

Fano-Hopfield model and photonic band gaps for an arbitrary atomic lattice

Mauro Antezza, Yvan Castin

▶ To cite this version:

Mauro Antezza, Yvan Castin. Fano-Hopfield model and photonic band gaps for an arbitrary atomic lattice. Physical Review A: Atomic, molecular, and optical physics [1990-2015], 2009, 80, pp.013816. 10.1103/PhysRevA.80.013816 . hal-00406429

HAL Id: hal-00406429 https://hal.science/hal-00406429v1

Submitted on 22 Jul 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fano-Hopfield model and photonic band gaps for an arbitrary atomic lattice

Mauro Antezza and Yvan Castin

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, École Normale Supérieure, CNRS and UPMC, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France

(Dated: July 22, 2009)

We study the light dispersion relation in a periodic ensemble of atoms at fixed positions in the Fano-Hopfield model (the atomic dipole being modeled with harmonic oscillators). Compared to earlier works, we do not restrict to cubic lattices, and we do not regularize the theory by hand but we renormalize it in a systematic way using a Gaussian cut-off in momentum space. Whereas no omnidirectional spectral gap is known for light in a Bravais atomic lattice, we find that, for a wide range of parameters, an omnidirectional gap occurs in a diamond atomic lattice, which may be realized in an experiment with ultra-cold atoms. The long-wavelength limit of the theory also provides a Lorentz-Lorenz (or Clausius-Mossotti) relation for an arbitrary lattice.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ct, 67.85.-d, 71.36.+c

I. INTRODUCTION

The determination of the spectrum of light in a periodic ensemble of atoms is a fundamental problem that still raises intriguing questions. After the seminal work of Hopfield [1] (see also Agranovich [2]), based on the Fano oscillator model for the atomic dipole [3], many theoretical works have been performed [4]. Most of them, inspired by the typical context of condensed matter physics considered in [1], focus on the long-wavelength limit where Lorentz-Lorenz (or Clausius-Mossotti) type relations may be derived [5, 6]. Recently, this problem was extended to the whole Brillouin zone in the case of cubic lattices [7, 8], which allows to address the presence or the absence of an omnidirectional spectral gap for light.

This problem of light spectrum in atomic lattices is no longer a purely theoretical issue. Recent experiments with ultracold atoms, having led to the observation of a Mott phase with one atom per lattice site [9], have indeed opened up the possibility to investigate the propagation of light in an atomic lattice, taking advantage of the large variety of optical lattices that may be realized to trap the atoms [10], all this in the regime where the lattice spacing is of the order of the optical wavelength, so that a probing of the whole Brillouin zone can be envisaged.

In the photonic crystals in solid state systems, made of dielectric spatially extended objects (rather than pointlike atoms), after the pioneering work of [11] for diamond lattices of dielectric spheres, many configurations are now known to lead to a spectral gap, with a variety of applications to light trapping and guiding [12, 13]. On the contrary, for atomic lattices, no omnidirectional spectral gap was found, neither in cubic atomic lattices [8] nor in several less symmetric Bravais lattices [14].

Two factors determine the presence of an omnidirectional gap: crystal geometry and details of the lightmatter scattering process, both can separately close a gap. Indeed, in photonic crystals materials, characterized by a macroscopic modulation of the refractive index, the same lattice geometry can lead or not to an omnidirectional gap depending on the modulation of the refractive index, as is the case for the simple cubic (sc) or body centered cubic (bcc) lattices [15]. Differently from photonic crystals materials, in the physics of ultracold atoms, one can realize periodic structures with a single atom per site [9] rather than a macroscopic number, realizing an ideal crystalline structure with a variety of possible geometries [10]. Atoms are scatterers characterized by a strong resonant and point-like interaction with light, so that the features of the light propagation in an atomic lattice cannot be straightforwardly extrapolated from known results in solid state photonic crystals. Also in the atomic case, it is not possible, only by geometric considerations, to predict the presence of an omnidirectional photonic band gap, the details of the light-matter scattering process do matter [8].

Here we develop, within the Fano-Hopfield model, a self-consistent theory for the elementary excitation spectrum of the light-atom field in atomic periodic systems, which is valid not only for cubic symmetry atomic lattices [8] but also for any Bravais lattice, and, even more, also for periodic non-Bravais lattices (i.e. for crystals with several atoms per primitive unit cell). Our theory includes the light polarizations degrees of freedom, and is based on the introduction of a Gaussian momentum cut-off which allows to eliminate all divergences (even in a periodic infinite system of atoms) by a systematic renormalization procedure. We then use our theory to address the existence of an omnidirectional spectral gap for light in atomic lattices. In particular, we show that the diamond atomic lattice, which is a non-Bravais lattice composed of two identical face-centered cubic (fcc) atomic lattices deduced one from the other by a translation along the main diagonal of the cube, may support an omnidirectional gap for light. To our knowledge, this is the first example of the occurrence of such a gap in a periodic structure of point-like atomic scatterers.

The paper is organized as follows. We present the model Hamiltonian in section II. We renormalize the model and obtain an implicit equation for the light spectrum, first for Bravais lattices in section III (with a comparison to existing predictions for a fcc lattice), and then

2

for a general lattice in section IV. We derive Lorentz-Lorenz relations in the long wavelength limit for an arbitrary lattice in section V. In section VI we calculate the spectrum in a diamond atomic lattice, we discuss the existence of a gap and experimental issues such as the practical realization of a diamond atomic lattice. We conclude in section VII.

II. THE MODEL

We consider N atoms with a dipolar coupling to the electromagnetic field, each atom being modeled by harmonic oscillators in the spirit of the Fano-Hopfield model [1, 3]. The atoms have fixed positions on a Bravais lattice (in section IV we will consider the even more general case of non-Bravais lattices). The i^{th} atom is in lattice site \mathbf{R}_i . The Hamiltonian may be written as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha}^{x,y,z} \hbar \omega_B \hat{b}_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i,\alpha} + \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \perp \mathbf{k}} \hbar c k \, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{D}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\perp}(\mathbf{R}_i).$$
(1)

The first two terms in (1) correspond to the uncoupled atomic and radiation contributions respectively, while the last term is the dipolar coupling operator. The operators $\hat{b}_{i,\alpha}$ and $\hat{b}_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger}$ respectively destroy and create an atomic excitation for the atomic dipole *i* along spatial direction α . They obey the *bosonic* commutation relations $[\hat{b}_{i,\alpha}, \hat{b}_{j,\beta}^{\dagger}] = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $[\hat{b}_{i,\alpha}, \hat{b}_{j,\beta}] = 0$. In (1), ω_B is the *bare* atomic resonance frequency, the sum \sum_{α} over the three directions of space x, y, z accounts for the three spatial components of the dipoles.

