED VECTOR BUNDLES OVER CURVES

KIRTI JOSHI AND CHRISTIAN PAULY

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. For $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$ we construct an atlas for the locus of all Frobenius-destabilized bundles of rank r (i.e. we construct all Frobenius-destabilized bundles of rank r and degree zero up to isomorphism). This is done by exhibiting a surjective morphism from a certain Quot-scheme onto the locus of stable Frobenius-destabilized bundles. Further we show that there is a bijective correspondence between the set of stable vector bundles E over X such that the pull-back $F^*(E)$ under the Frobenius morphism of X has maximal Harder-Narasimhan polygon and the set of opers having zero p -curvature. We also show that, after fixing the determinant, these sets are finite, which enables us to derive the dimension of certain Quot-schemes and certain loci of stable Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles over X . The finiteness is proved by studying the properties of the Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism; an alternative approach to finiteness has been realized in [CZ]. In particular we prove a generalization of a result of Mochizuki to higher ranks.

Dedicated to Vikram B. Mehta

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The statement of the results. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. One of the interesting features of vector bundles in positive characteristic is the existence of semistable vector bundles E over X such that their pull-back $F^*(E)$ under the absolute Frobenius morphism $F : X \rightarrow X$ is no longer semistable. This phenomenon also occurs over base varieties of arbitrary dimension and is partly responsible for the many difficulties arising in the construction and the study of moduli spaces of principal G -bundles in positive characteristic. We refer to the recent survey [La] for an account of the developments in this field.

Let us consider the coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r)$ of S -equivalence classes of semistable vector bundles of rank r and degree 0 over a curve X and denote by $\mathcal{J}(r)$ the closed subvariety of $\mathcal{M}(r)$ parameterizing semistable bundles E such that $F^*(E)$ is not semistable. For arbitrary r, g, p , besides their non-emptiness (see [LasP2]), not much is known about the loci $\mathcal{J}(r)$. For example, their dimension and their irreducibility are only known in special cases or for small values of r, p and g ; see e.g. [D], [J0], [JRXY], [J2], [LanP], [LasP2],[Mo1], [Mo2], [O1, O2], [Su2]. Following [JRXY] one associates to a stable bundle $E \in \mathcal{J}(r)$ the Harder-Narasimhan polygon of the bundle $F^*(E)$ and defines in that way [Sha] a natural stratification on the stable¹ locus $\mathcal{J}^s(r) \subset \mathcal{J}(r)$. Thus the fundamental question which arises is: what is the geometry of the locus $\mathcal{J}(r)$ and the stratification it carries. Before proceeding further we would like to recall some notions. A well-known theorem of Cartier's (see section 2.1.3) says that there is a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H60, Secondary 13A35 14D20.

¹We note that the Harder-Narasimhan polygon of $F^*(E)$ may vary when E varies in an S -equivalence class.

one-to-one correspondence between vector bundles E over X and local systems (V, ∇) having p -curvature $\psi(V, \nabla)$ zero, which is given by the two mappings

$$E \mapsto (F^*(E), \nabla^{can}) \quad \text{and} \quad (V, \nabla) \mapsto V^\nabla = E.$$

Here V^∇ denotes the sheaf of ∇ -invariant sections and ∇^{can} the canonical connection. An important class of local systems (in characteristic zero) was studied by Beilinson and Drinfeld in their fundamental work on the geometric Langlands program [BD1]. These local systems are called *opers* and they play a fundamental role in the geometric Langlands program.

An *oper* is a triple (V, ∇, V_\bullet) (see Definition 3.1.1) consisting of a vector bundle V over X , a connection ∇ on V and a flag V_\bullet satisfying some conditions. In their original paper [BD1] (see also [BD2]) the authors define *opers* (with complete flags) over the complex numbers and identify them with certain differential operators between line bundles. We note that over a smooth projective curve X the underlying vector bundle V of an oper as defined in [BD1] is constant up to tensor product by a line bundle: in the rank two case the bundle V is also called the *Gunning bundle* \mathcal{G} (see [Gu], [Mo1]) and is given by the unique non-split extension of θ^{-1} by θ for a theta-characteristic θ of the curve X . For higher rank r the bundle V equals the symmetric power $\text{Sym}^{r-1}(\mathcal{G})$ up to tensor product by a line bundle. In particular, the bundle V is non-semistable and we shall denote by \mathcal{P}_r^{oper} its Harder-Narasimhan polygon. *Opers* of rank two appeared in characteristic $p > 0$ in the work of S. Mochizuki (see [Mo1]), where they appeared as *indigenous bundles*. An oper is *nilpotent* if the underlying connection has nilpotent p -curvature (of exponent \leq rank of the oper). An oper is *dormant* if the underlying connection has p -curvature zero (this terminology is due to S. Mochizuki). By definition any dormant oper is nilpotent. Let $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ denote the moduli of $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers, i.e. rank- r opers (V, ∇, V_\bullet) up to tensor product by rank-1 local systems (see section 3.2 for the precise definition) and let $\text{Nilp}_r(X) \subset \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ be the subscheme of nilpotent $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers on X (see section 6.1 for the precise definition). In [Mo1], Mochizuki proved a foundational result: the scheme of nilpotent, indigenous bundles is finite (i.e. $\text{Nilp}_2(X)$ is finite). This result lies at the center of Mochizuki's p -adic uniformization program. *Opers* of higher rank in positive characteristic $p > 0$ also appeared in [JRXY]. We will take a slightly more general definition of opers by allowing non-complete flags. With our definition the triple $(F^*(F_*(Q)), \nabla^{can}, V_\bullet)$ associated to any vector bundle Q over X , as introduced in [JRXY] (we note that in [JRXY] this was shown under assumption that $F_*(Q)$ is stable if Q is stable; this restriction was removed in [LanP] for Q of rank one, and more recently in all cases by [Su2] see Theorem 3.1.6), is an oper, even a dormant oper.

Our first result is the higher rank case of the finiteness result of [Mo1] — that the locus of nilpotent $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers is finite (see Theorem 6.1.3):

Theorem 1.1.1. *The scheme $\text{Nilp}_r(X)$ is finite.*

We note that Mochizuki allows curves with log structures, but we do not. Theorem 1.1.1 is proved by proving that $\text{Nilp}_r(X)$ is both affine and proper. One gets affineness by constructing $\text{Nilp}_r(X)$ as the fiber over 0 of what we call the *Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism* (see section 3.3)

$$\text{HM} : \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=2}^r H^0(X, (\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i}), \quad (V, \nabla, V_\bullet) \mapsto [\text{Char } \psi(V, \nabla)]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which associates to an oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) the p -th root of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of the p -curvature. That the scheme $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ of $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers is affine is due to Beilinson-Drinfeld (see [BD1]).

This morphism is a generalization of the morphism, first introduced and studied by S. Mochizuki (see [Mo1, page 1025]) in the rank two case and for families of curves with logarithmic structures, and called the *Verschiebung*. We consider a generalization of this to arbitrary rank and we call it the Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism. Our approach to Theorem 1.1.1 is different from that of [Mo1, Mo2]. The key point is: Mochizuki’s *Verschiebung* is really a Hitchin map with affine source and target. From this view point finiteness is equivalent to properness, and we note that Hitchin maps (all of them) share a key property: properness. Thus one sees from this that finiteness of indigenous bundles is a rather natural consequence of being a fibre of a Hitchin(-Mochizuki) map. Thus it remains to prove, exactly as is the case with usual Hitchin morphism ([F], [N]), that the Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism HM is proper (Remark 6.1.7). We mention that both sides of the morphism HM are affine and of the same dimension. At any rate, from this point of view we reduce the proof of finiteness to proving a suitable valuative criterion. This is accomplished by showing that the underlying local system of an oper is stable (Proposition 3.4.4) and by proving a semistable reduction theorem for nilpotentopers (Proposition 6.1.4). We note that the finiteness result for indigenous bundles was proved by S. Mochizuki in the rank two case (see [Mo1]) by a different method which does not seem (at the moment) to lend itself to generalization to higher ranks as it uses some rather non-linear properties of p -curvature and some peculiarities of rank 2. The fibre over zero of the Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism consists of nilpotentopers — this is the analogue of the global nilpotent cone of the usual Hitchin morphism. Since dormantopers (i.e.opers with p -curvature zero) are nilpotent, we deduce from Theorem 1.1.1 that the scheme of dormantopers with fixed determinant is finite. In the rank two, genus two case it is possible to compute by various methods the length of this scheme (see [Mo2], [LanP] or [O2]). Our finiteness result Theorem 1.1.1 naturally raises the problem of counting the nilpotent and dormantopers for general r, p and g . In [Mo1] Mochizuki also showed among other results that $\text{Nilp}_2(X)$ is a scheme of length $p^{\dim \mathfrak{D}_{\text{PGL}(2)}}$ for any genus g . The analogous result for nilpotentopers of higher ranks has been proved recently in [CZ].

The key technical tool in the proof of Theorem 1.1.1 is the following result which controls the Harder-Narasimhan polygon of Frobenius-destabilized bundles (also see Theorem 5.3.1).

Theorem 1.1.2. *Let (V, ∇) be a semistable local system of degree 0 and rank r over the curve X . Let V_{\bullet}^{HN} denote the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of V . Then*

- (1) *the Harder-Narasimhan polygon \mathcal{P}_V of V is on or below the oper-polygon $\mathcal{P}_r^{\text{oper}}$.*
- (2) *we have equality $\mathcal{P}_V = \mathcal{P}_r^{\text{oper}}$ if and only if $(V, \nabla, V_{\bullet}^{HN})$ is an oper.*

To better appreciate this result, it is best to put it in proper perspective. We note that bundles with connections of p -curvature zero are crystals (in the sense of Grothendieck — though not F -crystals) and this theorem is, in a sense, an analog of Mazur’s theorem (“Katz’ conjecture”) on Hodge and Newton polygons of F -crystals see [Maz73] — the oper polygon has integer slopes and plays the role of the Hodge polygon (and if we apply a 180 degree counter clockwise rotation to the polygon plane, then our result says that the rotated Harder-Narasimhan polygon lies on or above the oper-polygon and both have the same endpoints).

As in the case of Mazur’s Theorem (see [Maz73]), our result is equivalent to a list of inequalities for the slopes of the graded pieces of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. We establish the result by proving that the relevant list of inequalities hold using induction and by combining and refining some well-known inequalities (see [She], [Su1] or [LasP1]) on the slopes of the successive quotients of V_{\bullet}^{HN} . As a particular case of Theorem 1.1.2 we obtain that, when the

semistable bundle E varies, the Harder-Narasimhan polygon of $F^*(E)$ is maximal if and only if $(F^*(E), \nabla^{can}, F^*(E)_{\bullet}^{HN})$ is a dormant oper.

We now turn to describing our results on the Frobenius instability locus in the moduli space of semi-stable vector bundles on curves. As pointed out above, the problem of describing the Frobenius-destabilized locus is one of the least understood aspects of the theory of vector bundles on curves. Our next result, provides a construction of all Frobenius destabilized stable bundles. We state the result in its weakest form:

Theorem 1.1.3. *Let X be a smooth, projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. If $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$, then we have*

- (1) *Every stable and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundle V of rank r and slope $\mu(V) = \mu$ over X is a subsheaf $V \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$ for some stable vector bundle Q of rank $\text{rk}(Q) < r$ and $\mu(Q) < p\mu$.*
- (2) *Conversely, given a semistable vector bundle Q with $\text{rk}(Q) < r$ and $\mu(Q) < p\mu$, every subsheaf $V \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$ of rank $\text{rk}(V) = r$ and slope $\mu(V) = \mu$ is semistable and destabilized by Frobenius.*

It should be remarked that (especially after [JRXY] and [LanP]) it has been expected that every Frobenius-destabilized stable bundle V should occur as a subsheaf of $F_*(Q)$ for a suitably chosen Q . The main difficulty in realizing this expectation was the injectivity $V \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$ for the canonical choice of Q (the one given by the minimal slope quotient of the instability flag of $F^*(V)$). We are able to resolve this difficulty, and it should be remarked that opers play a critical role in this resolution as well, by providing a uniform bound on the slopes of subbundles of $F_*(Q)$ (for any stable Q) (see Proposition 4.2.1). This bound is finer than the bounds which exists in literature (see [LanP],[JRXY] and [J1]). Our method is to use a method of X. Sun (see [Su2]), especially an important formula (see eq 4.2.3) due to him, relating the slope of a subbundle of $W \subset F_*(Q)$ to the slopes of the graded pieces of the filtration induced by the oper filtration. We show that Sun's formula reduces the problem of obtaining a bound to a numerical optimization problem. We are able to carry out this optimization effectively (for p bigger than an explicit constant depending on the degree and the rank, see the statement of the theorem for details). The final step is to show that every stable Frobenius-destabilized bundle V is, in fact, a subsheaf of $F_*(Q)$ for a Q of some rank $\leq \text{rk}(V) - 1$ and $\text{deg}(Q) = -1$. This is the optimal degree allowed from Harder-Narasimhan flag slope considerations and this is accomplished in Theorem 4.1.2.

