

Vanishing theorems for associative submanifolds Damien Gayet

▶ To cite this version:

Damien Gayet. Vanishing theorems for associative submanifolds. 2009. hal-00416114v1

HAL Id: hal-00416114 https://hal.science/hal-00416114v1

Preprint submitted on 11 Sep 2009 (v1), last revised 19 Nov 2009 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Vanishing theorems for associative submanifolds

Damien Gayet

September 11, 2009

Abstract

Let M a 7-dimensional manifold with holonomy in G2, and containing a compact associative 3-dimensional submanifold Y. It is known since McLean [9] that the problem of the associative deformations of Y is related to a Dirac-like operator on Y, hence is elliptic and of vanishing index. In this paper we adapt the Bochner method to give sufficient metric conditions which implies that Y is isolated. In the case where Y has boundary in a coassociative 4-dimensional submanifold X, it has been proved in [5] that the related deformation problem is still elliptic, and moreover its index is in general non vanishing. In this case, we give a vanishing theorem that mixes the ambient curvature and the geometry of the boundary, and which insures the smoothness of the moduli space of associative deformations of Y. Thanks, we are able to describe explicit smooth moduli spaces for some families of examples in \mathbb{R}^7 and in Calabi-Yau extensions. In particular, we prove that the moduli space of associative deformations of product of a special lagrangian by S^1 in a Calabi-Yau manifold times S^1 is always smooth and of dimension $b^1(L) + 1$.

MSC 2000: 53C38 (35J55, 53C21, 58J32).

Keywords: G_2 holonomy; calibrated submanifolds; elliptic boundary problems on mani-

folds; Bochner method

1 Introduction

Let M a 7-dimensional riemannian manifold with holonomy included in G2. In this case M supports a non degenerate harmonic 3-form ϕ (and such that $\nabla \phi = 0$). Moreover, M benefits a vector product \times defined by

$$\langle u \times v, w \rangle = \phi(u, v, w),$$

even if to be spin is enough for the existence of this product. Now, recall that a 3-dimensional submanifold Y is said associative if its tangent bundle is stable under the vector product. In other terms, ϕ restricted to Y is a volume form. Likely, a 4-dimensional submanifold X is coassociative if all the fibers of its normal bundle are associative, or equivalently, $\phi_{|TX}$ vanishes. We refer to the abundant literature on this subject, see [6] or [5] for a summary with our notations.

The closed case. It is known from [9] that the deformation of an associative submanifold Y without boundary is an elliptic problem, and hence is of vanishing index. In general, the situation is obstructed. For instance, consider the flat torus $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \{pt\}$ in the flat space $\mathbb{T}^7 = \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^4$. This is an associative submanifold, and its moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \{pt\}}$ of associative deformations contains at least the 4-dimensional \mathbb{T}^4 .

A natural question is to find conditions which force the moduli space to be smooth, or in other terms, which force the cokernel of the problem to vanish. For the closed case, Abkulut and Salur [2] allow a certain freedom for the connection on the normal bundle, the definition of associativity and genericity. But examples are often non generic, and we would like to get a condition that is not a perturbative one. For holomorphic curves in dimension 4, there are topological conditions on the degree of the normal bundle which imply smoothness of the moduli space of complex deformations, see for example [7]. The main point for this is that holomorphic curves intersect positively. In our case, there is no such phenomenon.

In [9], page 30, McLean gives an example of an isolated associative submanifold. Since this was the start point of our work, we recall it. In [4], Bryant and Salamon constructed on the spin bundle of the round 3-sphere $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ a metric of holonomy G_2 . In this case, the basis $S^3 \times \{0\}$ is associative, the normal bundle is just the spin bundle of S^3 , and the operator related to the associative deformations of S^3 is just the Dirac operator. By the famous theorem of Lichnerowicz [8], there are no harmonic spinors on S^3 for metric reasons (precisely, the riemaniann scalare curvature is non negative), so the sphere is isolated as an associative submanifold.

In this paper, we follow the way showed by McLean and the theorem of Lichnerowicz. That means that we use the Bochner method to get a geometric condition which allows the smoothness of \mathcal{M}_Y . For this, we define the following geometric object:

Definition 1.1 Choose $\{e_1, e_2, e_3 = e_1 \times e_2\}$ a local orthonormal frame field of TY. We define the 0-order operator

$$\mathcal{R}_{\nu}: \Gamma(Y, \nu) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, \nu)$$

$$\psi \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (e_i \times e_j) \times R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j) \psi,$$

where R^{\perp} is the curvature of the normal bundle ν over Y, and $\psi \in \Gamma(Y, \nu)$.

Fact. The definition is independent of the choosen oriented orthonormal frame.

Now we can express the first theorem à la Lichnerowicz :

Theorem 1.1 Let Y an associative submanifold of a G2-manifold M. If the spectrum of \mathcal{R}_{ν} is non negative, then Y is isolated.

