

A simplified model for elastic thin shells

Dominique Blanchard, Georges Griso

▶ To cite this version:

Dominique Blanchard, Georges Griso. A simplified model for elastic thin shells. 2009. hal-00437513v2

HAL Id: hal-00437513 https://hal.science/hal-00437513v2

Preprint submitted on 18 Feb 2010 (v2), last revised 19 Nov 2010 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A simplified model for elastic thin shells.

Dominique Blanchard ^a and Georges Griso ^b

^a Université de Rouen, UMR 6085, 76801 Saint Etienne du Rouvray Cedex, France,
e-mail: dominique.blanchard@univ-rouen.fr, blanchar@ann.jussieu.fr

^b Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Université P. et M. Curie, Case Courrier 187,
75252 Paris Cédex 05 - France, e-mail: griso@ann.jussieu.fr

Keywords: shells, calculus of variations, nonlinear elasticity.

2000 MSC: 74B20, 74K20, 74K25, 49J45.

Abstract. We introduce a simplified model for the minimization of the elastic energy in thin shells. This model is not obtained by an asymptotic analysis. The thickness of the shell remains a parameter as in Reisner-Mindlin's model for plates and Koiter's model for shells in the linear case. The nonlinear simplified model admits always minimizers by contrast with the original one. We show the relevance of our approach by proving that the minimum of the simplified model and the infimum of the full model have the same limit as the thickness tends to 0.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to introduce and justify a simplified model for nonlinear elastic shells. We consider an elastic shell, whose energy is denoted W, submitted to applied body forces $f_{\kappa,\delta}$. The total energy given by $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v) = \int_{S\times]-\delta,\delta[} W(E(v)) - \int_{S\times]-\delta,\delta[} f_{\kappa,\delta} \cdot (v-I_d)$ if $\det(\nabla v) > 0$ and where $E(v) = 1/2((\nabla v)^T \nabla v - \mathbf{I}_3)$ is the Green-St Venant's tensor and I_d is the identity map. The Korn's inequalities established in [6] (see also [13]) allow us to claim that if the order of $f_{\kappa,\delta}$ is equal to $\delta^{2\kappa-2}$ with $1 \le \kappa \le 2$ or δ^{κ} with $\kappa \ge 2$, then the order of the total energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v)$ is $\delta^{2\kappa-1}$. We set

$$m_{\kappa,\delta} = \inf_{v \in \mathbf{U}_{\delta}} J_{\kappa,\delta}(v), \qquad m_{\kappa} = \underline{\lim}_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{\kappa,\delta}}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}},$$

where \mathbf{U}_{δ} is the set of admissible deformations. Even for a classical St-Venant-Kirchhoff's material, proving the existence of a minimizer for the above problem is still an open problem. The aim of this paper is to replace the above minimization problem for the functional $J_{\kappa,\delta}$ by an approximate minimization problem for a simplified functional $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s$ defined on a new set \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} and which admits minimizers for $\kappa > 1$. The expression and the choice of \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} rely on the decomposition technique introduced in [6]. The order of the total simplified energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v})$ is also at least $\delta^{2\kappa-1}$. We set

$$m_{\kappa,\delta}^s = \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}), \qquad m_{\kappa}^s = \underline{\lim}_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{\kappa,\delta}^s}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}}.$$

Let us just recall that for a shell with mid-surface S and thickness 2δ , a deformation v defined on this shell is decomposed as (see [6])

$$v = \mathcal{V} + s_3 \mathbf{Rn} + \overline{v}$$

where the fields V and \mathbf{R} are defined on S, s_3 is the variable in the direction \mathbf{n} which is a unit vector field normal to S and \overline{v} is a field still defined on the 3D shell. The matrix field \mathbf{R} takes its values in SO(3). The

fields V, \mathbf{R} and \overline{v} are estimated in terms of the "geometrical energy" $||dist(\nabla v, SO(3))||_{L^2(S\times]-\delta,\delta[)}$ and the thickness of the shell.

We first show that we can approximate the Green-St Venant's strain tensor E(v) by $(\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T (\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})$ as soon as $||\operatorname{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))||_{L^2(S\times]-\delta,\delta[)} = o(\delta^{1/2})$. Secondly, we justify that we can omit the partial derivatives of $\frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial s_1}$ and $\frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial s_2}$ in the expression of ∇v . These considerations lead to a simplified Green-St Venant's strain tensor which is linear with respect to the first partial derivatives of \mathcal{V} , \mathbf{R} and of $\frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial s_3}$, but which is nonlinear with respect to $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{v})$. Hence, we introduce the set \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} of admissible triplets $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{v})$ and we denote by $E(\mathbf{v})$ the simplified Green-St Venant's tensor. Then we derive the simplified total energy $J^s_{\kappa,\delta}(\mathbf{v})$ as follows. Firstly we replace $\int_{S\times]-\delta,\delta[}W(E(v))$ by $\int_{S\times]-\delta,\delta[}Q(E(\mathbf{v}))$ where Q is the quadratic form which approximate W near the origin. Secondly we add two penalization terms in order to approximate the usual limit kinematic condition $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_\alpha} = \mathbf{Rt}_\alpha$ and to insure the coerciveness of $J^s_{\kappa,\delta}$. Finally in the term involving the forces we neglect the contribution of the warping \overline{v} . As announced above we prove that $J^s_{\kappa,\delta}$ admit minimizers on \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} . A natural way to justify the approximation process described above is to show that $m^s_\kappa = m_\kappa$. In the present paper we established this result for $\kappa = 2$.

The introduction of $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s$ is a nonlinear version of the approach which leads to the simplified Timoshenko's model for rods, the Reisner-Mindlin's model for plates and the Koiter's model for shells in linear elasticity.

As general references on the theory of nonlinear elasticity, we refer to [1], [9] and [25] and to the extensive bibliographies of these works. For the justification of plate or shell models in nonlinear elasticity we refer to [10], [11], [12], [14], [16], [19], [24], [26], [27]. The derivation of limit energies for thin domains using Γ-convergence arguments are developed in [15], [16], [23], [24]. The decomposition of the deformations in thin structures is introduced in [18], [19] and a few applications to the junctions of multi-structures and homogenization are given in [2], [3], [4]. The justification of simplified models for rods and plates in linear elasticity, based on a decomposition technique of the displacement, is presented in [20], [21]. In this linear case, error estimates between the solution of the initial model and the one of the simplified model are also established. In some sense, these works give a mathematical justification of Timoshenko's model for rods and Reisner-Mindlin's model for plates.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to describe the geometry of the shell and to give a few notations. In Section 3 we recall the results of [6]: decomposition of a deformation of a thin shell, estimates on the terms of this decomposition and two nonlinear Korn's inequalities. Section 4 is concerned with a standard rescaling. We present the simplification of the Green-St Venant's strain tensor of a deformation in Section 5. We also introduce the set \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} of admissible triplets $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{\mathcal{V}})$, defined on the fixed domain $S \times]-1,1[$, and we prove Korn's type inequalities for the elements of \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} (see Corollary 5.3). In Section 6 we consider nonlinear elastic shells and we use the results of [6] to scale the applied forces in order to obtain a priori estimates on $m_{\kappa,\delta}$. Section 7 is devoted to introduce the simplified energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s$ and to prove the existence of minimizers. In Sections 8 and 9, we restrict the analysis to $\kappa = 2$. We first prove that if $(\mathbf{v}_{\delta}) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ is a sequence of minimizers for $J_{2,\delta}^s$, then, up to a subsequence, \mathbf{v}_{δ} converges to a minimizer $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ of a limit functional defined over a subset of \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} . The minimum of this functional is also equal to m_2^s . In Section 9 we prove the main result of this paper namely that $m_2 = m_2^s$ which justifies the analysis of the previous sections. In Section 10, we give an alternative formulation of the minimization problem for $J^s_{\kappa,\delta}$ through elimination of the variable \overline{v} . Then we obtain that $m^s_{\kappa,\delta}$ is the minimum of a functional which depends only upon $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R})$. At last an appendix contains an approximation result for the elements of \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} and an algebraic elimination process for quadratic forms. The results of this paper were announced in [8].

2. The geometry and notations.

Let us introduce a few notations and definitions concerning the geometry of the shell (see [22] for a detailed presentation).

Let ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with lipschitzian boundary and let ϕ be an injective mapping from $\overline{\omega}$ into \mathbb{R}^3 of class \mathcal{C}^2 . We denote S the surface $\phi(\overline{\omega})$. We assume that the two vectors $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s_1}(s_1, s_2)$ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s_2}(s_1, s_2)$ are linearly independent at each point $(s_1, s_2) \in \overline{\omega}$.

We set

(2.1)
$$\mathbf{t}_1 = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s_1}, \qquad \mathbf{t}_2 = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s_2}, \qquad \mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{t}_1 \wedge \mathbf{t}_2}{\|\mathbf{t}_1 \wedge \mathbf{t}_2\|_2}.$$

The vectors \mathbf{t}_1 and \mathbf{t}_2 are tangential vectors to the surface S and the vector \mathbf{n} is a unit normal vector to this surface. The reference fiber of the shell is the segment $]-\delta,\delta[$. We set

$$\Omega_{\delta} = \omega \times] - \delta, \delta[.$$

Now we consider the mapping $\Phi: \overline{\omega} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

(2.2)
$$\Phi : (s_1, s_2, s_3) \longmapsto x = \phi(s_1, s_2) + s_3 \mathbf{n}(s_1, s_2).$$

There exists $\delta_0 \in (0,1]$ depending only on S, such that the restriction of Φ to the compact set $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0} = \overline{\omega} \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0]$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 - diffeomorphism of that set onto its range (see e.g. [11]). Hence, there exist two constants $c_0 > 0$ and $c_1 \geq c_0$, which depend only on ϕ , such that

(2.3)
$$\forall s \in \Omega_{\delta_0}, \quad c_0 \leq |||\nabla_s \Phi(s)||| \leq c_1, \quad \text{and for } x = \Phi(s) \qquad c_0 \leq |||\nabla_x \Phi^{-1}(x)||| \leq c_1.$$

Definition 2.1. For $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, the shell \mathcal{Q}_{δ} is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\delta} = \Phi(\Omega_{\delta}).$$

The mid-surface of the shell is S. The fibers of the shell are the segments $\Phi(\{(s_1, s_2)\} \times] - \delta, \delta[), (s_1, s_2) \in \omega$. The lateral boundary of the shell is $\Gamma_{\delta} = \Phi(\partial \omega \times] - \delta, \delta[)$. In the following sections the shell will be fixed on a part of its lateral boundary. Let γ_0 be an open subset of $\partial \omega$ which made of a finite number of connected components (whose closure are disjoint). We assume that the shell is clamped on

$$\Gamma_{0,\delta} = \Phi(\gamma_0 \times] - \delta, \delta[).$$

The admissible deformations v of the shell must then satisfy

$$(2.4) v = I_d on \Gamma_{0,\delta}$$

where I_d is the identity map of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Notation. From now on we denote by c and C two positive generic constants which do not depend on δ . We respectively note by x and s the running points of \mathcal{Q}_{δ} and of Ω_{δ} . A field v defined on \mathcal{Q}_{δ} can be also considered as a field defined on Ω_{δ} that, as a convention, we will also denote by v. As far as the gradients

of field v, say in $(W^{1,1}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3$, are concerned we have $\nabla_x v$ and $\nabla_s v = \nabla_x v \cdot \nabla \Phi$ for a.e. $x = \Phi(s)$ and (2.3) shows that

$$c|||\nabla_x v(x)||| \le |||\nabla_s v(s)||| \le C|||\nabla_x v(x)|||.$$

3. Korn's inequalities for shells. Decomposition of a deformation.

We first recall the Korn's inequalities for shells established in [7]. Let v be an admissible deformation belonging to $(H^1(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3$ and satisfying the boundary condition (2.4). Setting $\mathcal{V}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} v(s_1, s_2, t) dt$ a.e. $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$, we have (see Theorem 3 of [6] and Theorem 4.2 of [7])

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} ||v - I_d||_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3} + ||\nabla_x v - \mathbf{I}_3||_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^9} \le C(\delta^{1/2} + ||dist(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}), \\ ||(v - I_d) - (\mathcal{V} - \phi)||_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3} \le C\delta(\delta^{1/2} + ||dist(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}), \end{cases}$$

and

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} ||v - I_d||_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3} + ||\nabla_x v - \mathbf{I}_3||_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^9} \le \frac{C}{\delta} ||dist(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}, \\ ||(v - I_d) - (\mathcal{V} - \phi)||_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3} \le C||dist(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}. \end{cases}$$

Inequalities (3.1) are better than those (3.2) if the order of the geometric energy $||dist(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\delta)}$ is greater than $\delta^{3/2}$.