This paves the way for describing the instability locus $\mathcal{J}(r)$ in terms of a suitable Quot-scheme. We show that under the assumption that $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$, there is a morphism π (see Theorem 4.4.1 for the precise statement) from a disjoint union of relative Quot-schemes to $\mathcal{J}(r)$, which surjects onto the stable part of the instability locus $\mathcal{J}^s(r)$. We expect that π is birational over some irreducible component of $\mathcal{J}(r)$, but we are not able to show that for $r > 2$ and we hope to return to it at a later work. We also show (Proposition 5.4.2) that the set of dormant opers equals a relative Quot-scheme

$$\alpha : \text{Quot}(r, 0) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-(r-1)(g-1)}(X)$$

over the Picard variety of line bundles over X of degree $-(r-1)(g-1)$ such that the fiber $\alpha^{-1}(Q)$ over $Q \in \text{Pic}^{-(r-1)(g-1)}(X)$ equals the Quot-scheme $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ parameterizing subsheaves of degree 0 and rank r of the direct image $F_*(Q)$. In particular, since $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) \neq \emptyset$ (Proposition 2.3.2), we obtain the existence of dormant opers.

As mentioned earlier, the instability locus $\mathcal{J}(r)$, and especially $\mathcal{J}^s(r)$, is equipped with a stratification, and one would like a concrete description of the instability strata. This is at the moment only understood completely for $p = 2, r = 2$ ([JRXY]), though there are partial results for $p \leq 3, g \leq 3, r \leq 3$, or $p \geq 2g, r \leq 2$, in the references cited at the end of the first paragraph of this paper. Our first result (Theorem 1.1.1) identifies the minimal dimensional stratum of this stratification on $\mathcal{J}^s(r)$. The finiteness result Theorem (1.1.1) provides the following description of the minimal dimensional stratum which corresponds to the highest Harder-Narasimhan polygon: the stratum corresponding to the oper-polygon is zero dimensional. We call this the *operatic locus*. The operatic locus is characterized as the locus of semi-stable bundles whose Frobenius pull-back and its Harder-Narasimhan filtration together with its canonical connection and is a dormant oper. The finiteness result (Theorem 1.1.1) shows that the operatic locus is finite (Theorem 5.4.1). As applications of the relationship betweenopers and Quot-schemes, we mention the following results. Firstly, for rank two, we show (Theorem 7.1.2) that any irreducible component of $\mathcal{J}(2)$ containing a dormant oper has dimension $3g - 4$, which completes some results on $\dim \mathcal{J}(2)$ for general curves due to S. Mochizuki. Secondly, we deduce from Theorem 1.1.1 that $\dim \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) = 0$ (its expected dimension) for any line bundle Q of degree $-(r - 1)(g - 1)$.

1.2. Acknowledgements. The first author wishes to thank the University of Montpellier II for financial support of a research visit during spring 2008. We would like to thank S. Brochard, M. Gröchenig, P. Newstead, V. Mehta and B. Toën for helpful discussions. We thank the referee for many corrections and suggestions which have improved the readability of this paper. We also thank R. Bezrukavnikov for informing us of another approach to prove finiteness of the Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism. This approach has been realized in the preprint [CZ] (2013).

In the early 1990s Vikram B. Mehta suggested the problem of studying Frobenius destabilized bundles to the first author and encouraged him to think about it. Both authors acknowledge Vikram's mathematical tutelage, of many years, with great pleasure and deepest gratitude.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The statement of the results	1
1.2. Acknowledgements	5
2. Generalities	6
2.1. The Frobenius morphism and p -curvature	6
2.2. Direct images under the Frobenius morphism	8
2.3. The Hirschowitz bound and Quot-schemes	8
3. Opers	9
3.1. Definition and examples of opers and dormant opers	9
3.2. Recollection of results on opers	10
3.3. The Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism	13
3.4. Semistability	14
4. Quot-schemes and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles	15
4.1. Statement of the results	15
4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1.1	16
4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1.2	18
4.4. Loci of Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles	19
4.5. Maximal degree of subbundles of $F_*(Q)$	19
5. Harder-Narasimhan polygons of local systems	20
5.1. Harder-Narasimhan filtration	20
5.2. A theorem of Shatz	20
5.3. Oper-polygons are maximal	21
5.4. Correspondence between dormant opers and Quot-schemes	23
6. Finiteness of the scheme of nilpotent $\mathrm{PGL}(r)$ -opers	25
6.1. Nilpotent opers	25
6.2. Dimension of Quot-schemes	26
7. Applications to loci of Frobenius-destabilized rank-2 vector bundles	26
7.1. Dimension of any irreducible component	26
References	28

2. GENERALITIES

2.1. The Frobenius morphism and p -curvature.

2.1.1. *Definitions.* Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be the absolute Frobenius morphism of the curve X . One also has at one's disposal the relative Frobenius morphism $X \rightarrow X^{(1)} = X \times_{k, \sigma} k$, where $\sigma : k \rightarrow k$ denotes the Frobenius of the base field k . For notational simplicity we will work with the absolute Frobenius morphism as opposed to the relative Frobenius morphism. As we work over an algebraically closed field, one has an equivalence of categories of coherent sheaves on X and $X^{(1)}$ induced by the natural morphism $X^{(1)} \rightarrow X$, and the distinction between relative and absolute Frobenius is immaterial for our purposes. Occasionally we will (when we make relative constructions) invoke the relative Frobenius morphism and we will say so. We hope that the reader will not find the change too distressing (when it

is needed). The full yoga of the two morphisms is spelled out in many places (for instance in [K]). We denote by Ω_X^1 and T_X the canonical bundle and the tangent bundle of the curve X .

Given a local system (V, ∇) over X , i.e. a pair (V, ∇) consisting of a vector bundle V over X and a connection ∇ on V , we associate (see e.g. [K]) the p -curvature morphism

$$\psi(V, \nabla) : T_X \longrightarrow \text{End}(V), \quad D \mapsto \nabla(D)^p - \nabla(D^p).$$

Here D denotes a local vector field, D^p its p -th power and $\text{End}(V)$ denotes the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -linear endomorphisms of V .

2.1.2. Properties of the p -curvature morphism. By [K] Proposition 5.2 the p -curvature morphism is \mathcal{O}_X -semi-linear, which means that it corresponds to an \mathcal{O}_X -linear map, also denoted by $\psi(V, \nabla)$

$$(2.1.1) \quad \psi(V, \nabla) : F^*T_X \longrightarrow \text{End}(V).$$

In the sequel we will always consider p -curvature maps as \mathcal{O}_X -linear maps (2.1.1). Given local systems (V, ∇_V) and (W, ∇_W) over X , we can naturally associate the local systems given by their tensor product $(V \otimes W, \nabla_V \otimes \nabla_W)$ and the determinant $(\det V, \det \nabla_V)$.

Proposition 2.1.2. *We have the following relations on the p -curvature maps*

- (i) $\psi(\mathcal{O}_X, d) = 0$, where $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ is the differentiation operator.
- (ii) $\psi(V \otimes W, \nabla_V \otimes \nabla_W) = \psi(V, \nabla_V) \otimes \text{Id}_W + \text{Id}_V \otimes \psi(W, \nabla_W)$.
- (iii) $\psi(\det V, \det \nabla_V) = \text{Tr} \circ \psi(V, \nabla_V)$, where $\text{Tr} : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ denotes the trace map.

Proof. Part (i) is trivial. Part (ii) follows from the definition of the p -curvature and the fact that the two terms of $\nabla_{V \otimes W}(D) = \nabla_V(D) \otimes \text{Id}_W + \text{Id}_V \otimes \nabla_W(D)$ commute in the ring $\text{End}_k(V)$ of k -linear endomorphisms of V . As for part (iii), we iterate the formula proved in (ii) in order to obtain an expression for the p -curvature $\psi(V^{\otimes r}, \nabla_{V^{\otimes r}})$ with $r = \text{rk}(V)$ and show that the $F^*\Omega_X^1$ -valued endomorphism $\psi(V^{\otimes r}, \nabla_{V^{\otimes r}})$ of $V^{\otimes r}$ induces an endomorphism on the quotient $V^{\otimes r} \rightarrow \Lambda^r V = \det V$. The formula in (iii) then follows by taking a basis of local sections of V and comparing both sides of the equality. \square

Let (L, ∇_L) be a local system of rank 1, i.e. L is a line bundle. Let r be an integer not divisible by p . We say that (L, ∇_L) is an r -torsion local system if $(L^{\otimes r}, \nabla_{L^{\otimes r}}) = (\mathcal{O}_X, d)$. Note that for an r -torsion line bundle L there exists a unique connection ∇_L on L such that the local system (L, ∇_L) is r -torsion.

Proposition 2.1.3. *Let (L, ∇_L) be an r -torsion local system. If p does not divide r , then*

$$\psi(L, \nabla_L) = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1.2 (i) and (ii) we know that

$$0 = \psi(\mathcal{O}_X, d) = \psi(L^{\otimes r}, \nabla_{L^{\otimes r}}) = r\psi(L, \nabla_L).$$

Hence, if p does not divide r , we obtain the result. \square

2.1.3. Cartier's theorem. We now state a fundamental property of the p -curvature saying roughly that the tensor $\psi(V, \nabla)$ is the obstruction to descent of the bundle V under the Frobenius map $F : X \rightarrow X$.

Theorem 2.1.4 ([K] Theorem 5.1). (1) *Let E be a vector bundle over X . The pull-back $F^*(E)$ under the Frobenius morphism carries a canonical connection ∇^{can} , which satisfies the equality $\psi(F^*(E), \nabla^{can}) = 0$.*

- (2) Given a local system (V, ∇) over X , there exists a vector bundle E such that $(V, \nabla) = (F^*(E), \nabla^{can})$ if and only if $\psi(V, \nabla) = 0$.

2.2. Direct images under the Frobenius morphism. Applying the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula to the morphism $F : X \rightarrow X$ we obtain the following useful formula for the degree of the direct image of a vector bundle under the Frobenius morphism

Lemma 2.2.1. *Let Q be a vector bundle of rank $q = \text{rk}(Q)$ over X . Then we have*

$$\deg(F_*(Q)) = \deg(Q) + q(p-1)(g-1), \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(F_*(Q)) = \frac{\mu(Q)}{p} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)(g-1).$$

2.3. The Hirschowitz bound and Quot-schemes.

2.3.1. *Existence of subbundles of given rank and degree.* We will use the following result due to A. Hirschowitz [H] (see also [L]).

Theorem 2.3.1. *Let X be a smooth, projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let V be a vector bundle of rank n and degree d over X . Let m be an integer satisfying $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Then there exists a subbundle $W \subset V$ of rank m such that*

$$\mu(W) \geq \mu(V) - \left(\frac{n-m}{n}\right)(g-1) - \frac{\varepsilon}{mn},$$

where ε is the unique integer satisfying $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq n-1$ and

$$\varepsilon + m(n-m)(g-1) \equiv md \pmod{n}.$$

2.3.2. *Non-emptiness of Quot-schemes.* Let Q be a vector bundle of rank q and let r be an integer satisfying $q < r < pq$. We denote by

$$\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$$

the Quot-scheme parameterizing rank- r subsheaves of degree 0 of the vector bundle $F_*(Q)$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3.1.

