

Obstructed bundles of rank two on a quintic surface

Nicole Mestrano, Carlos Simpson

▶ To cite this version:

Nicole Mestrano, Carlos Simpson. Obstructed bundles of rank two on a quintic surface. 2009. hal-00414445v2

HAL Id: hal-00414445 https://hal.science/hal-00414445v2

Preprint submitted on 19 Oct 2009 (v2), last revised 26 Mar 2010 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

OBSTRUCTED BUNDLES OF RANK TWO ON A QUINTIC SURFACE

NICOLE MESTRANO AND CARLOS SIMPSON

To the memory of Masaki Maruyama

ABSTRACT. In this note we consider the moduli space of stable bundles of rank two on a very general quintic surface. We study the potentially obstructed points of the moduli space via the spectral covering of a twisted endomorphism. This analysis leads to generically non-reduced components of the moduli space, and components which are generically smooth of more than the expected dimension. We obtain a sharp bound asked for by O'Grady saying when the moduli space is good.

1. Introduction

The moduli space of stable vector bundles on an algebraic surface was introduced by Maruyama [26] and Gieseker [14]. These moduli spaces played an important role in Donaldson's theory as applied to 4-manifolds which are complex surfaces. Many authors have closely studied the structure of the moduli space for big values of c_2 (for a full set of references see [21]), but it remains an interesting and largely open question to understand the structure for intermediate values of c_2 .

One of the objectives of this paper is to investigate how to use the following interpretation of singularities in the moduli space. The space of obstructions to deforming E is $H^2(End^0(E))$ where the superscript End^0 denotes the trace-free endomorphisms. We say that E is potentially obstructed if this space is nonzero. This definition, for want of better terminology, is not taken to mean that the obstruction map is nonzero, it just means that the dimension of the Zariski tangent space to the moduli space is bigger than the expected dimension. If the moduli space is good, i.e. generically smooth of the expected dimension near E, then E must be a singular point. The moduli space might on the other hand be smooth but overdetermined, i.e. having dimension bigger than the expected dimension. And of course it could also be overdetermined and singular too.

As is well known (see for example [37, §1]), the dual of the space of obstructions is $H^0(End^0(E)\otimes K_X)$ by Serre duality. An element ϕ in this dual space is a tracefree morphism $\phi: E\to E\otimes K_X$. Such a ϕ corresponds, by Kuranishi theory, to an equation of the moduli space locally at E, and we call it a co-obstruction. A pair (E,ϕ) consisting of a bundle together with a nonzero co-obstruction, may be thought of as a K_X -valued Hitchin pair on X. These pairs are somewhat different from those considered in [39] for the surface X: the Higgs bundles corresponding to representations of π_1 are endomorphisms taking values in Ω^1_X . Over a curve these

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$ Primary 14D20; Secondary 14B05, 14J29. Key words and phrases. Vector bundle, Surface, Moduli space, Deformation, Obstruction. This research is partially supported by ANR grant BLAN08-3-352054 (G-FIB).

two notions coincide and indeed Hitchin used the notation K_X in his original paper [19]. Generalizing his notation as written leads to the notion of a Higgs field $E \to E \otimes K_X$ which is exactly a co-obstruction, often called a "twisted endomorphism".

A basic tool in the analysis of Hitchin pairs is the notion of spectral cover [8] [3]. A twisted endomorphism $\phi: E \to E \otimes K_X$ gives E the structure of coherent sheaf on the total space of the vector bundle K_X , and the support of the coherent sheaf is the spectral covering associated to ϕ . It consists of the set of pairs (x, u) where $x \in X$ and $u \in K_{X,x}$ such that u is an eigenvalue of ϕ_x .

In our rank two case the spectral cover is particularly simple to describe: it is the divisor $Z \subset K_X$ determined by the equation $z^2 = \beta$ where $\beta = \det(\phi) \in H^0(K_X^{\otimes 2})$. The *Hitchin map* is $(E, \phi) \mapsto \beta$.

We investigate in a very basic way the possible classification of such spectral covers, and the implications for the locus of singularities of the moduli space.

Many authors have shown that the moduli spaces of bundles of odd degree on abelian and K3 surfaces are smooth, going back to [11] and Mukai [30], see the discussions and references in [41], [42]. O'Grady has observed an important example of symplectic singularities in the moduli of rank two bundles on a K3 surface [38], along the locus of reducible bundles. In view of these properties and examples, for understanding bundles on surfaces of general type it seems like a good idea to look at surfaces of general type which are as close as possible to K3 surfaces. This motivates our consideration of the example of a very general quintic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 , where $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

We have noticed the preprint of Nijsse [34] who considered also the moduli space of rank two bundles on a quintic surface. He showed that it is irreducible for $c_2 \geq 16$ and good for $c_2 \geq 13$, by adapting and refining O'Grady's method. By explicit arguments we show irreducibility for $c_2 \leq 9$, which leaves open the question in the range $10 \leq c_2 \leq 15$. Other more recent related works are [6] on ACM bundles on a quintic surface, and [17] using the Serre construction on abelian threefolds to obtain an explicit description of some component.

For the present investigation of singularities, the main advantage of the quintic surface is that $\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2))$ is a quadric on X, and because of its low degree there are not too many possibilities for the spectral covering.

If $\beta=0$ then the Higgs field is nilpotent and we get a presentation of E as an extension. Furthermore, there are no nontrivial line bundles between \mathcal{O}_X and $K_X=\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ so stability of E yields a particularly easy description of the extension.

If $\beta = \alpha^2$ for $\alpha \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$ then the spectral cover is a union of two copies of X joined along the curve C defined by α , the intersection of X with a plane. In this case, E can be presented as an elementary transformation. Again using the smallness of the situation on the quintic surface, we can see that the space of co-obstructions contains a full copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^3$, in particular it must also contain a nilpotent element. This argument is the basis of the classification statement Theorem 5.1.

If β is not a square, it follows from the generality condition on X that it defines a reduced curve $D \subset X$ and Z is irreducible branched along D. In this case, E is the direct image of a line bundle on the desingularization \tilde{Z} of Z, so the dimension of the possible space of such potentially obstructed bundles is bounded independently of c_2 : a rough estimate using Lefschetz theory shows the dimension is ≤ 13 (Corollary 5.4), a bound which could undoubtedly be improved.

After this general discussion, the main case to be treated is that of nilpotent Higgs field. A more precise discussion and classification in this case occupies the second half of the paper. After considering some examples in §6, we consider in detail the singular locus in §7.

Theorem 7.3 says that if $c_2 \geq 10$ then the moduli space is good, by showing that the locus of bundles with nonzero obstruction space has dimension strictly smaller than the expected dimension.

Furthermore, for $c_2 \geq 11$ we can identify the biggest irreducible component of the singular locus, and show by a general position argument that the quadratic term of the Kuranishi map has the biggest possible rank at a general point of this component, in other words the singularity is an ordinary double point in the transverse direction.

As we shall see, for $c_2 \leq 9$ the moduli space is not good, so the bound of 10 is sharp. This answers a question of O'Grady [36] who predicted a sharp bound, but in fact our bound improves upon his predicted bound by 2.

An Euler characteristic argument given at the start of §6 shows that for $c_2 \le 9$ the general stable bundle has nonzero obstruction space, and indeed has a nonzero nilpotent Higgs field ϕ as co-obstruction. The second part of §7 is devoted to explicit consideration of the possible cases for the scheme P of zeros of ϕ . This allows us to show in each case $c_2 \le 9$ that the moduli space is irreducible.

In the case $c_2 = 9$ there is a single irreducible component of the moduli space which is completely obstructed but of the expected dimension, with the quadratic term of the Kuranishi map giving an equation of the form $x^2 = 0$ at a general point.

In the case $c_2 = 8$, Theorem 7.11 says that the moduli space is irreducible and generically smooth of dimension 13 whereas the expected dimension is 12.

In cases $c_2=6,7$ the moduli space has dimension significantly bigger than the expected dimension. It is smooth for $c_2=6$ and again completely obstructed, i.e. generically non-reduced, for $c_2=7$. In cases $c_2=4,5$ the moduli space is relatively simple, see Lemma 7.14: it is either an open subset of a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over the Grassmanian of lines in \mathbb{P}^3 (when $c_2=5$), or a 5-fold covering of the Grassmanian (when $c_2=4$). The moduli space is empty for $c_2\leq 3$.

The technique used in $\S 7$ is to notice that the zero-dimensional subscheme P is on an intersection of several quadrics; we list the possible cases for the intersections which occur, use the Cayley-Bacharach property, and count dimensions. The case d=8 is perhaps the most subtle.

Our discussion is undoubtedly subsumed in some sense by the general theory of the structure of moduli spaces of stable bundles on surfaces, of Donaldson [9], Friedman [13], Gieseker, Li [16] [24] and others. Many of these works have concentrated on the range $c_2 \gg 0$, although Gieseker [15] and O'Grady [36] construct components for intermediate values of c_2 having more than the expected dimension. We hope that the relatively explicit considerations here can provide some insight into the complicated middle range where c_2 is neither too big nor too small, continuing in the direction of [29].

Here are some further remarks and questions.

We obtain some non-reduced components of the moduli space of rank 2 bundles. This theory should be somewhat related to the theory of generically non-reduced

components of Hilbert schemes of curves as constructed following Mumford's original example by Kleppe [23], Ellia [12], Floystad [18], Martin-Deschamps and Perrin [25], Azziz [2], Mukai-Nasu [31] and others.

The investigation of quintic surfaces here looks somewhat similar to the examples discussed in [7]. It would be interesting to understand what happens for a quintic surface which is no longer very general, i.e. such that the Neron-Severi group has rank ≥ 2 . In a similar spirit, recall that smooth quintic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 are connected by deformation to the "Horikawa surfaces" which form a different irreducible component of moduli, the two intersecting along a locus of quintic surfaces with ordinary double points. It would be interesting to see how much of our discussion could be done for Horikawa surfaces.

Some obvious further questions for the future are to see if a similar analysis can be done for bundles on a sextic, for bundles of higher rank, and for bundles on less general quintics. In the context of our discussion here, for the first values $c_2 = 4, 5$ the moduli space has considerably more than the expected dimension, which seems to indicate the existence of co-obstructions with interesting spectral coverings. The construction of some irreducible components of the moduli space $M_X(2,-1,d)$ raises the question of studying the Poincaré bundles over open or locally closed subsets of these components.

We would like to thank Masa-Hiko Saito and Kota Yoshioka for interesting remarks and questions during the first author's talk at the Workshop on Moduli of Vector Bundles, University of Kobe, July 2009. They motivated us to look more closely at the singular locus of the moduli space of stable bundles. We would also like to thank André Hirschowitz and Charles Walter for helpful suggestions.

2. Obstructions for vector bundles on a surface

Let X be a smooth projective algebraic surface with ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and corresponding hyperplane class $H := c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$. We consider the moduli space of H-Gieseker-semistable vector bundles on X of rank r, and given c_1 and c_2 denoted $M = M(r, c_1, c_2)$. The open subset of stable points is denoted $M^s(r, c_1, c_2)$, and the projective moduli space of torsion-free sheaves is denoted by $\overline{M}(r, c_1, c_2)$. If necessary, the underlying variety X and/or the polarization will be indicated by subscripts as in $M_{X,H}(r, c_1, c_2)$.

We will concentrate on the case of rank r=2 and $c_1=\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ but many of the initial definitions are valid for any rank.

Consider a point $E \in M^s(r, c_1, c_2)$. The deformation theory of E is controlled by the space

$$Def(E) := H^1(End(E)),$$

while the obstruction theory is controlled by

$$\mathrm{Obs}(E) := H^2(End^0(E)).$$

Here $End^0(E) := \ker(\operatorname{tr}: End(E) \to \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the trace-free part of the endomorphism bundle of E. The map tr is split by the diagonal embedding so

$$End(E) = End^0(E) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The trace-free part is self-dual: $End^0(E)^* \cong End^0(E)$ via the pairing

$$End^0(E) \otimes End^0(E) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathcal{O}_X,$$

with $\langle A, B \rangle := \operatorname{tr}(AB)$.

Let $K_X := \Omega_X^2$ denote the dualizing sheaf. By Serre duality,

$$H^2(End^0(E)) \cong H^0(End^0(E) \otimes K_X)^*$$

using $End^0(E) \cong End^0(E)^*$. We obtain

$$Obs(E) = H^0(End^0(E) \otimes K_X)^*$$

so $Obs(E) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if there exists a nonzero element $\phi \in End^0(E) \otimes K_X$. Such an element may be interpreted as a *Higgs field* [19] or "twisted endomorphism"

$$\phi: E \to E \otimes K_X$$

with $\operatorname{tr}(\psi) = 0$. Notice that this is a Higgs field twisted by the canonical line bundle K_X rather than by Ω_X^1 as in [39]. Higgs bundles on higher dimensional varieties with twisting by a line bundle have been considered by a number of authors.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose E is a vector bundle on a smooth projective surface. Then the obstruction space Obs(E) is nonzero, if and only if there exists a nonzero Higgs field $\phi: E \to E \otimes K_X$ of trace zero.

A pair (E, ϕ) corresponds to a coherent sheaf denoted $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{E,\phi}$ on the total space of the line bundle K_X . We continue denoting this total space by K_X with its projection denoted by $p: K_X \to X$. The sheaf \mathcal{F} is of pure dimension 2, and indeed $p_*(\mathcal{F}) = E$ so \mathcal{F} should be flat over X if E is to be a vector bundle.

The spectral surface is the reduced subscheme $Z \subset K_X$ which is the support of \mathcal{F} . It can be viewed as the subset of eigenvalues of ψ . Note here that we define Z as a reduced subscheme, so \mathcal{F} may be a coherent sheaf not on Z but only on some infinitesimal neighborhood thereof.

We would like to classify pairs (E, ϕ) in the case when E has rank two.

In our case, the *Hitchin invariant* of the spectral surface is just the determinant $\beta := \det(\phi) \in H^0(X, K_x^{\otimes 2})$. The subscheme Z is defined by the equation $z^2 = \beta$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $\beta \neq 0$. Then \mathcal{F} is a rank one torsion-free sheaf on Z, flat over X.

2.1. The obstruction corresponding to ϕ . Recall that the local deformation theory of E is governed by the formal $Kuranishi\ map$

$$\kappa: \widehat{H^1(End(E))} \to H^2(End^0(E)).$$

Recall that the Kuranishi map is a "formal function" i.e. a power series at the origin represented by a vector of $h^2(End^0(E))$ elements of the complete local ring of the vector space $H^1(End(E))$ at the origin. This is the reason for the hat in the notation.

The linear term of κ vanishes, and the quadratic term is a function

$$\kappa_2: Sym^2H^1(End(E)) \to H^2(End^0(E)).$$

For each "co-obstruction" or linear form $\phi \in H^2(End^0(E))^*$ we get a function denoted

$$obs(\phi) = \phi \cdot \kappa_2 : Sym^2H^1(End(E)) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

By the general theory, we have the formula

(2.1)
$$\phi \cdot \kappa_2(\eta, \eta') = \operatorname{obs}(\phi; \eta, \eta') = \int_X Tr(\phi \cdot [\eta, \eta']).$$

In this formula $\eta, \eta' \in H^1(End(E))$ and their commutator is

$$[\eta, \eta'] \in H^2(End^0(E)),$$

which is then multiplied by ϕ to get an element of $H^2(End(E) \otimes K_X)$. The trace on the factor End(E) sends us to $H^2(K_X)$ and then we apply the duality isomorphism $\int_X : H^2(K_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$.

