

On the image of Euler's totient function Rodney Coleman

▶ To cite this version:

Rodney Coleman. On the image of Euler's totient function. 2009. hal-00423598

HAL Id: hal-00423598 https://hal.science/hal-00423598

Preprint submitted on 12 Oct 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the image of Euler's totient function

R.Coleman Laboratoire LJK-Université de Grenoble, Tour-IRMA, 51, rue des Mathématiques, Domaine universitaire de Saint-Martin-d'Hères, France.

October 12, 2009

Euler's totient function ϕ is the function defined on the positive natural numbers \mathbb{N}^* in the following way: if $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $\phi(n)$ is the cardinal of the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{N}^* : 1 \le x \le n, (x, n) = 1\},\$$

where (x, n) is the pgcd of x and n. Thus $\phi(1) = 1$, $\phi(2) = 1$, $\phi(3) = 2$, $\phi(4) = 2$, and so on. The principle aim of this article is to study certain aspects of the image of the function ϕ .

1 Elementary properties

Clearly $\phi(p) = p - 1$, for any prime number p and, more generally, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $\phi(p^{\alpha}) = p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}$. This follows from the fact that the only numbers which are not coprime with p^{α} are multiples of p and there are $p^{\alpha-1}$ such multiples x with $1 \le x \le p^{\alpha}$.

It is well-known that ϕ is multiplicative, i.e., if m and n are coprime, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$. If $n \ge 3$ and the prime decomposition of n is

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s},$$

then from what we have seen

$$\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (p_i^{\alpha_i} - p^{\alpha_i - 1}) = n \prod_{i=1}^{s} (1 - \frac{1}{p_i})$$

Notice that

$$p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha - 1} = p^{\alpha - 1}(p - 1).$$

1

This implies that, if p is odd or p = 2 and $\alpha > 1$, then $p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}$ is even. Hence, for $n \ge 3$, $\phi(n)$ is even. Thus the image of ϕ is composed of the number 1 and even numbers.

The following property is simple but very useful.

Proposition 1.1 If $p, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, with p prime, and n = pm, then $\phi(n) = (p-1)\phi(m)$, if (p,m) = 1, and $\phi(n) = p\phi(m)$, if $(p,m) \neq 1$.

PROOF If (p, m) = 1, then we have

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p)\phi(m) = (p-1)\phi(m).$$

Now suppose that $(p,m) \neq 1$. We may write $m = p^{\alpha}m'$, with $\alpha \geq 1$ and (p,m') = 1. Thus

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p^{\alpha+1})\phi(m') = p^{\alpha}(p-1)\phi(m')$$

However

$$\phi(m) = p^{\alpha - 1}(p - 1)\phi(m')$$

and so $\phi(n) = p\phi(m)$.

Corollary 1.1 $\phi(2m) = \phi(m)$, if and only if m is odd.

PROOF If m is odd, then (2,m) = 1 and so $\phi(2m) = (2-1)\phi(m) = \phi(m)$. If m is even, then $(2,m) \neq 1$, hence $\phi(2m) = 2\phi(m) \neq \phi(m)$. This ends the proof.

2 Bounds on $\phi^{-1}(m)$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider the inverse image $\phi^{-1}(m)$ of m, i.e.

$$\phi^{-1}(m) = \{ n \in \mathbb{N}^* : \phi(n) = m \}$$

There are several questions we might ask. First, is the set $\phi^{-1}(m)$ empty and, if not is it finite. It is easy to see that $\phi^{-1}(1) = \{1, 2\}$ and as we have already seen, $\phi^{-1}(m)$ is empty if m is odd. It remains to consider the case where m is an even number. The following result, due to H. Gupta [3], helps us to answer these questions.

Proposition 2.1 Suppose that m is an even number and let us set

$$A(m) = m \prod_{p-1|m} \frac{p}{p-1},$$

where p is prime. If $n \in \phi^{-1}(m)$, then $m < n \le A(m)$.

PROOF Clearly, if $\phi(n) = m$, then m < n. On the other hand, if $\phi(n) = m$ and $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, then

$$m = n \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} \Longrightarrow n = m \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}.$$

However, if p|n, then from the first section we know that $p-1|\phi(n)$ and it follows that, for each p_i , $p_i-1|m$. Hence $n \leq A(m)$.