The photon annihilation and creation operators also obey usual bosonic commutation relations such as $[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'}] = \delta_{\epsilon\epsilon'}\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}$ and $[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'\epsilon'}] = 0$, where ϵ and \mathbf{k} are the photon polarization and wavevector. c is the velocity of light in vacuum. We assume a quantization volume V which includes $N = \prod_{\gamma} M_{\gamma}$ atoms and corresponds to periodic boundary conditions of the field, with a period $M_{\gamma} \mathbf{e}_{\gamma}$ along each direction $\gamma \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ of the Bravais lattice, with $M_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathbf{e}_{γ} is one of the three basis vectors of the Bravais lattice in direct space. As the consequence, the allowed wavevectors ${\bf k}$ for the electromagnetic field belong to the discrete set $\mathcal{D} = \{ \mathbf{k} \mid \mathbf{k} = \sum_{\gamma} (m_{\gamma}/M_{\gamma}) \, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\gamma}, \forall m_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \text{ where the}$ $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\gamma}$'s are basis vectors of the reciprocal lattice, such that $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\gamma'} = 2\pi \delta_{\gamma\gamma'}$. The atom-electromagnetic field coupling term involves the dipole operator $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_i$ of the i^{th} atom, whose component along direction α

$$\hat{D}_{i,\alpha} = \mathbf{d}_B \left[\hat{b}_{i,\alpha} + \hat{b}_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} \right]$$
(2)

is proportional to the *bare* atomic dipole moment d_B ,

and to the operator $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3 u \, \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{u}) \, \chi(\mathbf{u}; b), \qquad (3)$$

which is the convolution of the transverse electric field operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\perp\mathbf{k}} \left[\mathcal{E}_{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \ \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \text{h.c.} \right]$$
(4)

with a normalized cut-off function $\chi(\mathbf{r}; b)$, $\int d^3 r \, \chi(\mathbf{r}; b) = 1$, where $\mathcal{E}_k = i [\hbar k c / (2\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{V})]^{1/2}$, and the length *b* is the cut-off parameter. Here $\chi(\mathbf{r}; b)$ is a real and even function of **r**. This convolution regularizes the theory by eliminating ultraviolet divergences; it makes the model Hamiltonian *H* well defined. From (3) and (4) one has that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\perp\mathbf{k}} \left[\mathcal{E}_{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \ \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \text{h.c.} \right] \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k};b), \quad (5)$$

where the Fourier transform of $\chi(\mathbf{r}; b)$ with respect to \mathbf{r} ,

$$\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k};b) = \int d^3 r \ e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \ \chi(\mathbf{r};b), \tag{6}$$

is a real and even function of \mathbf{k} .

III. LIGHT DISPERSION RELATION IN A BRAVAIS LATTICE

Starting from the Hamiltonian (1) it is possible (as done in Appendix A) to derive the equations of motion for the matter and light fields, and, after a linear transformation and the use of the Bloch theorem, furthermore leaving out the so-called *free-field* solutions (see below), one obtains that the atom-light elementary frequency spectrum ω , in terms of bare quantities, is given by the solutions of the equation

$$\det M(b) = 0 \tag{7}$$

where the 3×3 symmetric matrix M is given by

$$M_{\alpha\beta}(b) = (\omega_B^2 - \omega^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_{p,B}^2 \sum_{\mathbf{K}\in\mathrm{RL}} \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}K'^2 - K'_{\alpha}K'_{\beta}}{(\omega/c)^2 - K'^2} \tilde{\chi}^2(\mathbf{K}';b), \quad (8)$$

 \mathbf{q} is the Bloch wavevector in the first Brillouin zone, \mathbf{K} is a vector of the reciprocal lattice (RL), $\mathbf{K}' \equiv \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{q}$,

$$\omega_{p,B}^2 = \frac{2\mathrm{d}_B^2 \omega_B}{\hbar \varepsilon_0 \mathcal{V}_\mathrm{L}},\tag{9}$$

is the squared *bare* plasmon frequency, \mathcal{V}_L is the volume of the primitive unit cell of the lattice L in real space. We have taken the infinite quantization volume limit, so that $\mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}^3$, and the Bloch vector **q** may assume any value in the first Brillouin zone. Now, we specify the cut-off function $\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)$ and renormalize the theory by expressing the equations in terms of physical realistic quantities ω_0 and d, instead of the bare ones ω_B and d_B . Inspired by [14], we choose a Gaussian cut-off function [16, 19]

$$\tilde{\chi}^2(\mathbf{k}; b) = e^{-k^2 b^2}, \quad b > 0,$$
(10)

which leads to a rapidly convergent sum in (8). If $b \rightarrow 0$, which is the limit we are interested in, the sum in (8) diverges. The key idea is then that Eq.(8) has been expressed in terms of *bare* quantities. In what follows, we renormalize the matrix $M_{\alpha\beta}$ by collecting the divergent terms and the bare quantities in new physical quantities.

Renormalization of the theory

To this end, it is useful to introduce the quantity $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(b)$ defined as:

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(b) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}(\omega/c)^{3}}{6\pi} \times \left[\frac{1 + 2(\omega b/c)^{2}}{2\pi^{1/2}(\omega b/c)^{3}} - \frac{\mathrm{Erfi}(\omega b/c)}{e^{(\omega b/c)^{2}}} \right] + \sum_{\mathbf{K}\in\mathrm{RL}} \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}K'^{2} - K'_{\alpha}K'_{\beta}}{(\omega/c)^{2} - K'^{2}} e^{-K'^{2}b^{2}}, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{K}' \equiv \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{q}$ and $\operatorname{Erfi}(x) = 2\pi^{-1/2} \int_0^x dy \exp(y^2)$ is the imaginary error function. It has been shown in [14] that $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(b)$, which does not depend on bare quantities, has a finite limit $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(0)$, as $b \to 0$ [see Eq.(19)]. It is then possible to write the matrix $M_{\alpha\beta}(b)$ in the limit of $b \to 0$ as:

$$M_{\alpha\beta}(b) = (\omega_B^2 - \omega^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2d_B^2\omega_B}{\hbar\varepsilon_0} \left[\frac{\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(0)}{\mathcal{V}_{\rm L}} - \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{12\pi^{3/2}b^3} - \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}(\omega/c)^2}{6\pi^{3/2}b}\right] + O(b),$$
(12)

where we have used $\operatorname{Erf}(\omega b/c) = O(b)$. The key point is that, although the last two terms in the square bracket $[\dots]$ of (12) diverge as $b \to 0$, they diverge in a way not explicitly depending on the Bloch wavevector **q** [19]. In simple words, considering the fact that the first term in the right-hand side of Eq.(12) is $(\omega_B^2 - \omega^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$: The term inside the $[\dots]$ diverging as $1/b^3$ may be summed to $\omega_B^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, resulting in a renormalization of ω_B ; the term inside the $[\dots]$ diverging as ω^2/b may be summed to $-\omega^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, requiring also a renormalization of the atomic dipole moment d_B appearing in the overall factor to the left of $[\dots]$. The fact that two parameters are renormalized in the Hamiltonian H is reminiscent of the renormalization procedure of QED, where both the electron charge and mass are renormalized [20]. In practice, to apply the renormalization procedure, we pull out in (12) the coefficient of the $-\omega^2$ term:

$$M_{\alpha\beta}(b) = \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}_B^2 \omega_B}{3\pi^{3/2}\hbar\varepsilon_0 c^2 b}\right) \mathbb{M}_{\alpha\beta} + O(b), \qquad (13)$$

where, by construction, the 3×3 symmetric matrix \mathbb{M} has a simple expression in terms of the renormalized resonance frequency ω_0 and the renormalized dipole d:

$$\mathbb{M}_{\alpha\beta} = (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_p^2 \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(0), \qquad (14)$$

with the renormalized plasmon frequency

$$\omega_p^2 = \frac{2\mathrm{d}^2\omega_0}{\hbar\varepsilon_0\mathcal{V}_\mathrm{L}},\tag{15}$$