The easiest way of interpreting (2.1) is to think of the cohomology classes as being given by their Dolbeault representatives, and with this formulation the obstruction can be calculated as

$$obs(\phi; \eta, \eta') = \int_X Tr(\phi \cdot \eta \cdot \eta' + \phi \cdot \eta' \cdot \eta)$$

where \cdot indicates matrix multiplication in End(E) coupled with wedge product of Dolbealt (0,q)-forms when necessary. The sign in the commutator is from the sign relations for differential forms. Using the matrix relation Tr(AB-BA)=0 and again the sign conventions, the obstruction element is equal to

$$obs(\phi;\eta,\eta') = \int_X Tr(\phi \cdot \eta \cdot \eta' - \eta \cdot \phi \cdot \eta') = \int_X Tr(Ad(\phi)(\eta) \cdot \eta').$$

Here $Ad(\phi)(\eta) = \phi \cdot \eta - \eta \cdot \phi$. We have

$$Ad(\phi)(\eta) \in H^1(End^0(E) \otimes K_X) \cong H^1(End(E))^*$$

and the obstruction $obs(\phi; \eta, \eta')$ is just the duality pairing between $Ad(\phi)(\eta)$ and η' .

Proposition 2.3. The rank of the quadratic form on $H^1(End^0(E))$ given by the obstruction $obs(\phi)$, is equal to the rank of the linear map

$$Ad(\phi): H^1(End(E)) \to H^1(End(E) \otimes K_X).$$

Proof. The duality pairing is a perfect pairing so the rank of the quadratic form is equal to the rank of the linear map. \Box

3. The case of nilpotent co-obstruction

In this section we study the case when $\phi: E \to E \otimes K_X$ is a nonzero nilpotent matrix at the general point of X. In this case, $\ker(\phi)$ is a saturated subsheaf of rank 1 in E, thus it is a line bundle which we denote L. The quotient E/L is a torsion-free sheaf of rank 1 whose double dual is a line bundle which we denote by L'. Put $\mathcal{J}_P := (L')^* \otimes (E/L)$. It is the ideal in \mathcal{O}_X of a zero-dimensional subscheme which we denote by P. The bundle E fits into an exact sequence

$$(3.1) 0 \to L \to E \to \mathcal{J}_P \otimes L' \to 0.$$

This kind of extension goes back to Serre's construction, and has been used systematically ever since [11] [36] [34]

In order to insure the existence of a locally free extension of this form, one introduces the following condition: if U is a line bundle then say that P satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property for sections of U if for any subscheme $P' \subset P$ with $\ell(P') = \ell(P) - 1$, the conditions imposed by P and P' on sections of U are the same, in other words

$$\ker \left(H^0(U) \to H^0(P, U|_P)\right) = \ker \left(H^0(U) \to H^0(P', U|_{P'})\right).$$

Lemma 3.1. Given line bundles L and L' and a zero-dimensional subscheme P of length d, there exists a rank 2 vector bundle fitting into an extension (3.1), if and only if P is a local complete intersection satisfying the Cayley-Bacharach property for sections of $L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X$. Let c be the number of conditions imposed by P on $H^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)$, then

$$\dim Ext^{1}(\mathcal{J}_{P}\otimes L',L)=d-c.$$

Proof. Here is a brief account of this well-known fact (which was used implicitly in [36, (3.29)] for example, see also [34]). A locally free extension exists locally if and only if P is a local complete intersection. An extension corresponds to a linear form f on $H^0((L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)|_P)$ which vanishes on the image of $H^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)$. It is quite classical that the condition for this to yield a locally free extension, is that $f(I) \neq 0$ for any subsheaf $I \subset \mathcal{O}_P \otimes L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X$ which can be supposed of rank one. Such a subsheaf corresponds to the ideal of a subscheme $P' \subset P$ of colength 1. Saying that the general form f which vanishes on the image of $H^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)$, is nonzero on I, is equivalent to saying that I is not in the image of $H^0(I)$, which in turn says that I' imposes the same number of conditions as I'.

The dimension of the Ext group is given by the standard exact sequence. \Box

Given a vector bundle E sitting in a sequence of the form (3.1), we have $\det(E) \cong L \otimes L'$,

$$E^* \otimes L' \cong E \otimes L^*,$$

 $End^0(E) \otimes L \otimes L' \cong Sym^2(E).$

and there is an exact sequence

$$(3.2) 0 \to E \otimes (L')^* \to End^0(E) \to \mathcal{J}_P^2 \otimes L' \otimes L^* \to 0.$$

Lemma 3.2. Taking the dual of (3.2) gives an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to L \otimes (L')^* \to End^0(E) \to \mathcal{G} \to 0$$

where G fits into an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{G} \to E^* \otimes L' \to \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2P}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes L \otimes (L')^* \to 0,$$

and 2P denotes the subscheme defined by \mathcal{J}_{P}^{2} .

Proof. Taking the dual gives a long exact sequence of the form

$$\ldots \to End^0(E) \to E^* \otimes L' \to \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}^1(\mathcal{J}_P^2 \otimes L' \otimes L^*, \mathcal{O}) \to 0.$$

But the long exact sequence for the standard sequence defining \mathcal{O}_{2P} gives

$$\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{1}(\mathcal{J}_{P}^{2},\mathcal{O}_{X})\cong\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{2}(\mathcal{O}_{2P},\mathcal{O}_{X}).$$

The nilpotent Higgs field ϕ factors as

$$E \to \mathcal{J}_P \otimes L' \to L \otimes K_X \to E \otimes K_X$$

where the middle map comes from a map of line bundles denoted

$$\varphi: L' \to L \otimes K_X$$
.

with transpose $\varphi^t: L^* \to (L')^* \otimes K_X$.

Proposition 3.3. In the situation of an exact sequence (3.1) with G defined as in Lemma 3.2, the map

$$Ad(\phi): H^1(End^0(E)) \to H^1(End^0(E) \otimes K_X)$$

factors as the map fitting into the following diagram:

$$H^{0}((L' \otimes L^{*} \otimes K_{X})|_{2P})^{*}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{1}(End^{0}(E)) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H^{2}(L \otimes (L')^{*}) \qquad \cdots$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{1}(E \otimes L^{*}) \qquad \stackrel{\varphi^{t}}{\longrightarrow} \qquad H^{1}(E \otimes (L')^{*} \otimes K_{X})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$0 \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$0 \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{1}(End^{0}(E) \otimes K_{X})$$

where the main horizontal and vertical sequences are exact.

Proof. The exact sequences are just the long exact sequences associated to the exact sequences of Lemma 3.2. The element at the top is explained by

$$H^{0}(\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}^{2}(\mathcal{O}_{2P}, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \otimes L \otimes (L')^{*}) = \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathcal{O}_{2P} \otimes L^{*} \otimes L' \otimes K_{X}, K_{X})$$
$$\cong H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{2P} \otimes L^{*} \otimes L' \otimes K_{X})^{*}.$$

The factorization is obtained by factoring the map $Ad(\phi)$ on the level of sheaves, then applying H^1 .

Remark 3.4. The composed map

$$H^0(2P, \mathcal{O}_{2P} \otimes L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)^* \to H^2(L \otimes (L')^*)$$

is dual to a map

$$H^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X) \to H^0(2P, \mathcal{O}_{2P} \otimes L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X),$$

which is just the evaluation map for sections over the subscheme 2P up to multiplying by a unit.

It will later be useful to have a name for the moduli variety of bundles in case (i), which has appeared in [36] [34] for example. Let $\Sigma_d(X;L)$ denote the moduli variety of extensions (3.1), in other words the moduli variety of pairs (E,η) where E is a rank two bundle (with fixed determinant which we leave out of the notation), and $\eta:L\to E$ is a morphism whose cokernel is torsion-free of colength d in its double dual. The map which to (E,η) associates the subscheme defined by $(\operatorname{coker}(\eta)^{**}/\operatorname{coker}(\eta))$ gives a map $\Sigma_d(X;L)\to\operatorname{Hilb}_d^{\mathrm{lci}}(X)$ to the subset of the Hilbert scheme parametrizing zero-dimensional local complete intersections.

Recall that the dualizing sheaf of P is

(3.3)
$$\omega_P := \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}^2(\mathcal{O}_P, K_X) = \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}^1(\mathcal{J}_P, K_X).$$

If P is a local complete intersection then noncanonically $\omega_P \cong \mathcal{O}_P$.

We have the following explicit description of $\Sigma_d(X; L)$: it is the variety of pairs (P, ξ) where $P \in \operatorname{Hilb}_d^{\operatorname{lci}}(X)$ and ξ is a nonzero map up to scalars, composing to zero in the sequence

$$(3.4) \qquad \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\xi} H^0(\omega_P \otimes L' \otimes L^*) \to H^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)^*,$$

such that $\xi_z \neq 0$ for every closed point z of P. This is the condition which occurred in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.5. Every irreducible component of $\Sigma_d(X; L)$ has dimension $\geq 3d - h^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X) - 1$.

Proof. The open subset $\operatorname{Hilb}_d^{\operatorname{lci}}(X)\operatorname{Hilb}_d(X)$ consisting of local complete intersection subschemes, is open. This can be seen by using semicontinuity of the dimension of $\operatorname{Tor}^1(\mathcal{O}_P, \mathcal{O}_{\{z\}})$ for closed points $z \in |P|$; an infinitesimal version is given in [40]. Over this open subset, we have a universal subscheme $\mathcal{P} \subset X \times \operatorname{Hilb}_d^{\operatorname{lci}}(X)$ and we get a bundle denoted $p_{2,*}\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(-2)$. The total space of this bundle, minus the subspaces consisting of sections φ vanishing at some closed points, is a smooth variety of dimension 3d. The subscheme of (P,φ) such that $\epsilon^*(\varphi) = 0$, is defined by $h^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)$ equations so all irreducible components have dimension $\geq 3d - h^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X)$. When we divide out by scalar multiplication on φ we get a scheme all of whose components have dimension $\geq 3d - h^0(L' \otimes L^* \otimes K_X) - 1$. \square

4. The case of reducible spectral surface

In this section we study the special case when the spectral surface decomposes into two irreducible components meeting along a smooth curve.

Hypothesis 4.1. Suppose that E is a slope-stable bundle with co-obstruction ϕ such that $\det(\phi) = \alpha^2$ for a nonzero section $\alpha \in H^0(X, K_X)$. Assume that the curve $C \subset X$ defined by $\alpha = 0$ is reduced.

In this case, $Z=Z^+\cup Z^-$ where $Z^+\subset K_X$ is the graph of α and Z^- is the graph of $-\alpha$. Note that $Z^\pm\cong X$ and $Z^+\cap Z^-=C$ the latter being contained in the zero-section of K_X .

Elementary transformations are a classical method for constructing bundles introduced by Maruyama [27] [28], and recently for example Nakashima constructs stable vector bundles on CY threefolds using this technique [32]. The following proposition says that E is obtained by an elementary transformation along C, and determines the structure of ϕ .

Proposition 4.2. Assume Hypothesis 4.1. With the previous notations, the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_{Z^+}$ determines a line bundle denoted L on $Z^+ \cong X$, and the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_{Z^-}$ determines a line bundle L' on $Z^- \cong X$. We have an exact sequence

$$(4.1) 0 \to E \to L \oplus L' \to i_*R \to 0$$

where R is a rank one torsion-free sheaf over C and $i:C\hookrightarrow X$ denotes the inclusion. The K_X -valued endomorphism ϕ is the operator on E induced by the K_X -valued endomorphism of $L\oplus L'$ whose matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$.

Conversely, any pair of line bundles L, L' and such a surjection $L \oplus L' \rightarrow i_*R$ determines a pair (E, ϕ) .

Proof. The sheaf \mathcal{F} on the total space of K_X corresponding to (E,ϕ) is torsion-free and saturated in the sense that it admits no extension equal outside codimension 2. Take the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_{Z^+}$, divide by torsion, and look at the double dual. This is a line bundle L and we have a map $E \to L$. Similarly for $\mathcal{F}|_{Z^-}$ we get a map to a line bundle $E \to L'$. This gives an injection of sheaves $E \hookrightarrow L \oplus L'$, an isomorphism away from C. The quotient \mathcal{R} has to be nonzero, indeed E is assumed to be slope-stable so it is indecomposable. But, the quotient must also be pure of dimension 1, since E is reflexive. Along the smooth locus of C, the quotient has to be of

rank one—if it were of rank 2 then E would be of the form $(L \oplus L')(-C)$, again decomposable. We get the structure result of the proposition, away from the finite singular set of C. In particular, \mathcal{R} is supported scheme-theoretically on C at the smooth locus, but this then has to hold at the singular points too because \mathcal{R} has pure dimension 1. We get $\mathcal{R} = i_*(R)$ for a torsion-free sheaf R of rank 1 on C. The statement identifying ϕ holds outside the singular set of C, and then extends. \square

In terms of the description of the previous proposition, we have

$$c_1(E) = L + L' - C,$$

 $c_2(E) = c_1(L)c_1(L') + \deg_C(R).$

On any surface X which admits a reduced canonical divisor, the above proposition gives a different construction of potentially obstructed stable bundles E of arbitrarily high c_2 , by taking R to have very high degree.

We next consider the obstruction determined by ϕ . This discussion is just a start and is not used elsewhere; it is included here for eventual future reference. In what follows, we assume that the ramification curve C is smooth.

The first step is to calculate explicitly the deformation space $H^1(End(E))$. From (4.1) we get maps $L(-C) \to E$ and the same for L', which gives an exact sequence

$$(4.2) 0 \to (L \oplus L')(-C) \to E \to S \to 0.$$

Here S is again a line bundle supported on C, and we have two exact sequences,

$$(4.3) 0 \to S \to (L \oplus L')|_C \to R \to 0$$

and

$$(4.4) 0 \to R(-C) \to E|_C \to S \to 0.$$

The dual of (4.2) is

$$(4.5) 0 \to E^* \to (L \oplus L')^*(C) \to S^*(C) \to 0.$$

Using the left arrows in (4.1) and (4.2) we get an injection of sheaves; and define Q to be the quotient, to give altogether an exact sequence

$$(4.6) 0 \to End(E) \xrightarrow{u} End(L \oplus L')(C) \to Q \to 0.$$

This gives a long exact sequence of cohomology

$$\dots H^0(End(L \oplus L')(C)) \to H^0(Q) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(End(E)) \to H^1(End(L \oplus L')(C)) \to \dots$$

The main piece of $H^1(End(E))$ will come from the connecting map δ , so we would like to understand the structure of Q. The right maps of (4.1) and (4.5) give a map fitting into an exact sequence defining a sheaf G,

$$0 \to G(-C) \to End(L \oplus L') \to (L \oplus L')^* \otimes R \oplus S^* \otimes (L \oplus L') \to S^* \otimes R \to 0.$$

This leads to an exact sequence

$$(4.8) 0 \to Q|_C \to (L \oplus L')^* \otimes R(C) \oplus S^* \otimes (L \oplus L')(C) \to S^* \otimes R(C) \to 0.$$

However, Q is not supported on C, but only on the second infinitesimal neighborhood. This can be seen by looking at the contribution of $Q|_C$ to $c_1(End(E))$, one can see that we are missing a piece of rank one supported on C. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to T \to Q \to Q|_C \to 0$$

where T is the image of the map $Q(-C) \stackrel{m}{\to} Q$. Note that T is a line bundle supported on C.

On the infinitesimal neighborhood 2C the sheaf $W = \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_X)$ fits as the kernel in the exact sequence

$$(4.9) 0 \to W \to End(L \oplus L')(C)|_{2C} \to Q \to 0.$$

The above discussion passes to trace-free parts, denoted by a supercript $()^0$. For example W and Q split as

$$W \cong W^0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$$
, $Q \cong Q^0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(C)$

and these are compatible with the splitting of the exact sequence (4.9) into

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_{2C}(C) \to \mathcal{O}_C(C) \to 0$$

direct sum with

$$(4.10) 0 \to W^0 \to End^0(L \oplus L')(C)|_{2C} \to Q^0 \to 0.$$

Furthermore, we have the exact sequences

$$(4.11) 0 \to End(L \oplus L')(-C) \to End(E) \to W \to 0$$

and

$$(4.12) 0 \rightarrow End^0(L \oplus L')(-C) \rightarrow End^0(E) \rightarrow W^0 \rightarrow 0$$

Lemma 4.3. We have a perfect pairing

$$H^0(Q^0) \otimes H^0(Q^0 \otimes K_X) \to \mathbb{C}$$

fitting with isomorphisms

$$H^0(Q^0 \otimes K_X) \cong H^1(W^0) \cong H^0(Q^0)^*,$$

$$H^0(Q^0) \cong H^1(W^0 \otimes K_X) \cong H^0(Q^0 \otimes K_X)^*$$
.

