



HAL
open science

Ladder Operators and Endomorphisms in Combinatorial Physics

Gérard Henry Edmond Duchamp, Laurent Poinso, Allan I. Solomon, Karol A. Penson, Pawel Blasiak, Andrzej Horzela

► **To cite this version:**

Gérard Henry Edmond Duchamp, Laurent Poinso, Allan I. Solomon, Karol A. Penson, Pawel Blasiak, et al.. Ladder Operators and Endomorphisms in Combinatorial Physics. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 2010, 12 (2), pp.295-306. hal-00410094v2

HAL Id: hal-00410094

<https://hal.science/hal-00410094v2>

Submitted on 30 Dec 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ladder Operators and Endomorphisms in Combinatorial Physics

G rard H. E. Duchamp ^{1†}, Laurent Poinot ^{1‡}, Allan I. Solomon ^{4,2}, Karol A. Penson ², Pawel Blasiak, Andrzej Horzela ³.

¹LIPN, UMR CNRS 7030. Institut Galil e - Universit  Paris-Nord,
99, avenue Jean-Baptiste Cl ment 93430 Villetaneuse, France.

²Universit  Pierre et Marie Curie, Laboratoire de Physique Th orique de la Mati re Condens e, CNRS UMR 7600
Tour 16, 2^{i me}  tage, 4, place Jussieu, F 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France.

³H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences
Division of Theoretical Physics
ul. Radzikowskiego 152, PL 31-342 Krak w, Poland.

⁴The Open University
Physics and Astronomy Department
Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, United Kingdom

received December 30, 2009, revised December 30, 2009,

Starting with the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, fundamental to quantum physics, we first show how the ordering of the non-commuting operators intrinsic to that algebra gives rise to generalizations of the classical Stirling Numbers of Combinatorics. These may be expressed in terms of infinite, but *row-finite*, matrices, which may also be considered as endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$. This leads us to consider endomorphisms in more general spaces, and these in turn may be expressed in terms of generalizations of the ladder-operators familiar in physics.

Keywords: Heisenberg-Weyl Algebra, Transformation of sequences, Generalized Stirling Numbers, Generalized ladder Operators.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Heisenberg-Weyl algebra	3
2.1	Formal definition	3
2.2	Grading of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra	4

[†]gerard.duchamp@lipn.univ-paris13.fr

[‡]laurent.poinot@lipn.univ-paris13.fr

3	Combinatorics of infinite matrices	5
3.1	Homogeneous operators and generalized Stirling numbers	5
3.2	An excursion to topology: transformation of sequences	6
3.3	One-parameter groups and Stirling matrices	7
4	Representation of endomorphisms in more general spaces	10
4.1	Notation	10
4.2	Review of the classical result	11
4.3	Endomorphism expansion in terms of ladder operators	11
4.4	Extension to formal infinite linear combinations	15
4.4.1	Preliminaries: topology and duality	15
4.4.2	Extension of Theorem 2 to formal infinite linear combinations	20
5	Conclusions	21

1 Introduction

Combinatorics has a long history which can be traced back to the times when Greek, Chinese and Persian mathematicians (to name but a few) began with this particular and fruitful blend of configuration and counting.

More recently, due to the great masters of the past (Euler, Bernouilli etc ...), this “art of counting” avoided the fate of becoming a “collections of recipes” and, under the impetus of the modern fields of Algorithms and Computer Sciences, acquired its *Letters Patent* and so pervaded many domains of Classical Sciences, such as Mathematics and Physics.

In return, the sciences which interact with Combinatorics can transmit to the latter some of their art. This is the case of the emerging field of “Combinatorial Physics” which has the potential of revitalizing mathematical features that have been familiar to physicists for over a century, such as tensor calculus, structure constants, operator calculus, infinite matrices, and so on.

In this paper we describe one aspect of this interaction; namely, how well-known concepts in quantum physics such as creation and annihilation operators, and ladder operators, translate to combinatorial “counting” ideas as exemplified by Stirling numbers, which may find their expression in terms of infinite matrices. Such an infinite matrix is more generally to be thought of as a (linear) transformation from a linear space to itself, that is, a linear endomorphism. This is also a rigorous context in which to describe the traditional *ladder operators* of physics.

The paper is organized as follows: We start by introducing the well-known Heisenberg-Weyl associative algebra generated by the creation and annihilation operators of second-quantized physics; this is a graded algebra. Consideration of exponentials of elements of this algebra leads one to a generalization of the classical combinatorial Stirling numbers, as well as one-parameter groups - crucial in quantum physics. Arrays of such numbers lead us to the algebra of row-finite infinite matrices. We then consider linear endomorphisms as a natural sequel to these matrices, and their representations. We relate these to a generalization of the idea of ladder operators, and conclude by giving some results concerning the relation between endomorphisms and ladder operators.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge support from Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Paris, France) under Program No. ANR-08-BLAN-0243-2 and from PAN/CNRS Project PICS No.4339(2008-2010). Two of us (P.B. and A.H.) wish to acknowledge support from Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education under Grants Nos. N202 061434 and N202 107 32/2832. Two others (G.H.E.D. and L.P.) would like to acknowledge support from “Projet interne au LIPN 2009” “Polyzêta functions”.

Dedication

Philippe Flajolet has not only blazed a successful trail in his own areas of Combinatorics but, through his support given generously to others, has stimulated new branches to develop. On the occasion of Philippe’s 60th birthday we are happy to acknowledge with gratitude his encouragement to the development of our own field of Combinatorial Physics, and look forward to many future years of professional engagement.

2 The Heisenberg-Weyl algebra

2.1 Formal definition

In Quantum Physics [9, 10, 23] and more recently in Combinatorics [22, 32] and Combinatorial Physics [5], one often encounters pairs of operators (A, B) such that

$$AB - BA = I \quad (1)$$

where I stands for the identity in some associative algebra. The appearance of this relation in 1925 at once forced Born, Heisenberg and Jordan to the consideration of infinite matrices. Indeed, it can be shown that relation (1) cannot be represented by (finite) matrices with elements in a field of characteristic zero (simply take the trace of each side). The first choice of faithful representation for (1) is with (densely defined) unbounded operators in a Hilbert space (traditional Fock space) or with continuous operators in a Fréchet space [13, 19, 34].

One can formally define the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra by

$$HW_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}\langle b, b^+ \rangle / \mathcal{J}_{HW} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbb{C}\langle b, b^+ \rangle = \mathbb{C}[\{b, b^+\}^*]$ is the algebra of the free monoid $\{b, b^+\}^*$ [3, 4, 27] i. e. the algebra of non-commutative polynomials; and \mathcal{J}_{HW} is the two-sided ideal generated by $(bb^+ - b^+b - 1)$. Note that this definition, together with the arrow

$$s : \mathbb{C}\langle b, b^+ \rangle \rightarrow HW_{\mathbb{C}} \quad (3)$$

clears up all the ambiguities concerning normal forms (Normal ordering [31] and the so-called “double dot” operation) which are traditional in Quantum Physics. From now on, we set $a = s(b)$ and $a^+ = s(b^+)$. In general, by the *normal ordering* [6] of a general expression $F(a^\dagger, a)$ we mean $F^{(n)}(a^\dagger, a)$ which is obtained by moving all the annihilation operators a to the right *using* the commutation relation of Eq.(1). This procedure yields an operator whose action is equivalent to the original one, i.e. $F^{(n)}(a^\dagger, a) = F(a^\dagger, a)$ as operators, although the form (which lives in $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle b, b^+ \rangle\rangle$ or $\mathbb{C}\langle b, b^+ \rangle$) of the expressions in

terms of a and a^\dagger may be completely different. From (3), it is an easy exercise to prove that $\left((a^+)^i a^j \right)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis of $HW_{\mathbb{C}}$ (basis of the normal order).