Identification of (13) with (12) leads to the explicit expressions for the two renormalized quantities [21]

$$\omega_0^2 = \omega_B^2 \left[1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}_B^2}{6\pi^{3/2}\hbar\varepsilon_0\omega_B b^3} \right] \left[1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}_B^2\omega_B}{3\pi^{3/2}\hbar\varepsilon_0 c^2 b} \right]^{-1} (16)$$

$$\mathrm{d}^2 = \omega_0 \frac{\mathrm{d}_B^2}{\omega_B} \left[1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}_B^2}{6\pi^{3/2}\hbar\varepsilon_0\omega_B b^3} \right]^{-1}.$$
(17)

Finally, neglecting O(b) in (13), we obtain that the atomlight elementary excitations for a generic Bravais lattice are the solution of the equation

$$\det \mathbb{M} = 0 \tag{18}$$

where \mathbb{M} is given by (14) and does not depend on the cut-off *b*. In practical calculations of the matrix elements of \mathbb{M} , one uses the fact that $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(0) \equiv \lim_{b\to 0} \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(b)$ is related to $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(b)$ of Eq.(11) by the useful expression [14]

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(0) = \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(b) \ e^{(\omega b/c)^2}, \tag{19}$$

valid for all values of $b \ll a_{\min}$, where a_{\min} is the minimum distance between two atoms. In what follows, it will be convenient to use as a parameter, rather than the plasmon frequency, the free space spontaneous emission rate of a single atom,

$$\Gamma = \frac{d^2 k_0^3}{3\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar} \tag{20}$$

where $k_0 = \omega_0/c$ is the resonant wavevector.

Free-field solutions

An important note is that, as already mentioned, the solutions of (7) do not exhaust the spectrum. One should add the so-called *free-field solutions* located on the free photon dispersion relations and corresponding to a free electric field of frequency ω (a solution of Maxwell's equations in the absence of matter) that vanishes exactly in all the atomic positions. This was discussed in [8] and

also appears in the calculations of Appendix A. In view of the discussion of spectral gaps to come, it is useful to keep in mind the following lower bound on the frequency ω_{free} of all possible free-field solutions [22]:

$$\frac{\omega_{\text{free}}}{c} \ge \inf_{\mathbf{K} \in \mathrm{RL}^*} \frac{K}{2}.$$
 (21)

This inequality holds for an arbitrary (non necessarily Bravais) lattice, and it is saturated for a Bravais lattice, as one can show using the two-mode ansatz of [8].

Spectrum for a fcc lattice

As a straightforward application of our approach, we calculate the light dispersion relation in the fcc lattice. There are two existing predictions, [7] and [8], that reach opposite conclusions concerning the existence of an omnidirectional gap. We have calculated the dispersion relation for exactly the same parameters as these two references, see Fig.1 for [7] and Fig.2 for [8] respectively, which allows a direct comparison. Our theory disagrees with the result of [7]; it quantitatively agrees with the one of [8] *provided* one replaces in the final result of [8] (but of course not in the Lagrangian of [8]) the bare values of the atomic and plasmon frequencies by their renormalized values. We conclude, as in [8], that there is no spectral gap for light in a fcc atomic lattice.

IV. LIGHT DISPERSION RELATION IN ARBITRARY ATOMIC CRYSTALS

Let us consider the generic case of a crystal which is not a Bravais lattice, that is it has more than one atom per primitive unit cell; it can be seen as the composition of P translated copies of the same Bravais lattice. The crystal is then the periodic repetition of an elementary base of P atoms. In this case it is possible to generalize the equations obtained for a Bravais lattice, as done in the appendix, and to obtain the equation

$$\det \mathbb{M} = 0 \tag{22}$$

where the $3P \times 3P$ hermitian matrix \mathbb{M} is given by

$$\mathbb{M}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu} = (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\mu\nu} + \omega_p^2 \Delta_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(0) \qquad (23)$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \{x, y, z\}, \mu, \nu \in \{1, \dots, P\}$, and ω_p^2 is given by Eq.(15). We have defined

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(b) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}(\omega/c)^{3}}{6\pi} \times \left[\frac{1+2(\omega b/c)^{2}}{2\pi^{1/2}(\omega b/c)^{3}} - \frac{\mathrm{Erfi}(\omega b/c)}{e^{(\omega b/c)^{2}}}\right] + \sum_{\mathbf{K}\in\mathrm{RL}} e^{-i\mathbf{K}'\cdot(\mathbf{r}_{\mu}-\mathbf{r}_{\nu})} \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta} K'^{2} - K'_{\alpha}K'_{\beta}}{(\omega/c)^{2} - K'^{2}} e^{-K'^{2}b^{2}}, \quad (24)$$

where $\mathbf{K}' \equiv \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{q}$ and the sum is over the reciprocal lattice of the underlying Bravais lattice. The index μ or ν labels the *P* atoms inside the primitive unit cell, and \mathbf{r}_{μ} and \mathbf{r}_{ν} are the positions of the corresponding atoms in that cell. By a slight generalization of the technique developed in [14], it is possible to show that

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(0) = \Delta_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(b) \ e^{(\omega b/c)^2}, \qquad b \ll a_{\min}, \ (25)$$

where a_{\min} is the minimal distance between two atoms in the crystal. In particular, this implies that, for $\mu \neq \nu$, the sum over **K** in (24) has a finite limit when $b \rightarrow 0$.

Here again, we note that free-field solutions, that is eigenmodes of the free field with an electric field that vanishes in all atomic locations, may have been left out from (22) and should be investigated separately. The lower bound (21) on the possible free-field eigenfrequencies $\omega_{\rm free}$ still applies [22], but, in the non-Bravais lattice case, this lower bound is in general not reached, since the vanishing of the electric field on each atomic location \mathbf{r}_{μ} inside the primitive unit cell adds extra constraints with respect to the Bravais case.