The connecting map for the sequence (4.10) is the map

$$(4.13) H^0(Q^0) \to H^1(W^0)$$

obtained by the composition of the connecting map for the trace-free version of (4.6) with the right map of (4.12),

$$H^0(Q^0) \to H^1(End^0(E)) \to H^1(W^0).$$

If, furthermore we assume that $H^1(End^0(L \oplus L')(C)) = 0$ and $H^1(End^0(L \oplus L')(-C)) = 0$ then $H^1(End^0(E))$ is the image of (4.13).

Apply Proposition 2.3 to the present situation.

Corollary 4.4. Suppose $H^1(End^0(L \oplus L')(C)) = 0$ and $H^1(End^0(L \oplus L')(-C)) = 0$. Then the rank of the quadratic form corresponding to $obs(\phi)$ is the same as the rank of the composed linear map

$$H^0(Q^0) \stackrel{Ad(\phi)}{\longrightarrow} H^0(Q^0 \otimes K_X) \to H^1(W^0 \otimes K_X).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, $H^1(End^0(E))$ is the image of the map $H^0(Q^0) \to H^1(W^0)$. Similarly, $H^1(End^0(E) \otimes K_X)$ is the image of the map $H^0(Q^0 \otimes K_X) \to H^1(W^0 \otimes K_X)$. We have a commutative diagram

where the vertical maps are given by $Ad(\phi)$. These compatibilities can be seen from the fact that $Ad(\phi)$ acts on the exact sequences of the form (4.1), (4.11) ... and the conclusion comes from a diagram chase.

Further work would be needed to obtain a full calculation of $Ad(\phi)$.

5. On a very general quintic surface

From now on we specialize to the case when $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a very general quintic surface. By "very general" we mean smooth and at least that

$$Pic(X) \cong Pic(\mathbb{P}^3) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

This condition holds on the complement of the *Noether-Lefschetz locus* which is a countable union of subvarieties. Further genericity conditions will be added as necessary, particularly in $\S 7$.

Notice that

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$$
, $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}^4$, $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$,

and if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(n)) = 0.$$

This is seen by looking at the piece of long exact sequence

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n)) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(n)) \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n-5))$$

and using $H^i(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(m)) = 0$ for i = 1, 2 and all m. Similarly, for m = 0, 1, 2 we have

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(m)).$$

Since $Pic(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ all choices of hyperplane class give the same notion of stability and we don't need to include this choice in the notation. Also, for bundles of degree $c_1(E) = -1$ the four notions of Gieseker, slope / stability, semistability coincide.

The expected dimension of the moduli space $M = M_X(2, -1, d)$ of stable bundles of rank $\operatorname{rk}(E) = 2$, with $c_1(E) = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ and $c_2(X) = d$, is

(5.1)
$$\dim_E^{\exp}(M) = 4d - 20.$$

5.1. First classification of potentially obstructed bundles. Recall that E is said to be *potentially obstructed* if $h^2(End^0(E)) > 0$. This is equivalent to saying that E is either a singular point of the moduli space, or lies in an irreducible component whose dimension is strictly greater than the expected dimension (or both).

Theorem 5.1. Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a general quintic surface. Suppose E is a stable bundle with $det(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$, and E is potentially obstructed. Then either: (i)—there exists an exact sequence

$$(5.2) 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \to E \to \mathcal{J}_P \to 0$$

where $\mathcal{J}_P \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal of a zero-dimensional subscheme $P \subset X$; or else (ii)—there is a section $\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2))$ which is not a square, defining a double cover $r: Z \to X$ with $Z \subset K_X$ and r ramified along $Zero(\beta)$, together with a line bundle L over a desingularization $\epsilon: \tilde{Z} \to Z$ such that $E \cong r_*\epsilon_*(L)^{**}$.

Proof. There is a nonzero twisted endomorphism $\phi : E \to E(1)$. Let $\beta := \det(\phi) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2))$. If $\beta = 0$ then ϕ is nilpotent at the general point, so we are in case (i) by the discussion of §3.

Assume from now on $\beta \neq 0$.

Let $D := Zero(\beta)$, which is a divisor in the linearly equivalence class corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_X(2)$. Since $Pic(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, either D is irreducible and reduced, or else D = 2C with C in the linear system of $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

If D is irreducible and reduced, we are in case (ii).

The remaining case is when D=2C. Then, using the fact that the sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ all come from \mathbb{P}^3 and that the restriction on quadrics is injective, we get $\beta=\alpha^2$ for α a linear form. Notice that C itself has to be irreducible and reduced since it is indecomposable in the positive cone of the Neron-Severi group, so the theory of §4 applies. Notice that Hypothesis 4.1 holds because C is reduced. Proposition 4.2 expresses E as an elementary transformation

$$0 \to E \to L \oplus L' \to i_*(R) \to 0$$

with L, L' line bundles and R a torsion free rank one sheaf on C. Stability shows that $\deg(L) > -1/2$, and for the inclusion L(-C) which comes from the fact that $i_*(R)$ is supported on C, it says $\deg(L) - 1 < -1/2$. This gives $\deg(L) = 0$ so $L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$; similarly $L' \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Our elementary transformation can thus be written

$$0 \to E \to \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \to i_*(R) \to 0.$$

But since $i_*(R)$ is supported on C we get

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \to E$$
.

In particular, for any 2×2 matrix m with complex entries we get a map

$$E \to \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{m} (\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-1))(1) \to E(1),$$

so on trace-free parts this gives a whole

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = End^0(\mathbb{C}^2) \subset H^0(End^0(E) \otimes K_X).$$

In other words, the co-obstruction ϕ is not unique but lies in a space of dimension at least 3. The image of a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a new co-obstruction ψ which is nilpotent. Looking at (E, ψ) we get back to case (i).

5.2. Case (ii). Let A be the maximum irregularity of a surface \tilde{Z} arising as the desingularization of a spectral cover Z associated to a non-square $\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2))$. Notice that the family of possible double covers $r:Z\to X$ arising in Case (ii) is bounded, i.e. parametrized by a single finite-type constructible set. Hence the same can be said for the possible desingularizations \tilde{Z} , and we get a global bound $q(\tilde{Z}) \leq A$. Recall that dim $Pic^0(\tilde{Z}) = q(\tilde{Z})$.

Proposition 5.2. The dimensions of components of the spaces of potentially obstructed bundles falling into case (i) of Theorem 5.1, are bounded by a constant A + 8 which is independent of $d = c_2(E)$.

Proof. The dimension of the space of β is bounded by 9, and for each choice of β the dimension of the space of rank one bundles on Z is bounded by A. However, at a general value of β the spectral cover Z is smooth itself and has irregularity 0, so the estimate of A+9 can be reduced to A+8. This kind of argument in the style of Ngo's dimension estimates for the Hitchin fibers in the case of curves [33], can probably be pushed further.

Using Lefschetz theory we can give a bound $A \leq 5$. Let $D \subset Z$ denote the ramification locus of r i.e. $D = Zero(\beta)$, and let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a general plane. For this discussion, denote by an asterisk ()* the complement of the intersection of the variety in question with D or its preimage.

The Lefschetz theorem for the quasiprojective variety $X^* = X - D$ gives a surjection

$$\pi_1(X^* \cap Q) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X^*).$$

Let Z_Q denote the preimage in Z of $X \cap Q$, which is the same as the preimage in \tilde{Z} ; thus $Z_Q^* = Z_Q^* - r^{-1}(D \cap Q)$. Note that $\tilde{Z}^* = Z^*$ is an etale covering of X^* with group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ so there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(Z_Q^*) & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1(X^* \cap Q) & \to & \mathbb{Z}/2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ \pi_1(\tilde{Z}^*) & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1(X^*) & \to & \mathbb{Z}/2 \end{array}$$

where the middle vertical arrow is surjective. It follows that the left vertical arrow is surjective too (this result is a somewhat more advanced statement but which is still standard in Lefschetz theory). On the other hand the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(Z_Q^*) & \to & \pi_1(Z_Q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(\tilde{Z}^*) & \to & \pi_1(\tilde{Z}) \end{array}$$

with surjective map on the bottom, shows that the map $\pi_1(Z_Q) \to \pi_1(\tilde{Z})$ is surjective. It follows that the restriction map on Picard groups is injective:

$$(5.3) Pic^0(\tilde{Z}) \hookrightarrow Pic^0(Z_O).$$

However, the line bundles on \tilde{Z} are antipreserved by the involution (which acts, for example because we can choose an equivariant resolution \tilde{Z} [4]), because $Pic^0(X) = 0$. Thus, the image of the map (5.3) lies in the Prym variety $Prym(Z_Q/X)$. This proves the following bound.

Lemma 5.3. The dimension of $Pic^0(\tilde{Z})$ is less than or equal to the dimension of $Prym(Z_Q/X)$ which is 5.

Proof. The curve $X \cap Q$ is a plane quintic so it has genus g = 6, and its Pryms have dimension g - 1.

Corollary 5.4. The dimension of the locus of potentially obstructed bundles of type (ii) is ≤ 13 .

If A=0 this would give a better bound on the dimension of the locus of potentially obstructed bundles of type (ii). On the other hand, if A>0 this would indicate the presence of some interesting examples of irregular surfaces. So, in any case it would be interesting to determine A. However, the answer would require first understanding the possible singularities of $X\cap H$ for all possible quadrics $H\subset \mathbb{P}^3$, when X is the fixed general quintic surface. This goes beyond the scope of the present paper.

5.3. Case (i)—generalities. Suppose $P \subset X$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme. Recall that P satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property for quadrics in X if it is nonempty, and for any subscheme $P' \subset P$ with $\ell(P/P') = 1$, the map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{J}_{P'}(2)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{J}_P(2))$$

is an isomorphism. In other words P and P' impose the same conditions on quadrics on X. Given that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)),$$

it is equivalent to say that P satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property for quadrics in \mathbb{P}^3 .

Corollary 5.6. Suppose P is a zero-dimensional subscheme. Then there exists an exact sequence (5.2)

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \to E \to \mathcal{J}_P \to 0$$

with E locally free, if and only if P is a l.c.i. Cayley-Bacharach for quadrics in X. In particular, in case (i) of Theorem 5.1, we can add the condition that P is Cayley-Bacharach for quadrics.

For any locally free extension fitting into (5.2) with P nonempty, the bundle E is stable and potentially obstructed with $c_1(E) = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ and $c_2(E) = d$.

Proof. The first part is just Lemma 3.1. For the last paragraph, the co-obstruction ϕ is given by the obvious factorization. The fact that E is always stable comes from $H^0(E) = H^0(\mathcal{J}_P) = 0$.

Apply the discussion at and above Lemma 3.2, with $L = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ and $L' = \mathcal{O}_X$:

$$(5.4) E^* \cong E(1),$$

giving a symmetry of cohomology dimensions

$$h^{0}(E(1)) = h^{0}(E^{*}) = h^{2}(E(1)) = h^{2}(E^{*});$$

 $End^{0}(E) = Sym^{2}(E)(1);$ and there are exact sequences

$$(5.5) 0 \to E \to End^0(E) \to \mathcal{J}_P^2(1) \to 0$$

and

$$(5.6) 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1) \to End^0(E) \to \mathcal{G} \to 0.$$

Here $\mathcal G$ is as defined in Lemma 3.2 and we have the further exact sequence

$$(5.7) 0 \to \mathcal{G} \to E(1) \to \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2P}(1), \mathcal{O}_X) \to 0.$$

Corollary 5.7. Then the map $Ad(\phi)$ fits into the diagram

$$H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{2P}(2))^{*}$$

$$\cdots H^{1}(End^{0}(E)) \xrightarrow{\downarrow} H^{1}(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} H^{0}(\mathcal{O}(2))^{*} \cdots$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad$$

where the horizontal and vertical sequences are exact.

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.3.

Lemma 5.8. Let 2P denote the fat subscheme defined by \mathcal{J}_P^2 . Suppose that there are no quadrics of \mathbb{P}^3 passing through 2P. Then the obstruction is unique up to scalar, i.e. $h^2(End^0(E)) = 1$. Furthermore, the map

$$Ad(\phi): H^1(End^0(E)) \to H^1(E(1))$$

is surjective and the map

$$H^1(E(1)) \to H^1(End^0(E) \otimes K_X)$$

is injective.

Proof. Calculate with the exact sequence from (5.5)

$$0 \to H^0(E(1)) \to H^0(End^0(E)(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{J}_P^2(2)).$$

The assumption that there are no quadrics passing through 2P says that $H^0(\mathcal{J}_P^2(2))=0$. We have seen above that $H^0(E(1))=\mathbb{C}$ so

$$H^2(End^0(E)) \cong H^0(End^0(E)(1))^* \cong \mathbb{C},$$

i.e. the obstruction is unique.

Consider the diagonal map in the diagram of Corollary 5.7,

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{2P}(2))^* \to H^0(\mathcal{O}(2))^*.$$

By Remark 3.4 this is dual to the restriction of quadrics to 2P, so the hypothesis implies that the dual map is injective. Hence the diagonal map is surjective. A diagram chase then shows that the other diagonal map $H^1(End^0(E)) \to H^1(E(1))$ is surjective.

For the last map, use the long exact sequence for (5.5) which may be written

$$H^0(\mathcal{J}^2_P(2)) \to H^1(E(1)) \to H^1(End^0(E)(1)) \to H^1(\mathcal{J}^2_P(2)).$$

Again the hypothesis says that $H^0(\mathcal{J}_P^2(2)) = 0$ so we get the required injectivity. \square

Lemma 5.9. Suppose P satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property for quadrics, and is not contained in a plane. Suppose given a vector bundle E in an extension of the form (5.2). Then $h^0(E(1)) = 1$ so the exact sequence (5.2) and in particular P, are determined by E. The extension class is determined up to a scalar multiple. Let d denote the length of P, then $h^1(E(1)) = d - 8$ and in particular $d \ge 8$.

Proof. The exact sequence (5.2) together with the exact sequence for $\mathcal{J}_P \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ give

$$0 \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^0(E(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)),$$

but the assumption that P is not contained in a plane implies $H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = 0$. Thus $\mathbb{C} = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(E(1))$ and the map $\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \to E$ is unique up to scalar multiple. Given E, fix the unique map and let \mathcal{J} denote the quotient $E/\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$. Then \mathcal{J}^{**} is a line bundle, but since $det(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ by hypothesis we get $\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{O}_X$ so \mathcal{J} is the ideal of a zero-dimensional subscheme P. The extension class is determined up to the scalar automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ and \mathcal{J} .

To show that $h^1(E(1)) = d - 8$ consider long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(E(1)) \to H^1(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^2(E(1)) \to H^2(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \to 0.$$

Note that $h^2(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 1$ and similarly by duality and Proposition 5.9 $h^2(E(1)) = h^0(E^*) = h^0(E(1)) = 1$. It follows that the last map of the above long exact sequence is an isomorphism, in particular its kernel is zero so the sequence becomes

$$0 \to H^1(E(1)) \to H^1(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \to 0.$$

On the other hand, by assumption $H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = 0$ and recall that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 0$, so we have the sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \to H^0(P, \mathcal{O}_P(1)) \to H^1(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \to 0.$$

Using $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 4$ and P has d points, this gives

$$h^1(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = d - 4, \quad h^1(E(1)) = d - 8.$$

This implies in particular that d > 8.

