



HAL
open science

On rectangular Kronecker coefficients

Laurent Manivel

► **To cite this version:**

Laurent Manivel. On rectangular Kronecker coefficients. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 2011, 33 (1), pp.153-162. hal-00405229

HAL Id: hal-00405229

<https://hal.science/hal-00405229>

Submitted on 20 Jul 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON RECTANGULAR KRONECKER COEFFICIENTS

LAURENT MANIVEL

ABSTRACT. We show that rectangular Kronecker coefficients stabilize when the lengths of the sides of the rectangle grow, and we give an explicit formula for the limit values in terms of invariants of \mathfrak{sl}_n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Kronecker coefficients are structure constants for tensor products of irreducible complex representations of symmetric groups. More precisely, the irreducible representations of \mathcal{S}_n , the group of permutations of n symbols, are indexed by partitions of n , see [Mc, I.7]. Denote by $[\lambda]$ the representation associated to a partition λ . Then we can decompose

$$[\lambda] \otimes [\mu] = \bigoplus_{\nu} k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} [\nu],$$

and a major unsolved problem is find a combinatorial rule for computing the Kronecker coefficients $k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$.

Motivated by certain aspects of algebraic complexity theory (see [BLMW] for an overview), we focus on a more specific problem. Suppose that $\mu = \nu = (d^n)$, the *rectangle partition* with n parts equal to d . Can we compute the corresponding Kronecker coefficients $k_{\lambda, (d^n), (d^n)}$, which we call rectangular Kronecker coefficients? Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $\lambda = (dn - |\rho|, \rho)$ for some partition ρ . Consider the Kronecker coefficient $k_{\rho}(d, n) = k_{\lambda, (d^n), (d^n)}$.*

- (1) $k_{\rho}(d, n)$ is a symmetric, non decreasing function of n and d ;
- (2) for $d \geq |\rho|$, the Kronecker coefficient $k_{\rho}(d, n)$ is equal to

$$k_{\rho}(n) := \dim S_{\rho}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{GL_n}.$$

A few words of explanation are in order: the general complex linear group GL_n acts by conjugation on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_n of traceless matrices. For any partition ρ there is an induced action of GL_n on the Schur power $S_{\rho}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, and $S_{\rho}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{GL_n}$ denotes the subspace of invariants of this action. (Note that we could replace GL_n by SL_n .) Also, we have denoted by $|\rho|$ the sum of the parts of the partition ρ . In the sequel we will denote by $\ell(\rho)$ its length, defined as the number of non zero parts.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We first use Schur-Weyl duality to translate the computations of Kronecker coefficients to the setting of GL_m -modules and their Schur powers, or equivalently, to a problem involving symmetric functions. By Schur-Weyl duality, we mean the following statement (see, e.g., [Ho]). For a complex vector space V , the $\mathcal{S}_n \times GL(V)$ -module $V^{\otimes n}$ decomposes as

$$V^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\lambda|=n, \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq \dim V}} [\lambda] \otimes S_{\lambda} V.$$

A straightforward consequence is that, for two vector spaces U, V and three partitions λ, μ, ν of the same integer n , the multiplicity of $S_{\mu} U \otimes S_{\nu} V$ inside $S_{\lambda}(U \otimes V)$ is equal to the Kronecker coefficient $k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ (at least for $\ell(\mu) \leq \dim V, \ell(\nu) \leq \dim W$ and $\ell(\lambda) \leq \dim U \dim V$).

