

Eigenvalues for radially symmetric non-variational fully nonlinear operators

Maria J. Esteban, Patricio Felmer, Alexander Quaas

▶ To cite this version:

Maria J. Esteban, Patricio Felmer, Alexander Quaas. Eigenvalues for radially symmetric non-variational fully nonlinear operators. Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 2010, 35 (9), pp.1716 - 1737. hal-00409427

HAL Id: hal-00409427

https://hal.science/hal-00409427

Submitted on 7 Aug 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EIGENVALUES FOR RADIALLY SYMMETRIC NON-VARIATIONAL FULLY NONLINEAR OPERATORS

MARIA J. ESTEBAN, PATRICIO FELMER, AND ALEXANDER QUAAS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present an elementary theory about the existence of eigenvalues for fully nonlinear radially symmetric 1-homogeneous operators. A general theory for first eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of 1-homogeneous fully nonlinear operators exists in the framework of viscosity solutions. Here we want to show that for the radially symmetric operators (and one dimensional) a much simpler theory can be established, and that the complete set of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions characterized by the number of zeroes can be obtained.

1. Introduction

A fundamental step in the analysis of nonlinear equations is the understanding of the associated eigenvalue problem. In the case of our interest the question is the existence of nontrivial solutions (u, λ) of the boundary value problem

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega$$
 (1.1)

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$
 (1.2)

where F is a positively homogeneous elliptic operator and Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$.

There is a well established theory for the first eigenvalue and eigenfunction for this problem in the framework of viscosity solutions. The first result in this direction is due to P.L. Lions who proved existence of a first eigenvalue and eigenfunction for the Bellman equation in [10] and for the Monge-Ampère equation in [11] by means of probabilistic arguments. More recently Quaas and Sirakov addressed, by purely partial differential equations arguments, the general case in [13] for the existence and qualitative theory and the existence of solutions to the associated forced Dirichlet problem when λ stays below the first eigenvalue. Results in this direction were also obtained by Armstrong [2]

Key words and phrases. fully nonlinear operator, fully nonlinear equation, radially symmetric solutions, principle eigenvalue, multiple eigenvalues.

and Ishii and Yoshimura [8]. While in [13] convexity of F is required, in [8] and [2] this hypothesis is not necessary. Earlier partial results were obtained by Felmer and Quaas [7] and Quaas [12], see also the detailed bibliography contained in [13]. Based on the eigenvalue theory just discussed, it is possible to build on the existence of positive (or negative) solution of the equation

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = \lambda u + f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega$$
 (1.3)

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$
 (1.4)

by means of bifurcation theory, using the ideas of Rabinowitz [15], [16] and [17].

A better understanding of the solutions of equations (1.3)-(1.4) can be obtained if further eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are known for (1.1)-(1.2), however this has been elusive in these general fully non-linear setting, except in some particular cases in presence of radial symmetry as in the work by Berestycki [4], Arias and Campos [3] for the Fucik operator, by Busca, Esteban and Quaas [5] for the Pucci operator and more recently for a more general class of extremal operators by Allendes and Quaas [1]. More precisely, in [5], [1] and [3] a sequence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions characterized by their number of zeroes is constructed and a global bifurcation theory is obtained upon them.

The aim of this article is to prove the existence of a sequence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for a general fully nonlinear operator in the radially symmetric case, and in a self contained fashion, based on elementary arguments. This construction is based on the existence of two semi eigenvalues associated to positive and negative eigenfunctions in the ball and in concentric annuli, put together via degree theory through a Nehari type approach [14]. While the spectral theory for a ball and annuli can be obtained as particular cases of the general results in [13], [2] and [8], the general arguments to obtain the existence of semi eigenvalues and positive (negative) eigenfunctions are quite sophisticated, based on the whole theory of viscosity solutions. When dealing with the radially symmetric problem in the ball or an annulus, much simpler arguments can be given. In fact, this is a purely ordinary differential equation problem, which only gains some difficulties in the case of a ball due to the singularity at the origin, but of a different order when compared with the general case. It is our purpose to provide a simple, self contained spectral theory.

Now we present in precise terms our main theorem. On the operator F we assume the same general hypotheses as in [13], namely: $F: S_N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times B_R \to \mathbb{R}$, is a continuous function, where B_R is the ball

of radius R, centered at the origin and S_N is the set of all symmetric $N \times N$ matrices. On F we will make the following assumptions

(F1) F is positively homogeneous of degree 1, that is, for all $s \geq 0$ and for all $(M, p, u, x) \in S_N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$,

$$F(sM, sp, su, x) = sF(M, p, u, x).$$

(F2) There exist numbers $\Lambda \geq \lambda > 0$ and $\gamma, \delta > 0$ such that for all $M, N \in S_N, p, q \in \mathbb{R}^N, u, v \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Omega$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^{-}(M-N) - \gamma |p-q| - \delta |u-v| \le F(M,p,u,x)$$
$$-F(N,q,v,x) \le \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^{+}(M-N) + \gamma |p-q| + \delta |u-v|.$$

Here $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^+$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^-$ are the maximal and minimal Pucci operators with parameters λ and Λ , respectively.

(F3) For all $M, N \in S_N, p, q \in \mathbb{R}^N, u, v \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Omega$,

$$-F(N-M, q-p, v-u, x) \le F(M, p, u, x) - F(N, q, v, x)$$

 $\le F(M-N, p-q, u-v, x).$

The last assumption (F3) together with (F1) implies that F is convex in (M, p, u), important property that we will use repeatedly in the sequel.

In this article we consider the extra assumption that the operator is radially invariant. For stating this, consider a smooth radially symmetric function u = u(r), then we have

$$Du(x) = \frac{x}{r}u'(r)$$
 and $D^2u(x) = \frac{u'(r)}{r}I + \left(u''(r) - \frac{u'(r)}{r}\right)\frac{x \otimes x}{r^2}$.

Writing m = u''(r) and p = u'(r), we assume

(F4) The operator F is radially invariant, that is,

$$F\left(\frac{p}{r}I + (m - \frac{p}{r})\frac{x \otimes x}{r^2}, \frac{p}{r}x, u, x\right)$$

depends on x only through r.

Now we can state our main theorem

Theorem 1.1. Under assumptions (F1)-(F4), the eigenvalue problem (1.1)-(1.2) in the ball B_R possesses sequences of classical radially symmetric solutions $\{(\lambda_n^{\pm}, u_n^{\pm})\}$, both u_n^{+} and u_n^{-} with n interior zeros $r_1 < ... < r_n$ and u_n^{+} (resp. u_n^{-}) is positive (resp. negative) in the interval $(0, r_1)$. Moreover the sequences $\{\lambda_n^{\pm}\}$ are increasing and the sequence $\{(\lambda_n^{\pm}, u_n^{\pm})\}$ are complete in the sense that there are no radially symmetric eigenpairs of (1.1)-(1.2) outside of them.