On the other hand the *double dot* operation $:F(a^\dagger, a):$ consists of applying the same ordering procedure but *without* taking into account the commutation relation of Eq.(1), *i.e.* moving all annihilation operators a to the right as if they commuted with the creation operators a^\dagger . The structure constants are given in [5] and can be obtained from the following formula ⁽ⁱ⁾

$$(a^+)^{i_1} a^{j_1} (a^+)^{i_2} a^{j_2} = \sum_{k \geq 0} k! \binom{j_1}{k} \binom{j_2}{k} (a^+)^{i_1+i_2-k} a^{j_1+j_2-k}. \quad (4)$$

2.2 Grading of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra

Setting, for $e \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$HW_{\mathbb{C}}^{(e)} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}((a^+)^i a^j)_{i-j=e} \quad (5)$$

one has

$$HW_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} HW_{\mathbb{C}}^{(e)} \text{ and } HW_{\mathbb{C}}^{(e_1)} HW_{\mathbb{C}}^{(e_2)} \subset HW_{\mathbb{C}}^{(e_1+e_2)} \quad (6)$$

for all $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This natural grading makes $HW_{\mathbb{C}}$ a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra. One often uses the following (faithful) representation ρ_{BF} by operators on $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$.

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{BF}(a) = \frac{d}{dx} \\ \rho_{BF}(a^+) = (S \mapsto xS). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

This representation, known as the Bargmann-Fock representation is graded for the preceding grading as, when restricted to $\mathbb{C}[x]$, $\rho_{BF}(a)$ is of degree -1 and $\rho_{BF}(a^+)$ of degree 1 .

In general, and more concretely, we may associate many important operators of quantum physics with elements of $HW_{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, an element $\Omega \in HW_{\mathbb{C}}$ being given, one would like to consider the evolution group [15]

$$\left(e^{\lambda \Omega} \right)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}.$$

For example, such one-parameter groups are important in quantum dynamics, where the parameter λ is the time t ; or in quantum statistical mechanics, where λ is the negative inverse temperature.

Some questions which arise are

- Q1) Is this group well defined ? through which representation ? what is the domain ?
 Q2) Which combinatorial methods may be extracted from knowledge of this group ?

Our first task is to get the normal order of the powers Ω^n .

⁽ⁱ⁾ This formula can also easily be derived from the ‘‘rook’’ equivalent of Wick’s theorem [35]. Note that the summation index k ranges in the interval $[0..min(j_1, j_2)]$.

For $\Omega = a^+aa^+$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 6 & 18 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 24 & 96 & 72 & 16 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 120 & 600 & 600 & 200 & 25 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 720 & 4320 & 5400 & 2400 & 450 & 36 & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

For $\Omega = a^+aaa^+a^+$, one gets

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 12 & 60 & 54 & 14 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 144 & 1296 & 2232 & 1296 & 306 & 30 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 2880 & 40320 & 109440 & 105120 & 45000 & 9504 & 1016 & 52 & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

In any case, the matrix $(S(n, k))_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has all its rows $(S(n, k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ finitely supported. We call these matrices “row-finite” [19, 29].

We will see in the next paragraph that the “row-finite” matrices form a very important algebra which we denote by $\text{RF}(\mathbb{N}; \mathbb{C})$ in the sequel.

3.2 An excursion to topology: transformation of sequences

Let $\mathbf{d} = (d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a set of non-zero complex denominators. To each row-finite matrix $(M[n, k])_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}$, one can associate an operator $\Phi_M \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]])$ such that the image of $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k \frac{\mathbf{x}^k}{d_k} \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ is defined by

$$\Phi_M(f) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n \frac{\mathbf{x}^n}{d_n}; \text{ with } b_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M[n, k] a_k. \quad (14)$$

Note that if we endow $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]]$ with the Fréchet topology of simple convergence of the coefficients (this structure is sometimes called the “Treves topology”, see [34]) *i.e.*, defined by the seminorms

$$p_n(f) := |a_n|; \text{ with } f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k \mathbf{x}^k \quad (15)$$

with each Φ_M continuous; then the following proposition states that there is no other case:

Proposition 1 *The correspondence $M \rightarrow \Phi_M$ from $\text{RF}(\mathbb{N}; \mathbb{C})$ to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}]])$ (continuous endomorphisms) is one-to-one and linear. Moreover $\Phi_{MN} = \Phi_M \circ \Phi_N$.*

Proof: The proof of this proposition is not difficult and left to the reader. \square

As an application of the preceding, one can remark that, through the Bargmann-Fock representation ρ_{BF} , the one parameter group $e^{\lambda\Omega}$ always makes sense for homogeneous operators (as defined in Eq. (9)) since the matrix $\phi^{-1}(\rho_{BF}(\Omega))^{(ii)}$ is

- strictly upper-triangular when $e < 0$
- diagonal when $e = 0$
- strictly lower-triangular when $e > 0$.

Then $e^{\lambda\Omega}$ is meaningful as (a group of) operators on appropriate spaces.

3.3 One-parameter groups and Stirling matrices

In this paragraph we focus on the combinatorics of operators containing at most one annihilator (in this context d/dx) so that $\rho_{BF}(\Omega)$ is of the form

$$q(x)\frac{d}{dx} + v(x) \quad (16)$$

(sum of a scalar field and a true vector field). One-parameter groups generated by these operators can, of course, be integrated using PDE [15] but, here we give a ‘‘conjugacy trick’’ which aims at proving that an operator of the type (16) is conjugated to the vector field $q(x)\frac{d}{dx}$.

So, to compute $e^{\lambda(q(x)\frac{d}{dx}+v(x))}[f]$, one can use the following procedure (q and v are supposed to be at least continuous). We first take $v \equiv 0$ (vector field case)

- if $q \equiv 0$ (and $v \equiv 0$) then $e^{\lambda\rho_{BF}(\Omega)}[f] = f$ (trivial action);
- if $q \not\equiv 0$ then choose an open interval $I \neq \emptyset$ in which q never vanishes and $x_0 \in I$;
- for $x \in I$ set

$$F(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{dt}{q(t)} \quad (17)$$

and set $J = F(I)$ (open interval). Then $F : I \rightarrow J$ is one-to-one (as F is strictly monotonic);

- for suitable (x, λ) , set

$$s_\lambda(x) = F^{-1}(F(x) + \lambda). \quad (18)$$

s_λ is a deformation of the identity since $(x, \lambda) \mapsto s_\lambda(x)$ is continuous (and even of class C^1) on its domain and $s_0(x) = x$;

- for small values of λ , $e^{\lambda(q(x)\frac{d}{dx})}$ coincides with the substitution $f \mapsto f \circ s_\lambda$. To see this, it is sufficient to remark that the exponential of a derivation (such as $\lambda(q(x)\frac{d}{dx})$) is an automorphism, which means a substitution in the (test) function spaces under consideration.

⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ These matrices are different from the ‘‘Generalized Stirling matrices’’ defined by Eq. (10). Their non-zero elements are supported by a line parallel to the diagonal.

Now, one can indicate how to integrate the one-parameter group $e^{\lambda(q(x)\frac{d}{dx}+v(x))}$ for general v . (I, F, s_λ are as above).

- On I , set

$$u(x) = e^{\int_{x_0}^x \frac{v(t)}{q(t)} dt}; \quad (19)$$

- one checks easily that

$$\rho_{BF}(\Omega) = (q(x)\frac{d}{dx} + v(x)) = \frac{1}{u}(q(x)\frac{d}{dx})u \quad (20)$$

in the sense that, on each function in its domain $\rho_{BF}(\Omega)$ operates as the composition of

- multiplication of f by u
- action of the vector field $(q(x)\frac{d}{dx})$ (now on uf)
- division by u ;

- then, using the fact that exponentiation commutes with conjugacy, the exponential reads

$$e^{\lambda(q(x)\frac{d}{dx}+v(x))} = u^{-1}e^{\lambda(q(x)\frac{d}{dx})}u. \quad (21)$$

Using the preceding definitions, the action now takes the form

$$U_\lambda[f](x) = e^{\lambda(q(x)\frac{d}{dx}+v(x))}[f](x) = \frac{u(s_\lambda(x))}{u(x)}f(s_\lambda(x)). \quad (22)$$

One can check *a posteriori* the validity of this procedure, using a tangent vector technique as follows

- check that, for small values of λ, θ , one has

$$U_\lambda \circ U_\theta = U_{\lambda+\theta}; \quad (23)$$

- check that

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} U_\lambda[f](x) = (q(x)\frac{d}{dx} + v(x))f(x). \quad (24)$$

Remark 1 Transformations of type

$$f \rightarrow g.(f \circ s) \quad (25)$$

are called substitutions with prefunctions in combinatorial physics[19]. It can be shown that under nice conditions (g, s analytic in a neighbourhood of the origin, $g(0) = 1, s = x + \dots$) these transformations form a (compositional) Lie group (infinite dimensional of Fréchet type, see [19]). The infinitesimal generators of these transformations are precisely of the form $q(x)\frac{d}{dx} + v(x)$.