Suppose that $V = W \oplus L$ for some vector spaces W, L , with $\dim L = 1$. We compute $S_\lambda(U \otimes V)$ in two different ways. First, we can use the previous remark and write

$$(1) \quad S_\lambda(U \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{\mu, \nu} k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} S_\mu U \otimes S_\nu(W \oplus L) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu, \nu, \theta \\ \nu \mapsto \theta}} k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} S_\mu U \otimes S_\theta W \otimes L^{|\nu| - |\theta|}.$$

Here we have used a version of the *Pieri formula*,

$$S_\nu(W \oplus L) = \bigoplus_{\theta | \nu \mapsto \theta} S_\theta W \otimes L^{|\nu| - |\theta|},$$

where the notation $\nu \mapsto \theta$ means that $\nu_i \geq \theta_i \geq \nu_{i+1}$ for all i . This formula is a special case of a more general formula which is also a consequence of Schur-Weyl duality: applying a Schur functor to a direct sum, one gets

$$S_\lambda(E \oplus F) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| = |\lambda|}} c_\lambda^{\alpha, \beta} S_\alpha E \otimes S_\beta F,$$

where the *Littlewood-Richardson coefficient* $c_\lambda^{\alpha, \beta}$ can be defined as the multiplicity of $S_\lambda G$ inside the tensor product $S_\alpha G \otimes S_\beta G$, for G of large enough dimension. We can apply this formula to derive

$$S_\lambda(U \otimes V) = S_\lambda(U \otimes W \oplus U \otimes L) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| = |\lambda|}} c_\lambda^{\alpha, \beta} S_\alpha(U \otimes W) \otimes S_\beta U \otimes L^{|\beta|}$$

and then, decomposing $S_\alpha(U \otimes W)$ with the help of Kronecker coefficients,

$$(2) \quad S_\lambda(U \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \rho, \theta \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| = |\lambda|}} c_\lambda^{\alpha, \beta} k_{\alpha, \rho, \theta} S_\rho U \otimes S_\beta U \otimes S_\theta W \otimes L^{|\beta|}.$$

Now we specialize these identities to the case where $\lambda = (d^n)$. Equating the coefficients, in (1) and (2), of $S_{(d^n)} U \otimes S_\theta W \otimes L^{nd - |\theta|}$, we deduce the following equality:

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\nu \mapsto \theta} k_{(d^n), (d^n), \nu} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \rho} c_{(d^n)}^{\alpha, \beta} k_{\alpha, \rho, \theta} c_{(d^n)}^{\rho, \beta}.$$

The Littlewood-Richardson coefficients in this formula are easy to understand: we have the following folklore lemma, which is an easy application of the Littlewood-Richardson rule (and also a version of Poincaré duality for Grassmannians).

Lemma 1. *If the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $c_{(d^n)}^{\alpha, \beta}$ is non zero, then α and β are complementary partitions in the rectangle $d \times n$; that is,*

$$\alpha_i + \beta_{n-i+1} = d \quad \forall i.$$

(In particular $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \leq d$ and $\alpha_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1} = 0$.) When this condition is satisfied, $c_{(d^n)}^{\alpha, \beta} = 1$.

This implies that in formula (3), can only contribute to the right-hand side the partitions α, β, ρ contained in the rectangle $d \times n$, and such that $\alpha = \rho$ is complementary to β . We can thus write:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\nu \mapsto \theta} k_{(d^n), (d^n), \nu} = \sum_{\alpha \subset d \times n} k_{\alpha, \alpha, \theta}.$$

Observe that these two sums are of a quite different nature: the first one is taken over partitions ν of size dn ; but the second one is taken over partitions α of the same size as θ ; and this size can be arbitrary. In particular, if we suppose that $|\theta| \leq \min(d, n)$, then the condition that $\alpha \subset d \times n$

is empty. If we simply ask that $|\theta| \leq d$, it amounts to the condition that the length of α does not exceed n , and we can rewrite the previous identity as

$$\sum_{\nu \mapsto \theta} k_{(d^n), (d^n), \nu} = \sum_{\ell(\alpha) \leq n} k_{\alpha, \alpha, \theta}.$$

In particular the right hand side does not depend on $d \geq |\theta|$.

