

Agr system of Listeria monocytogenes EGD-e: role in adherence and differential expression pattern.

Aurélie Rieu, Stéphanie Weidmann, Dominique Garmyn, Pascal Piveteau,

Jean Guzzo

► To cite this version:

Aurélie Rieu, Stéphanie Weidmann, Dominique Garmyn, Pascal Piveteau, Jean Guzzo. Agr system of Listeria monocytogenes EGD-e: role in adherence and differential expression pattern. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2007, 73 (19), pp.6125-33. 10.1128/aem.00608-07. hal-00441284

HAL Id: hal-00441284 https://hal.science/hal-00441284

Submitted on 15 Dec 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	The agr system of L. monocytogenes EGD-e :
2	role in adherence and differential expression pattern
3	
4	Running title: agr mutation in biofilm formation of L. monocytogenes
5	Aurélie Rieu ¹ , Stéphanie Weidmann ² , Dominique Garmyn ¹ , Pascal Piveteau ^{1*} and Jean
6	Guzzo ²
7	¹ UMR 1229 Microbiologie du Sol et de l'Environnement (MSE), Université de Bourgogne,
8	INRA, F-21000 Dijon, France
9	² Laboratoire Recherche en Vigne et Vin (REVV), Université de Bourgogne, IUVV, F-21000
10	Dijon, France
11	[*] Corresponding author : Pascal Piveteau Phone: + 33 3 80 39 68 93. Fax : + 33 3 80 39 39 55.
12	E-mail : piveteau@u-bourgogne.fr
13	

15 ABSTRACT

16

In this study, we investigated the *agrBDCA* operon in the pathogenic bacterium *Listeria* 17 monocytogenes EGD-e. In-frame deletion of agrA and agrD resulted in an altered adherence 18 and biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces, suggesting the involvement of the agr system of L. 19 monocytogenes during the early stages of biofilm formation. Real time PCR experiments 20 indicated that the transcript levels of agrBDCA depended on the stage of biofilm 21 22 development, since it was lower after initial attachment period than during biofilm growth. Whereas, during planktonic growth, transcription was not growth-phase dependent. The 23 mRNA quantification data also suggested that the *agr* system was autoregulated and pointed 24 to a differential expression of the *agr* genes during sessile and planktonic growth. Although 25 the RT-PCR experiments revealed that the four genes were transcribed as a single messenger, 26 27 chemical half-life and 5' RACE experiments indicated that the full size transcript underwent cleavage followed by degradation of the agrC and agrA transcripts, which supposes a 28 29 complex regulation of agr transcription.

30

32 INTRODUCTION

33

Listeria monocytogenes is a Gram-positive human pathogenic bacterium; it is the causative 34 agent of listeriosis, a serious infection characterized by high mortality rates, in 35 immunocompromised individuals and pregnant women (19). This pathogenic bacterium is 36 widely spread in the environment (soil, vegetation, animals, farm environment). In connexion 37 with these extended reservoirs, L. monocytogenes is also a contaminant of the food industry. 38 Its presence on working surfaces in food-processing plants is a major problem as a source of 39 food contamination (1, 32). As most bacteria, L. monocytogenes is able to colonize surfaces 40 and form biofilms (sessile growth) while, in natural environments, free floating cells 41 (planktonic growth) are transitory (28). Several steps can be identified during biofilm 42 development : after an initial step of reversible then irreversible adherence, bacteria grow as 43 44 microcolonies and spread on the surface ; finally, biofilms develop as complex, threedimensional structures during the maturation step (17). Biofilm development and maturation 45 46 requires complex cellular mechanisms in which cell-cell communication is involved (14, 30). To date, three major signalling systems have been identified; to regulate these systems, 47 bacterial extracellular signalling molecules called autoinducers are produced (8). The 48 acylhomoserine lactones (AHL) have been identified as autoinducers in Gram-negative 49 bacteria (3, 13, 27, 46). The autoinducer 2 (AI-2) is found in both Gram-negative and Gram-50 positive bacteria (5, 7, 11, 35, 36, 54). Finally, peptide-mediated signalling pathways have 51 been characterized in Gram-positive bacteria. Among these, the agr system has been 52 described initially in Staphylococcus aureus (41); the production of many of its virulence 53 factors (toxins, enzymes and cell surface proteins) is regulated by this system (4). The role of 54 the agr system during S. aureus biofilm development is complex (57). It depends on the 55 hydrodynamic conditions of the experimental set up; under static conditions, agr expression 56

reduces the attachment of the cells to the surface (52, 55) and under turbulent dynamic conditions, *agr* expression may affect biofilm maturation (55). Orthologs of the *agr* system have also been described in *Enterococcus faecalis* (*fsr*) (45), *Lactobacillus plantarum* (*lam*) (48) and *L. monocytogenes* (*agr*) (6). In *E. faecalis*, expression of a gelatinase (GelE) is *fsr* dependent (45). In *L. plantarum*, the *lam* system plays a role during biofilm development (48).

In L. monocytogenes, the four genes (agrB, agrD, agrC and agrA) of the agr locus are 63 organized as an operon (Fig. 1A). They encode the two-component histidine kinase AgrC and 64 response regulator AgrA, a precursor peptide AgrD and AgrB, a protein that is involved in the 65 processing of AgrD into a matured autoinducing peptide. Limited data concerning the role of 66 the agr locus on the physiology of L. monocytogenes is available. Williams et al. (53) showed 67 that among sixteen putative response regulator genes of L. monocytogenes EGD-e, in-frame 68 69 deletion in agrA did not affect the growth in BHI medium at various temperatures (20°C, 37°C and 43°C), in the presence of 9% NaCl, 5% ethanol or 0.025% H₂0₂. Swimming 70 71 motility was not affected either. No alteration of virulence could be identified during in vitro infection of cell cultures nor *in vivo* after intravenous infection of BALB/c mice. In a previous 72 study, Autret et al. (6) reported a moderate attenuation of the virulence in Swiss mice after 73 insertion of Tn1545 in the L. monocytogenes EGD-e agrA gene. 74

To further elucidate the role of the *agr* system, we first of all examined its involvement during attachment to abiotic surfaces and biofilm growth. *agrA* and *agrD* in-frame deletion mutants were compared to the parental strain EGD-e during sessile growth. Secondly, we determined the expression pattern of the four genes of the *agr* operon during planktonic and sessile growth and we evidenced post-transcriptional events during expression of this operon.

80

82 MATERIALS AND METHODS

83

84 Bacterial strains and growth media

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study and their characteristics are shown in 85 Table 1. L. monocytogenes EGD-e, isolated from a rabbit listeriosis outbreak (39), and two 86 mutants, derivatives of L. monocytogenes EGD-e, L. monocytogenes DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) and L. 87 monocytogenes DG125A (*AagrA*) were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB, Biokar diagnostics, 88 Pantin, France) at 25°C for biofilm and planktonic cultures and in brain heart infusion broth 89 (BHI, Biokar diagnostics) at 40°C for mutant construction. Escherichia coli TOP10 and 90 Match1 (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France) were grown aerobically in Luria-Bertani broth 91 (LB, Biokar diagnostics) at 37°C. When appropriate, antibiotics (Sigma, St Quentin Fallavier, 92 France) were added as follows: kanamycin, 50 μ g.ml⁻¹ (*E. coli*); ampicillin, 200 μ g.ml⁻¹ (*E.* 93 *coli*); chloramphenicol, 10 μ g.ml⁻¹ (*L. monocytogenes*) (Table 1). 94

95 In-frame deletion of *agrD* and *agrA* genes

The mutant strains listed in Table 1, carrying an in-frame deletion in the response regulator *agrA* or in the precursor peptide *agrD* genes, were constructed from the parental strain *L*. *monocytogenes* EGD-e using a two step integration/excision procedure (10) which is based on the mutagenesis plasmid pGF-EM (33).