Now the theorem of decomposition of the deformations established in [7] (Theorem 3.4 of Section 3) is given below.

Theorem 3.4. There exists a constant C(S) which depends only on the mid-surface of the shell such that for all deformation v belonging to $(H^1(Q_\delta))^3$ and satisfying

$$(3.1) ||dist(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\delta)} \le C(S)\delta^{3/2},$$

then, there exist $V \in (H^1(\omega))^3$, $\mathbf{R} \in (H^1(\omega))^{3\times 3}$ satisfying $\mathbf{R}(s_1, s_2) \in SO(3)$ for a.e. $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$ and \overline{v} belonging to $(H^1(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3$ such that for a.e. $s \in \Omega_{\delta}$

(3.4)
$$v(s) = \mathcal{V}(s_1, s_2) + s_3 \mathbf{R}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{n}(s_1, s_2) + \overline{v}(s),$$

where we can choose $\mathcal{V}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} v(s_1, s_2, t) dt$ a.e. $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$, and such that the following estimates hold:

$$(3.5) \begin{cases} ||\overline{v}||_{(L^{2}(\Omega_{\delta}))^{3}} \leq C\delta||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\ ||\nabla_{s}\overline{v}||_{(L^{2}(\Omega_{\delta}))^{9}} \leq C||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\ ||\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{9}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}}||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\ ||\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{Rt}_{\alpha}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}}||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\ ||\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{R}||_{(L^{2}(\Omega_{\delta}))^{9}} \leq C||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}. \end{cases}$$

Due to (3.4) and to the definition of \mathcal{V} , the field \overline{v} satisfies $\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \overline{v}(s_1, s_2, t) dt = 0$ a.e. $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$.

If the deformation v as in Theorem 3.4 satisfies the boundary condition (2.4) then indeed

$$(3.6) \mathcal{V} = \phi \text{on} \gamma_0.$$

Moreover due to Lemma 4.1 of [7], we can choose **R** and \overline{v} in Theorem 3.4 above such that

(3.7)
$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_3 \quad \text{on } \gamma_0, \quad \overline{v} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{0,\delta}.$$

From estimates (3.5) we also derive the following ones:

(3.8)
$$\begin{cases} ||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{9}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} ||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\ ||\mathcal{V} - \phi||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} ||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}. \end{cases}$$

4. Rescaling Ω_{δ}

As usual, we rescale Ω_{δ} using the operator

$$(\Pi_{\delta}w)(s_1, s_2, S_3) = w(s_1, s_2, \delta S_3)$$
 for any $(s_1, s_2, S_3) \in \Omega$

defined for e.g. $w \in L^2(\Omega_{\delta})$ for which $(\Pi_{\delta}w) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Let v be a deformation decomposed as (3.4), by transforming by Π_{δ} we obtain

$$\Pi_{\delta}(v)(s_1, s_2, S_3) = \mathcal{V}(s_1, s_2) + \delta S_3 \mathbf{R}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{n}(s_1, s_2) + \Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})(s_1, s_2, S_3), \quad \text{for a.e. } (s_1, s_2, S_3) \in \Omega.$$

The estimates (3.5) of \overline{v} transposed over Ω are (notice that $\Pi_{\delta} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial s_2} \right) = \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})}{\partial S_2}$)

$$\begin{cases}
||\Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq C\delta^{1/2}||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\
\left\|\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})}{\partial s_{1}}\right\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}}||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\
\left\|\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})}{\partial s_{2}}\right\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}}||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \\
\left\|\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})}{\partial S_{3}}\right\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq C\delta^{1/2}||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})},
\end{cases}$$

5. Simplification in the Green-St Venant's strain tensor.

In this section we introduce a simplification of the Green-St Venant's strain tensor $E(v) = 1/2((\nabla v)^T \nabla v - \mathbf{I}_3)$. Let v be a deformation of the shell belonging to $(H^1(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3$ and satisfying the condition (3.1). We decompose v as (3.4). We have the identity

$$(\nabla_x v)^T \nabla_x v - \mathbf{I}_3 = (\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T (\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R}) + (\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})^T (\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R}).$$

Thanks to estimates (3.5) we get

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} ||\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{R})^{T}\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^{T}(\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{R}))||_{(L^{1}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}} \leq C \frac{||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}}{\delta^{1/2}}, \\ ||\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{R})^{T}(\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{R}))||_{(L^{1}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}} \leq C \left[\frac{||dist(\nabla_{x}v, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}}{\delta^{1/2}}\right]^{2}. \end{cases}$$

In view of (3.1), these estimates show that the term $\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})^T(\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R}))$ can be neglected in E(v). Now we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial s_1} = \nabla_x v \left(\mathbf{t}_1 + s_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_1} \right), \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_2} = \nabla_x v \left(\mathbf{t}_2 + s_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_2} \right), \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_3} = \nabla_x v \, \mathbf{n}.$$

Then

$$\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{R})\left(\mathbf{t}_{\alpha} + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}\right) + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}\mathbf{n} + \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\overline{v}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}, \qquad \Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{R})\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\delta}\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\overline{v}}{\partial S_{3}}.$$

First, we can neglect the term $\delta S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_\alpha}$ which is of order δ in the quantity $\mathbf{t}_\alpha + \delta S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_\alpha}$. Secondly, as a consequence of these equalities and the following estimates (obtained from (3.5) and (4.1)):

$$\begin{cases}
\left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq C \frac{\left\| \operatorname{dist}(\nabla_{x} v, SO(3)) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}}{\delta^{1/2}}, \\
\left\| \delta S_{3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \mathbf{n} \right\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq C \frac{\left\| \operatorname{dist}(\nabla_{x} v, SO(3)) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}}{\delta^{1/2}}, \\
\left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta} \overline{v}}{\partial S_{3}} \right\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq C \frac{\left\| \operatorname{dist}(\nabla_{x} v, SO(3)) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}}{\delta^{1/2}}, \\
\left\| \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta} \overline{v}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \right\|_{(H^{-1}(\Omega))^{3}} \leq C \delta \left[\frac{\left\| \operatorname{dist}(\nabla_{x} v, SO(3)) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}}{\delta^{1/2}} \right]
\end{cases}$$

we deduce that in the quantity $\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T(\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R}))$ we can neglect the terms $\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta} \overline{v}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}$.

Now, if in the Green-St Venant's strain tensor of v we carry out the simplifications mentioned above, we are brought to replace

$$\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_{x}v)^{T}\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{I}_{3}) \quad \text{by} \quad (\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T}E_{\delta}(v)(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1}$$

where the symmetric matrix $E_{\delta}(v) \in (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}$ is equal to

(5.3)
$$E_{\delta}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta S_{3}\Gamma_{11}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathcal{Z}_{11} & \delta S_{3}\Gamma_{12}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathcal{Z}_{12} & \frac{1}{2\delta}\frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_{31} \\ * & \delta S_{3}\Gamma_{22}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathcal{Z}_{22} & \frac{1}{2\delta}\frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_{32} \\ * & * & \frac{1}{\delta}\frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\beta}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right) \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta} + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\beta}} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta} \right) \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right], \quad \mathcal{Z}_{3\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{n},$$

where $\overline{V} = \mathbf{R}^T \Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})$ and where $(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})$ denotes the 3×3 matrix with first column \mathbf{t}_1 , second column \mathbf{t}_2 and third column \mathbf{n} and where $(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} = ((\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1})^T$.

Remark 5.1. From the last estimate in (3.5) we deduce that

$$||\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})||_{(L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3}} \le C\delta$$

and then we get that the set

$$\{s \in \Omega \mid |||\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_x v - \mathbf{R})(s)||| \ge 1\}$$

has a measure less than $C\delta^2$. It follows that the measure of the set

$$\{s \in \Omega \mid \det (\Pi_{\delta} [\nabla_x v](s)) \le 0\}$$

tends to 0 as δ goes to 0.

Now, we introduce the following closed subset \mathbb{D} of $(H^1(\omega))^3 \times (H^1(\omega))^{3\times 3} \times (L^2(\omega; H^1(-1,1)))^3$

$$\mathbb{D} = \left\{ \mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \in (H^{1}(\omega))^{3} \times (H^{1}(\omega))^{3 \times 3} \times (L^{2}(\omega; H^{1}(-1, 1)))^{3} \mid \mathbf{R}(s_{1}, s_{2}) \in SO(3), \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} \overline{\mathcal{V}}(s_{1}, s_{2}, S_{3}) dS_{3} = 0,$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} S_{3} \overline{\mathcal{V}}(s_{1}, s_{2}, S_{3}) \cdot \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s_{1}, s_{2}) dS_{3} = 0, \text{ for a.e. } (s_{1}, s_{2}) \in \omega, \ \alpha = 1, 2. \right\}$$

The last condition on \overline{V} in \mathbb{D} is not satisfied by $\Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})$ in general, loosely speaking this new condition will allow to decouple the estimates of \overline{V} and $\mathcal{Z}_{i\alpha}$ (see the proof of Proposition 5.2).

For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}$, we consider v defined by

(5.4)
$$v(s) = \mathcal{V}(s_1, s_2) + \mathbf{R}(s_1, s_2) \left[s_3 \mathbf{n}(s_1, s_2) + \overline{V}\left(s_1, s_2, \frac{s_3}{\delta}\right) \right], \quad \text{for a.e. } s \in \Omega_{\delta}$$
$$= \mathcal{V}(s_1, s_2) + s_3 \mathbf{R}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{n}(s_1, s_2) + \overline{v}(s),$$

The deformation v belongs to $(L^2(\omega; H^1(-\delta, \delta)))^3$ so that, in general, the Green-St Venant's tensor of v is not defined. Nevertheless, the tensor field $E_{\delta}(v)$ belongs to $(L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3}$ and we set

(5.5)
$$\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) = E_{\delta}(v), \qquad \widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) \in (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3 \times 3}.$$

Let us point out that if a triplet **v** satisfies the limit kinematic condition $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} = \mathbf{Rt}_{\alpha}$, then it is easy to obtain

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2}||\overline{V}||_{(L^2(\omega;H^1(-1,1)))^3} + \left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_\alpha}\right\|_{(L^2(\omega))^{3\times 3}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta}||\widehat{E}_\delta(\mathbf{v})||_{(L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3}}$$

which permits with some boundary conditions to control the product norm of \mathbf{v} in term of $||\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}}$ and δ . In order to define an energy which have this property for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}$, we are led to add two penalization terms, which vanish as $\delta \to 0$, to $||\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})||^{2}_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}}$. This is why for every deformation $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}$ we set

$$(5.6) \mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) = \|\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times3}}^{2} + \delta^{2} \|\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{t}_{1}\|_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} + \|\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{2}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}.$$

Proposition 5.2. There exists a positive constant C which does not depend on δ such that for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\frac{1}{\delta^4} \|\overline{V}\|_{(L^2(\omega; H^1(-1,1)))^3}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_\alpha} \right\|_{(L^2(\omega))^{3\times 3}}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_\alpha} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_\alpha \right\|_{(L^2(\omega))^3}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \mathcal{E}_\delta(\mathbf{v}).$$

Proof. First of all there exists a positive constant C independent of δ such that

(5.7)
$$\begin{cases} \delta \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + \delta \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{2} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + \delta \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} \\ + \left\| \left\| \mathcal{Z}_{11} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + \left\| \left\| \mathcal{Z}_{12} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + \left\| \left\| \mathcal{Z}_{22} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{1} + \mathcal{Z}_{31} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ + \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{2} + \mathcal{Z}_{32} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3 \times 3}}. \end{cases}$$

We use the definition of \mathbb{D} to estimate the field $\overline{V} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}$. Introducing the function $\frac{1}{\delta} \overline{V} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} + S_3 \mathcal{Z}_{3\alpha}$, using Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality and the first condition on \overline{V} in \mathbb{D} give

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\overline{V}\cdot\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}+S_{3}\mathcal{Z}_{3\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\overline{V}\cdot\mathbf{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\leq C||\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times3}}.$$

Now we use the second condition on $\overline{V} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}$ in the above estimates and again (5.7) to get the estimates on \overline{V} and $\mathcal{Z}_{3\alpha}$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left\{ ||\overline{V} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}||_{L^{2}(\omega; H^{1}(-1,1))} + \delta ||\mathcal{Z}_{3\alpha}||_{L^{2}(\omega)} \right\} + ||\overline{V} \cdot \mathbf{n}||_{L^{2}(\omega; H^{1}(-1,1))} \leq C\delta ||\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}}.$$

There exist two antisymmetric matrices \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 in $(L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}_1 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_2} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}_2.$$

From (5.7) we get

$$\|\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{t}_1\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \|\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{t}_2 + \mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{t}_1\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \|\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{t}_2\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta}||\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})||_{(L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3}}.$$

Besides there exists a positive constant such

$$\begin{aligned} &||\mathbf{A}_{1}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3\times3}} + ||\mathbf{A}_{2}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3\times3}} \\ &\leq & C \Big\{ \|\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + \|\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{2} + \mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + \|\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{2}\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} + ||\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{t}_{2} - \mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{t}_{1}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \right\|_{(L^2(\omega))^{3\times 3}} + \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_2} \right\|_{(L^2(\omega))^{3\times 3}} \le C \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} ||\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})||_{(L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3}} + \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{t}_2 - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_2} \mathbf{t}_1 \right\|_{(L^2(\omega))^3} \right\}.$$

Due to the estimates concerning the $\mathcal{Z}_{i\alpha}$ and the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})$ we finally obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right\|_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} \leq C \mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}).$$

We define now the set of the admissible triplets

$$\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0} = \left\{ \mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathbb{D} \mid \mathcal{V} = \phi, \ \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_3 \quad \text{on } \gamma_0 \right\}.$$

8

Notice that the triplet $\mathbf{I}_d = (\phi, \mathbf{I}_3, 0)$ belongs to \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} and it is associated to the deformation $v = I_d$.