The proposition shows that the inverse image of an element $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is always finite. It also enables us to determine whether a given number m is in the image of ϕ : we only need to determine A(m), and then calculate $\phi(n)$ for all integers n in the interval (m, A(m)].

Examples 1. The divisors of 4 are 1,2 and 4. Adding 1 to each of these numbers we obtain 2, 3 and 5, all of which are prime numbers. Thus $A(4) = 4 \cdot \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} = 15$. To find the inverse image of 4, it is sufficient to consider numbers between 5 and 15. In fact, $\phi^{-1}(4) = \{5, 8, 10, 12\}$.

2. The divisors of 14 are 1, 2, 7 and 14. However, if we add 1 to each of these numbers we only find a prime number in the first two cases. Thus $A(14) = 14 \cdot \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = 42$. If we consider the numbers *n* between 15 and 42, we find $\phi(n) \neq 14$ and so $\phi^{-1}(14) = \emptyset$.

Remark The example m = 14 shows that there are even numbers which are not in the image of ϕ .

A is a function defined on $\{1\} \cup 2N^*$. Let us look at the first values of A with the corresponding values of ϕ (when defined):

m	A(m)	$\phi(A(m))$
1	2	1
2	6	2
4	15	8
6	21	12
8	30	8
10	33	20
12	$\frac{455}{8}$	_
14	42	20

From the table we see that A(m) may be odd, even or a fraction and that A is not increasing (A(12) > A(14)). Also, we may have $\phi(A(m)) = m$ or $\phi(A(m)) > m$.

It is interesting to consider the special case $m = 2^k$. The only divisors of 2^k are $1, 2, 2^2, \ldots, 2^k$. If we add 1 to each of these numbers, we obtain numbers of the form $2^i + 1$, where $0 \le i \le k$. For $i \ge 1$, such numbers are prime only if i is a power of 2. A number of the form $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ is said to be a Fermat number. Therefore

$$A(2^{k}) = 2^{k} \cdot 2 \cdot \prod \frac{F_{n}}{F_{n} - 1} = 2^{k+1} \cdot \prod \frac{F_{n}}{F_{n} - 1},$$

where the product is taken over Fermat numbers F_n which are prime and such that $F_n - 1|2^k$. For example, if $m = 2^5 = 32$, then F_0 , F_1 and F_2 are the only Fermat numbers F_n such that $F_n - 1|m$. In addition these Fermat numbers are all prime. Therefore $A(m) = 64 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{17}{16} = \frac{255}{2}$. The Fermat numbers F_0, \ldots, F_4 are all prime; however F_5, \ldots, F_{12} are composite numbers and it has been shown that for many other numbers n, F_n is composite. In fact, up till now no Fermat number F_n with $n \ge 5$ has been found to be prime. If there are no prime Fermat numbers with $n \ge 5$, then for $2^k \ge 2^{16}$ we have

$$A(2^{k}) = 2^{k+1} \cdot \frac{F_0}{2} \cdot \frac{F_1}{2^2} \cdot \frac{F_2}{2^4} \cdot \frac{F_3}{2^8} \cdot \frac{F_4}{2^{16}} = 2^{k-30} F_0 F_1 F_2 F_3 F_4,$$

which is an integer for $k \geq 30$.

Before closing this section, let us consider the upper bound on odd elements of $\phi^{-1}(m)$. From Corollary 1.1, we know that if n is odd and $n \in \phi^{-1}(m)$, then $2n \in \phi^{-1}(m)$, therefore an upper bound on odd elements of $\phi^{-1}(m)$ is $\frac{A(m)}{2}$. We should also notice that at least half of the elements in $\phi^{-1}(m)$ are even. In fact, $\phi^{-1}(m)$ may be non-empty and contain very few odd numbers, or even none. For example, the only odd number in $\phi^{-1}(8)$ is 15 and $\phi^{-1}(2^{32})$ contains only even numbers (see Theorem 5.2 further on).

3 The case m = 2p

We now consider in some detail the case where p is prime and m = 2p.

Theorem 3.1 If p is a prime number, then 2p lies in the image of ϕ if and only if 2p + 1 is prime.

PROOF If 2p + 1 is prime, then $\phi(2p + 1) = 2p$ and so $2p \in \text{Im } \phi$.