The case with boundary. In [5], the authors proved that the deformation of an associative submanifold with boundary in a coassociative submanifold is an elliptic problem of finite index and given by the index of a certain Cauchy-Riemann operator. We sum up in the following the principal results of the paper:

Theorem 1.2 ([5]) Let ν_X the normal complementary of $T\partial Y$ in $TX_{|\partial Y}$, and n the inner unit vector normal to ∂Y in Y. Then the bundle ν_X is a subbundle of $\nu_{|\partial Y}$ and is stable under $n \times$, as long as the orthogonal complement μ_X of ν_X in ν . Viewing $T\partial Y$, ν_X and μ_X as $n \times$ -complex line bundles, we have $\mu_X^* \cong \nu_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\partial Y$. Besides, the problem of the associative deformations of Y is elliptic and of index

$$\operatorname{index}(Y, X) = \operatorname{index} \overline{\partial}_{\nu_X} = c_1(\nu_X) + 1 - g.$$

In this context, we introduce a new geometric object that is related to the geometry on the boundary :

Proposition 1.3 Let n the inner normal vector field on ∂Y , and $\{v, w = n \times v\}$ a local orthonormal frame for $T\partial Y$. Let L a real plane subbundle of ν invariant by the action of $n \times$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}_L : \Gamma(\partial Y, L) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\partial Y, L)$$

$$\phi \mapsto \pi_L(v \times \nabla_w^{\perp} \phi - w \times \nabla_v^{\perp} \phi),$$

where π_L is the orthogonal projection on L and ∇^{\perp} the normal connection on ν induced by the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on M. Then \mathcal{D}_L is independent of the choosen oriented frame, of order 0 and symmetric.

Now, we can express our main theorem:

Theorem 1.4 Let Y an associative submanifold of a G2-manifold M with boundary in a coassociative X. If \mathcal{D}_{μ_X} and \mathcal{R}_{ν} are non negative, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{Y,X}$ is locally smooth and of dimension given by the virtual one.

When $M = \mathbb{R}^7$, we get the following very explicit example considered in [5]. Take a ball Y in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^7$, with real analytic boundary, and choose e any constant vector field in $\nu = Y \times \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$. By [6], there is a unique local coassociative X_e containing $\partial Y \times \mathbb{R}e$, such that

$$TX_{|\partial Y} = T\partial Y \oplus \nu_X = T\partial Y \oplus \text{Vect } (e, n \times e).$$

Of course, the translations in the e-direction gives associative deformations of Y with boundary in X_e . The next corollary shows that under a simple metric condition, this is the only way to deform Y:

Corollary 1.5 If the ∂Y is strictly convex in \mathbb{R}^3 , then $\mathcal{M}_{Y,X_e} = \mathbb{R}$.

The Calabi-Yau extension. Let (N, J, Ω, ω) a Calabi-Yau 6-dimensional manifold (we allow holonomies in SU(3)), where J is an integrable complex stucture, Ω a non vanishing holomorphic 3-form and ω a Khler form. Then $M = N \times S^1$ (or $N \times \mathbb{R}$) is a manifold with holonomy in G_2 , with calibration the 3-form given by

$$\phi = \omega \wedge dt + \operatorname{Re}\Omega.$$

Take a special lagrangian 3-dimensional submanifold L in N. We know from [9] that \mathcal{M}_L the moduli space of special lagrangian deformations of L is smooth and of dimension $b^1(L)$. Now every product $Y = L \times \{pt\}$ of a special lagrangian and a point is an associative submanifold of M. Since the translation along S^1 preserves the associativity of Y, we hence have $\mathcal{M}_L \times S^1 \subset \mathcal{M}_Y$. In the last part of this paper, we prove that in fact, there is equality, without any condition:

Theorem 1.6 The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{L\times\{pt\}}$ of associative deformations of $L\times\{pt\}$ is always smooth, and can be identified with the product $\mathcal{M}_L\times S^1$.

As an immediate example, we get that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \{pt\}} = \mathbb{T}^4.$$

When Y has boundary, the metric conditions reappear:

Corollary 1.7 Let L a special lagrangian submanifold in a 6-dimensional Calabi-Yau N, such that L has boundary in a complex surface Σ . Let $Y = L \times \{t_0\}$ in $N \times S^1$ and $X = \Sigma \times \{t_0\}$. If the Ricci curvature of L is non negative, and the boundary of L has non negative mean curvature in L, then $\mathcal{M}_{Y,X}$ is locally smooth and has dimension g, where g is the genus of ∂L .

Aknowledgements. I would like to thank the French Agence nationale pour la Recherche for its support, and Vincent Borrelli who convainced me that there is a life after riemannian geometry.