In somme sense, the following corollary gives two Korn's inequalities on the set \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} with respect to the quantity $\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})$, the more accurate of which depending on the order of $\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})$.

Corollary 5.3. There exists a positive constant C which does not depend on δ such that for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$

$$||\mathcal{V} - \phi||_{(H^{1}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} + ||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(H^{1}(\omega))^{3\times 3}}^{2} \le \frac{C}{\delta^{2}} \mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}),$$

$$||\mathcal{V} - \phi||_{(H^{1}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} + ||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(H^{1}(\omega))^{3\times 3}}^{2} \le C(1 + \mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})).$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_3$ and $\mathcal{V} = \phi$ on γ_0 , then from Proposition 5.1 we obtain

$$||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3||_{(H^1(\omega))^{3\times 3}}^2 \le \frac{C}{\delta^2} \mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}).$$

Using the above estimate and again Proposition 5.1 we obtain the first estimate on $\mathcal{V} - \phi$. To obtain the second estimate on $\mathcal{V} - \phi$, notice that $||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3||_{(L^2(\omega))^{3\times 3}}^2 \leq C$.

6. Elastic shells

In this section we consider a shell made of an elastic material. Its thickness 2δ is fixed and belongs to $]0, 2\delta_0]$. The local energy $W: \mathbf{S}_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function of symmetric matrices which satisfies the following assumptions which are similar to those adopted in [15], [16] and [17] (the reader is also referred to [9] for general introduction to elasticity)

(6.1)
$$\exists c > 0$$
 such that $\forall E \in \mathbf{S}_3$ $W(E) \geq c||E|||^2$,

$$(6.2) \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \exists \theta > 0, \quad \text{such that} \quad \forall E \in \mathbf{S}_3 \quad |||E||| \le \theta \implies |W(E) - Q(E)| \le \varepsilon |||E|||^2,$$

where Q is a positive quadratic form defined on the set of 3×3 symmetric matrices. Remark that Q satisfies (6.1) with the same constant c.

Still following [9], for any 3×3 matrix F, we set

(6.3)
$$\widehat{W}(F) = \begin{cases} W\left(\frac{1}{2}(F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3)\right) & \text{if } \det(F) > 0\\ +\infty & \text{if } \det(F) \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark that due to (6.1), (6.3) and to the inequality $|||F^TF - \mathbf{I}_3||| \ge dist(F, SO(3))$ if det(F) > 0, we have for any 3×3 matrix F

(6.4)
$$\widehat{W}(F) \ge \frac{c}{4} dist(F, SO(3))^2.$$

Remark 6.1. As a classical example of a local elastic energy satisfying the above assumptions, we mention the following St Venant-Kirchhoff's law (see [9]) for which

$$\widehat{W}(F) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{8} \left(tr(F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3) \right)^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} tr \left((F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3)^2 \right) & \text{if } \det(F) > 0 \\ + \infty & \text{if } \det(F) \le 0. \end{cases}$$

In order to take into account the boundary condition on the admissible deformations we introduce the space

(6.5)
$$\mathbf{U}_{\delta} = \left\{ v \in (H^1(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3 \mid v = I_d \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{0,\delta} \right\}.$$

Let $\kappa \geq 1$. Now we assume that the shell is submitted to applied body forces $f_{\kappa,\delta} \in (L^2(\Omega_\delta))^3$ and we define the total energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v)^*$ over \mathbf{U}_δ by

(6.6)
$$J_{\kappa,\delta}(v) = \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\delta}} \widehat{W}(\nabla_{x}v)(x)dx - \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\delta}} f_{\kappa,\delta}(x) \cdot (v(x) - I_{d}(x))dx.$$

To introduce the scaling on $f_{\kappa,\delta}$, let us consider f and g in $(L^2(\omega))^3$ and assume that the force $f_{\kappa,\delta}$ is given by

(6.7)
$$f_{\kappa,\delta}(x) = \delta^{\kappa'} f(s_1, s_2) + \delta^{\kappa'-2} s_3 g(s_1, s_2) \quad \text{for a.e. } x = \Phi(s) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}.$$

where

(6.8)
$$\kappa' = \begin{cases} 2\kappa - 2 & \text{if } 1 \le \kappa \le 2, \\ \kappa & \text{if } \kappa \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $J_{\kappa,\delta}(I_d) = 0$. So, in order to minimize $J_{\kappa,\delta}$ we only need to consider deformations v of \mathbf{U}_{δ} such that $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v) \leq 0$.

Now from (6.1), (6.3), (6.4), the two Korn's inequalities (3.1)-(3.2), the assumption (6.7) of the body forces and the definition (6.8) of κ' , we obtain the following bound for $||\operatorname{dist}(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})}$

(6.9)
$$||\operatorname{dist}(\nabla_x v, SO(3))||_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\delta)} \le C\delta^{\kappa - 1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\delta} f_{\kappa, \delta} \cdot (v - I_d) \le C\delta^{2\kappa - 1}$$

which in turn imply that

$$(6.10) c\delta^{2\kappa - 1} \le J_{\kappa, \delta}(v) \le 0.$$

Again from (6.3)-(6.4) and the estimates (6.9) we deduce

$$\frac{c}{4}||(\nabla_x v)^T \nabla_x v - \mathbf{I}_3||_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\delta))^{3\times 3}}^2 \leq J_{\kappa,\delta}(v) + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_\delta} f_{\kappa,\delta} \cdot (v - I_d) \leq C\delta^{2\kappa - 1}.$$

Hence, the following estimate of the Green-St Venant's tensor:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nabla_x v)^T \nabla_x v - \mathbf{I}_3 \right\} \right\|_{(L^2(\mathcal{Q}_\delta))^{3 \times 3}} \le C \delta^{\kappa - 1/2}.$$

We deduce from the above inequality that $v \in (W^{1,4}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^3$ with

$$||\nabla_x v||_{(L^4(\mathcal{Q}_\delta))^{3\times 3}} \le C\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

We set

$$m_{\kappa,\delta} = \inf_{v \in \mathbf{U}_{\delta}} J_{\kappa,\delta}(v).$$

^{*} For later convenience, we have added the term $\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}} f_{\kappa,\delta}(x) \cdot I_d(x) dx$ to the usual standard energy, indeed this does not affect the minimizing problem for $J_{\kappa,\delta}$.

As a consequence of (6.10) we have

$$c \le \frac{m_{\kappa,\delta}}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \le 0.$$

We denote

(6.11)
$$m_{\kappa} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{\kappa,\delta}}{\delta^{2\kappa - 1}}.$$

In general, a minimizer of $J_{\kappa,\delta}$ does not exist on \mathbf{U}_{δ} . In what follows, we replace the elastic functional $v \mapsto \frac{J_{\kappa,\delta}(v)}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}}$ on \mathbf{U}_{δ} by a simplified functional defined on \mathbb{D} which admits a minimum. Our aim, in this paper and forthcoming others, is to show that in (6.11) the $\underline{\lim}$ of the lower bound for the elastic functional is in fact a limit and moreover this limit is equal to the limit of the minimum for the simplified functional. To do that, we will be brought to show that the asymptotic behavior of a minimizing sequence of deformations for the elastic functional is identical to that of a sequence of minimizer for the simplified functional. In Section 9, we prove this important result in the case $\kappa = 2$.

From now on we assume $\kappa > 1$.

7. The simplified elastic model for shells

The aim of this section is to define a functional $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s$ on the set \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} , which will appear as a simplification of the total energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}$ defined on the set \mathbf{U}_{δ} . In order to perform this task, we use the results of Section 5 and we proceed in three steps. Let us first consider an admissible deformation v satisfying (3.1), decomposed as in (3.4) and such that $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v) \leq 0$. It is convenient to use the rescaling Π_{δ} to express the energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v)$ over the fixed domain Ω

(7.1)
$$J_{\kappa,\delta}(v) = \delta \int_{\Omega} W\left(\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{\delta}\left((\nabla_{x}v)^{T}\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{I}_{3}\right)\right) \det\left(\mathbf{t}_{1} + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{1}}|\mathbf{t}_{2} + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{2}}|\mathbf{n}\right) ds_{1} ds_{2} dS_{3} \\ - \int_{\Omega} \left(\delta^{\kappa'} + 1 f + \delta^{\kappa'} S_{3}g\right) \cdot \Pi_{\delta}\left(v - I_{d}\right) \det\left(\mathbf{t}_{1} + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{1}}|\mathbf{t}_{2} + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{2}}|\mathbf{n}\right) ds_{1} ds_{2} dS_{3}.$$

The triplet associated to v by the decomposition (3.4) is denoted $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{v})$, and recall that we have set $\overline{V} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{V})$. The following estimate has been proved in Section 6

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\delta} \left\{ (\nabla_x v)^T \nabla_x v - \mathbf{I}_3 \right\} \right\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^{3 \times 3}} \le C \delta^{\kappa - 1}.$$

Then, according to assumptions (6.2) and $\kappa > 1$ and the above estimate, in the first term of the total energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v)$ we replace the quantity $W\left(\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{\delta}\left((\nabla_{x}v)^{T}\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{I}_{3}\right)\right)$ by $Q\left(\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{\delta}\left((\nabla_{x}v)^{T}\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{I}_{3}\right)\right)$. Following the analysis of Section 5, we replace now $Q\left(\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{\delta}\left((\nabla_{x}v)^{T}\nabla_{x}v - \mathbf{I}_{3}\right)\right)$ by $Q\left((\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T}\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1}\right)$ where $\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) = E_{\delta}(v)$ is defined by (5.3) and (5.5). At last, we replace $\det\left(\mathbf{t}_{1} + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{1}} | \mathbf{t}_{2} + \delta S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{2}} | \mathbf{n}\right)$ by $\det\left(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n}\right)$. Setting for all 3×3 symmetric matrix F

(7.2)
$$W^{s}(F) = Q\left(\left(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n}\right)^{-T} F\left(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n}\right)^{-1}\right)$$

all the above considerations lead us to replace the first term in the right hand side of (7.1) by

(7.3)
$$\delta \int_{\Omega} W^{s}(\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1}|\mathbf{t}_{2}|\mathbf{n}) ds_{1} ds_{2} dS_{3}.$$