Suppose now that $2p \in \text{Im } \phi$. If p = 2, then $2p = 4 \in \text{Im } \phi$, car $\phi(5) = 4$ and 2p + 1 = 5, which is prime. Now suppose that p is an odd prime. As $2p \in \text{Im } \phi$, there exists n such that $\phi(n) = 2p$. If $n = 2^k$, then $2^{k-1} = \phi(2^k) = 2p$, which is clearly impossible, because p is an odd number greater than 1. Hence there is an odd prime q such that n = qs. There are two cases to consider: 1. $q \not| s$, 2. $q \mid s$. We will handle each of these cases in turn, using Proposition 1.1.

Case 1. We have $2p = (q-1)\phi(s)$ which implies that q-1|2p. The only divisors of 2p are 1, 2, p and 2p. As $q \neq 2$, the possible values for q-1 are 2, p or 2p and hence for q are 3, p+1 or 2p+1. However,

p + 1 is not possible, because p + 1 is even and hence not prime. If q = 3, then $\phi(s) = p$. As p is an odd number greater than 1, this is not possible. It follows that q = 2p + 1 and so 2p + 1 is prime.

Case 2. Here we have $2p = q\phi(s)$ and so q|2p. The possible values of q are 1, 2, p or 2p. However, as q is an odd prime, we must have q = p. This implies that $\phi(s) = 2$. Also, q|s and so $q - 1|\phi(s)$. It follows that p = q = 3 and hence 2p + 1 = 7, a prime number.

As in both cases 2p + 1 is prime, we have proved the result.

Definition A prime number of the form 2p + 1, with p prime, is said to be a safe prime. In this case, the prime number p is said to be a Sophie Germain prime.

Remarks 1. The theorem does not generalize to odd numbers. Certainly, if s is odd and 2s + 1 is prime, then $2s \in \text{Im } \phi$. However, it may be so that 2s + 1 is not prime and $2s \in \text{Im } \phi$. For example, $54 = \phi(81)$ and so $54 \in \text{Im } \phi$. However, $54 = 2 \cdot 27$ and $2 \cdot 27 + 1 = 55$, which is not prime.

2. Let us now consider $\phi^{-1}(2p)$. From Theorem 3.1, the set $\phi^{-1}(2p)$ is empty if 2p + 1 is not prime. If 2p + 1 is prime, then we have

$$A(2p) = 2p \cdot \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{2p+1}{2p} = 6p+3.$$

Hence, if $n \in \phi^{-1}(2p)$, then $2p < n \le 6p + 3$. It is worth noticing that

$$\phi(6p+3) = \phi(3)\phi(2p+1) = 2 \cdot 2p = 4p.$$

Corollary 3.1 If $2p \in Im \phi$, then $2^k p \in Im \phi$, for $k \ge 1$.

PROOF For k = 1 the result is already proved, so suppose that $k \ge 2$. As 2p + 1 is an odd prime, 2^k and 2p + 1 are coprime. Therefore

$$\phi(2^k(2p+1)) = \phi(2^k)\phi(2p+1) = 2^{k-1}2p = 2^kp.$$

This ends the proof.

Remarks 1. From the corollary we deduce that, for every prime p such that 2p + 1 is prime, there is an infinity of numbers a such that

 $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

and $a \in \text{Im } \phi$.

2. If $2p \notin \text{Im } \phi$, then we cannot say that $2^k p \notin \text{Im } \phi$ for any $k \ge 2$. For example, $14 \notin \text{Im } \phi$, but $28 \in \text{Im } \phi$.

4 Sets of elements of the form 2p

In this section we will need an important theorem due to Dirichlet:

Theorem 4.1 If $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and (a, n) = 1, then there is an infinite number of prime numbers p such that

 $p \equiv a \pmod{n}.$

Chapman [1] has recently given a relatively elementary proof of this result.

Let us consider the prime numbers p in the interval [1, 50]. There are 15 such numbers, namely

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47.

For seven of these numbers, 2p + 1 is prime, i.e. 2, 3, 5, 11, 23, 29, 41, and for the others 2p + 1 is not prime. Therefore

$$4, 6, 10, 22, 46, 58, 82 \in \text{Im } \phi$$
 and $14, 26, 34, 38, 62, 74, 86, 94 \notin \text{Im } \phi$.