Now, write $\nu = (dn - |\rho|, \rho)$ for some partition ρ , and observe that the condition that $\nu \mapsto \theta$ means that $dn - |\rho| \geq \theta_1 \geq \rho_1 \geq \theta_2 \geq \rho_2 \cdots$. Otherwise said, we ask that $dn - |\rho| \geq \theta_1$ and $\theta \mapsto \rho$. In particular, the latter condition implies that, $|\rho| \leq |\theta|$, and therefore the condition that $dn - |\rho| \geq \theta_1$ follows automatically as soon as $d \geq 2$. We can thus rewrite our identity as

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\theta \mapsto \rho} k_{(d^n), (d^n), (dn - |\rho|, \rho)} = \sum_{\ell(\alpha) \leq d} k_{\alpha, \alpha, \theta}.$$

In order to interpret the right-hand side, we apply the formula for Schur powers of tensor products to two vector spaces in duality. That is, we write

$$S_\theta(V \otimes V^\vee) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \\ \ell(\alpha), \ell(\beta) \leq \dim V}} k_{\alpha, \beta, \theta} S_\alpha V \otimes S_\beta V^\vee.$$

By Schur's lemma, the space of $GL(V)$ -invariants inside $S_\alpha V \otimes S_\beta V^\vee = \text{Hom}(S_\beta V, S_\alpha V)$ is non zero if and only if $\alpha = \beta$, in which case it is one-dimensional. Letting $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, we deduce that

$$\dim S_\theta(\text{End}_n)^{GL_n} = \sum_{\ell(\alpha) \leq n} k_{\alpha, \alpha, \theta}.$$

But $\text{End}_n = \mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathbb{C}$, hence by the Pieri formula $S_\theta(\text{End}_n) = \bigoplus_{\theta \mapsto \rho} S_\rho(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. We can thus rewrite the identity (5) between Kronecker coefficients as

$$\sum_{\theta \mapsto \rho} k_{(d^n), (d^n), (dn - |\rho|, \rho)} = \sum_{\theta \mapsto \rho} \dim S_\rho(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{GL_n},$$

for any θ such that $|\theta| \leq d$. But this implies that for any ρ such that $|\rho| \leq d$,

$$k_{(d^n), (d^n), (dn - |\rho|, \rho)} = \dim S_\rho(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{GL_n}.$$

Indeed, $\theta \mapsto \rho$ implies $\theta = \rho$ or $|\rho| < |\theta|$, so by induction we are immediately done. This proves the second assertion of the Theorem.

The proof of the first assertion is straightforward. First, the sign representation $[1^m]$ of S_m has the property that its tensor product with an irreducible representation $[\lambda]$ is the irreducible representation $[\lambda^\vee]$, where λ^\vee denotes the transpose partition to λ . Since $[1^m] \otimes [1^m]$ is just the trivial representation, this implies that $[\lambda] \otimes [\lambda] = [\lambda^\vee] \otimes [\lambda^\vee]$. Applying this remark to a rectangular partition $\lambda = (d^n)$, we get $\lambda^\vee = (n^d)$, hence

$$k_\rho(d, n) = k_\rho(n, d) \quad \forall \rho.$$

Second, this is a non decreasing function of d because of the semi-group property of Kronecker coefficients: if $k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ and $k_{\lambda', \mu', \nu'}$ are non zero, then $k_{\lambda + \lambda', \mu + \mu', \nu + \nu'}$ is also non-zero, and more precisely,

$$k_{\lambda + \lambda', \mu + \mu', \nu + \nu'} \geq \max(k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}, k_{\lambda', \mu', \nu'}).$$

Indeed, let E, F, G be three vector spaces and U be the unipotent subgroup of $GL(E) \times GL(F) \times GL(G)$ consisting of triples of strictly triangular matrices, with respect to some choice of basis. Let T be the maximal torus consisting of triples of diagonal matrices in the same basis. Then it is another consequence of Schur Weyl duality that the invariant algebra $A = \text{Sym}(E \otimes F \otimes G)^U$, which is a T -modules, has weight spaces $A_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ of dimension $k_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$. Then the semi-group property follows from the obvious fact that A is an algebra without zero-divisors.