We now give an example of integration of the one-parameter group $e^{\lambda\rho_{BF}(\Omega)}$ for

$$\Omega = (a^+)^2aa^+ + a^+a(a^+)^2. \quad (26)$$

Example 1 One has the conjugated form

$$\rho_{BF}(\Omega) = x^2 \frac{d}{dx} x + x \frac{d}{dx} x^2 = x^{-\frac{3}{2}} (2x^3 \frac{d}{dx}) x^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (27)$$

Using the procedure described above, one obtains the one-parameter group of transformations U_λ

$$U_\lambda[f](x) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{(1-4\lambda x^2)^3}} \times f\left(\sqrt{\frac{x^2}{1-4\lambda x^2}}\right). \quad (28)$$

The reader is invited to check that, for suitably small values of the parameters (i.e. $|\lambda| + |\theta| < \frac{1}{4x^2} \leq +\infty$), $U_\lambda \circ U_\theta = U_{\lambda+\theta}$ by direct computation.

Once integrated, the one-parameter group U_λ reveals the Generalized Stirling matrix as expressed by the following result.

Proposition 2 With the definitions introduced and $e \geq 0$, the two following conditions are equivalent (where $f \rightarrow U_\lambda[f]$ is the one-parameter group $\exp(\lambda \rho_{BF}(\Omega))$).

i)

$$\sum_{n,k \geq 0} S_\Omega(n, k) \frac{x^n}{n!} y^k = g(x) e^{y\phi(x)} \quad (29)$$

ii)

$$U_\lambda[f](x) = g(\lambda x^e) f(x(1 + \phi(\lambda x^e))) \quad (30)$$

Proof: One first has the following equality between continuous operators

$$U_\lambda = \sum_{n,k \geq 0} S_\Omega(n, k) \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} x^{ne} x^k \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^k. \quad (31)$$

Assuming (i), let us check (ii) for f a monomial (i. e. choose the test functions $f = x^j$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots$)

$$\begin{aligned} U_\lambda(x^j) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^j S_\Omega(n, k) \frac{(\lambda x^e)^n}{n!} \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} x^j = \\ &= x^j \sum_{k=0}^j \left([y^k] g(\lambda x^e) e^{y\phi(\lambda x^e)} \right) \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} = \\ &= g(\lambda x^e) x^j \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{k}{j} \phi(\lambda x^e)^k = g(\lambda x^e) \left(x(1 + \phi(\lambda x^e)) \right)^j. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Now as the two members of (30) are continuous and linear in f and the set of monomials is total [13] in the space of formal power series endowed with the Treves topology⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾, we have (ii).

Conversely, if one assumes (ii), one has

$$U_\lambda(e^{yx}) = g(\lambda x^e) e^{yx(1+\phi(\lambda x^e))} \quad (33)$$

⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ The usual - ultrametric - topology would not be enough for $e = 0$.

and, from (32), one gets

$$\sum_{n,k \geq 0} S_{\Omega}(n, k) \frac{(\lambda x^e)^n}{n!} (xy)^k = g(\lambda x^e) e^{yx\phi(\lambda x^e)}. \quad (34)$$

A legitimate change of variables ($\lambda x^e \rightarrow x$; $xy \rightarrow y$) gives (i). □

Example 1 continued

With $\Omega = (a^+)^2 a a^+ + a^+ a (a^+)^2$, one has the one-parameter group

$$U_{\lambda}[f](x) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{(1-4\lambda x^2)^3}} \times f\left(\sqrt{\frac{x^2}{1-4\lambda x^2}}\right). \quad (35)$$

Then, applying the preceding correspondence, one gets

$$\sum_{n,k \geq 0} S_{\Omega}(n, k) \frac{x^n}{n!} y^k = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{(1-4x)^3}} e^{y(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-4x}}-1)} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{(1-4x)^3}} e^{y(\sum_{n \geq 1} c_n x^n)} \quad (36)$$

where $c_n = \binom{2n}{n}$ are the central binomial coefficients.

4 Representation of endomorphisms in more general spaces

4.1 Notation

Consider \mathbb{K} a (commutative) field and $\mathbb{K}[x]$ the \mathbb{K} -vector space of polynomials in the indeterminate x . Denote by $\text{End}(V)$ the algebra of linear endomorphisms of any \mathbb{K} -vector space V . If ϕ and ψ are both elements of $\text{End}(V)$, then with $\phi\psi$ denoting the usual composition “ $\phi \circ \psi$ ” of linear mappings, we have for any integer n

$$\phi^n := \begin{cases} \text{Id}_V & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \underbrace{\phi \circ \dots \circ \phi}_{n \text{ times}} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

where Id_V is the identity mapping of V . Let $\mathbf{e} := (e_i)_{i \in I}$ be a basis of V (V which we assume does not reduce to (0)). We denote the decomposition of any vector $v \in V$ with respect to \mathbf{e} by

$$\sum_{i \in I} \langle v, e_i \rangle e_i \quad (38)$$

where^(iv) $\langle v, e_i \rangle$ is the coefficient of the projection of v onto the subspace $\mathbb{K}e_i$ generated by e_i in V . Obviously, all but a finite number of the coefficients $\langle v, e_i \rangle$ are equal to zero. If (I, \leq) is a linearly ordered (nonempty) set bounded from below (with $\hat{0}$ as its minimum^(v)), and, if $v \neq 0$, then the *degree* of v (with respect to \mathbf{e}) is defined by

$$\text{deg}_{\mathbf{e}}(v) := \max\{i \in I : \langle v, e_i \rangle \neq 0\} \quad (39)$$

^(iv) The notation “ $\langle v, w \rangle$ ” is commonly referred to as a “Dirac bracket”. It was successfully used (for the same reason of duality) by Schützenberger to develop his theory of automata [3, 4, 21].

^(v) We follow the notation of [33] for the lowest element.

and

$$\deg_{\mathbf{e}}(0) := -\infty \quad (40)$$

where $-\infty \notin I$, and the relation $-\infty < i$ for each $i \in I$ extends the order of I to $\bar{I} := I \cup \{-\infty\}$. If $v \neq 0$, then the nonempty finite set $\{i \in I : \langle v, e_i \rangle \neq 0\}$ admits a greatest element, since I is totally ordered, so that $\deg_{\mathbf{e}}(v)$ is well-defined. Thus, the following equality holds (for any $v \neq 0$)

$$v = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq i \leq \deg_{\mathbf{e}}(v)} \langle v, e_i \rangle e_i \quad (41)$$

with $\langle v, e_{\deg_{\mathbf{e}}(v)} \rangle \neq 0$. In particular, taking $\mathbf{x} := (\mathbf{x}^n)_{n \geq 0}$ as a basis of $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$, any nonzero polynomial P may be written as the sum

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \langle P, \mathbf{x}^n \rangle \mathbf{x}^n \quad (42)$$

where $\deg(P)$ is the usual degree of P .

4.2 Review of the classical result

It has been known since the paper of Pincherle and Amaldi [30] that, for a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero, any linear endomorphism $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}])$ may be expressed as the sum of a converging series in the operator X of multiplication by the variable \mathbf{x} and in the (formal) derivative (of polynomials) D . In [26] (see also [16] for some generalizations) Kurbanov and Maksimov give an explicit formula - recalled below - for this sum.