It is natural to ask whether there is an infinite number of distinct primes p such that $2p \in \text{Im } \phi$ (resp. $2p \notin \text{Im } \phi$). Our question amounts to asking whether there is an infinite number of safe primes, or equivalently an infinite number of Sophie Germain primes. Up till now this question has not been answered. The largest known safe prime, found by David Undebakke in January, 2007, is $48047305725.2^{172404} - 1$. We can say a lot more concerning numbers of the form 2p which are not in the image of ϕ .

Theorem 4.2 For any odd prime number p, there is an infinite set S(p) of prime numbers q such that $2q \notin Im \phi$ and p|2q + 1.

PROOF If p is an odd prime, then $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is a positive integer and $(\frac{p-1}{2}, p) = 1$. From Dirichlet's theorem, we know that there is an infinite number of prime numbers of the form $q = \frac{p-1}{2} + kp$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$2q + 1 = 2\left(\frac{p-1}{2} + kp\right) + 1 = p + 2kp = p(1+2k)$$

From Theorem 3.1, we know that $2q \notin \text{Im } \phi$ and clearly p|2q+1.

Remark The sets S(p) may have common elements, but in general are distinct. For example, 14 is in S(3) and S(5), but not in S(7), 26 is in S(3), but not in S(5) and S(7) and 34 is in S(5) and S(7), but not in S(3).

The following result follows directly from the theorem.

Corollary 4.1 For every odd prime number p, there exists an infinite subset $\tilde{S}(p)$ of \mathbb{N}^* such that

$$a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

and $a \notin Im \phi$, when $a \in \tilde{S}(p)$.

Remark At least for the moment, we cannot say that there is an infinity of odd prime numbers p such $2p \in \text{Im } \phi$. However, we can say that that there is an infinity of odd numbers s such that $2s \in \text{Im } \phi$. Here is a proof. From Dirichlet's theorem, there is an infinity of prime numbers p such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus, for each of these primes, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$p = 3 + 4k = 1 + 2(1 + 2k).$$

As 1 + 2(1 + 2k) is prime $2(1 + 2k) \in \text{Im } \phi$ and of course 1 + 2k is odd.

5 Structure of $\phi^{-1}(m)$

If n is an odd solution of the equation $\phi(n) = m$, then 2n is also a solution (Corollary 1.1). It follows that the equation can have at most half its solutions odd. It is natural to look for cases where there are exactly the same number of odd and even numbers of solutions.

First let us consider the case where m = 2p and p is an odd prime. If p = 3, then $6 < n \le 21$. A simple check shows that $\phi^{-1}(6) = \{7, 9, 14, 18\}$. There are two odd and two even solutions of the equation $\phi(n) = 6$.

Proposition 5.1 If p is a prime number such that $p \ge 5$ and 2p + 1 is prime, then $\phi^{-1}(2p)$ contains exactly one odd and one even element, namely 2p + 1 and 4p + 2.

PROOF If $\phi(n) = 2p$, then, from Remark 2. following Theorem 3.1, $2p < n \le 6p + 3$. The divisors of 2p are 1, 2, p and 2p and so the only possible prime divisors of n are 2, 3 and 2p + 1. If n = 2p + 1 or 4p + 4, then $\phi(n) = 2p$ and there can be no other multiple n of 2p + 1 such that $\phi(n) = 2p$. If n is not a multiple of 2p + 1 and $\phi(n) = 2p$, then n must be of the form $n = 2^{\alpha} \cdot 3^{\beta}$. If $\alpha \ge 3$, then 4|2p, which is impossible. Also, if $\beta \ge 2$, then 3|2p. However, this is not possible, because $p \ge 5$. Therefore $\alpha \le 2$ and $\beta \le 1$. As $n > 2p \ge 10$, the only possibility is n = 12. As $\phi(12) = 4$, this is also impossible. The result now follows.

We can generalize this result to odd numbers in general. We will write O(m) (resp. E(m)) for the set of odd (resp. even) solutions of the equation $\phi(n) = m$.

Theorem 5.1 If s is odd and $s \ge 3$, then O(2s) = E(2s).

