

Molecular diversity of legume root-nodule bacteria in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia.

Bénédicte Lafay, Jeremy J Burdon

To cite this version:

Bénédicte Lafay, Jeremy J Burdon. Molecular diversity of legume root-nodule bacteria in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia.. PLoS ONE, 2007, 2 (3), pp.e277. 10.1371/journal.pone.0000277 $.$ hal-00412877

HAL Id: hal-00412877 <https://hal.science/hal-00412877v1>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Molecular Diversity of Legume Root-Nodule Bacteria in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia

Bénédicte Lafay^{¤*}, Jeremy J. Burdon

Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Plant Industry, Centre for Plant Biodiversity Research, Canberra, Australia

Background. Symbiotic relationships between leguminous plants (family Fabaceae) and nodule-forming bacteria in Australia native ecosystems remain poorly characterized despite their importance. Most studies have focused on temperate parts of the country, where the use of molecular approaches have already revealed the presence of Bradyrhizobium, Ensifer (formerly Sinorhizobium), Mesorhizobium and Rhizobium genera of legume root-nodule bacteria. We here provide the first molecular characterization of nodulating bacteria from tropical Australia. Methodology/Principal Findings. 45 nodule-forming bacterial strains, isolated from eight native legume hosts at eight locations in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia, were examined for their genetic diversity and phylogenetic position. Using SSU rDNA PCR-RFLPs and phylogenetic analyses, our survey identified nine genospecies, two of which, Bradyrhizobium genospp. B and P, had been previously identified in south-eastern Australia and one, Mesorhizobium genospecies AA, in southern France. Three of the five newly characterized Bradyrhizobium genospecies were more closely related to B. japonicum USDA110, whereas the other two belonged to the B. elkanii group. All five were each more closely related to strains sampled in various tropical areas outside Australia than to strains known to occur in Australia. We also characterized an entirely novel nodule-forming lineage, phylogenetically distant from any previously described rhizobial and non-rhizobial legume-nodulating lineage within the Rhizobiales. Conclusions/Significance. Overall, the present results support the hypothesis of tropical areas being centres of biodiversity and diversification for legume root-nodule bacteria and confirm the widespread occurrence of Bradyrhizobium genosp. B in continental Australia.

Citation: Lafay B, Burdon JJ (2007) Molecular Diversity of Legume Root-Nodule Bacteria in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia. PLoS ONE 2(3): e277. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000277

INTRODUCTION

Members of the family Fabaceae represent about 10% of the estimated 18,000 native plant species in Australia where they occur in nearly all vegetation types [1]. Their ecological success may reflect the advantage that legumes gain in soils of low fertility (a characteristic of the majority of Australian ecosystems) by associating with nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Indeed, relationships between leguminous plants and their nitrogen-fixing bacterial symbionts were shown to be of particular significance for reforestation and native ecosystem restoration in Australia's low fertility soils [2,3,4]. However, the study of diversity among the symbiotic microbiota of Australian native legumes is poorly advanced as most assessments have relied on growth characteristics and nodulation experiments which only separate nodulating bacteria into fast-versus slow-growers, and according to their nitrogenfixing efficiency [5,6,7,8,9].

The legume root-nodule bacteria are collectively known as 'rhizobia' and belong to one of the six genera [10], Rhizobium, Mesorhizobium, Ensifer (formerly Sinorhizobium), Allorhizobium, Bradyrhizobium, Azorhizobium [11]. Molecular data have shown that these bacteria actually constitute a polyphyletic assemblage of alphaproteobacteria, which form the Rhizobiales group with recently identified non-rhizobia nodulating taxa [12,13,14,15,16,17] and a number of non-nodulating lineages. These latter include plant or animal pathogens, epiphytes, animal symbionts, or free-living bacteria. Additionally, bacteria capable of symbiotically associating with legumes have been identified among betaproteobacteria [18,19,20] and possibly gammaproteobacteria [21].