2 Closed associative submanifold

2.1 A Weitzenbck formula

The strategy followed for rigidity is the Bochner technique. For this, we have to get some Weitzenbck formula, and integrate. Firstly, recall the version of McLean's theorem proposed by Akbulut and Salur:

Theorem 2.1 ([9],[1]) Let Y an associative submanifold of a riemannian manifold M with G2-holonomy. Then the tangent space of its associative deformations is the kernel of the operator

$$D: \Gamma(Y,\nu) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y,\nu),$$

$$\psi \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{3} e_{i} \times \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\perp} \psi,$$

where ∇^{\perp} is the connection on ν induced by the Levi-Civita connection ∇ .

For the next proposition, we introduce the normal equivalent of the invariant second derivative. More precisely, let

$$\nabla_{v,w}^{\perp 2} = \nabla_v^{\perp} \nabla_w^{\perp} - \nabla_{\nabla^{\perp} w}^{\perp},$$

which is tensorial in the fields v and w and acting on $\Gamma(Y, \nu)$. Moreover, define the equivalent of the connection laplacian :

$$\nabla^{\perp *} \nabla^{\perp} = -\text{trace } (\nabla^{\perp 2}) = -\sum_{i} \nabla^{\perp 2}_{e_{i}, e_{i}}.$$

Proposition 2.2 For the Dirac-like D we have

$$D^2 = \nabla^{\perp *} \nabla^{\perp} + \mathcal{R}_{\cdots}$$

Before computing D^2 , we need the following trivial lemma

Lemma 2.3 Let ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on M and R its curvature tensor. For any vector fields w, z, u and v on M, we have

$$\nabla(u \times v) = \nabla u \times v + u \times \nabla v \text{ and}$$

$$R(w, z)(u \times v) = R(w, z)u \times v + u \times R(w, z)v.$$

If $u \in \Gamma(Y, TY)$, $v \in \Gamma(Y, TY)$ and $\eta \in \Gamma(Y, \nu)$, then

$$\nabla^{\top}(u \times v) = \nabla^{\top}u \times v + u \times \nabla^{\top}v \text{ and}$$

$$\nabla^{\perp}(u \times \eta) = \nabla^{\top}u \times v + u \times \nabla^{\perp}v,$$

where $\nabla^{\top} = \nabla - \nabla^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection of ∇ on TY.

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_7 normal coordinates on M near x, and $e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ their derivatives orthonormal at x. We have

$$u \times v = \sum_{i} \langle u \times v, e_i \rangle e_i = \sum_{i} \phi(u, v, e_i) e_i,$$

so that

$$\nabla(u \times v) = \sum_{i} (\nabla \phi(u, v, e_i) + \phi(\nabla u, v, e_i) + \phi(u, \nabla v, e_i) + \phi(\nabla u, v, \nabla e_i))e_i$$
$$= \sum_{i} (\phi(\nabla u, v, e_i) + \phi(u, \nabla v, e_i))e_i = \nabla u \times v + u \times \nabla v,$$

because $\nabla \phi = 0$ and $\nabla e_i = 0$. Now if u and v are in TY, then we get the result after remarking that $(\nabla u \times v)^{\top} = \nabla^{\top} u \times v$, because TY is invariant under \times . The last relation is implied by $TY \times \nu \subset \nu$ and $\nu \times \nu \subset TY$. The curvature relation is easily derived from the definition $R(w, z) = \nabla_w \nabla_z - \nabla_z \nabla_w - \nabla_{[w, z]}$ and the derivation of the vector product.

Proof of proposition 2.2. We compute D^2 at a point $x \in Y$. For this, we choose normal coordinates on Y and $e_i \in \Gamma(Y, TY)$ their associated derivatives, orthonormal at x. To be explicit, $\nabla^{\top} e_i = 0$ at x. Let $\psi \in \Gamma(Y, \nu)$.

$$D^{2}\psi = \sum_{i,j} e_{i} \times \nabla_{i}^{\perp}(e_{j} \times \nabla_{j}^{\perp}\psi)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} e_{i} \times (e_{j} \times \nabla_{i}^{\perp}\nabla_{j}^{\perp}\psi) + \sum_{i,j} e_{i} \times (\nabla_{i}^{\top}e_{j} \times \nabla_{j}^{\perp}\psi)$$

$$= -\sum_{i} \nabla_{i}^{\perp}\nabla_{i}^{\perp}\psi - \sum_{i\neq j} (e_{i} \times e_{j}) \times \nabla_{i}^{\perp}\nabla_{j}^{\perp}\psi$$

$$= \nabla^{\perp*}\nabla^{\perp}\psi - \sum_{i< j} (e_{i} \times e_{j}) \times (\nabla_{i}^{\perp}\nabla_{j}^{\perp} - \nabla_{j}^{\perp}\nabla_{i}^{\perp})\psi$$

$$= \nabla^{\perp*}\nabla^{\perp}\psi - \sum_{i< j} (e_{i} \times e_{j}) \times R^{\perp}(e_{i}, e_{j})\psi$$

$$= \nabla^{\perp*}\nabla^{\perp}\psi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} (e_{i} \times e_{j}) \times R^{\perp}(e_{i}, e_{j})\psi = \nabla^{\perp*}\nabla^{\perp}\psi + \mathcal{R}_{\nu}\psi,$$

since $(e_i \times e_j) \times R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j)$ is symmetric in i, j. It is easy to check that \mathcal{R}_{ν} is independent of the choosen orthonormal frame.