Proposition 2.3.2. *If $\deg(Q) \geq -(r-q)(g-1)$, then $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3.1 there exists a subsheaf $W \subset F_*(Q)$ with $\text{rk}(W) = r$ such that

$$(2.3.3) \quad \mu(W) \geq \mu(F_*(Q)) - \left(\frac{pq-r}{pq}\right)(g-1) - \frac{\varepsilon}{pqr},$$

where ε is the unique integer satisfying $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq pq-1$ and

$$\varepsilon + r(pq-r)(g-1) = r \deg(F_*(Q)) \pmod{pq}.$$

Now by Lemma 2.2.1 we have $\deg(F_*(Q)) = \deg(Q) + q(p-1)(g-1)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= r(\deg(Q) + q(p-1)(g-1)) - r(pq-r)(g-1) \pmod{pq}, \\ &= r \deg(Q) + pqr(g-1) - rq(g-1) - pqr(g-1) + r^2(g-1) \pmod{pq} \\ &= r \deg(Q) - rq(g-1) + r^2(g-1) \pmod{pq} \\ &= r[\deg(Q) + (r-q)(g-1)] \pmod{pq} \end{aligned}$$

First we consider the case when $r[\deg(Q) + (r-q)(g-1)] \leq pq-1$. We replace ε with $r[\deg(Q) + (r-q)(g-1)]$ in the inequality (2.3.3) and we obtain $\mu(W) \geq 0$. Thus we can find a subsheaf W of rank r of $F_*(Q)$ with $\deg(W) \geq 0$. If $\deg(W) > 0$, then we take a lower modification V of W to get a subsheaf with $\deg(V) = 0$ and $\text{rk}(V) = r$.

Secondly, we consider the case when $r [\deg(Q) + (r - q)(g - 1)] \geq pq$ or, equivalently $\deg(Q) \geq \frac{pq}{r} - (r - q)(g - 1)$. When we combine this inequality and $\frac{-\varepsilon}{pqr} \geq -\frac{1}{r}$, with inequality (2.3.3) we obtain the lower bound $\mu(W) \geq 0$, and we can conclude as above. \square

2.3.3. *Dimension estimates for the Quot-scheme.* We recall the following result [Gr, Exposé IV, Cor. 5.2] (or [HL, Cor. 2.2.9]), which will be used in Section 7 in the rank two case.

Proposition 2.3.4. *Any irreducible component of $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ has dimension at least*

$$r [(r - q)(g - 1) + \deg(Q)].$$

Proof. By [Gr, Exposé IV, Cor. 5.2] (or [HL, Cor. 2.2.9]) the dimension at a point $E \in \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ is at least $\chi(X, \text{Hom}(E, F_*(Q)/E))$, which is easily computed using Lemma 2.2.1. \square

3. OPERS

3.1. **Definition and examples of opers and dormant opers.** Opers were introduced by A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld in [BD1] (see also [BD2]). In this paper, following [JRXY, Section 5], we slightly modify the definition (in [BD1, BD2]).

Definition 3.1.1. An *oper* over a smooth algebraic curve X defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ is a triple (V, ∇, V_\bullet) , where

- (1) V is a vector bundle over X ,
- (2) ∇ is a connection on V ,
- (3) $V_\bullet : 0 = V_l \subset V_{l-1} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \subset V_0 = V$ is a decreasing filtration by subbundles of V , called the oper flag.

These data have to satisfy the following conditions

- (1) $\nabla(V_i) \subset V_{i-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$ for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$,
- (2) the induced maps $(V_i/V_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} (V_{i-1}/V_i) \otimes \Omega_X^1$ are isomorphisms for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$.

Definition 3.1.2. We say that an oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) is a *dormant oper* if $\psi(V, \nabla) = 0$, i.e. by Cartier's theorem, if the oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) is of the form $(F^*(E), \nabla^{can}, V_\bullet)$.

Given an oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) we denote by Q the first quotient of V_\bullet , i.e.,

$$Q = V_0/V_1.$$

We define the *degree*, *type* and *length* of an oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) by

$$\deg(V, \nabla, V_\bullet) = \deg(V), \quad \text{type}(V, \nabla, V_\bullet) = \text{rk}(Q), \quad \text{length}(V, \nabla, V_\bullet) = l.$$

The following formulae are immediately deduced from the definition:

$$(3.1.3) \quad V_i/V_{i+1} \cong Q \otimes (\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq l - 1,$$

$$(3.1.4) \quad \deg(V) = l(\deg(Q) + \text{rk}(Q)(l - 1)(g - 1)), \quad \text{rk}(V) = \text{rk}(Q)l.$$

We note that the existence of a connection ∇ on V implies that $\deg(V)$ is divisible by p (see [K]) — the connection ∇ can have non-zero p -curvature. Note that our notion of oper of type 1 corresponds to the notion of $\text{GL}(l)$ -oper in [BD1].

Remark 3.1.5. If the quotient Q is semistable, then the oper flag V_\bullet coincides with the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of the vector bundle V . To see this we note that the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is the unique filtration characterized by the two properties (see section 5.1): (1) graded pieces are semistable and (2) the slopes are strictly decreasing (starting with μ_{max}). Now as Q is semistable it suffices to check that slopes of the graded pieces satisfy the second property. This is an easy numerical check which is immediate from the formulae for the graded pieces given above.

The following result which combines the results of [JRXY, Section 5] and [Su2] provides the basic example of opers in characteristic $p > 0$.

Theorem 3.1.6. *Let E be any vector bundle over X and let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be the absolute Frobenius of X . Then the triple*

$$(V = F^*(F_*(E)), \nabla^{can}, V_\bullet),$$

where V_\bullet is the canonical filtration defined in [JRXY] section 5.3, is a dormant oper of type $\text{rk}(E)$ and length p . Moreover, there is an equality $V_0/V_1 = Q = E$.

3.2. Recollection of results on opers. In this section we concentrate on opers of type 1, which we call opers for simplicity. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Although all the results which are mentioned in this section are proved in [BD1] and [BD2] over the complex numbers, we recall the main facts and include some proofs for the convenience of the reader.

We assume that $p > r$ and that p does not divide $g - 1$.

We recall that a $\text{GL}(r)$ -oper (as defined by [BD1]) corresponds to an oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) of type 1 and length r as defined by 3.1.1. An $\text{SL}(r)$ -oper is a $\text{GL}(r)$ -oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) which satisfies $(\det V, \det \nabla) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_X, d)$, where $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ is the differentiation operator on X .

Given a theta-characteristic θ on X we consider the (unique up to isomorphism) rank-2 vector bundle $\mathcal{G}_2(\theta)$ defined as the non-split extension of θ^{-1} by θ

$$0 \longrightarrow \theta \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\theta) \longrightarrow \theta^{-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and equip it with the filtration $\mathcal{G}_2(\theta)_1 = \theta$. Under the above assumption on the characteristic p , any connection ∇ on $\mathcal{G}_2(\theta)$ defines a $\text{GL}(2)$ -oper $(\mathcal{G}_2(\theta), \nabla, \mathcal{G}_2(\theta)_\bullet)$. For arbitrary r , we introduce the rank- r vector bundle given by the symmetric power

$$\mathcal{G}_r(\theta) := \text{Sym}^{r-1}(\mathcal{G}_2(\theta))$$

and equip it with the filtration $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)_\bullet$ given by the natural subbundles

$$\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)_i = \theta^i \otimes \mathcal{G}_{r-i}(\theta) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1.$$

We define $\mathcal{G}_1(\theta) = \mathcal{O}_X$. As for $r = 2$ any connection ∇ on $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$ defines a $\text{GL}(r)$ -oper $(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta), \nabla, \mathcal{G}_r(\theta)_\bullet)$. Conversely, it is easy to show that, up to tensor product by a line bundle, the underlying filtered bundle (V, V_\bullet) of a $\text{GL}(r)$ -oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta), \mathcal{G}_r(\theta)_\bullet)$. It is straightforward to establish that, if r is odd $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$ does not depend on θ and, if r is even $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta \otimes \gamma) = \mathcal{G}_r(\theta) \otimes \gamma$ for any 2-torsion line bundle $\gamma \in \text{JX}[2]$. We recall that $\det \mathcal{G}_r(\theta) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and that $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)_\bullet$ is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$.

We also note that the space of global sections

$$(3.2.1) \quad \text{Hom}(\theta^{-(r-1)}, \theta \otimes \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \Omega_X^1) = H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \theta^{r+2})$$

has a canonical filtration induced by the filtration $\mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta)_\bullet$ and its associated graded vector space is given by

$$\mathbf{W}_r = \bigoplus_{i=2}^r H^0(X, (\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i}).$$

We recall that $\dim \mathbf{W}_r = (g-1)(r^2-1)$.

In order to deal with moduli of opers we introduce the following moduli stacks:

- (1) the stack $\text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(r)}$ parameterizing rank- r local systems over X defined as the sheaf of groupoids which assigns to a k -scheme S the groupoid $\text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(r)}(S)$ of pairs (\mathcal{V}, ∇) where \mathcal{V} is a vector bundle over $X \times S$ having degree zero on the fibers over S and $\nabla : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes p_X^* \Omega_X^1$ is an \mathcal{O}_S -linear map satisfying Leibniz rule. Morphisms in $\text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(r)}(S)$ are isomorphisms of vector bundles $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}'$ commuting with the connections. The stack $\text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(r)}$ is algebraic (see e.g. [LasP1] Corollary 3.1).
- (2) the stack $\text{Loc}_{\text{SL}(r)}$ parameterizing rank- r local systems over X with trivial determinant defined as the fiber over the trivial local system (\mathcal{O}_X, d) of the map of stacks $\text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(r)} \rightarrow \text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(1)}$ induced by the determinant. More explicitly, for a k -scheme S the groupoid $\text{Loc}_{\text{SL}(r)}(S)$ consists of triples $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \alpha)$, where \mathcal{V} and ∇ are as in (1) and $\alpha : (\det \mathcal{V}, \det \nabla) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}, d)$ is an isomorphism of local systems. Here $d : \mathcal{O}_{X \times S} \rightarrow p_X^* \Omega_X^1$ is the \mathcal{O}_S -linear differentiation operator of $X \times S/S$. Since the morphism of stacks $pt \rightarrow \text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(1)}$ corresponding to the trivial local system (\mathcal{O}_X, d) is representable, the morphism $\text{Loc}_{\text{SL}(r)} \rightarrow \text{Loc}_{\text{GL}(r)}$ is also representable (by base change) and therefore $\text{Loc}_{\text{SL}(r)}$ is an algebraic stack.
- (3) the stack $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)}$ parameterizing $\text{SL}(r)$ -opers over X is the stack which assigns to a k -scheme S the groupoid $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)}(S)$ consisting of quadruples $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \mathcal{V}_\bullet, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \alpha$ are as in (1) and (2), and \mathcal{V}_\bullet denotes a decreasing filtration of subbundles of \mathcal{V} . Moreover these data have to satisfy the conditions (1) and (2) of Definition 3.1.1 over the relative curve $X \times S \rightarrow S$. Morphisms in $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)}(S)$ are isomorphisms of vector bundles commuting with the connections, the trivializations of the determinant and preserving the filtrations. The morphism which forgets the filtration $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)} \rightarrow \text{Loc}_{\text{SL}(r)}$ is an immersion, since the filtration \mathcal{V}_\bullet coincides with the Harder-Narasimhan filtration on \mathcal{V} (see Remark 3.1.5 and e.g. [He] Proposition 5.3).
- (4) the stack \mathcal{T}_r parameterizing r -torsion line bundles over X is the stack which assigns to a k -scheme S the groupoid $\mathcal{T}_r(S)$ consisting of pairs (\mathcal{L}, β) where \mathcal{L} is a line bundle over $X \times S$ and $\beta : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$ is an isomorphism. Morphisms in $\mathcal{T}_r(S)$ are isomorphisms between line bundles commuting with the trivializations, hence the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_r(S)) = \mu_r$. Note that \mathcal{T}_r is the fiber product of the stack morphism $[r] : \mathcal{P}ic \rightarrow \mathcal{P}ic$ between Picard stacks of the curve X induced by the r -th power map and the stack morphism $pt \rightarrow \mathcal{P}ic$ corresponding to the trivial line bundle.
- (5) the group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$ of trivial-determinant automorphisms of the bundle $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$ acts via conjugation on the space of connections of $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$. We denote this action by $\nabla \mapsto \nabla^\phi$ for $\phi \in \text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$. We introduce the space $\text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$ parameterizing gauge equivalence classes of connections on $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$ with trivial determinant. Then we have

Lemma 3.2.2. *The space $\text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$ is an affine space whose underlying vector space equals the space of sections $H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \theta^{r+2})$ introduced in 3.2.1. Note that $H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \theta^{r+2})$ is naturally a subspace of $H^0(X, \text{End}_0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)) \otimes \Omega_X^1)$.*

Proof. It can be shown that, fixing a connection ∇_0 on $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$, for any connection ∇ there exists a unique (up to homothety) $\phi \in \text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$ and a unique $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \theta^{r+2})$ such that

$$\nabla^\phi = \nabla_0 + \omega.$$

□

In other words, fixing a connection ∇_0 on $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$, the affine subspace

$$\{\nabla_0 + \omega \mid \omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \theta^{r+2})\}$$

of the space of connections on $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$ is isomorphic to the quotient $\text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$. In particular, we have a transitive and free action of $H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \theta^{r+2})$ on the space $\text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$ — note that any $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(\theta) \otimes \theta^{r+2})$ is fixed under conjugation by $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$.