The few studies that have applied molecular techniques to the characterization of Australian nodulating bacteria confirmed that both fast-and slow-growing rhizobia occur naturally and detected three of the six rhizobia genera [13,22,23,24] among the isolates tested. A number of Bradyrhizobium, Mesorhizobium and Rhizobium genospecies were identified on the basis of SSU-rDNA sequence data. None of these corresponded to previously described species [22,23]. In general, *Bradyrhizobium* species predominated among rhizobia isolated from a diverse range of native legume hosts sampled from sites across southern Australia [13,22,23,24]. The various genospecies (i.e., species characterized at the genomic level only) exhibited different distribution patterns. For example, of the two most abundant genospecies that were identified in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT) and New South Wales (NSW), genospecies B occurred in a range of different climatic and edaphic conditions across the whole continent whereas genospecies A was restricted to more temperate regions [22,23].

To further knowledge of Australian rhizobial diversity and ecology in the context of re-establishment of vegetation in nitrogen-deficient soils, we conducted a preliminary survey aiming at characterizing nodulating bacteria derived from the northern tropical part of Australia.

Academic Editor: Naomi Ward, The Institute for Genomic Research, United States of America

Received January 31, 2007; Accepted February 14, 2007; Published March 7, 2007

Copyright: @ 2007 Lafay, Burdon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: We did not receive any specific funding for the study reported here.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: blafay@mpl.ird.fr

¤ Current address: UMR Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)-IRD 2724, Centre IRD, Montpellier, France

PLoS one

RESULTS

Phylogenetic Diversity of Northern Territory Legume Root-Nodule Bacteria

Forty-five isolates collected in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia were characterized by SSU rDNA PCR-RFLPs (Table 1). Nine different Rhizobiales genospecies were detected. None corresponded to described species and only three to previously characterized genospecies. These latter were Bradyrhizobium genospp. B and P, that have been identified among south-eastern Australia rhizobial communities [22] and isolated from various legume hosts from south Queensland to Tasmania [22,23], and *Mesorhizobium* genosp. AA, that we identified among the nodule isolates sampled from Cytisus scoparius in the South of France [13]. The six yet uncharacterized genospecies that we identified were arbitrarily named V, W, X, Y, Z, and AL so as to complement the denominations applied in our earlier studies of rhizobia communities [13,22]. Genospecies V to Z, were members of the genus Bradyrhizobium whereas genospecies AL showed no strong SSU rDNA sequence similarity to any of the 8 nodulating lineages within the Rhizobiales [11,13,14]. The Bradyrhizobium genospecies could be divided into two groups on the SSU rDNA basis. Genospecies V, W, and X SSU rDNA sequences were B. japonicum-like whereas Y and Z sequences were more similar to B. elkanii lineage sequences. Indeed, Bradyrhizobium taxa in that latter group possess SSU rDNAs which appear to have evolved from a recombination event between 'typical' Bradyrhizobium and Mesorhizobium SSU rDNAs [22,25]. Since this can result in spurious branching, we ran separate phylogenetic analyses. Genospecies V, W, and X grouped with Bradyrhizobium japonicum USDA110 and genospecies V and X formed a separate lineage with Bradyrhizobium sp. phym 6a and Bradyrhizobium sp. ORS 3259 (Figure 1A). Genospecies Y and Z formed a separate cluster (internal branch length statistically different from zero), although only poorly supported by 100 bootstrap replicates $(<50\%)$ within the B. elkanii lineage (Figure 1B). Interestingly, irrespective of their clustering with B. japonicum or B. elkanii, all the Bradyrhizobium genospecies characterized during this survey had closest phylogenetic relatives isolated from diverse legume hosts outside Australia that are all tropical or subtropical in origin (Genospecies V, W and X: Barro Colorado Island, Panama (AY528712), Costa Rica (AF514704), Senegal (AF514798, AY039015), Thailand (AB072419, AB07420), and Hubei, China (AF530465); Genospecies Y and Z: Costa Rica (AF514703) and Barro Colorado Island, Panama (AY187548)). The phylogeny reconstruction confirmed that genospecies AL was different from any previously reported nodulating member of the Rhizobiales. The most closely related nodulating taxon is *Methylobacterium nodulans* although other non-nodulating taxa among the Rhizobiales are more closely related (Figure 1C).