We can give a separation of the uneasy operator \mathcal{R}_{ν} , in terms of the ambiant curvature, and the Weingarten operators:

Proposition 2.4 Let \mathcal{R} the 0-order operator : $\mathcal{R}: \Gamma(Y,\nu) \to \Gamma(Y,\nu)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(\psi) = -\frac{1}{2}\pi_{\nu} \sum_{i,j} (e_i \times e_j) \times R(e_i, e_j) \psi,$$

where R is the curvature tensor of the ambient space M and π_{ν} the orthogonal projection on ν . Then \mathcal{R} is a symmetric operator, and there is a positive symmetric 0-order operator $\mathcal{A}: \Gamma(Y,\nu) \to \Gamma(Y,\nu)$ which vanishes if Y is totally geodesic in M, such that $\mathcal{R}_{\nu} = \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{A}$. As a consequence, the operator \mathcal{R}_{ν} is symmetric.

The operator seems as complicated as \mathcal{R}_{ν} , but it vanishes for flat spaces, like \mathbb{R}^7 or \mathbb{T}^7 .

Proof. We refer to the lemma 2.5 below for the proof of the symmetry of \mathcal{R} . The main tool for the second assertion of our proposition is the Ricci equation. Let u, v in $\Gamma(Y, TY)$ and ϕ, ψ in $\Gamma(Y, \nu)$.

$$< R^{\perp}(u, v)\psi, \phi > = < R(u, v)\psi, \phi > + < (A_{\psi}A_{\phi} - A_{\phi}A_{\psi})u, v >,$$

where $A_{\phi}: TY \to TY$ is the Weingarten endomorphism in the normal direction ϕ defined by

$$A_{\phi}(u) = -\nabla_{u}^{\top} \phi.$$

Choose η_1, \dots, η_4 an orthonormal basis of ν at the point x, we get

$$\mathcal{R}_{\nu}(\psi) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \langle (e_i \times e_j) \times R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j) \psi, \eta_k \rangle \eta_k$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \langle R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j) \psi, (e_i \times e_j) \times \eta_k \rangle \eta_k$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \pi_{\nu} \sum_{i,j,k} (e_i \times e_j) \times R(e_i, e_j) \psi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \langle (A_{\psi} A_{(e_i \times e_j) \times \eta_k} - A_{(e_i \times e_j) \times \eta_k} A_{\psi}) e_i, e_j \rangle \eta_k.$$

The Weingarten endomorphisms are symmetric, so that the last term is

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \langle A_{(e_i \times e_j) \times \eta_k} e_i, A_{\psi} e_j \rangle \eta_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \langle A_{\psi} e_i, A_{(e_i \times e_j) \times \eta_k} e_j \rangle \eta_k.$$

It is easy to see that the second sum is the opposite of the first one. We compute

$$A_{(e_i \times e_j) \times \eta_k} e_i = -(\nabla_i^{\perp} e_i \times e_j) \times \eta_k - (e_i \times \nabla_i^{\perp} e_j) \times \eta_k + (e_i \times e_j) \times A_{\eta_k} e_i.$$

But we know that an associative submanifold is minimal, so that

$$\sum_{i} \nabla_{i}^{\perp} e_{i} = 0.$$

Moreover, deriving the relation $e_3 = \pm e_1 \times e_2$, one easily check that

$$\sum_{i} e_i \times \nabla_j^{\perp} e_i = 0.$$

Summing, the only resting term is

$$\sum_{i,j,k} \langle (e_i \times e_j) \times A_{\eta_k} e_i, A_{\psi} e_j \rangle \eta_k.$$

We now use the classical formula for vectors u, v and w in TY:

$$(v \times w) \times u = < u, v > w - < u, w > v,$$

hence

$$(e_i \times e_j) \times A_{\eta_k} e_i = \langle A_{\eta_k} e_i, e_i \rangle e_j - \langle A_{\eta_k} e_i, e_j \rangle e_i.$$

One more simplification comes from $\sum_i < A_{\eta_k} e_i, e_i >= 0$ for all k because since Y is minimal, so our sum is now equal to

$$-\sum_{i,j,k} \langle A_{\eta_k} e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_i, A_{\psi} e_j \rangle \eta_k = -\mathcal{A}\psi.$$

This is clear that this operator is of vanishing order, and is symmetric, because

$$< A\psi, \phi> = \sum_{i,j,k} < A_{\eta_k} e_i, e_j > < e_i, A_{\psi} e_j > \phi_k$$

= $\sum_{i,j,k} < A_{\phi} e_i, e_j > < e_i, A_{\psi} e_j > .$

At last, \mathcal{A} is positive, since

$$\langle \mathcal{A}\psi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{i,i,k} \langle A_{\psi}e_i, e_j \rangle^2.$$

Remark that it vanishes if and only if $A_{\psi} = 0$, which is the case in particular if Y is totally geodesic.