First, for the construction of an *agrA* in–frame deletion mutant, primers C13 and A12 (Table 2) were used to amplify a 600-bp DNA fragment including the 3' end of *agrC* and the ATG of *agrA*. The PCR product was cloned into pGF-EM (33) after digesting this PCR product and vector with HindIII/XbaI. The resulting plasmid, pGID121 was transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* Match1 as recommended by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). Primers A15 and E2 (Table 2) were used to amplify a 500-bp internal fragment of *agrA*. The resulting fragment was cloned into pCR2.1 TOPO vector (Invitrogen) to obtain plasmid pGID118. This vector was transferred into *E. coli* TOP10. Plasmid pGID118 was digested with NheI/EcoRI
 and the resulting 500-bp fragment containing an internal part of *agrA* was ligated into
 pGID121 restricted with XbaI/EcoRI to obtain pGID125. This plasmid was electroporated
 into *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e.

Secondly, a similar strategy was used for the construction of an *agrD* in-frame deletion 111 mutant. Primers D1 and D2 (Table 2) were used to amplify a 400-bp DNA fragment including 112 the 5' end of agrD. The PCR product was cloned into pGF-EM (33) to obtain pGID112. 113 Primers D3 and D4 (Table 2) were used to amplify a 600-bp DNA fragment including the 3' 114 end of agrD and the 5' end of agrC. The resulting fragment was cloned into pCR2.1 TOPO 115 vector (Invitrogen) to obtain plasmid pGID109. After digestion, the plasmid pGID109 was 116 ligated into digested plasmid pGID112 to obtain pGID119. This plasmid was electroporated 117 into L. monocytogenes EGD-e. 118

119 Finally, transformants were selected on BHI agar plates (Biokar diagnostics) containing 10 µg.ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol (Sigma). A transformant was serially subcultured in BHI at 40°C to 120 121 direct chromosomal integration of the plasmid by homologous recombination. Chromosomal integration was confirmed by PCR and a single colony, with a chromosomal integration, was 122 serially subcultured in BHI at 40°C and screened for loss of chloramphenicol resistance. 123 Allelic exchange mutagenesis was confirmed by PCR amplification and direct sequencing of 124 the PCR product (GENOME express, Meylan, France). The mutants selected were named 125 DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) and DG119D ($\Delta agrD$). The deletion of 106 bp in strain DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) 126 (Fig. 1B) and the deletion of 49 bp in strain DG119D (*AagrD*) (Fig. 1C) resulted in a 127 frameshift causing the premature appearance of a stop codon and thus the premature stop of 128 translation. 129

130 Adhesion on glass slide

An overnight culture of the bacterium in TSB was used to inoculate (1/100, vol/vol) fresh 131 TSB and was grown at 25°C to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.1. Five microliters of the 132 culture to be tested was then deposited on a glass slide, and the glass slide was incubated for 2 133 hours at 25°C. After incubation, the glass slide was washed twice in distilled water and the 134 adhering cells were stained with a 0.1% (wt/vol) aqueous crystal violet solution for one 135 minute and washed with distilled water. Adhering cells were observed using a ZEISS 136 Axioplan 2 imaging microscope. For each experiment, 12 replicates resulting from three 137 independent inocula were analysed. 138

139 **Biofilm formation**

(i) on polystyrene microplate An overnight culture of the bacterium in TSB at 25°C was 140 used to inoculate (1/100, vol/vol) fresh TSB. One hundred microliters per well were 141 dispensed on a 96 well microtiter plate (Nunc, Dominique Dutscher S.A., Brumath, France) 142 143 which was incubated at 25°C for 16 to 72 h. Cells attached to the well walls were quantified as previously described (16) with some modifications. After incubation, the medium was 144 145 removed from each well, and the plates were washed twice using a microtiter plate washer (Cellwash; Thermolabsystems, Cergy Pontoise, France) with 100 µl of 150 mM NaCl 146 solution in order to remove loosely attached cells. The plates were then stained with a 0.05% 147 (wt/vol) aqueous crystal violet solution for 45 min and washed three times. In order to 148 quantitatively assess biofilm production, 100 µl of 96% ethanol (vol/vol) were added to each 149 well, and the optical density at 595 nm was determined. For each experiment, 15 replicates 150 resulting from three independent inocula were analysed. Each microtiter plate included eight 151 wells with sterile TSB as control. 152

(ii) on stainless steel chips AISI 304 stainless steel chips of 8 cm diameter (Goodfellow
SARL, Lille, France) were inserted in Petri dishes containing 20 mL of inoculated TSB
(1/100, vol/vol) and incubated at 25°C for 2 h to allow adhesion. For biofilm development,

the medium was removed and 20 mL of fresh TSB was added. Biofilms were grown during A h and 72 h at 25°C. Following incubation, the medium was removed and 10 ml of saline solution (150 mM NaCl) were softly poured twice onto coupons and agitated 1 min on an orbital shaker at 240 rpm (IKA[®]KS 130 basic) to remove loosely adhering bacteria. Sessile cells were detached from coupons in 10 ml saline solution by scraping with a sterile disposable cell lifter (TPP, Dominique Dutscher S.A.).

162 **RNA extraction and cDNA preparation**

163 Cells were harvested by centrifugation (10000g 5 min), resuspended in 1 ml of Tri-reagent 164 (Sigma) and disrupted with glass beads (106 µm) in a FastPrep FP120 Instrument (Thermo 165 Savant-BIO101) at 4°C for 8x30s at 6000g. Nucleic acids were extracted twice in 0.2 volume 166 of chloroform and purified by precipitation in 1 volume of isopropanol. RNA pellets were 167 dried and resuspended in 80 µl of RNAse-free water. Nucleic acid concentrations were 168 calculated by measuring absorbance at 260 nm using a SmartSpec Plus Spectrophotometer 169 (Bio-Rad, Marnes La Coquette, France).

Before reverse transcription, 2 µg of total RNA were treated with 2 U of DNAse (Invitrogen)
as described by the manufacturer. The absence of chromosomal DNA contamination was
checked by real-time PCR. cDNA were then synthesized using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit
(Bio-Rad) as recommended.