Observe now the term involving the forces in (7.1). We have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \left(\delta^{\kappa'+1} f + \delta^{\kappa'} S_{3} g \right) \cdot \Pi_{\delta} (v - I_{d}) \det \left(\mathbf{t}_{1} + \delta S_{3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{1}} | \mathbf{t}_{2} + \delta S_{3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{2}} | \mathbf{n} \right) ds_{1} ds_{2} dS_{3} \right. \\
\left. - 2 \delta^{\kappa'+1} \int_{\omega} \left[f \cdot (\mathcal{V} - \phi) + \frac{1}{3} g \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_{3}) \mathbf{n} \right] \det \left(\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n} \right) ds_{1} ds_{2} \\
\left. - \frac{2}{3} \delta^{\kappa'+1} \int_{\omega} g \cdot (\mathcal{V} - \phi) \left[\det \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{1}} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n} \right) + \det \left(\mathbf{t}_{1} | \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{2}} | \mathbf{n} \right) \right] ds_{1} ds_{2} \right| \\
\leq C \delta^{\kappa'+2} \left(||f||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} + ||g||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} \right) \left(||\mathcal{V} - \phi||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} + ||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3 \times 3}} + \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} ||\Pi_{\delta}(\overline{v})||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}} \right).$$

Then, in view of the first estimate in (4.1) we replace the term involving the forces by

(7.4)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\delta}(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R}) = \delta^{\kappa'+1}\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R})$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) = 2 \int_{\omega} \left[f \cdot (\mathcal{V} - \phi) + \frac{1}{3} g \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3) \mathbf{n} \right] \det(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n}) ds_1 ds_2$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} \int_{\omega} g \cdot (\mathcal{V} - \phi) \left[\det \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_1} | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n} \right) + \det \left(\mathbf{t}_1 | \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_2} | \mathbf{n} \right) \right] ds_1 ds_2.$$

At the end of this first step, we obtain a simplified energy for a deformation $v \in \mathbf{U}_{\delta}$ which satisfies (3.1) and $J_{\kappa,\delta}(v) \leq 0$

$$J_{\kappa,\delta}^{simpl}(v) = \delta \int_{\Omega} W^{s}(\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1}|\mathbf{t}_{2}|\mathbf{n}) ds_{1} ds_{2} dS_{3} - \delta^{\kappa'+1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}).$$

Indeed the energy $J_{\kappa,\delta}^{simpl}(v)$ can be seen as a functional of \mathbf{v} . But we have already notice that $\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})$ belongs to $(L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3}$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$. As a consequence, in a second step we are in a position to extend the above energy to the whole set \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} and to put

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}, \qquad \overline{J_{\kappa,\delta}^{simpl}}(\mathbf{v}) = \delta \int_{\Omega} W^s(\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n}) ds_1 ds_2 dS_3 - \delta^{\kappa'+1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}).$$

As observed in Section 5, the functional $\overline{J_{\kappa,\delta}^{simpl}}$ is not coercive on \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} . In a third step, in view of Proposition 5.2 and in order to obtain the coerciveness of the simplified energy, the two terms $\delta^2 \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{t}_2 - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_2} \mathbf{t}_1 \right\|_{(L^2(\omega))^3}^2$, $\left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_1} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_2} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_1 \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$ are added to $\overline{J_{\kappa,\delta}^{simpl}}$.

Using all the above considerations, we are able to define the simplified elastic energy on \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} by setting for any \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{D}_{γ_0}

(7.5)
$$\begin{cases} J_{\kappa,\delta}^{s}(\mathbf{v}) = \delta \Big[\int_{\Omega} W^{s} (\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n}) ds_{1} ds_{2} dS_{3} + \delta^{2} \Big\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{t}_{1} \Big\|_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} \\ + \Big\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{2}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{1} \Big\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} \Big] - \delta^{\kappa' + 1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}). \end{cases}$$

The end of this section is dedicated to show that the functional $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s$ admits a minimizer on \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} . Let \mathbf{v} be in \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} we have

(7.6)
$$\left| \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \right| \le C \left(||f||_{(L^2(\omega))^3} + ||g||_{(L^2(\omega))^3} \right) \left(||\mathcal{V} - \phi||_{(L^2(\omega))^3} + ||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3||_{(L^2(\omega))^{3\times 3}} \right).$$

The quadratic form Q being positive, the definition (5.6) of $\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})$ and (7.5)-(7.6) give

$$C\delta\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) - C\delta^{\kappa'+1} \big(||f||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} + ||g||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} \big) \big(||\mathcal{V} - \phi||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} + ||\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3\times 3}} \big) \leq J^{s}_{\kappa,\delta}(\mathbf{v}).$$

Now thanks to Corollary 5.3 and (6.8), we get, if $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}) \leq 0 (=J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{I}_d))$

(7.7)
$$\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) \le C\delta^{2(\kappa-1)}(||f||_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} + ||g||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}})^{2}.$$

Hence, there exists a constant c which does not depend on δ such that for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ satisfying $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}) \leq 0$, we have

$$c\delta^{2\kappa-1} \le J^s_{\kappa,\delta}(\mathbf{v}).$$

We set

(7.8)
$$m_{\kappa,\delta}^s = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\infty}} J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}).$$

As a consequence of the above inequality, we have

$$c \le \frac{m_{\kappa,\delta}^s}{\delta^{2\kappa - 1}} \le 0.$$

In the following theorem we prove that for κ and δ fixed the minimization problem (7.8) has at least a solution.

Theorem 7.1. There exists $\mathbf{v}_{\delta} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ such that

(7.9)
$$m_{\kappa,\delta}^s = J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_\delta) = \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}).$$

Proof. Since $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{I}_d)=0$, we can consider a minimizing sequence \mathbf{v}_n in \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} such that $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_n)\leq 0$ and

$$m_{\kappa,\delta}^s = \lim_{n \to +\infty} J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_n).$$

From (7.7) we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}_n) \le C\delta^{2(\kappa-1)}(||f_{(L^2(\omega))^3} + ||g||_{(L^2(\Omega))^3})^4.$$

Thanks to Corollary 5.3 and Proposition 5.2 the above estimate show that there exist a subsequence still denoted n such that $(|\mathbf{R}_n||_{(L^{\infty}(\omega))^{3\times 3}} = \sqrt{3})$

$$\mathcal{V}_n \rightharpoonup \mathcal{V}$$
 weakly in $(H^1(\omega))^3$
 $\mathbf{R}_n \rightharpoonup \mathbf{R}$ weakly in $(H^1(\omega))^{3\times 3}$
 $\mathbf{R}_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ strongly in $(L^2(\omega))^{3\times 3}$ and a.e. in ω
 $\overline{V}_n \rightharpoonup \overline{V}$ weakly in $(L^2(\omega; H^1(-1, 1)))^3$.

Then setting $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$, we get

$$\widehat{E}(\mathbf{v}_n) \rightharpoonup \widehat{E}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{weakly in } (L^2(\Omega))^{3\times 3},
\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_n}{\partial s_1} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{t}_2 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_n}{\partial s_2} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{t}_1 \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_1} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_2} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_1 \quad \text{weakly in } (L^2(\Omega))^3.$$

Now, passing to the limit inf in $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_n)$, we obtain

$$m_{\kappa,\delta}^s \leq J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}) \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \to +\infty} J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_n) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_n) = m_{\kappa,\delta}^s.$$

8. Asymptotic behavior of the simplified model. Case $\kappa = 2$.

In this section we study the asymptotic behavior of the sequence (\mathbf{v}_{δ}) of minimizer given in Theorem 7.1 and we characterize the limit of the minima $\frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3}$ as a minimum of a new functional. We denote \mathbb{D}_2 the following closed subset of \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} :

$$\mathbb{D}_2 = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0} \mid \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{V} \in (H^2(\omega))^3$. For all $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathbb{D}_2$, we have $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \delta^2 \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ and

(8.1)
$$\frac{J_{2,\delta}^{s}(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R},\delta^{2}\overline{\mathcal{V}})}{\delta^{3}} = \int_{\Omega} Q\left((\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1}\right) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R})$$

where

(8.2)
$$\widehat{E}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_1 & S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_3} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1 \\ * & S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_3} \cdot \mathbf{t}_2 \\ * & * & \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_3} \cdot \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that we have now $\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_1$. The right hand side of (8.1) does not depend on δ and we set

(8.3)
$$\mathcal{J}_{2}(\mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} Q\left((\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}) (\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-1} \right) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}).$$

As in Theorem 7.1 we easily prove that there exists $\mathbf{v}_2 = (\mathcal{V}_2, \mathbf{R}_2, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_2) \in \mathbb{D}_2$ such that

(8.4)
$$m_2^s = \mathcal{J}_2(\mathbf{v}_2) = \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_2} \mathcal{J}_2(\mathbf{v}).$$

Of course, due to (8.1) for all δ we have $\frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3} \leq m_2^s$. In the following theorem, we show that the limit of the left hand side of this inequality is equal to the right hand side.

Theorem 8.1. We have

$$m_2^s = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3}.$$

Moreover, let $\mathbf{v}_{\delta} = (\mathcal{V}_{\delta}, \mathbf{R}_{\delta}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta}) \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ be a minimizer of the functional $J_{2,\delta}^s(\cdot)$, there exists a subsequence still denoted δ such that

(8.5)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{\delta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{0} & strongly \ in \ (H^{1}(\omega))^{3}, \\ \mathbf{R}_{\delta} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{0} & strongly \ in \ (H^{1}(\omega))^{3 \times 3}, \\ \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{Z}_{i\beta,\delta} \longrightarrow 0 & strongly \ in \ L^{2}(\omega), \\ \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \overline{V}_{\delta} \longrightarrow \overline{V}_{0} & strongly \ in \ (L^{2}(\omega; H^{1}(-1,1)))^{3}. \end{cases}$$

The triplet $\mathbf{v}_0 = (\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_0)$ belongs to \mathbb{D}_2 and we have

$$m_2^s = \mathcal{J}_2(\mathbf{v}_0).$$

Proof. We recall that we have

$$c \le \frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3} = \frac{J_{2,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_\delta)}{\delta^3} = \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \frac{J_{2,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v})}{\delta^3}$$

and moreover with (7.7)

$$\mathcal{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}_{\delta}) \le C\delta^{2}(||f_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}} + ||g||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}})^{2}.$$

Thanks to the estimates in Proposition 5.2, Corollary 5.3 and the above estimate we can extract a subsequence still denoted δ such that

$$\begin{cases}
\mathcal{V}_{\delta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{0} & \text{strongly in } (H^{1}(\omega))^{3}, \\
\mathbf{R}_{\delta} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{R}_{0} & \text{weakly in } (H^{1}(\omega))^{3 \times 3} & \text{and a.e. in } \omega, \\
\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \overline{V}_{\delta} \rightharpoonup \overline{V}_{0} & \text{weakly in } (L^{2}(\omega; H^{1}(-1, 1)))^{3}, \\
\frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{Z}_{i\alpha,\delta} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{Z}_{i\alpha,0} & \text{weakly in } L^{2}(\omega), \\
\frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}\right) \rightharpoonup Z_{\alpha} & \text{weakly in } (L^{2}(\omega))^{3}, \\
\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{t}_{1}\right) \rightharpoonup Y & \text{weakly in } (L^{2}(\omega))^{3}, \\
\frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{R}_{\delta} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{R}_{\delta} \mathbf{t}_{1}\right) \rightharpoonup X & \text{weakly in } (L^{2}(\omega))^{3}.
\end{cases}$$

Then from the fifth convergence we obtain $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_0}{\partial s_{\alpha}} = \mathbf{R}_0 \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}$. So we have $\mathcal{V}_0 \in (H^2(\omega))^3$ and $\mathbf{v}_0 = (\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_0)$ belongs to \mathbb{D}_2 . From the above convergences, and upon extracting another subsequence, we also get

$$\frac{1}{\delta}\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}_{\delta}) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{E}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix}
S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{0}}{\partial s_{1}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0}\mathbf{t}_{1} + \mathcal{Z}_{11,0} & S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{0}}{\partial s_{1}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0}\mathbf{t}_{2} + \mathcal{Z}_{12,0} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \overline{W}_{0}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{1} \\
* & S_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{0}}{\partial s_{2}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0}\mathbf{t}_{2} + \mathcal{Z}_{22,0} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \overline{W}_{0}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{2} \\
* & * & \frac{\partial \overline{W}_{0}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{n}
\end{pmatrix} \text{ weakly in } (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}$$

where we have put

$$\overline{W}_{0} = \overline{V}_{0} + S_{3} \mathcal{Z}_{31,0} \mathbf{t}_{1}^{'} + S_{3} \mathcal{Z}_{32,0} \mathbf{t}_{2}^{'}.$$

Passing to the limit we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} Q\Big((\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1}\Big) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n}) ds_1 ds_2 dS_3 + ||X||_{(L^2(\omega))^3}^2 + ||Y||_{(L^2(\omega))^3}^2 - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0)$$

$$\leq \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{J_{2,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_\delta)}{\delta^3} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3}.$$

In order to apply Lemma A in Appendix, we recall that if a function ψ belongs to $H^1(-1,1)$ we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \psi(S_3) S_3 dS_3 = 0 \quad \iff \quad \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\psi}{dS_3} (S_3) (S_3^2 - 1) dS_3 = 0.$$

Then thanks to Lemma A we get

(8.7)
$$\min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_2} \mathcal{J}_2(\mathbf{v}) \leq \int_{\Omega} Q\Big((\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1} \Big) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n}) ds_1 ds_2 dS_3 - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0).$$

Hence $m_2^s \leq \underline{\lim}_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3}$. Recall that we have $\frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3} \leq m_2^s$, so we get

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3} = m_2^s.$$

Finally, from convergences (8.6) we obtain $\mathcal{Z}_{i\alpha,0} = 0$, X = Y = 0 and moreover we have the strong convergences in (8.5).