The previous moduli stacks are related as follows.

Proposition 3.2.3 ([BD1] Proposition 3.4.2). *Fixing a theta-characteristic θ we have an isomorphism between stacks*

$$\tau_\theta : \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)) \times \mathcal{T}_r.$$

Proof. Over a k -scheme S an object in $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)}(S)$ is a quadruple $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \mathcal{V}_\bullet, \alpha)$ described above. We consider the quotient line bundle $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{V}_0/\mathcal{V}_1$ over $S \times X$. Then, using the connection ∇ and its induced isomorphisms on the quotients $\mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{V}_{i+1}$, we obtain an isomorphism $\det \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes r} \otimes (\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes \frac{r(r-1)}{2}}$. We put $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{Q} \otimes p_X^* \theta^{\otimes r-1}$. Composing the previous isomorphism with α , we obtain an isomorphism $\beta : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$. We recall that the line bundle \mathcal{L} has a unique \mathcal{O}_S -linear connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})^{\otimes r} = (\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}, d)$. Tensoring (\mathcal{V}, ∇) with $(\mathcal{L}^{-1}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{-1}})$ we obtain a local system $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{W}_\bullet) = (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \nabla \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{-1}}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})$, which clearly satisfies the oper conditions (1) and (2). By restriction of \mathcal{W} to a fiber $\{x\} \times S$, we show that the induced isomorphisms between quotients of the filtration by the \mathcal{O}_S -linear connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}$ give a splitting of the filtration $\mathcal{W}_\bullet|_{\{x\} \times S}$, hence an isomorphism $\mathcal{W}|_{\{x\} \times S} \cong \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus r}$ for any $x \in X$. This implies that $\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_X^* \mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$ and therefore the connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}$ induces a map $\phi : S \rightarrow \text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$, i.e. an object of $\text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))(S)$. The map τ_θ then associates to the quadruple $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \mathcal{V}_\bullet, \alpha)$ the pair $(\phi, (\mathcal{L}, \beta))$. An inverse map to τ_θ is naturally constructed by choosing a connection on $\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)$. □

In particular, for any theta-characteristic θ we obtain via the above isomorphism a map

$$\sigma_\theta : \text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)}.$$

Note that the affine space $\text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$ does not depend on the theta-characteristic θ , since for any two theta-characteristics θ and θ' there exists a canonical isomorphism $\text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)) \cong \text{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta'))$.

In [BD1] section 3.1 the authors introduce the notion of $\text{PGL}(r)$ -oper and show that the stack of $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ is an affine space ([BD1] Proposition 3.1.10). Since our results

can be stated in terms of vector bundles only, we decided to avoid introducing G -opers for a general group G in order to keep our paper more accessible. We refer the interested reader to [BD1] and [BD2]. For completeness, we mention that the natural group homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}(r) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(r)$ induces a projection

$$pr : \mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{PGL}(r)}.$$

In [BD1] sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 the authors construct a section of pr . More precisely, they show that for any theta-characteristic θ , there is an isomorphism

$$\phi_\theta : \mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{PGL}(r)} \times \mathcal{T}_r \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)}.$$

In Proposition 3.2.3 we reformulated the above isomorphism in terms of vector bundles. In particular, for any theta-characteristic θ we obtain an isomorphism

$$pr \circ \sigma_\theta : \mathrm{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{PGL}(r)},$$

which does not depend on the theta-characteristic (see [BD1] section 3.4.2). Although we have not defined the space $\mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{PGL}(r)}$ in this paper, we shall however use in the sequel $\mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{PGL}(r)}$ as a notation for the affine space $\mathrm{Conn}^0(\mathcal{G}_r(\theta))$.

Although not needed in this paper, we mention that, as a corollary to Proposition 3.2.3, the group $JX[r]$ acts on the stack $\mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)}$ via tensor product with r -torsion local systems. The set of connected components of $\mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $JX[r]$.

3.3. The Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism. We consider the morphism of stacks

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathrm{Loc}_{\mathrm{GL}(r)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(X, F^*(\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i}), \quad (V, \nabla) \mapsto \mathrm{Char}(\psi(V, \nabla)),$$

where $\mathrm{Char}(\psi(V, \nabla))$ denotes the vector in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(X, F^*(\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i})$ whose components are given by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of the p -curvature $\psi(V, \nabla) : V \rightarrow V \otimes F^*(\Omega_X^1)$. It is shown in [LasP1] Proposition 3.2 that the components of $\mathrm{Char}(\psi(V, \nabla))$ descend under the Frobenius morphism. This implies that the morphism (3.3.1) factorizes as

$$\mathrm{Loc}_{\mathrm{GL}(r)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} V_r := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(X, (\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i}) \xrightarrow{F^*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(X, F^*(\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i}).$$

Proposition 3.3.2. *The image of the composition of the natural map $\mathrm{Loc}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Loc}_{\mathrm{GL}(r)}$ with Φ is contained in the subspace $\mathbf{W}_r \subset \mathbf{V}_r$.*

Proof. Let S be a k -scheme and let $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \alpha)$ be an object of the groupoid $\mathrm{Loc}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)}(S)$. By the relative version of Proposition 2.1.2 (i) and (iii), we obtain that $\mathrm{Tr}[\psi(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)] = \psi(\det \mathcal{V}, \det \nabla) = 0$ in $\mathrm{Mor}(S, H^0(\Omega_X^1))$. \square

We also denote the composite map by $\Phi : \mathrm{Loc}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_r$.

Given a theta-characteristic θ on X , we consider the composite morphism

$$\mathrm{HM} : \mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{PGL}(r)} \xrightarrow{\sigma_\theta} \mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Loc}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{W}_r,$$

which we call the *Hitchin-Mochizuki* morphism. By the above considerations the Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism can be identified with a self-map of the affine space of dimension $(g-1)(r^2-1)$.

Proposition 3.3.3. *The morphism HM does not depend on the theta-characteristic θ .*

Proof. Given two theta-characteristics θ and θ' on X , we can write $\theta' = \theta \otimes \alpha$ with α a 2-torsion line bundle on X . By [BD1] section 3.4.2 there exists an r -torsion line bundle L (take $L = \mathcal{O}_X$ if r is odd and $L = \alpha$ if r is even) such that $\sigma_{\theta'} = T_L \circ \sigma_\theta$, where T_L is the automorphism of $\mathfrak{Op}_{\mathrm{SL}(r)}(X)$ induced by tensor product with the r -torsion local system (L, ∇_L) . Here ∇_L is the unique connection on L such that $(L, \nabla_L)^{\otimes r} = (\mathcal{O}_X, d)$. Now the result follows because, by Proposition 2.1.2 (ii) and by Proposition 2.1.3, we have for any local system (V, ∇_V)

$$\psi(V \otimes L, \nabla_V \otimes \nabla_L) = \psi(V, \nabla_V) + \mathrm{Id}_V \otimes \psi(L, \nabla_L) = \psi(V, \nabla_V).$$

□

3.4. Semistability. We recall the notion of semistability, introduced in [Si], for a local system, i.e., a pair (V, ∇) , where V is a vector bundle over X and ∇ is a connection on V .

Definition 3.4.1. We say that the local system (V, ∇) is *semistable* (resp. *stable*) if any proper ∇ -invariant subsheaf $W \subset V$ satisfies $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$ (resp. $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$).

In the particular case of a local system (V, ∇) with $\psi(V, \nabla) = 0$ the semistability condition can be expressed as follows. In that case, by Cartier's theorem (Theorem 2.1.4), the local system (V, ∇) is of the form $(V, \nabla) = (F^*(E), \nabla^{\mathrm{can}})$ for some vector bundle E over X . Then, since ∇^{can} -invariant subsheaves of $F^*(E)$ correspond to subsheaves of E , the local system $(F^*(E), \nabla^{\mathrm{can}})$ is semistable if and only if E is semistable.

Lemma 3.4.2. *Let (V, ∇, V_\bullet) be an oper of any type with $Q = V_0/V_1$ and let $W \subset V$ be a ∇ -invariant subbundle. We consider the induced filtration W_\bullet on W defined by $W_i = W \cap V_i$ and denote by m the integer satisfying $W_m \neq 0$ and $W_{m+1} = 0$. Then*

(i) *there is an inclusion $W_0/W_1 \hookrightarrow Q$ and the connection ∇ induces sheaf inclusions*

$$W_i/W_{i+1} \hookrightarrow (W_{i-1}/W_i) \otimes \Omega_X^1.$$

(ii) *we have a decreasing sequence of integers*

$$(3.4.3) \quad \mathrm{rk}(Q) = q \geq r_0 \geq r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_m \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^m r_i = \mathrm{rk}(W).$$

with $r_i = \mathrm{rk}(W_i/W_{i+1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. Since W is ∇ -invariant, the connection ∇ induces the horizontal maps of the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_i/W_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & (W_{i-1}/W_i) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_i/V_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & (V_{i-1}/V_i) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \end{array}$$

Since the vertical arrows are inclusions and the lower horizontal map is an isomorphism (by Definition 3.1.1), we obtain part (i). Part (ii) follows immediately from part (i). □

Proposition 3.4.4. *Let (V, ∇, V_\bullet) be an oper of any type with $Q = V_0/V_1$. If Q is semistable (resp. stable), then the local system (V, ∇) is semistable (resp. stable).*

Proof. We will use Lemma 3.4.2 and its notational setup. Combining the inclusions of Lemma 3.4.2 (i), we obtain for any $0 \leq i \leq m$

$$W_i/W_{i+1} \hookrightarrow Q \otimes (\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes i}.$$

Hence, if Q is semistable, we have the inequality $\mu(W_i/W_{i+1}) \leq \mu(Q) + i(2g - 2)$ and we obtain

$$\deg(W) = \sum_{i=0}^m r_i \mu(W_i/W_{i+1}) \leq \text{rk}(W) \mu(Q) + (2g - 2) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m i r_i \right)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mu(W) \leq \mu(Q) + \frac{2g - 2}{\text{rk}(W)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^m i r_i \right)$$

By relation (3.1.4) we have $\mu(V) = \mu(Q) + (l - 1)(g - 1)$, so the semistability condition $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$ will be implied by the inequality

$$2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^m i r_i \right) \leq \text{rk}(W)(l - 1).$$

Obviously the length m of the induced filtration on W is bounded by $l - 1$, hence it suffices to show that $2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^m i r_i \right) \leq \text{rk}(W)m$. But the latter inequality reduces to

$$\text{rk}(W)m - 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^m i r_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^m (m - 2i) r_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (m - 2i)(r_i - r_{m-i}) \geq 0,$$

which holds because of the inequalities (3.4.3).

Finally, if Q is stable, then one easily deduces from the preceding inequalities that equality $\mu(W) = \mu(V)$ holds if and only if $W = V$. Hence (V, ∇) is stable. \square

Although we will not use the next result, we mention an interesting corollary (due to X. Sun)

Corollary 3.4.5 ([Su2] Theorem 2.2). *Let E be a vector bundle over X . If E is semistable (resp. stable), then the direct image under the Frobenius morphism $F_*(E)$ is semistable (resp. stable).*

Proof. It suffices to apply Proposition 3.4.4 to the oper $(F^*(F_*(E)), \nabla^{can}, V_\bullet)$, where V_\bullet is the canonical filtration, which satisfies $V_0/V_1 = E$ (see Theorem 3.1.6). \square

Remark 3.4.6. We note that Proposition 3.4.4 and Lemma 3.4.2 are essentially a reformulation of X. Sun's arguments in the set-up of opers.