As we already mentioned we prove this theorem relying on ordinary differential arguments. At a first step we study of the eigenvalue problem in an annulus which becomes a regular ordinary differential equations problem. In doing so we prove a one dimensional version of our main theorem whose precise statement is given in Theorem 4.1. The proof of the theorem uses classical existence theory for the initial value problem together with maximum and comparison principles obtained by means of the Alexandrov-Bakelman-Pucci (ABP) inequality. This allows to prove an existence and uniqueness theorem for a Dirichlet boundary value problem upon which we set up a parameterized fixed point problem where Krein Rutman theorem, in the version used by Rabinowitz [17] can be applied. Thus we obtain a spectral theory for the first positive and negative eigenvalues in an interval, which applies also to the annulus in the radially symmetric N-dimensional case.

In order to obtain the whole set of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions we use a Nehari approach via degree theory. In this respect we notice that a qualitative property needed to use this approach is the monotonicity of the positive and negative semi eigenvalues with respect to the interval. This property is a very easy consequence of a min-max definition of the eigenvalues. Here we do not start in this way, but we obtain the eigenvalues through nonlinear bifurcation theory with the help of Krein Rutman theorem. It is interesting to see, and probably was not known, that the monotonicity property can be obtained by further analyzing the proof of Krein Rutman theorem, see Corollary 3.1.

As a second step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we study the eigenvalue problem in a ball, following a similar approach as in the one dimensional case, but studying in detail the singularity at the origin. Regularity and compactness properties are proved for solutions of this ordinary differential equation using simple arguments.

The paper is organized as follows. After we prove some auxiliary results in Section 2, we treat the case of the principal eigenvalue for 1-dimensional problems in Section 3 and we prove some qualitative properties of the eigenvalues. In Section 4 we prove the existence of a complete sequence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in the one dimensional case. Finally, in Section 5 we extend the results to the radially symmetric multidimensional case.

2. The unidimensional case: preliminaries

In this section we assume that the operator F satisfies hypotheses (F1), (F2) and (F3) with N=1 and we prove a preliminary result

that essentially says that we can isolate the second derivative from the equations, allowing to use ordinary differential equations arguments to follow. We end the section with the maximum and comparison principles in this one dimensional setting.

Before continuing let us observe that, in particular, we are assuming that $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \times [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and it satisfies

(F2) There are constants $\Lambda \geq \lambda > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ so that for all (m, p, u, t), $(m', p', u', t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [a, b]$,

$$-\delta|u - u'| - \gamma|p - p'| + \lambda(m - m')^{+} - \Lambda(m - m')^{-} \leq F(m, p, u, t) - F(m', p', u', t) \leq \Lambda(m - m')^{+} - \lambda(m - m')^{-} + \gamma|p - p'| + \delta|u - u'|.$$

Here and in what follows we write $x^+ = \max\{x, 0\}$, $x^- = \max\{-x, 0\}$ so that $x = x^+ - x^-$.

In the one dimensional setting the main goal of this paper is to study the eigenvalue problem

$$F(u'', u', u, t) = -\mu u, \text{ in } [a, b], u(a) = u(b) = 0$$
(2.1)

and the auxiliary Dirichlet problem

$$F(u'', u', u, t) = f(t), \text{ in } [a, b], u(a) = u(b) = 0,$$
(2.2)

In what follows we denote by $C_2(a, b)$ the space $C^2(a, b) \cap C^1([a, b])$ and we say that u is a solution of problems (2.1) and (2.2) if $u \in C_2(a, b)$ and if it satisfies the corresponding equation in (a, b), together with the boundary conditions. We notice that with our definition, a solution always has well defined derivatives at the extremes of the interval (a, b).

Our first result allows us to isolate u'' in equations (2.1) and (2.2), a very convenient fact for existence and regularity analysis.

Lemma 2.1. If (F1) and (F2) hold, there is a continuous function $G: \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$F(m, p, u, t) = q$$
 if and only if $m = G(p, u, q, t)$,

G being Lipschitz continuous in (p, u, q) and monotone increasing in q.

Proof. Using (F2), we see that

$$\lambda m^+ - \Lambda m^- \le F(m, p, u, t) - F(0, p, u, t) \le \Lambda m^+ - \lambda m^-,$$
(2.3)

from where it follows that, for every (p, u, t) fixed, $F(\cdot, p, u, t)$ is onto \mathbb{R} . Indeed, (2.3) implies that F is not bounded. This, together with

the continuity property, proves our claim. On the other hand, if there are m, m' so that

$$F(m, p, u, t) = F(m', p, u, t),$$

then, from (F2) again,

$$\lambda (m - m')^+ - \Lambda (m - m')^- \le 0 \le \Lambda (m - m')^+ - \lambda (m - m')^-$$

from where it follows that m = m'. Thus, given (p, u, q, t), there is a unique m so that F(m, p, u, t) = q, we denote by G(p, u, q, t) such m. This function G is continuous. We also prove that it is Lipschitz continuous in the first three variables. Assume that

$$q = F(m, p, u, t)$$
 and $q' = F(m', p', u', t)$

then from (F2) we have, in case $m \ge m'$,

$$q - q' \ge \lambda(m - m') - \gamma|p - p'| - \delta|u - u'|,$$

so that

$$0 \le G(p, u, q, t) - G(p', u', q', t) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} |q - q'| + \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} |p - p'| + \frac{\delta}{\lambda} |u - u'|,$$

and if m < m', then

$$q - q' \le -\lambda (m - m')^- + \gamma |p - p'| + \delta |u - u'|,$$

so that

$$0 \le G(p', u', q', t) - G(p, u, q, t) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} |q - q'| + \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} |p - p'| + \frac{\delta}{\lambda} |u - u'|.$$

Thus, G is Lipschitz continuous in (p, u, q).

Finally, let $q \leq q'$ and m, m' such that m = G(p, u, q, t) and m' =G(p, u, q', t). Then, $F(m, p, u, t) = q \le q' = F(m', p, u, t)$, so that from (F2), we have

$$-\Lambda(m-m')^- + \lambda(m-m')^+ \le F(m,p,u,t) - F(m',p,u,t) = q - q' \le 0,$$
 which implies that $m \le m'$, proving that $G(p,u,q,t) \le G(p,u,q',t)$. \square

The following is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. Assume that F satisfies (F1) and (F2) and that $u \in$ $C_2(a,b)$ is a nontrivial solution of

$$F(u'', u', u, t) = -\mu u, \quad in \quad (a, b), \quad u(a) = 0$$

then $u'(a) \neq 0$.