V. THE LONG WAVELENGTH LIMIT: GENERALIZED LORENTZ-LORENZ EQUATION

Let us consider the long wavelength (LW) limit of the energy spectrum, for a general (even non-Bravais) lattice. We expand Eq.(23) in the limit $qa \ll 1$ and $\omega a/c \ll 1$, where a is the minimal distance between two atoms in the underlying Bravais lattice. The resulting equation is

$$\det \mathbb{M}^{\mathrm{LW}} = 0, \tag{26}$$

where the matrix \mathbb{M}^{LW} is given by

$$\mathbb{M}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}^{\mathrm{LW}} = (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\mu\nu} + \omega_p^2 \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta} q^2 - q_\alpha q_\beta}{(\omega/c)^2 - q^2} + \omega_p^2 \,\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}, \quad (27)$$

with

$$J_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu} = \lim_{b\to 0} \left[\delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}}{12\pi^{3/2} b^3} - \sum_{\mathbf{K}\in\mathrm{RL}^*} e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_{\mu}-\mathbf{r}_{\nu})} \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta} K^2 - K_{\alpha}K_{\beta}}{K^2} e^{-K^2b^2} \right]. \quad (28)$$

The matrix $\delta_{\alpha\beta}q^2 - q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}$ in Eq.(27) is proportional to a projector: it has eigenvalues equals to zero or to q^2 , respectively for the modes which have a longitudinal or transverse polarization with respect to **q**. Equation (26) is a generalization of the result obtained by Hopfield in Eq.(30) of [1], where the excitation spectrum in the long wavelength limit was calculated for a cubic crystal. Since the cubic crystal is a Bravais lattice, we can drop the indices μ and ν ; using the symmetries of the cubic structure one finds that $J_{\alpha,\beta} = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}/3$. With this value one obtains that Eq.(26) gives both the longitudinal mode frequency ignored in [1],

$$\omega_{\parallel}^{\text{LW,cubic}} = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + \frac{2}{3}\omega_p^2},\tag{29}$$

and the transverse mode frequencies, solution as in [1] of the Lorentz-Lorenz (or Clausius-Mossotti) equation

$$\frac{q^2}{(\omega_{\perp}^{\text{LW, cubic}}/c)^2} = 1 + \frac{n\alpha(\omega_{\perp}^{\text{LW, cubic}})}{1 - \frac{1}{3} n\alpha(\omega_{\perp}^{\text{LW, cubic}})}$$
(30)

where $n = 1/\mathcal{V}_{L}$ is the atomic density of the cubic lattice and the *real* function $\alpha(\omega)$ is an atomic polarizability

$$n\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}.$$
 (31)

Then Eq.(26) is a generalized Lorentz-Lorenz equation to any periodic structure.

To illustrate these results, we have plotted in Fig.3 the dispersion relation for light obtained in the longwavelength approximation (26) and from the exact equation (22), for a cubic lattice and for an orthorhombic lattice. As expected, the long wavelength approximation becomes inaccurate for $1 \leq qa$.

As a side remark, it is worth stressing that one can relate the tensor J to the dipolar electrostatic energy of the lattice. For a Bravais lattice L one obtains [23]

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_L} \left[\mathbf{J}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right] = \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in L^*} \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 3R_\alpha R_\beta / R^2}{4\pi R^3}.$$
 (32)

The sum in the right-hand side of this equation is not absolutely convergent, so that its precise meaning needs to be defined, as we did in [23].

VI. THE DIAMOND CRYSTAL: OMNIDIRECTIONAL PHOTONIC BAND-GAP

As pointed out in the introduction, no atomic Bravais lattice leading to an omnidirectional gap for light is known. A natural idea to obtain such a gap is thus to consider lattices with several atoms per primitive unit cell. If one replaces the point-like atoms with extended objects like dielectric spheres, it is known that the diamond lattice leads to a photonic gap provided that the spheres fill a large enough fraction of the unit cell [11].

We have therefore calculated the band structure for light in an diamond atomic lattice, solving numerically (22) of section IV. The diamond lattice is formed by the superposition of two copies of the same Bravais lattice: the fcc lattice of lattice constant a, generated by the three basis vectors $\mathbf{e}_1 = (0, a/2, a/2)$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (a/2, 0, a/2)$, $\mathbf{e}_3 = (a/2, a/2, 0)$, and a second fcc lattice obtained by translating the first lattice by the vector (a/4, a/4, a/4). For the reciprocal lattice of the fcc lattice, we take the basis $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 = (-2\pi/a, 2\pi/a, 2\pi/a),$ $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2 = (2\pi/a, -2\pi/a, 2\pi/a),$ $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_3 = (2\pi/a, 2\pi/a, -2\pi/a).$ In view of application to atomic gases, we restrict to the perturbative limit $\omega_p^2/\omega_0^2 \to 0$, around the atomic resonance frequency ω_0 , calculating $\omega - \omega_0$ to first order in ω_p^2 . In this regime, it is convenient to take as a unit of frequency the spontaneous emission rate Γ of a single atom, defined in (20), and one finds that $\omega - \omega_0$ is any of the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(0) \equiv \lim_{b\to 0} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(b)$, with

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(b) = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{1 + 2(k_0 b)^2}{2\pi^{1/2} (k_0 b)^3} - \operatorname{Erfl}(k_0 b) e^{-k_0^2 b^2} \right] + \frac{3\pi\Gamma}{k_0^3 \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}} \sum_{K \in \mathrm{RL}} e^{-i\mathbf{K}' \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{\mu} - \mathbf{r}_{\nu})} \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta} K'^2 - K'_{\alpha} K'_{\beta}}{k_0^2 - K'^2} e^{-K'^2 b^2},$$
(33)

where $\mathbf{K}' \equiv \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{q}$, $k_0 = \omega_0/c$ is the resonant wavevector, and where the limit for $b \to 0$ is computed in practice from the relation $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(0) = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu}(b) e^{k_0^2 b^2}$ for $b \ll a_{\min} = a\sqrt{3}/4$ (b = 0.05a in the present calculations). Clearly, in this perturbative limit, $(\omega - \omega_0)/\Gamma$ only depends on the dimensionless quantities $\mathbf{q}a$ and k_0a .

We investigated first the arbitrarily chosen value $k_0 a = 2$. In Fig.4a, we give $\omega - \omega_0$ as a function of **q** along the standard irreducible path in the first Brillouin zone of the fcc lattice: a gap appears. To prove the existence of a truly omnidirectional gap, exploring the irreducible path is not sufficient. We thus evaluated the full density of states $\rho(\omega)$,

$$\rho(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{6} \int_{\text{FBZ}} \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \,\delta(\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{q},n}) \tag{34}$$

where the integral over \mathbf{q} is taken in the first Brillouin zone, the sum runs over the band index n, $\omega_{\mathbf{q},n}$ is the light dispersion relation in band n. Numerically, we have explored the whole unit cell of the reciprocal lattice, parametrized as $\mathbf{q} = \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha}$, $\mathbf{Q} \in [-1/2, 1/2)^3$, with a cubic mesh for \mathbf{Q} with 10^6 points. The result in Fig.5 unambiguously shows the presence of an omnidirectional gap, $\rho(\omega)$ vanishing over an interval $[\omega_{inf}, \omega_{sup}]$. The values of ω_{inf} and ω_{sup} are reported as horizontal dashed lines in Fig.4a, showing that remarkably the partial gap on the irreducible path is very close to the full omnidirectional gap.

In a second stage, we have varied the lattice spacing a. The variation of the gap $\Delta \equiv \omega_{sup} - \omega_{inf}$ as a function of $k_0 a$ is given in Fig.6a, revealing that there exists no gap for too high values of $k_0 a$, $5.14 \leq k_0 a$. This may be understood by looking again at the light dispersion relation along the irreducible path of the first Brillouin zone, for values of $k_0 a$ around 5.1, see Fig.4b: Around point L, defined by $q_L = (\pi/a, \pi/a, \pi/a)$, a frequency branch is seen to rapidly move towards low frequencies when aincreases, leading to a closure of the gap. The modulus of the Bloch vector is $q_L = \pi\sqrt{3}/a \simeq 5.44/a$, and when q_L approaches the value k_0 from above for increasing a, the denominator $k_0^2 - q_L^2$ appearing in (33) for $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{0}$ becomes very small and negative, leading to a mode frequency ω close to the L point more and more below the atomic resonance frequency ω_0 . In the opposite limit of a small lattice spacing a, that is of an increasing atomic density, one finds that the gap increases; from the overall factor $1/(k_0a^3)$ appearing in (33), one naively expects a gap scaling as $1/a^3$ in this limit, which is indeed roughly the case.