4. QUOT-SCHEMES AND FROBENIUS-DESTABILIZED VECTOR BUNDLES

4.1. **Statement of the results.** Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and put

$$C(r, g) = r(r - 1)(r - 2)(g - 1).$$

The purpose of this section is to show the following

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let X be a smooth, projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. If $p > r(r - 1)(r - 2)(g - 1)$, then we have*

- (1) *Every stable and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundle V of rank r and slope $\mu(V) = \mu$ over X is a subsheaf $V \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$ for some stable vector bundle Q of rank $\text{rk}(Q) < r$ and $\mu(Q) < p\mu$.*
- (2) *Conversely, given a semistable vector bundle Q with $\text{rk}(Q) < r$ and $\mu(Q) < p\mu$, every subsheaf $V \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$ of rank $\text{rk}(V) = r$ and slope $\mu(V) = \mu$ is semistable and destabilized by Frobenius.*

In the case when the degree of the Frobenius-destabilized bundle equals 0, we will prove the following refinement of Theorem 4.1.1.

Theorem 4.1.2. *Let X be a smooth, projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . If $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$, then every stable and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundle V of rank r and of degree 0 over X is a subsheaf*

$$V \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$$

for some stable vector bundle Q of rank $\text{rk}(Q) < r$ and degree $\deg(Q) = -1$.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1.1. Let V be a stable and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundle of rank r and slope $\mu(V) = \mu$. Consider the first quotient Q of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F^*(V)$. If Q is not stable, we replace Q by a stable quotient. This shows the existence of a stable vector bundle Q such that

$$F^*(V) \rightarrow Q \quad \text{and} \quad p\mu = \mu(F^*(V)) > \mu(Q).$$

Moreover $\text{rk}(Q) < \text{rk}(V) = r$. By adjunction we obtain a non-zero map

$$V \rightarrow F_*(Q).$$

Thus to prove the Theorem 4.1.1(1) it will suffice to prove that this map will be an injection.

Suppose that this is not the case. Then the image of $V \rightarrow F_*(Q)$ generates a subbundle, say, $W \subset F_*(Q)$ and one has $1 \leq \text{rk}(W) \leq r-1$ and by the stability of V , we have

$$\mu(V) = \mu < \mu(W).$$

Now we observe that we can bound $\mu(W)$ from below

$$\mu(W) \geq \mu + \frac{1}{r(r-1)} > \frac{\mu(Q)}{p} + \frac{1}{r(r-1)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1.1(1) will now follow from Proposition 4.2.1 below applied with $\delta = \frac{1}{r(r-1)}$ and $n = r-1$.

Let us also indicate how to deduce Theorem 4.1.1(2) from Proposition 4.2.1 as well. Let $V \subset F_*(Q)$ be a subsheaf of $\text{rk}(V) = r$ and $\mu(V) = \mu$ with $\mu(Q)/p \leq \mu$. If V is not semi-stable, then there exists a subsheaf $W \subset V$ with $\mu(W) > \mu(V)$ and, more precisely, $\mu(W) \geq \mu(V) + \frac{1}{r(r-1)}$. Moreover $W \subset V \subset F_*(Q)$. Replacing W by a subbundle generated by it in $F_*(Q)$, we see that we have a subbundle $W \subset F_*(Q)$ with $\mu(W) \geq \frac{\mu(Q)}{p} + \frac{1}{r(r-1)}$. We can now apply Proposition 4.2.1 with $\delta = \frac{1}{r(r-1)}$ and $n = r-1$ to obtain a contradiction. Hence V is semistable. The fact that V is Frobenius-destabilized follows immediately by adjunction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.1.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let Q be a semistable vector bundle over the curve X . Let $\delta > 0$ be a real number and let n be a positive integer. Assume that $p > \frac{(n-1)(g-1)}{\delta}$. Then any subbundle $W \subset F_*(Q)$ of rank $\text{rk}(W) \leq n$ has slope*

$$\mu(W) < \frac{\mu(Q)}{p} + \delta.$$

Proof. We recall from Theorem 3.1.6 that the triple $(F^*(F_*(Q)), \nabla^{\text{can}}, V_\bullet)$ is a dormant oper of type $q = \text{rk}(Q)$. Let $W \subset F_*(Q)$ be a subbundle. Then let $W_0 = F^*(W) \subset F^*(F_*(Q)) = V$

and consider the induced flag on W_0 , i.e. $W_i = W_0 \cap V_i$. We now apply Lemma 3.4.2 (i) to the subbundle $W_0 \subset V$ and, using the notation of that lemma, we have

$$(4.2.2) \quad q \geq r_0 \geq r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_m \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^m r_i = \text{rk}(W) = w.$$

It is shown in [Su2] formula (2.12) that

$$(4.2.3) \quad \mu(F_*(Q)) \geq \mu(W) + \frac{2(g-1)}{pw} \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - i \right) r_i.$$

We will bound the sum on the right from below to obtain a bound on $\mu(F_*(Q)) - \mu(W)$. This will be a substantial strengthening of the results of [JRXY], [LanP] and [J1].

We have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - i \right) r_i &= \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{p-1}{2} r_i - \sum_{i=0}^m i r_i \\ &= \frac{p-1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m r_i - \sum_{i=0}^m i r_i, \\ &= w \frac{p-1}{2} - \sum_{i=0}^m i r_i. \end{aligned}$$

So to bound the expression on the right from below, it will suffice to bound the sum $\sum_{i=0}^m i r_i$ from above subject to constraints (4.2.2). This is done in the following

Lemma 4.2.4. *For any sequence of positive integers $r_\bullet = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_m)$ satisfying the constraints (4.2.2) we have the inequality*

$$S(r_\bullet) = \sum_{i=0}^m i r_i \leq \frac{w(w-1)}{2}.$$

Moreover, we have equality if and only if $m = w - 1$ and $r_0 = \cdots = r_m = 1$.

Proof. Given a sequence r_\bullet we introduce the sequence of integers $s_\bullet = (s_0, \dots, s_{m+1})$ with $s_i \geq 0$ defined by the relations

$$r_i = 1 + s_{m+1} + s_m + \cdots + s_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq m, \quad \text{and} \quad r_0 + s_0 = q.$$

We compute $S(r_\bullet) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} s_i$. The problem is then equivalent to determine an upper bound for $S(r_\bullet)$, where the integers s_\bullet are subject to the two constraints $\sum_{i=0}^{m+1} s_i = q - 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} i s_i = w - (m + 1)$. Since $s_i \geq 0$ and $\frac{i(i-1)}{2} \leq \frac{im}{2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$, we obtain the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} s_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{m}{2} i s_i = \frac{m}{2} (w - (m + 1)),$$

hence $S(r_\bullet) \leq \frac{mw}{2}$. If we vary m in the range $0 \leq m \leq w - 1$, we observe that the maximum is obtained for $m = w - 1$ and that equality holds for $s_1 = \cdots = s_{m+1} = 0$, $s_0 = q - 1$, i.e. $r_0 = \cdots = r_m = 1$. \square

Combining the previous lemma with inequality (4.2.3), we obtain

$$\mu(F_*(Q)) \geq \mu(W) + \frac{2(g-1)}{pw} \left[w \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{w(w-1)}{2} \right] = \mu(W) + (g-1) \left(1 - \frac{w}{p} \right).$$

As by Lemma 2.2.1 we have $\mu(F_*(Q)) = \frac{\mu(Q)}{p} + (g-1)(1 - \frac{1}{p})$, we obtain the inequality

$$\mu(W) \leq \frac{\mu(Q)}{p} + (g-1) \left(\frac{w-1}{p} \right).$$

Hence if $\frac{(g-1)(w-1)}{p} < \delta$, or equivalently $p > \frac{(w-1)(g-1)}{\delta}$, we obtain the desired inequality. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1.2. Let V be a Frobenius-destabilized vector bundle of degree 0 and rank r . We will need a lemma.

Lemma 4.3.1. *Let E be a non-semistable vector bundle with $\deg E = 0$. Then there exists a semistable vector bundle Q with $\deg(Q) = -1$ and $\text{rk}(Q) < \text{rk}(E)$ and such that $\text{Hom}(E, Q) \neq 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the existence of a semistable subsheaf $S \subset V = E^*$ with $\deg S = 1$ and then take the dual. We will prove that by a double induction. Given two positive integers r and d we introduce the induction hypothesis $H(r, d)$: “If V contains a semistable subsheaf of rank r and degree d , then V contains a semistable subsheaf of degree 1”.

We will show that $H(r, d)$ holds for any $1 \leq r < \text{rk}(E)$ and any $d \geq 1$. We first observe that $H(r, 1)$ holds trivially for any r , and $H(1, d)$ holds for any d , because there exist degree 1 and rank 1 subsheaves of any degree d line bundle.

We now suppose that $H(r, d)$ holds for any pair (r, d) with $1 \leq r < r_0$ and we will deduce that $H(r_0, d)$ holds for any $d \geq 1$. As observed above $H(r_0, 1)$ holds. So assume that $H(r_0, d)$ holds. We will show that $H(r_0, d+1)$ also holds. So assume that V contains a semistable subsheaf W of rank r and degree $d+1$. Two cases can occur²: either there exists a semistable negative elementary transform $W' \subset W$ of colength one (hence a general negative elementary transform is semistable by openness of semistability) or any such W' is non-semistable. In the first case we can take a semistable elementary transform W' , which has degree d , and apply $H(r_0, d)$, which holds by induction. In the second case we pick any elementary transform W' and consider the first piece $M \subset W'$ of its Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Then it follows from the definition of M that

$$\frac{d}{r_0} = \mu(W') < \mu(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rk}(M) < r_0.$$

In particular, $\deg(M) \geq 1$. So we can apply $H(\text{rk}(M), \deg(M))$, which holds by induction, and we are done. \square

We apply the lemma to the non-semistable bundle $V = F^*(E)$ and obtain a non-zero map

$$F^*(E) \rightarrow Q \quad \text{with} \quad \deg(Q) = -1, \text{rk}(Q) \leq r - 1.$$

We now continue as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.1(1) to show that the map $E \rightarrow F_*(Q)$ obtained by adjunction is injective.

²We are grateful to Peter Newstead for having pointed out this fact.

4.4. Loci of Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles. Let $\mathcal{M}(r)$ denote the coarse moduli space of S -equivalence classes of semistable bundles of rank r and degree 0 over the curve X .

We wish to define the closed subscheme of $\mathcal{M}(r)$ which parameterizes Frobenius-destabilized bundles. The construction is as follows. We recall the construction of $\mathcal{M}(r)$ as a projective variety via Geometric Invariant Theory. There exists a quasi-projective variety R and an action of $PGL(N)$ on R such that the quotient is $\mathcal{M}(r)$. On R , we have a universal family of semistable bundles on X . So on R we may apply a theorem of S. Shatz (see 5.2.1 or [Sha]) which asserts that the locus of points of R where the Harder-Narasimhan polynomial jumps is closed in R . We will abuse notation by identifying a semistable bundle V on X with the corresponding point $[V] \in R$. Let $Z \subset R$ be the locus of points $V \in R$, where $F^*(V)$ has Harder-Narasimhan polygon lying strictly above the slope zero polygon of rank r . Then Z is closed by Shatz' Theorem and invariant under the action of $PGL(N)$, since, given a strictly semistable bundle E with associated graded $\text{gr}(E) = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l$ with E_i stable, one observes that E is Frobenius-destabilized if and only if at least one of the stable summands E_i is Frobenius-destabilized. Then let $\mathcal{J}(r)$ be the image of Z under the quotient morphism $R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(r)$. As $\mathcal{M}(r)$ is a good quotient, the image of Z is closed. So $\mathcal{J}(r) \subset \mathcal{M}(r)$ is closed. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}(r)$ is a closed subvariety of $\mathcal{M}(r)$. Let $\mathcal{J}^s(r) \subset \mathcal{J}(r)$ be the open subset corresponding to stable bundles. This is the image of under the above quotient map, of the corresponding subset $Z^s \subset Z$ with V stable. We will refer to

$$\mathcal{J}(r) \subset \mathcal{M}(r)$$

as the locus of semistable bundles E which are destabilized by Frobenius pull-back, i.e. $F^*(E)$ is not semistable.

Let $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ be an integer and let $\mathcal{M}(q, -1)$ be the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank q and degree -1 over the curve X . As $\gcd(q, -1) = 1$ we are in the coprime case and so every semistable bundle $Q \in \mathcal{M}(q, -1)$ is stable. In particular, we see that there exists a universal Poincaré bundle \mathcal{U} on $\mathcal{M}(q, -1) \times X$. Let

$$\alpha : \text{Quot}(q, r, 0) := \text{Quot}^{r,0}((F \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}(q,-1)})_* \mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(q, -1)$$

be the relative Quot-scheme [Gr] over $\mathcal{M}(q, -1)$. The fibre $\alpha^{-1}(Q)$ over a point $Q \in \mathcal{M}(q, -1)$ equals $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$. We note that $\text{Quot}(q, r, 0)$ is a proper scheme [Gr].