Since $k_{1^n, 1^n, n}$ is equal to one, we are done. \square

Remark 1. In the second assertion of the Theorem, we can improve the bound on d as follows:

$$k_\rho(d, n) = \dim S_\rho(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{GL_n}$$

as soon as $2d \geq |\rho| + \rho_1$. Indeed, for a Kronecker coefficient $k_{\alpha, \beta, \theta}$ to be non zero, we need that $m - \theta_1 \leq (m - \alpha_1) + (m - \beta_1)$, where $m = |\alpha| = |\beta| = |\theta|$ [JK, Theorem 2.9.22]. Therefore $k_{\alpha, \alpha, \theta} \neq 0$ implies that $2\lambda_1 \leq |\theta| + \theta_1$, so that in (4) we can replace the condition that $\alpha \subset d \times n$ by $\ell(\alpha) \leq n$ as soon as $2d \geq |\theta| + \theta_1$.

Remark 2. The case where $n = 2$ has been considered in [Ma], where we proved by completely different methods that $k_\rho(d, 2)$ does not depend on d . More precisely, this Kronecker coefficient is equal to one if and only if ρ is even of length one or odd of length three (by an odd partition we mean a partition all of whose parts are odd), and zero otherwise. This result was also obtained independently in [GWXZ]. From the Theorem we deduce the corresponding statement for the dimension of $S_\rho(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{GL_2}$.

3. COMPLEMENTS

3.1. Invariants of matrices. We have met the identity

$$\dim S_\theta(\text{End}_n)^{GL_n} = \sum_{\ell(\alpha) \leq n} k_{\alpha, \alpha, \theta}.$$

For a given θ , it implies that the dimension of $S_\theta(\text{End}_n)^{GL_n}$ is a non decreasing function of n , which is equal for $n \geq |\theta| = m$ to the multiplicity of $[\theta]$ inside

$$C_m = \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=m} [\alpha] \otimes [\alpha].$$

Observe that C_m is nothing else than the conjugating representation of \mathcal{S}_m over $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]$. Indeed, the regular representation of \mathcal{S}_m over $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]$, as a $\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_m$ -module, is given by the same formula (but with exterior tensor products), and the conjugating representation is just the regular representation restricted to the diagonal embedding of \mathcal{S}_m inside $\mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_m$. We denote $C_m = \text{diag } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]$.

A more transparent way to understand the previous identity is to observe that it is closely related to the fundamental theorems for invariants of matrices. Indeed (see, e.g., [Pr, Chapter 11, §8], or [Fo]), the first fundamental theorem asserts that the \mathcal{S}_m -equivariant map

$$f_{m,n} : \text{diag } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m] \longrightarrow (\text{End}_n^{\otimes m})^{GL_n}$$

mapping a permutation σ to $f_{m,n}(\sigma) \in \text{End}_n^{\otimes m} = \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes m})$ defined by

$$f_{m,n}(\sigma)(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_m) = X_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{\sigma(m)},$$

is surjective. Moreover, the second fundamental theorem asserts that the kernel of $f_{m,n}$ is the sum of the submodules $[\alpha] \otimes [\alpha]$ of $\text{diag } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]$, for $\ell(\alpha) > n$. In particular, $f_{m,n}$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq m$.

3.2. Derangements. How can we pass from $\text{End}_n^{\otimes m}$ to $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes m}$? A first observation is that, since $\text{End}_n = \mathbb{C}I_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n$, and the identity I_n is certainly GL_n -invariant, we have

$$(\text{End}_n^{\otimes m})^{GL_n} \simeq \bigoplus_{p=0}^m \binom{m}{p} (\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes p})^{GL_n}.$$

Since the left hand side, for $n \geq m$, has dimension $m!$, we easily deduce that

$$\dim(\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes m})^{GL_n} = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \binom{m}{p} (m-p)! = D_m,$$

the number of derangements, that is, fixed-point free permutations in \mathcal{S}_m . This was already observed in [BD], but only under the weaker condition that $n \geq 2m$.