9. Justification of the simplified model. Case $\kappa = 2$

In this section, the introduction of the simplified energy is justified in the sense that we prove that both the minima of the elastic energy and of the simplified energy have the same limit as δ tends to 0.

Theorem 9.1. We have

$$m_2 = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{2,\delta}}{\delta^3} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{m_{2,\delta}^s}{\delta^3} = m_2^s.$$

Proof.

Step 1. In this step we prove that $m_2^s \leq m_2$. Let $(v_\delta)_{0<\delta\leq\delta_0}$ be a minimizing sequence of deformations belonging to \mathbf{U}_δ and such that

(9.1)
$$m_2 = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{J_{2,\delta}(v_\delta)}{\delta^3}.$$

From the estimates of Section 6 we get

(9.2)
$$\begin{cases} ||\operatorname{dist}(\nabla_{x}v_{\delta}, SO(3))||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta})} \leq C\delta^{3/2}, \\ \left\|\frac{1}{2}\left\{\nabla_{x}v_{\delta}^{T}\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3}\right\}\right\|_{(L^{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^{3\times 3}} \leq C\delta^{3/2}, \\ ||\nabla_{x}v_{\delta}||_{(L^{4}(\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}))^{3\times 3}} \leq C\delta^{1/4}. \end{cases}$$

We still denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\delta}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} v_{\delta}(s_1, s_2, s_3) ds_3$ the mean of v_{δ} over the fibers of the shell. Upon extracting a subsequence (still indexed by δ), the results of [6] and [JE] show that there exist $\mathcal{V} \in (H^2(\omega))^3$, $\mathbf{R} \in (H^1(\omega))^{3\times 3}$ satisfying $\mathbf{R}(s_1, s_2)$ belongs to SO(3) for a.e. $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$, $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta} \in L^2(\omega)$ and $\overline{W} \in (L^2(\omega; H^1(-1, 1))^3$ satisfying

(9.3)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \overline{W}(s_1, s_2, S_3) dS_3 = 0 \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad (s_1, s_2) \in \omega, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}$$

together with the boundaries conditions $\mathcal{V} = \phi$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_3$ on γ_0 , and with the following convergences

$$(9.4) \begin{cases} \Pi_{\delta} v_{\delta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} & \text{strongly in } \left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{3}, \\ \Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_{x} v_{\delta}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} & \text{strongly in } \left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3 \times 3}, \\ \frac{\Pi_{\delta}(v_{\delta} - \mathcal{V}_{\delta})}{\delta} \longrightarrow S_{3}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_{3})\mathbf{n} & \text{strongly in } \left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{3}, \\ \frac{1}{2\delta}\Pi_{\delta}\left((\nabla_{x} v_{\delta})^{T} \nabla_{x} v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3}\right) \rightharpoonup (\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \mathbf{E} \left(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n}\right)^{-1} & \text{weakly in } (L^{2}(\Omega))^{9}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_1 + \mathcal{Z}_{11} & S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 + \mathcal{Z}_{12} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \overline{W}}{\partial S_3} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1 \\ * & S_3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 + \mathcal{Z}_{22} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \overline{W}}{\partial S_3} \cdot \mathbf{t}_2 \\ * & * & \frac{\partial \overline{W}}{\partial S_3} \cdot \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, recall that

$$(9.5) \qquad \frac{J_{2,\delta}(v_{\delta})}{\delta^{3}} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} W\left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\delta} \left((\nabla_{x} v_{\delta})^{T} \nabla_{x} v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3} \right) \right) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) - \frac{1}{\delta^{3}} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f_{\kappa,\delta} \cdot (v_{\delta} - I_{d}).$$

In order to pass to the lim-inf in (9.5) we first notice that $\det(\nabla \Phi) = \det(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n}) + s_3 \det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_1} | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n}\right) + s_3 \det\left(\mathbf{t}_1 | \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_2} | \mathbf{n}\right) + s_3^2 \det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_1} | \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_2} | \mathbf{n}\right)$ so that indeed $\Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi)$ strongly converges to $\det(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n})$ in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as δ tends to 0.

We now consider the first term of the right hand side. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Due to (6.2), there exists $\theta > 0$ such that

$$(9.6) \forall E \in \mathbf{S}_3, |||E||| \le \theta, W(E) \ge Q(E) - \varepsilon |||E|||^2.$$

We now use a similar argument given in [5]. Let us denote by χ^{θ}_{δ} the characteristic function of the set $A^{\theta}_{\delta} = \{s \in \Omega; |||\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_{x}v_{\delta})^{T}\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3})(s)||| \geq \theta\}$. Due to (9.2), we have

(9.7)
$$\operatorname{meas}(A_{\delta}^{\theta}) \le C \frac{\delta^2}{\theta^2}.$$

Using the positive character of W, (9.2) and (9.6) give

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \widehat{W} \left(\Pi_{\delta} (\nabla_{x} v_{\delta}) \right) |\Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi)| \ge \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} W \left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\delta} \left((\nabla_{x} v_{\delta})^{T} \nabla_{x} v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3} \right) \right) (1 - \chi_{\delta}^{\theta}) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) \\
\ge \int_{\Omega} Q \left(\frac{1}{2\delta} \Pi_{\delta} \left((\nabla_{x} v_{\delta})^{T} \nabla_{x} v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3} \right) (1 - \chi_{\delta}^{\theta}) \right) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) - C\varepsilon$$

In view of (9.7), the function χ_{δ}^{θ} converges a.e. to 0 as δ tends to 0 while the weak limit of $\frac{1}{2\delta}\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_{x}v_{\delta})^{T}\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3})(1-\chi_{\delta}^{\theta})$ is given by (9.4). As a consequence and also using the convergence of $\Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi)$ obtained above, we have

$$\underline{\lim_{\delta \to 0}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \widehat{W} \big(\Pi_{\delta} (\nabla_x v_{\delta}) \big) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) \ge \int_{\Omega} Q \Big((\mathbf{t}_1 \, | \, \mathbf{t}_2 \, | \, \mathbf{n})^{-T} \mathbf{E} \, (\mathbf{t}_1 \, | \, \mathbf{t}_2 \, | \, \mathbf{n})^{-1} \Big) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n}) - C \varepsilon.$$

As ε is arbitrary, this gives

$$(9.8) \qquad \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \widehat{W} \left(\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_x v_{\delta}) \right) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) \ge \int_{\Omega} Q \left((\mathbf{t}_1 \, | \, \mathbf{t}_2 \, | \, \mathbf{n})^{-T} \mathbf{E} \, (\mathbf{t}_1 \, | \, \mathbf{t}_2 \, | \, \mathbf{n})^{-1} \right) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \, \mathbf{n}).$$

Using the convergences (9.4), it follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{\delta^3} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\delta}} f_{2,\delta} \cdot (v_{\delta} - I_d) \right) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R})$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined by (8.1). From (9.5), (9.8) and the above limit, we conclude that

(9.9)
$$m_2 = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{J_{2,\delta}(v_\delta)}{\delta^3} \ge \int_{\Omega} Q\Big((\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1} \Big) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}).$$

Proceeding as in the proof of (8.7) in Section 8, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} Q\Big((\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1} \Big) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \ge \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_2} \mathcal{J}_2(\mathbf{v}) = m_2^s.$$

Finally we have proved that $m_2^s \leq m_2$.

Step 2. In this step we prove that $m_2^s \ge m_2$.

Let us now consider a minimizer $\mathbf{v}_0 = (\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_0) \in \mathbb{D}_2$ of \mathcal{J}_2 and the sequence $((\mathcal{V}_{\delta}, \mathbf{R}_{\delta}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta}))_{\delta>0}$ of approximation given by Lemma C of the Appendix. The deformation v_{δ} is now defined by

$$(9.10) v_{\delta}(s) = \mathcal{V}_{\delta}(s_1, s_2) + \mathbf{R}_{\delta}(s_1, s_2) \left[s_3 \mathbf{n}(s_1, s_2) + \delta^2 \overline{V}_{\delta} \left(s_1, s_2, \frac{s_3}{\delta} \right) \right], \quad \text{for } s \in \Omega_{\delta}.$$

Step 2.1. Estimate on $||\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_x v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta})||_{(L^{\infty}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}}$ and $||dist(\nabla_x v_{\delta}, SO(3))||_{L^{\infty}(\omega)}$.

From (9.10) and trough simple calculations, we first have

$$(9.11) \qquad \begin{cases} (\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta})\mathbf{t}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta}\mathbf{t}_{\alpha} + s_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}\mathbf{n} + \delta^{2}\mathbf{R}_{\delta}\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} + \delta^{2}\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta} - (\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta})s_{3}\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \\ (\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta})\mathbf{n} = \delta\mathbf{R}_{\delta}\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta}}{\partial S_{3}}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$(9.12) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{1}} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta}\mathbf{t}_{1} + S_{3}\delta\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}\mathbf{n} + \delta^{2}\frac{\partial (\mathbf{R}_{\delta}\overline{V}_{\delta})}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \mid \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{2}} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta}\mathbf{t}_{2} + S_{3}\delta\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}\mathbf{n} + \delta^{2}\frac{\partial (\mathbf{R}_{\delta}\overline{V}_{\delta})}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \mid \delta\mathbf{R}_{\delta}\frac{\partial \overline{V}_{\delta}}{\partial S_{3}}\right).$$

Thanks to (2.3) and the estimates of Lemma C in Appendix we obtain

(9.13)
$$||\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta})||_{(L^{\infty}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}} \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

and we deduce that there exists a positive constant C_0 such that

Again using the estimates in Lemma C we get

$$||dist(\nabla_x v_\delta, SO(3))||_{L^\infty(\omega)} \le \frac{1}{2}$$

and then we obtain

(9.15) for a.e.
$$s \in \Omega_{\delta}$$
 det $(\nabla_x v_{\delta}(s)) > 0$.

Step 2.2. Strong limit of
$$\frac{1}{2\delta}\Pi_{\delta}\Big((\nabla_x v_{\delta})^T \nabla_x v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_3\Big)$$
.

Thanks to the estimates and convergences of Lemma C and (9.12) we have

We write the identity $(\nabla_x v_\delta)^T \nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3 = (\nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{R}_\delta)^T \mathbf{R}_\delta + \mathbf{R}_\delta^T (\nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{R}_\delta) + (\nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{R}_\delta)^T (\nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{R}_\delta) + (\mathbf{R}_\delta - \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{R}_\delta + \mathbf{R}^T (\mathbf{R}_\delta - \mathbf{R})$. So, from (9.13) and (9.16) we get

(9.17)
$$||\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_{x}v_{\delta})^{T}\nabla_{x}v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3})||_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{3\times 3}} \leq C\delta.$$

In view of (9.11), the strong convergences of Lemma C and (9.16) we deduce that

(9.18)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\delta} \Pi_{\delta} ((\nabla_{x} v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}) \longrightarrow S_{3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \mathbf{n} & \text{strongly in } (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3} \\ \frac{1}{\delta} \Pi_{\delta} ((\nabla_{x} v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{n}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_{3}} \cdot \mathbf{n} & \text{strongly in } (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3} \end{cases}$$

Now thanks (9.13) and the strong convergences (9.18) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_x v_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in} \quad (L^4(\Omega))^3$$

and then using again Lemma C, (9.18) and the above decomposition of $(\nabla_x v_\delta)^T \nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3$, we get

$$(9.19) \frac{1}{2\delta} \Pi_{\delta} ((\nabla_{x} v_{\delta})^{T} \nabla_{x} v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_{3}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} (\mathbf{v}_{0}) (\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-1} \text{strongly in} (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3 \times 3}$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_0)$ is given by (8.2).