The previous discussion can be extended to the variation of the gap boundaries ω_{inf} and ω_{sup} , plotted as functions of $k_0 a$ in Fig.6b. The log-log scale reveals that ω_{inf} and ω_{sup} approximately vary as power laws with a at low a, and the corresponding slopes ~ -3.25 and ~ -2.8 (see the dotted lines) indeed indicate exponents close to -3. At large a, the rapid variation of ω_{sup} leading to the gap closure around $\omega_{inf} - \omega_0 = \omega_{sup} - \omega_0 \simeq -\Gamma$ is also quite apparent.

To be complete, let us mention that the previous discussion about the existence of a spectral gap is not affected by the free-field solutions. From (21) we indeed find that any free-field solution has a frequency $\omega_{\text{free}} \geq c \inf_{\mathbf{K} \in \mathrm{RL}^*} K/2 = c |\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_3|/2 = \pi \sqrt{3}c/a$. We have seen that having a spectral gap requires $k_0 < q_L = \pi \sqrt{3}/a$, which thus implies $\omega_0 < \omega_{\text{free}}$ [24].

Experimental realizability of a diamond atomic lattice

To experimentally test the presence of a gap for light in a diamond lattice with real atoms, the first step is to use an atomic species with a transition between a ground state with a spin $J_g = 0$ and an excited state with a spin $J_e = 1$, so that the harmonic oscillator representation of the atomic dipole in the Hamiltonian His reasonable. A natural candidate is strontium ⁸⁸Sr, already used in experiments on light coherent backscattering [25], but there are of course other possibilities, such as bosonic ytterbium ¹⁷⁴Yb where a Bose-Einstein condensate is available [26]. The second step is to realize a diamond structure, by loading the atoms in an appropriate optical lattice with a filling factor one (Mott phase). Very recently, such a Mott phase with ¹⁷⁴Yb was realized in a cubic lattice [27].

Atomic lattices with two atoms per primitive unit cell have already been realized experimentally [28], however to our knowledge not with a diamond structure. This missing element, the diamond lattice, can be realized building on the ideas of [10], as was shown in [15] in the different context of holographic lithography of dielectric materials [29]. We recall here briefly the idea: The optical lattice is produced by the coherent superposition of four laser plane waves, resulting in the total laser field of positive frequency part

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{laser}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{j=0}^{3} \mathbf{E}_{j} e^{i(\mathbf{k}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_{\text{laser}}t)},$$
(35)

where the laser frequency ω_{laser} is very far from the atomic resonance frequency ω_0 so that the optical lattice is a purely conservative potential. The vectorial amplitudes of the laser plane waves have to satisfy the transversality conditions

$$\mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{E}_j = 0 \ \forall j. \tag{36}$$

The lightshift experienced by the atoms in this laser field is proportional to the laser intensity $I_{\text{laser}}(\mathbf{r}) \propto |\mathbf{E}_{\text{laser}}^{(+)}|^2$. Taking for simplicity linearly polarized plane waves, the vectorial amplitudes \mathbf{E}_j may be chosen real by a convenient choice of the origin of coordinates [10], so that

$$|\mathbf{E}_{\text{laser}}^{(+)}|^2 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^3 E_j^2\right) + \sum_{0 \le j \le j' \le 3} 2\mathbf{E}_j \cdot \mathbf{E}_{j'} \cos[(\mathbf{k}_j - \mathbf{k}_{j'}) \cdot \mathbf{r}].$$
(37)

The laser wavevectors \mathbf{k}_j are chosen so that the $\mathbf{k}_j - \mathbf{k}_{j'}$ generates the reciprocal lattice of the fcc lattice. As in [15] one may choose $\mathbf{k}_0 = \frac{\pi}{a}(0, -2, -1)$, $\mathbf{k}_1 = \frac{\pi}{a}(2, 0, 1)$, $\mathbf{k}_2 = \frac{\pi}{a}(0, 2, -1)$, and $\mathbf{k}_3 = \frac{\pi}{a}(-2, 0, 1)$. These four vectors have the same modulus, as it should be, which relates the value of the laser frequency to the diamond lattice constant,

$$k_{\text{laser}} = \frac{\omega_{\text{laser}}}{c} = \frac{\sqrt{5\pi}}{a}.$$
 (38)

To have a significant spectral gap, see Fig.6, one should satisfy the condition $k_0 a < 5$, that is $\omega_{\text{laser}} > 1.4\omega_0$, which corresponds to a blue detuned lattice, where the atoms are trapped in the minima of the laser intensity.

The challenge is now to correctly choose the vectorial amplitudes \mathbf{E}_j to ensure that the minima of $I_{\text{laser}}(\mathbf{r})$ form a diamond lattice. An elegant solution was given in [15]: by imposing the conditions

$$\mathbf{E}_3 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{E}_3 \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{E}_2 \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 = -\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 > 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_3 \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{E}_2 \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = 0, \quad (40)$$

one realizes an intensity pattern

$$I_{\text{laser}}(\mathbf{r}) = I_0 + I_1 \left[-\cos(\sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \cos(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \right]$$
(41)

where $I_0 > 0$, $I_1 > 0$, and the basis vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{\gamma}$ of the reciprocal lattice are given in the beginning of this section VI. The solutions of (36),(39),(40) are not unique. If one fixes the value of I_1 (that is the value of $\mathbf{E}_3 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2$) to suppress a global scaling invariance, we are left with 10 equations for the 12 unknown components of the \mathbf{E}_j 's, leading to an actually explicitly calculable continuum of solutions parametrized by two real parameters. One of these continuous parameters corresponds to the invariance of all the equations by the scaling transform $\mathbf{E}_j \to \mathbf{E}_j/\lambda$, $j \in \{1,3\}$, and $\mathbf{E}_j \to \mathbf{E}_j\lambda$, $j \in \{0,2\}$, with the scaling factor $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$. The particular solution given in [15] obeys the two constraints $E_1^2 = E_2^2 = E_3^2$ presumably added for experimental convenience.

The key point is then that the minima of the intensity pattern (41) are located in (3a/8, 3a/8, 3a/8) and in (5a/8, 5a/8, 5a/8) modulo any vector of the fcc lattice [30]. These minima correspond to the same laser intensity, and lead to local harmonic microtraps that are isotropic. Since the relative vectorial position of these two minima is (a/4, a/4, a/4), the set of all laser intensity minima indeed form a diamond lattice.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the Fano-Hopfield model for propagation of light in a periodic ensemble of atoms with fixed positions for the most general (even non-Bravais) lattice geometry and without restricting to the long wavelength regime for light. We have shown that all divergences in the large momentum cut-off limit may be eliminated in a systematic way by a renormalization of two parameters of the Hamiltonian, the atomic resonance frequency and the atomic dipole.