Corollary 5.10. In the situations of both Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9, either

$$\dim_E(M) = 4d - 20$$

and the moduli space M has a hypersurface singularity at E, or else

$$\dim_E(M) = 4d - 19$$

and the moduli space is smooth at E. The quadratic term of the Kuranishi map has rank $h^1(E(1)) = d - 8$; in particular if d > 8 then the moduli space has the expected dimension.

Proof. If the Kuranishi map vanishes identically then M is smooth of dimension one more than the expected dimension at E; if not then M has the expected dimension at E with a hypersurface singularity.

The quadratic term of the Kuranishi map has the same rank as the rank of the linear map $Ad(\phi)$. In the situation of Lemma 5.8, this rank is $h^1(E(1))$. If $h^1(E(1)) > 0$ then the Kuranishi map has to be nontrivial so $\dim_E(M)$ is the expected dimension.

Lemma 5.11. Suppose given a family of extensions of the form (5.2), where the family of Cayley-Bacharach subschemes P are parametrized by a variety F of dimension $f \leq 2d$, and the general member P of this family imposes c conditions on quadrics. Then the total dimension of the resulting family of extensions is $\leq f + d - c - 1$. If the P are not in a plane then the dimension is equal to f + d - c - 1.

Proof. For a given P in the family F, the dimension of the space of extensions is d-c by Lemma 3.1, and taking into account the scalar multiple the full dimension of the family of bundles E is bounded by f+d-c-1.

If we are in the situations of Lemma 5.9, then for each potentially obstructed bundle near E the subscheme P is uniquely determined; and the extension class is determined up to scalar multiple, so the dimension estimate is an equality. \square

Let $\Sigma_d = \Sigma_d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1))$ denote the moduli scheme of extensions of the form (5.2). The previous lemma gives the dimension of strata in Σ_d . If P is contained in a plane then $h^0(E(1)) \geq 2$ and several extensions can be associated to the same bundle E, in which case the map $\Sigma_d \to M_X(2, -1, d)$ may have positive dimensional fiber

Lemma 5.12. In the situation of Corollary 5.6 including the hypothesis that P is Cayley-Bacharach for quadrics, suppose P is contained in, and spans a plane. Then the space of obstructions has dimension 3, and a general co-obstruction corresponds to a reducible spectral curve whose determinant β is the square of the linear form defining the plane containing P.

Proof. Suppose $I \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a plane with $P \subset X \cap I$. The long exact sequence of (5.2) gives

$$0 \to \mathbb{C} \to H^0(E(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \to 0$$

and $H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = \mathbb{C}$. Thus $H^0(E(1)) = \mathbb{C}^2$ and we get an injection

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus 2} \hookrightarrow E$$
.

Using $E(1) \cong E^*$ we get dually $h: E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}$. From this we can easily construct a whole 3-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ of maps $E \to E(1)$. The cokernel of h is a sheaf of pure dimension 1 whose cycle class has to be the same as C, on the other hand it goes through the points P and by hypothesis P spans I. These imply that the cokernel of h is a rank one torsion-free sheaf on C, so E is obtained as an elementary transformation as in §4. The elements of the constructed $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ of co-obstructions, are matrices vanishing along C so they all have $\det(\psi) = \alpha^2$ where α is the equation of C.

Some further exact sequences show that the space of co-obstructions has dimension ≤ 3 : the exact sequence corresponding to (5.5) tensored with $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is

$$0 \to H^0(E(1)) \to H^0(End^0(E)(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{J}_P^2(2)) \to H^1(E(1));$$

the hypothesis that P spans the plane I implies that 2P is contained in a unique quadric, namely 2I, so $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P^2(2)) = 1$; and the long exact sequence of (5.2) gives

$$0 \to \mathbb{C} \to H^0(E(1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \to 0$$

with $H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = \mathbb{C}$. Putting these together gives a bound of 3 for the dimension of the space of co-obstructions. Since we have already constructed a three dimensional space, the dimension must be 3 and a general element is one of our constructed endomorphisms. This completes the proof.

6. Examples of families for $d \leq 9$

In this section we discuss the construction of examples of potentially obstructed bundles on our very general quintic surface X, falling into case (i) of Theorem 5.1, in particular in the case $d \leq 9$ when all bundles are of this type.

To start off, if $D \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a line then $X \cap D$ has length 5. Looking at 4 points i.e. a subscheme P of length 4 in $X \cap D$, this satisfies the Cayley-Bacharach property: vanishing along D imposes only 3 conditions on quadrics, and any three of the points will do the job. This gives a construction of a stable bundle with $c_2 = 4$, which is the lowest possible and in some sense epitomizes the further constructions below.

6.1. Euler characteristic considerations. The Euler characteristic provides some useful information. Suppose E is a rank two bundle with $c_1 = -1$ and $c_2 = d$. For any n, noting that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(n)) = (15n^2 - 15n + 30)/6$ and that E is equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ minus d points, gives

(6.1)
$$h^{0}(E(n)) - h^{1}(E(n)) + h^{2}(E(n)) = 5n^{2} - 10n + 15 - d.$$

For n=1 recall that $h^0(E(1))=h^2(E(1))$, so

(6.2)
$$h^0(E(1)) = \frac{h^1(E(1)) + 10 - d}{2}.$$

If $d \leq 9$ this is always positive, so every point has to be a type (i) potentially obstructed point of the moduli space. Note along the way that if d is any odd number then $h^1(E(1))$ must be nonzero.

Using the exact sequence 5.2 gives

$$h^0(E(1)) = 1 + h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)).$$

In particular, if $d \leq 3$ then $h^0(E(1)) \geq 4$ and $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \geq 3$, and a 3-dimensional subspace of the linear sections defines a point. So, P would consist of at most a single point, but d = 1 is ruled out by the Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality. Therefore M(2, -1, d) is empty for $d \leq 3$.

For d=4,5 we get $h^0(E(1)) \geq 3$ so $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = 2$ (the cases ≥ 3 being ruled out as above). A 2-dimensional subspace of linear sections vanishes along a line $D \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Thus $P \subset X \cap D$, and indeed any subscheme of length 4 or 5 in the finite scheme $X \cap D$ gives a Cayley-Bacharach subset.

For d=6,7 we get $h^0(E(1)) \geq 2$, and $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \geq 1$. The case ≥ 3 is ruled out as before. If $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = 2$ then P would be contained in a line, but our general quintic surface X doesn't contain any lines so $X \cap D$ would have length 5, not enough to contain P. Thus we conclude that $h^0(E(1)) = 2$ and $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = 1$: the subscheme P is contained in a unique plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

The further discussion of these cases, as well as the cases d=8,9, will be continued in the next section.

For analyzing irreducibility in the cases $d \ge 10$ it will probably be useful to use the Euler-characteristic considerations at n = 2. In this case $h^2(E(2)) = h^0(E) = 0$ by stability of E, so we get

$$h^0(E(2)) = h^1(E(2)) + 15 - d,$$

in particular if d < 15 then E can be expressed as an extension of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-2) \to E \to \mathcal{J}_O(1) \to 0$$

where Q is a subscheme of length d+10 satisfying the Cayley-Bacharach property for $\mathcal{O}_X(4)$. It would go beyond the scope of the present paper to pursue this further, but it might be useful for extending Nijsse's irreducibility results into the range $10 \le d \le 15$.

6.2. Points on the rational normal cubic curve. One way to construct subschemes P which satisfy Cayley-Bacharach for quadrics, is by taking subcollections of points in $X \cap N$ where $N \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a curve. We start with a fairly easy version.

If N is a rational curve and $d > h^0(\mathcal{O}_N(2))$ then any collection of d points on N satisfies Cayley-Bacharach for quadrics, indeed any d-1 of the points already force a quadric to vanish on N, because any collection of points satisfies the maximal rank property for line bundles on $N \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Some possible choices for N would be a line as above, or two skew lines, or three lines meeting in a rational stick-figure. These basic examples suggest looking more generally at the rational normal cubic curve

$$N = \{[1:t:t^2:t^3]\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

which is embedded by the full linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3p)|$. The restriction of a quadric to N is a section of $\mathcal{O}_N(6p)$, so points on N can impose a maximum of

$$h^0(N, \mathcal{O}_N(6p)) = 7$$

conditions on quadrics. As soon as there are $d \ge 8$ points, the resulting subscheme satisfies Cayley-Bacharach.

The choice of $N \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ has f = 12 parameters, as can be seen in the following way. The group of automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^3 fixing a given N is $PSL(2) \subset PSL(4)$ with the embedding given by identifying \mathbb{C}^4 with the symmetric cube of the standard representation of SL(2):

$$\mathbb{C}^4 = Sym^3(\mathbb{C}^2), \quad SL(\mathbb{C}^2) \to SL(\mathbb{C}^4).$$

Any other rational normal cubic is a translate of N, since they have the invariant characterization as being given by complete linear systems of degree 3 on \mathbb{P}^1 . The space of translates of N is PSL(4)/PSL(2) which has dimension 15-3=12.

The number of conditions imposed by a subscheme P is equal to 7, so by Lemma 5.11, the dimension of the space of potentially obstructed bundles is d + 4.

In the first case d = 8, we get a 12-dimensional family, indeed there is a unique extension for each P. In this case by (5.1) the expected dimension is also 12.

For the bundles in this family, the dimension of the space of obstructions is 1, indeed whenever P forces a quadric form to vanish on a curve in \mathbb{P}^3 then quadrics vanishing on the double 2P of P in X, must in fact vanish on the double of P in \mathbb{P}^3 because they also vanish in transverse directions to X. It now suffices to have 4 points spanning \mathbb{P}^3 in order to insure that there are no quadrics passing through 2P. Then apply Lemma 5.8.

Hence, the dimension of M(2, -1, 8) at a general E in our family, is either 12 or 13; but in any case the Zariski tangent space has dimension 13.

6.3. **Points on normal elliptic curves.** The example of the preceding subsection can be generalized as follows.

Suppose Y is a smooth elliptic curve, with a divisor of degree 4 denoted $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Notice that no basepoint is chosen on Y, and all divisors of a fixed nonzero degree are related by translation automorphisms of Y, so the choice of $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ corresponds to a single parameter (the j-invariant of the elliptic curve). Now $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$, and the choice of such an identification up to scalars is a 15-dimensional space. Any such choice gives an embedding of Y as a normal elliptic curve of degree 4 in \mathbb{P}^3 . There is no connected subgroup of PSL(4) fixing Y, so the dimension of the family of all smooth normal elliptic curves of degree 4, is 16. Now,

choose a collection P' of 7 points among the 20 in $X \cap Y$. This is a discrete choice out of C_7^{20} possibilities. There is a unique nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(2)$ vanishing on these points, and this section has an additional zero denoted $q = q(Y, P') \in Y$. Let F be the family of all choices (Y, P') such that $q(Y, P') \in X$ also. This is a codimension 1 condition (and nontrivial, since X is general), so F has dimension 15. We think of F as the family of (Y, P) such that $P \subset X \cap Y$ has 8 points and $\mathcal{O}_Y(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(2)$.

The projection from F to the Hilbert scheme of subschemes $P \subset X$, has as fiber the collection of all degree four elliptic curves Y' passing through P. Any such P imposes 7 conditions on quadrics of \mathbb{P}^3 , and any of the 8 points is superfluous. There is a 3-dimensional space of quadrics passing through P.

We claim that for any elliptic curve Y' of degree 4 passing through P', two of the quadrics will vanish on Y'. Indeed, a ninth point on Y' imposes an additional condition, so there is a two dimensional space of quadrics passing also through the ninth point, but a degree consideration shows that these must contain Y'. We conclude that any point (Y', P) in F corresponds to a choice of two dimensional subspace of the \mathbb{C}^3 of quadrics passing through P. In particular, the fiber of (Y', P) lying over P has dimension 2, so the family of subschemes P has dimension 13.

General subschemes P in this family satisfy the condition of Lemma 5.8, so the space of obstructions is one-dimensional and the moduli space has dimension ≤ 13 ; thus our construction gives an irreducible component of M(2,-1,8) of dimension 13. At a general point (or in fact, any point at which the obstruction space is unidimensional) the Kuranishi map vanishes entirely, that is to say all higher order obstructions vanish and the moduli space is smooth but not of the expected dimension.

It turns out that the 12-dimensional locus of potentially obstructed bundles obtained using the rational normal cubics, lies in the closure of the 13-dimensional family constructed using elliptic curves. This can be seen by using the lower bound of Corollary 3.5.

Corollary 6.1. All components of the moduli space of bundles with $c_2 = 8$, have dimension 13. In particular, the 12 dimensional component constructed in the previous subsection is in the closure of the 13 dimensional family constructed above.

Proof. By Corollary 3.5, all components of Σ_8 have dimension ≥ 13 . By (6.2), all stable bundles with $c_2=8$ are in Σ_8 , so all components of the moduli space have dimension ≥ 13 . On the other hand, at any point where P is not contained in a plane and not having a co-obstruction with irreducible spectral covering, the dimension is ≤ 13 because the expected dimension is 12 and the obstruction space has dimension 1. The dimension of the space of bundles having co-obstruction with irreducible spectral covering, is ≤ 13 (Corollary 5.4). The dimension of the space of extensions with P in a plane, is bounded by 3 for the choice of plane U, plus 8 for the choice of subscheme of $U \cap X$, plus 2 for the choice of extension class since $c \geq 5$. But in this case, the same bundle corresponds to a one-dimensional family of extensions, so the space of such bundles has dimension ≤ 12 . This shows that all components have dimension 13.

The 12-dimensional family constructed using a rational normal curve, therefore has to generalize to a 13-dimensional family, but 8 general points on a rational normal curve can only generalize to 8 points in general position for quadrics. This will be shown in greater detail in Theorem 7.11 below, where we conclude that the

moduli space M(2,-1,8) is irreducible and generically smooth. In other words, all stable bundles with $c_2=8$ are in the closure of the 13-dimensional family constructed above.

6.4. Nine points on an elliptic curve. As we saw above, the family of elliptic curves of degree 4 in \mathbb{P}^3 is 16 dimensional. Denote by F the family of (Y,P) where Y is such an elliptic curve and $P \subset X \cap Y$ is a choice of 9 out of the 20 intersection points. The choice of P is discrete so F has 16 dimensions. The space of quadrics on Y has dimension 8, so P imposes 8 conditions, and if Y is in general position (indeed, if it is not in the hypersurface considered previously) then any 8 points will also impose 8 conditions so P is Cayley-Bacharach. A general choice will satisfy this general position property because X was assumed general to start with. The number of extra points is 1 so the extension class is unique up to scalars. We obtain in this way a 16 dimensional family of potentially obstructed bundles; at a general point the dimension of the space of obstructions is 1. As before, the component constructed here is the only one.

Theorem 6.2. The moduli space M(2, -1, 9) is irreducible, 16-dimensional. It is non-reduced at the generic point, indeed at a general point the moduli space is given by a single equation $x^2 = 0$.

Proof. The expected dimension is 16. Consider first the 16-dimensional family constructed above. By Corollary 5.4, the dimension of the space of potentially obstructed bundles of type (ii) is ≤ 13 . We can again argue that the dimension of M(2,-1,9) at a general member (E_0,Y_0,P_0) of the family, is 16. All nearby bundles E_t would be potentially obstructed, with one-dimensional obstruction space, so coming from subschemes P_t ; however, since P_t must also be Cayley-Bacharach, it can still impose only 8 conditions on quadrics. We get a 2-dimensional family V_t of quadrics passing through P_t , but this must be a smooth deformation of the 2-dimensional family of quadrics V_0 going through P_0 , i.e. the equations of Y_0 . Note that Y_0 is a complete intersection of any two elements forming a basis of V_0 , so the intersection of two basis elements of V_t is again an elliptic curve of degree 4, Y_t and we have $P_t \subset Y_t$. Thus, any deformation must remain inside our given family, so we have constructed a 16-dimensional component of M(2,-1,9). The points of this component are generically potentially obstructed, so they are in fact obstructed in the classical sense, i.e. we get a non-reduced component.