Step 2.3. Let ε be a fixed positive constant and let θ given by (7.2). We denote χ^{θ}_{δ} the characteristic function of the set $A^{\theta}_{\delta} = \{s \in \Omega; |||\Pi_{\delta}((\nabla_x v_{\delta})^T \nabla_x v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_3)(s)||| \ge \theta\}$. Due to (9.17), we have

(9.20)
$$\operatorname{meas}(A_{\delta}^{\theta}) \le C \frac{\delta^2}{\theta^2}$$

and from (9.15) we have det $(\nabla_x v_\delta(s)) > 0$ for a. e. $s \in \Omega_\delta$. Due to (6.2), (6.4) and (9.19) we deduce that

$$\overline{\lim_{\delta \to 0}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} (1 - \chi_{\delta}^{\theta}) \widehat{W} (\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_{x} v_{\delta})) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) \leq \int_{\Omega} Q (\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_{0}) (\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-1}) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n}) \\
+ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |||(\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_{0}) (\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})^{-1}|||^{2} \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} | \mathbf{t}_{2} | \mathbf{n})$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_0)$ is given by (8.2). Thanks to (6.3), (6.4), (9.17), the continuity of W, the strong convergence (9.19) and the weak convergence $\frac{1}{\delta}\chi_{\delta}^{\theta} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ we obtain

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \chi_{\delta}^{\theta} \widehat{W} \Big(\Pi_{\delta} (\nabla_x v_{\delta}) \Big) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) \leq C_1 \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta} \chi_{\delta}^{\theta} ||| \frac{1}{2\delta} \Pi_{\delta} \Big((\nabla_x v_{\delta})^T \nabla_x v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_3 \Big) ||| \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) = 0$$

Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we get

$$\overline{\lim_{\delta \to 0}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \widehat{W} \left(\Pi_{\delta}(\nabla_{x} v_{\delta}) \right) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) \leq \int_{\Omega} Q \left((\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_{0}) (\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1} \right) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n}) \\
+ \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |||(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_{0}) (\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1}|||^{2} \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})$$

Finally

$$(9.21) \qquad \overline{\lim}_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \widehat{W} \big(\Pi_{\delta} (\nabla_x v_{\delta}) \big) \Pi_{\delta} \det(\nabla \Phi) \leq \int_{\Omega} Q \Big((\mathbf{t}_1 \, | \, \mathbf{t}_2 \, | \, \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} (\mathbf{v}_0) (\mathbf{t}_1 \, | \, \mathbf{t}_2 \, | \, \mathbf{n})^{-1} \Big) \det(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \, \mathbf{n}).$$

As far as the contribution of the applied forces is concerned, we use the convergences of Lemma C to obtain

(9.22)
$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{\delta^3} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\delta}} f_{2,\delta} \cdot (v_{\delta} - I_d) \right) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}).$$

From (9.21) and (9.22), we conclude that

$$\overline{\lim_{\delta \to 0}} \frac{J_{2,\delta}(v_{\delta})}{\delta^{3}} \leq \int_{\Omega} Q\Big((\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_{0}) (\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n})^{-1} \Big) \det(\mathbf{t}_{1} \mid \mathbf{t}_{2} \mid \mathbf{n}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) = \mathcal{J}_{2}(\mathbf{v}_{0}) = m_{2}^{s}$$

Then we get $m_2 \leq m_2^s$.

10. Alternative formulations of the minima $m_{\kappa,\delta}^s$ and m_2 .

In the following theorem we characterize the minimum of the functional $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\cdot)$ over \mathbb{D}_{γ_0} , respectively \mathcal{J}_2 over \mathbb{D}_2 , as the minima of two functionals which depend on the mid-surface deformation \mathcal{V} and on the matrix \mathbf{R} which gives the rotation of the fibers.

The first theorem of this section shows that the variable \overline{w} can be eliminated in the minimization problem (7.9).

We set

$$\mathbb{E} = \left\{ (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \in (H^1(\omega))^3 \times (H^1(\omega))^{3 \times 3} \mid \mathcal{V} = \phi, \quad \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_3 \text{ on } \gamma_0, \mathbf{R}$$

We recall (see (5.3)) that for all $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{E}$ we have set

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[\Big(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \Big) \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta} + \Big(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\beta}} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta} \Big) \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \Big], \quad \mathcal{Z}_{3\alpha} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{n} \\ \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\beta}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 10.1. Let $\mathbf{v}_{\delta} = (\mathcal{V}_{\delta}, \mathbf{R}_{\delta}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta}) \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ such that $m_{\kappa, \delta}^s = J_{\kappa, \delta}^s(\mathbf{v}_{\delta}) = \min_{v \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} J_{\kappa, \delta}^s(\mathbf{v})$. We have

(10.1)
$$m_{\kappa,\delta}^{s} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa,\delta}^{s}(\mathcal{V}_{\delta}, \mathbf{R}_{\delta}) = \min_{(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{E}} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa,\delta}^{s}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R})$$

where

(10.2)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa,\delta}^{s}(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R}) = \delta^{3} \int_{\omega} a_{\alpha\beta\alpha'\beta'} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) \Gamma_{\alpha'\beta'}(\mathbf{R}) + \delta \int_{\omega} b_{i\alpha i'\alpha'} \mathcal{Z}_{i\alpha} \mathcal{Z}_{i'\alpha'} \\ + \delta^{3} \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} + \delta \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{2}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} - \delta^{\kappa'+1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}). \end{cases}$$

The $a_{\alpha\beta\alpha'\beta'}$ and $b_{i\alpha i'\alpha'}$ are constants which depend only of the quadratic form Q and the vectors $(\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \mathbf{n})$. **Proof.** In order to eliminate \overline{V} , we fix $(V, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{E}$ and we minimize the functional $J_{\kappa,\delta}^s(\mathbf{v})$ over the space $(\alpha = 1, 2)$

$$\mathbf{V} = \Big\{ \overline{V} \in (L^2(\omega; H^1(-1,1)))^3 \ | \ \int_{-1}^1 \overline{V}_i(s_1, s_2, S_3) dS_3 = \int_{-1}^1 S_3 \overline{V}(s_1, s_2, S_3) \mathbf{t}_\alpha dS_3 = 0, \text{ for a.e. } (s_1, s_2) \in \omega \Big\}.$$

We apply Lemma B in Appendix with

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11}(\mathbf{R}) \\ \Gamma_{12}(\mathbf{R}) \\ \Gamma_{22}(\mathbf{R}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{\delta} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Z}_{11} \\ \mathcal{Z}_{12} \\ \mathcal{Z}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{d} = \frac{1}{\delta} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Z}_{31} \\ \mathcal{Z}_{32} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain immediately the theorem.

The next theorem is similar to Theorem 10.1 for the limit energy and the minimization problem (8.4). We set

$$\mathbb{E}_{lim} = \left\{ \left(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R} \right) \in \mathbb{E} \mid \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}, \ \alpha = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

Theorem 10.2. Let $\mathbf{v}_0 = (\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0, \overline{V}_0) \in \mathbb{D}_2$ such that $m_2^s = \mathcal{J}_2(\mathbf{v}_0) = \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{D}_2} \mathcal{J}_2(\mathbf{v})$. We have

(10.3)
$$m_2 = \mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0) = \min_{(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{E}_{lim}} \mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R})$$

where

(10.4)
$$\mathcal{F}_{2}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) = \int_{\omega} a_{\alpha\beta\alpha'\beta'} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{\alpha}'} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{\beta'} \right) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}.\mathbf{R})$$

The $a_{\alpha\beta\alpha'\beta'}$ are the same constants as the one in Theorem 10.1.

Proof. We proceed as in Theorem 10.1. In order to eliminate \overline{V} , we fix $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{E}_{lim}$ and we minimize the functional $\mathcal{J}_2(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \cdot)$ over the space \mathbf{V} . Thanks to Lemmas A and B in Appendix we obtain the minimum with respect to \overline{V} and then the new characterization of the minimum m_2 .

Of course, for all $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{E}_{lim}$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}^s_{2,\delta}(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R}) = \delta^3 \mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R}).$$

Let us give the explicit expression of the limit energies $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa,\delta}^s$ and \mathcal{F}_2 in the case where S is a developable surface such that the parametrization ϕ is locally isometric

$$\forall (s_1, s_2) \in \overline{\omega}$$
 $||\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s_1, s_2)||_2 = 1$ $\mathbf{t}_1(s_1, s_2) \cdot \mathbf{t}_2(s_1, s_2) = 0$.

We consider a St Venant-Kirchhoff's law for which we have

$$\widehat{W}(F) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{8} \left(tr(F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3) \right)^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} tr\left((F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3)^2 \right) & \text{if } \det(F) > 0 \\ + \infty & \text{if } \det(F) \le 0, \end{cases}$$

so that $Q = W = W^s$.

Expression of $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa,\delta}^s$. For any $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$, the expression (7.5) gives

(10.5)
$$\begin{cases} J_{\kappa,\delta}^{s}(\mathbf{v}) = \delta \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(tr(\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})) \right)^{2} + \mu tr((\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}))^{2}) \right] + \delta^{3} \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} + \delta \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{2}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} - \delta^{\kappa' + 1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}). \end{cases}$$

where $\widehat{E}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v})$ is defined by (5.3). It follows that the elimination of \overline{V} in Theorem 10.1 gives the partial derivatives of \overline{V} with respect to S_3

(10.6)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_3}(.,.,S_3) \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_1 \\ \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_3}(.,.,S_3) \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_2 \\ \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial S_3}(.,.,S_3) \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} = \delta \begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{Z}_{31} \left(1 + \frac{5}{4} (S_3^2 - 1) \right) \\ -\mathcal{Z}_{32} \left(1 + \frac{5}{4} (S_3^2 - 1) \right) \\ -\frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \left(\delta S_3 \left[\Gamma_{11}(\mathbf{R}) + \Gamma_{22}(\mathbf{R}) \right] + \left[\mathcal{Z}_{11} + \mathcal{Z}_{22} \right] \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

and then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\kappa,\delta}^{s}(\mathcal{V},\mathbf{R}) = \frac{E\delta^{3}}{3(1-\nu^{2})} \int_{\omega} \left[(1-\nu) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) \right)^{2} + \nu \left(\Gamma_{11}(\mathbf{R}) + \Gamma_{22}(\mathbf{R}) \right)^{2} \right] \\
+ \frac{E\delta}{(1-\nu^{2})} \int_{\omega} \left[(1-\nu) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2} \left(\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta} \right)^{2} + \nu \left(\mathcal{Z}_{11} + \mathcal{Z}_{22} \right)^{2} \right] + \frac{5E\delta}{12(1+\nu)} \int_{\omega} \left(\mathcal{Z}_{31}^{2} + \mathcal{Z}_{32}^{2} \right) \\
+ \delta^{3} \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial s_{2}} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{(L^{2}(\omega))^{3}}^{2} + \delta \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{1}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{2} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{2}} \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{t}_{1} \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} - \delta^{\kappa'+1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}).$$

Expression of \mathcal{F}_2 . For any $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathbb{D}_2$, the expression (8.3) gives

$$\mathcal{J}_{2}(\mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(tr(\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v})) \right)^{2} + \mu \, tr \left((\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}))^{2} \right) \right] - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R})$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v})$ is defined by (8.2). It follows that the elimination of \overline{V} in Theorem 10.2 is identical to that of standard linear elasticity (see [19]) hence we have

(10.7)
$$\overline{V}(.,.,S_3) = -\frac{\nu}{2(1-\nu)} \left(S_3^2 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \left[\Gamma_{11}(\mathbf{R}) + \Gamma_{22}(\mathbf{R})\right]$$

and then

$$\mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}) = \frac{E}{3(1-\nu^2)} \int_{\omega} \left[(1-\nu) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}) \right)^2 + \nu \left(\Gamma_{11}(\mathbf{R}) + \Gamma_{22}(\mathbf{R}) \right)^2 \right] - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{R}).$$

Remark 10.1. In the case of a St-Venant-Kirchhoff material a classical energy argument show that if $(v_{\delta})_{0<\delta<\delta_0}$ is a sequence such that

$$m_2 = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{J_{2,\delta}(v_\delta)}{\delta^3},$$

then there exists a subsequence and $(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathbf{R}_0) \in \mathbb{E}$, which is a solution of Problem (10.3), such that the sequence of the Green-St Venant's deformation tensors satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2\delta} \Pi_{\delta} ((\nabla_x v_{\delta})^T \nabla_x v_{\delta} - \mathbf{I}_3) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} (\mathbf{v}_0) (\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \mathbf{n})^{-T} \quad \text{strongly in} \quad (L^2(\Omega))^{3 \times 3},$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{v}_0)$ is defined in (8.2) with \overline{V}_0 given by (10.7) (replacing \mathbf{R} by \mathbf{R}_0).