PROOF If the equation $\phi(n) = 2s$ has no solution, then there is nothing to prove, so suppose that this is not the case. If n is an odd solution of the equation, then 2n is also a solution. Hence $O(2s) \leq E(2s)$. If n is an even solution, then we may write $n = 2^{\alpha}t$, with $\alpha \geq 1$ and t odd. If t = 1, then $\phi(n)$ is a power of 2, which is not possible. It follows that $t \geq 3$. If we now suppose that $\alpha > 1$, then $\phi(n) = 2^{\alpha-1}\phi(t)$ and, as $\phi(t)$ is even, 4 divides $\phi(n) = 2s$, which is not possible. Therefore $\alpha = 1$ and so n = 2t. As $\phi(t) = \phi(2t) = \phi(n)$, we must have $O(2s) \geq E(2s)$ and the result now follows. \Box

Corollary 5.1 There is an infinity of numbers m such that $\phi^{-1}(m)$ is non-empty and composed of an equal number of odd and even numbers.

PROOF It is sufficient to recall that there is an infinity of primes p such that p = 1 + 2s, with s odd (remark after Corollary 4.1).

At the other extreme is the case where $\phi^{-1}(m)$ is non-empty and composed entirely of even numbers. In considering this question, the following result due to Gupta [3], is useful. We will give a modified proof of it.

Theorem 5.2 $O(2^k) = 0$ or $O(2^k) = 1$.

PROOF If k = 0, then we have $\phi^{-1}(2) = \{3, 4, 6\}$ and the result follows. Suppose now that k > 0 and that $\phi(n) = 2^k$, with *n* odd. If *p* is an odd prime such that p - 1 divides 2^k , then *p* must be of the form $2^i + 1$. The only primes of this form are Fermat numbers, hence if $n = p_0^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ is the decomposition of *n* as a product of primes, then each p_i must be a Fermat number. If we allow $\alpha_i = 0$, then we may suppose that $p_i = F_i$. Thus

$$2^{k} = \phi(F_{0}^{\alpha_{0}}) \dots \phi(F_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}) = \prod_{\alpha_{i} \ge 1} F_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1}(F_{i}-1).$$

If $\alpha_i > 1$ for some *i*, then the product is not a power of 2, hence we must have $\alpha_i = 0$ or $\alpha_i = 1$, for all *i*, and so

$$2^k = \alpha_0 2^{2^0} \dots \alpha_r 2^{2^r}.$$

Clearly $\alpha_0 \ldots \alpha_r$ is k written in binary form. Therefore there can be at most one odd number n such that $\phi(n) = 2^k$. If $\alpha_i = 1$ only when F_i is prime, then there exists n odd such that $\phi(n) = 2^k$ and s(k) = 1. On the other hand, if there is an α_i such that F_i is not prime, then there does not exist an odd number n such that $\phi(n) = 2^k$.

If k < 32, then k can be written in binary form as $\alpha_0 \ldots \alpha_4$. As $F_0, \ldots F_4$ are all primes, there exists an odd number n such that $\phi(n) = 2^k$. However, for 2^{32} , this is not the case, because F_5 is not prime. Up till now no Fermat number F_n , with $n \ge 5$, has found to be prime, so it would seem that, for $k \ge 5$, there is no odd number in the set $\phi^{-1}(2^k)$. This suggests that there is an infinity of numbers m for which $\phi^{-1}(m)$ is non-empty and only composed of even numbers.

6 Conclusion

We have seen that the inverse image $\phi^{-1}(m)$ is an empty set for any odd positive integer m > 1 and also for an infinite number of even positive integers. We have also seen that, when $\phi^{-1}(m)$ is non-empty, its cardinal can be odd or even and that it can have at most half of its members odd; it is also possible that all its members are even. Up till now no number m has been found such that $\phi^{-1}(m)$ contains only one element. Carmichael conjectured that such a case does not exist, but this is yet to be proved (or disproved). However, for $k \ge 2$, Ford [2] has shown that there is a number m such $\phi^{-1}(m)$ contains precisely k elements.

To close I would like to thank Dominique Duval and Mohamed El Methni for reading the text and offering helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] Chapman R., Dirichlet's theorem: a real variable approach, not published
- [2] Ford K., The number of solutions of $\phi(x) = m$, Annals of mathematics, 150 (1999), 283-311.
- [3] Gupta H., Euler's totient function and its inverse, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 12(1): 22-29, Jan 1981.