We can be more precise by making the following observation. We have

$$(\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes m})^{GL_n} = (End_n^{\otimes m})^{GL_n} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes m},$$

and the subspace $\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes m}$ of $End_n^{\otimes m}$ can be characterized as the intersection of the kernels of the contractions

$$c_k : End_n^{\otimes m} \rightarrow End_n^{\otimes m-1}$$

defined, for $1 \leq k \leq m$, by applying the trace morphism to the k -th factor of $End_n^{\otimes m}$.

Consider an element $f_{n,m}(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$. Once we have chose an basis e_1, \dots, e_n , we can write it explictely as

$$f_{n,m}(\sigma) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n e_{i_1}^\vee \otimes e_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_m}^\vee \otimes e_{i_{\sigma(m)}}.$$

An easy computation then shows that a linear combination $a = \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]$ belongs to the kernel of c_m , the last contraction, if and only if

$$na_{(\tau, m)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{s_{k,m}(\tau, m)} = 0$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}$. Here we have denoted by $(\tau, m) \in \mathcal{S}_m$ the permutation deduced from τ by adding the fixed point m , and by $s_{k,m}$ the transposition exchanging k and m . In this identity, note that (τ, m) has one more fixed point than τ , while $s_{k,m}(\tau, m)$ has either the same number of fixed points as τ , or one less. This implies, inductively, that if $a = \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} \sigma$ is annihilated by the contractions c_1, \dots, c_m , then all the coefficients of a can be expressed in terms of the coefficients a_{σ} , for σ describing the set of fixed point free permutation – or derangements. Since we know that the dimension of the space of such a 's is precisely the set of derangements, the remaining coefficients are independent. Let $\text{diag } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]_{fpf} \subset \text{diag } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]$ be the submodule defined by the derangements in \mathcal{S}_m . We deduce from the preceding discussion:

Proposition 1. *For $n \geq m$, there is an isomorphism of \mathcal{S}_m -modules*

$$\text{diag } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]_{fpf} \simeq (\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes m})^{GL_n}.$$

This has the following consequence for rectangular Kronecker coefficients.

Corollary 1. *The limit value k_{ρ} of $k_{\rho}(d, n)$ for $d, n \geq |\rho|$, is equal to the multiplicity of $[\rho]$ inside $\text{diag } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_m]_{fpf}$, the fixed point free part of the conjugating representation.*

Although D_m grows very fast with m , the coefficients k_{ρ} seem to remain much smaller. For $m \leq 6$ their values are given by the following table:

$m = 2$	$k_2 = 1,$	$k_{11} = 0,$				$D_2 = 1,$	
$m = 3$	$k_3 = 1,$	$k_{21} = 0,$	$k_{111} = 0,$				$D_3 = 2,$
$m = 4$	$k_4 = 2,$	$k_{31} = 0,$	$k_{22} = 2,$	$k_{211} = 1,$	$k_{14} = 0,$	$D_4 = 9,$	
$m = 5$	$k_5 = 2,$	$k_{41} = 1,$	$k_{32} = 2,$	$k_{311} = 3,$	$k_{221} = 1,$	$D_5 = 44,$	
		$k_{213} = 1,$	$k_{15} = 1,$				
$m = 6$,	$k_6 = 3,$	$k_{51} = 1,$	$k_{42} = 6,$	$k_{33} = 1,$	$k_{411} = 4,$		
		$k_{321} = 4,$	$k_{23} = 5,$	$k_{313} = 4,$	$k_{2211} = 2,$	$k_{214} = 2,$	
		$k_{16} = 0,$				$D_6 = 265.$	