174 Real time PCR experiments

Real time PCR as described by Desroche *et al.* (15) was used to quantify mRNA levels. Genespecific primers (Table 2, Fig. 1A) were designed to amplify cDNAs of the transcripts of *agrB*, *agrD*, *agrC* and *agrA* with the Bio-Rad SYBR Green kit in a Bio-Rad I-Cycler. These gene-specific primers were designed outside zones of deletion allowing the determination of the transcript levels of the *agrB*, *agrD*, *agrC* and *agrA* genes in the parental strain and in both mutants DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) and DG119D ($\Delta agrD$). This method was used to analyse their

mRNA level during planktonic growth at early exponential phase (6.5 10^7 cfu.ml⁻¹; OD₆₀₀ of 181 O.1), mid-exponential phase (2.5 10^8 cfu.ml⁻¹; OD₆₀₀ of O.4) and stationary phase (4 10^8 182 cfu.ml⁻¹; OD₆₀₀ of O.6) and after 2 h, 24 h and 72 h of biofilm formation on AISI 304 183 stainless steel chips. The specificity of each primer pair was controlled by melt curves, and 184 the mean of efficiencies for the five primer pairs was 98±9%. Results were analysed using the 185 comparative critical threshold method ($\Delta\Delta C_T$) in which the amount of targeted mRNA was 186 187 first of all normalised using a specific mRNA standard, then compared to a calibrator condition (15). drm, a gene coding for a phosphopentomutase was selected as internal 188 189 standard since drm transcript levels were stable in the conditions tested. For our work, the calibrator condition corresponded to agrA mRNA level at OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. The relative level of 190 agrA mRNA at OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 thus corresponded to 1. mRNA quantification was performed in 191 triplicate from total RNA extracted from three independent cultures. 192

193 **RT-PCR**

194 RT-PCR were performed with cDNA synthesized with total RNA extracted from three 195 different mid-exponential phase cultures (OD_{600} of 0.4) as described above. Specific primers 196 (Table 2) were designed to amplify transcripts of the four genes and the two intergenic 197 regions. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel (Invitrogen). 198 Samples were checked for DNA contamination by performing PCR prior reverse 199 transcription.

200 Northern blotting

Northern blot analysis were performed by fractionation of RNA samples on 1% (wt/vol) agarose gel containing 20% (wt/vol) formaldehyde. 30 µg of total RNA were loaded in each well of the gel. Transfer to a nylon membrane (Hybond-N, Amersham, Orsay, France) was performed as recommended. Internal probes (Fig. 1A) of the *agrB*, *agrC* and *agrA* genes were generated by PCR using primers described in Table 2. 10 ng of PCR products were labelled with $[\alpha^{-32}P]dATP$ (Amersham) by the random priming method according to the manufacturer instructions (Invitrogen) to produce specific RNA probes. Sizes were determined with a RNA ladder (Invitrogen) as molecular weight standard.

209 mRNA chemical half-life determination

The chemical half-life of mRNA of the 4 genes of the *agr* operon was determined from midexponential phase cultures (OD_{600} of 0.4). Total RNA was isolated as described above from samples taken 1, 4, 7 and 10 min after rifampicin treatment (250 µg.ml⁻¹, Sigma). The halflife was determined for each gene by real-time PCR using the time at which rifampicin was added as calibrator and 16S rRNA transcript levels as internal standard.

215 Analysis of the 5' end of agr mRNA

Primer extensions were performed by incubating 5 μ g of RNA isolated from *L. monocytogenes* cells in planktonic growth, 20 pmol of oligonucleotide, 92 GBq of [α -³²P]dATP (Perkin-Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France) and 100 U of SuperScriptII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The oligonucleotides used in this experiment are described in Table 2. The corresponding DNA-sequencing reactions were carried out using the same primers and Cycle ReaderTM DNA Sequencing Kit as recommended by the manufacturer (Fermentas, Euromedex, Souffel Weyersheim, France).

A capping assay was used to distinguish 5' triphosphate (indicating initiation points) from 5' 223 monophosphate (indicating processed products) according to Bensing et al. (9), using the 5' 224 RACE kit (Ambion, Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France). Only 5' monophosphate are 225 selectively ligated to an RNA oligonucleotide. The primary 5' end may be ligated only after 226 removal of a 5' pyrophosphate through Tobacco Acid Pyrophosphatase (TAP) activity. The 227 oligonucleotides used in this experiment are described in Table 2. Sequencing of 5'-RACE 228 products obtained from TAP-treated and non-treated RNA was performed to differentiate 229 cleavage products from primary transcripts. Primers used for PCR amplification between 230

adaptor and the gene of interest are described in Table 2. PCR products were sequenced by

232 GENOME express.

233 Statistical analysis

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the SigmaStat® Version 3.0.1 software (SPSS Inc.) in order to test the significance of the differences (i) in gene expression during planktonic growth and biofilm formation using ΔC_T value and (ii) in biofilm quantity. When one-way ANOVA was significant, the Holm-Sidak test (n=3, P<0.05) was used to locate significant differences.

239 Nucleotide sequence accession number

The DNA sequence data of the mutant strains described in this work have been deposited in the GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ under the following accession numbers: AM412557 and AM412558

243

246

247 The agr operon is involved during the sessile growth of *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e

In order to study the involvement of the putative transcriptional regulator AgrA during sessile 248 growth, an agrA in-frame deletion mutant of L. monocytogenes EGD-e was constructed. As 249 expected, this mutation did not alter cell or colony morphology nor planktonic growth (data 250 not shown). In contrast, mutant DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) was affected on glass slide adherence. 251 Indeed, the number of adhered cells of DG125A was significantly reduced (n=3, P<0.05) by 252 253 62% compared to the parental strain EGD-e (Fig. 2A). As cell-cell communication affects biofilm formation in many bacterial species, an agrD in-frame deletion was designed to 254 generate a mutant unable to produce the putative autoinducer peptide processed from AgrD. 255 As demonstrated in mutant DG125A (*AagrA*), no pleiotropic effect was observed in mutant 256 DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) (data not shown). Moreover, the adhesion phenotype of DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) 257 was similar to that of DG125A (*AagrA*) (Fig. 2A). Micrographs of the microscopic field of 258 adhering cells on glass slides confirmed that the quantity of adhering cells with L. 259 monocytogenes DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) and DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) was less compared to the parental 260 261 strain EGD-e (Fig. 2B). These results suggested the involvement of the agr system during adhesion of *L. monocytogenes*, the first step in biofilm development. 262

The ability of *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e to develop biofilms on polystyrene was also affected by the deletion of *agrA* and *agrD* (Fig. 3). Indeed, there was significantly less biofilm (n=3, P<0.05) produced within the first 24 h of incubation. The differences were no longer significant during the later stages of biofilm formation, namely, at 48 h and 72 h.

In light of the sessile growth alteration observed in the mutants with deletion in the genes encoding the putative transcriptional regulator AgrA and the putative autoinducer peptide processed from AgrD, we therefore decided to investigate the expression of the *agr* operon 270 during sessile and planktonic growth.

271 Relative expression and transcriptional autoregulation of the genes of the *agr* operon

The transcription of the four genes of the agr operon was studied during sessile and 272 planktonic growth using real time PCR experiments with each of the four primer sets (Fig. 273 1A, Table 2, b [BF2-BR2]; d [DF2-DR2]; c [CF2-CR2]; a [AF2-AR2]). Analysis of the 274 relative expression levels indicated that, during sessile growth, the levels of transcripts of 275 *agrB*, *agrD* and *agrC* were significantly lower (n=3, P<0.05) after 2 h of adhesion than after 276 24 h and 72 h of sessile growth (Fig. 4A). For each gene, the differences of relative 277 expression observed between 24 h and 72 h of biofilm growth were not significant. The levels 278 of *agrA* transcripts were similar at 2 h, 24 h and 72 h. Furthermore, during sessile growth, for 279 each condition, the levels of transcripts of *agrB*, *agrD* and *agrC* were never significantly 280 different. In contrast, the relative expression levels of agrA, for each condition, were 281 282 significantly lower (n=3, P<0.05) than those of *agrB*, *agrD* and *agrC*.