An important ingredient in the study of fully nonlinear problems is the maximum and comparison principles as expressed by the ABP inequalities. Here we present a one dimensional version:

Proposition 2.1. (ABP) Assume that $u \in C_2(a, b)$ is a solution of

$$\Lambda(u'')^+ - \lambda(u'')^- + \gamma|u'| \ge -f^- \quad in \quad \{u > 0\},$$

with $u(a), u(b) \leq 0$, then

$$\sup_{(a,b)} u^{+} \le B \|f^{-}\|_{L^{1}(a,b)}. \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, if u is a solution of

$$\lambda(u'')^+ - \Lambda(u'')^- - \gamma |u'| \le f^+ \quad in \ \{u < 0\}$$

with $u(a), u(b) \geq 0$, then

$$\sup_{(a,b)} u^{-} \le B \|f^{+}\|_{L^{1}(a,b)}. \tag{2.5}$$

The constant B depends on λ , γ and b-a.

The proof of this proposition can be obtained from the general N-dimensional case, see [6] for example, however in Section §5 we present a simplified proof adapted to this situation, including also the radial case. Some direct corollaries that follow from Proposition 2.1 are:

Corollary 2.2. Assume that F satisfies (F2), F(m, p, u, t) is decreasing in u and $u \in C_2$. If u satisfies $F(u'', u', u, t) \geq -f^-$, then (2.4) holds, and if u satisfies $F(u'', u', u, t) \leq f^+$, then (2.5) holds.

And the comparison principle:

Corollary 2.3. Assume that F satisfies (F2), (F3) and F is decreasing in u. If $u, v \in C_2$ satisfy

$$F(u'', u', u, t) \ge F(v'', v', v, t)$$
 in (a, b) ,

and u(a) = v(a), u(b) = v(b), then, $u \le v$ in [a, b].

3. A THEORY FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE AND EIGENFUNCTION

The purpose of this section is to present a simplified version of the first eigenvalue theory in the unidimensional case. We start with an existence theorem for the Dirichlet problem in a finite interval.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that F satisfies (F1), (F2) and (F3), then, there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that the equation

$$F(u'', u', u, t) - \kappa u = f(t), \quad in \quad (a, b), \quad u(a) = u(b) = 0.$$
(3.1)

has a unique solution $u \in C_2(a,b)$, for any $f \in C^0[a,b]$.

8

Proof. First, for a given $d \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the initial value problem

$$F(u'', u', u, t) - \kappa u = f$$
, for $t \in (a, b)$, $u'(a) = d$, $u(a) = 0$,

which has a unique solution since, by Lemma 2.1 this equation is equivalent to

$$u'' = G(u', u, f(t) + \kappa u, t),$$
 for $t \in (a, b),$ (3.2)

$$u'(a) = d, \ u(a) = 0,$$
 (3.3)

with G Lipschitz continuous. We observe that the solution can be extended for all $t \in (a, b)$, since the nonlinearity growths less than linearly. If we denote by u(d, t) the corresponding solution, we see that the map $d \mapsto u(d, b)$ is continuous.

Next, for κ large enough, depending only on the structural constants of F, we consider two constants, $M_- < 0 < M_+$ such that the constant function $u_+(t) = M_+$ satisfies

$$F(0, 0, M_+, t) - \kappa M_+ \le f \text{ in } (a, b),$$

and the constant function $u_{-}(t) = M_{-}$ is a solution of

$$F(0,0,M_{-},t) - \kappa M_{-} \geq f \text{ in } (a,b),$$

Now we claim that there are numbers $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_1 \in (a,b)$ such that $u(d_1,t) \ge M_+$ for all $t \in (t_1,b]$, and similarly, there are numbers $d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_2 \in (a,b)$ such that $u(d_2,t) \le M_-$ for all $t \in (t_2,b]$. In particular $u(d_1,b) > 0$ and $u(d_2,b) < 0$. Assuming the claim for the moment, and using the continuity of $d \to u(d,b)$ we conclude to the existence of a solution of (3.1).

Now we prove the claim. Since G is Lipschitz continuous, there is a constant L such that

$$|G(p, u, f(t) + ku, t)| < L(|p| + |u| + 1),$$
 for all $t \in [a, b],$

so that if for some $d_1 \geq 1$ we have $|u'(t)| \leq d_1$ and $|u(t)| \leq d_1$, then

$$|G(u'(t), u(t), f(t) + ku(t), t)| \le 3Ld_1.$$

Using the equation in the form (3.2)-(3.3), we see then that for $a \le t \le t_1 := a + 1/4L$ we have $u'(t) \ge d_1/4$. Now we choose d_1 large enough so that $d_1 t_1/4 > M_+$ and we find that $u(t_1) > M_+$. Using the Comparison Principle as given in Corollary 2.3, we see that $u(t) \ge M_+$ for all $t \in (t_1, b]$. The case with M_- is similar. \square

Next we present an existence result that will be used in an approximation procedure in the multidimensional radial case in Section 5.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that F satisfies (F1), (F2) and (F3), then there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for every $c \in [a,b)$ and for any $f \in C^0[a,b]$ the equation

$$F(u'', u', u, t) - \kappa u = f(t), \text{ in } (a, b), u'(c) = u(b) = 0.$$
(3.4)

has a unique solution $u \in C_2(a,b)$.

Proof. For a given $d \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the initial value problem

$$u'' = G(u', u, f(t) + \kappa u, t)$$
, for $t \in (a, b)$, $u'(c) = 0$, $u(c) = d$.

We denote by u(d,t) the corresponding solution and we observe that the map $d \mapsto u(d,b)$ is continuous.

Next, for κ large enough (depending only on the structural constants of F), we consider two constants, $M_- < 0 < M_+$ such that the constant function $u_+(t) = M_+$ satisfies

$$F(0,0,M_+,t) - \kappa M_+ \le f$$
 in (a,b) , $u'_+(c) = 0$, $u_+(b) > 0$,

and the constant function $u_{-}(t) = M_{-}$ is a solution of

$$F(0,0,M_-,t) - \kappa M_- \ge f$$
 in (a,b) , $u'_-(c) = 0$, $u_-(b) < 0$.

Now we claim that for $d_1 > M_+$ the function $u_1(t) := u(d_1, t)$ satisfies

$$u_1(t) \ge M_+$$
 for all $t \in (c, b)$,

while for $d_2 < M_-$, $u_2(t) = u(d_2, t)$ satisfies

$$u_2(t) \le M_-$$
 for all $t \in (c, b)$.

In particular $u_1(b) > 0$ and $u_2(b) < 0$. Assuming the claim for the moment, and using the continuity of $d \to u(d, b)$ we conclude to the existence of a solution of (3.4).