Theorem 1 ([26]) *Suppose that \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic zero. Let $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}])$. Then ϕ is the sum of the summable series (in the topology of simple convergence on $\text{End}(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}])$ with $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ discrete) $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} P_k(X)D^k$ where $(P_k(\mathbf{x}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of polynomials which satisfies the following recursion equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \phi(1), \\ P_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) &= \phi\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\mathbf{x}^{n+1-k}}{(n+1-k)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

In what follows, we generalize this result to any \mathbb{K} -vector space with a countable basis using a pair of rather general ladder operators instead of the usual ones, namely X and D . The basic idea is to use only those operator properties which make possible an expansion similar to the classical case.

4.3 Endomorphism expansion in terms of ladder operators

From now on, except for Example 2, the field \mathbb{K} is not assumed to be of characteristic zero. Let us consider a \mathbb{K} -vector space V of countable dimension. Let $\mathbf{e} := (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an algebraic basis for this space. We can define two kinds of *ladder operators* with respect to \mathbf{e} , namely, a *lowering operator* $L_{\mathbf{e}} \in \text{End}(V)$, by

$$\begin{cases} L_{\mathbf{e}}e_0 &= 0, \\ L_{\mathbf{e}}e_{n+1} &= e_n \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

and, a raising operator $R_{\mathbf{e}} \in \text{End}(V)$, by

$$R_{\mathbf{e}}e_n = e_{n+1}. \quad (45)$$

Such operators were discussed by Katriel and Duchamp [25] as well as Dubin, Hennings and Solomon [17, 18] in a more general context, and are similar to the creation and annihilation operators acting on an interacting Fock space of Accardi and Bożejko [1]. The operators $L_{\mathbf{e}}$ and $R_{\mathbf{e}}$ may also be regarded as the operators D and U described by Fomin in [22], associated with the oriented graded graph $e_0 \leftarrow e_1 \leftarrow e_2 \leftarrow \dots$ and $e_0 \rightarrow e_1 \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow \dots$.

Definition 1 Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ and $\mathbf{u} := (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of V . We define $P(\mathbf{u}) \in V$ by

$$P(\mathbf{u}) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle P, \mathbf{x}^n \rangle u_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \langle P, \mathbf{x}^n \rangle u_n. \quad (46)$$

Lemma 1 Let $\mathbf{e} = (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of V . The mapping

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{e}} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] & \rightarrow & V \\ P & \mapsto & P(\mathbf{e}) \end{array} \quad (47)$$

is a linear isomorphism.

Proof: Straightforward. □

Lemma 2 Let $\mathbf{e} = (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of V and $R_{\mathbf{e}}$ be the raising operator associated with \mathbf{e} . For any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ we can define the operator $P(R_{\mathbf{e}}) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle P, \mathbf{x}^n \rangle R_{\mathbf{e}}^n$. Then we have

$$P(R_{\mathbf{e}})e_0 = P(\mathbf{e}), \quad (48)$$

thus

$$R_{\mathbf{e}}^n e_0 = e_n. \quad (49)$$

Proof: Omitted. □

Now suppose that V is discrete (as is \mathbb{K}) and $\text{End}(V)$, as a subspace of V^V , is endowed with the topology of compact convergence; that is, in this case, the topology of simple convergence (since the compact subsets of discrete V are its finite subsets). As a result, $\text{End}(V)$ becomes a complete topological \mathbb{K} -vector space (and even a complete topological \mathbb{K} -algebra). Using this topology we may consider summable families of operators on V .

We recall here some basics about summability in a general setting. Let G be a Hausdorff commutative group, $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of elements of G . An element $g \in G$ is the *sum* of $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ if, and only if, for each neighbourhood W of g there exists a finite subset J_W of I such that

$$\sum_{j \in J} g_j \in W \quad (50)$$

for every finite subset $J \subset I$ containing J_W . The sum g of a summable family $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ of elements of G is usually denoted by

$$\sum_{i \in I} g_i . \quad (51)$$

It is well-known that if $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ is a summable family with sum g , then for any permutation σ of I , g is also the sum of $(g_{\sigma(i)})_{i \in I}$. When G is complete, the following condition (Cauchy) is equivalent to summability. A family $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ of G satisfies *Cauchy's condition* if, and only if, for every neighbourhood W of zero there is a finite subset J_W of I such that

$$\sum_{k \in K} g_k \in W \quad (52)$$

for every finite subset K of A disjoint from J_W . Many other properties and results about summable families may be found in [11].

For instance, let $\mathbf{e} = (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of V . Then for any sequence $(\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}])^{\mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $\text{End}(V)$, the family $(\phi_n L_{\mathbf{e}}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is easily shown to be summable. Due to the choice of topology, the fact that \mathbf{e} is a basis of V , and by general properties of summability, it is sufficient to prove that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the family $((\phi_n L_{\mathbf{e}}^n)(e_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable in V . Since V is discrete and therefore complete, it is sufficient to check that Cauchy's condition is satisfied. We may take $W := \{0\}$ as a neighborhood of zero in V . Let $J_W := \{0, \dots, k\}$. Because for every $n > k$, $L_{\mathbf{e}}^n(e_k) = 0$, then $\sum_{n \in J} (\phi_n L_{\mathbf{e}}^n)(e_k) = 0$

whenever J is a finite subset of I such that $J \cap J_W = \emptyset$. In what follows, the sum of a family $(\phi_n L_{\mathbf{e}}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the element of $\text{End}(V)$ denoted by $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_n L_{\mathbf{e}}^n$ where for every nonzero $v \in V$,

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_n L_{\mathbf{e}}^n \right) (v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg_{\mathbf{e}}(v)} \phi_n (L_{\mathbf{e}}^n(v)) . \quad (53)$$

We are now in a position to establish the main result concerning the expansion of any operator on V in terms of ladder operators.

Theorem 2 (Endomorphism expansion in ladder operators) *Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two bases of V such that $b_0 \in \mathbb{K}a_0$; that is, there exists a nonzero scalar $\lambda := \langle b_0, a_0 \rangle$ such that $\lambda a_0 = b_0$. Then each $\phi \in \text{End}(V)$ is the sum of the summable family $(P_n(R_{\mathbf{a}})L_{\mathbf{b}}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of polynomials that satisfies the following recursion equation*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda P_0(\mathbf{a}) &= \phi(b_0) , \\ \lambda P_{n+1}(\mathbf{a}) &= \phi(b_{n+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}})b_{n+1-k} . \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

(Note that due to Lemma 1, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_n(\mathbf{a})$ uniquely defines $P_n \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$.)

Proof: Since \mathbf{b} is a basis, it is sufficient to prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\phi(b_n) = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}})L_{\mathbf{b}}^k \right) (b_n) . \quad (55)$$

1. Case $n = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}}) L_{\mathbf{b}}^k \right) (b_0) &= P_0(R_{\mathbf{a}})(b_0) \\
 &= \lambda P_0(R_{\mathbf{a}})(a_0) \\
 &= \lambda P_0(\mathbf{a}) \text{ (according to Lemma 2)} \\
 &= \phi(b_0) \text{ (by assumption) .}
 \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

2. Case $n + 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}}) L_{\mathbf{b}}^k \right) (b_{n+1}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}}) b_{n+1-k} \\
 &= P_{n+1}(R_{\mathbf{a}})(b_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}}) b_{n+1-k} \\
 &= \lambda P_{n+1}(R_{\mathbf{a}})(a_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}}) b_{n+1-k} \\
 &= \lambda P_{n+1}(\mathbf{a}) + \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(R_{\mathbf{a}}) b_{n+1-k} \\
 &= \phi(b_{n+1}) .
 \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