First, we have used our theory to quantitatively confirm the predictions of [8] for the spectrum of light in a face-centered cubic lattice and the absence of an omnidirectional spectral gap in that case. Second, we have shown that a diamond atomic lattice can lead to an omnidirectional gap. Since a diamond atomic lattice may be realized in practice, as we discussed, this opens up the possibility of observing such a spectral gap for light in an ultracold atomic ensemble, which would bridge the state of the art gap between atomic gases and photonic band-gap solid state materials.

Acknowledgments

We thank Iacopo Carusotto and Christophe Salomon for useful discussions and suggestions. One of us (M.A.) thanks ANR Gascor and IFRAF for financial support. Our group at Laboratoire Kastler Brossel is a member of IFRAF.

APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF THE EXCITATION SPECTRUM IN TERMS OF BARE QUANTITIES

1. Equations of motion

Starting from H in (1), and from the bosonic commutation relations it is possible to derive the system of coupled equations of motion in the Heisenberg picture for the matter excitation operators $\hat{b}_{i,\alpha}$ $(1 \leq i \leq N \text{ and } \alpha \in \{x, y, z\})$, and for the light excitation operators $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon}$ $(\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } \epsilon \perp \mathbf{k})$:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{b}_{i,\alpha} = \hbar \omega_B \hat{b}_{i,\alpha} - d_B \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\perp,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_i), \qquad (A1)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{b}_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} = -\hbar\omega_B \, \hat{b}_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{d}_B \, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\perp,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_i), \tag{A2}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = \hbar ck \, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$$
$$- \mathcal{C}_k \, \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha}^{x,y,z} (\hat{b}_{i,\alpha} + \hat{b}_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger}) \, \epsilon_{\alpha}^* \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}, (A3)$$
$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^{\dagger} = -\hbar ck \, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^{\dagger}$$
$$N \, x,y,z$$

$$- \mathcal{C}_k \, \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha}^{x, y, z} (\hat{b}_{i,\alpha} + \hat{b}_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger}) \, \epsilon_\alpha \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}, \quad (A4)$$

where $C_k = -d_B \mathcal{E}_k$ and $\mathcal{E}_k = i[\hbar kc/(2\varepsilon_0 V)]^{1/2}$. The standard Bogoliubov procedure to obtain the eigenmodes amounts to replacing the operators in the equations of motion with complex numbers oscillating in time at the eigenfrequency ω :

$$\hat{b}_{i,\alpha} \to U_{i,\alpha} e^{-i\omega t},$$
 (A5)

$$\hat{b}_{i\,\alpha}^{\dagger} \to V_{i,\alpha} \ e^{-i\omega t},$$
 (A6)

$$\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} \to u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} e^{-i\omega t},$$
 (A7)

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} \to v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} e^{-i\omega t}.$$
 (A8)

The set of equations (A1)-(A4) then becomes

$$\hbar\omega U_{i,\alpha} = \hbar\omega_B U_{i,\alpha} - \mathbf{d}_B \mathbb{E}_{\perp,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_i), \qquad (A9)$$

$$\hbar\omega V_{i,\alpha} = -\hbar\omega_B V_{i,\alpha} + d_B \mathbb{E}_{\perp,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_i), \qquad (A10)$$

 $\hbar\omega \, u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = \hbar ck \, u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$ $- \mathcal{C}_k \, \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha}^{x,y,z} (U_{i,\alpha} + V_{i,\alpha}) \, \epsilon_{\alpha}^* \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} \, (A11)$ $\hbar\omega \, v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = -\hbar ck \, v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$ $- \mathcal{C}_k \, \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha}^{x,y,z} (U_{i,\alpha} + V_{i,\alpha}) \, \epsilon_{\alpha} \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}, \quad (A12)$

where

$$d_{B} \mathbb{E}_{\perp,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_{i}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\perp\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{C}_{k} \left[\epsilon_{\alpha} \ u_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{i}} - \epsilon_{\alpha}^{*} \ v_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \ e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{i}}\right] \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k};b),$$
(A13)

Equations (A9)-(A12) can be rewritten, after simple manipulations, in the useful form

$$U_{i,\alpha} + V_{i,\alpha} = \frac{2\omega_B}{\hbar(\omega_B^2 - \omega^2)} d_B \mathbb{E}_{\perp,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_i), \quad (A14)$$

$$U_{i,\alpha} - V_{i,\alpha} = \frac{\omega}{\omega_B} (U_{i,\alpha} + V_{i,\alpha}), \qquad (A15)$$

$$u_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_k \; \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)}{\hbar(ck - \omega)} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha}^{x, y, z} (U_{i,\alpha} + V_{i,\alpha}) \, \epsilon_{\alpha}^* \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} (A16)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = -\frac{\mathcal{C}_k \ \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)}{\hbar(ck+\omega)} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\alpha}^{x,y,z} (U_{i,\alpha} + V_{i,\alpha}) \ \epsilon_\alpha \ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} (A17)$$

Eq.(A14) is valid for $\omega_B^2 - \omega^2 \neq 0$, and Eq.(A16) is valid for $ck - \omega \neq 0$. We note that a solution with $\omega = ck$ is possible if one finds a free field solution (that is a solution of Maxwell equations of frequency ω in the absence of charge and current) such that the electric field \mathbb{E}_{\perp} vanishes in all atomic locations \mathbf{R}_i , so that all $U_{i,\alpha} + V_{i,\alpha}$ are zero. This type of free field solutions, already considered in [8], are thus left out by Eq.(A16) and have to be investigated by a specific calculation.

2. Periodic system: Bravais lattice

We now consider N atoms in a generic Bravais lattice, within the quantization volume $V = \{\mathbf{r} \mid \mathbf{r} = \sum_{\gamma} x_{\gamma} \mathbf{e}_{\gamma}, 0 \leq x_{\gamma} < M_{\gamma}\}, \gamma \in \{1, 2, 3\}, M_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}^*$, compatible with the lattice geometry. Thanks to the Bloch theorem one has

$$U_{i,\alpha} = U_{0,\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}, \qquad (A18)$$

$$V_{i,\alpha} = V_{0,\alpha} \ e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i},\tag{A19}$$

where $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{D}$ is the Bloch wavevector in the first Brillouin zone in the reciprocal lattice (RL) and atom 0 is placed at the origin of coordinates. By substituting (A18) and (A19) in the expressions for $v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$ and $u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$ of Eqs.(A16) and (A17), and using the fact that the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\pm i(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{q})\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} = 0$ for all values of $\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{q}$ that are not points of the reciprocal lattice, while $\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\pm i(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{q})\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} = V/\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for $\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{K} \in \mathrm{RL}$, where V is the quantization volume occupied by the N atoms and \mathcal{V}_{L} is the volume of the primitive unit cell in the direct lattice L, one has that $u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = 0$ and $v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = 0$ except if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{K} \in \mathrm{RL}$, in which case

$$u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = \frac{V}{\mathcal{V}_{L}} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{k} \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)}{\hbar (ck - \omega)} \sum_{\alpha}^{x, y, z} (U_{0,\alpha} + V_{0,\alpha}) \epsilon_{\alpha}^{*}, \qquad (A20)$$

or if $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{K} \in RL$, in which case

$$v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = -\frac{V}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{k} \,\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k};b)}{\hbar(ck+\omega)} \sum_{\alpha}^{x,y,z} (U_{0,\alpha} + V_{0,\alpha}) \,\epsilon_{\alpha}.$$
(A21)

- [1] J.J. Hopfield, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1555 (1958).
- [2] V. Agranovich, Sov. Phys. JETP 37, 307 (1960).
- [3] U. Fano, Phys. Rev. **103**, 1202 (1956).
- [4] P. de Vries, D.V. van Coevorden, A. Lagendijk, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 447 (1998).
- [5] J. Kästel, M. Fleischhauer, and G. Juzeliūnas, Phys. Rev.

