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#### Abstract

Résumé This article is devoted to prove a stability result for two independent coefficients for a $2 \times 2$ nonlinear parabolic system with only one observation. The main idea to obtain this result is to use a modified form of the Carleman estimate given in [1]. To cite this article : M. Cristofol, P. Gaitan, H. Ramoul and M. Yamamoto


## 1 Introduction

This paper is an improvement of the work [1] in the sense that we determine two independent coefficients with the observation of only one component in a nonlinear $2 \times 2$ parabolic system.
Several works concern linear and nonlinear parabolic equations but few concern system of nonlinear parabolic equations. We can cite [6] and the references therein. Such systems arise in biological or ecological system (see [8], [9]).
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n \leq 3$ and $\omega \subset \Omega$ a non empty subset. We denote by $\nu$ the outward unit normal to $\Omega$ on $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$ assumed to be of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$. Let $T>0$ and $t_{0} \in(0, T)$. We shall use the following notations $Q_{0}=\Omega \times(0, T), Q=\Omega \times\left(t_{0}, T\right), Q_{\omega}=\omega \times\left(t_{0}, T\right), \Sigma=\Gamma \times\left(t_{0}, T\right)$ and

[^0]$\Sigma_{0}=\Gamma \times(0, T)$. We consider the following $2 \times 2$ reaction-diffusion system :
\[

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} U=\Delta U+a_{11}(x) U+a_{12}(x) V+a_{13}(x) f(U, V) & \text { in }  \tag{1}\\ \partial_{t} V=\Delta V+a_{21}(x) U+a_{22}(x) V & \text { in } \\ Q_{0}, \\ U(x, t)=k_{1}(x, t), V(x, t)=k_{2}(x, t) & \text { on } \\ \Sigma_{0}, \\ U(x, 0)=U_{0} \text { and } V(x, 0)=V_{0} & \text { in } \\ \Omega\end{cases}
$$
\]

where, the function $f$ is assumed to be Lipschitz with respect the two variables $U$ and $V$.
Uniqueness and existence results for initial boundary value problem for such systems can be found in [7].
Throughout this paper, we consider the following set

$$
\Lambda(R)=\left\{\Phi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) ;\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant R\right\}
$$

where $R$ is a given positive constant. For $t_{0} \in(0, T)$, we denote $T^{\prime}=\frac{t_{0}+T}{2}$.
Let $(U, V)$ (resp. $(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V}))$ be solution of (1) associated to $\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right.$, $\left.k_{1}, k_{2}, U_{0}, V_{0}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, \widetilde{a}_{13}, \widetilde{a}_{21}, a_{22}, k_{1}, k_{2}, U_{0}, V_{0}\right)\right)$ satisfying some regularity and positivity properties :

## Assumption 1.1. 1. For $i=1,2, j=1,2,3, a_{i j}, \widetilde{a}_{13}$ and $\widetilde{a}_{21} \in \Lambda(R)$.

2. There exist constants $r_{1}>0$ and $a_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\widetilde{U}_{0} \geq r_{1}, \widetilde{V}_{0} \geq 0, a_{21} \geq a_{0}, \widetilde{a}_{21} \geq a_{0}, a_{11} r_{1}+a_{12} \widetilde{V}_{0}+\widetilde{a}_{13} f\left(r_{1}, \widetilde{V}_{0}\right) \geq 0, k_{1} \geq r_{1} \text { and } k_{2} \geq 0
$$

Such assumptions allow us to state that the function $\widetilde{U}$ satisfies $\left|\widetilde{U}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq$ $r_{1}>0$ in $\Omega($ see $[10])$.

Assumption 1.2. 1. The function $f$ checks a generalized Lipschitz property in the following sense : $\exists C>0$, such that $\left|\partial_{t} f(U, V)-\partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\right| \leq$ $C\left(|U-\widetilde{U}|+|V-\widetilde{V}|+\left|(U-\widetilde{U})_{t}\right|+\left|(V-\widetilde{V})_{t}\right|\right)$.
2. $\exists r_{2}>0$ such that $f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\left(T^{\prime}, x\right) \geq r_{2}>0$ in $\Omega$.
3. $\partial_{t} f(U, V) \in L^{2}\left((0, T) ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