We end this section with the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5 The operator \mathcal{R} is symmetric.

Proof. Let ψ and ϕ in $\Gamma(Y, \nu)$. Then

$$-2 < \mathcal{R}\psi, \phi > = \sum_{i,j} < (e_i \times e_j) \times R(e_i, e_j)\psi, \phi > = -\sum_{i,j} < R(e_i, e_j)\psi, (e_i \times e_j) \times \phi >$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} < R(e_i, e_j)((e_i \times e_j) \times \phi), \psi >$$

because in general $\langle R(u,v)x,y\rangle = -\langle R(u,v)y,x\rangle$. Using lemma 2.3, this is equal to

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle (e_i \times e_j) \times R(e_i, e_j) \phi + (R(e_i, e_j)(e_i \times e_j)) \times \phi, \psi \rangle.$$

Now the first part gives $-2 < \mathcal{R}\phi, \psi > \text{and } \sum_{i,j} R(e_i, e_j)(e_i \times e_j)$ is equal to

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_3 + R(e_2, e_3)e_1 + R(e_3, e_1)e_2 = 0$$

because of Bianchi's first identity.

2.2 A vanishing theorem

Proof of theorem 1.1. Suppose that we are given a fixed closed associative submanifold Y. The virtual dimension of its moduli space of deformation is vanishing. Consider a section $\psi \in \Gamma(Y, \nu)$. By classical calculations, using normal coordinates, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta < \psi, \psi > = \sum_{i} < \nabla_{i}^{\perp} \psi, \nabla_{i}^{\perp} \psi > + < \psi, \nabla_{i}^{\perp} \nabla_{i}^{\perp} \psi >
= |\nabla^{\perp} \psi|^{2} - < D^{2} \psi, \psi > + < \mathcal{R}_{\nu} \psi, \psi > .$$

Since the laplacian is equal to $\operatorname{div}(\vec{\nabla})$, its integral over the closed Y vanishes. We get:

$$0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} |\nabla^{\perp} \psi|^2 - \langle D^2 \psi, \psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{R}_{\nu} \psi, \psi \rangle dy.$$
 (1)

If we have a section $\psi \in \operatorname{coker} D = \ker D$ then $D\psi = 0$. Under the hypothesis that \mathcal{R}^{ν} is non negative, the last equation implies $\psi = 0$. By the theorem of implicit functions, \mathcal{M}_Y is a smooth manifold of vanishing dimension, and Y is isolated.

3 Associative submanifolds with boundary

In this section we extend our result for rigidity in the case of associative submanifolds with boundary in a coassociative submanifold. In this case the index is not zero, so rigidity transforms into smoothness of the moduli space. Recall the notations of proposition 1.3: let n the inner normal vector to ∂Y in Y, and L a $n \times$ -complex line bundle over ∂Y . Define

$$\ker(D, L) = \{ \psi \in \Gamma(Y, \nu), \ D\psi = 0, \ \psi_{|\partial Y} \in L \}.$$

In [5] (see [3] too), the authors noted that : $\operatorname{coker}(D, \nu_X) = \ker(D, \mu_X)$.

Proof of theorem 1.4. By the theorem of implicit functions, we have to prove that $\operatorname{coker}(D, \nu_X) = \ker(D, \mu_X) = \{0\}$. So let $\psi \in \ker(D, \mu_X)$. The boundary changes the integration (1), because the divergence has to be considered:

$$\int_{Y} |\nabla^{\perp} \psi|^{2} + \langle \mathcal{R}_{\nu} \psi, \psi \rangle dy = \frac{1}{2} \int_{Y} \operatorname{div} \vec{\nabla} |\psi|^{2} dy.$$
 (2)

By Stokes, the last is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial Y} <\vec{\nabla} |\psi|^2, -n > d\sigma = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial Y} d|\psi|^2(n) d\sigma = -\int_{\partial Y} <\nabla_n^{\perp} \psi, \psi > d\sigma,$$

where $d\sigma$ is the volume induced by the restriction of g on the boundary. So using the fact that $D\psi = 0$, this is equal to

$$\int_{\partial V} \langle w \times \nabla_v^{\perp} \psi - v \times \nabla_w^{\perp} \psi, \psi \rangle d\sigma = -\int_{\partial V} \langle \mathcal{D}_{\mu_X} \psi, \psi \rangle d\sigma.$$

Summing up, we get the equation

$$\int_{Y} |\nabla^{\perp} \psi|^{2} dy + \int_{Y} \langle \mathcal{R}_{\nu} \psi, \psi \rangle dy + \int_{\partial Y} \langle \mathcal{D}_{\mu_{X}} \psi, \psi \rangle d\sigma = 0.$$
 (3)

Now we can prove the theorem 1.4. We see that if \mathcal{D}_{μ_X} and \mathcal{R} are negatively definite, then ψ vanishes. This means that our deformation problem has no cokernel, and by the theorem of implicit functions, the moduli space is locally smooth.