In order to prove the claim we use the Comparison Principle as stated in Corollary 2.3. What we do is to formulate a problem in the interval (2c - b, b) by reflecting the corresponding elements. We start defining

$$F_c(x, y, z, t) = F(x, y, z, t)$$
 if $t \in [c, b]$

and

$$F_c(x, y, z, t) = F(x, y, z, 2c - t)$$
 if $t \in [2c - b, c]$.

We also reflect the solution u(d,t), the right hand side f and the super and sub-solutions u_+ and u_- . Applying the Comparison Principle contained in Corollary 2.3 to F_c we prove our claim. Applying the same principle we also see that the solution thus found is unique, completing the proof of the lemma. \Box

Now that we have completed the 'linear' theory we address the existence of the first eigenvalue and eigenfunction as an application of Krein-Rutman theorem in a form proved by Rabinowitz in [17], see also [7]. This approach will also allow us to obtain comparison results for the first eigenvalue depending on the domain.

Theorem 3.3. Under assumptions (F1), (F2) and (F3), the eigenvalue problem

$$F(u'', u', u, t) = -\mu u, \quad in \quad (a, b), \quad u(a) = u(b) = 0$$
(3.5)

has a solution (u^+, λ^+) , with $u^+ > 0$ in (a, b) and another solution (u^-, λ^-) with $u^- < 0$ in (a, b). Moreover, every positive (resp. negative) solution of equation (3.5) is a multiple of u^+ (resp. u^-).

Proof. We define $K = \{u \in C[a,b] \mid u \geq 0, u(a) = u(b) = 0\}$ and use Theorem 3.1 to solve Dirichlet problem

$$F(u'', u', u, t) - \kappa u = -g(t), \text{ in } (a, b), u(a) = u(b) = 0.$$
(3.6)

for $g \in K$, provided κ is large enough. We denote this solution by $\mathcal{L}(g)$ and define the operator $T: \mathbb{R}^+ \times K \to K$ as $T(\mu, f) = \mu \mathcal{L}(f)$. The operator T is well defined and, as a consequence of Corollaries 2.1 and 2.3, $T(\mu, f) > 0$ for every $f \in K \setminus \{0\}, \mu > 0$. Moreover T is compact and T(0,g) = 0 for every $g \in K$, so it satisfies the hypothesis to obtain the existence of a family fix points see Corollary 1 of Theorem VIII 1 in [17]. Notice that this Corollary 1 is the main argument in proving Krein-Rutman Theorem.

Take $u_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}$, then there exists M > 0 such that $M\mathcal{L}(u_0) \geq u_0$, since the contrary is not compatible with Corollary 2.1. Define now $T_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^+ \times K \to K \text{ as } T_{\varepsilon}(\mu, u) = \mu \mathcal{L}(u) + \mu \varepsilon \mathcal{L}(u_0), \text{ for } \varepsilon > 0. \text{ Then, from }$ Corollary 1 in [17], there exists an unbounded connected component $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}$ of solutions to $T_{\varepsilon}(\mu, u) = u$, moreover $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon} \subset [0, M] \times K$. To see this fact, let $(\mu, u) \in \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}$, then

$$u = \mu \mathcal{L}(u) + \mu \varepsilon \mathcal{L}(u_0).$$

Hence $u \geq \mu \varepsilon \mathcal{L}(u_0) \geq \frac{\mu}{M} \varepsilon u_0$. If we apply \mathcal{L} we get

$$\mathcal{L}(u) \ge \frac{\mu}{M} \varepsilon \mathcal{L}(u_0) \ge \frac{\mu}{M^2} \varepsilon u_0.$$

But $u \geq \mu \mathcal{L}(u)$, then $u \geq (\frac{\mu}{M})^2 \varepsilon u_0$. By recurrence we get

$$u \ge (\frac{\mu}{M})^n \varepsilon u_0$$
 for all $n \ge 2$

and we conclude that $\mu \leq M$. This and the fact that C_{ε} is unbounded implies that there exists $(\mu_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}) \in C_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} = 1$. This and Theorem 3.2 imply a uniform bound in $C_2(a, b)$, allowing us to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to find $\mu^+ \in [0, M]$ and $u^+ > 0$ such that $u^+ = \mu^+ \mathcal{L}(u^+)$. From here we also deduce that $\mu^+ > 0$ and then we define $\lambda^+ = -\kappa + \mu^+$. For the simplicity and the isolation of the eigenvalue, we can use an argument similar to the one given in [17], later adapted to a situation like ours in [7]. The same argument can be applied to -F(-m, -p, -u, t), a concave operator, to obtain (u^-, λ^-) . \square

In what follows we denote by $\lambda^+(t_1, t_2)$ the first eigenvalue associated to a positive eigenfunction, and $\lambda^-(t_1, t_2)$ the first eigenvalue associated to a negative eigenfunction, given in Theorem 3.3 for the problem (3.5) in the interval $(t_1, t_2) \subset (a, b)$.

Corollary 3.1. If
$$(a_1, b_1) \subset (a, b)$$
 and $(a_1, b_1) \neq (a, b)$ then $\lambda^{\pm}(a_1, b_1) > \lambda^{\pm}(a, b)$.

Proof. We consider the eigenpair (λ_1^+, u_1^+) , $\mu_1^+ = \kappa + \lambda_1^+$, given by Theorem 3.3 on the interval $(a_1, b_1) \subset (a, b)$, so that

$$F((u_1^+)'', (u_1^+)', u_1^+, t) = -\lambda_1^+ u_1^+$$
 in (a_1, b_1) .

If $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$ is the function obtained by extending u_1^+ by zero to the whole interval [a, b], we define \tilde{u} as the unique solution of

$$F(\tilde{u}'', \tilde{u}', \tilde{u}, t) - \kappa \tilde{u} = -\mu_1^+ \underline{\mathbf{u}} \quad \text{in} \quad (a, b), \quad u(a) = u(b) = 0.$$

Then, using Comparison and Strong Maximum Principle in the interval $[a_1, b_1]$ we see that $\tilde{u} > u_1^+$ in $[a_1, b_1]$ and consequently $\tilde{u} > \underline{u}$ in (a, b). If we define $w = \mathcal{L}(\underline{u})$ and $v = \mathcal{L}(\tilde{u})$, then

$$F(w'', w', w, t) - \kappa w = -\underline{\mathbf{u}} > -\tilde{u} = F(v'', v', v, t) - \kappa v,$$

so, again by Comparison and Strong Maximum Principle, w < v, which implies $\tilde{u} = \mu_1^+ \mathcal{L}(\underline{u}) < \mu_1^+ \mathcal{L}(\tilde{u})$. Here we may replace μ_1^+ by a slightly smaller value, without changing the strict inequality. Now, the application of the construction in the proof of Theorem 3.3, with $u^0 = \tilde{u}$ and $M < \mu_1^+$, implies that $\mu^+ := \lambda^+(a,b) + \kappa < \mu_1^+$, thus completing the proof for λ^+ . The proof for λ^- is similar. \square