Geographical and Host Distribution

The incidence of the nine legume-nodulating taxa among the total isolate sample was highly imbalanced (Table 1). One genospecies constituted the bulk of the 45 strains (69%) whereas the other eight were each present 1–3 times only. Bradyrhizobium genosp. B, the most abundant (31 strains out of 45), was isolated from six different hosts, covering all three sub-families of the Fabaceae. Bradyrhizobium genosp. P was not recovered from any of these six hosts but occurred on the other two, Senna obtusifolia (Caesalpinioideae) and Cathormion umbellatum (Mimosoideae), from which genospecies B was not isolated. Genospecies P was only isolated once on each host but the total number of strains for each host species was also very small (two and one respectively). Each of the six newly characterized genospecies V, W, X, Y, Z, and AL as well as genospecies AA were isolated from legume host(s) also associated with *Bradyrhizobium* genosp. B. Additionally, *Bradyrhizobium* genosp. Z was isolated from Senna obtusifolia from which Bradyrhizobium genosp. B was not recovered. Genospecies Z and AA represented by two and three strains respectively were isolated from two hosts. The two Bradyrhizobium genosp. Z strains originated from different sites (Coronation Hill for Senna obtusifolia and Jabiru Drive for Indigofera linifolia) whilst Mesorhizobium genosp. AA nodulated two Indigofera species, I. colutea (one strain) and I. hirsuta (two strains), at the same site (Coronation Hill). In contrast, all three strains of Bradyrhizobium genosp. W were detected on just one host, Chamaecrista mimosoides (Caesalpinioideae), at Spring Peak Range.

The sites sampled represented various soil, vegetation and disturbance conditions (Table 1). No link between these respective characteristics and the nature of the genospecies identified at a given site was apparent. However, this could not be assessed statistically because of the sparse data. Bradyrhizobium genosp. B was found to occur at all but two sites. For these latter, only one isolate was available. Importantly, most of the genospecies characterized in the course of this study occurred at undisturbed sites. A notable exception was Mesorhizobium genosp. AA.

DISCUSSION

The identity and community structure of legume root-nodule bacteria in tropical Australia has been addressed by a few studies that assessed bacterial growth rate, nodulation ability and/or the nitrogen fixation efficiency of Acacia spp. at Groote Eylandt, Northern Territory [7,26] and of Acacia spp. [9,27] and various legumes in Queensland [28,29,30,31]. These studies concluded that legume root-nodule bacteria in northern Australia were slowgrowers ('cowpea cross-inoculation group') [31,32], with 100 strains isolated from Acacia mangium in North Queensland all being shown to be Bradyrhizobium spp. [27]. More recently, this claim has gained some support from molecular evidence. SSU-rDNA comparison demonstrated that all strains isolated from Acacia spp. in sub-tropical south Queensland were indeed Bradyrhizobium spp. [23]. In contrast, *Rhizobium tropici* (genospecies Q) could be identified among strains isolated from Acacia spp. in more southern temperate regions, and more importantly from Acacia melanoxylon which was also present at one of the Queensland sampling sites [23].

Our present results extend this work to assess genetic relatedness among legume root-nodulating strains collected from Kakadu National Park in Northern Australia. Of the 45 strains that we characterized using the same methodological approach applied in our earlier analyses of southern Australian legume root-nodulating communities, most (91%) were indeed Bradyrhizobium species. It thus appears, in agreement with earlier studies in south-eastern Australia [5,6,8,9,22,23], Queensland [23,27,28,29,30,31] and Western Australia [24,33], that Bradyrhizobium is by far the most common lineage present among legume root-nodule bacteria throughout Australia. Interestingly, Bradyrhizobium genosp. B was predominant in the Northern Territory, thus lending support to the hypothesis that it is the most widespread genospecies occurring in Australia [23]. As previously observed, it was found to be promiscuous, and exhibited a broad host range encompassing all three Fabaceae subfamilies. However, as we observed in southeastern Australia [22,23], a small number of strains (four) did not belong to that lineage. In this regard, the diversity of legume rootnodule bacteria in tropical Australia appears to be little different from that found in tropical areas of other parts of the world [14,34,35,36].