The quadratic term of the obstruction map is nonzero: by Corollary 5.10, $Ad(\phi)$ has rank d-8=1. Therefore the quadratic term of the Kuranishi map is nonzero at a general point. Hence, at a general point of this component the moduli space is given by $x^2=0$.

To complete the proof, we need to say that all stable bundles with $c_2=9$ are in the closure of the 16-dimensional family constructed above. Heuristically speaking, the special cases of 9 points on a rational normal cubic, or 9 points arrayed on 2 lines (in groups of 4 and 5) or 3 meeting lines (in groups of 2 or 3) are limits of the general family we constructed here. Indeed, the elliptic curves can degenerate, and since our 9 points are general on Y, the points can go into the various different components of the degeneration in various different ways.

The actual proof will be completed by Proposition 7.9 below, after a detailed dimension count for the degenerate cases. \Box

7. Dimension estimates and classification

For $d \ge 10$ we show that $M_X(2, -1, d)$ is good, i.e. all components are generically smooth of the expected dimension. This result is sharp: for $d \le 9$ the moduli space is either generically non-reduced, or else has dimension bigger than the expected one, as can be seen by the discussion of the previous chapter.

We complete that discussion by showing that the moduli space is irreducible for $d \leq 9$, which also yields a pretty explicit description of the irreducible components at their generic points as well as some necessarily incomplete information about the smaller strata.

The question of irreducibility for $d \ge 10$ is left to the future. Nijsse [34] proves irreducibility for $d \ge 16$, leaving open the cases 10...15. Another interesting question would be to understand the relationship between our descriptions for $d \le 9$, and the classification of ACM bundles of [6] in the cases d = 4, 6, 8.

7.1. When d > 10.

Estimates for the dimension of the potentially obstructed locus will show that the moduli space is good for $d \geq 10$. Recall that $\Sigma_d = \Sigma_d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1))$ denotes the moduli scheme of extensions of the form (5.2) with $\ell(P) = d$. A point can be written (P, ξ) as in (3.4). By Corollary 3.5 all irreducible components of Σ_d have dimension $\geq 3d - 11$.

Let Σ_d^c denote the locally closed subset of Σ_d consisting of extensions such that P imposes exactly c conditions on quadrics. The maximal value c = 10 corresponds to the case when P is in general position with respect to quadrics.

Lemma 7.1. For $c \leq 9$ we have $\dim(\Sigma_d^c) \leq 2d - 1$.

Proof. Consider the scheme of triples (H, P, ξ) where H is a quadric such that $P \subset H$. The condition $(P, \xi) \in \Sigma_d^c$ means that the rank of ϵ^* is c. Hence, there is a projective space of dimension 9 - c of quadrics passing through P, in other words the fibers of the map

$$\{(H, P, \xi)\} \to \Sigma_d^c$$

have dimension 9-c. The dimension of the space on the left is therefore $\dim(\Sigma_d^c)+9-c$. On the other hand, we can estimate the dimension of this space $\{(H,P,\xi)\}$ by first choosing H (in a projective space of dimension 9), then noticing that $H\cap X$ is a curve in a surface; by the result of Briançon, Granger, Speder [5] the Hilbert scheme of subschemes of this curve, of length d, has dimension $\leq d$. For each choice of P, the dimension of the space of choices of ξ up to scalar, is d-c-1. Hence we get

$$\dim(\Sigma_d^c) + 9 - c \le 9 + d + (d - c - 1),$$

giving the stated estimate after subtracting (9-c) from both sides.

Corollary 7.2. We have $\dim(\Sigma_{10}) = 19$; and for $d \ge 11$, the subset Σ_d^{10} is dense in Σ_d , which is irreducible of dimension 3d - 11.

Proof. The subset Σ_d^{10} consists of the 2d dimensional open set of subschemes P in general position with respect to quadrics, together with ξ in a space of dimension d-11, so $\dim(\Sigma_d^{10})=3d-11$. Notice that for d=10 this subset is empty because such P will not satisfy Cayley-Bacharach. For d=10, all the strata are of the form Σ_{10}^c for $c \leq 9$ and these have dimension ≤ 19 by Lemma 7.1. By Corollary 3.5 each irreducible component has dimension ≥ 19 so Σ_{10} is pure of dimension 19.

For $d \geq 11$, the strata Σ_d^c have dimension $\leq 2d-1$ but 2d-1 < 3d-11, and by Lemma 3.5 all irreducible components of Σ_d have dimension $\geq 3d-11$. Hence, the strata for $c \leq 9$ are not irreducible components. Thus Σ_d^{10} , which is smooth and irreducible of dimension 3d-11, is dense in Σ_d .

Theorem 7.3. If $d \ge 10$ then all irreducible components of $M_X(2, -1, d)$ are good, i.e. generically smooth of the expected dimension.

If $d \geq 11$ then the 3d-11-dimensional component $\overline{\Sigma}_d^{10}$, closure of the locus of potentially obstructed bundles consisting of bundles of type (d) is nonempty, and is the biggest irreducible component of the singular locus of the moduli space. The singularities of M along a general point of Σ are ordinary quadratic double points in the transverse direction.

Proof. Use Corollary 7.2, and the estimate of Corollary 5.4 for the locus of potentially obstructed bundles of type (ii). Since 13 < 3d - 11 for $d \ge 10$, together these say that the dimension of the locus of potentially obstructed bundles is bounded by 3d - 11. But if $d \ge 10$ then 3d - 11 < 4d - 20, which implies that the moduli space is good.

If $d \geq 11$ then Σ_d is irreducible by Corollary 7.2, and the components of the locus of potentially obstructed bundles of type (ii) have dimension $\leq 13 < 3d - 11$. This shows that $\Sigma_d = \overline{\Sigma}_d^{10}$ is the unique irreducible component of largest dimension. Recall now the description of the quadratic term in the obstruction map at a

Recall now the description of the quadratic term in the obstruction map at a general point of Σ where there is a single co-obstruction ϕ . The quadratic term is a symmetric bilinear form on $H^1(End^0(E))$ whose rank is equal to the rank of the linear map $Ad(\phi)$ which factors as

$$H^1(End^0(E)) \to H^1(E(1)) \to H^1(End^0(E) \otimes K_X).$$

Since all quadrics passing through 2P must vanish, by Corollary 5.10, $Ad(\phi)$ and the quadratic term of the Kuranishi map have rank d-8.

The Zariski tangent space at E has dimension equal to the expected dimension plus the number of obstructions, i.e. 4d-19. The component Σ_d has dimension 3d-11, so the transverse direction in the Zariski tangent space has dimension d-8; it follows that the singularity is an ordinary double point in the transverse direction, noting that the quadratic form has to vanish in the directions along Σ . In other words, the equations for M are locally of the form

$$x_1^2 + \ldots + x_{d-8}^2 = 0$$

in terms of a local coordinate system x_1, \ldots, x_{4d-19} such that x_1, \ldots, x_{d-8} are the coordinate functions defining Σ .

The result of Theorem 7.3 improves upon the sharp bound asked for by O'Grady in his Question, [37, p. 112]:

—"is $M(\xi)$ good if $\Delta_{\xi} > \text{rk}(\xi)(p_g + 1)$?"

For $\xi = (2, -1, d)$ we have $\operatorname{rk}(\xi) = 2$, $\Delta_{\xi} = d - \frac{5}{4}$ and $p_g = 4$ for our quintic surfaces so the sharp bound asked for by O'Grady would say $d > 11\frac{1}{4}$ i.e. $d \geq 12$. The result of Theorem 7.3 improves this by 2; and for d = 9 the moduli space is generically non-reduced so it isn't good. So, the bound is now completely sharp and the phase transition occurs at $c_2(E) = \operatorname{rk}(\xi)(p_g + 1) = 10$.

7.2. Classes of Cayley-Bacharach subschemes. Motivated by the examples described in §6 for $d \le 9$, we state some finer classification results for the potentially obstructed bundles of type (i). The first classification is by the number of conditions imposed on quadrics.

Proposition 7.4. Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a sufficiently general quintic surface. Suppose E is a potentially obstructed vector bundle on X of type (i) from Theorem 5.1 fitting into an extension (5.2) with a subscheme P of length d, satisfying Cayley-Bacharach for quadrics. Let c be the number of conditions imposed by P on quadrics, and let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the subscheme defined by the quadrics vanishing on P. Then either:

- (a)— $c \le 7$ and Y is zero-dimensional, in this case $d \le 8$;
- $(b)-c \leq 7$ and $\dim(Y) \geq 1$;
- (c)—c = 8 and Y is a plane union a line;
- (d)—c=8, $d \leq 20$ and P is supported on a zero-dimensional intersection $X \cap Y$ where Y is a possibly degenerate genus one degree four curve which is the complete intersection of two set-theoretically transverse quadrics;
- (e)— $c=9,\ d\geq 10$ and P is supported on $Z=X\cap H$ for a unique quadric surface H: or
- (f)—c = 10 i.e. P is in general position with respect to quadrics, and $d \ge 11$.

Proof. Let $V := H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(2)) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) \cong \mathbb{C}^{10}$ be the space of quadrics vanishing on P.

If c = 10 then $d \ge 11$ by the Cayley-Bacharach condition and we are in case (f), which was considered for example by O'Grady [36, (3.29)].

If c = 9 then $d \ge 10$ and $\dim(V) = 1$, and the unique element of V up to scalars, determines a unique quadric H such that $P \subset X \cap H$. This gives (e).

Suppose c=8, then $\dim(V) \geq 2$ and we can choose two linearly independent elements. These correspond to quartics H_1 and H_2 . Suppose first of all that $Y=H_1\cap H_2$ has dimension 1. Then it has degree 4 and is a possibly degenerate version of an elliptic curve; and $P\subset Y\cap X$.

The fact that X is general implies that Y is not contained in X and indeed meets X in a finite subscheme. This intersection $X \cap Y$ has length 20, so the length of P is ≤ 20 . This gives (d).

Suppose in the previous situation, on the other hand, that H_1 and H_2 contain a common component of dimension 2 in \mathbb{P}^3 , which must be a plane I. We can write $H_i = I \cup U_i$ with U_i also being distinct planes; then $P \subset H_1 \cap H_2 = I \cup D$ where $D = U_1 \cap U_2$ is a line. This gives (c).

If $c \le 7$ then tautologically either (a) or (b). In case (a) Y is contained in a zero dimensional intersection of three quadrics which has length 8 so $d \le 8$.

Lemma 7.5. In all but the first two cases, we have the following estimates for the dimension e of the corresponding stratum in Σ_d^c : Case (c), $e \leq 2d-4$; Case (d), $e \leq 2d-1$; Case (e), $e \leq 2d-1$, Case (f), e=3d-11.

Proof. Let f be the dimension of the space of subschemes P (i.e. of some irreducible component of the space of subschemes satisfying Cayley-Bacharach); and c is the number of conditions imposed by P on quadrics. Recall from Lemma 5.11 that $e \leq f + d - c - 1$.

In Case (c), if U is the plane in Y and U' is a plane containing the line, then $P \subset X \cap (U \cup U')$ and this is a plane curve in X. Furthermore U' can be chosen

from amongst a family of dimension 2, so the number of choices for $U \cup U'$ is ≤ 5 . The BGS estimate [5] says that, given $U \cup U'$ the dimension of the space of choices of P is $\leq d$, so $f \leq 5 + d$ and $e \leq 2d - 4$.

For Cases (d) and (e) use the estimate of Lemma 7.1. For Case (f) use Corollary 7.2. \Box

The following list of sub-cases of (d) will be useful below, and will also help for (b).

Lemma 7.6. In case (d) the curve Y, complete intersection of two quadrics H_1 and H_2 , is of one of the following types:

- (d1)—a curve of genus 1 with at most ordinary double points but no rational tails, normally embedded by a divisor of degree 4 having positive degree on each component:
- (d2)—two rational curves of degree 2 meeting at a tacnode;
- (d3)—a cuspidal curve on a smooth quadric surface;
- (d4)—four lines emanating from a single point, whose directions are in general position;
- (d5)—a double line, whose double structure is contained in a plane, plus two other lines not in the same plane, emanating from a single point;
- (d6)—a double line, whose double structure is contained in a plane, plus a smooth rational curve of degree 2 in a transverse plane, tangent to the first plane at the intersection point;
- (d7)—a skew double line (i.e. one whose double structure turns), plus two skew lines meeting the double structure in the given tangent directions at two distinct points; or
- (d8)—contained in a double plane

Proof. Let V be the subspace of quadrics spanned by the equations of H_1 and H_2 , defining a linear system of quadrics. Since Y is the base locus of this linear system, it follows that the singularities of a general quadric H in the system, are contained in Y. We may assume that the linear system doesn't contain a double plane, otherwise we get (d8). If the general member were the union of two planes, then they would meet along a line $D \subset Y$ but the linear system would be all unions of two planes passing through D; this would contain a double plane contradicting the hypothesis. So, the general quadric in the linear system is either smooth or a cone. If all quadrics in V are cones, then choosing two, we see that the vertex of each one is on the other, so they share a common line. One possibility is that the vertices are all the same. Then Y is a cone over the intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^2 , and we get to cases (d4) or (d5).

If the vertices can be distinct, looking at all other possibilities, we see that the vertices would always be on the common line L. If the tangent planes to the two quadrics along L are distinct, then a general linear combination will be smooth; so we may assume that the tangent planes are the same. Then, Y contains a double line whose double structure is contained in this tangent plane. The remaining components of Y form a degree 2 curve. The remaining curve can not consist of two skew lines, because all the lines in a cone go through a single vertex. So the remaining degree 2 curve has to be contained in a plane I. If the plane contained the line then Y would be contained in 2I contradicting the hypothesis, so I is transverse to L and Y is the double line plus a quadric curve in I. The quadric

curve is defined by the intersection of a general element of our linear system with I, so it must contain the double point defined by the intersection of our double line with I; this gives cases (d5) or (d6) and completes the treatment of the case where the general member of the linear system is a cone.

The leftover case is when the linear system contains a smooth quartic surface $Q_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case Y is a (2,2)-curve on Q_1 and we get either (d1), (d2), (d3), (d7) or (d8).

In order to count dimensions, we need to investigate more closely Case (b). Suppose $P \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a subscheme of length d satisfying the Cayley-Bacharach property for quadrics. Let $V = H^0(\mathcal{J}_P(2))$ and let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the subscheme defined by V. Let $Y_1 \subset Y$ be the subscheme whose ideal is generated by all sections of \mathcal{O}_Y with support of dimension 0. In other words, Y_1 is the part of Y of pure dimension 1. Assume here that $\dim(V) \geq 3$, i.e. the number c of conditions which P imposes on quadrics is $c \leq 7$. Choose two of the quadrics H_1 and H_2 . It follows from Lasker's theorem [10, p. 314] that Y_1 cannot be all of $H_1 \cap H_2$, so $deg(Y_1) \leq 3$.

```
Lemma 7.7. Case (b) divides into the following possibilities:
```

```
(b1)—Y_1 is a plane;
```

(b2)— Y_1 is a line;

(b3)— Y_1 is a planar double line;

(b4)— $Y_1 = Y_1' \cup Y_1''$ is a union of two skew lines;

(b5)— Y_1 is a skew double line;

(b6)— Y_1 is a fat triple line, that is $\mathcal{J}_{Y_1/\mathbb{P}^3} = \mathcal{J}_{L/\mathbb{P}^3}^2$;

(b7)— Y_1 is a union of three distinct lines;

(b8)— Y_1 is a union of a planar double line plus a transverse line;

(b9)— Y_1 is a union of a skew double line plus a tangent line;

(b10)— Y_1 is a reduced conic in a plane;

(b11)— Y_1 is a union of a smooth planar conic, with a line meeting it at a smooth point; or

(b12)— Y_1 is a rational normal cubic.