Corollary 3.2. The functions $\lambda^+, \lambda^- : \{(t_1, t_2) / a \le t_1 < t_2 \le b\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and

$$\lim_{t_2 - t_1 \to 0^+} \lambda^+(t_1, t_2) = \lim_{t_2 - t_1 \to 0^+} \lambda^-(t_1, t_2) = \infty.$$

Proof. The continuity of these functions is a consequence of the uniqueness of the eigenvalues for positive (negative) eigenfunctions. While the limit is a consequence of Proposition 2.1, in fact denoting $\mu^+ = \lambda + \kappa$, with κ as in Theorem 3.1, from (2.4) we obtain that

$$\sup_{(t_1,t_2)} u^+ \le B\mu^+ \|u^+\|_{L^1(t_1,t_2)} \le B\mu^+(t_2-t_1) \sup_{(t_1,t_2)} u^+,$$

which completes the proof. \Box

4. Multiple eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in the unidimensional case.

In this section we consider the existence of higher eigenvalues, associated to changing-sign eigenfunctions in the general setting already defined in Section §2. More precisely, we prove the following theorem

Theorem 4.1. Under assumptions (F1), (F2) and (F3), the eigenvalue problem

$$F(u'', u', u, t) = -\mu u, \quad in \quad (a, b), \quad u(a) = u(b) = 0$$
(4.7)

has two sequences of solutions $\{(\lambda_n^{\pm}, u_n^{\pm})\}$ such that u_n^{\pm} have both n interior zeros $t_1 < ... < t_n$ and u_n^{\pm} (resp. u_n^{-}) is positive (resp. negative) in the interval (a, t_1) , negative (resp. positive) on (t_1, t_2) . Moreover the sequence $\{\lambda_n^{\pm}\}$ is increasing and the sequence $\{(\lambda_n^{\pm}, u_n^{\pm})\}$ is complete in the sense that there are no eigenpairs of (4.7) outside these sequences.

We devote this section to the proof of this theorem using degree theory. We start with a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$ and we define

$$\Delta_n = \{(t_1, ..., t_n) / a < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_n < b\},\$$

 $t_0 = a$ and $t_{n+1} = b$ and the function $V : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$V_i(\vec{t}) = \lambda^{(-1)^i}(t_{i-1}, t_i) - \lambda^{(-1)^{i+1}}(t_i, t_{i+1}), \qquad i = 1, ..., n,$$

where by $\lambda^{(\pm 1)}$ we mean λ^{\pm} . We observe that under our assumptions, Corollary 3.2 implies that the function V is continuous in Δ_n . We have the following

Theorem 4.2. Under assumptions (F1), (F2) and (F3), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\vec{t} \in \Delta_n$ such that

$$V(\vec{t}) = 0. (4.8)$$

Proof. The simplex Δ_n has a boundary composed by n+1 faces

$$F_i = \{(t_1, ..., t_n) / 0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... t_i = t_{i+1} ... \le t_n \le t_{n+1} = 1\},\$$

for i = 0, 1, ...n. Each face has an exterior normal vector $T_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $T_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$ for i = 1, ..., n-1, where $e_1, e_2, ..., e_n$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . The extreme cases are $T_0 = -e_1$ and $T_n = e_n$.

Assume now that we have a sequence $\{\vec{t}_k\}$ of points in Δ_n approaching the interior of a face. More precisely, if $\operatorname{int}(F_i)$ represents the interior of F_i relative to F_i , we assume that $\vec{t}_k \to \operatorname{int}(F_i)$ as $k \to \infty$, for some i = 0, 1, ..., n. By definition of F_i , as k goes to $+\infty$, $(\vec{t}_k)_{i+1} - (\vec{t}_k)_i \to 0$, and then, according to the definition of V and Corollary 3.2, we have that $V_i(\vec{t}_k) \to -\infty$ and $V_{i+1}(\vec{t}_k) \to +\infty$ as k goes to $+\infty$, while the other components of V remain bounded.

From this discussion we conclude that for all i = 0, 1, ..., n + 1

$$\lim_{\vec{t} \to \text{int}(F_i)} V(\vec{t}) \cdot T_i = -\infty.$$

A well-known corollary of degree theory proves then the existence of a zero for V, showing the existence of (λ_n^-, u_n^-) .

If we observe the definition of V we see that the first component V_1 is associated to $\lambda^-(t_0, t_1)$ and $\lambda^+(t_1, t_2)$, so that the eigenfunction that we can construct out of solutions of equation (4.8) will start being negative. For eigenfunctions starting with positive values in the first interval (t_0, t_1) we need to define the above arguments to the slightly modified function

$$\tilde{V}_i(\vec{t}) = \lambda^{(-1)^{i+1}}(t_{i-1}, t_i) - \lambda^{(-1)^i}(t_i, t_{i+1}), \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Given a solution $\vec{t} \in \Delta_n$ of (4.8) we proceed to construct an eigenfunction as follows. On the interval (a, t_1) we define u_n^- as $u^-(a, t_1)$. Then, on (t_1, t_2) the function u_n^- will be equal to $\alpha_1 u^+(t_1, t_2)$, where α_1 is chosen so that $(u^-)'(a, t_1)(t_1) = \alpha_1 (u^+)'(t_1, t_2)(t_1)$. The existence of α_1 is a consequence of Corollary 2.1. Here we denote by $u^{\pm}(t, s)$ the corresponding positive or negative eigenfunction on the interval (t, s). Repeating this argument we will finally arrive to the function u_n^- , which is of class $C^1[a, b]$ and of class C^2 in the interior of every interval of the form (t_i, t_{i+1}) . Then we use the equation satisfied by each partial eigenfunction and the continuity of F, rather than that of G, to find that u_n^- is of class $C^2(a, b)$. The associated eigenvalue is simply $\lambda_n^- = \lambda^-(a, t_1)$.

For proving uniqueness we assume we have a second eigenpair (λ, v) associated with n such that there exist values $a < s_1 < s_2 < ... < s_n < b$ and v changes sign at those points, starting with negative values in the interval (a, s_1) . If $\lambda = \lambda_n^-$ then by Corollary 3.1 we necessarily have

 $s_i = t_i$ for all i = 1, 2, ...n and then the simplicity and isolation of the first eigenfunctions proved in Theorem 3.3 completes the argument.