 0.02

Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships of the six newly characterized Rhizobiales genospecies. Internal branches with length not significantly different from zero are shown as a dashed line. Numbers correspond to percentage bootstrap support for internal branches based on 100 replications (only values above 50% are shown). Scale bar corresponds to numbers of nucleotide substitution per site. A) Phylogenetic position of genospecies V, W and X within the B. japonicum group. B) Phylogenetic position of genospecies Y and Z within the B. elkanii group. C) Phylogenetic position of genospecies AL within Rhizobiales. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000277.g001

Nine genospecies were identified among 45 strains collected over a small area (Kakadu National Park) whereas only 21 genospecies were detected among 745 strains collected in ACT and NSW [23]. Nine of the latter genospecies were also identified among 118 isolates collected over a wider area of south-eastern Australia including southern Queensland and Tasmania [23]. However, seven out of the nine genospecies occurring in the Northern Territory had not been previously found among the 863 (745+118) isolates from south-eastern Australia. Nor did they correspond to any of the genospecies characterized by partial SSU rDNAs in Western Australia [24]. Furthermore, we detected a novel strain that was not related to any of the currently described legume root-nodule alpha-and beta-proteobacterial lineages [11,13,14]. Indeed, genospecies AL clearly stands apart from genera where nodulating taxa have been identified and cannot be ascribed to any of the current, formally described lineages. It thus represents an entirely novel lineage capable of associating symbiotically with legumes and is probably a new genus within the Rhizobiales. Our results thus provide some support for the claims that tropical regions are one of the main areas of legume

biodiversity [37] and that tropical forests are centres of origin of legume root-nodule bacteria [32,38].

However, of the four non-*Bradyrhizobium* isolates, three corresponded to *Mesorhizobium* genosp. AA which had previously been identified on another continent [13]. Accordingly, within the Mesorhizobium huakuii phylogenetic cluster, in contrast to two (T and U) of the three Mesorhizobium genospecies that were found in southeastern Australia [22], genospecies AA is more closely related to other lineages identified in other parts of the world [13]. There is a possibility that exotic rhizobia were introduced with planting stock used to revegetate mine site areas of Kakadu National Park [3]. The occurrence of Mesorhizobium genosp. AA isolates at the Coronation Hill mine site in Kakadu National Park may thus result from the site disturbance.

Restoration of land plant communities and land rehabilitation involving leguminous plants require that appropriate rhizobial symbionts are present in the often degraded soils. Our preliminary survey shows that, although some genospecies had previously been identified in temperate Australia, the nodulating bacteria in tropical Australia are overall more diverse than those found in Table 1. Distribution of the nodulating taxa, identity and numbers of isolates according to site of origin and legume host^a ..

a
Cm, Chamaecrista mimosoides; So, Senna obtusifolia; Cu, Cathormion umbellatum; Ng, Neptunia gracilis; Ic, Indigofera colutea; Ih, Indigofera hirsuta; Il, Indigofera linifolia; Is, Indigofera saxicola.

^bLatitude/longitude, vegetation, soil and disturbance conditions are given for each site.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000277.t001

other part of the continent and that some genospecies may be typically tropical in origin. This should thus be taken into account when planning re-vegetation programmes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains

The 45 isolates used in this study were provided by the Alligator Rivers Regional Institute (ARRI) and Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist (ERISS), Kakadu National Park, Australia. These nodulating bacteria had been collected and authenticated in 1991 and 1992 from 8 legume species representing 5 genera belonging to the Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae at 8 locations in Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia (Table 1) [39].

DNA Preparation

Bacterial DNA was prepared using the method of Sritharan and Barker [40]. Bacteria were grown on yeast extract mannitol agar (YMA) medium at $28^{\circ}C$ [41] for three to ten days depending on the strain and species until colonies appear. Single colonies were picked and suspended in $100 \mu l$ of $10 \mu M$ Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100 solution and boiled for 5 min. After a single chloroform extraction, $5 \mu l$ of the supernatant were used in the amplification reaction.