In all of these cases, the Cayley-Bacharach (CB) condition on P forces $P \subset Y_1$.

Proof. The present list of cases can be extracted from the enumeration of cases in Lemma 7.6 above, as the possible subschemes of degree ≤ 3 .

We show by cases that $P \subset Y_1$.

Case (b1): call the plane $U := Y_1$. It imposes 6 conditions on quadrics. Suppose P contains a point $z_1 \notin U$, or an embedded point sticking out of U. By CB, it must contain another point z_2 not in U, but together these two impose 2 more conditions giving c > 8, a contradiction. So, in case (b1) we conclude $P \subset Y_1$.

Case (b2): call the line $L := Y_1$. It imposes 3 conditions on quadrics. Suppose z is a point of P not in L, or the trace of a multiple point sticking out of L. These define a plane U. If P contains another different point of U, then L together with these two points would define a unique quadric in the plane; vanishing along P would therefore imply vanishing along this additional quadric (which could be a doubled structure along L); but that contradicts the assumption (b2). We conclude that no two other points of P can be coplanar with L. Similarly, no three other points can be colinear otherwise Y_1 would contain that line too. Now, given a point z_1 of P-L, by CB there has to be a second point z_2 of P-L; these define a line skew to L. But there are quadrics vanishing on one but not the other of these points, so

there has to be a third point z_3 , not colinear with z_1 and z_2 , and not coplanar with either point and L. In particular, z_1, z_2, z_3 define a plane U' not passing through L. Let $z_0 = U' \cap L$. The four points z_0, \ldots, z_3 don't satisfy CB in the plane, so there has to be another point z_4 . Now $z_4 \notin U'$ since otherwise z_0, \ldots, z_4 would define a quadric in U' which would have to be a part of Y_1 , contradicting (b2). But if the z_4 was not in the plane, then it would define a condition again independent of z_1, \ldots, z_3 . By CB we would need another point z_5 . No four of the five points z_1, \ldots, z_5 are coplanar by the same argument as for $z_4 \notin U'$. But z_5 is not in the union of the plane U' defined by z_1, z_2, z_3 and the plane U'' defined by L and L0, so L2 imposes yet another condition on quadrics, giving at least 8 conditions altogether. This contradiction shows that L1.

Case (b3): let L be the reduced line and U the plane, so $Y_1 = 2L$ in U. Vanishing along Y_1 imposes 5 conditions on quadrics. If there is another point $z \in P$ and if $z \in U$ then vanishing on z would imply vanishing on U, contradicting (b3). If $z_1 \notin U$ then it defines an independent condition, so by CB there must be another point $z_2 \notin U$. But z_2 also defines an independent condition, so again by CB there must be a third point $z_3 \notin U$. If z_1, z_2, z_3 are colinear then quadrics would vanish along a different line, contradicting (b3). If they are not colinear, then we can choose a plane U' containing z_1 and z_2 but not z_3 , proving that z_3 imposes an independent condition. Thus $c \geq 8$, a contradiction which shows that $P \subset Y_1$.

Case (b4): write $L := Y_1'$ and $M := Y_2'$. Vanishing along $Y_1 = L \cup M$ imposes 6 conditions. If $z_1 \in P$, $z_1 \notin Y_1$ then let U be the plane passing through L and z_1 . Let $z_0 = M \cap U$. These two points together with a line, define a quadric in U which must contain any quadric of \mathbb{P}^3 passing through P, contradicting (b4) and showing that $P \subset Y_1$.

Case (b5): the skew double structure along the reduced line L corresponds to an expression Y=2L within a smooth quadric hypersurface $H\subset \mathbb{P}^3$. The quadrics passing through 2L on H are curves of type (2,0), that is to say they consist of two lines in the family of lines on H transverse to L. Vanishing along 2L imposes 6 conditions on quadrics. Suppose $z_1\in P,\ z_1\not\in 2L$. Let U be the plane passing through L and z_1 . The intersection $U\cap (2L)$ is a line plus an embedded point somewhere along the line but not at z_1 . Thus Y_1 would contain the plane conic passing through these points. Note that if z_1 is itself embedded along L but not in 2L, the conic would be a double structure on L distinct from 2L, in any case contradicting (b4). We conclude that $P\subset Y_1$.

Case (b6): the ideal of Y_1 is the square of the ideal of a line L. Vanishing along Y_1 imposes 7 conditions on quadrics. The pencil of quadrics passing through Y_1 consists of all products of two planes passing through L; it has no other base points so any other point z_1 would impose a further independent condition giving $c \geq 8$. We conclude that $P \subset Y_1$.

Cases (b7), (b8) and (b9): The number of conditions is 9 minus the number of intersection points. We conclude that there must be at least two intersection points or a triple intersection. In case of a double line, they are said to intersect when the double structure is planar, and a line intersecting a double line has one intersection point if it is transverse to the tangent plane, or two intersection points if it is in the tangent plane at the intersection point. Note moreover that the three lines cannot be coplanar otherwise quadrics vanishing on them would vanish on the plane; thus the number of intersection points is exactly two. In particular, in (b9) the double

line is skew so the other line should be tangent to it; in (b8) the double line is planar so the other line should be transverse to the plane. Once again, these situations all give exactly 7 conditions, and any point outside of Y_1 imposes an independent condition, so $P \subset Y_1$.

Case (b10): suppose $Y_1 \subset U$ is a reduced conic, that is either a smooth conic or a union of two lines, in a plane U. Vanishing on Y_1 imposes 5 conditions. If P contained another point of U then Y_1 would contain all of U, contradicting (b10). If $z_1 \in P$ but $z_1 \notin U$ then arguing as previously, we would get a second point z_2 by CB, then a third point z_3 with the three points non colinear. But this gives a total of $c \geq 8$ conditions, a contradiction showing that $P \subset Y_1$.

Cases (b11) and (b12): vanishing on Y_1 imposes 7 conditions and the quadrics passing through Y_1 define it, so we conclude that $P \subset Y_1$.

Estimate now the dimensions of the strata corresponding to these cases. It wouldn't be too hard to get an estimate of the form $e \le 2d - 1$, but for d = 8 we need to know that $e \le 12$.

Lemma 7.8. The dimensions e of the strata in the moduli space of extensions Σ_d parametrizing (P,ξ) with subscheme $P \subset X$ in the previously mentioned cases (b1)–(b12), are bounded by

$$e \le \max(d+4, 2d-4).$$

This gives the same bound for the dimension of the image of the map $\Sigma_d \to M_X(2,-1,d)$.

Proof. The moduli scheme of extensions Σ_d has a stratification where, on each stratum, one of the cases discussed above holds. We are looking here only at the strata corresponding to case (b) of Proposition 7.4, and they are assumed broken up according to the cases (b1)–(b12). In the course of the arguments and without too much further mention, we assume that the stratification is furthermore refined in various ways so that things like the order of contact between Y_1 and X may be fixed. Use Lemma 5.11 and the Briançon-Granger-Speder estimates [5] throughout.

Case (b1): $f \le d+3$, c = 6, $e \le 2d-4$;

Case (b2): f = 4, d = 4 or 5, c = 3, $e \le 5$;

Case (b4): f=8 because the choices for $P\subset Q=(L\cup M)\cap X$ are discrete; and c=6 so e=d+1.

Case (b6): the number of choices for P is less than d, and the number of choices of the line is 4 so $f \le d+4$; and c=7 so $e \le 2d-4$.

Case (b7): we have c=7 and the number of choices for Y_1 is 10. The three lines are distinct and not coplanar. For each double point, there is at most one variable of choice of $P \subset Q = Y_1 \cap X$ since Q is contained in a planar double point on X. However, this imposes that X pass through the vertex in question, taking out a condition. So, in any case $f \leq 10$ and $e \leq d+2$.

Case (b10): we have c=5 and the space of choices of Y_1 has dimension 8. At a potiential double point there is at most one additional choice for P, but that only applies if this is a point of tangency of the plane with the quintic X, which reduces the dimension by 3; so in any case $f \leq 8$ and we get $e \leq d+2$.

Case (b11): we have c = 7, the dimension of the space of choices of Y_1 is 11, and there is at most one additional parameter for the choice of P at the double point; but as before this imposes a condition of tangency with X so in any case $f \leq 11$ which gives $e \leq d+3$.

Case (b12): the space of choices of Y_1 has dimension 12, the choice of P is then discrete, and c = 7 so we get $e \le d + 4$.

Consider now the cases (b3), (b5), (b8) and (b9) involving double lines. Denote by L the line and 2L the double structure. For (b8), (b9) denote by D the other line.

Set $Q:=Y_1\cap X$ and write the decomposition into local components $Q=\bigcup_z Q_z$. There are three types of points z: the vertex 0 situated at $L\cap D$, points on $L-\{0\}$, and points on $D-\{0\}$. Some of these subsets (including that of the vertex) might be empty. Let $q_z:=\ell(Q_z),\ q_z':=\ell(Q_z\cap L),\ \text{and}\ q_z'':=\ell(Q_z\cap D).$ These may be zero for example if z doesn't lie on one or the other of L or D, and of course in cases (b3) and (b5) $q_z''=0$ by convention. Similarly, for each choice of P let $P_z=P\cap Q_z$ and put $p_z:=\ell(P_z)$, with similar notations p_z' and p_z'' .

There are various relations such as $q_z/2 \le q_z' \le q_z$ for $z \in L - \{0\}$, and of course $p_z \le q_z$, $p_z' \le q_z'$, $p_0'' \le q_0''$; also $\sum_{z \in D} q_z'' = 5$, $\sum_{z \in L} q_z' = 5$. We recall some of the the estimates of Briançon, Granger and Speder for the local

We recall some of the the estimates of Briançon, Granger and Speder for the local dimension $\delta(Q_z, p_z, p_z', p_z'')$ of subschemes of length p_z in Q_z , with multiplicities p_z' and p_z'' of intersection with L and D respectively. If μ_z denotes the biggest multiplicity of a planar fat point contained in P_z then

$$\delta(Q_z, p_z, p_z', p_z'') \le p_z - \mu_z.$$

In our case as long as $p_z > 0$ we have $\mu_z = 1, 2$, as no bigger fat point fits inside Y_1 . If $p'_z \ge 2$ and $p'_z \ge 2$ then $\mu_z = 2$, otherwise $\mu_z = 1$.

On the other hand, if Q_z is curvilinear i.e. contained in a smooth curve, then the space of choices of P_z is discrete and $\delta(Q_z, p_z, p_z', p_z'') = 0$. This will be the case for any point of $D - \{0\}$ and also for any point $z \in L - \{0\}$ where X is not tangent to the double structure. Also in case (b8) this will be the case at the vertex of Y_1 if X is not tangent.

Write $\delta(Q_z, p_z, p_z', p_z'') = p_z - n_z$ where $n_z \ge \mu_z$, with $n_z = p_z$ in the curvilinear case.

In general we will partition the space of choices of (Y_1, P_z) into subfamilies according to various conditions of tangency or other multiplicities including tangencies with X and the p_z' and p_z'' above. It suffices to estimate the dimension f of the family in each case separately. Denote by φ the dimension of the stratum in the space of choices of Y_1 with given conditions of intersection with X, and denote by Q_z the local intersections for some member Y_1 . Given the choice of Y_1 , there are finitely many points z in the support of $Y_1 \cap X$ so we don't need to consider the variation of z, so

$$f \le \varphi + \sum_{z} \delta(Q_z, p_z, p_z', p_z'') = \varphi + d - \sum_{z} n_z.$$

For brevity we denote $\delta(Q_z, p_z, p_z', p_z'')$ by δ_z .

Consider the case (b3) of a planar double line, with c=5. If X is nowhere tangent to Y_1 then $\varphi=5$ and $\delta_z=0$ so we get $e\leq d-1\leq 2d-5$. Suppose X is tangent to Y_1 at one or more points. The family of planar double lines tangent to X has dimension $\varphi=3$ and $\sum_z n_z \geq 1$ so $f\leq d+2$, giving $e\leq 2d-4$.

Consider the case (b5) of a skew double line, with c=6. Again, if X is nowhere tangent to X then the dimension of the space of choices of Y_1 is 4 for the line L, plus 3 for the skew structure, that is $\varphi=7$. As $\delta_z=0$ this gives f=7 and $e\leq d$. Suppose X is tangent at some point z with $p'_z=2$. The number of choices of a

tangent line L is 3, the number of choices of a skew double structure with given tangent plane at that tangent point is 2, this gives $\varphi \leq 5$. Since there must be a point distinct from z in |P| we have $\sum_{z} n_z \geq 2$, so $f \leq d+3$ and $e \leq 2d-4$. Suppose X is tangent at a point z and $p'_z \geq 3$. Then the tangent line L to X has a higher order of contact. The dimension of space of choices of L is ≤ 2 so $\varphi \leq 4$ in this case. Still $\sum_{z} n_z \geq 1$ so $f \leq d+3$ and $e \leq 2d-4$.

Consider the case (b8) of a planar double line plus a transverse D, with c=7. The dimension of the space of choices of Y_1 is 5 for the planar double structure, plus 1 for the vertex 0 along L plus 2 for the line D coming out of the vertex, thus $\varphi=8$ if there are no constraints on Y_1 . The CB condition implies that $\ell(P\cap D)\geq 4$.

Consider the stratum of choices where X is nowhere tangent to the double structure. Then Q_z is contained in the transverse intersection of the plane with X at each $z \neq 0$, i.e. Q_z are all curvilinear. For these points $\delta_z = 0$. At the vertex, note that Y_1 is contained in a union of two planes transverse to X, so Q_0 is contained in an ordinary double point. In this case $\delta_0 \leq 1$. Putting these together gives $f \leq 9$, whence $e \leq d+1$.

Consider the stratum of choices where X is not tangent to the double structure at the vertex, but is tangent at some other point of L. The family of choices of Y_1 has dimension 3 for the tangent line L, plus 1+2 for the vertex and transverse line D. Thus $\varphi \leq 6$. The points z on $X \cap D$ contribute $\delta_z = 0$ away from the vertex, and $\delta_z \leq 1$ at the vertex (since, as in the previous paragraph, X is not tangent to the double structure at the vertex so Q_0 is contained in an ordinary double point). There are at least 4 points counted with multiplicity along D, contributing only $\delta_0 \leq 1$. The length of the piece of P supported away from D is $\leq d-4$, so the sum of δ_z for this part of P is $\leq d-5$ (using $\sum n_z \geq 1$), we get altogether $\sum_{z \in |P|} \delta_z \leq d-4$. Hence $f \leq d+2$ and $e \leq 2d-6$.

Consider the stratum of choices where X is tangent to the double structure at the vertex 0. Here there are 3 choices for L tangent to X and 2 choices for D coming out of the tangent point, so $\varphi \leq 5$. On the other hand, P must contain a subscheme of length ≥ 4 along D, but since X is transverse to D, it contains a subscheme of length ≥ 3 along $D - \{0\}$. This part of P contributes $\delta = 0$. The remainder of P, that is the part supported set-theoretically along L, has length $\leq d-3$. For this part we have $\sum n_z \geq 1$ so $\sum \delta_z \leq d-4$, hence $f \leq d+1$ and $e \leq 2d-7$. This completes the case (b8).

Consider the case (b9) of a skew double line plus a tangent D, with c=7. Look first at the strata where X is not tangent to the double structure at the vertex 0. The dimension of the space of choices of Y_1 is 4 for the line, plus 3 for the skew structure, plus 1 for the vertex, plus 1 for the tangent line, giving $\varphi=9$. Note that Q_0 is curvilinear, being contained in the intersection of X with a smooth quadric surface containing Y_1 . Thus, it contributes $\delta_0=0$. Similarly for any other $z\in D$, $\delta_z=0$. Since $\ell(P\cap D)\geq 4$, the part of P which doesn't touch D has length $\leq d-4$. If this part is nonempty then its $\sum n_z\geq 1$ so $\sum \delta_z\leq d-5$. This gives $f\leq d+4$ hence $e\leq 2d-4$.