Inserting (A20) and (A21) in the expression of $\mathbb{E}_{\perp,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_i)$ of Eq.(A13), using the relation $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\perp\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\beta}^* = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - k_{\alpha}k_{\beta}/k^2$, and Eq.(A14), and using the fact that $\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)$ is an even function of \mathbf{k} , we obtain that the eigenfrequency ω is given by the solution of the equation det M(b) = 0where the matrix elements $M_{\alpha\beta}(b)$ are given in Eq.(8).

3. Periodic system: non-Bravais lattice

Finally, we briefly consider the case of a generic non-Bravais lattice of section IV: in the elementary unit cell, there are P atoms of positions \mathbf{r}_{ν} , $\nu \in \{1, \ldots, P\}$, and this base is repeated periodically according to an underlying Bravais lattice. In the equations of subsection A 1 one then has to replace the Bravais lattice positions \mathbf{R}_i by the atomic positions \mathbf{r}_i in the crystal:

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_{\nu_i} + \mathbf{R}_i, \tag{A22}$$

where \mathbf{R}_i belongs to the underlying Bravais lattice and \mathbf{r}_{ν_i} is a position within the elementary unit cell. Then Bloch theorem gives

$$U_{i,\alpha} = U_{0,\alpha}^{(\nu_i)} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} \tag{A23}$$

$$V_{i,\alpha} = V_{0,\alpha}^{(\nu_i)} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}, \qquad (A24)$$

where the Bloch vector $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{D}$ may be chosen in the first Brillouin zone of the reciprocal lattice RL. For $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K} \in \mathrm{RL}$,

$$u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = \frac{V}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{k} \,\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)}{\hbar(ck - \omega)} \sum_{\alpha}^{x, y, z} \sum_{\nu=1}^{P} (U_{0,\alpha}^{(\nu)} + V_{0,\alpha}^{(\nu)}) \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{\nu}} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{*}, \tag{A25}$$

otherwise $u_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$ is equal to zero. Similarly, for $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K} \in \mathrm{RL}$,

$$v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon} = -\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{k} \, \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)}{\hbar(ck+\omega)} \sum_{\alpha}^{x,y,z} \sum_{\nu=1}^{P} (U_{0,\alpha}^{(\nu)} + V_{0,\alpha}^{(\nu)}) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{\nu}} \epsilon_{\alpha},$$
(A26)

otherwise $v_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}$ is equal to zero. Then proceeding as for the Bravais case one gets (22).

A 76, 062509 (2007), and references therein.

- [6] I. Carusotto, M. Antezza, F. Bariani, S. De Liberato, and C. Ciuti Phys. Rev. A 77, 063621 (2008).
- [7] D.V. van Coevorden, R. Sprik, A. Tip, and A. Lagendijk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2412 (1996).
- [8] J.A. Klugkist, M. Mostovoy, and J. Knoester, Phys. Rev.

Lett. **96**, 163903 (2006).

- [9] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T.W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, Nature 415, 39 (2002).
- [10] K. I. Petsas, A. B. Coates, and G. Grynberg, Phys. Rev. A 50, 5173 (1994).
- [11] K.M. Ho, C.T. Chan, and C.M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3152 (1990).
- [12] J.D. Joannopoulos, S.G. Johnson, J.N. Winn, R.D. Meade, *Photonic Crystals: Molding the Flow of Light*, 2nd ed. (Princeton Univ. Press, 2008).
- [13] E. Yablonovitch, T.J. Gmitter, K.M. Leung, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2295 (1991).
- [14] M. Antezza and Y. Castin, arXiv:0903.0765v1 (2009).
- [15] O. Toader, T.Y. Chan, and S. John, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 043905 (2004).
- [16] The use of a Gaussian cut-off ensures a rapid convergence of the sums to appear over the reciprocal lattice. Combined with the relations (19) and (25), it allows to avoid Ewald summation tricks [17, 18], with which it shares however some mathematical features.
- [17] G.D. Mahan, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 1569 (1965).
- [18] M.R. Philpott, J.W. Lee, J. Chem. Phys. 58, 595 (1973).
- [19] Not all cut-off functions lead to an expression for (8) which is possible to renormalize when $b \to 0$. Surprisingly, even by considering the simple case of a simple cubic lattice of lattice constant a, if one chooses for $\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{k}; b)$ the function equal to one for \mathbf{k} inside the cubic box $\left[-\frac{\pi}{b}, \frac{\pi}{b}\right]^3$ and zero elsewhere, a/b being integer, one obtains that, when $b \to 0$, the sum (8) diverges, and, most important, the divergent terms depend on the Bloch wavevector \mathbf{q} , hence providing a non-renormalizable model. To show this, let us consider the sum (8) for $\alpha = x$ and $\beta = y$. By using symmetry properties of the cubic geometry the sum has, for $b \to 0$, a leading term

$$q_x q_y \times \sum_{\mathbf{K} \in \mathrm{RL} \cap [-\frac{\pi}{b}, \frac{\pi}{b}]^3} \left(\frac{8K_x^2 K_y^2}{K^6} - \frac{1}{3K^2} \right)$$

that diverges in a way proportional to $q_x q_y$ for $b \to 0$.

- [20] L.H. Ryder, in Quantum Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- [21] For ω_0^2 to be positive, b should be large enough. For an hydrogen-like atom we may use the estimates $\hbar\omega_B \approx q^2/(4\pi\varepsilon_0 a_0)$ and $d_B \approx |q|a_0$, where q is the electron charge and a_0 the atomic radius, so that $d_B^2/(\hbar\varepsilon_0\omega_B) \approx 4\pi a_0^3$. The first factor in (16) is positive if $a_0 \leq b$, which is natural in a dipolar coupling model. Then the second factor is close to unity if $(a_0/b) \times (\omega_B a_0/c)^2 \leq 1$. This condition is satisfied, since $\omega_B a_0/c \approx \alpha$, where $\alpha \simeq 1/137 \ll 1$ is the fine structure constant.