3.1 Some properties of the operator \mathcal{D}_L

We sum up the main results about \mathcal{D}_L in the following

Proposition 3.1 Let Y an associative submanifold with boundary in a coassociative submanifold X, L a subbundle of ν over ∂Y , and \mathcal{D}_L as defined in the introduction. Then \mathcal{D}_L is of order 0, symmetric, and its trace is 2H, where H is the mean curvature of ∂Y in Y with respect to the outside normal vector -n.

Proof. Let L is a subbundle of ν invariant under the action of $n \times$. It is straighforward to check that \mathcal{D} does not depend of the orthonormal frame $\{v, w = n \times v\}$. For every $\psi \in \Gamma(\partial Y, L)$ and f a function,

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f\psi) = \pi_L(v \times \nabla_w(f\psi) - w \times \nabla_v(f\psi))$$

= $f\mathcal{D}_L\psi + \pi_L(d_wfv \times \psi - d_vfw \times \psi) = f\mathcal{D}_L\psi$

because $w \times L$ as long as $v \times L$ are orthogonal to L. Now, decompose

$$\nabla^\top = \nabla^{\top \partial} + \nabla^{\perp \partial}$$

into its two projections along $T\partial Y$ and along the normal (in TY) n-direction. For the computations, choose v and $w = n \times v$ the two orthogonal characteristic directions on $T\partial Y$, i.e $\nabla_v^{\top\partial} n = -k_v v$ and $\nabla_w^{\top\partial} n = -k_w w$, where k_v and k_w are the two principal curvatures. We have $\nabla_v^{\perp\partial} = k_v n$ and $\nabla_v^{\perp} = k_v n$ and

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_{L}\psi, \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla_{w}^{\perp}(v \times \psi) - (\nabla_{w}^{\perp \partial}v) \times \psi - \nabla_{v}^{\perp}(w \times \psi) + (\nabla_{v}^{\perp \partial}w) \times \psi, \phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla_{w}^{\perp}(v \times \psi) - \nabla_{v}^{\perp}(w \times \psi), \phi \rangle$$

$$= -\langle v \times \psi, \nabla_{w}^{\perp}\phi \rangle + \langle w \times \psi, \nabla_{v}^{\perp}\phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi, v \times \nabla_{w}^{\perp}\phi - w \times \nabla_{v}^{\perp}\phi \rangle = \langle \psi, \mathcal{D}_{L}\phi \rangle.$$

To prove that the trace of \mathcal{D}_L is 2H, let $e \in L$ a local unit section of L. We have $n \times e \in L$ too, and

$$< \mathcal{D}_{L}(n \times e), n \times e > = < v \times ((\nabla_{w}^{\top \partial} n) \times e) + v \times (n \times \nabla_{w}^{\perp} e), n \times e >$$

$$- < w \times (\nabla_{v}^{\top \partial} n) \times e - w \times (n \times \nabla_{v}^{\perp} e), n \times e >$$

$$= < v \times (-k_{w}w \times e) - w \times (-k_{v}v \times e), n \times e >$$

$$+ < v \times (n \times \nabla_{w}^{\perp} e) - w \times (n \times \nabla_{v}^{\perp} e), n \times e >$$

$$= k_{w} + k_{v} - < n \times (w \times (n \times \nabla_{v}^{\perp} e) - v \times (n \times \nabla_{w}^{\perp} e)), e >$$

$$= 2H - < \mathcal{D}_{L}e, e > .$$

This shows that trace $\mathcal{D}_L = 2H$.

3.2 Flatland

In flat spaces, \mathcal{R} vanishes, and so by proposition 2.4, $\mathcal{R}_{\nu} = -\mathcal{A}$. Hence a priori the theorem 1.4 does'nt apply. Nevertheless, we have the

Corollary 3.2 Let Y a totally geodesic associative submanifold in a flat M, with boundary in a coassociative X. If \mathcal{D}_{μ_X} positive, then $\mathcal{M}_{Y,X}$ is locally smooth and of expected dimension.

Proof. By proposition 2.4, $\mathcal{R}_{\nu} = 0$. The formula (3) and the hypothesis show that $\nabla^{\perp}\psi = 0$ and $\psi_{|\partial Y} = 0$. Using $d|\psi|^2 = 2 < \nabla^{\perp}\psi, \psi >= 0$, we get that $\psi = 0$, and $\operatorname{coker}(Y, \nu_X) = \ker(Y, \mu_X) = 0$.