Suppose from now on that X is tangent to the double structure at the vertex. The number of choices is 3 for the tangent line, plus 2 for the double structure with given tangent plane at the vertex, plus 1 for the line D emanating from 0 in the tangent plane, thus $\varphi \leq 6$. If $p_0'' \leq 1$ then the part of P touching L has length $\leq d-3$, and we get $\sum \delta_z \leq d-4$ hence $f \leq d+2$ and $e \leq 2d-6$. Similarly, if $p_0' \leq 1$

then there is at least one other point z in $P \cap L$ so $\sum n_z \geq 2$ and $\sum \delta_z \leq d-2$, hence $f \leq d+4$ and $e \leq 2d-4$. In the remaining case, $p'_0 \geq 2$ and $p''_0 \geq 2$. This means that P contains a fat point of multiplicity 2 in the tangent plane at the vertex, so $\mu_0 = 2$ and $\sum n_z \geq 2$. Therefore as before $\sum \delta_z \leq d-2$, hence $f \leq d+4$ and $e \leq 2d-4$. This completes the treatment of the last case (b9).

In the next sections we apply these considerations to the cases $d \leq 9$.

7.3. When d = 9.

Proposition 7.9. For a general quintic, the irreducible component of $M_X(2, -1, 9)$ considered in Theorem 6.2, is the only one; this completes the proof of that theorem.

Proof. The expected dimension is 16, so every component of the moduli space has dimension \geq 16. In Proposition 7.4, case (a) is ruled out by d=9>8 and cases (e) and (f) are ruled out because we need c<9. By Lemmas 7.5 and 7.8, the dimensions of the strata for cases (b) and (c) are \leq 14.

Consider the strata corresponding to Case (d). Note that c=8 so the number of choices of extension d-c-1 vanishes; hence e is equal to the dimension of the space of choices of P. And of course the dimension of the image of Σ_d in the moduli space of bundles is $\leq e$.

Refer to the cases of Lemma 7.6. In all cases except (d1) and (d3), the curve Y is contained in a union of two planes. For these cases, consider the variety of triples (UU',P) where H=UU' is a union of two planes, and $P\subset H\cap X$. The family of choices of UU' has dimension 6, and for each choice, the space of choices of P has dimension $\leq d$ by the BGS estimate [5]. Hence, the family of choices of P in all cases except (d1) and (d3), has dimension $\leq d+6=15$. These strata cannot therefore give any additional irreducible components.

For case (d1), once Y is fixed, the choice of P is discrete unless X is tangent to one of the ordinary double points. Consider the stratum of choices such that X is tangent to a double points. The dimension of the space of choices of Y is $\leq 16-3a$ and for given Y the dimension of the space of P is $\leq a$; hence the dimension of the space of choices of P is ≤ 14 and, again, this can't contribute any new irreducible components.

For case (d3), proceed similarly: if X is not tangent to the containing surface at the cusp, then the choice of P is discrete; otherwise, the dimension of the family of Y is ≤ 3 but $Q = X \cap Y$ is contained in a cuspidal curve and the space of choices of P_z at the cusp z, again has dimension ≤ 1 . This can be shown by an argument using the normalization of the cuspidal curve and the conductor ideal. So the total dimension of the space of choices of P is again ≤ 14 and this case doesn't contribute any new irreducible components. This finishes the list of cases to be treated, proving that the moduli space is irreducible.

7.4. When d = 8.

Recall the explicit constructions of families of bundles for d = 8, in §6. Note that $c \le 7$ by CB so we are in cases (a) or (b) of Proposition 7.4.

Look first at Case (a) where, in view of d=8, P_V has to be equal to the full complete intersection of three basis elements of V. Then V is equal to the space of all quadrics vanishing on P_V , an application of Lasker's theorem [10, p. 314]. In particular, c=7 and the corresponding stratum in the moduli space of bundles is equal to the space of subschemes P in question.

Consider the following incidence variety suggested by A. Hirschowitz. Let A denote the open subset of Grass(3, 10) of 3-planes $V \subset \mathbb{C}^10 = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ which define a zero-dimensional intersection P_V of three quadrics. Note that $\dim(A) = 21$. Let

$$I \subset A \times \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(5))$$

denote the incidence variety of pairs (V,X) such that $P_V \subset X$. For each $V \in A$, the subscheme P_V imposes 8 conditions on quintic hypersurfaces, indeed it already imposes 7 conditions on quadrics, and it isn't too hard to see, using the fact that not too many points can be coplanar or colinear, that there exists a quintic passing through seven points but not the eighth, schematically. Thus, the map $I \to A$ is smooth with fibers of codimension 8 in $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(5))$. It follows that I is a smooth codimension 8 subvariety of $A \times \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(5))$. The intersection of I with the general fiber of the projection p_2 to $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(5))$ is therefore smooth and has codimension 8 in A. Furthermore, if $A' \subset A$ is any open set, then the general fiber of p_2 on A', is dense in the general fiber of p_2 on A. We conclude that if X is a general quintic hypersurface, then the space of extensions of type (a) is a smooth variety of dimension 13, containing as a dense open subset the space of extensions of type (a) with V in any given open subset of the grassmanian.

Lemma 7.10. For d = 8, the space of extensions of type (a) is an irreducible smooth variety of dimension 13.

Proof. The argument given above shows that it is smooth of dimension 13, it remains to show irreducibility. The above argument also shows the following: let η denote the generic point of the space of quintics, and $\overline{\eta}$ the generic geometric point corresponding to a quintic $X_{\overline{\eta}}$. The Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\eta}/\eta)$ acts on the set of irreducible components of $M_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}(2,-1,8)$. A more geometric vision of this action is to say that as X moves around in an open subset of the space of quintics, the fundamental group acts by permutation on the set of components. In either point of view, irreducibility of the incidence variety I implies that the action is transitive.

Go back to the example of 8 points on a rational normal curve; recall that this defined a 12-dimensional family inside the open subset $M_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}^{(a)}(2,-1,8)$ of the moduli space corresponding to bundles of type (a).

A monodromy argument shows that as the rational normal curve Y moves around in its parameter space, the monodromy group acts transitively on the choice of subset of 8 out of the 15 intersection points $X \cap Y$. There is an open subset of the space of rational normal curves parametrizing those whose intersection with X is a discrete set of 15 distinct points. For a basepoint Y_0 the fundamental group π_1 acts on $Y_0 \cap X$. Since 6 points determine a rational normal curve, the action of π_1 can send the first six points to any other set of six, in any order. On the other hand, choose 5 points and degenerate them to a configuration of the form $X \cap D$ where D is a general line. Then Y degenerates to $D \cup Z$ where Z is a conic meeting D transversally, with $Z \subset U$ for U a plane transverse to D. Then we can choose any 4 points in U, which together with the fifth point $U \cap D$ determine a conic Z meeting D. The 4 points can be moved around inside $U \cap X$ in an arbitrary way. From this construction it follows that the subgroup of π_1 fixing 5 of the points, acts transitively on the set of ordered quadruples of points in the remaining 10. Therefore, any 8 points can be moved to any 8 others.

The preceding paragraph shows that the 12-dimensional family constructed in $\S 6.2$ is irreducible. However, as we noted there, the dimension of the Zariski tangent space at a general point is 13, and by Corollary 3.5 (as in the proof of 6.1) it lives inside a 13-dimensional component of the moduli space; hence that component is smooth at a general point of our 12-dimensional family, and this defines canonically a single irreducible component of $M_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}^{(a)}(2,-1,8)$. Being canonically defined, this component is preserved by the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\eta}/\eta)$; but transitivity of that action, implies that it is the unique irreducible component. This shows that $M_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}^{(a)}(2,-1,8)$ is irreducible. The same therefore holds for all quintic surfaces in an open subset of the parameter space.

Theorem 7.11. On a general quintic for d = 8, the moduli space $M_X(2, -1, 8)$ is irreducible of dimension 13, one more than the expected dimension. It contains the smooth subset of Lemma 7.10 as an open dense subset.

Proof. From Lemma 7.8, the dimensions of the strata corresponding to case (b) are all ≤ 12 , but any irreducible component has dimension ≥ 13 by Corollary 3.5. Note that cases (c)-(f) are ruled out by the fact that $c \leq 7$ for a Cayley-Bacharach subscheme of degree 8. Therefore, every irreducible component meets the subset of bundles of type (a); and this is irreducible by Lemma 7.10.

7.5. When d = 6, 7.

As pointed out in §6.1, for $d \leq 7$ we have $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) > 0$. For d = 6, 7 the subscheme P is too big to be contained in $D \cap X$ for a line D, so it must be contained in a unique plane U. The conditions imposed by C on quadrics factor through the 6-dimensional space of conics on U, so $c \leq 6$.

Suppose $c \leq 4$. Then P would be contained in two different conics on U; but this is impossible. Indeed, if the conics intersect transversally in a scheme of length 4 there isn't enough room for P, but if the conics intersect in a line plus a point, the Cayley-Bacharach condition of P rules out that P could contain the extra point, so P would be contained in a line but again there isn't enough room. We conclude that c=5 or c=6.

Proposition 7.12. For a general quintic surface X the moduli space $M_X(2, -1, 7)$ has a single irreducible component of dimension 9 whose general point corresponds to an extension where P is a general arrangement of 7 points on a curve of the form $U \cap X$ for a general plane U. Each bundle corresponds to a one-dimensional space of choices of (U, P). The moduli space is generically non-reduced, with Zariski tangent space of dimension 11.

Proof. For every bundle E, we have $h^0(E(1)) = 2$. Indeed by the Euler characteristic $h^0(E(1)) \ge 2$ (see §6.1) but a subscheme P of length 7 cannot be contained in a line, so $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) \le 1$ which gives $h^0(E(1)) \le 2$.

Consider the variety of extensions Σ_7 . This maps to the moduli space of bundles, with fibers of dimension 1: the fibers correspond to the choice of a line inside the 2-dimensional space $H^0(E(1))$. So, it suffices to show that Σ_7 has a single irreducible component of dimension 10.

By Corollary 3.5, every irreducible component of Σ_7 has dimension $\geq 3d-11=10$. For each extension, the plane U containing P is unique. If we fix U then the space of choices of P is the Hilbert scheme of a plane curve, so it has dimension d=7. A general choice of P yields c=6 and we get a family of dimension 10.

This family is irreducible, because for a general U the curve $X \cap U$ is smooth and the Hilbert scheme is irreducible. The subvariety of choices corresponding to c = 6, where $U \cap X$ is singular, has dimension ≤ 9 so it cannot form an irreducible component of Σ_7^6 .

Suppose c = 5. Then P is contained in a conic $Y \subset U$.

For strata where the singularities of Y don't meet the singularities of $X \cap U$, the choice of $P \subset Y \cap X$ is discrete, and the dimension of the family of choices of P is 3 for the plane U, plus 5 for the conic. This gives f=8 and e=f+d-c-1=9. The dimension of these strata of Σ_7^5 is therefore ≤ 9 and they cannot contribute new irreducible components.

The space of choices (U,Y,P) such that $U\cap X$ and Y share a common double point, has dimension bounded by 2 for the plane U tangent to X, plus 2 for the singular conic with fixed vertex, plus 1 for a choice of $P\subset Q$ since Q is contained in a planar curve with a single node. Adding d-c-1=1 we get $e\leq 6$ so this case doesn't give any new components.

The space of choices of (U,P) such that U has worse than a single double point, has dimension 1 for the choice of U, plus at most 7 for the choice of P in the curve $U \cap X$ (this is undoubtedly not the best possible bound but it suffices for our purposes). Adding d-c-1=1, we conclude that these strata of Σ_7^5 have dimension ≤ 9 so they don't add any new components.

Altogether, Σ_7^5 has dimension ≤ 9 so the only irreducible component of Σ_7 is the general one of dimension 10 constructed at the start. The moduli space is irreducible of dimension 9.

The expected dimension is 8 and the space of obstructions has dimension 3 by Lemma 5.12, so the Zariski tangent space has dimension 11 at a general point; therefore the moduli space is generically non-reduced. \Box

Proposition 7.13. For a general quintic surface X the moduli space $M_X(2,-1,6)$ has a single irreducible component of dimension 7 whose general point corresponds to a choice of 6 points out of the 10 in $X \cap Y$ for Y a planar conic. The moduli space is generically smooth.

Proof. When d=6, the condition c< d and the argument at the start of this section show that c=5. As in the previous proposition, for any E in the moduli space, $H^0(E(1))$ has dimension 2 so the space of extensions Σ_6^5 fibers over the moduli space of bundles with fibers \mathbb{P}^1 .

So, look at the space Σ_6^5 of extensions. Since d-c-1=0, for any P the extension class is uniquely determined up to scalars, and Σ_6^5 is just the Hilbert scheme of appropriate P. As previously, any P is contained in a unique plane U and, since c=5, it is contained in a unique conic $Y\subset P$. By Corollary 3.5, every irreducible component of Σ_6^5 has dimension $\geq 3d-11=7$.

Write $\Sigma_6^5 = \Sigma \cup \Sigma' \cup \Sigma''$ where Σ is the locus where Y is a smooth conic, Σ'' is the locus where Y is a union of two distinct lines, and Σ'' is the locus where Y is a double line.

Given (U,Y) with Y a smooth conic, then the space of choices of $P \subset Q := Y \cap X$ is discrete since Y is a smooth curve. By a monodromy argument, the covering of the space of (U,Y) corresponding to choosing 6 points in the intersection Q of length 10, is irreducible. The argument is analogous to the one used in the proof of Lemma 7.10. The fundamental group π_1 of the space of conics which intersect X transversally, acts on the intersection set of 10 points. Since 5 points determine a

conic, we can position the first 5 points arbitrarily. On the other hand, degenerating 5 points to a line, then a sixth point can be positioned arbitrarily, together with a 7th point they determine the other line in a reducible conic.

Thus, the closure of Σ is an 8-dimensional irreducible component of Σ_6^5 .

Consider the map from Σ' to the space \mathcal{F} of (U,Y) where Y is a union of two lines. Over the open set \mathcal{F}^0 where the vertex of Y is not a point of tangency of U to X, the intersection $Q := Y \cap X$ is a subscheme of a smooth curve at every point (either the curve Y or the curve $X \cap U$); so the fiber (i.e. the space of choices of P) is discrete over such points. The space \mathcal{F}^0 has dimension 7, and the corresponding piece of Σ' is a covering corresponding to the choice of 3 points in each of the two lines intersected with X. This 7-dimensional family is not a new irreducible component, indeed at a general point Y can be smoothed and P follows to generalize to a point of Σ , and special points would constitute strata of dimensions ≤ 6 .

Consider the complement $\mathcal{F}^1 = \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}^0$ where the vertex of the two lines is a point of tangency of U to X. This has dimension 4, i.e. 2 for choice of a tangent plane U, plus 2 for a choice of two lines passing through the point of tangency. The space of choices of P has dimension ≤ 1 , so the piece of Σ' corresponding to \mathcal{F}^1 has dimension ≤ 5 and cannot furnish a new irreducible component. We conclude from these two paragraphs that Σ' is in the closure of Σ .

For Σ'' , let \mathcal{G} be the space of choices of (U,Y) where Y is a double line in U. It has dimension 5. Let \mathcal{G}^0 be the subset consisting of pairs (U,Y) such that $U \cap X$ has at most one double points on Y. For any $(U,Y) \in \mathcal{G}_0$, the subscheme $Q = X \cap Y$ is a subscheme of a smooth curve or, at most at one point an ordinary double point. So the space of choices of P has dimension ≤ 1 over any point of \mathcal{G}^0 and the dimension of this part of Σ'' is ≤ 6 ; hence it cannot contribute an irreducible component.