Remark 10.2. It is well known that the constraint $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_1} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{t}_1$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_2} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{t}_2$ together the boundary conditions are strong limitations on the possible deformation for the limit 2d shell. Actually for a plate or as

soon as S is a developable surface, the configuration after deformation must also be a developable surface. In the general case, it is an open problem to know if the set \mathbb{E}_{lim} contains other deformations than identity mapping or very special isometries (as for example symetries).

Appendix.

Lemma A. Let \mathcal{Q}_m be the positive definite quadratic form defined on the space $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \left(L^2(-1,1)\right)^3$ by

$$\forall (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \left(L^{2}(-1, 1)\right)^{3}, \qquad \mathcal{Q}_{m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) = \int_{-1}^{1} \mathbf{A}(S_{3}) \begin{pmatrix} S_{3}\mathbf{a}_{1} + \mathbf{b}_{1} \\ S_{3}\mathbf{a}_{2} + \mathbf{b}_{2} \\ S_{3}\mathbf{a}_{3} + \mathbf{b}_{3} \\ \mathbf{c}_{1}(S_{3}) \\ \mathbf{c}_{2}(S_{3}) \\ \mathbf{c}_{3}(S_{3}) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} S_{3}\mathbf{a}_{1} + \mathbf{b}_{1} \\ S_{3}\mathbf{a}_{2} + \mathbf{b}_{2} \\ S_{3}\mathbf{a}_{3} + \mathbf{b}_{3} \\ \mathbf{c}_{1}(S_{3}) \\ \mathbf{c}_{2}(S_{3}) \\ \mathbf{c}_{3}(S_{3}) \end{pmatrix} dS_{3}$$

where $A(S_3)$ is a symmetric positive definite 6×6 matrix satisfying

(A.1)
$$\mathbf{A}(S_3) = \mathbf{A}(-S_3)$$
 for a.e. $S_3 \in]-1,1[$

and moreover there exists a positive constant c such that

(A.2)
$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^6, \quad \mathbf{A}(S_3)\xi \cdot \xi \ge c|\xi|^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } S_3 \in]-1,1[.$$

For all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\min_{(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (L^2(-1, 1))^3} \mathcal{Q}_m(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) = \min_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{L}_2} \mathcal{Q}_m(\mathbf{a}, 0, \mathbf{c})$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}_2 = \left\{ \mathbf{c} \in (L^2(-1,1))^3 \mid \int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{c}_{\alpha}(S_3)(S_3^2 - 1)dS_3 = 0, \ \alpha \in \{1,2\} \right\}.$$

Proof. We write

$$\mathbf{A}(S_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1(S_3) & \vdots & \mathbf{A}_2(S_3) \\ \dots & & \dots \\ \mathbf{A}_2^T(S_3) & \vdots & \mathbf{A}_3(S_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

where for a.e. $S_3 \in]-1,1[$, $\mathbf{A}_1(S_3)$ and $\mathbf{A}_3(S_3)$ are symmetric positive definite 3×3 matrices. The both minimum are obtained with

$$\mathbf{c}_0(S_3) = -\mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3)\mathbf{A}_2^T(S_3)S_3\mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{b}_0 = 0.$$

We have

(A.3)
$$Q_m(\mathbf{a}, 0, \mathbf{c}_0) = \left(\int_{-1}^1 S_3^2 (\mathbf{A}_1(S_3) - \mathbf{A}_2(S_3) \mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3) \mathbf{A}_2^T(S_3)) dS_3 \right) \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}.$$

In the following lemma we use the same notation as in Lemma A.

Lemma B. Let **a**, **b** be two fixed vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 and let **d** be a fixed vector in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$. We have

$$(B.1) \qquad \min_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{L}_2} \mathcal{Q}_m(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) = \Big(\int_{-1}^1 S_3^2 \big[\mathbf{A}_1(S_3) - \mathbf{A}_2(S_3) \mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3) \mathbf{A}_2^T(S_3) \big] \Big) \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + Q_m'(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$$

where $Q_m^{'}$ is a positive definite quadratic form which depends only on the matrix A.

Proof. Through solving a simple variational problem, we find that the minimum of the functional $\mathbf{c} \longmapsto Q_m(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d})$ over the space \mathbf{L}_2 is obtained with

$$\mathbf{c}(S_3) = -\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3)\mathbf{A}_2^T(S_3)(S_3\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) + (S_3^2 - 1)\mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3)\mathbf{e}$$

where $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$

$$\mathbf{e} = e_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + e_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \qquad \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the solution of the system

$$\left[\frac{4}{3}\mathbf{d} - \left(\int_{-1}^{1} (S_3^2 - 1)\mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3)\mathbf{A}_2^T(S_3)dS_3\right)\mathbf{b} + \left(\int_{-1}^{1} (S_3^2 - 1)^2\mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3)dS_3\right)\mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$$

Notice that the matrix $\int_{-1}^{1} (S_3^2 - 1)^2 \mathbf{A}_3^{-1}(S_3) dS_3$ is a 3×3 symmetric positive definite matrix. Replacing \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{e} by their values we obtain (B.1).

Lemma C. Let $(V, \mathbf{R}, \overline{V})$ be in \mathbf{D}_2 , there exists a sequence $((V_{\delta}, \mathbf{R}_{\delta}, \overline{V}_{\delta}))_{\delta>0}$ of $(W^{2,\infty}(\omega))^3 \times (W^{1,\infty}(\omega))^{3\times3} \times (W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^3$ such that

(C.1)
$$\mathcal{V}_{\delta} = \phi, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\delta} = \mathbf{I}_{3} \quad on \ \gamma_{0}, \qquad \overline{V}_{\delta} = 0, \quad on \ \gamma_{0} \times]-1,1[,$$

with

(C.2)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{\delta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} & strongly \ in \quad (H^{2}(\omega))^{3} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\delta} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} & strongly \ in \quad (H^{1}(\omega))^{3 \times 3} \\ \frac{1}{\delta} (\mathbf{R}_{\delta} - \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow 0 & strongly \ in \quad (L^{2}(\omega))^{3 \times 3} \\ \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right) \longrightarrow 0 & strongly \ in \quad (L^{2}(\omega))^{3} \\ \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}} & strongly \ in \quad (L^{2}(\omega; H^{1}((-1, 1)))^{3}, \\ \delta \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} \longrightarrow 0 & strongly \ in \quad (L^{2}(\Omega))^{3}, \end{cases}$$

and moreover

(C.3)
$$\begin{cases} ||dist(\mathbf{R}_{\delta}, SO(3))||_{L^{\infty}(\omega)} \leq \frac{1}{8}, & \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\delta}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R}_{\delta} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right\|_{(L^{\infty}(\omega))^{3}} \leq \frac{1}{8}, \\ ||\mathbf{R}_{\delta}||_{(W^{1,\infty}(\omega))^{3\times 3}}^{2} + ||\mathbf{R}_{\delta} \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\delta}||_{((W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^{3}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{(4c'_{1}\delta)^{2}}. \end{cases}$$

The constant $c_1^{'}$ is given by (2.3).

Proof. For h > 0 small enough, consider a $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -function ψ_h such that $0 \leq \psi_h \leq 1$

$$\begin{cases} \psi_h(s_1, s_2) = 1 \text{ if } dist((s_1, s_2), \gamma_0) \le h \\ \psi_h(s_1, s_2) = 0 \text{ if } dist((s_1, s_2), \gamma_0) \ge 2h. \end{cases}$$

Indeed we can assume that

$$(C.4) ||\psi_h||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \frac{C}{h}, ||\psi_h||_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \frac{C}{h^2}.$$

Since ω is bounded with a Lipschitz boundary, we first extend the fields \mathcal{V} and $\mathbf{R_n} = \mathbf{Rn}$ into two fields of $(H^2(\mathbb{R}^2))^3$ and $(H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))^3$ (and we use the same notations for these extentions). We define the 3×3 matrix field $\mathbf{R}' \in (H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))^{3\times 3}$ by the formula

(C.5)
$$\mathbf{R}' = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_1} | \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_2} | \mathbf{R_n} \right) \left(\mathbf{t}_1 | \mathbf{t}_2 | \mathbf{n} \right)^{-1}.$$

By construction we have $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} = \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}$ in ω . At least, we introduce below the approximations \mathcal{V}_h and \mathbf{R}_h of \mathcal{V} and \mathbf{R} as restrictions to $\overline{\omega}$ of the following fields defined into \mathbb{R}^2 :

(C.6)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{h}^{'}(s_{1}, s_{2}) = \frac{1}{9\pi h^{2}} \int_{B(0,3h)} \mathcal{V}(s_{1} + t_{1}, s_{2} + t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2}, \\ \mathbf{R}_{h}^{'}(s_{1}, s_{2}) = \frac{1}{9\pi h^{2}} \int_{B(0,3h)} \mathbf{R}^{'}(s_{1} + t_{1}, s_{2} + t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2}, \end{cases} \text{ a.e. } (s_{1}, s_{2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$$

and

(C.7)
$$\mathcal{V}_h = \phi \psi_h + \mathcal{V}_h' (1 - \psi_h), \qquad \mathbf{R}_h = \mathbf{I}_3 \psi_h + \mathbf{R}_h' (1 - \psi_h), \quad \text{in } \omega.$$

Notice that we have

$$(C.8) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{V}_h^{'} \in (W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))^3, \qquad \mathbf{R}_h^{'} \in (W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))^{3\times3}, \\ \\ \mathcal{V}_h \in (W^{2,\infty}(\omega))^3, \qquad \mathbf{R}_h \in (W^{1,\infty}(\omega))^{3\times3}, \qquad \mathcal{V}_h = \phi, \quad \mathbf{R}_h = \mathbf{I}_3 \text{ on } \gamma_0. \end{array}$$

Due to the definition (C.5) of \mathbf{R}' and in view of (C.6) we have

(C.9)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{h}^{'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} & \text{strongly in } (H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))^{3}, \\ \mathbf{R}_{h}^{'} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{'} & \text{strongly in } (H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))^{3 \times 3} \end{cases}$$

and thus using estimates (C.4)

(C.10)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_h \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} & \text{strongly in } (H^2(\omega))^3, \\ \mathbf{R}_h \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} & \text{strongly in } (H^1(\omega))^{3\times 3} \end{cases}$$

Moreover using again (C.6) and the fact that $\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}_h$ strongly converges to 0 in $(H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))^{3\times 3}$ we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{h}(\mathbf{R}'_h - \mathbf{R}') \longrightarrow 0$$
 strongly in $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))^{3\times 3}$

and then together with (C.4), (C.5), (C.7) and (C.10) we get

$$\frac{1}{h} (\mathbf{R}_h - \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } (L^2(\omega))^{3 \times 3},$$

$$\frac{1}{h} (\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_h}{\partial s_\alpha} - \mathbf{R}_h \mathbf{t}_\alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } (L^2(\omega))^3.$$

We now turn to the estimate of the distance between $\mathbf{R}_h(s_1, s_2)$ and SO(3) for a.e. $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$. We apply the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality to the function $(u_1, u_2) \longmapsto \mathbf{R}'(u_1, u_2)$ in the ball $B((s_1, s_2), 3h)$. We obtain

$$\int_{B((s_1,s_2),3h)} |||\mathbf{R}'(u_1,u_2) - \mathbf{R}'_h(s_1,s_2)|||^2 du_1 du_2 \le Ch^2 ||\nabla \mathbf{R}'||^2_{(L^2(B((s_1,s_2),3h)))^3}$$

where C is the Poincaré-Wirtinger's constant for a ball. Since the open set ω is boundy with a Lipschitz boundary, there exists a positive constant $c(\omega)$, which depends only on ω , such that

$$|(B((s_1, s_2), 3h) \setminus B((s_1, s_2), 2h)) \cap \omega| \ge c(\omega)h^2.$$

Setting $m_h(s_1, s_2)$ the essential infimum of the function $(u_1, u_2) \mapsto |||\mathbf{R}(u_1, u_2) - \mathbf{R}'_h(s_1, s_2)|||$ into the set $(B((s_1, s_2), 3h) \setminus B((s_1, s_2), 2h)) \cap \omega$, we then obtain

$$c(\omega)h^2m_h(s_1, s_2)^2 \le Ch^2||\nabla \mathbf{R}'||^2_{(L^2(B((s_1, s_2), 3h)))^3}$$

Hence, thanks to the strong convergence of \mathbf{R}'_h given by (C.9), the above inequality shows that there exists h'_0 which does not depend on $(s_1, s_2) \in \overline{\omega}$ such that for any $h \leq h'_0$

$$dist(\mathbf{R}_{h}(s_1, s_2), SO(3)) \le 1/8$$
 for any $(s_1, s_2) \in \overline{\omega}$.