This set of functions is not empty and contains, in particular, a large class of semilinear terms associated with ecological or biological models (e.g. $f(U, V)=$ $U^{\alpha} V^{\beta}$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ non negative constants).
The main result is the following Theorem :
Theorem 1.3. Let $\omega$ be a subdomain of an open set $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We suppose that Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2 are checked and $(U, V)\left(\cdot, T^{\prime}\right)=(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\left(\cdot, T^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, we assume that $\widetilde{U}_{0}, \widetilde{V}_{0}$ in $H^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $C=C\left(\Omega, \omega, a_{0}, t_{0}, T, r_{1}, r_{2}, R\right)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|a_{21}-\widetilde{a}_{21}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|a_{13}-\widetilde{a}_{13}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C\left\|\partial_{t} V-\partial_{t} \widetilde{V}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{\omega}\right)}^{2}
$$

In [1], for a linear reaction diffusion system, we prove a stability result for one coefficient with only one observation. The novelty in this paper is the identification of two coefficients with only one observation for a nonlinear system. The main tool is a Carleman estimate established in [1] which is adapted to recover two independent coefficients, one in each equation of (1).
The paper is organized as follows : In section 2, we give the modified Carleman estimate for a reaction-diffusion system with only one observation. Then using this modified Carleman estimate, we prove in section 3 a stability result for two coefficients with the observation of only one component.

## 2 Carleman estimate

At first, we recall the general form of the Carleman estimate associated to the operator $\partial_{t} q-\Delta q$ (see [2], [4], [5]). Let $\omega^{\prime} \Subset \omega \Subset \Omega$ and let $\widetilde{\beta}$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ function such that
$\widetilde{\beta}>0$, in $\Omega, \widetilde{\beta}=0$ on $\partial \Omega, \min \left\{|\nabla \widetilde{\beta}(x)|, x \in \overline{\Omega \backslash \omega^{\prime}}\right\}>0$ and $\partial_{\nu} \widetilde{\beta}<0$ on $\partial \Omega$.
Then, we define $\beta=\widetilde{\beta}+K$ with $K=m\|\widetilde{\beta}\|_{\infty}$ and $m>1$. For $\lambda>0$ and $t \in\left(t_{0}, T\right)$, we define the following weight functions (see [3])

$$
\varphi(x, t)=\frac{e^{\lambda \beta(x)}}{\left(t-t_{0}\right)(T-t)}, \quad \eta(x, t)=\frac{e^{2 \lambda K}-e^{\lambda \beta(x)}}{\left(t-t_{0}\right)(T-t)}
$$

We have then the following Carleman estimate :
Theorem 2.1. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}(\Omega, \omega) \geq 0, s_{0}=s_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}, T, \tau\right)>$ 0 and a positive constant $C_{0}=C_{0}(\Omega, \omega, \tau)$ such that, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$ and any $s \geq s_{0}$, the following estimate holds :
$I(\tau, q) \leq C_{0}\left[\iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \lambda^{4}(s \varphi)^{\tau+3}|q|^{2} d x d t+\iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}(s \varphi)^{\tau}\left|\partial_{t} q-\Delta q\right|^{2} d x d t\right]$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\tau, q)=\iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}(s \varphi)^{\tau-1}\left(\left|\partial_{t} q\right|^{2}+|\Delta q|^{2}\right) d x d t+ & \lambda^{2} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}(s \varphi)^{\tau+1}|\nabla q|^{2} d x d t \\
& +\lambda^{4} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}(s \varphi)^{\tau+3}|q|^{2} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. If we denote
$M_{1}^{(\tau)} \psi=-\Delta \psi-s^{2} \lambda^{2} \varphi^{2}|\nabla \beta|^{2} \psi-\left(\frac{\tau}{2}-s \partial_{t} \eta\right) \psi, \quad M_{2}^{(\tau)} \psi=\partial_{t} \psi+2 s \lambda\left(\varphi+\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \nabla \beta . \nabla \psi$,
with $\psi=e^{-s \eta} \varphi^{\frac{T}{2}} q$, the Carleman estimate (2) also gives an estimation of $\left.\| M_{1}^{(\tau)} \psi\right)\left\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}+\right\| M_{2}^{(\tau)} \psi \|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}$.