Now we assume that $\lambda > \lambda_n^-$, then by Corollary 3.1 we have $s_1 < t_1$ and then

$$\lambda > \lambda^{-}(0, t_1). \tag{4.9}$$

We either have $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $(t_i, t_{i+1}) \subset (s_i, s_{i+1})$ or $s_n \leq t_n$. In the first case, if i is odd $\lambda^+(t_i, t_{i+1}) \geq \lambda$ and if i is even $\lambda^-(t_i, t_{i+1}) \geq \lambda$, contradicting (4.9) in both cases. In the second case, $\lambda \leq \lambda^+(t_n, b)$, if n is odd, contradicting (4.9) again and similarly if i is even. \square

5. The eigenvalue and eigenfunction theory in the Radial case

We devote this section to prove our main theorem. We assume that N > 1 and that the operator F satisfies (F1), (F2), (F3) and it is radially invariant, that is, it satisfies also (F4). Our purpose is to study the eigenvalue problem (1.1)-(1.2) where $\Omega = B_R$, is the ball of radius R centered at the origin.

We start with some notation. Given our operator F we define \mathcal{F} : $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(m,\ell,p,u,r) = F(\ell I + (m-\ell)e_1 \otimes e_1, pe_1, u, re_1)$$

and consider the operators

$$P^{+}(a,b) = \Lambda(a^{+} + (N-1)b^{+}) - \lambda(a^{-} + (N-1)b^{-})$$

and

$$P^{-}(a,b) = \lambda(a^{+} + (N-1)b^{+}) - \Lambda(a^{-} + (N-1)b^{-}).$$

Here m stands for u''(r), p for u'(r) and ℓ for $\frac{u'(r)}{r}$. Under assumption (F4), we may rewrite hypothesis (F2) in this radially symmetric setting as follows

(F2) There exist $\gamma, \delta > 0$ such that for all $m, m', \ell, \ell', p, p', u, u \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in [0, R]$,

$$P^{-}(m - m', \ell - \ell') - \gamma |p - p'| - \delta |u - u'| \le \mathcal{F}(m, \ell, p, u, r) - \mathcal{F}(m', \ell', p', u', r) \le P^{+}(m - m', \ell - \ell') + \gamma |p - p'| + \delta |u - u'|$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows the general lines of that of Theorem 4.1. The new difficulty is the singularity present now at r=0. We deal with it using an approximation procedure: in the interval $[\varepsilon, R)$ we apply the results of the previous sections. Then we obtain uniform estimates on the approximated solutions and their derivatives in order to pass to the limit. In the rest of this section we state and prove all the

ingredients necessary to do this, and so to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. We also prove the (ABP) inequality for the multidimensional radial case, and thus also that of Proposition 2.1.

The next lemma is the analogue of Lemma 2.1 and it can be proved following the same arguments.

Lemma 5.1. If (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4) hold true, then,

1. There is a continuous function $\mathcal{G}: \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$\mathcal{F}(m,\ell,p,u,r) = q$$
 if and only if $m = \mathcal{G}(\ell,p,u,q,r)$

and \mathcal{G} is Lipschitz continuous in (ℓ, p, u, q) .

2. There is a continuous function $\mathcal{G}_1: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(\ell,\ell,p,u,r) = q$$
 if and only if $\ell = \mathcal{G}_1(p,u,q,r)$

and \mathcal{G}_1 is Lipschitz continuous in (p, u, q).

The following is a regularity result, extending the second derivative of a solution to the origin, the only point in the domain that makes a difference with the one dimensional case.

Lemma 5.2. Assume that (F1), (F2), (F3)) and (F4) hold true and assume also that f is a continuous function in [0, R] and $u : [0, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of

$$\mathcal{F}(u'', \frac{u'}{r}, u', u, r) = f(r) \quad in \quad (0, R)$$

$$(5.1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$u'(0) = 0, \ u(R) = 0.$$
 (5.2)

If the functions |u''(r)| and $|\frac{u'(r)}{r}|$ are bounded in (0,R) then:

1. The limit

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{u'(r)}{r}$$

exists and consequently u''(0) is well defined.

2. The function u(x) = u(|x|) is a $C^2(B_R)$ -solution to the partial differential equation

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = f \quad in \quad B_R, \tag{5.3}$$

with boundary condition

$$u = 0 \quad on \quad \partial B_R.$$
 (5.4)

Proof. We use Lemma 5.1 to write

$$u'' = \mathcal{G}(\frac{u'}{r}, u', u, f, r),$$

and then, using the boundary condition and writing $\ell = \frac{u'}{r}$, we find

$$r\ell = \int_0^r \mathcal{G}(\ell, u', u, f, s) ds$$
.

Differentiating the above functional equality, we get

$$r\ell' + \ell = \mathcal{G}(\ell, u', u, f, r).$$

Assume, by contradiction, that ℓ does not converge as $r \to 0^+$. Then there are two numbers a < b and two sequences $\{r_n^+\}$, $\{r_n^-\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n^+ = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n^- = 0,$$

and

$$\ell'(r_n^+) = \ell'(r_n^-) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell(r_n^+) = b, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell(r_n^-) = a.$$

Then we have

$$\ell(r_n^{\pm}) = \mathcal{G}(\ell(r_n \pm), u'(r_n^{\pm}), u(r_n^{\pm}), f(r_n^{\pm}), r_n^{\pm})$$

and also,

$$\ell(r_n^{\pm}) = \mathcal{G}_1(u'(r_n^{\pm}), u(r_n^{\pm}), f(r_n^{\pm}), r_n^{\pm}).$$

Since f, u and u' are continuous at r = 0 as well as \mathcal{G}_1 we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \ell(r_n^+) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell(r_n^-),$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Next we prove (ABP) inequality in the multidimensional radial case.

Proposition 5.1. Assume that $u \in C_2(0,R)$ is a solution of

$$P^{+}(u'', \frac{u'}{r}) + \gamma |u'| \ge -f^{-} \quad in \quad \{u > 0\},$$

with $u(R) \leq 0$, then

$$\sup_{(0,R)} u^{+} \le B \|f^{-}\|_{L^{N}(B_{R})}. \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, if u is a solution of

$$P^{-}(u'', \frac{u'}{r}) - \gamma |u'| \le f^{+} \quad in \ \{u < 0\}$$

with $u(R) \geq 0$, then

$$\sup_{(0,R)} u^{-} \le B \|f^{+}\|_{L^{N}(B_{R})}. \tag{5.6}$$

The constant B depends on N, λ, γ and R.