During planktonic growth, the relative expression levels of each gene was not affected by the phase of growth. The levels of transcripts of *agrB* and *agrD*, for each condition, were never significantly different. In contrast, the relative expression levels of *agrC* and *agrA*, for each condition, were significantly lower (n=3, P<0.05) than those of *agrB* and *agrD*. For example, under our experimental conditions, at mid exponential growth phase (OD_{600nm} of 0.4) *agrC* and *agrA* transcript levels were respectively of 13 and 24 fold lower than the *agrB* transcript level (Fig. 4A).

The relative expression levels of the four genes of the *agr* operon were determined at midexponential phase during planktonic growth (OD₆₀₀ of 0.4) in the parental and mutant ($\Delta agrA$, $\Delta agrD$) backgrounds. In mutant DG125A ($\Delta agrA$), the relative expression levels of *agrB*, *agrD* and *agrC* were significantly lower (n=3, P<0.05) than those of the parental strain EGDe (Fig. 4B). Indeed, the relative transcript levels for *agrB*, *agrD* and *agrC* were respectively of 56, 68 and 3 fold lower than those measured with the parental strain. A similar pattern of gene expression was observed for mutant DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) (Fig. 4B). In terms of the relative expression levels of *agrA*, no significant differences were observed between the mutants (DG119D and DG125A) and parental strains These results suggest an autoregulation of the transcription of *agrB*, *agrD* and *agrC* and a low constitutive expression of the putative transcriptional regulator AgrA.

The mRNA quantification data suggested that the *agr* system was autoregulated and pointed to a differential expression of the *agr* genes during sessile and planktonic growth. Either post transcriptional processing or transcription from another promoter region, not yet identified, could account for these results.

305 Processing of the mRNA and identification of the 5' end of the mRNA agr operon

In order to further investigate the hypothesis of a processing, RT-PCR, Northern blotting and 306 mRNA chemical half-life were carried out. The four genes and the two intergenic regions 307 were detected by RT-PCR (Fig. 5), indicating cotranscription of the complete agrBDCA 308 operon and the presence of a full-size transcript. PCR on the RNA samples before reverse 309 transcription gave no amplification signals, confirming that there was no contamination by 310 genomic DNA (data not shown). However, a polycistronic mRNA was never detected by 311 Northern blotting but only small size products (data not shown). The mRNA chemical half-312 life was determined using real time PCR experiments with the same primer sets as above (Fig. 313 314 1A, Table 2). Results indicated that the chemical half-life of agrB and agrD transcripts was 7.4 and 6.8 min respectively, while it was lower for agrC and agrA (4.3 min and 4.1 min 315 respectively) (Fig. 6). To pinpoint these differences in chemical half-life and to highlight the 316 differential expression pattern observed by real time PCR, 5'RACE experiments were carried 317 out to search for transcription initiation points or cleavages within *agrC* and *agrA* transcripts. 318 Regardless of the treatment with TAP, multiple PCR products were detected, confirming 319

degradation after cleavage through RNAse activity. After amplification with adequate primers (Table 2), four 5' ends were identified among the *agrC* fragments sequenced (Fig. 7). Similarly, five 5' ends were observed among the *agrA* fragments sequenced (Fig. 7). From two hypothesesformulated, data analysis confirmed post-transcriptional cleavage and degradation.

5' RACE was also used to characterize the 5' end of the mRNA agr operon. PCR 325 amplification was observed in TAP-treated and untreated samples, suggesting a processing 326 event at the 5' end, mapped by a "T" (Fig. 7). As expected, primer extension analysis with the 327 three specific primers B34, B26 and B18 (Table 2) revealed two signals. They were separated 328 by one nucleotide and corresponded to a "G" and the previously described "T". This finding 329 suggested that the 5' end of the *agr* transcript was located 15 or 14 nucleotides upstream from 330 the putative start codon (Fig. 7). Similar results were obtained in samples harvested at OD_{600} 331 332 of 0.1, 0.4 and 0.6. Moreover, two hexanucleotides (TGGTTA and TAAAAT) separated by 18 nucleotides were detected upstream. They presented similarities to the consensus -35 and 333 -10 sequences of several promoters of housekeeping genes from Gram positive bacteria as 334 well as from E. coli (21, 23). Sequence conservation is higher in the -10 region than in the -335 35 region, and a TGn extension was observed upstream of the -10 region. Similar features 336 were found in several promoters of Gram positive bacteria (20, 38). 337

338

341

Orthologs of the agr system, initially described in S. aureus, have been reported in 342 L. plantarum, E. faecalis and L. monocytogenes (6, 44, 48, 56). So far, the role of the agr 343 system has not been clearly described in the pathogenic bacterium L. monocytogenes. In this 344 study, we investigated the role of *agrA* and *agrD* in the sessile growth of *L. monocytogenes* 345 and we focussed on the molecular characterization of the transcription of the agr operon. In-346 frame deletions of agrA, that encodes a transcriptional regulator, or in-frame deletions of 347 agrD encoding a propeptide affected adhesion and the early stages of biofilm formation on 348 glass and polystyrene surfaces within the first 24 h of incubation. No significant differences 349 were observed afterwards. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Sturme et 350 al. (48) with a lamA mutant of L. plantarum WCFS1. Indeed, the lamA mutant was impaired 351 352 in its ability to adhere to glass surfaces. It showed a 1.5-fold and 1.7-fold decrease in glass adherence compared to the parental strain after 24 and 48 h respectively. In contrast, Vuong et 353 al. (52), working with S. aureus RN6390 and 601055, demonstrated that their agr⁻ genotype 354 led to a pronounced attachment to polystyrene, 1.8-fold and 2.5-fold increase respectively 355 compared to that of the isogenic agr^+ wild type after 24 h. Biofilm-associated infections have 356 special clinical relevance and in staphylococcal infections, these diseases include 357 endocarditis, osteomyelitis, implanted device-related infections, and even some skin 358 infections (54). Indeed, the agr biofilm phenotype may have important consequences. For 359 example, the dysfunction of *agr* is correlated with persistent bacteremia in S. *aureus* (49) and 360 mutation of S. aureus agr system increased bacterial persistence (52), suggesting that 361 interference with cell-cell communication would enhance rather than suppress this important 362 type of staphylococcal disease (42). 363

364 In our experimental conditions, growth phase-dependent transcriptional regulation was not

observed during planktonic growth. This is in agreement with a previous report which showed that during exponential and stationary phases, the amount of *agrB* and *agrC* mRNAs was not significantly different (6), although a twofold difference in the quantity of *agrA* transcripts was recorded by these authors. In contrast, the transcription of the orthologous *agr, lam* and *fsr* operons from *S. aureus* MN NJ, *L. plantarum* WCFS1 and *E. faecalis* OG1RF respectively, increased from early to mid-exponential phase, suggesting growth phasedependent transcription up-regulation (44, 48, 56).