Now,

- in the case $dist((s_1, s_2), \gamma_0) > 2h$, $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$, by definition of \mathbf{R}_h and thanks to the above inequality we have $dist(\mathbf{R}_h(s_1, s_2), SO(3)) \le 1/8$,
- in the case $dist((s_1, s_2), \gamma_0) < h$, $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$, by definition of \mathbf{R}_h we have $\mathbf{R}_h(s_1, s_2) = \mathbf{I}_3$ and then $dist(\mathbf{R}_h(s_1, s_2), SO(3)) = 0$,
- in the case $h \leq dist((s_1, s_2), \gamma_0) \leq 2h$, $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$, due to the fact that $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{I}_3$ onto γ_0 , firstly we have

$$||\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{I}_3||^2_{(L^2(\omega_{6h,\infty}))^{3\times 3}} \le Ch^2||\nabla \mathbf{R}'||^2_{(L^2(\omega_{6h,\infty}))^{3\times 3}}$$

where $\omega_{kh,\gamma_0} = \{(s_1,s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid dist((s_1,s_2),\gamma_0) \leq kh\}, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Hence

$$||\mathbf{R}_{h}^{'} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(L^{2}(\omega_{3h,\gamma_{0}}))^{3\times 3}}^{2} \le Ch^{2}||\nabla \mathbf{R}^{'}||_{(L^{2}(\omega_{6h,\gamma_{0}}))^{3\times 3}}^{2}.$$

The constants depend only on $\partial \omega$.

Secondly, we set M_h the maximum of the function $(u_1, u_2) \mapsto |||\mathbf{I}_3 - \mathbf{R}'_h(u_1, u_2)|||$ into the closed set $\{(u_1, u_2) \in \omega \mid h \leq dist((u_1, u_2), \gamma_0) \leq 2h\}$, and let (s_1, s_2) be in this closed subset of ω such that

$$M_h = |||\mathbf{I}_3 - \mathbf{R}'_h(s_1, s_2)|||.$$

Applying the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality in the ball $B((s_1, s_2), 4h)$ we deduce that

$$\forall (s_{1}^{'}, s_{2}^{'}) \in B((s_{1}, s_{2}), h), \qquad |||\mathbf{R}_{h}^{'}(s_{1}^{'}, s_{2}^{'}) - \mathbf{R}_{h}^{'}(s_{1}, s_{2})||| \leq C||\nabla \mathbf{R}^{'}||_{(L^{2}(B((s_{1}, s_{2}), 4h)))^{3}}.$$

The constant depends only on the Poincaré-Wirtinger's constant for a ball.

If M_h is larger than $C||\nabla \mathbf{R}'||_{(L^2(B((s_1,s_2),4h)))^3}$ we have

$$\pi h^{2} (M_{h} - C||\nabla \mathbf{R}'||_{(L^{2}(B((s_{1},s_{2}),4h)))^{3}})^{2} \leq ||\mathbf{R}'_{h} - \mathbf{I}_{3}|||_{(L^{2}(B((s_{1},s_{2}),h)))^{3}}^{2} \leq ||\mathbf{R}'_{h} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(L^{2}(\omega_{3h,\gamma_{0}}))^{3}\times 3}^{2} \leq ||\mathbf{R}'_{h} - \mathbf{I}_{3}||_{(L^{2}(\omega_{3h,\gamma_{0}}))^{3}\times 3}^{2} \leq Ch^{2}||\nabla \mathbf{R}'||_{(L^{2}(\omega_{6h,\gamma_{0}}))^{3}\times 3}^{2}$$

then, in all the cases we obtain

$$M_h \leq C||\nabla \mathbf{R}'||_{(L^2(\omega_{6h,\gamma_0}))^{3\times 3}}.$$

The constant does not depend on h and \mathbf{R}' . The above inequalities show that there exists h_0'' such that for any $h \leq h_0''$

$$|||\mathbf{R}_{h}^{'}(s_{1},s_{2})-\mathbf{I}_{3}||| \leq C||\nabla\mathbf{R}^{'}||_{(L^{2}(\omega_{6h,\gamma_{0}}))^{3\times3}} \leq 1/8 \quad \text{for any } (s_{1},s_{2}) \in \omega \quad \text{such that} \quad h \leq dist\big((s_{1},s_{2}),\gamma_{0}\big) \leq 2h.$$

By definition of \mathbf{R}_h , that gives $|||\mathbf{R}_h(s_1, s_2) - \mathbf{I}_3||| \le 1/8$.

Finally, for any $h \leq \max(h_0', h_0'')$ and for any $(s_1, s_2) \in \overline{\omega}$ we have

$$dist(\mathbf{R}_h(s_1, s_2), SO(3)) \le 1/8.$$

Using (C.5) and (C.6) we obtain (recall that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the euclidian norm in \mathbb{R}^3)

$$\forall (s_1, s_2) \in \omega, \qquad \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_h^{'}}{\partial s_\alpha}(s_1, s_2) - \mathbf{R}_h^{'}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{t}_\alpha(s_1, s_2) \right\|_2 \leq Ch ||\phi||_{(W^{2, \infty}(\omega))^3} + C\left(||\mathcal{V}||_{(H^2(\omega_{3h}))^3} + ||\mathbf{R}^{'}||_{(H^1(\omega_{3h}))^{3\times 3}}\right)$$

where $\omega_{3h} = \{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid dist((s_1, s_2), \partial \omega) \leq 3h\}.$

We have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{h}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R}_{h} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} = (1 - \psi_{h}) \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{h}^{'}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} - \mathbf{R}_{h}^{'} \mathbf{t}_{\alpha} \right) + \frac{\partial \psi_{h}}{\partial s_{\alpha}} (\phi - \mathcal{V}_{h}^{'}).$$

Thanks to the above inequality, (C.4) and again the estimate of $|||\mathbf{R}_h' - \mathbf{I}_3|||$ in the edge strip $h \leq dist((s_1, s_2), \gamma_0) \leq 2h$ we obtain for all $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{h}}{\partial s_{\alpha}}(s_{1}, s_{2}) - \mathbf{R}_{h}(s_{1}, s_{2}) \mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s_{1}, s_{2}) \right\|_{2} \\ \leq & C \left(h \|\phi\|_{(W^{2, \infty}(\omega))^{3}} + \|\mathcal{V}\|_{(H^{2}(\omega_{3h}))^{3}} + \|\mathbf{R}'\|_{(H^{1}(\omega_{3h}))^{3 \times 3}} + \|\phi - \mathcal{V}\|_{(H^{2}(\omega_{5h}, \gamma_{0}))^{3 \times 3}} \right). \end{split}$$

The same argument as above imply that there exists $h_0 \leq \max(h_0^{'}, h_0^{''})$ such that for any $0 < h \leq h_0$ and for any $(s_1, s_2) \in \omega$ we have

(C.11)
$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_h}{\partial s_\alpha}(s_1, s_2) - \mathbf{R}_h(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{t}_\alpha(s_1, s_2) \right\|_2 \le \frac{1}{8}.$$

From (C.4), (C.5), (C.6) and (C.7) there exists a positive constant C which does not depend on h such that

Now we can choose h in term of δ . We set

$$h = \theta \delta, \qquad \delta \in (0, \delta_0]$$

and we fixed θ in order to have $h \leq h_0$ and to obtain the right hand side in (C.12) less than $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}c'_1\delta}$ (c'_1 is given by (2.3)). It is well-known that there exists a sequence $(\overline{V}_{\delta})_{\delta \in (0,\delta_0]}$ such that $(\mathcal{V}_{\delta}, \mathbf{R}_{\delta}, \overline{V}_{\delta}) \in \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ and satisfying the convergences in (C.1) and the estimate in (C.3).

References

- [1] J.M. Ball, Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 63 (1976) 337-403.
- [2] D. Blanchard, A. Gaudiello, G. Griso. Junction of a periodic family of elastic rods with a 3d plate. I. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 88 (2007), no 1, 149-190.
- [3] D. Blanchard, A. Gaudiello, G. Griso. Junction of a periodic family of elastic rods with a thin plate. II. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 88 (2007), no 2, 1-33.
- [4] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Microscopic effects in the homogenization of the junction of rods and a thin plate. Asympt. Anal. 56 (2008), no 1, 1-36.
- [5] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Decomposition of deformations of thin rods. Application to nonlinear elasticity, Ana. Appl. 7 (1) (2009) 21-71.
- [6] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Decomposition of shell deformations Asymptotic behavior of the Green-St Venant strain tensor. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).
- [7] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Decomposition of the deformations of a thin shell. Asymptotic behavior of the Green-St Venant's strain tensor. (to appear)
- [8] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Justification of a simplified model for shells in nonlinear elasticity. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **** (2010).
- [9] P.G. Ciarlet, Mathematical Elasticity, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1988).
- [10] P.G. Ciarlet, Mathematical Elasticity, Vol. II. Theory of plates. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1997).
- [11] P.G. Ciarlet, Mathematical Elasticity, Vol. III. Theory of shells. North-Holland, Amsterdam (2000).
- [12] P.G. Ciarlet, Un modèle bi-dimentionnel non linéaire de coques analogue à celui de W.T. Koiter, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I, 331 (2000), 405-410.
- [13] P.G. Ciarlet, L. Gratie, C. Mardare. A nonlinear Korn inequality on a surface. J. Math. Pures Appl. 2006; 85: 2-16.
- [14] P.G. Ciarlet and C. Mardare, An introduction to shell theory, Differential geometry: theory and applications, 94–184, Ser. Contemp. Appl. Math. CAM, 9, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2008.
- [15] G. Friesecke, R. D. James and S. Müller. A theorem on geometric rigidity and the derivation of nonlinear plate theory from the three-dimensional elasticity. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. LV, 1461-1506 (2002).
- [16] G. Friesecke, R. D. James and S. Müller, A hierarchy of plate models derived from nonlinear elasticity by Γ -convergence. (2005)
- [17] G. Friesecke, R. D. James, M.G. Mora and S. Müller, Derivation of nonlinear bending theory for shells from three-dimensionnal nonlinear elasticity by Gamma convergence, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003).
- [18] G. Griso. Asymptotic behavior of curved rods by the unfolding method. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 2004; 27: 2081-2110.
- [19] G. Griso. Asymptotic behavior of structures made of plates. Anal. Appl. 3 (2005), 4, 325-356.
- [20] G. Griso. Décomposition des déplacements d'une poutre : simplification d'un problème d'élasticité. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. II 333 (2005), 475-480.

- [21] G. Griso. Obtention d'équations de plaques par la méthode d'éclatement appliquée aux équations tridimensionnelles. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 343 (2006), 361–366.
- [22] G. Griso. Decomposition of displacements of thin structures. J. Math. Pures Appl. 89 (2008) 199-233.
- [23] H. Le Dret and A. Raoult, The nonlinear membrane model as variational limit of nonlinear three-dimensional elasticity. J. Math. Pures Appl. 75 (1995) 551-580.
- [24] H. Le Dret and A. Raoult, The quasiconvex envelope of the Saint Venant-Kirchhoff stored energy function. Proc. R. Soc. Edin., A 125 (1995) 1179-1192.
- [25] J.E. Marsden and T.J.R. Hughes, Mathematical Foundations of Elasticity, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, (1983).
- [26] O. Pantz, On the justification of the nonlinear inextensional plate model. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 332 (2001), no. 6, 587–592.
- [27] O. Pantz, On the justification of the nonlinear inextensional plate model. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 167 (2003), no. 3, 179–209.