Proof. Let $l_0 = \frac{\sup_{(0,R)} u}{R}$ and denote by r_0 a maximum point of u in (0,R). There exists a point $r_- \in (0,R)$ such that $-u'(r_-) = l_0$ and $0 \le -u'(r) \le l_0$ in the interval (r_0,r_-) . Moreover, we can find a set I (union of intervals) in (r_0,r_-) so that $u'' \le 0$ in I and $u'(I) = (0,l_0)$. We observe that on I both u'' and u' are non-positive and then

$$P^{+}(u'', \frac{u'}{r}) = \lambda(u'' + (N-1)\frac{u'}{r}) \quad \text{for all} \quad r \in I.$$

Then, for any k > 0,

$$\begin{split} \ln(1+\frac{l_0^N}{k}) &= \int_0^{l_0^N} \frac{dz}{z+k} \\ &\leq \int_I \frac{-N(-u'(r))^{N-1}u''(r)\,dr}{(-u'(r))^N+k} \\ &= N \int_I \left(\frac{-u'(r)}{r}\right)^{N-1} \left(-u''(r)\right) \frac{r^{N-1}dr}{(-u'(r))^N+k} \\ &\leq N \int_I \left(-u''(r)-(N-1)\frac{u'(r)}{r}\right)^N \frac{r^{N-1}dr}{(-u'(r))^N+k} \\ &\leq \frac{2^N N}{\lambda^N} \int_I \left(\frac{|f^-|^N}{k}+\gamma^N\right) r^{N-1}dr \\ &\leq \frac{2^N N}{\lambda^N} \left(\frac{1}{k} \|f^-\|_{L^N(I)}^N+\frac{(\gamma R)^N}{N}\right). \end{split}$$

This implies that $||f^-||_{L^N(I)} > 0$, since k is arbitrary. Now we choose k so that $k\lambda^N = ||f^-||_{L^N(I)}^N$, and find $l_0 \le C||f^-||_{L^N(I)} \le C||f^-||_{L^N(B_R)}$ for some constant C > 0 depending on N, λ, γ and R. \square

Remark 5.1. When N = 1 the proof just presented reduces to a proof of Proposition 2.1 with the obvious change in the domain in order to consider a general interval (a, b).

Next corollaries follow from Proposition 5.1.

Corollary 5.1. Assume that hypotheses (F1)-(F4) hold and additionally that $\mathcal{F}(m,\ell,p,u,r)$ is decreasing in u. If $u \in C_2(0,R)$ satisfies $\mathcal{F}(u'',u'/r,u',u,r) \geq -f^-$, then (5.5) holds, and if $u \in C_2(0,R)$ satisfies $\mathcal{F}(u'',u'/r,u',u,r) \leq f^+$, then (5.6) holds.

The following comparison principle also follows from Proposition 5.1:

Corollary 5.2. Assume that hypotheses (F1)-(F4) hold and additionally that $\mathcal{F}(m, \ell, p, u, r)$ is decreasing in u. If $u, v \in C_2(0, R)$ satisfy

$$F(u'', u'/r, u', u, t) \ge F(v'', v'/r, v', v, t)$$
 in $(0, R)$,

and
$$u(R) = v(R)$$
, $u'(0) = v'(0) = 0$, then, $u \le v$ in $[0, R]$.

As in Section 3, before proving the existence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, we prove the existence of solutions for a related Dirichlet problem, as follows.

Theorem 5.1. Assume that (F1)-(F4) hold true. There is $\kappa > 0$ so that the equation

$$\mathcal{F}(u'', \frac{u'}{r}, u', u, r) - \kappa u = f \quad in \quad (0, R), \tag{5.7}$$

$$u'(0) = 0, \ u(R) = 0,$$
 (5.8)

possesses a unique solution for any given continuous function f.

The proof of this theorem can be done through an approximation procedure and using only elementary ODE arguments. In this direction we have the following two results.

Lemma 5.3. Assume assumptions (F1)-(F4). There is $\kappa > 0$ (independent of ε) so that for any given $f \in C^0[0,R]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unique solution u_{ε} of

$$\mathcal{F}(u'', \frac{u'}{r}, u', u, r) - \kappa u = f \quad in \quad (\varepsilon, R), \tag{5.9}$$

$$u'(\varepsilon) = 0, \ u(R) = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

The proof of this proposition is completely similar to that of Theorem 3.2 so we omit it. The following lemma provides estimates for the solution u_{ε} , independent of ε and its proof is inspired of that of Lemma 2.2 in [7].

Lemma 5.4. Assume that (F1)-(F4) hold true and let u_{ε} be the solution to (5.9)-(5.10) given by Lemma 5.3. Then there is a constant C, independent of ε , such that

$$\left|\frac{u_{\varepsilon}'(r)}{r}\right| \leq C$$
 and $\left|u_{\varepsilon}''(r)\right| < C$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $r \in [\varepsilon, R]$.

Proof. We first claim that if $u_{\varepsilon}(r)$ and $u'_{\varepsilon}(r)$ are uniformly bounded in $[\varepsilon, R]$, then $u'_{\varepsilon}(r)/r$ and $u''_{\varepsilon}(r)$ are uniformly bounded in $[\varepsilon, R]$. By contradiction, suppose the existence of two sequences $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ and $r_n \in$ $(\varepsilon_n, R]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{u_n'(r_n)}{r_n} = -\infty,$$

where we write $u_n = u_{\varepsilon_n}$. From (5.9), (F2) and our assumption on $u_{\varepsilon}(r)$ and $u'_{\varepsilon}(r)$, we have that $u''_{n}(r_{n}) \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$.

If $u_n''(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (\varepsilon_n, r_n]$, then $u_n'(r_n) > 0$, which is impossible. Thus, for all n there exists $\bar{r}_n \in (\varepsilon_n, r_n)$ such that $u''(\bar{r}_n) = 0$ and $u_n''(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (\bar{r}_n, r_n)$. Hence $u'(\bar{r}_n) < u'(r_n)$, which implies that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{u'(\bar{r}_n)}{\bar{r}_n} = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad u''(\bar{r}_n) = 0,$$

which is again impossible by (5.9), (F2) and our assumption on $u_{\varepsilon}(r)$ and $u'_{\varepsilon}(r)$. Suppose next that for a sequence of points $r_n \in (\varepsilon_n, R)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{u_n'(r_n)}{r_n} = +\infty,$$

then with a similar argument we also get a contradiction. Thus, we have have that $\{u'_{\varepsilon}(r)/r\}$ is bounded and as before we conclude that $\{u''_{\varepsilon}(r)\}$ is bounded, proving the claim.