Proof of corollary 1.5. Let Y in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^7$, and $e \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^4$. From [6] the boundary of Y lies in a local coassociatif submanifold X_e of \mathbb{R}^7 , which contains $\partial Y \times \mathbb{R}e$ and whose tangent space over ∂Y is $T\partial Y \oplus \mathbb{R}e \oplus \mathbb{R}n \times e$. Geometrically, we can immediatly see that Y has a direction of associative deformation along the fixed direction e, hence the dimension of the kernel of our problem is bigger than 1. On the other hand, the index is $c_1(\nu_X)+1-g=1$. We want to show that \mathcal{D}_{μ_X} is positive. To see that, we choose orthogonal characteristic directions v and v0 and v1 as before. From lemma 1.2, we know that v1 is a non vanishing section of v2. We compute:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu_X}(v \times e) = v \times (\nabla_w^{\perp \partial} v \times e) - w \times (\nabla_v^{\perp \partial} v \times e)$$
$$= -k_v w \times (n \times e) = k_v v \times e.$$

This shows that k_v is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{D}_{μ_X} , and since we know that its trace is 2H, we get that the other eigenvalue is k_w . Those eigenvalues are positive if the boundary of Y is strictly convex. By the last corollary, we get the corollary.

Remark. In fact, we can give a better statement. Indeed, let $\psi \in \ker(D, \nu_X)$, and decompose $\psi_{|\partial Y}$ as $\psi = \psi_1 e + \psi_2 n \times e$. Of course, e is in the kernel of \mathcal{D}_{ν_X} , and hence by proposition 1.3, the second term is an eigenvector of \mathcal{D}_{ν_X} for the eigenvalue 2H. So formula (3) gives

$$\int_{Y} |\nabla^{\perp} \psi|^2 + \int_{\partial Y} 2H |\psi_2|^2 = 0.$$

If H > 0, this imply immediatly that $\psi_2 = 0$, and ψ_1 is constant, so ψ is proportional to e. This proves that $\dim \ker(D, \nu_X) = 1$ under the weaker condition that H > 0.

4 Extensions from the Calabi-Yau world

Closed extension. Let (N, J, Ω, ω) a 6-dimensional manifold with holonomy in SU(3). Then $M = N \times S^1$ (or $N \times \mathbb{R}$) is a manifold with holonomy in G_2 , with the calibration the 3-form given by $\phi = \omega \wedge dt + \operatorname{Re} \Omega$. Let L a special lagrangian 3-dimensional submanifold in N. Recall that since L is lagrangian, its normal bundle is simply JTL. Then $Y = L \times \{pt\}$ is an associative submanifold of $N \times S^1$, and its normal bundle ν is isomorphic to $JTL \times \mathbb{R}\partial_t$, where ∂_t is the dual vector field of dt.

Proof of theorem 1.1. We won't use the tricky formula with \mathcal{R}_{ν} . In this situation, we give another formulation for the Dirac-like operator. If $s = J\sigma \oplus \tau \partial_t$ is a section of ν , with $\sigma \in \Gamma(L, TL)$ and $\tau \in \Gamma(L, \mathbb{R}) = \Omega^0(L)$, we call $\sigma^{\vee} \in \Omega^1(L, \mathbb{R})$ the 1-form dual to σ , and we use the same symbol for its inverse. Moreover, we use the classical notation $*: \Omega^k(L) \to \Omega^{3-k}(L)$ for the Hodge star. Lastly, we define:

$$D^{\vee}: \Omega^{1}(L) \times \Omega^{0}(L) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}(L) \times \Omega^{0}(L)$$
$$(\alpha, \tau) \mapsto ((-J\pi_{NL}D(J\alpha^{\vee}))^{\vee}, \tau),$$

where π_{NL} is the orthogonal projection $\nu = NL \oplus \mathbb{R}$ on the first component. This is just a way to use forms on L instead of its vector fields.

Proposition 4.1 For every $(\alpha, \tau) \in \Omega^1(L) \times \Omega^0(L)$,

$$D^{\vee}(\alpha,\tau) = (*d\alpha - d\tau, *d * \alpha) \text{ and}$$

$$D^{\vee 2}(\alpha,\tau) = (\Delta\alpha, \Delta\tau),$$

where $\Delta = d^*d + dd^*$ (note that it is d^*d on τ).

Assuming for a while this propositioin, we see that for an infinitesimal associative deformation of $L \times \{pt\}$, then α and τ are harmonic over the compact L. In particular, τ is constant and α describes an infinitesimal special lagrangian deformation of L (see [9]). In other words, the only way to displace Y is to perturb L as special Lagrangian in N and translate it along the S^1 -direction. Lastly, dim coker $D = \dim \ker D = b^1(L) + 1$ and by the constant rank theorem, \mathcal{M}_Y is smooth and of dimension $b^1(L) + 1$.