During sessile growth, the transcription of the *agr* genes depended on the stage of biofilm 372 development, suggesting that this system is important during biofilm development. 373 Interestingly, a significant decrease in the transcript levels of agrB, agrD and agrC was 374 observed following initial attachment. At first glance, this may seem surprising as initial 375 attachment is the step where *agr* impairment is most detrimental to biofilm formation ; this 376 377 points out that developmental regulations involved during sessile growth are complex (22). In L. monocytogenes, agr-dependent regulation may be transitory during biofilm formation as 378 379 was observed in other systems. For example, two communication systems (las and rhl) play a role in transitional episodes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm development. The Las 380 regulon is involved in early biofilm development but not in later stages; on the opposite, the 381 Rhl regulon plays a role in maturation of the biofilm (14, 46). The agr system of L. 382 monocytogenes could also regulate the expression of proteins necessary for the ability to 383 attach to abiotic surfaces without being involved once the cells attach to the surface. Indeed, 384 in S. aureus and S. epidermidis, agr-dependent regulation of the expression of several 385 adhesion proteins has been demonstrated (12, 34). 386

Significant differences were measured in the relative quantities of the transcripts of *agrB*, *agrD*, *agrC* and *agrA*, while the differences between the transcripts of *agrB* and *agrD* were never significantly different. This observation suggested either post transcriptional processing

of the full-size *agr* transcript of *L*. *monocytogenes* or the presence of another promoter region 390 between *agrD* and *agrC*. Determination of 5' ends corresponding to cleavage, and not to an 391 initiation transcription points, supported the post transcriptional events hypothesis. Indeed, 392 several 5' ends were detected within *agrC* and *agrA* transcripts. This suggested also that most 393 of the transcripts quantified by real time PCR were degradation products generated after 394 cleavage of the full-length transcript, probably within the intergenic region or at the 5' end of 395 agrC and agrA. These post-transcriptional events may have regulatory functions resulting in a 396 397 differential stability and a rapid processing of the mRNA. This could account for a fine tuning of the expression of the individual genes of the *agr* operon as has previously been suggested 398 for the pattern of expression of other loci of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (2, 24, 399 25, 37, 40). Moreover, our work indicated that the agr operon of L. monocytogenes was 400 autoregulated in a positive way since the deletion of *agrA* or *agrD* reduced the transcription 401 402 of agrB, agrD and agrC, although agrA transcription was not agr dependent. A similar pattern has been described in the orthologous fsr system of E. faecalis in which expression of 403 404 fsrB, fsrD and fsrC are fsr dependent, while expression of the fsrA is weak, constitutive and fsr-independent (29, 45). It is proposed, in one hand, that the regulator is constitutively 405 expressed in order to provide a basal amount of regulator ready to respond to the presence of 406 the signal in the environment of the cell; on the other hand, when the signal is high in the 407 environment of the cell, it would induce the expression of the transmembrane protein, the 408 propeptide and the sensor ; this in turn would enable the transfer of the signal to the 409 neighbouring cells and prepare the cell to the monitoring of an amplified signal (18, 26). 410

Two 5' ends of the *agr* mRNA were determined. One was mapped to a "G" and located at an appropriate distance downstream of the putative promoter region (43), leading us to consider it as the apparent start site for the promoter of the *agr* operon. The second 5' end identified was located one base downstream of the 5' end of the primary transcript. Such cleavage of one nucleotide downstream of the initiation site may derive from a modification of the primary transcript by a phosphatase or pyrophosphatase, or from endonucleolytic cleavage (31, 47, 50, 51). The significance of such commonly observed processing remains to be clarified.

In conclusion, this study is the first description of the involvement of cell-cell communication on adherence of *L. monocytogenes*. Our data showed that impairment of the response regulator or the propeptide resulted in a similarly altered adhesion and biofilm phenotype. The *agr* system of *L. monocytogenes* differed from the homologous systems previously described as post transcriptional processing occurred at the site of initiation of transcription and within the full-length transcript. It will be of interest to investigate the significance of such a processing in the expression of the *agr* system and in the physiology of *L. monocytogenes*.

426

428 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Ministère de l'Education Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie, the Université de Bourgogne and the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique. We thank Dr. Sofia Kathariou for providing the pGF-EM vector, Dr. Philippe Gaudu for his critical reading of this manuscript and Mary Boulay for her reading of the English text.

434

436 **REFERENCES**

- Aguado, V., A. I. Vitas, and I. Garcia-Jalon. 2004. Characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua* from a vegetable processing plant by RAPD and REA. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 90:341-347.
 Allenby, N. E. E., N. O'Connor, Z. Pragai, N. M. Carter, M. Miethke, S. Engelmann, M. Hecker,
- Anendy, N. E. E., N. O Connor, Z. Fragar, N. M. Carter, M. Wretnike, S. Engemann, M. Frecker,
 A. Wipat, A. C. Ward, and C. R. Harwood. 2004. Post-transcriptional regulation of the *Bacillus subtilis pst* operon encoding a phosphate-specific ABC transporter. Microbiology 150:2619-2628.
- Allison, D. G., B. Ruiz, C. SanJose, A. Jaspe, and P. Gilbert. 1998. Extracellular products as mediators of the formation and detachment of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* biofilms. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 167:179-184.
- 447 4. Arvidson, S., and K. Tegmark. 2001. Regulation of virulence determinants in *Staphylococcus aureus*.
 448 Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 291:159-170.
- Auger, S., E. Krin, S. Aymerich, and M. Gohar. 2006. Autoinducer 2 affects biofilm formation by *Bacillus cereus*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:937-941.
- 451 6. Autret, N., C. Raynaud, I. Dubail, P. Berche, and A. Charbit. 2003. Identification of the *agr* locus of *Listeria monocytogenes*: role in bacterial virulence. Infect. Immun. 71:4463-4471.
- 453 7. Balestrino, D., J. A. J. Haagensen, C. Rich, and C. Forestier. 2005. Characterization of type 2
 454 quorum sensing in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and relationship with biofilm formation. J. Bacteriol.
 455 187:2870-2880.
- 456 8. Bassler, B. L. 2002. Small talk: cell-to-cell communication in bacteria. Cell 109:421-424.
- Bensing, B. A., B. J. Meyer, and G. M. Dunny. 1996. Sensitive detection of bacterial transcription initiation sites and differentiation from RNA processing sites in the pheromone-induced plasmid transfer system of *Enterococcus faecalis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 93:7794-7799.
- Bergmann, B., D. Raffelsbauer, M. Kuhn, M. Goetz, S. Hom, and W. Goebel. 2002. InlA- but not
 InlB-mediated internalization of *Listeria monocytogenes* by non-phagocytic mammalian cells needs the
 support of other internalins. Mol. Microbiol. 43:557-570.
- Challan Belval, S., L. Gal, S. Margiewes, D. Garmyn, P. Piveteau, and J. Guzzo. 2006. Assessment
 of the roles of LuxS, S-Ribosyl homocysteine, and autoinducer 2 in cell attachment during biofilm
 formation by *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:2644-2650.
- 466 12. Cramton, S. E., C. Gerke, N. F. Schnell, W. W. Nichols, and F. Gotz. 1999. The Intercellular
 467 Adhesion (ica) Locus Is Present in *Staphylococcus aureus* and Is Required for Biofilm Formation.
 468 Infect. Immun. 67:5427-5433.
- 469 13. Crossman, L., and J. M. Dow. 2004. Biofilm formation and dispersal in *Xanthomonas campestris*.
 470 Microbes Infect. 6:623-629.
- 471 14. Davies, D. G., Parsek, M.R., Pearson, J.P., Iglewski, B.H., Costerton, J.W., Greenberg, E.P. 1998.
 472 The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of bacterial biofilm. Science 280:295-298.
- 473 15. Desroche, N., C. Beltramo, and J. Guzzo. 2005. Determination of an internal control to apply reverse transcription quantitative PCR to study stress response in the lactic acid bacterium *Oenococcus oeni*. J. Microbiol. Meth. 60:325-333.
- 476 16. Djordjevic, D., M. Wiedmann, and L. A. McLandsborough. 2002. Microtiter plate assay for assessment of *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilm formation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:2950-2958.
- 478 17. Donlan, R. M., and J. W. Costerton. 2002. Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:167-193.
- 18. Dunn, A. K., and E. V. Stabb. 2007. Beyond quorum sensing : the complexities of prokaryotic parliamentary procedures. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 387:391-398.
- 482 19. Farber, J. M. a. P., P.I. 1991. Listeria monocytogenes, a food-borne pathogen. Microbiol. Rev. 55:476-511.
- 484 20. Garmyn, D., T. Ferain, N. Bernard, P. Hols, and J. Delcour. 1995. Cloning, nucleotide sequence, and transcriptional analysis of the *Pediococcus acidilactici* L-(+)-lactate dehydrogenase gene. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:266-272.
- 487 21. Guzzo, J., M.-P. Jobin, F. Delmas, L.-C. Fortier, D. Garmyn, R. Tourdot-Marechal, B. Lee, and
 488 C. Divies. 2000. Regulation of stress response in *Oenococcus oeni* as a function of environmental
 489 changes and growth phase. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 55:27-31.
- 490 22. Hall-Stoodley, L., and P. Stoodley. 2002. Developmental regulation of microbial biofilms. Curr. Opin.
 491 Biotechnol. 13:228-233.
- 492 23. Harley, C. B., and R. P. Reynolds. 1987. Analysis of *E. coli* promoter sequences. Nucl. Acids Res. 15:2343-2361.