Suppose now that $\{\beta_{\varepsilon}\}\$ is unbounded with $\beta_{\varepsilon} = \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} + \|u'_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}$. Define $v_{\varepsilon}(r) = u_{\varepsilon}(r)/\beta_{\varepsilon}$. Then $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}\$ and $\{v'_{\varepsilon}\}\$ are bounded and v_{ε} satisfies

$$\mathcal{F}(v_{\varepsilon}'', \frac{v_{\varepsilon}'}{r}, v_{\varepsilon}', v_{\varepsilon}, r) - \kappa v_{\varepsilon} = \frac{f}{\beta_{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{in} \quad (\varepsilon, R), \tag{5.11}$$

$$v_{\varepsilon}'(\varepsilon) = 0, \ v_{\varepsilon}(R) = 0.$$
 (5.12)

Using the claim again, we conclude that for a positive constant C

$$\left|\frac{v_{\varepsilon}'(r)}{r}\right| < C, \quad \left|v_{\varepsilon}''(r)\right| < C, \quad \text{for all} \quad r \in [\varepsilon, R],$$

To proceed with the proof now we use the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, and find a sequence $v_{\varepsilon_n} \to v$ uniformly in $C^1([0,R])$ to a solution $v \in C_2(0,R)$ of (5.7)-(5.8) with right-hand side equal to 0. At this point we may use (ABP) inequality as given in Proposition 5.1, to obtain that this equation has a unique solution by the comparison principle given in Corollary 5.2, so $v \equiv 0$. But this is impossible since $||v_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} + ||v'_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} = 1$ for all ε . \square

Remark 5.2. If we use the claim given in the first part of the proof of Lemma 5.4 we see that for the function v defined as the limit of v_{ε} , there is a constant C so that

$$\left|\frac{v'(r)}{r}\right| \le C$$
 and $\left|v''(r)\right| < C$, for all $r \in (0, R]$.

Then we may apply Lemma 5.2 to find that v''(0) is well defined and thus v is a solution to the corresponding partial differential equation in the ball with zero right hand side.

Proof of Theorem 5.1 Using Proposition 5.1 we obtain a sequence of approximating solutions for (5.7)-(5.8). Then we use Lemma 5.4 to obtain estimates that allows us to use the Arzela-Ascoli theorem as at the end of the proof of Lemma 5.4 to obtain a solution of the problem.

Finally we state a compactness lemma, whose proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.2 and which is necessary to use Krein-Rutman theory to find the first eigenvalues.

Lemma 5.5. If (F1)-(F4) hold true, let u_n be the solution of equation (5.7)-(5.8) with right hand side f_n , where $\{f_n\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions in the interval [0, R]. Then, there is a constant C, independent of n, such that

$$\left|\frac{u_n'(r)}{r}\right| \le C$$
 and $\left|u_n''(r)\right| < C$, for all $r \in (0, R]$.

Next we have the existence of the first eigenvalues in the ball. This theorem is a particular case of the general eigenvalue theory for fully nonlinear equations. Here we have provided a proof which relies on elementary arguments.

Theorem 5.2. Under assumptions (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4), the radially symmetric eigenvalue problem (1.1)-(1.2) in $\Omega = B_R$ has a solution (λ^+, u^+) , with $u^+ > 0$ and radially symmetric in B_R and another solution (λ^-, u^-) with $u^- < 0$ and radially symmetric in B_R . Moreover

- i) $\lambda^+ < \lambda^-$.
- ii) Every positive (resp. negative) solution of equation (3.5) is a multiple of u^+ (resp. u^-).
- iii) If $\lambda^{\pm}(R)$ denotes the eigenvalue in B_R then $\lambda^{\pm}(R) < \lambda^{\pm}(R')$ if R > R'.
- iv) $\lambda^{\pm}(R) \to \infty$ if $R \to 0$.

Proof. With the aid of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.5 we can follow step by step the arguments given in the proof of Theorem 3.3 to obtain the existence of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. The qualitative properties are proved similarly as in the one dimensional case shown in Section $\S 3$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The arguments are the same as those given in the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Acknowledgements: P.F. was partially supported by Fondecyt Grant # 1070314, FONDAP and BASAL-CMM projects and Ecos-Conicyt project C05E09.

A. Q. was partially supported by Fondecyt Grant # 1070264 and USM Grant # 12.09.17. and Programa Basal, CMM. U. de Chile. .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Allendes, A. Quaas. Multiplicity results for extremal operators through bifurcation. Submitted.
- [2] S.N Armstrong. Principal eigenvalues and an anti-maximum principle for homogeneous fully nonlinear elliptic equations. To appear in J.D.E.
- [3] M. Arias, J. Campos, Radial Fucik Spectrum of the Laplace Operator. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 190, 3, 15 1995, 654-666.
- [4] H.Berestycki, On some nonlinear Sturm-Liouville problems, J. Differential Equations 26 (1977) 375-390.
- [5] J. Busca, M. Esteban, A. Quaas. Nonlinear eigenvalues and bifurcation problems for Pucci's operator, Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Lineaire 22 (2) (2005), p. 187-206.
- [6] X. Cabré, L.A. Caffarelli. Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publication, Vol. 43, 1995.
- [7] P. Felmer, A. Quaas. Positive solutions to "semilinear" equation involving the Pucci's operator, J. Differential Equations 199 (2) (2004), p. 376-393.
- [8] H. Ishii, Y. Yoshimura. Demi-eigenvalues for uniformly elliptic Isaacs operators. Preprint.
- [9] P. Juutinen. Principal eigenvalue of a very badly degenerate operator and applications, J.D.E. **236** (2) (2007) p. 532-550.
- [10] P.L. Lions. Bifurcation and optimal stochastic control, Nonlinear Anal. 2 (1983), p. 177-207.
- [11] P.L. Lions. Two remarks on Monge-Ampère equations, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 142, no. 4 (1985), p. 263-275.
- [12] A. Quaas. Existence of Positive Solutions to a "semilinear" equation involving the Pucci's operator in a convex domain, Differential Integral Equations 17 (2004), p. 481-494.
- [13] A. Quaas, B. Sirakov. Principal eigenvalues and the Dirichlet problem for fully nonlinear elliptic operators. Advances in Mathematics 218 (2008), p.105-135.
- [14] Z. Nehari, Characteristic values associated with a class of nonlinear secondorder differential equations. Acta Math. 105 1961 141–175.
- [15] P. H. Rabinowitz. Some aspect of nonlinear eigenvalue problem, Rocky Mountain J. Math **74**, no. 3 (1973), p. 161-202.
- [16] P. H. Rabinowitz. Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems. J. Funct. Anal. 7 (1971), p. 487-513.
- [17] P. H. Rabinowitz. Théorie du degré topologique et applications à des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Lectures Notes Lab. Analyse Numérique Université PARIS VI, 1975.

22 MARIA J. ESTEBAN, PATRICIO FELMER, AND ALEXANDER QUAAS

- M.J. ESTEBAN, CEREMADE UMR CNRS 7534, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DAUPHINE, 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16, FRANCE. (esteban@ceremade.dauphine.fr)
- P. Felmer, Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática, and Centro de Modelamiento Matemático, UMR2071 CNRS-UCHILE, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 170 Correo 3, Santiago, Chile. (pfelmer@dim.uchile.cl)

Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Santa María Casilla: V-110, Avda. España 1680, Valparaíso, Chile. (alexander.quaas@usm.cl)