SSU rRNA Gene Amplification

Primers corresponding to positions 8 to 28 and 1492 to 1509 [42] in the Escherichia coli SSU rRNA sequence (J01695) were used for amplification of the SSU rRNA genes by polymerase chain reaction. PCR reactions were carried out in a 100 µl volume containing $5 \mu l$ of template DNA solution, $50 \mu l$ of each of the two primers, $200 \mu M$ dNTP and $2.5 U$ of Amplitaq DNA polymerase (Perkin Elmer) in Amplitaq DNA polymerase reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM $MgCl₂$). Amplifications were performed using the following temperature profile: an initial cycle consisting of a denaturation step at 95° C for 5 min, an annealing step at 52° C for 120 sec and an extension step

at 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 90 sec; then 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 sec, annealing at 52° C for 60 sec, and extension at 72° C for 60 sec; and a final extension step at 72° C for 5 min.

SSU rDNA PCR-RFLPs

Aliquots (10 µl) of PCR products were digested with restriction endonucleases [43]. A combination of four enzymes (HhaI, HinfI, MspI, RsaI), which distinguished rhizobial species [43] was used. Restricted fragments were separated by electrophoresis on 3% NuSieve 3:1 agarose gels at 80V for 5 h, and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

PCR Product Sequencing

Representative examples of isolates possessing a PCR-RFLP genotype distinct from those that we previously identified [13,22,23] were used in a subsequent sequence comparison. Small-subunit rDNA PCR products were purified using a WizzardTM PCR Preps DNA purification System (Promega) as specified by the manufacturer. The sequencing reaction was performed using the ABI PRISMTM Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit with Amplitaq DNA polymerase, FS as specified by the manufacturer. Sequencing products were analyzed using an ABI automatic sequencer model 377. Sense and antisense synthetic primers complementary to conserved eubacterial domains [44] were used to sequence both strands of the SSU rRNA gene. Accession numbers for these sequences are AJ785288 to AJ785292, and AJ785294.

Sequence Analysis

The SSU rDNA sequences were compared to a database of aligned near full-length SSU rDNAs for each Rhizobiales species type strains [10] or the best sequence (longest and containing as few ambiguities as possible) for Rhizobiales lineages not represented by a type strain. The SSU-rDNA sequences for the rhizobial Australian genospecies that we had previously characterized [22] and the five sequences most similar to the sequences obtained in this study identified through Mega BLAST search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) were also included in the analyses.

Phylogenetic analyses were performed using near full-length aligned SSU rDNA sequences, only the extreme $5'$ -and $3'$ -ends of the alignment were excluded leaving 1472 sites, using PHYLIP 3.6b [45]. Trees were reconstructed by maximum likelihood using a gamma distribution with four rate categories and coefficients of variation (base frequencies, transition/transversion ratio, rates of change and gamma distribution shape parameter) estimated from the data using the DNAML programme. Statistical support for