Let \mathcal{G}^1 be the space of choices of (U,Y) such that $U\cap X$ has an ordinary cusp at a point of Y. It has dimension 2, equal to 1 for the choice of U plus 1 for the choice of line passing through the cusp. For any $(U,Y)\in\mathcal{G}^1$ the subscheme $Q=Y\cap X$ is contained in the cuspidal curve $U\cap X$, so the space of choices of P has dimension ≤ 1 (see the argument recalled in §7.7 below). Hence this part of Σ'' has dimension ≤ 3 .

Let \mathcal{G}^2 be the space of choices of (U,Y) such that $U \cap X$ has two distinct ordinary double points along Y. This has dimension 1 since the line passing through the double points is determined. The space of choices of P has dimension ≤ 2 so the dimension of this part of Σ'' is ≤ 3 .

Consider, last but not least, the complement \mathcal{G}^3 of the subsets considered previously. A point (U,Y) consists of a plane with higher order of contact than an ordinary cusp, or else a cusp and a double point. The space of choices of U has dimension 0. At this point we should ignore the choice of Y and point out that for each U, the space of choices of $P \subset X \cap U$ has dimension ≤ 6 , so the space of choices of P leading to an element of \mathcal{G}^3 cannot have dimension bigger than 6, so this part of Σ'' cannot contribute a new irreducible component.

This concludes the proof that the only irreducible component of Σ_6^5 is the 8-dimensional one coming from a choice of P in a general intersection $X \cap Y$ for Y a planar conic. As pointed out at the start and similarly to the preceding proposition, the dimension of the moduli space is one less: $M_X(2,-1,6)$ is irreducible of dimension 7.

The expected dimension is 4 and by Lemma 5.12 which applies everywhere, the space of obstructions has dimension 3 so the Zariski tangent space has dimension 7. This is the same as the dimension, so the moduli space is smooth—the obstructions vanish.

7.6. When d = 4, 5.

Lemma 7.14. For d = 4,5 the moduli space is irreducible of dimension d - 2.

Proof. Recall from §6.1 that for d=4,5 the subscheme P is contained in $Q=X\cap D$ for a line D. As Q is contained in the smooth curve D, the space of choices for P is discrete. We obtain a map $\Sigma_d \to \operatorname{Grass}(1,\mathbb{P}^3)$ to the 4-dimensional Grassmanian of lines in \mathbb{P}^3 . For any subset P of length ≥ 4 , the number of conditions imposed on quadrics is c=3.

For d = 5, Σ_d fibers over the Grassmanian of lines with fiber of dimension 1, in fact the fibration is the open subset of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle of extension classes, given by the condition of nonvanishing at the points of P (see the discussion above Corollary 3.5).

For d=4 the space of collections of 4 aligned points maps to the Grassmanian of lines by a 5-fold ramified covering; but the covering is still irreducible (as can be seen by a monodromy argument upon moving the line). The extension class is unique so the moduli space is isomorphic to this covering.

In both cases, $\dim(\Sigma_d) = d$. However, the extension of the form (5.2) is not uniquely determined by the bundle E. Indeed, $h^0(\mathcal{J}_P(1)) = 2$ so $h^0(E(1)) = 3$. An extension corresponds to a choice of line in the three dimensional space $H^0(E(1))$. Therefore Σ_d is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over $M_X(2, -1, d)$ which gives the stated dimension.

It should be interesting to study the spectral varieties of co-obstructions here.

7.7. **Appendix: techniques for estimating the dimensions.** In this appendix we state more explicitly the bounds on the dimensions of (local) Hilbert schemes that we are using. The general results of [5] bound the dimension of the global Hilbert scheme of subschemes of a plane curve, and give some bounds for the dimension of the local Hilbert schemes.

Proposition 7.15 (Briançon, Granger, Speder [5]). If $Z \subset X$ is a curve in a smooth surface X, then the Hilbert scheme of subschemes $P \subset Z$ of length d has pure dimension d. If $z \in Z$ is a point, then the Hilbert scheme of subschemes $P \subset Z$ of length d supported set-theoretically at z, has dimension $\leq d-1$. Furthermore, the stratum of subschemes P containing the fat punctual subscheme of X defined by \mathfrak{m}_z^{ν} , has dimension $\leq d-\nu$.

Of course, if Z is a smooth curve then any subscheme is locally defined by a power of a uniformizing parameter, so the local Hilbert scheme has dimension 0. It is useful to know about the next two cases, when Z has an ordinary double point or a cusp. In these cases, the local dimensions are ≤ 1 . These examples are implicit in the discussion of [5] and should be assumed as well-known, however the cusp case doesn't seem to have been mentioned explicitly and we haven't yet found a good reference. For convenience we give an argument.

Lemma 7.16. Suppose Z has an ordinary double point or a cusp at z. The dimension δ_z of the Hilbert scheme of subschemes $P \subset Z$ of length d, set-theoretically supported at z, is $\delta_z \leq 1$.

Proof. Choose first subschemes $R_z \subset T_z \subset Z$ (supported at z) and then look at P_z lying between R_z and T_z . The idea is to construct R_z and T_z depending on P_z , but in such a way that they vary in a much smaller and in fact discrete family. The dimension δ_z will be bounded by the dimension of the space of choices of R_z and T_z , plus the dimension, denoted $\delta_z(R_z, T_z)$, of the space $S(R_z, T_z; d)$ of choices of $R_z \subset P_z \subset T_z$. Using the inclusion of $S(R_z, T_z; d)$ in the Grassmanian of quotients of \mathcal{J}_{R_z/T_z} of length $\ell(\mathcal{J}_{R_z/P_z})$ we get

(7.1)
$$\delta_z(R_z, T_z) \le \ell(\mathcal{J}_{R_z/P_z})\ell(\mathcal{J}_{P_z/T_z}).$$

Let \tilde{Z} be the normalization of the curve Z. Let $\tilde{R}_z \subset \tilde{Z}$ be the subscheme of \tilde{Z} defined by the pullback of the equations defining P_z ; and let $R_z \subset Z$ be the smallest subscheme containing the image of \tilde{R}_z scheme-theoretically, in other words $\mathcal{J}_{R_z/Z}$ is generated by all the functions which, when pulled back to \tilde{Z}_z , vanish on \tilde{R}_z .

The data of $\tilde{R}_z \subset Z$ is determined by the lengths of the subschemes at each point upstairs in the normalization, since there we are in a smooth curve. Thus, the set of possible choices of \tilde{R}_z and hence of $R_z \subset Z$, has dimension zero. We may assume that \tilde{R}_z and R_z are fixed. Notice on the other hand, by construction, that $R_z \subset P_z$.

To construct the outer subscheme T_z , use the conductor ideal $\mathbf{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}$ of the extension $\mathcal{O}_Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}$. That is the ideal \mathbf{a} of functions u such that for any $v \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}$, the product uv is in \mathcal{O}_Z . Applying the definition for v=1 we conclude that $\mathbf{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z$ too. On the other hand, we have the ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{R}_z/\tilde{Z}}$ which is generated by the generators of $\mathcal{J}_{P_z/Z}$, in other words $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{R}_z} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{O}_P$. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{J}_{R_z/Z} = \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{R}_z/\tilde{Z}} \cap \mathcal{O}_Z.$$

Since clearly $\mathcal{J}_{P_z/Z} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{R}_z/\tilde{Z}}$, we also have

$$\mathcal{J}_{P_z/Z} \subset \mathcal{J}_{R_z/Z}$$
.

On the other hand, by definition of **a** we have $\mathbf{a}\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{R}_z/\tilde{Z}} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z$, so this is the ideal of a subscheme

$$\mathcal{J}_{T_z/Z} := \mathbf{a} \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{R}_z/\tilde{Z}}.$$

Now $\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{R}_z/\tilde{Z}}$ is the image of the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{J}_{P_x/Z} \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{J}_{T_z/Z}$ is the image of the map

$$\mathbf{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{J}_{P_z/Z} \to \mathcal{O}_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}$$

and we have $\mathcal{J}_{T_z/Z} \subset \mathcal{J}_{P_z/Z}$.

Altogether, once \tilde{R}_z is fixed, we get the subschemes $R_z \subset T_z$ and P_z lies between the two. In the present case, the dimension of the space of choices of R_z and T_z is zero, so we get from (7.1)

(7.2)
$$\delta_z \le \ell(\mathcal{J}_{R_z/P_z})\ell(\mathcal{J}_{P_z/T_z}).$$

For an ordinary double point, the conductor ideal **a** is just the maximal ideal at z and we have $\mathcal{J}_{R_z/T_z} \cong \mathcal{J}_{R_z/Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathbf{a})$. Its length is the number of generators of $\mathcal{J}_{R_z/Z}$ which is always 2, thus

$$\ell(\mathcal{J}_{R_z/T_z}) = \ell(\mathcal{J}_{R_z/P_z}) + \ell(\mathcal{J}_{P_z/T_z}) = 2.$$

Hence $\delta_z \leq 1$ by (7.2).

At a cusp, the coordinate on the normalized curve is denoted y, and the conductor ideal \mathbf{a} is (y^2) . This is also the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_Z . Just as for a node, the ideal $\mathcal{J}_{R_z/Y}$ has at most two generators as can be seen by running explicitly through the possibilities for \tilde{R}_z . Therefore $\ell(\mathcal{J}_{R_z/P_z}) + \ell(\mathcal{J}_{P_z/T_z}) \leq 2$ and $\delta_z \leq 1$ by (7.2). \square

References

- K. Aker, S. Szabo. Algebraic Nahm transform for parabolic Higgs bundles on P¹. Preprint arXiv:math/0610301 (2006).
- S. Azziz. Exemples de composantes irréductibles et non réduites du schéma de Hilbert des courbes lisses et connexes de P³ (II). Preprint arXiv:alg-geom/9701018 (1997).
- A. Beauville, M. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan. Spectral curves and generalized theta divisor. J. f'ur die reine angew. Math. 398 (1989) 169–179.
- 4. E. Bierstone. Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant. Inventiones Math., Volume 128 (1997), 207-302.
- J. Briançon, M. Granger, J. Speder. Sur le schéma de Hilbert d'une courbe plane. Ann. Sci. E.N.S., Volume 14 (1981), 1-25.
- L. Chiantini, D. Faenzi. Rank 2 arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on a general quintic surface. Math. Nacht. (2008).
- H. Clemens, H. Kley. On an example of Voisin. Michigan Math. J. Volume 48, Issue 1 (2000), 93-119.
- R. Donagi. Spectral covers. Current topics in complex algebraic geometry. MSRI publications, Cambridge University Press (1995), 65-86.
- S. Donaldson. Polynomial invariants for smooth four-manifolds. Topology, Volume 29 (1986), 257-315.
- D. Eisenbud, M. Green, J. Harris. Cayley Bacharach theorems and conjectures. Bull. A.M.S., Volume 33 (1996), 295-324.
- 11. G. Elencwajg, O. Forster. Vector bundles on manifolds without divisors and a theorem on deformations. Ann. Inst. Fourier 32 (1982), 25-51.
- 12. P. Ellia. Dautres composantes non réduites de Hilb \mathbb{P}^3 . Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 433446.
- R. Friedman. Rank two vector bundles over regular elliptic surfaces. Inventiones Math., Volume 96 (1989), 283-332.
- 14. D. Gieseker. On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface. Ann. of Math., Volume 106 (1977), 45-60.
- 15. D. Gieseker. A construction of stable bundles on an algebraic surface. J. Diff. Geom., Volume 27 (1988), 137-154.
- D. Gieseker, J. Li. Irreducibility of moduli of rank 2 bundles on algebraic surfaces. J. Diff. Geom., Volume 40 (1994), 23-104.
- M. Gulbrandsen. Vector bundles and monads on abelian threefolds. Preprint arXiv:0907.3597 (2009).
- G. Floystad. Determining obstructions for space curves, with applications to non-reduced components of the Hilbert scheme. J. für die reine und angewandte Math., Volume 439 (1993), 11-44.
- 19. N. Hitchin, Stable bundles and integrable systems. Duke Math. J., Volume 54 (1987), 91-114.
- E. Horikawa. On deformations of quintic surfaces. Inventiones Mathematicae, Volume 31 (1975), 43-85.
- D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn. The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves. Aspects of Mathematics 31, Max Planck Institute (1997).
- A. Iarrobino. Hilbert scheme of points: Overview of last ten years. Algebraic Geometry (Bowdoin 1985), AMS Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math. Volume 46 Part 2 (1987), 297-320.

- J. Kleppe. Non reduced components of the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves. Springer L.N.M. 1266 (1987) 181-207.
- J. Li. Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of vector bundles on surfaces. Inventiones Math.,
 Volume 115 (1994), 1-46.
- M. Martin-Deschamps, D. Perrin. Le schéma de Hilbert des courbes gauches localement Cohen-Macaulay nest (presque) jamais réduit. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., 29 (1996), 757785.
- M. Maruyama. Stable vector bundles on an algebraic surface. Nagoya Math. J., Volume 58 (1975), 25-68.
- M. Maruyama. On a family of algebraic vector bundles. Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry, Commutative Algebra, in Honor of Yasuo Akizuki (1973), 95-146.
- M. Maruyama. Elementary transformations in the theory of algebraic vector bundles. Algebraic Geometry (La Rabida), Springer L.N.M. 961 (1982), 241-266.
- 29. N. Mestrano. Sur le espaces de modules de fibrés vectoriels de rang deux sur des hypersurfaces de \mathbb{P}^3 . J. für die reine und angewandte Math., Volume 490 (1997), 65-79.
- S. Mukai. Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface. Inventiones Math. 77 (1984), 101-116.
- 31. S. Mukai, H. Nasu. Obstructions to deforming curves on a 3-fold, I: A generalization of Mumford's example and an application to Hom schemes. Arxiv preprint math/0609284 (2006).
- 32. T. Nakashima. A construction of stable vector bundles on CalabiYau manifolds. Journal of Geometry and Physics Volume 49 (2004), 224-230.
- 33. B. Ngo. Le lemme fondamental pour les algebres de Lie. Arxiv preprint arXiv:0801.0446 (2008).
- 34. P. Nijsse. The irreducibility of the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank 2 on a quintic in \mathbb{P}^3 . Preprint arXiv:alg-geom/9503012 (1995).
- 35. K. O'Grady. The irreducible components of moduli spaces of vector bundle on surfaces. Inventiones Math., Volume 112 (1993), 585-613.
- K. O'Grady. Moduli of vector bundles on projective surfaces: some basic results. Inventiones Math., Volume 123 (1996), 141–207.
- K. O'Grady. Moduli of vector-bundles on surfaces. Algebraic geometry (Santa Cruz 1995).
 AMS Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math., Volume 62, Part 1 (1997), 101–126.
- 38. K. O'Grady. Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3. J. für die reine und angewandte Math., Volume 512 (1999), 49–117.
- 39. C. Simpson. Higgs bundles and local systems. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 75 (1992), 5-95.
- A. Vistoli, The deformation theory of local complete intersections. Preprint alg-geom 9703008v2 (1997).
- K. Yoshioka. Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces. Math. Ann. 321 (2001), 817-884.
- K. Yoshioka. Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces. Arxiv preprint math/9907001 (1999).
- S. Yanagida, K. Yoshioka. Semi-homogeneious sheaves, Fourier-Mukai transforms and moduli of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces. Arxiv preprint arXiv:0906.4603 (2009).

CNRS, Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, UMR 6621, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, 06108 Nice, Cedex 2, France

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: nicoleQunice.fr} \ URL: \ \text{http://math.unice.fr/}{\sim}nicole/$

CNRS, Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, UMR 6621, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, 06108 Nice, Cedex 2, France

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: carlos@unice.fr} \ URL: \ http://math.unice.fr/\sim carlos/$