3.3. Symmetric and skew-symmetric invariants. Certain submodules of the tensor algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n have a classical interpretation. Indeed, it is well-known that GL_n -invariants in the symmetric algebra $Sym(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ generate a polynomial algebra with generators in degree $2, 3, \dots, n$. On the other hand, GL_n -invariants in the exterior algebra $\Lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ can be interpreted as invariant differential forms on the Lie group SL_n , and it follows from classical results by Hopf and Samelson that $\Lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{GL_n}$ is an exterior algebra with generators of odd degrees $3, 5, \dots, 2n - 1$. Rephrasing these facts, and taking Remark 1 into account, we get:

- Corollary 2.** (1) For $d \geq m$, the Kronecker coefficient $k_{(m)}(d, n)$ is equal to the number of partitions of m into integers between 2 and n .
- (2) For $d \geq \frac{m+1}{2}$, the Kronecker coefficient $k_{(1^m)}(d, n)$ is equal to the number of partitions of m into distinct odd integers between 3 and $2n - 1$.

Note that partitions of m into distinct odd integers are in correspondence with partitions λ of m equal to their own transpose. This is equivalent to the condition that $[\lambda] \otimes [\lambda]$ contains the sign representation, so that the number of partitions of m into distinct odd integers can be expressed as

$$p_{\text{odd}}^0(m) = \sum_{|\lambda|=m} k_{\lambda, \lambda, (1^m)}.$$

3.4. A birational map. It may be possible to give a more transparent and more geometric proof of our results starting from the following observation. Let E, F, G be three vector spaces of dimensions n, n, g , with $g \leq n^2$. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_g$ be a basis of G , and denote by U_G the unipotent subgroup of $GL(G)$ consisting of endomorphisms whose matrix in this basis is strictly upper-triangular. Consider a generic element $T \in E^\vee \otimes F \otimes G^\vee = \text{Hom}(G, \text{Hom}(E, F))$. The image $T(\gamma_1)$ of γ_1 is an invertible morphism, which identifies E with F . Under this identification, $T(\gamma_1)$ becomes the identity map and we can deduce a tensor $\bar{T} \in \text{Hom}(G/\langle \gamma_1 \rangle, \text{End}(E)/\langle \text{Id}_E \rangle)$, where $\text{End}(E)/\langle \text{Id}_E \rangle$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{sl}(E)$. Since T is generic and $g \leq n^2$, \bar{T} is injective, and we deduce a flag $W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_{g-1} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(E)$, where W_k has dimension k . Denoting by $\mathcal{F}_{g-1}(\mathfrak{sl}(E))$ the variety parametrizing such flags, this construction defines a rational map

$$\pi : \mathbb{P}(E^\vee \otimes F \otimes G^\vee) // SL(E) \times SL(F) \times U_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{g-1}(\mathfrak{sl}(E)) // SL(E)$$

which is easily seen to be birational. The left-hand side is $\text{Proj}(A)$, where

$$A = \bigoplus_{\delta \geq 0} S^\delta(E^\vee \otimes F \otimes G^\vee)^{SL(E) \times SL(F) \times U_G} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} k_{(d^n), (d^n), \lambda} (S_\lambda G^\vee)^{U_G}$$

is endowed with a natural action of the torus T_G of diagonal matrices in $GL(G)$, such that the one-dimensional vector space $(S_\lambda G^\vee)^{U_G}$ is acted on by the character defined by λ . In consequence, A is graded over the character group of T_G , and the dimension of A_λ is the rectangular Kronecker coefficient $k_{(d^n), (d^n), \lambda}$.