- 494 24. Hebermehl, M., and G. Klug. 1998. Effect of oxygen on translation and posttranslational steps in expression of photosynthesis genes in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. J. Bacteriol. 180:3983-3987.
- 496 25. Homuth, G., A. Mogk, and W. Schumann. 1999. Post-transcriptional regulation of the *Bacillus subtilis dnaK* operon. Mol. Microbiol. 32:1183-1197.
- 498 26. Horswill, A. R., P. Stoodley, P. S. Stewart, and M. R. Parsek. 2007. The effect of the chemical, biological, and physical environment on quorum sensing in structured microbial communities. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 387:371-380.
- Huber, B., K. Riedel, M. Hentzer, A. Heydorn, A. Gotschlich, M. Givskov, S. Molin, and L. Eberl.
 2001. The *cep* quorum-sensing system of *Burkholderia cepacia* H111 controls biofilm formation and
 swarming motility. Microbiology 147:2517-2528.
- 504 28. Jefferson, K. K. 2004. What drives bacteria to produce a biofilm? FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 236:163-173.
- Ji, G., R. C. Beavis, and R. P. Novick. 1995. Cell density control of staphylococcal virulence mediated by an octapeptide pheromone. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 92:12055-12059.
- 507 30. Kjelleberg, S., and S. Molin. 2002. Is there a role for quorum sensing signals in bacterial biofilms?
 508 Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 5:254-258.
- 509 31. Kühn, K., A. Weihe, and T. Börner. 2005. Multiple promoters are a common feature of mitochondrial
 510 genes in *Arabidopsis*. Nucl. Acids Res. 33:337-346.
- Lawrence, L., and A. Gilmour. 1995. Characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from poultry products and from the poultry-processing environment by random amplification of polymorphic DNA and multilocus enzyme electrophoresis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:2139-2144.
- 514 33. Li, G., and S. Kathariou. 2003. An improved cloning vector for construction of gene replacements in 515 *Listeria monocytogenes*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:3020-3023.
- Mack, D., A. P. Davies, L. G. Harris, H. Rohde, M. A. Horstkotte, and J. K. M. Knobloch. 2007.
 Microbial interactions in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 387:399-408.
- McNab, R., S. K. Ford, A. El-Sabaeny, B. Barbieri, G. S. Cook, and R. J. Lamont. 2003. LuxSBased signaling in *Streptococcus gordonii*: Autoinducer 2 controls carbohydrate metabolism and
 biofilm formation with *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. J. Bacteriol. 185:274-284.
- Merritt, J., F. Qi, S. D. Goodman, M. H. Anderson, and W. Shi. 2003. Mutation of *luxS* affects biofilm formation in *Streptococcus mutans*. Infect. Immun. 71:1972-1979.
- Mongkolsuk, S., W. Panmanee, S. Atichartpongkul, P. Vattanaviboon, W. Whangsuk, M.
 Fuangthong, W. Eiamphungporn, R. Sukchawalit, and S. Utamapongchai. 2002. The repressor for
 an organic peroxide-inducible operon is uniquely regulated at multiple levels. Mol. Microbiol. 44:793 802.
- Murakami, S. K., S. Masuda, E. A. Campbell, O. Muzzin, and S. A. Darst. 2002. Structural basis of
 transcription initiation: an RNA polymerase holoenzyme-DNA complex. Science 296:1285-1290.
- Murray, E. G. D., Webb, R.A. and Swann, M.B.R. 1926. A disease of rabbit characterised by a large mononuclear leucocytosis, caused by a hitherto undescribed bacillus: *Bacterium monocytogenes*. J. Pathol. Bacteriol. 29:407-439.
- 532 40. Nilsson, P., S. Naureckiene, and B. Uhlin. 1996. Mutations affecting mRNA processing and fimbrial
 533 biogenesis in the *Escherichia coli pap* operon. J. Bacteriol. 178:683-690.
- Novick, R. P., S. J. Projan, J. Kornblum, H. F. Ross, G. Ji, B. Kreiswirth, F. Vandenesch, and S.
 Moghazeh. 1995. The *agr* P2 operon: an autocatalytic sensory transduction system in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 248:446-458.
- 537 42. Otto, M. 2004. Quorum-sensing control in Staphylococci-a target for antimicrobial drug therapy?
 538 FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 241:135-141.
- 43. Phadtare, S., and K. Severinov. 2005. Extended -10 motif is critical for activity of the *cspA* promoter but does not contribute to low-temperature transcription. J. Bacteriol. 187:6584-6589.
- 44. Qin, X., K. V. Singh, G. M. Weinstock, and B. E. Murray. 2001. Characterization of *fsr*, a regulator controlling expression of gelatinase and serine protease in *Enterococcus faecalis* OG1RF. J. Bacteriol. 183:3372-3382.
- 45. **Qin, X., K. V. Singh, G. M. Weinstock, and B. E. Murray.** 2000. Effects of *Enterococcus faecalis fsr* genes on production of gelatinase and a serine protease and virulence. Infect. Immun. **68**:2579-2586.
- Sauer, K., A. K. Camper, G. D. Ehrlich, J. W. Costerton, and D. G. Davies. 2002. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* displays multiple phenotypes during development as a biofilm. J. Bacteriol. 184:1140-1154.
 Silvaggi, J. M., J. B. Perkins, and R. Losick. 2005. Small untranslated RNA antitoxin in *Bacillus*
- *subtilis.* J. Bacteriol. **187:**6641-6650.
- Sturme, M. H. J., J. Nakayama, D. Molenaar, Y. Murakami, R. Kunugi, T. Fujii, E. E. Vaughan,
 M. Kleerebezem, and W. M. de Vos. 2005. An *agr*-like two-component regulatory system in *Lactobacillus plantarum* is involved in production of a novel cyclic peptide and regulation of adherence. J. Bacteriol. 187:5224-5235.