□

Example 2 Suppose that \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic zero^(vi). Consider $V := \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$, $a_n := \mathbf{x}^n$ and $b_n := \frac{\mathbf{x}^n}{n!}$. Therefore $R_{\mathbf{a}} = X$, the operator of multiplication by \mathbf{x} ; and, $L_{\mathbf{b}} = D$, the formal derivative of polynomials, which are the data of the classical result recalled in subsect. 4.2. In Example 2, we consider the functional $\epsilon: \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ that maps a polynomial to the sum of its coefficients. From Theorem 2, we know that $\epsilon = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n(X) D^n$ and that

$$P_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} - \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\mathbf{x}^{n+1-k}}{(n+1-k)!} . \tag{58}$$

We can show by induction that $P_n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n!}(1 - \mathbf{x})^n$, and then easily verify that $\epsilon = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!}(1 - X)^n D^n$ on the basis $\{\mathbf{x}^k\}_k$. Alternatively, we see that this operator is $\epsilon = e^{yD}|_{y=1-x}: \mathbf{x}^n \mapsto (\mathbf{x} + y)^n|_{y=1-x}$.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two bases of V . Let us consider the following operators

$$L_{\mathbf{b}, \beta} b_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 , \\ \beta_n b_{n-1} & \text{if } n > 0 , \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

^(vi) The assumption on the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is needed here because we consider denominators of the form $n!$.

and

$$R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}a_n = \alpha_n a_{n+1} \quad (60)$$

where $\beta := (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\beta_0 := 1$, and $\alpha := (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are sequences of nonzero scalars. These operators, which we may call respectively *b*-relative lowering operator with coefficient sequence β and *a*-relative raising operator with coefficient sequence α , seem to be straightforward generalizations of the ladder operators as previously introduced; however, this is not entirely the case. Actually, $L_{\mathbf{b},\beta}$ and $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$ are respectively equal to some “usual” ladder operators $L_{\beta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}}$ and $R_{\alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}}$ where $\beta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b} := (b'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

with $b'_n = \left(\prod_{i=0}^n \beta_i \right)^{-1} b_n$ (resp. $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{a} = (a'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $a'_n = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \right) a_n$ for $n > 0$, and $a'_0 = a_0$).

If $b'_0 \in \mathbb{K}a'_0$ (or, equivalently, if $b_0 \in \mathbb{K}a_0$, because $b'_0 = \frac{b_0}{\beta_0} = b_0$ and $a'_0 = a_0$), then we can apply Theorem 2 with the operators $L_{\mathbf{b},\beta}$ and $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$, just by replacing \mathbf{a} by $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}$, \mathbf{b} by $\beta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}$. When $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$, we say that $L_{\mathbf{a},\beta}$ and $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$ are *a*-relative ladder operators with coefficients β and α respectively. Such a pair of operators - used in the following subsection - satisfy the rather general commutation rule

$$D_{\mathbf{a},\beta,\alpha} := [L_{\mathbf{a},\beta}, R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}] = L_{\mathbf{a},\beta}R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha} - R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}L_{\mathbf{a},\beta} \quad (61)$$

where $D_{\mathbf{a},\beta,\alpha}$ is the operator defined by

$$D_{\mathbf{a},\beta,\alpha}a_n = \begin{cases} (\alpha_0\beta_1)a_0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (\alpha_n\beta_{n+1} - \alpha_{n-1}\beta_n)a_n & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

which we call the *diagonal operator* associated with $L_{\mathbf{a},\beta}$ and $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$.

Note 1 It is possible to define a similar $D_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}} \in \text{End}(V)$ associated with any ladder operators $L_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $R_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $D_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}} := [L_{\mathbf{b}}, R_{\mathbf{a}}]$, which defines the commutation relation between $L_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $R_{\mathbf{a}}$. (In particular, $D_{\mathbf{a},\beta,\alpha} = D_{\beta^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{a}, \alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}}$.) Furthermore, when the two bases \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are related by $b_0 \in \mathbb{K}a_0$ as in Theorem 2, then, as an operator on V , $D_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}}$ is the sum of a summable family $(P_n(R_{\mathbf{a}})L_{\mathbf{b}}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and therefore the commutation relation is given by

$$L_{\mathbf{b}}R_{\mathbf{a}} = R_{\mathbf{a}}L_{\mathbf{b}} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n(R_{\mathbf{a}})L_{\mathbf{b}}^n. \quad (63)$$

4.4 Extension to formal infinite linear combinations

4.4.1 Preliminaries: topology and duality

Let \mathbb{K} be a field (of any characteristic). Let V be a countable-dimensional \mathbb{K} -vector space, and $\mathbf{e} := (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of V . The vector space V can be considered as the \mathbb{N} -graded vector space $V_{\mathbf{e}} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}e_n$. There exists a natural decreasing filtration associated with this grading which is defined by

$$V = V_{\mathbf{e}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n(V_{\mathbf{e}}) \text{ where } F_n(V_{\mathbf{e}}) := \bigoplus_{k \geq n} \mathbb{K}e_k. \text{ This filtration is separated, i.e., } \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n(V_{\mathbf{e}}) = (0).$$

Now suppose that \mathbb{K} has the discrete topology. The subsets $F_n(V_{\mathbf{e}})$ define a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero of a Hausdorff \mathbb{K} -vector topology on $V = V_{\mathbf{e}}$ (see [12]). This (metrizable) topology may be equivalently described in terms of an order function. Define $\omega_{\mathbf{e}} : V_{\mathbf{e}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ by

$$\omega_{\mathbf{e}}(v) = \begin{cases} \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \langle v, e_n \rangle \neq 0\} & \text{if } v \neq 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } v = 0 \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

for $v \in V$. The completion \widehat{V}_e of V_e for this topology is canonically identified with the \mathbb{K} -vector space $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}e_n$ - that is, the set of all families $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $v_n \in \mathbb{K}e_n$ for each integer n - equipped with the product topology of discrete topologies on each factor $\mathbb{K}e_n$. Each element S of \widehat{V}_e may be uniquely seen as a formal infinite linear combination $S = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle S, e_n \rangle e_n$, where $\langle S, e_n \rangle e_n = v_n$ and $S = (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (it is not difficult to prove that the family $(\langle S, e_n \rangle e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is actually summable). The topology induced by \widehat{V}_e on V_e is the same as the topology defined by the filtration. The order function is extended to \widehat{V}_e by

$$\omega_e(S) = \begin{cases} \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \langle S, e_n \rangle \neq 0\} & \text{if } S \neq 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } S = 0 \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

for $S \in \widehat{V}_e$, and may be used to describe the topology of the completion. For instance, a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of formal infinite linear combinations converges to zero if, and only if, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_e(S_n) = +\infty$; in other terms, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are only finitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle S_k, e_n \rangle \neq 0$. This topology is sometimes referred to as the *formal topology* (see [14, 24]), and, \widehat{V}_e is then the *formal completion* of the \mathbb{N} -graded vector space $V_e := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}e_n$.

Note 2 If $\mathbf{a} := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbf{b} := (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are two bases of V , then the isomorphism Φ of V that maps a_n to b_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is also a homeomorphism from $V_{\mathbf{a}}$ to $V_{\mathbf{b}}$ considered as spaces equipped with their respective filtrations. It turns out that Φ may be extended to a homeomorphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ from $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$ to $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{b}}$. Although the two spaces are homeomorphic, we cannot canonically identify them. Indeed, let us consider the sequence $\mathbf{b} := (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $b_n := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$, where $\mathbf{a} := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is another basis. Then \mathbf{b} is a

basis of V : suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i b_i = 0$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \left(\sum_{k=0}^i a_k \right) = 0$

which is equivalent to $(\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i) b_0 + (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i) b_1 + \dots + (\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) a_{n-1} + \alpha_n a_n = 0$. Then $\alpha_i = 0$ for every $i = 0, \dots, n$, and $\{b_i : i = 0, \dots, n\}$ is linearly independent. Using the classical Möbius inversion, we obtain

$$a_n = \begin{cases} b_0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ b_n - b_{n-1} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

which proves that V is generated by \mathbf{b} . Now we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ in the topology of $V_{\mathbf{b}}$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ in $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$. (Note however that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ also in $V_{\mathbf{b}}$ because $\omega_{\mathbf{b}}(a_n) = n - 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\mathbf{b}}(a_n) = +\infty$.) The problem is due to the fact that the order function depends on the choice of the basis.