- [22] The electric field of a free-field solution is a sum of plane waves which interfere destructively in all atomic positions. This sum thus includes at least two plane waves. Let us select arbitrarily two of these plane waves; if one has a wavevector \mathbf{k} , the other one has a wavevector necessarily of the form $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{RL}^*$, because of the Bloch theorem. These two waves have the same frequency ω_{free} and obey the free space dispersion relation, so that $\omega_{\text{free}}/c = k = |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|$. Squaring this last equality gives $2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{K} + K^2 = 0$, so that $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} - \mathbf{K}/2$ where \mathbf{k}_{\perp} is orthogonal to \mathbf{K} . Then $k \geq K/2$, which leads to (21). [23] One introduces $S_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}; b) = \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in L^*} \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}) \langle u_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r} +$
- [23] One introduces $S_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}; b) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}\in L^*} \exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R})\langle u_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R})\rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$, with $u_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = (\delta_{\alpha\beta} 3r_{\alpha}r_{\beta}/r^2)/(4\pi r^3)$ and $\langle \ldots \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$ denotes the average over \mathbf{r} with the Gaussian probability distribution $\propto \exp(-r^2/4b^2)$. Using Poisson's formula and restricting to $k \ll \inf_{\mathbf{K}\in RL^*} K$, one obtains $\lim_{b\to 0} S_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}; b) \simeq \left[\frac{k_{\alpha}k_{\beta}}{k^2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + J_{\alpha\beta}\right]/\mathcal{V}_L$. The Fourier transform of $u_{\alpha\beta}$ is indeed $\tilde{u}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{k_{\alpha}k_{\beta}}{k^2} \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. We define the right of (32) as $\lim_{b\to 0} \langle S_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}; b) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\langle \ldots \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$ stands for the uniform average over the direction of \mathbf{k} . We then get (32).
- [24] A mathematical question is to know what is the exact minimal free-field frequency in the diamond atomic lattice. Within the two-mode ansatz of [8] one finds a minimal $\omega_{\rm free}/c = 2\pi\sqrt{2}/a$. The general three-mode ansatz can give lower frequency solutions that however form a discrete set. The corresponding minimal value is $\omega_{\rm free}/c = 3\pi/(a\sqrt{2})$; it is obtained by superimposing with the right amplitudes the three plane waves of wavevectors $\mathbf{k} = (-3\pi/2a, 3\pi/2a, 0), \mathbf{k} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1$ and $\mathbf{k} + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2$, with a common polarization $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (1, 1, 0)$. We have not explored the ansatz with four waves or more.
- [25] Y. Bidel, B. Klappauf, J.-C. Bernard, D. Delande, G. Labeyrie, C. Miniatura, D. Wilkowski, R. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 203902 (2002).
- [26] Y. Takasu, K. Maki, K. Komori, T. Takano, K. Honda, M. Kumakura, T. Yabuzaki, and Y. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 040404 (2003).
- [27] T. Fukuhara, S. Sugawa, M. Sugimoto, S. Taie, Y. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. A 79, 041604 (2009).
- [28] M. Anderlini, P.J. Lee, B.L. Brown, J. Sebby-Strabley, W.D. Phillips, J.V. Porto, Nature 448, 452 (2007).
- [29] A. Chelnokov, S. Rowson, J.-M. Lourtioz, V. Berger, J.-Y. Courtois, J. Opt. A: Pure Appl. Opt. 1, L3 (1999).
- [30] The locations of the intensity maxima are $\pm(a/8, a/8, a/8)$ modulo any vector of the fcc lattice, so that they also form a diamond lattice.



FIG. 1: Dispersion relation for light in a fcc atomic lattice, with $k_0 a \approx 5$, $\Gamma/\omega_0 \approx 0.0167$, where *a* is the lattice constant and Γ the spontaneous emission rate defined in (20). In (a) the prediction found in figure (1) of [7], in (b) the results of calculations obtained using Eq.(18) of this paper, and (c) is a zoom of (b) around the atomic resonance frequency. (b) and (c) show the absence of an omnidirectional photonic gap, in contradiction with (a).



FIG. 2: (Color online) Dispersion relation for light in a fcc atomic lattice, with $k_0 a \approx 3.14$, $\Gamma/\omega_0 \approx 1.189$, where *a* is the lattice constant. (a) is the prediction found in figure (2) of [8] [circles correspond to the free modes, i.e. modes of the free field that vanish at all atomic positions], (b) is the result of calculations using Eq.(18) of this paper [the red-dashed lines correspond to the free modes]. (a) and (b) appear to be identical.



FIG. 3: (Color online) Dispersion relation for light, for the approximate long wavelength expression of Eq.(26) (red), and for the complete expression Eq.(18) (black). The spectrum is calculated as a function of q/k_0 along direction (1, 1, 1) in reciprocal space. In (a) the simple cubic lattice with $k_0a = 1/5$ and $\Gamma/\omega_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, where *a* is the lattice constant. The long wavelength approximation fails when the horizontal (degenerate) branches of the exact spectrum start approaching each other, eventually closing the gap at larger values of q/k_0 . In (b) an orthorhombic lattice with basis vectors in the direct space along x, y, z with norms respectively proportional to 2π , π , and $2^{3/2}\pi$; here $k_0a = 1/5$ and $\Gamma/\omega_0 = 5 \times 10^{-5}$, where $a = \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1/3}$ and \mathcal{V}_{L} is the volume of the primitive unit cell. Five non-degenerate branches are present, and the long wavelength approximation fails when the three horizontal branches of the exact spectrum start approaching each other, eventually closing the gap at larger values of q/k_0 .



FIG. 4: (Color online) Dispersion relation for light in a diamond atomic lattice as a function of the Bloch vector along the standard irreducible path in the first Brillouin zone, in the perturbative regime $\omega_p^2/\omega_0^2 \ll 1$ (see text). (a) $k_0a = 2$, with $k_0 = \omega_0/c$ and a is the diamond lattice constant (see text). Symbols: numerical values of the allowed frequencies ω . Dashed horizontal lines: lower and upper borders of the gap obtained from the full density of states (not restricting to the irreducible path). (b) For several values of k_0a close to the vanishing of the gap: $k_0a = 5$ (black symbols), $k_0a = 5.1$ (red symbols), $k_0a = 5.2$ (green symbols).



FIG. 5: Density of states $\rho(\omega)$ for light in an diamond atomic lattice, in the perturbative regime $\omega_p^2/\omega_0^2 \ll 1$, see (34). The whole unit cell of the reciprocal lattice is explored to obtain this density of states, with a mesh of 10^6 points. The histogram (with 250 bins) clearly reveals the existence of an omnidirectional gap at frequencies below the atomic resonance frequency. We have taken $k_0a = 2$ as in Fig.4a. $\rho(\omega)$ is in units of $1/(\Gamma \mathcal{V}_L)$ where Γ is the single atom spontaneous emission rate and $\mathcal{V}_L = a^3/4$ is the volume of the unit cell of the fcc lattice.



FIG. 6: (Color online) For the light spectrum in a diamond atomic lattice, in the perturbative limit $\omega_p^2 \ll \omega_0^2$, and as a function of $k_0 a$, (a) value of the omnidirectional spectral gap $\Delta = \omega_{sup} - \omega_{inf}$, and (b) positions of the lower frequency ω_{inf} and upper frequency ω_{sup} of the gap with respect to the atomic resonance frequency. a is the lattice constant (see text), $k_0 = \omega_0/c$ and Γ is the spontaneous emission rate defined in (20). The inset in (a) is a magnification.