We assume that $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22} \in \Lambda(R), a_{21} \geq a_{0}>0$ and we consider the following system :

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} Y=\Delta Y+a_{11}(x) Y+a_{12}(x) Z+H_{1}, & \text { in } \quad Q_{0}  \tag{3}\\ \partial_{t} Z=\Delta Z+a_{21}(x) Y+a_{22}(x) Z+H_{2}, & \text { in } \quad Q_{0} \\ Y(x, t)=Z(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \\ Y(x, 0)=K_{1}, \quad Z(x, 0)=K_{2} & \text { in } \quad \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Then we can have, through the result given in [1], the following modified Carleman estimate with a single observation acting on a subdomain $\omega$ of $\Omega$ for the system (3) :

Theorem 2.2. There exist $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(\Omega, \omega) \geq 1, s_{1}=s_{1}\left(\lambda_{0}, T\right)>1$ and a positive constant $C_{1}=C_{1}\left(\Omega, \omega, R, a_{0}\right)$ such that, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_{1}$ and any $s \geq s_{1}$, the following estimate holds :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda^{-4} I(-3, Y)+I(0, Z) \leq C_{1} s^{4} \lambda^{4} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t  \tag{4}\\
+C_{1}\left[s^{-3} \lambda^{-4} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left|H_{1}\right|^{2} d x d t+\iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|H_{2}\right|^{2} d x d t\right]
\end{array}
$$

## 3 Stability result

Let $(U, V)$ (resp. $(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V}))$ be solution of (1) associated to ( $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{21}, a_{22}$, $\left.k_{1}, k_{2}, U_{0}, V_{0}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, \widetilde{a}_{13}, \widetilde{a}_{21}, a_{22}, k_{1}, k_{2}, U_{0}, V_{0}\right)\right.$. Then, if we set $u=U-\widetilde{U}, v=V-\widetilde{V}, Y=\partial_{t} u$ and $Z=\partial_{t} v,(Y, Z)$ is solution to the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\partial_{t} Y=\Delta Y+a_{11}(x) Y+a_{12}(x) Z+\gamma_{1} \partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})+a_{13}(x) \partial_{t} F(U, V, \widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V}), & \text { in } & Q_{0}, \\
\partial_{t} Z=\Delta Z+a_{21}(x) Y+a_{22}(x) Z+\gamma_{2} \partial_{t} \widetilde{U} & \text { in } & Q_{0}, \\
Y(x, t)=Z(x, t)=0 & \text { on } & \Sigma_{0}, \\
Y(x, 0)=\gamma_{1} f\left(U_{0}, V_{0}\right), \quad Z(x, 0)=\gamma_{2} U_{0} & \text { in } \quad \Omega, \\
& \text { (5) }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { where } \gamma_{1}=\left(a_{13}-\widetilde{a}_{13}\right), \gamma_{2}=\left(a_{21}-\widetilde{a}_{21}\right) \text { and } F(U, V, \widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})=f(U, V)- \\
f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V}) .
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

If we apply the modified Carleman estimate (4) to the previous system (5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{-4} I(-3, Y)+I(0, Z) \leq C_{1} s^{4} \lambda^{4} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t \\
& +C_{1}\left[s^{-3} \lambda^{-4} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left(\left|\gamma_{1} \partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{t} F\right|^{2}\right) d x d t+\iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{2} \partial_{t} \widetilde{U}\right|^{2} d x d t\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we shall "absorb" the term $A=s^{-3} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left|\partial_{t} F\right|^{2} d x d t$. So, we need the following lemma (see [6]) :

Lemma 3.1. There exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that.

$$
\iint_{Q}\left|\int_{T^{\prime}}^{t} q(x, \xi) d \xi\right|^{2} e^{-2 s \eta} d x d t \leq \frac{C}{s} \iint_{Q}|q(x, t)|^{2} e^{-2 s \eta} d x d t
$$

for all large $s>0$ and $q \in L^{2}(Q)$.
Since $\varphi^{-3} \leq C \frac{T^{6}}{4^{3}}, \varphi^{-3} \leq C \frac{T^{12}}{4^{6}} \varphi^{3}$ and using Assumption 1.2-(1), the previous Lemma yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leq C s^{-3}\left(1+s^{-1}\right) \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left(|Y|^{2}+\varphi^{3}|Z|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for $s$ and $\lambda$ large enough, the integral $A$ can be "absorbed" into the left hand side of (6).
Then (6) can be written as follows