We now introduce the (duality) pairing $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : V_{\mathbf{e}} \times \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by $\langle P | S \rangle := \sum_{n=0}^{\deg_{\mathbf{e}}(P)} \langle P, e_n \rangle \langle S, e_n \rangle$, for $P \in V_{\mathbf{e}}$ and $S \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$. This pairing, also considered in [32], satisfies in particular

$$\langle e_i | e_j \rangle = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and more generally $\langle P | e_j \rangle = \langle P, e_j \rangle$, $\langle e_i | S \rangle = \langle S, e_i \rangle$ for every $P \in V_{\mathbf{e}}$, $S \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$.

The algebraic dual space $V_{\mathbf{e}}^*$ of $V_{\mathbf{e}}$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$. Indeed let $\ell \in V_{\mathbf{e}}^*$ and define $S_{\ell} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(e_n) e_n \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$. Then $\ell(P) = \langle P | S_{\ell} \rangle$. The linear mapping $\ell \mapsto S_{\ell}$ is clearly one-to-one. It is also onto because for each $S \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$, $P \mapsto \langle P, S \rangle$ is easily seen as a linear form over $V_{\mathbf{e}}$.

The topological dual space $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}'$ of $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$ is isomorphic to $V_{\mathbf{e}}$. Indeed let us consider a linear continuous form ℓ of $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$. Since ℓ is continuous, for every $S \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$, $\ell(S) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle S, e_n \rangle \ell(e_n)$ and the sum is convergent in \mathbb{K} discrete. Therefore there is an integer N such that for every $n \geq N$, $\langle S, e_n \rangle \ell(e_n) = 0$. If we choose $S = \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n$, then it means that for n large enough, $\ell(e_n) = 0$. Then $P_{\ell} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \ell(e_n) e_n$ is actually an element of $V_{\mathbf{e}}$ which satisfies $\langle P_{\ell} | S \rangle = \ell(S)$ for every formal infinite combination S . Now suppose that $P_{\ell} = 0$ for $\ell \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}'$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell(e_n) = \langle P_{\ell} | e_n \rangle = \langle 0, e_n \rangle = 0$. The linear form is null on the dense subset $V_{\mathbf{e}}$ of $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$, and, by continuity, ℓ is also equal to zero on the closure. Let $P \in V_{\mathbf{e}}$. Then $\ell := S \mapsto \langle P | S \rangle$ is a linear form on $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$ such that $P_{\ell} = P$. Moreover, ℓ is clearly continuous. In summary, the pairing performs the following isomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbf{e}}^* &\cong \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}, \\ \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}' &\cong V_{\mathbf{e}}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The respective isomorphisms are given by

$$\Phi : V_{\mathbf{e}}^* \rightarrow \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}} \quad (69)$$

and

$$\Psi : \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}' \rightarrow V_{\mathbf{e}} \quad (70)$$

such that for every $P \in V_{\mathbf{e}}$, $S \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$, if $\ell \in V_{\mathbf{e}}^*$, then

$$\langle P | \Phi(\ell) \rangle = \ell(P) \quad (71)$$

while

$$\Phi^{-1}(S)(P) = \langle P | S \rangle \quad (72)$$

and if $\ell \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}'$, then

$$\langle \Psi(\ell) | S \rangle = \ell(S) \quad (73)$$

and

$$\Psi^{-1}(P)(S) = \langle P | S \rangle. \quad (74)$$

We may use these isomorphisms to define the natural notion of *transpose* in this setting. The *transpose* of $\phi \in \text{End}(V_e)$ is $\phi^t \in \text{End}(\widehat{V}_e^*)$ such that for every $P \in V_e$ and every $S \in \widehat{V}_e$, $\langle \phi P | S \rangle = \langle P | \phi^t S \rangle$. Actually, ϕ^t is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^t : \widehat{V}_e &\rightarrow \widehat{V}_e \\ S &\mapsto \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(S) \circ \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Indeed, for every $P \in V_e$, the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P | \phi^t(S) \rangle &= \langle P | \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(S) \circ \phi) \rangle \\ &= (\Phi^{-1}(S))(\phi(P)) \\ &= \langle \phi(P) | S \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

By duality, it is also possible to define a transpose for $\phi \in \text{End}(\widehat{V}_e)$ but continuity has to be taken into account. Indeed, let $\phi \in \text{End}(\widehat{V}_e)$ be a continuous endomorphism. We can define ${}^t\phi \in \text{End}(V_e)$ by

$${}^t\phi(P) := \Psi(\Psi^{-1}(P) \circ \phi) \quad (77)$$

for every $P \in V_e$. Note that since ϕ is continuous (and linear), $\Psi^{-1}(P) \circ \phi \in \widehat{V}_e'$. Then, for every $P \in V_e$ and $S \in \widehat{V}_e$, we have

$$\langle P | \phi(S) \rangle = \langle {}^t\phi(P) | S \rangle. \quad (78)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle {}^t\phi(P) | S \rangle &= \langle \Psi(\Psi^{-1}(P) \circ \phi) | S \rangle \\ &= (\Psi^{-1}(P))(\phi(S)) \\ &= \langle P | \phi(S) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Lemma 3 For each $\phi \in \text{End}(V_e)$, ϕ^t is a continuous endomorphism of \widehat{V}_e . Moreover, $\phi = {}^t({}^t\phi)$. Dually, for every continuous endomorphism ϕ of \widehat{V}_e , $\phi = ({}^t\phi)^t$.

Proof: Let $\phi \in \text{End}(V_e)$ and $\{S_n\}_n$ be a sequence of infinite linear combinations that converges to zero. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition of the transpose, $\langle \phi^t(S_n), e_k \rangle = \sum_{i \geq 0} \langle \phi(e_k), e_i \rangle \langle S_n, e_i \rangle$. Since $S_n \rightarrow 0$,

for every i , there is N_i such that for all $n \geq N_i$, $\langle S_n, e_i \rangle = 0$. Therefore we can find N_k such that $n \geq N_k$ implies $\langle S_n, e_i \rangle = 0$ for every $i \leq \deg_e(\phi(e_k))$, and then for such n , $\langle \phi^t(S_n), e_k \rangle = 0$, so $\phi^t(S_n) \rightarrow 0$, and ϕ^t is continuous. Now let us prove that $\phi = {}^t(\phi^t)$. For every P, S , we have $\langle \phi(P) | S \rangle = \langle P | \phi^t(S) \rangle = \langle {}^t(\phi^t)(P) | S \rangle$ (the second equality is valid since ϕ^t is continuous). Therefore for every i, j , $\langle \phi(e_i), e_j \rangle = \langle \phi(e_i) | e_j \rangle = \langle {}^t(\phi^t)(e_i) | e_j \rangle = \langle {}^t(\phi^t)(e_i), e_j \rangle$ which is sufficient to prove the expected equality. Finally, let ϕ be a continuous endomorphism of \widehat{V}_e . For every P, S , one has $\langle P | \phi(S) \rangle = \langle {}^t\phi(P) | S \rangle = \langle P | ({}^t\phi)^t(S) \rangle$, and in particular for every i , $\langle \phi(S), e_i \rangle = \langle e_i | \phi(S) \rangle = \langle e_i | ({}^t\phi)^t(S) \rangle = \langle ({}^t\phi)^t(S), e_i \rangle$, which proves that $\phi(S) = ({}^t\phi)^t(S)$ (by definition of \widehat{V}_e). \square