- Vance G. Fowler, J., G. Sakoulas, L. M. McIntyre, V. G. Meka, R. D. Arbeit, C. H. Cabell, M. E.
 Stryjewski, G. M. Eliopoulos, L. B. Reller, G. R. Corey, T. Jones, N. Lucindo, M. R. Yeaman, and
 A. S. Bayer. 2004. Persistent bacteremia due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection is
 associated with *agr* dysfunction and low-level in vitro resistance to thrombin-induced platelet
 microbicidal protein. J. Infect. Dis. **190**:1140-1149.
- 50. Vogel, J., I. M. Axmann, H. Herzel, and W. R. Hess. 2003. Experimental and computational analysis
 of transcriptional start sites in the *Cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus* MED4. Nucl. Acids Res. 31:2890 2899.
- 562 51.
 563 51.
 563 563 563 564 564
 564 564 564
 564 564 564
 565 564 564
 564 564 564
 565 564 564
 564 564
 565 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 566 564
 <
- 565 52. **Vuong, C., Saenz, H L, Gotz, F, Otto, M.** 2000. Impact of the *agr* quorum-sensing system on adherence to polystyrene in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Infect. Dis. **182**:1688-1693.
- 567 53.
 53. Williams, T., S. Bauer, D. Beier, and M. Kuhn. 2005. Construction and characterization of *Listeria* monocytogenes mutants with in-frame deletions in the response regulator genes identified in the genome sequence. Infect. Immun. 73:3152-3159.
- 54. Xu, L., H. Li, C. Vuong, V. Vadyvaloo, J. Wang, Y. Yao, M. Otto, and Q. Gao. 2006. Role of the *luxS* quorum-sensing system in biofilm formation and virulence of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Infect. Immun. 74:488-496.
- 573 55. **Yarwood, J. M., D. J. Bartels, E. M. Volper, and E. P. Greenberg.** 2004. Quorum sensing in *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. J. Bacteriol. **186**:1838-1850.
- 575 56. Yarwood, J. M., J. K. McCormick, M. L. Paustian, V. Kapur, and P. M. Schlievert. 2002.
 576 Repression of the *Staphylococcus aureus* accessory gene regulator in serum and in vivo. J. Bacteriol.
 577 184:1095-1101.
- 578 57. Yarwood, J. M., and P. M. Schlievert. 2003. Quorum sensing in *Staphylococcus* infections. J. Clin.
 579 Invest. 112:1620-1625.
- 580

Bacterial strain or plasmid	Relevant property ^a	Reference or source
E. coli TOP10	Cloning host	Invitrogen
E. coli Match1	Cloning host	Invitrogen
L. monocytogenes EGD-e	Wild type of serotype 1/2a for which the genome sequence is available	(39)
L. monocytogenes DG125A	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> EGD-e with in-frame deletion of <i>agrA</i> gene	This work
L. monocytogenes DG119D	<i>L. monocytogenes</i> EGD-e with in-frame deletion of <i>agrD</i> gene	This work
pGF-EM	Cm ^r Am ^r ; 9.4-kb derivative of pCON-1 containing 0.8-kb <i>gfp</i> gene of pKV111 and <i>erm</i> gene of Tn917	(33)
pGID109	Km ^r Am ^r , 4,4-kb derivative of pCR21- TOPO containing 0.6-kp 3'end of <i>agrD</i> and 5'end of <i>agrC</i>	This work
pGID112	Cm ^r Am ^r ; 10.4-kb derivative of pGF-EM containing 0.4-kb 5'end of <i>agrD</i>	This work
pGID118	Km ^r Am ^r , 4,3-kb derivative of pCR21- TOPO containing 0.5-kp internal region of <i>agrA</i>	This work
pGID119	Cm ^r Åm ^r ; 9,4-kb derivative of pGID112 containing 3'end of <i>agrD</i> inserted into XbaI/EcoRI site of pGID109	This work
pGID121	Cm ^r Am ^r ; 10.6-kb derivative of pGF-EM containing 0.6-kb 3'end of <i>agrC</i> and ATG of <i>agrA</i>	This work
pGID125	Cm ^r Am ^r ; 9.3-kb derivative of pGID121 containing internal region of <i>agrA</i> inserted into XbaI/EcoRI site of pGID118	This work

582 TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

^a Km^r, kanamycin resistant ; Am^r, ampicillin resistant ; Cm^r, chloramphenicol resistant.

584

Description and application	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')^a$	Created restriction site	Primers name
Constructions			
pGID121	A <u>AAGCTT</u> CGCGTTTTTCGTCATGATT A <u>TCTAGA</u> CATAAATTCATCCCCATTCT	HindIII XbaI	C13 A12
pGID118	A <u>GCTAGC</u> AACAGGAGATCCGTTTGAG CTTACCATAAAATTCCACTT	NheI	A15 E2
pGID112	CA <u>AAGCTT</u> TTACTGCAAACA AAGAATCCGCAACTTTCATGG	HindIII	D1 D2
pGID109	CTATGAG <u>TCTAGA</u> TTGCTTCATG AACAAATTCGCCAACATTCC	XbaI	D3 D4
RNA analysis			
qRT-PCR drm	GCGGAAAAACCACTTGCTTACT CGGAAAGGTGTGTCAATGTATAGG		DRMF2 DRMR2
qRT-PCR agrB	CGGCAGACACAGAAAGTTTG TGCGAATGGTATTAGCAACG		BF2 BR2
qRT-PCR agrD	AAATCAGTTGGTAAATTCCTTTCTAG AATGGACTTTTTGGTTCGTATACA		DF2 DR2
qRT-PCR agrC	GGGGTCAATCGCAGGTTTTG CTTTAAGTTCGTTGGTTGCCGTA		CF2 CR2
qRT-PCR agrA	GCAAGCAGAAGAACGATTTCCAA CGCTGTCTCAAAAAACAAGATAT		AF2 AR2
RT-PCR agrBD	CA <u>AAGCTT</u> TTACTGCAAACA TTGCATTTTCACAAATGGACTT	HindIII	D1 DR
RT-PCR agrDC	CCATGAAAGTTGCGGATTCT GGCACTTACAAAAACAATCAATAC		DF C35
RT-PCR <i>agrC</i> and Northern blot <i>agrC</i>	GGAATGTTGGCGAATTTGTT CTTCAAACCCGGCATATCAT		C11 C10
RT-PCR agrCA	CAAAAGGAGAAGGTCGTGGA ACCATTCATGTCCGGCTGCC		C23 A46
Northern blot <i>agrB</i>	A <u>CCATGG</u> GTAAATTCGTTGTAAAATA CGTGCAATTCAATGTTTTGG	NcoI	B17 BR
Northern blot agrA	A <u>GCTAGC</u> AACAGGAGATCCGTTTGAG CTTACCATAAAATTCCACTT	NheI	A15 E2
5'RACE agrB	TGCGAATGGTATTAGCAACG CGAAATCAACACATCCGCCAT		BR2 B34
5'RACE agrC	GGCACTTACAAAAACAATCAATAC CTAAAGTAAATAAAGGGAAGGCTA		C35 C36
5'RACE agrA	TTGATGTGTAGGCATTCGTG ACCATTCATGTCCGGCTGCC		A44 A46
	CGCTGCATTCTGTTATCTTCA		A48
D. () D			A50
Primer extension <i>agrB</i>	GACAAAGGGACTTTTGCAGT CTTCTTCATCATCTTTCCAGCG CGAAATCAACACATCCGCCAT		B18 B26 B34

586 TABLE 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study

^a Specific restriction sites are underlined and extra nucleotides added to include restriction sites to the PCR product are shown in bold type.