We are now ready to restate Theorems 4.1.2 and 4.1.1(2) in a geometrical set-up.

Theorem 4.4.1. *If $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$, then the image of the forgetful morphism*

$$\pi : \prod_{q=1}^{r-1} \text{Quot}(q, r, 0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(r), \quad [E \subset F_*(Q)] \mapsto E$$

is contained in the locus $\mathcal{J}(r)$ and contains the closure $\overline{\mathcal{J}^s(r)}$ of the stable locus $\mathcal{J}^s(r)$.

Question 4.4.2. For $r \geq 3$, is the locus $\mathcal{J}(r)$ equal to the closure $\overline{\mathcal{J}^s(r)}$ in $\mathcal{M}(r)$? In other words, does any irreducible component of $\mathcal{J}(r)$ contain stable bundles?

4.5. Maximal degree of subbundles of $F_*(Q)$. The next proposition will not be used in this paper.

Proposition 4.5.1. *Let Q be a semistable vector bundle of rank $q = \text{rk} Q$ satisfying*

$$q < r < pq \quad \text{and} \quad -(r-q)(g-1) \leq \deg(Q) < 0.$$

If $p > r(r-1)(g-1)$, then the maximal degree of rank- r subbundles of $F_*(Q)$ equals 0. In particular, any subsheaf of $F_*(Q)$ of degree 0 is a subbundle.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3.2 we know that $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) \neq \emptyset$, hence the maximal degree of rank- r subbundles is at least 0. It is therefore enough to show that any rank- r subbundle $W \subset F_*(Q)$ satisfies $\mu(W) \leq 0$. We apply Proposition 4.2.1 with $n = r$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{r}$, which leads to $\mu(W) < \frac{1}{r}$, hence $\mu(W) \leq 0$. \square

5. HARDER-NARASIMHAN POLYGONS OF LOCAL SYSTEMS

5.1. Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Given a vector bundle V over X we consider its Harder-Narasimhan filtration

$$V_{\bullet}^{HN} : \quad 0 = V_l \subsetneq V_{l-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_0 = V$$

and we denote for $1 \leq i \leq l$ the slopes of the successive quotients $\mu_i = \mu(V_{i-1}/V_i)$, which satisfy

$$\mu_l > \mu_{l-1} > \cdots > \mu_2 > \mu_1.$$

We will also use the notation

$$\mu_{\max}(V) = \mu_l \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\min}(V) = \mu_1.$$

Then the numerical information $(\text{rk}(V_i), \text{deg}(V_i))$ associated to the Harder-Narasimhan flag can be conveniently organized into a convex polygon in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , denoted by \mathcal{P}_V . It is the convex polygon with vertices (or ‘‘break points’’) at the points $(\text{rk}(V_i), \text{deg}(V_i))$ for $0 \leq i \leq l$. The segment joining $(\text{rk}(V_i), \text{deg}(V_i))$ and $(\text{rk}(V_{i-1}), \text{deg}(V_{i-1}))$ has slope μ_i .

We will use the following result (see [LasP1] Lemma 4.2, [Su1] Theorem 3.1 or [She] Corollary 2)

Lemma 5.1.1. *If the local system (V, ∇) is semistable, then we have for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$*

$$\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i \leq 2g - 2.$$

Remark 5.1.2. Note that [Su1] and [She] make the assumption that $\psi(V, \nabla) = 0$. In fact, this assumption is not needed in their proofs.

5.2. A theorem of Shatz. The set of convex polygons in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 starting at $(0,0)$ and ending at $(r,0)$ is partially ordered: if $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$ are two such polygons, we say that $\mathcal{P}_1 \succcurlyeq \mathcal{P}_2$ if \mathcal{P}_1 lies on or above \mathcal{P}_2 . We recall the following well-known theorem by Shatz [Sha]

Theorem 5.2.1. (1) *Let V be a family of vector bundles on $X \times T$ parameterized by a scheme T of finite type. For $t \in T$, we denote by $V_t = V|_{X \times_T k(t)}$ the restriction of V to the fibre over t . For any convex polygon \mathcal{P} the set*

$$S_{\mathcal{P}} = \{t \in T \mid \mathcal{P}_{V_t} \succcurlyeq \mathcal{P}\}$$

is closed in T and the union of the subsets $S_{\mathcal{P}}$ gives a stratification of T .

(2) *Let R be a discrete valuation ring and let V be a family of vector bundles parameterized by $\text{Spec}(R)$, then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{V_s} \succcurlyeq \mathcal{P}_{V_\eta},$$

where s and η denote the closed and generic point of $\text{Spec}(R)$ respectively.

5.3. Oper-polygons are maximal. Let (V, ∇, V_\bullet) be an oper of rank r , degree 0 and type 1. Then it follows from (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) that $l = r$ and for $0 \leq i \leq r$

$$\mathrm{rk}(V_i) = r - i, \quad \mathrm{deg}(V_i) = i(r - i)(g - 1).$$

We introduce the oper-polygon

$$\mathcal{P}_r^{\mathrm{oper}} : \quad \text{with vertices } (i, i(r - i)(g - 1)) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r.$$

In the next section we will see that the oper-polygon actually appears as a Harder-Narasimhan polygon of some semistable local system. Our next result says that oper-polygons are maximal among Harder-Narasimhan polygons of semistable local systems.

Theorem 5.3.1. *Let (V, ∇) be a semistable local system of rank r and degree 0. Then*

(1) *We have the inequality*

$$\mathcal{P}_r^{\mathrm{oper}} \succcurlyeq \mathcal{P}_V.$$

(2) *The equality*

$$\mathcal{P}_r^{\mathrm{oper}} = \mathcal{P}_V$$

holds if and only if the triple $(V, \nabla, V_\bullet^{\mathrm{HN}})$ is an oper.

Proof. Let (V, ∇) be a semistable local system of rank r and degree 0. Assume that V is not semistable. Let

$$0 = V_l \subsetneq V_{l-1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_0 = V$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of V . We denote $n_i = \mathrm{rk}(V_{i-1}/V_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, so that

$$n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_l = r \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{rk}(V_i) = n_l + n_{l-1} + \cdots + n_{i+1}.$$

So in order to show the first part of the theorem, we have to prove that

$$(5.3.2) \quad \mathrm{deg}(V_i) \leq (g - 1)(n_1 + \cdots + n_i)(n_{i+1} + \cdots + n_l)$$

holds for every $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$. We also denote $\delta_i = \mathrm{deg}(V_{i-1}/V_i)$, so that $\mu_i = \mu(V_{i-1}/V_i) = \frac{\delta_i}{n_i}$,

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \cdots + \delta_l = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{deg}(V_i) = \delta_l + \delta_{l-1} + \cdots + \delta_{i+1}.$$

The inequalities of Lemma 5.1.1 give for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$

$$(\mathcal{E}_i) : \quad \frac{\delta_{i+1}}{n_{i+1}} \leq \frac{\delta_i}{n_i} + 2g - 2.$$

We will prove (5.3.2) by a decreasing induction on i . The first step is to establish that

$$(5.3.3) \quad \delta_l = \mathrm{deg}(V_{l-1}) \leq (g - 1)(n_1 + \cdots + n_{l-1})n_l.$$

We consider for $k = l - 1, \dots, 1$ the inequality $(\mathcal{E}_{l-1}) + (\mathcal{E}_{l-2}) + \cdots + (\mathcal{E}_k)$ multiplied by n_k :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_{l-1}}{n_l} \delta_l &\leq \delta_{l-1} + n_{l-1}(2g - 2), \\ \frac{n_{l-2}}{n_l} \delta_l &\leq \delta_{l-2} + 2n_{l-2}(2g - 2), \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{n_1}{n_l} \delta_l &\leq \delta_1 + (l - 1)n_1(2g - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Now using $\sum_{i=1}^l \delta_i = 0$ and adding up all these equations and simplifying we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_1 + \cdots + n_{l-1}}{n_l} \delta_l &\leq -\delta_l + 2(n_{l-1} + 2n_{l-2} + \cdots + (l-1)n_1)(g-1), \\ \delta_l &\leq n_l(g-1) \left(\frac{2}{r} (n_{l-1} + \cdots + (l-1)n_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it remains to show that

$$2(n_{l-1} + 2n_{l-2} + \cdots + (l-1)n_1) \leq r(n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{l-1}).$$

We introduce $m_i = n_i - 1 \geq 0$, and the previous inequality can be written as follows:

$$2(m_{l-1} + 2m_{l-2} + \cdots + (l-1)m_1 + (1+2+\cdots+(l-1))) \leq (l+m_1+\cdots+m_l)((l-1)+m_1+\cdots+m_{l-1}),$$

and after some simplification using $1+2+\cdots+(l-1) = \frac{l(l-1)}{2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(m_{l-1} + 2m_{l-2} + \cdots + (l-1)m_1) &\leq l(m_1 + \cdots + m_{l-1}) + (l-1)(m_1 + \cdots + m_l) \\ &\quad + (m_1 + \cdots + m_{l-1})(m_1 + \cdots + m_l). \end{aligned}$$

After a rearrangement we are reduced to proving

$$2(m_{l-1} + 2m_{l-2} + \cdots + (l-1)m_1) \leq (2l-1)(m_1 + \cdots + m_{l-1}) + \text{non-negative terms.}$$

Now clearly this holds as the inequality

$$2(m_{l-1} + 2m_{l-2} + \cdots + (l-1)m_1) \leq (2l-1)(m_1 + \cdots + m_{l-1})$$

visibly holds for all $l \geq 2$ as $m_i \geq 0$ and each term on the left is less than or equal to the corresponding term on the right. This establishes (5.3.3).

Now we will proceed by induction on i . So assume that if we have, for some i , the inequality

$$(5.3.4) \quad \deg(V_i) = \delta_l + \cdots + \delta_{i+1} \leq (g-1)(n_1 + \cdots + n_i)(n_{i+1} + \cdots + n_l).$$

Then we claim that we also have the corresponding inequality for $i-1$. The new inequality which needs to be established is

$$(5.3.5) \quad \deg(V_{i-1}) = \delta_l + \cdots + \delta_{i+1} + \delta_i \leq (g-1)(n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1})(n_i + \cdots + n_l).$$

We begin with the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i &= \delta_i \\ \frac{n_{i-1}}{n_i} \delta_i &\leq \delta_{i-1} + n_{i-1}(2g-2) \\ \frac{n_{i-2}}{n_i} \delta_i &\leq \delta_{i-2} + 2n_{i-2}(2g-2) \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{n_1}{n_i} \delta_i &\leq \delta_1 + (i-1)n_1(2g-2). \end{aligned}$$

obtained by doing the following operations $n_k((\mathcal{E}_{i-1}) + \cdots + (\mathcal{E}_k))$ for $k = i-1, \dots, 1$. Now we add all the inequalities for $k = i-1, \dots, 1$ and the equality $\delta_i = \delta_i$ and we obtain:

$$\frac{n_1 + \cdots + n_i}{n_i} \delta_i \leq (\delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i) + (g-1)2(n_{i-1} + 2n_{i-2} + \cdots + (i-1)n_1).$$

Multiplying this by $\frac{n_i}{n_1 + \cdots + n_i}$ and using $\delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i = -(\delta_{i+1} + \cdots + \delta_l)$ we obtain

$$\delta_i + \frac{n_i}{n_1 + \cdots + n_i} (\delta_{i+1} + \cdots + \delta_l) \leq (g-1)2 \frac{n_i(n_{i-1} + 2n_{i-2} + \cdots + (i-1)n_1)}{n_1 + \cdots + n_i}.$$

Next we multiply the inequality (5.3.4) by $\frac{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}}{n_1+\dots+n_i}$ and add to the previous inequality. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i + \delta_{i+1} + \dots + \delta_l &\leq (g-1)(n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1})(n_{i+1} + \dots + n_l) \\ &\quad + (g-1)2n_i \frac{n_{i-1} + 2n_{i-2} + \dots + (i-1)n_1}{n_1 + \dots + n_i}. \end{aligned}$$

So in order to show that the required inequality (5.3.5) holds, it is enough to establish that

$$2 \left(\frac{n_{i-1} + 2n_{i-2} + \dots + (i-1)n_1}{n_1 + \dots + n_i} \right) \leq n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1}.$$

Equivalently we have to establish that

$$2(n_{i-1} + 2n_{i-2} + \dots + (i-1)n_1) \leq (n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1})(n_1 + \dots + n_i).$$

But this follows immediately by introducing $m_i = n_i - 1 \geq 0$ as was done in the first step. Since this is straightforward, we omit the details. This finishes the proof of the first part.