Let \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} be two bases of V . Let $L_{\mathbf{b},\beta}$ (resp. $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$) be a \mathbf{b} -relative lowering operator (resp. \mathbf{a} -relative raising operator) with coefficient sequence $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\beta_0 = 1$ (resp. $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$). These operators are clearly continuous on $V_{\mathbf{b}}$ (resp. on $V_{\mathbf{a}}$), and therefore extend uniquely as continuous

endomorphisms of the completions $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$. Their respective extensions $\widehat{L}_{\mathbf{b},\beta}$ and $\widehat{R}_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$ are precisely defined by

$$\widehat{L}_{\mathbf{b},\beta}(S) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle S, b_n \rangle L_{\mathbf{b},\beta} b_n = \sum_{n \geq 1} \langle S, b_n \rangle \beta_n b_{n-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle S, b_{n+1} \rangle \beta_{n+1} b_n \quad (80)$$

and

$$\widehat{R}_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}(S) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle S, a_n \rangle R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha} a_n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle S, a_n \rangle \alpha_n a_{n+1} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \langle S, a_{n-1} \rangle \alpha_{n-1} a_n. \quad (81)$$

They correspond to the operators D and U of [32] associated with the graded (locally finite) posets $b_0 \rightarrow b_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta_1} b_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta_1 \beta_2} b_3 \rightarrow \dots$ and $a_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0 a_1 \rightarrow \alpha_0 \alpha_1 a_2 \rightarrow \alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 a_3 \rightarrow \dots$.

We may use the duality pairing in order to find the transpose mappings of both $L_{\mathbf{b},\beta}$ and $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$.

Lemma 4 *Let $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$ be the \mathbf{a} -relative raising operator with coefficient sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The transpose of $R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha}$ is the extension $\widehat{L}_{\mathbf{a},\gamma}$ to the completion $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$ of the \mathbf{a} -relative lowering operator $L_{\mathbf{a},\alpha \downarrow}$ with coefficient sequence $\alpha \downarrow := (\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where*

$$\gamma_n := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \alpha_{n-1} & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \quad (82)$$

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \in \widehat{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$. According to Equation (60), $\langle R_{\mathbf{a},\alpha} a_n | S \rangle = \alpha_n \langle a_{n+1} | S \rangle = \alpha_n \langle S, a_{n+1} \rangle = \left\langle a_n | \sum_{k \geq 0} \langle S, a_{k+1} \rangle \alpha_k a_k \right\rangle = \langle a_n | \widehat{L}_{\mathbf{a},\alpha \downarrow} \rangle$ (the last equality comes from Equation 80). Multiplying both (leftmost and rightmost) sides with $\langle P | a_n \rangle$ (for some $P \in V_{\mathbf{a}}$) and summing over n gives the result. \square

Lemma 5 *Let $L_{\mathbf{b},\beta}$ be the \mathbf{b} -relative lowering operator with coefficient sequence $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The transpose $L_{\mathbf{b},\beta}^t$ of $L_{\mathbf{b},\beta}$ is the extension $\widehat{R}_{\mathbf{b},\beta \uparrow}$ to $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{b}}$ of the \mathbf{b} -relative raising operator $R_{\mathbf{b},\beta \uparrow}$ with coefficient sequence $\beta \uparrow := (\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_n := \beta_{n+1}$.*

Proof: This proof is so similar to the proof of Lemma 4, that it can be omitted. \square

It is also possible to determine the transpose of the extension of the ladder operators to the completion $\widehat{V}_{\mathbf{e}}$. Several lemmas are given below to answer this question. The first one does not need a proof.

Lemma 6 *Let $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of elements of \mathbb{K} such that $\beta_0 = 1$. We have*

$$\beta = \beta \uparrow \downarrow. \quad (83)$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of elements of \mathbb{K} . We have

$$\alpha = \alpha \downarrow \uparrow. \quad (84)$$

Lemma 7 *Let $\mathbf{e} = (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of V . Let $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of nonzero scalars such that $\beta_0 = 1$, and $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of nonzero scalars. Then we have*

$${}^t \widehat{L}_{\mathbf{e},\beta} = R_{\mathbf{e},\beta \uparrow} \text{ and } {}^t \widehat{R}_{\mathbf{e},\alpha} = L_{\mathbf{e},\alpha \downarrow}. \quad (85)$$

Proof: Since $\widehat{L}_{e,\beta}$ and $\widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}$ are continuous endomorphisms of \widehat{V}_e they admit transposes which are endomorphisms of V_e . According to lemmas 4 and 6, $R_{e,\beta\uparrow}^t = \widehat{L}_{e,\beta\uparrow\downarrow} = \widehat{L}_{e,\beta}$. Then, ${}^t\widehat{L}_{e,\beta} = {}^t(R_{e,\beta\uparrow}^t) = R_{e,\beta\uparrow}$ (according to lemma 3). The case of ${}^t\widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}$ is treated in a similar way. \square

4.4.2 Extension of Theorem 2 to formal infinite linear combinations

In what follows, our intention is to generalize Theorem 2 to the case of continuous endomorphisms on formal infinite linear combinations. To this end, we suppose that \widehat{V}_e is equipped with the V_e -weak topology, that is, the weakest topology for which the mappings $\Psi^{-1}(P) : S \in \widehat{V}_e \mapsto \langle P|S \rangle \in \mathbb{K}$, defined for a given $P \in V_e$, are continuous. Since V_e is isomorphic to \widehat{V}_e' (when \widehat{V}_e is equipped with its formal topology previously introduced), it is the so-called weak-* topology. This topology turns \widehat{V}_e into a Hausdorff topological space (with \mathbb{K} discrete). It is obvious that the duality pairing $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is separately continuous on $V_e \times \widehat{V}_e$ where V_e is discrete and \widehat{V}_e has the V_e -weak topology. Thus, a family $(S_i)_{i \in I} \in \widehat{V}_e^I$ is summable whenever for every $P \in V_e$, the family $(\langle P|S_i \rangle)_{i \in I}$ is summable in \mathbb{K} , and, in this case, $\langle P | \sum_{i \in I} S_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle P | S_i \rangle$.

Now suppose that the vector space of continuous endomorphisms of \widehat{V}_e has the topology of simple convergence. (We also suppose the same for $\text{End}(V_e)$, with V_e equipped with the discrete topology.) In this particular topology, each family of continuous endomorphisms $(\widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n \phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{End}(\widehat{V}_e)^{\mathbb{N}}$, where ϕ_n is a continuous endomorphism of \widehat{V}_e for each integer n , is a summable family. In order to check this, let $P \in V_e$ and $S \in \widehat{V}_e$. We have ${}^t(\widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n \phi_n) = {}^t\phi_n L_{e,\alpha\downarrow}^n \in \text{End}(V_e)$. The family $({}^t\phi_n L_{e,\alpha\downarrow}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable in $\text{End}(V_e)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\langle \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} {}^t\phi_n L_{e,\alpha\downarrow}^n(P) | S \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\text{deg}_e(P)} {}^t\phi_n L_{e,\alpha\downarrow}^n(P) | S \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\text{deg}_e(P)} \langle {}^t\phi_n L_{e,\alpha\downarrow}^n(P) | S \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\text{deg}_e(P)} \langle P | \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n \phi_n S \rangle \\
 &= \langle P | \sum_{n=0}^{\text{deg}_e(P)} \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n \phi_n S \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Moreover for every $m > \text{deg}_e(P)$,

$$\left\langle P | \sum_{n=\text{deg}_e(P)}^m \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n \phi_n S \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{n=\text{deg}_e(P)}^m {}^t\phi_n L_{e,\alpha\downarrow}^n(P) | S \right\rangle = 0. \tag{87}$$

Therefore, we obtain a summable series in \mathbb{K} discrete, and so is $(\widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n \phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The generalization of Theorem 2 to the case of continuous operators on formal infinite linear combinations is given below.