Proof of proposition 4.1. We will use the simple formula $\nabla^{\perp} Js = J \nabla^{\top} s$ for all sections $s \in \Gamma(L, NL)$. For $(s, \tau) \in \Gamma(L, NL) \times \mathbb{R}$, and e_i local orthonormal frame on L,

$$D(s,\tau) = \sum_{i,j} \langle e_i \times \nabla_i^{\perp} s, J e_j \rangle J e_j + \sum_i \langle e_i \times \nabla_i^{\perp} s, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t + \sum_i \partial_i \tau \ e_i \times \partial_t$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \phi(e_i, \nabla_i^{\perp} s, J e_j) J e_j + \sum_i \phi(e_i, \nabla_i^{\perp} s, \partial_t) \partial_t + \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \tau \ \langle e_i \times \partial_t, J e_j \rangle J e_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Re} \Omega(e_i, \nabla_i^{\perp} s, J e_j) J e_j + \sum_i \omega(e_i, \nabla_i^{\perp} s) \partial_t + \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \tau \ \phi(e_i, \partial_t, J e_j) J e_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} - \operatorname{Re} \Omega(e_i, J \nabla_i^{\top} \sigma, J e_j) J e_j + \sum_i \omega(e_i, \nabla_i^{\perp} s) \partial_t + \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \tau \omega(J e_j, e_i) J e_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Re} \Omega(e_i, \nabla_i^{\top} \sigma, e_j) J e_j + \sum_i \omega(e_i, J \nabla_i^{\top} \sigma) \partial_t - \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \tau \langle e_i, J e_i \rangle J e_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Vol}(e_i, \nabla_i^{\top} \sigma, e_j) J e_j + \sum_i \langle e_i, \nabla_i^{\top} \sigma \rangle \partial_t - J \sum_i \partial_i \tau e_i$$

It is easy to find that this is equivalent to $D\psi = J(*d\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee} + (*d*\sigma^{\vee})\partial_t - J(d\tau)^{\vee}$, and so

$$D^{\vee}(\sigma^{\vee}, \tau) = (*d\sigma^{\vee} - d\tau, *d * \sigma^{\vee}).$$

Now, since $d^* = (-1)^{3p+1} * d*$ on the *p*-forms, one easy checks the formula for D^2 .

Proof of corollary 1.7. We prove now the corollary 1.7. We begin by computing the index of the boundary problem. This is very easy, because the direction in TS^1 is in μ_X . Hence μ_X is trivial, and by theorem 1.2, we have $\nu_X = T\partial L^*$. Hence the index is

$$-c_1(T\partial L) + 1 - g = -(2 - g) + 1 - g = g - 1,$$

where g is the genus of ∂Y . Moreover, the constant vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ over ∂Y lies clearly in the kernel of \mathcal{D}_{μ_X} . By proposition 3.1, the other eigenvalue is 2H. Now let

$$\psi = \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + s$$

belonging to $\operatorname{coker}(D, \nu_X) = \ker(D, \mu_X)$, where s a section of NL and $\tau \in \Gamma(L, \mathbb{R})$. Over ∂Y , s is proportional to $n \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu_X} \psi = 2Hs$. Let $\alpha = -Js^{\vee}$. By classical results for harmonic 1-forms, we have :

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\psi|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta(|\alpha|^2 + |\tau|^2) = |\nabla_L \alpha|^2 + |d\tau|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Ric }(\alpha, \alpha).$$

Integrating on $L \times \{pt\}$, we obtain the equivalence of formula (3):

$$-\int_{\partial Y} 2H|\alpha|^2 d\sigma = \int_Y |\nabla_L \alpha|^2 + |d\tau|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Ric} \ (\alpha, \alpha) dy.$$

This equation, the positivity of the Ricci curvature and the positivity of H show that α vanishes and τ is constant. So we see that $\dim \operatorname{coker}(Y,X)=1$, and by the constant rank theorem, $\mathcal{M}_{Y,X}$ is locally smooth and of dimension $\dim \ker(Y,X)=g$.

References

- [1] S. Akbulut, S. Salur, Deformations in G_2 manifolds, Adv. Math. 217 no. 5 (2008), 2130–2140.
- [2] S. Akbulut, S. Salur, Calibrated manifolds and gauge theory, J. Reine Angew. Math. **625** (2008), 187–214.
- [3] B. Booss, K. Wojciechowski, Elliptic Boundary Problems for Dirac Operators, Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, 1993.
- [4] R. Bryant, S. Salamon, On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy, Duke Math. J. 58 no. 3 (1989), 829–850.
- [5] D. Gayet, F. Witt, Deformations of associative submanifolds with boundary, arXiv:0802.1283v2.
- [6] R. HARVEY, H. LAWSON, Calibrated geometries, Acta Math. 148, (1982) 47–157.
- [7] H. HOFER, V. LIZAN, J-C SIKORAV, On genericity for holomorphic curves in four-dimensional almost-complex manifolds, J. Geom. Anal., 7, no. 1 (1997), 149–157.
- [8] A. LICHNEROWICZ, Spineurs harmoniques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser A-B 257, (1963), 7–9.
- [9] R. McLean, Deformations of calibrated submanifolds, Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 no. 4 (1998), 705–747.

D. GAYET: Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, UFR de mathématiques, Université Lyon I Bâtiment Braconnier, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France e-mail: gayet@math.univ-lyon1.fr