On the right-hand side, consider a line bundle \mathcal{L}_α , whose fiber over a point of $\mathcal{F}_{g-1}(\mathfrak{sl}(E))$ defined by a flag $W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_{g-1} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(E)$ is $W_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes (W_2/W_1)^{\alpha_2} \otimes \dots \otimes (W_{g-1}/W_{g-2})^{\alpha_{g-1}}$. If α is a partition, then by the Borel-Weil theorem

$$H^0(\mathcal{F}_{g-1}(\mathfrak{sl}(E)), \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{-1}) \simeq S_\alpha \mathfrak{sl}(E).$$

Moreover, any $SL(E)$ -invariant section of \mathcal{L}_α^{-1} defines, through π , a rational function on $\mathbb{P}(E^\vee \otimes F \otimes G^\vee) // SL(E) \times SL(F) \times U_G$. Multiplying this function by a d -th power of $\gamma_1^n \in S^n G \simeq \Lambda^n E \otimes \Lambda^n F^\vee \otimes S^n G \subset S^n(E \otimes F^\vee \otimes G)$, this rational function will become regular for d large enough (this is because in the construction of π we have inverted $T(\gamma_1)$, and we are now multiplying by some power of its determinant). The weight of the resulting section with respect to T_G is easily computed to be $(nd - |\alpha|, \alpha)$, and we finally get a map

$$S_\alpha \mathfrak{sl}(E)^{SL(E)} \longrightarrow A_{(nd - |\alpha|, \alpha)}.$$

Our theorem can thus be translated into the claim that, for d large enough, this map is an isomorphism.

3.5. A question. . We have seen that the semi-group property of Kronecker coefficients, and the obvious fact that $k_{(1^n), (1^n), (n)} = 1$, is enough to ensure that the Kronecker coefficient we denoted $k_{d, n}(\rho)$ is a non decreasing function of n and d . More generally, for any integer δ and any partition λ such that $k_{(\delta^n), (\delta^n), \lambda} \neq 0$, we can conclude that for any integer d and any partition μ , the Kronecker coefficient $k_{(d+m\delta)^n, (d+m\delta)^n, \mu+m\lambda}$ is a non decreasing function of m . How does it grow with m ? Can one give an explicit equivalent when $m \rightarrow +\infty$? When is there a finite limit?

Of course this problem can also be considered for general Kronecker coefficients. The limit value of $k_{m+\alpha, m+\beta, m+\gamma}$, for $m \rightarrow +\infty$, has been computed in [Br, 3.4].

REFERENCES

- [BD] G. Benkart, S. Doty, *Derangements and tensor powers of adjoint modules for sl_n* , J. Algebraic Combin. **16** (2002), no. 1, 31–42.
- [Br] M. Brion, *Stable properties of plethysm: on two conjectures of Foulkes*, Manuscripta Math. **80** (1993), no. 4, 347–371.
- [BLMW] P. Buegerisser, J.M. Landsberg, L. Manivel, J. Weyman J., *An overview of mathematical issues arising in the Geometric Complexity Theory approach to VP vs VNP*, arXiv:0907.2850.
- [Fo] E. Formanek, *The invariants of $n \times n$ matrices*, in Invariant theory, 18–43, Lecture Notes in Math. **1278**, Springer 1987.
- [GWXZ] Garsia A., Wallach N., Xin G., Zabrocki M.: *Kronecker coefficients via symmetric functions and constant term identities*, preprint 2008.
- [Ho] Howe R.: *Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond*, in The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv), 1–182, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **8**, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan 1995.
- [JK] G. James, A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **16**, Addison-Wesley 1981.
- [Mc] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second edition, Oxford University Press 1995.
- [Ma] L. Manivel, *A note on certain Kronecker coefficients*, arXiv:0809.3710, to appear in the Proceedings of the AMS.
- [Pr] C. Procesi, *Lie groups, An approach through invariants and representations*, Universitext, Springer 2007.

INSTITUT FOURIER, UNIVERSITÉ DE GRENOBLE I ET CNRS, BP 74, 38402 SAINT-MARTIN D'HÈRES, FRANCE
E-mail address: Laurent.Manivel@ujf-grenoble.fr