REFERENCES

- 1. Davidson BR, Davidson HF (1993) Leguminosae (Fabaceae) and Rhizobiaceae. p 47–68 In BR Davidson and HF Davidson, (eds). Legumes: the Australian experience. The botany, ecology and agriculture of indigeneous and immigrant legumes. Research Studies Press Ltd, Taunton, Somerset, England.
- 2. Murray BR, Thrall PH, Woods MJ (2001) Acacia species and rhizobial interactions: implications for restoration of native vegetation. Ecol Manag Rest 2: 213–219.
- 3. Reddell P, Milnes AR (1992) Mycorrhizas and other specialized nutrientacquisition strategies: their occurrence in woodland plants from Kakadu and their role in rehabilitation of waste rock dumps at a local uranium mine. Aust J Bot 40: 223–242.
- 4. Thrall PH, Millsom DA, Jeavons AC, Waayers M, Harvey GR, et al. (2005) Seed inoculation with effective root-nodule bacteria enhances revegetation success. J Appl Ecol 42: 740–751.
- 5. Barnet YM, Catt PC (1991) Distribution and characteristics of root-nodule bacteria isolated from Australia Acacia spp. Plant Soil 135: 109–120.
- 6. Barnet YM, Catt PC, Hearne DH (1985) Biological nitrogen fixation and rootnodule bacteria (Rhizobium sp. and Bradyrhizobium sp.) in two rehabilitating sand
- dune areas planted with Acacia spp. Aust J Bot 33: 595–610.
7. Langkamp PJ, Farnell GK, Dalling MJ (1981) Acetylene reduction rates by selected leguminous and non-leguminous plants at Groote Eylandt, Northern Territory. Aust J Bot 29: 1–9.
- 8. Lawrie AC (1983) Relationships among rhizobia from native Australian legumes. Appl Environ Microbiol 45: 1822–1828.
- 9. Thompson SC, Gemell G, Roughley RJ (1984) Host specificity for nodulation among Australian acacias. 27–28 In JR Kennedy and L Cope, (eds). 7th Australian Legume Nodulation Conference Australian Institute of Agricultural Science Occasional Publication no 12 Australian Institute of Agricultural Science, Sydney, Australia.
- 10. Euze´by JP (1997) List of bacterial names with standing in nomenclature: a folder available on the Internet (URL: http://www.bacterio.cict.fr/). Int J Syst Bacteriol 47: 590–592.
- 11. Sawada H, Kuykendall LD, Young JM (2003) Changing concepts in the systematics of bacterial nitrogen-fixing legume symbionts. J Gen Appl Microbiol 49: 155–179.
- 12. Jaftha JB, Strijdom BW, Steyn PL (2002) Characterization of pigmented methylotrophic bacteria which nodulate Lotononis bainesii. System Appl Microbiol 25: 440–449.
- 13. Lafay B, Burdon JJ (2006) Molecular diversity of rhizobia nodulating the invasive legume Cytisus scoparius in Australia. J Appl Microbiol 100: 1228–1238.
- 14. Ngom A, Nakagawa Y, Sawada H, Tsukahara J, Wakabayashi S, et al. (2004) A novel symbiotic nitrogen-fixing member of the Ochrobactrum clade isolated from root nodules of Acacia mangium. J Gen Appl Microbiol 50: 17–27.
- 15. Rivas R, Velázquez E, Willems A, Vizcaíno N, Subba-Rao NS, et al. (2002) A new species of Devosia that forms a unique nitrogen-fixing root-nodule symbiosis with the aquatic legume Neptunia natans (L.f.) Druce. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 5217–5222.
- 16. Sy A, Giraud E, Jourand P, Garcia N, Willems A, et al. (2001) Methylotrophic Methylobacterium bacteria nodulate and fix nitrogen in symbiosis with legumes. J Bacteriol 183: 214–220.
- 17. van Berkum P, Eardly BD (2002) The aquatic budding bacterium Blastobacter denitrificans is a nitrogen-fixing symbiont of Aeschynomene indica. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 1132–1136.
- 18. Chen W-M, Laevens S, Lee T-M, Coenye T, De Vos P, et al. (2001) Ralstonia taiwanensis sp. nov., isolated from root nodules of Mimosa species and sputum of a cystic fibrosis patient. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 51: 1729–1735.
- 19. Moulin L, Munive A, Dreyfus B, Boivin-Masson C (2001) Nodulation of legumes by members of the β -subclass of proteobacteria. Nature 411: 948–950.
- 20. Vandamme P, Goris J, Chen W-M, De Vos P, Willems A (2002) Burkholderia tuberum sp. nov. and Burkholderia phymatum sp. nov., nodulate the roots of tropical legumes. System Appl Microbiol 25: 507–512.
- 21. Benhizia Y, Benhizia H, Benguedouar A, Muresu R, Giacomini A, et al. (2004) Gamma proteobacteria can nodulate legumes of the genus Hedysarum. System Appl Microbiol 27: 462–468.

branching order was estimated from 100 bootstrap replications generated using SEQBOOT, using the CONSENSUS programme.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JB BL. Performed the experiments: BL. Analyzed the data: BL. Wrote the paper: JB BL.