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda^{-4} I(-3, Y)+I(0, Z) \leq C_{1} s^{4} \lambda^{4} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t  \tag{8}\\
+C_{1}\left[s^{-3} \lambda^{-4} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left|\gamma_{1} \partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\right|^{2} d x d t+\iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{2} \partial_{t} \widetilde{U}\right|^{2} d x d t\right]
\end{array}
$$

Let us introduce the following integral $\mathcal{I}_{1}=\lambda^{-4} \int_{t_{0}}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} M_{2}^{(-3)} \psi_{1} \cdot \psi_{1} d x d t$, where $\psi_{1}=e^{-s \eta} Y \varphi^{-3 / 2}$. We first estimate $\mathcal{I}_{1}$ with the modified Carleman estimate (8) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{1} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-2}\left[\lambda^{-4}\left\|M_{2}^{(-3)} \psi_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}+\int_{t_{0}}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}|Y|^{2} d x d t\right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-2} C\left[s^{4} \lambda^{4} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t\right. \\
& \left.+s^{-3} \lambda^{-4} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left|\gamma_{1} \partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\right|^{2} d x d t+\iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{2} \partial_{t} \widetilde{U}\right|^{2} d x d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By computing $\mathcal{I}_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-4} \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{1}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq 2\left|\mathcal{I}_{1}\right|+C s \lambda^{-2} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-2}|Y|^{2} d x d t \\
\leq C \lambda^{-2}\left[s^{5} \lambda^{4} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t+s^{-2} \lambda^{-4} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left|\gamma_{1} \partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\right|^{2} d x d t\right. \\
\left.+s \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{2} \partial_{t} \widetilde{U}\right|^{2} d x d t\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, for $\lambda$ sufficiently large and $\varphi^{-2} \leq C T^{4}$, we have

$$
\lambda^{-4} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2 s \eta\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)} \varphi^{-3}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)\left|Y\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq C\left[s^{5} \lambda^{2} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t\right.
$$

$\left.+s^{-2} \lambda^{-6} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left|\gamma_{1} \partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\right|^{2} d x d t+s \lambda^{-2} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{2} \partial_{t} \widetilde{U}\right|^{2} d x d t\right]$.
Moreover, since $(U, V)\left(\cdot, T^{\prime}\right)=(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\left(\cdot, T^{\prime}\right)$, we have $Y\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{1} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$. Thus by [7], we can have $\widetilde{U} \in H^{1}\left(\left(t_{0}, T\right) ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, so $\partial_{t} \widetilde{U} \in L^{2}\left(\left(t_{0}, T\right) ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Moreover, by Assumption 1.2-(3) $\partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V}) \in L^{2}\left(\left(t_{0}, T\right) ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Then for $n \leq 3, \partial_{t} \widetilde{U}$ and $\partial_{t} f(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})$ are in $L^{2}\left(\left(t_{0}, T\right) ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$ by classical Sobolev imbedding. Thus, using Assumption 1.2-(2) and $\left|\widetilde{U}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq r_{1}>0$ in $\Omega$, we obtain for $\lambda$ sufficiently large :
$\lambda^{-4} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2 s \eta\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)} \varphi^{-3}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{2} d x \leq C\left[s^{5} \lambda^{2} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t+s \lambda^{-2} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{2}\right|^{2} d x d t\right]$.
In a similar way, we introduce $\mathcal{I}_{2}=\int_{t_{0}}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} M_{2}^{(0)} \psi_{2} \cdot \psi_{2} d x d t$, where $\psi_{2}=e^{-s \eta} Z$. Thus, using the fact that $Z\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)=\gamma_{2} \widetilde{U}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain for $\lambda$ sufficiently large
$\int_{\Omega} e^{-2 s \eta\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)}\left|\gamma_{2}\right|^{2} d x \leq C\left[s^{5 / 2} \lambda^{2} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t+s^{-9 / 2} \lambda^{-6} \iint_{Q} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{2} d x d t\right]$.
So, if we add (10) and (11), we have
$\lambda^{-4} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{-3}\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} e^{-2 s \eta}\left|\gamma_{2}\right|^{2} d x \leq C s^{5} \lambda^{2} \iint_{Q_{\omega}} e^{-2 s \eta} \varphi^{4}|Z|^{2} d x d t$.
Then, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.
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