592 FIGURE LEGENDS

593

FIG. 1. (A) Schematic diagram of *L. monocytogenes agr* operon. The grey arrows indicate the orientation and the size in base pairs of the four genes. Numbers between parentheses indicate the size in base pairs of the two intergenic regions. Arrowheads indicate the positions of the oligonucleotides used for real time PCR : b (BR2 ; BF2), d (DF2 ; DR2), c (CF2 ; CR2), a (AF2 ; AR2) and black lines indicate the probes used for Northern blotting (NB ; NC ; NA). The position of the transcription initiation site and the transcription termination site are indicated respectively by the bent arrow and the grey dot.

DNA and deduced amino acid sequence of (B) agrA gene containing a 106-bp deletion in DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) mutant and of (C) agrD gene containing a 49-bp deletion in DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) mutant. The position of the deletion is represented by an inverted black triangle, the nucleotides before and after the deletion are mentioned, the RBS are underlined, the start codons are boxed and the stop codon is represented by three stars.

606

FIG. 2. (A) *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e \blacksquare , DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) \blacksquare , and DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) \Box cell adhesion after 2 hours incubation at 25°C on glass slides. Histograms represent the number of adhered cells counted per microscopic field. Each bar indicates the mean of three independent experiments with four microscopic fields per experiment. (B) Micrographs of microscopic fields showing *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e, DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) and DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) cells adhering to glass slides after 2 hours incubation at 25°C. Magnification x 63.

613

FIG. 3. Biofilm formation by *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e \blacksquare , DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) \blacksquare , and DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) \Box in batch conditions in polystyrene 96-well plates after 16, 24, 48 and 72 hours incubation at 25°C. Each histogram indicates the mean of three independent
experiments with five measurements for each point.

618

FIG. 4. Semilogarithmic representation of (A) relative expression levels of the *agrB*, *agrD*, 619 agrC and agrA genes of the parental strain L. monocytogenes EGD-e determined during 620 biofilm formation (2 hours \square , 24 hours \square and 72 hours \square) and planktonic growth (early 621 exponential phase OD_{600} of 0.1 \boxtimes , mid exponential phase OD_{600} of 0.4 \blacksquare , stationary phase 622 OD_{600} of 0.6). (B) relative expression levels of the *agrB*, *agrD*, *agrC* and *agrA* genes of L. 623 *monocytogenes* determined during mid exponential phase OD_{600} of 0.4 (EGD-e strain \blacksquare , 624 DG125A ($\Delta agrA$) strain \square , and DG119D ($\Delta agrD$) strain \square). For both graphs, gene 625 expression was quantified using real time PCR and the comparative critical threshold ($\Delta\Delta C_T$) 626 method. The *drm* gene was used as the internal standard, and expression of the *agrA* gene in 627 the early exponential phase (OD_{600} of 0.1) was used as the calibrator. Three independent 628 experiments were performed; histograms indicate standard deviations. 629

630

FIG. 5. RT-PCR analysis of RNA from *L. monocytogenes* cells at mid exponential phase (OD₆₀₀ of 0.4). (A) The dotted lines enclosed by arrows indicate the positions of the primers and PCR products. (B) The amplified products, numbered 1 to 4, were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel and corresponded respectively to the expected size of 567, 388, 435 and 353 base pairs. Sizes of the DNA marker fragments are notified in base pairs.

636

FIG. 6. Chemical half-life of the transcripts of the *agr* operon. Semilogarithmic plots of mRNA decay corresponding to $agrB - agrD \diamond agrC$ and $agrA \diamond$ genes. Total RNA was prepared 0, 1, 4, 7 and 10 minutes after treatment with rifampicine (250 µg.mL⁻¹). Results were obtained by real-time PCR analysis and normalized using 16S mRNA amounts. The 641 correlation coefficient (\mathbb{R}^2) and half life ($T_{1/2}$) were determined for each regression analysis. 642 Three independent experiments were carried out.

643

FIG. 7. Analysis of the 5' end of *agrB*, *agrC* and *agrA* transcripts using total RNAs isolated from EGD-e cells collected during the exponential growth phase (OD_{600} of 0.4). # indicates the 5' end identified by primer extension and ***** indicates processing sites identified by 5' RACE. The putative –10 with TGn extension and –35 sequences are double underlined, the RBS are underlined, the start codons are boxed and the stop codon of *agrC* is represented by three stars.





741 Fig. 7

ag	grB	tac	att	ttt	tgg	tta	tta	tgg	gta	aat	tcg	rt <u>to</u>	taa	aat	att	* ## agt	<u>qqa</u>	<u>qq t</u>	gaa	tta	d <u>tt</u> M	gag S	taa N	ttt F	tac T	tgc: A	aaa K	.agt V	.ccc P	ttt L	gtca S
ag	grC	aga	ago	gcaa	aac	tta	cat	att	taa	aca	tag	ata	ttt	tag	aca	gtg	gag	gat	taa	t <u>at</u> M	gtt F	tag S	tat I	ttt L	.gat M	.ggc A	aat I	tat. I	aca Q	gat I	aacg T
		ggt G	att I	ttti F	tat I	tgc A	aat I	cca Q	gat I	ttt L	aac T	aaa N	.caa K	agt V	ttt F	ttc S	aat I	taa K	aga E	ggg G	att L	ggt V	tac T	tat I	agc A	aat I	tgc: A	tat M	gct L	agc A	cttc F
ag	grA	g ga G	* itta L	aggo G	cct L	tgc A	tag S	ttt L	gcg R	gga E	aat I	tat M	.gaa K	gaa K	ata Y	ttc S	gca H	cgt V	tgc A	ctt L	aga D	tac T	gaa K	agt V	.ga c T	:cga D	itag R	aga E	agt V	tat I	tcaa Q
		gaa	itti	agaa	aat	tat	gta	gaa	gaa	tgg	gga	tga	att	tat	gct	acc	ggt	ttt	tat	ttg	tga	aga	taa	icag	raa t	igca	igcg	aga	aag	gtt	aacg
		Ε	L	Е	Ι	М	**	*						М	L	Ρ	V	F	I	С	Ε	D	Ν	R	М	Q	R	Е	R	L	Т
		aaa	tat	tati	tga	aga	cta	tat	tat	ggt	tga	aca	ttt	tga	tat	gaa	gtt	aga	act	* ttc	aac	agg	aga	itco	gtt	* tga	gtt	* .agt	.atc	acg	aatg
		K	Y	I	E	D	Y	I	М	V	E	Н	F	D	М	ĸ	L	E	\mathbf{L}	S	Т	G	D	Ρ	F	E	L	v	S	R	М
743																															