- 22. Lafay B, Burdon JJ (1998) Molecular diversity of rhizobia occurring on native shrubby legumes in Southeastern Australia. Appl Environ Microbiol 64: 3989–3997.
- 23. Lafay B, Burdon JJ (2001) Small-subunit rRNA genotyping of rhizobia nodulating Australian Acacia spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 37: 396–402.
- 24. Marsudi NDS, Glenn AR, Dilworth MJ (1999) Identification and characterization of fast-and slow-growing root nodule bacteria from South-Western Australian soils able to nodulate Acacia saligna. Soil Biol Biochem 31: 1229–1238.
- 25. van Berkum P, Terefework Z, Paulin L, Suomalainen S, Lindström K, et al. (2003) Discordant phylogenies within the rm loci of rhizobia. I Bacteriol 185: 2988–2998.
- 26. Langkamp PJ, Farnell GK, Dalling MJ (1982) Nutrient cycling in a stand of Acacia holosericea A. Cunn. ex G. Don. I. Measurements of precipitation, interception, seasonal acetylene reduction, plant growth and nitrogen requirement at Groote Eylandt. Aust J Bot 30: 87–106.
- 27. Prin Y, Galiana A, Ducousso M, Dupuy N, de Lajudie P, et al. (1993) Les rhizobiums d'acacia. Biodiversité et taxonomie. Bois et Forêts des Tropiques 238: 5–19.
- 28. Bowen GD (1956) Nodulation of legumes indigeneous to Queensland. Qld J Agric Sci 13: 47–60.
- 29. Bowen GD (1959) Field studies on nodulation and growth of Centrosema pubescens. Qld J Agric Sci 16: 253–266.
- 30. Bowen GD (1959) Specificity and nitrogen fixation in the Rhizobium symbiosis of Centrosema pubescens Benth. Qld J Agric Sci 16: 267–281.
- 31. McKnight T (1949) Efficiency of isolates of Rhizobium in the Cowpea group, with proposed additions to this group. Qld J Agr Sci 6: 61–76.
- 32. Norris DO (1956) Legumes and the Rhizobium symbiosis. Empire Journ Exper Agric 24: 245–270.
- 33. Lange RT (1961) Nodule bacteria associated with the indigeneous Leguminosae of south-western Australia. J Gen Microbiol 61: 351–359.
- 34. Moreira FMS, Gillis M, Pot B, Kersters K, Franco AA (1993) Characterization of rhizobia isolated from different divergence groups of tropical Leguminosae by comparative polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of their total proteins. System Appl Microbiol 16: 135–146.
- 35. Moreira FMS, Haukka K, Young JPW (1998) Biodiversity of rhizobia isolated from a wide range of forest legumes in Brazil. Mol Ecol 7: 889–895.
- 36. Nick G, de Lajudie P, Eardly BD, Suomalainen S, Paulin L, et al. (1999) Sinorhizobium arboris sp. nov. and Sinorhizobium kostiense sp. nov., isolated from leguminous trees in Sudan and Kenya. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49: 1359–1368.
- 37. Pohill RM, Raven PH, Stirton CH (1981) Evolution and systematics of the Leguminosae. In RM Pohill and PH Raven, (eds). Advances in legume systematics, part 1. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, England.
- Sprent JI (1994) Evolution and diversity in the legume-rhizobium symbiosis: chaos theory? Plant and Soil 161: 1–10.
- 39. McInnes A (1996) Collection, isolation and maintenance of rhizobia from disturbed and undisturbed soils of the ARR. Progress Report for August 1992- March 1993 and status of all aspects of the projects, Internal report 1996, Supervising Scientist for the Alligator Rivers Region, Canberra..
- 40. Sritharan V, Barker RHJ (1991) A simple method for diagnosing M. tuberculosis infection in clinical samples using PCR. Mol Cell Probes 5: 385–395.
- 41. Vincent JM (1970) A manual for the practical study of root-nodule bacteria. International biological programme handbook No. 15. Blackwell Science Publications, Oxford, England.
- 42. Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ (1991) 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J Bacteriol 173: 697–703.
- 43. Laguerre G, Allard M-R, Revoy F, Amarger N (1994) Rapid identification of rhizobia by restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 60: 56–63.
- 44. Lane DJ, Pace B, Olsen GJ, Stahl DA, Sogin ML, et al. (1985) Rapid determination of 16S ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82: 351–3259.
- 45. Felsenstein J (2004) PHYLIP, Phylogenetic Inference Package, version 3.6b. http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html.