Theorem 3 Let $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of nonzero scalars, and $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of nonzero scalars with $\beta_0 = 1$. Let ϕ be any continuous element of $\text{End}(\widehat{V}_e)$. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that ϕ is equal to the sum of the summable family $(\widehat{R}_{e,\beta \uparrow}^n P_n(\widehat{L}_{e,\alpha \downarrow}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proof: By Theorem 2, ${}^t\phi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n(R_{e,\alpha})L_{e,\beta}^n$ (sum of a summable family). Then, using the duality pairing, we check that $\phi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{R}_{e,\beta \uparrow}^n P_n(\widehat{L}_{e,\alpha \downarrow})$ (sum of a summable family). \square

Corollary 1 Under the same assumptions as those of Theorem 3, every continuous endomorphism $\phi \in \text{End}(\widehat{V}_e)$ is equal to the sum of the summable family $(\widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n P_n(\widehat{L}_{e,\beta}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for some polynomials sequence $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof: Apply Theorem 3 with $\beta := \alpha \downarrow$ and $\alpha := \beta \uparrow$. \square

Note 3 Without difficulty we can check that the extension $\widehat{D}_{e,\beta,\alpha}$ of the diagonal operator $D_{e,\beta,\alpha} = [L_{e,\beta}, R_{e,\alpha}]$ is equal to $[\widehat{L}_{e,\beta}, \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}]$. As a continuous endomorphism, $\widehat{D}_{e,\beta,\alpha} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n P_n(\widehat{L}_{e,\beta})$. So the commutation rule becomes

$$\widehat{L}_{e,\beta} \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha} = \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha} \widehat{L}_{e,\beta} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{R}_{e,\alpha}^n P_n(\widehat{L}_{e,\beta}). \quad (88)$$

5 Conclusions

The idea of the commutation relation $AB - BA = I$ between two operators A and B (for example the creation and annihilation operators of second-quantized theory) is fundamental to the foundations of quantum physics. In this paper we have shown that starting from this basic equality, calculations of elementary operations, such as exponentiation associated with quantum dynamics and thermodynamics, lead us immediately to traditional combinatorial concepts such as Stirling numbers, and generalizations thereof, which we describe. We give explicit forms for the one-parameter groups generated by the exponentials of such operators - crucial in quantum calculations - in certain restricted cases; namely, those containing one-annihilator only (corresponding to forms of so-called Sheffer-type).

In Physics, the creation and annihilation operators act on spaces of numbers of particles, moving from one state to another and so are considered as a special form of *ladder operator*. We generalize this concept also, by considering endomorphisms in linear spaces, which mathematically correspond to these ideas. In particular, we note that infinite-dimensional vector space seems to be a rather natural setting to deal with ladder operators. Any integer-indexed basis may provide the setting in a rather obvious way for generalized ladder operators that can be either lowering (annihilation) or raising (creation), and without any particular commutation rule. We prove that given two ladder operators, one lowering, the other one raising, associated with possibly distinct bases (with the same first rank), it is possible to expand any linear endomorphism in terms of iterates of the given ladder operators.

References

- [1] L. Accardi and M. Bożejko. Interacting Fock space and Gaussianization of probability measures. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum probability and Related Topics*, 1(4):663-670, 1998.
- [2] M. Bernstein and N. J. A. Sloane. Some canonical sequences of integers. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 57:226-228, 1995.
- [3] J. Berstel and D. Perrin, Theory of codes, *Academic Press*, 1985.
- [4] J. Berstel and C. Reutenauer. Rational series and their languages. EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science, Springer, 1988.
- [5] P. Blasiak, G. H. E. Duchamp, A. Horzela, K. A. Penson, A. I. Solomon, Heisenberg-Weyl algebra revisited: combinatorics of words and paths, *J. Phys. A* **41** 415204 (2008).
- [6] P. Blasiak, A. Horzela, K. A. Penson, A.I. Solomon and G. H. E. Duchamp, “Combinatorics and Boson normal ordering: A gentle introduction”, *American Journal of Physics* **75** 639-646 (Sept. 2008), arXiv:0704.3116 [quant-ph]
- [7] P. Blasiak, K. A. Penson and A. I. Solomon, The general boson normal ordering problem, *Phys. Lett. A* **309** (2003) 198–205
- [8] P. Blasiak, K. A. Penson and A. I. Solomon, The boson normal ordering problem and generalized Bell numbers, *Ann. Combinatorics* **7** (2003) 127–139
- [9] M. Born und P. Jordan, Zur Quantenmechanik, *Z. Phys.* **34** (1925) 858–888
- [10] M. Born, W. Heisenberg und P. Jordan, Zur Quantenmechanik II, *Z. Phys.* **35** (1926) 557–615
- [11] N. Bourbaki. General Topology, chap. 1 to 4, *Elements of Mathematics*, 2nd edition. Springer.
- [12] N. Bourbaki. Commutative Algebra, chap. 1 to 7, *Elements of Mathematics*, 2nd edition. Springer.
- [13] N. Bourbaki. Topological Vector Spaces, chap. 1 to 5, *Elements of Mathematics*, 2nd edition. Springer.
- [14] K.-T. Chen. Formal differential equations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 73(1):110-133, 1961.
- [15] G. Dattoli, P. L. Ottaviani, A. Torre and L. Vázquez. Evolution operator equations: integration with algebraic and finite difference methods. *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*, 20(1):3-133, 1997.
- [16] A. Di Bucchianico and D. E. Loeb. Operator expansion in the derivative and multiplication by x . *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 4(1):49-68, 1996.
- [17] D. Dubin, M. Hennings and A. I. Solomon. Integrable representations of the Ultra-Commutation Relations. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 38:3238-3262, 1997.
- [18] D. Dubin, M. Hennings and A. I. Solomon. The Ultra-Commutation Relations. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 37:585-591, 1998.

- [19] G. H. E. Duchamp, K. A. Penson, A. I. Solomon, A. Horzela and P. Blasiak, *One-Parameter Groups and Combinatorial Physics*, Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Contemporary Problems in Mathematical Physics (COPROMAPH3), Porto-Novo (Benin), November 2003, J. Govaerts, M. N. Hounkonnou and A. Z. Msezane Eds., p. 436, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (2004) arXiv : quant-ph/0401126
- [20] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. Analytic Combinatorics. Cambridge University Press, 2009. Preprint <http://algo.inria.fr/flajolet/Publications/books.html>
- [21] S. Eilenberg. Automata, Languages and Machines vol. A. Academic Press, 1974.
- [22] S. Fomin. Duality of graded graphs. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics: An international Journal*, 3(4):357-404, 1994.
- [23] W. Heisenberg, Über quantenteoretische Umdeutung kinematischer und mechanischer Beziehungen, *Z. Phys.* **33** (1925) 879–893.
- [24] V. G. Kac. Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [25] J. Katriel and G. H. E. Duchamp. Ordering relations for q -boson operators, continued fractions techniques and the q -CBH enigma. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 28:7209-7225, 1995.
- [26] S. G. Kurbanov and V. M. Maksimov. Mutual expansions of differential operators and divided difference operators. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. UzSSR*, 4:8-9, 1986.
- [27] M. Lothaire. Combinatorics on Words. Cambridge Mathematical Library, 1997.
- [28] M. Mendez, P. Blasiak and K. A. Penson, Combinatorial approach to generalized Bell and Stirling numbers, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005) 083511-1-8.
- [29] R. S. Pierce. Associative Algebras, Springer, p62 ex. 1.
- [30] S. Pincherle and U. Amaldi. Le operazioni distributive e le loro applicazioni all'analisi. N. Zanichelli, Bologna, 1901.
- [31] A. I. Solomon, P. Blasiak, G. H. E. Duchamp, A. Horzela and K. A. Penson. Combinatorial Physics, Normal Order and Model Feynman Graphs. In: *Proceedings of the Symposium 'Symmetries in Science XIII', Bregenz, Austria, 2003*, Kluwer Publ. (2004), Preprint arXiv: quant-ph/0310174
- [32] R. P. Stanley. Differential posets. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(4):919-961, 1988.
- [33] R. P. Stanley. Enumerative Combinatorics vol. 1. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [34] F. Trèves. Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels. Acad. Press, 1967.
- [35] A. Varvak. Rook numbers and the normal ordering problem. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A*, 112(2):292-307, 2005.