In order to show part two, we will directly check that a triple $(V, \nabla, V_{\bullet}^{HN})$ with $\mathcal{P}_V = \mathcal{P}_r^{oper}$ satisfies the two conditions of Definition 3.1.1. Given an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ we consider the \mathcal{O}_X -linear map ∇_i induced by the connection ∇

$$\nabla_i : V_i \longrightarrow (V/V_i) \otimes \Omega_X^1.$$

We consider the composite $\bar{\nabla}_i$ of ∇_i with the canonical projection $(V/V_i) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow (V/V_{i-1}) \otimes \Omega_X^1$. On one hand

$$\mu_{max}((V/V_{i-1}) \otimes \Omega_X^1) = \mu((V_{i-2}/V_{i-1}) \otimes \Omega_X^1) = \mu(V_{i-2}/V_{i-1}) + 2g - 2,$$

and on the other hand

$$\mu_{min}(V_i) = \mu(V_i/V_{i+1}) = \mu(V_{i-2}/V_{i-1}) + 4g - 4 > \mu_{max}((V/V_{i-1}) \otimes \Omega_X^1).$$

The last inequality implies that $\bar{\nabla}_i = 0$, hence $\nabla(V_i) \subset V_{i-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$.

Since the local system (V, ∇) is semistable, the map ∇_i is nonzero. Moreover, since $\nabla(V_{i+1}) \subset V_i \otimes \Omega_X^1$, the map ∇_i factorizes as follows

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i : V_i/V_{i+1} \longrightarrow (V_{i-1}/V_i) \otimes \Omega_X^1.$$

Since both sides are line bundles of the same degree, we conclude that $\tilde{\nabla}_i$ is an isomorphism. \square

5.4. Correspondence between dormant opers and Quot-schemes. In this section we will determine all semistable local systems (V, ∇) with $\mathcal{P}_V = \mathcal{P}_r^{oper}$ and $\psi(V, \nabla) = 0$.

Theorem 5.4.1. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and assume $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$. Then we have*

- (1) *Given a line bundle Q of degree $\deg(Q) = -(r-1)(g-1)$, the Quot-scheme $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ is non-empty and any vector bundle $W \in \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ gives under pull-back by the Frobenius morphism a semistable local system*

$$(F^*(W), \nabla^{can}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{P}_{F^*W} = \mathcal{P}_r^{oper},$$

i.e., the triple $(F^(W), \nabla^{can}, (F^*(W))_{\bullet}^{HN})$ is a dormant oper.*

- (2) *Conversely, any dormant oper of degree 0 is of the form $(F^*(W), \nabla^{can}, (F^*(W))_{\bullet}^{HN})$ with $W \in \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ for some line bundle Q of degree $\deg(Q) = -(r-1)(g-1)$.*

Proof. First we note that the non-emptiness of $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ has been shown in Proposition 2.3.2. Secondly, by Theorem 4.1.1 (2) any vector bundle $W \in \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ is semistable, hence (F^*W, ∇^{can}) is a semistable local system. Thus it remains to check that $\mathcal{P}_{F^*W} = \mathcal{P}_r^{oper}$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.2.1 we induce the oper flag V_\bullet of $V = F^*(F_*(Q))$ on $W_0 = F^*(W)$. Using the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.1, we immediately deduce from the inequalities (4.2.2) that $m = r - 1$ and $r_0 = \cdots = r_m = 1$. Moreover, since W_i/W_{i+1} is a subsheaf of V_i/V_{i+1} , we have the inequalities for $0 \leq i \leq m$

$$\deg(W_i/W_{i+1}) \leq \deg(V_i/V_{i+1}) = \deg(Q) + i(2g - 2).$$

Summing over i we obtain

$$0 = \deg(W_0) = \sum_{i=0}^m \deg(W_i/W_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^m \deg(V_i/V_{i+1}) = (m+1) \deg(Q) + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}(2g-2) = 0.$$

Hence we deduce that the previous inequalities are equalities $\deg(W_i/W_{i+1}) = \deg(Q) + i(2g-2)$, so that the induced flag W_\bullet on $F^*(W)$ is in fact the oper flag. Moreover, since the quotients W_i/W_{i+1} are line bundles and the sequence of slopes $\mu(W_i/W_{i+1})$ is strictly increasing, the flag W_\bullet coincides with the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F^*(W)$. This proves part one.

In order to show part two, we observe that any dormant oper is of the form $(F^*(W), \nabla^{can}, V_\bullet)$, where by Remark 3.1.5 the oper flag V_\bullet is necessarily the Harder-Narasimhan filtration $(F^*(W))_\bullet^{HN}$. If we denote by Q the line bundle quotient V_0/V_1 , then $\deg(Q) = -(r-1)(g-1)$, and by adjunction we obtain a non-zero map $W \rightarrow F_*(Q)$. We then conclude, as in the proof of Proposition 4.1.1, that $W \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$ is an injection. \square

The previous result leads to a description of the set of all dormantopers of degree 0 as a relative Quot-scheme. We need to introduce some notation. We consider a universal line bundle \mathcal{U} over $X \times \text{Pic}^{-(r-1)(g-1)}(X)$ and denote by

$$\alpha : \text{Quot}(r, 0) := \text{Quot}^{r,0}((F \times \text{id}_{\text{Pic}})_*\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^{-(r-1)(g-1)}(X)$$

the relative Quot-scheme over the Picard variety $\text{Pic}^{-(r-1)(g-1)}(X)$. The fibre $\alpha^{-1}(Q)$ over a line bundle $Q \in \text{Pic}^{-(r-1)(g-1)}(X)$ equals the Quot-scheme $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$.

The group $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ parameterizing degree 0 line bundles over X acts on the relative Quot-scheme $\text{Quot}(r, 0)$ via tensor product. Note that by the projection formula

$$\text{if } W \in \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)), \quad \text{then } W \otimes L \in \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q \otimes L^{\otimes p})).$$

Using this action one observes that the fibres $\alpha^{-1}(Q)$ are all isomorphic for $Q \in \text{Pic}^{-(r-1)(g-1)}(X)$. On the other hand the determinant map

$$\det : \text{Quot}(r, 0) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X), \quad W \mapsto \det W$$

gives another fibration of $\text{Quot}(r, 0)$ over $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and, using again the action of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, one notices that the fibres of \det are all isomorphic. We denote by

$$\text{Quot}(r, \mathcal{O}_X) := \det^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

the fibre over \mathcal{O}_X .

Then Theorem 5.4.1 implies the following

Proposition 5.4.2. *If $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$, there is a one-to one correspondence between the set of dormantopers of rank r and degree 0 (resp. with fixed trivial determinant) and the relative Quot-scheme $\text{Quot}(r, 0)$ (resp. $\text{Quot}(r, \mathcal{O}_X)$).*

Remark 5.4.3. By Proposition 3.4.4 any oper (of type 1) is stable, which implies that, if $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$, any vector bundle $W \in \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ is stable.

6. FINITENESS OF THE SCHEME OF NILPOTENT $\text{PGL}(r)$ -OPERS

The purpose of this section is to show that there are only a finite number of dormant opers with trivial determinant (Corollary 6.1.6). This finiteness result will imply that certain Quot-schemes have the expected dimension (Theorem 6.2.1).

6.1. Nilpotent opers.

Definition 6.1.1. We say that an oper (V, ∇, V_\bullet) is nilpotent if its p -curvature $\psi(V, \nabla)$ is nilpotent.

We remark that by Proposition 2.1.2 (ii) the property of being nilpotent is *not* invariant under tensor product by rank-1 local systems. For $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers we therefore take the following

Definition 6.1.2. We say that a $\omega \in \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ is nilpotent (resp. dormant) if some (hence any) lift $\sigma_\theta(\omega) \in \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)}$ is nilpotent (resp. dormant).

By Proposition 3.3.3 we obtain that ω is nilpotent if and only if $\text{HM}(\omega) = 0$. We will denote by $\text{Nilp}_r(X) := \text{HM}^{-1}(0) \subset \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ the fiber over 0 of the Hitchin-Mochizuki map. It parameterizes nilpotent $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers and contains in particular dormant $\text{PGL}(r)$ -opers.

Theorem 6.1.3. *The scheme $\text{Nilp}_r(X)$ is finite.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.2 the scheme $\text{Nilp}_r(X)$ is a closed subvariety of an affine space, hence it is affine. It suffices therefore to show that it is proper over $\text{Spec}(k)$. Using composition with the section $\sigma_\theta : \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)}$ it will be enough to show that the fiber $\mathcal{N}\text{ilp}_r(X)$ over 0 of the morphism $\mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_r$ (see section 3.3) is universally closed over $\text{Spec}(k)$. Using the projection map $pr : \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{SL}(r)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ this implies that $\text{Nilp}_r(X)$ is also universally closed. The assertion on $\mathcal{N}\text{ilp}_r(X)$ will be a consequence of the following valuative criterion.

Proposition 6.1.4. *Let R be a discrete valuation ring and let s and η be the closed and generic point of $\text{Spec}(R)$. For any nilpotent $\text{SL}(r)$ -oper $(V_\eta, \nabla_\eta, (\nabla_\eta)_\bullet)$ over $X \times \text{Spec}(K)$ there exists a nilpotent $\text{SL}(r)$ -oper $(V_R, \nabla_R, (\nabla_R)_\bullet)$ over $X \times \text{Spec}(R)$ extending $(V_\eta, \nabla_\eta, (\nabla_\eta)_\bullet)$.*

Proof. First of all we observe that the local system (V_η, ∇_η) is stable by Proposition 3.4.4. Hence we can apply [LasP1] Proposition 5.2 which asserts the existence of a local system (V_R, ∇_R) over $X \times \text{Spec}(R)$ extending (V_η, ∇_η) and such that (V_s, ∇_s) is semistable and $\psi(V_s, \nabla_s)$ is nilpotent, where (V_s, ∇_s) denotes the restriction of (V_R, ∇_R) to the special fiber.

By Theorem 5.2.1 (2) the Harder-Narasimhan polygon raises under specialization, i.e. $\mathcal{P}_{V_s} \succcurlyeq \mathcal{P}_{V_\eta} = \mathcal{P}_r^{\text{oper}}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 5.3.1 (1) we have $\mathcal{P}_r^{\text{oper}} \succcurlyeq \mathcal{P}_{V_s}$ since the local system (V_s, ∇_s) is semistable. Hence we obtain equality $\mathcal{P}_{V_s} = \mathcal{P}_{V_\eta} = \mathcal{P}_r^{\text{oper}}$.

It remains to check that the extension $(V_R)_\bullet$ to $X \times \text{Spec}(R)$ of the oper flag $(V_\eta)_\bullet$ has the property that the restriction to the special fiber $(V_s, \nabla_s, (V_s)_\bullet)$ is an oper. We note that by properness of the Quot-scheme the extension $(V_R)_\bullet$ exists and is unique. Restricting $(V_R)_\bullet$ to the special fiber gives a filtration by *subsheaves*

$$(6.1.5) \quad 0 = (V_s)_r \subset (V_s)_{r-1} \subset \cdots \subset (V_s)_1 \subset (V_s)_0 = V_s$$

Since the degrees are constant under specialization $\deg(V_s)_i = \deg(V_\eta)_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ and since $\mathcal{P}_{V_s} = \mathcal{P}_{V_\eta}$, we deduce that the subsheaves of the filtration (6.1.5) are subbundles, i.e. the

quotients $(V_s)_i/(V_s)_{i+1}$ are torsion free. Hence the filtration (6.1.5) coincides with the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of V_s . Finally, Theorem 5.3.1 (2) allows to conclude that $(V_s, \nabla_s, (V_s)_\bullet)$ is an oper. \square

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Since dormant opers are nilpotent, we immediately obtain

Corollary 6.1.6. *There exists only a finite number of dormant opers with fixed trivial determinant.*

Remark 6.1.7. The previous proof generalizes straightforwardly to arbitrary fibers (see [F] Theorem I.3 or [LasP1] Proposition 5.2), which implies that the Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism HM is proper.

6.2. Dimension of Quot-schemes. We refer to section 5.4 for the correspondence between dormant opers and Quot-schemes.

Theorem 6.2.1. *Assume $p > r(r-1)(r-2)(g-1)$. For any line bundle Q with $\deg(Q) = -(r-1)(g-1)$ the Quot-scheme $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q))$ is 0-dimensional.*

Proof. We deduce from Proposition 5.4.2 and Corollary 6.1.6 that $\dim \text{Quot}(r, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Hence $\dim \text{Quot}(r, 0) = g$ and, since the isomorphism class of the fiber $\alpha^{-1}(Q)$ does not depend on Q , we obtain $\dim \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) = 0$. \square

Remark 6.2.2. Let us remark that in order to show that $\dim \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) = 0$, it is sufficient to show that the natural morphism $\text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Dp}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ is injective and use properness of the Quot-scheme and the fact that $\mathfrak{Dp}_{\text{PGL}(r)}$ is affine to conclude that $\dim \text{Quot}^{r,0}(F_*(Q)) = 0$.

7. APPLICATIONS TO LOCI OF FROBENIUS-DESTABILIZED RANK-2 VECTOR BUNDLES

7.1. Dimension of any irreducible component. In this section we will deal with rank-2 vector bundles and use the notation introduced in section 4.4. Note that in this case $C(2, g) = 0$ and that $\mathcal{J}^s(2) = \mathcal{J}(2)$ since there are no strictly semistable rank-2 Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles.

Remark 7.1.1. It is shown in [Mo2] that $\dim \mathcal{J}(2) = 3g - 4$ for a general curve X under the assumption $p > 2g - 2$.

As an application of our results on opers we obtain the following information on the locus of Frobenius-destabilized bundles $\mathcal{J}(2)$.

Theorem 7.1.2. *Any irreducible component of $\mathcal{J}(2)$ containing a dormant oper has dimension $3g - 4$.*

Proof. We recall from Theorem 4.4.1 that there is a surjective morphism

$$\pi : \text{Quot}(1, 2, 0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(2),$$

and that $\alpha : \text{Quot}(1, 2, 0) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{-1}(X)$ is a fibration, whose fibers $\alpha^{-1}(Q) = \text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q))$ are all isomorphic.

We will need a more general version of Theorem 6.2.1

Lemma 7.1.3. *Let Q be a line bundle of degree $\deg(Q) = -(g-1) + d$ with $0 \leq d \leq 2g - 3$. Then any irreducible component of $\text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q))$ containing a dormant oper has dimension $2d$.*

Proof. We prove the result by induction on d . For $d = 0$, this is exactly Theorem 6.2.1. Consider a line bundle Q with $\deg(Q) = -(g-1) + (d+1)$ and let $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q))$ be an irreducible component containing a dormant oper E . Since $F^*(E)$ is the underlying bundle of an oper, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^*(E) \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

with $\deg(M) = g-1 = -\deg(L)$. By the assumption on the degree of Q , we have $\deg(Q) < \deg(M)$ and therefore $\text{Hom}(M, Q) = \{0\}$. So the morphism $F^*(E) \rightarrow Q$ factors as $F^*(E) \rightarrow L \hookrightarrow Q$. So we obtain a non-zero section $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Q \otimes L^{-1}$, and since $\deg(Q \otimes L^{-1}) = d+1$, we can write $L = Q(-D)$ for some an effective divisor D of degree $d+1$. So, by adjunction, we have $E \hookrightarrow F_*(Q(-D)) \subset F_*(Q)$. We decompose $D = x + D'$ with $x \in X$ and D' effective of degree d . Let \mathcal{C}' be an irreducible component of $\text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x))) \cap \mathcal{C}$ containing E . By induction we have $\dim \mathcal{C}' = 2d$.

Now we claim that $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}') \leq 2$. To prove this note that $\mathcal{C}' \neq \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{C} is an irreducible component of the Quot-scheme, it is equipped with a universal quotient sheaf \mathcal{Q} over $X \times \mathcal{C}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow p_X^*(F_*(Q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

We denote by \mathcal{E} the kernel $\ker(p_X^*(F_*(Q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q})$. Since \mathcal{Q} and $p_X^*(F_*(Q))$ are \mathcal{C} -flat, \mathcal{E} is also a \mathcal{C} -flat and $\forall c \in \mathcal{C}$ the homomorphism $\mathcal{E}|_{X \times \{c\}} \rightarrow F_*(Q)$ is injective. Hence, since $F_*(Q)$ is locally free, $\mathcal{E}|_{X \times \{c\}}$ is also locally free (since torsion free over a smooth curve) and by [HL] Lemma 2.1.7 we conclude that \mathcal{E} is locally free over $X \times \mathcal{C}$. Since $p_X^*(F_*(Q)) = (F \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})_*(p_X^*(Q))$ we obtain by adjunction a non-zero map $(F \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow p_X^*(Q)$, hence a section σ of the rank-2 vector bundle $\mathcal{V} := \text{Hom}((F \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})^*\mathcal{E}, p_X^*(Q))$ over $X \times \mathcal{C}$. It is clear that $\text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x))) \cap \mathcal{C}$ is the zero-scheme of the restricted section $\sigma|_{\{x\} \times \mathcal{C}} \in H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V}|_{\{x\} \times \mathcal{C}})$. Hence $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}') \leq 2$ and therefore $\dim \mathcal{C} \leq 2d+2$. On the other hand, by the dimension estimate of the Quot-schemes given in Proposition 2.3.4 we have $\dim \mathcal{C} \geq 2d+2$. Therefore $\dim \mathcal{C} = 2d+2$ and we are done. \square

Using the fibration α we deduce from Lemma 7.1.3 applied with $d = g-2$ that any irreducible component \mathcal{I} of $\text{Quot}(1, 2, 0)$ containing a dormant oper has dimension $3g-4$. Therefore it will suffice to show that the restriction of π to \mathcal{I} is generically injective. This, in turn, will follow from the fact that a general vector bundle $E \in \mathcal{I}$ satisfies

$$f_E : F^*(E) \rightarrow Q \quad \text{surjective,}$$

where the map f_E is obtained by adjunction from the sheaf inclusion $E \hookrightarrow F_*(Q)$ with $Q \in \text{Pic}^{-1}(X)$. In fact, if f_E is surjective, then $0 \subset \ker f_E \subset F^*(E)$ is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F^*(E)$, which implies that the quotient Q is unique and that $\dim \text{Hom}(E, F_*(Q)) = 1$.

Let us now show that the map f_E is surjective for a general $E \in \mathcal{C} \subset \text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q))$, where \mathcal{C} is an irreducible component containing a dormant oper and $\deg(Q) = -1$. Suppose on the contrary that this is not the case. Then any $E \in \mathcal{C}$ lies in $\text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x)))$ for some $x \in X$, i.e.

$$(7.1.4) \quad \mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x))) \cap \mathcal{C}.$$

Two cases can occur:

(1) there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $\dim \text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x))) \cap \mathcal{C} = 2g-4$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x))) \cap \mathcal{C}$ and contains a dormant oper. This contradicts Lemma 7.1.3 with $d = g-3$.

(2) for any point $x \in X$ we have $\dim \text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x))) \cap \mathcal{C} \geq 2g-5$. Because of (7.1.4) there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $\text{Quot}^{2,0}(F_*(Q(-x))) \cap \mathcal{C}$ contains a dormant oper, contradicting again Lemma 7.1.3 with $d = g - 3$. \square

Remark 7.1.5. Since the set of dormantopers is non-empty, there always exists at least one irreducible component of $\mathcal{J}(2)$ of dimension $3g - 4$.

Question 7.1.6. Does any irreducible component of $\mathcal{J}(2)$ contain a dormant oper? The only case where the answer is known is $p = 2$: in that case by [JRXY] the locus $\mathcal{J}(2)$ is irreducible.

REFERENCES

- [BD1] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld: Quantization of Hitchin’s integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves, preprint, <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya/langlands/hitchin/BD-hitchin.pdf>
- [BD2] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld: Opers, [arXiv:math/0501398](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0501398)
- [CZ] T.-H. Chen, X. Zhu: Non-Abelian Hodge theory for algebraic curves over characteristic p , [arxiv:1306.0299v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.0299v1)
- [D] L. Ducrohet: The Frobenius action on rank 2 vector bundles over curves in small genus and small characteristic, *Annales Inst. Fourier* 59, no. 4 (2009), 1641-1669
- [F] G. Faltings: Stable G -bundles and projective connections, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 2 (1993), 507-568
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck: Techniques de construction et théorèmes d’existence en géométrie algébrique IV: Les schémas de Hilbert, *Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique*.
- [Gu] R.C. Gunning: Special coordinate covering of Riemann surfaces, *Math. Ann.* 170 (1967), 67-86
- [He] J. Heinloth: Lectures on the moduli stack of vector bundles, *Affine Flag Manifolds and Principal Bundles*, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel (2010), 123 - 153
- [H] A. Hirschowitz: Problèmes de Brill-Noether en rang supérieur, *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 307 (1988), 153-156
- [HL] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn: The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves, Cambridge University Press, (second edition) 2010
- [J0] K. Joshi, E. Xia: Moduli of vector bundles on curves in positive characteristics, *Compositio Math.* 122 (2000), no. 3, 315–321.
- [J1] K. Joshi: Stability and locally exact differentials on a curve, *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 338 (2004), 869-872.
- [J2] K. Joshi: Two remarks on subvarieties of moduli spaces, *Internat. J. Math.* 19 (2008), no. 2, 237-243.
- [JRXY] K. Joshi, S. Ramanan, E. Xia, J.-K. Yu: On vector bundles destabilized by Frobenius pull-back, *Compositio Math.* 142 (2006), no. 3, 616-630
- [K] N. Katz: Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications to a result of Turritin. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* 39 (1970), 175-232
- [L] H. Lange: Some geometrical aspects of vector bundles on curves, *Aportaciones Mat.* 5 (1992), 53-74
- [La] A. Langer: Moduli spaces of sheaves and principal G -bundles, in *Algebraic Geometry: Seattle 2005*, eds. D. Abramovich et al., *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 80 (2009), 273-308
- [LanP] H. Lange, C. Pauly: On Frobenius-destabilized rank-2 vector bundles over curves, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 83 (2008), no. 1, 179-209
- [LasP1] Y. Laszlo, C. Pauly: On the Hitchin morphism in positive characteristic, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 3 (2001), 129-143
- [LasP2] Y. Laszlo, C. Pauly: The Frobenius map, rank 2 vector bundles and Kummer’s quartic surface in characteristic 2 and 3, *Adv. in Math.* 185 (2004), 246-269
- [Maz73] B. Mazur: Frobenius and the Hodge filtration (estimates), *Ann. of Math.* (2nd series) 98 (1973), 58–95.
- [Mo1] S. Mochizuki: A theory of ordinary p -adic curves, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* 32 (1996), 957-1151
- [Mo2] S. Mochizuki: Foundations of p -adic Teichmüller theory, *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, vol. 11, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999
- [N] N. Nitsure: Moduli space of semistable pairs on a curve, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 62 (1991), 275-300
- [O1] B. Osserman: The generalized Verschiebung for curves of genus 2, *Math. Ann.* 336 (2006), no. 4, 963-986

- [O2] B. Osserman: Frobenius-unstable bundles and p -curvature, Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 1, 273-305
- [Si] C.T. Simpson: Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of smooth projective variety. I, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 79 (1994), 47-129
- [Sha] S. Shatz: The decomposition and specialization of algebraic families of vector bundles, Compositio Math. 35 (1977), no.2, 163-187
- [She] N.I. Shepherd-Barron: Semi-stability and reduction mod p , Topology, Vol. 37, No. 3 (1998), 659-664
- [Su1] X. Sun: Remarks on semistability of G -bundles in positive characteristic, Compositio Math. 119 (1999), no.1, 41-52
- [Su2] X. Sun: Direct images of bundles under Frobenius morphism, Invent. Math. 173 (2008), no. 2, 427-447

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, 617 N SANTA RITA, TUCSON 85721-0089, USA
E-mail address: `kirti@math.arizona.edu`

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES J.A. DIEUDONNÉ, UNIVERSITÉ DE NICE SOPHIA-ANTIPOLIS, 06108
NICE CEDEX 02, FRANCE
E-mail address: `pauly@unice.fr`