



**HAL**  
open science

# Reduced Basis and Iterative Algorithms for Non-Linear Elastic Thin Shells

Jean-Marc Cadou, Michel Potier-Ferry

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Marc Cadou, Michel Potier-Ferry. Reduced Basis and Iterative Algorithms for Non-Linear Elastic Thin Shells. 8th. World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM8), Jul 2008, Venice, Italy. hal-00404044

**HAL Id: hal-00404044**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00404044>**

Submitted on 7 Jun 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280816917>

# Reduced Basis and Iterative Algorithms for Non-Linear Elastic Thin Shells

Article · July 2008

CITATIONS

0

READS

9

## 2 authors:



J.M. Cadou

Université de Bretagne Sud

**82** PUBLICATIONS **574** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Michel Potier-Ferry

University of Lorraine

**353** PUBLICATIONS **4,297** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

## Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Numerical methods [View project](#)



Reduced Models [View project](#)

## Reduced basis and iterative algorithms for non-linear elastic thin shells.

J.M. Cadou<sup>1</sup> and M. Potier-Ferry<sup>2</sup>

<p><sup>1</sup> Laboratoire Génie Mécanique et Matériaux Université de Bretagne Sud, Rue de Saint Maudé, B.P. 92116, 56321 Lorient Cedex - France jean-marc.cadou@univ- ubs.fr</p>	<p><sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Physique et Mécanique des Matériaux I.S.G.M.P., Université de Metz, Ile du Saulcy, 57045 Metz - France michel.potierferry@univ- metz.fr</p>
--	--

**Key Words:** *Iterative linear solver, reduced basis method, convergence acceleration, non-linear shell problems.*

### ABSTRACT

In this work, we propose an iterative linear solver for the linearized equations coming from the Newton-Raphson method. In structural mechanics, the computation of the non-linear solution, with a Newton-Raphson method for example, requires the solution of sparse linear systems of equations:

$$K^i U^i = F(U^j) \text{ with } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, i - 1 \quad (1)$$

with  $K^i$  designs a  $N \times N$  symmetric matrix (the tangent matrix),  $U^i$  is the unknown displacement vector ( $U^i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ) and  $F(U^j)$  is a load vector which depends on the previous solutions  $U^j$  with  $j = 1, \dots, i - 1$ . In this work, problems (1) result from the discretization with the finite element method of the non-linear elastic thin shell equations. In moderate scale problems (less than 20 000 unknowns), problems (1) are solved by using direct triangulation, such as the Crout method for the considered problem. When the problem size increases, iterative methods are generally used. For the symmetric problem (1) the most useful method is the conjugate gradient method connected to preconditioning techniques. Whereas direct methods provide, after a known number of operations, the exact solution of the initial problem (1), iterative methods generate a sequence of approximate vectors which converge to the desired solution. Generally, the choice between direct and iterative methods is made according to the size of the discretized problems. Nevertheless, within non-linear computations the choice of the solver is not as easy. Indeed, if the matrix  $K^i$  is constant during all the iterations  $i$  (within the Newton modified method or with an Asymptotic Numerical Method [1]), a decomposition of this matrix is carried out once and following problems need only backward and forward substitutions. So, the most computing time step is realized for the first problem and the following have low computing costs. With iterative methods, all the problems  $i$  need the same computing time and the total computational cost can be high. Nevertheless, as iterative methods require only product matrix-vector, the amount of stored data is generally less than with a direct method. In this work, we propose an iterative method to

Step	Number of iteration of the Newton's corrector	PM (n)	PCG	PCG IC[0]	PCG IC[1]
4	2	6 (15)	11	170	110
5	2	6 (17)	11	176	111
6	3	7 (21)	12	178	113
7	3	8 (25)	17	184	121
8	3	9 (29)	20	193	124
9	3	10 (33)	21	198	130
10	3	9 (37)	21	198	135

Table 1: Comparison of the average number of iterations to get the desired accuracy ( $\eta = 10^{-4}$ ) on the linear problem (1) for the Proposed Method (PM) and the Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient method (PCG). ( $\bullet$ ) is the number of vectors of the reduced basis. IC[ $\bullet$ ] refers to the level of the incomplete Crout triangulation. 10 steps are necessary to get the non-linear response curves. The demanded accuracy on the non linear problem is  $10^{-3}$ .

solve linear systems (1) where the matrix  $K^i$  is not identical for all the iterations  $i$  and have different right-hand side vectors  $F(U^j)$ . The key point of this method is to associate a direct and an iterative method. The direct method is used to solve a reduced size problem. The vectors used to build this reduced problem are issued from the previous solutions  $U^j$  with  $(1, \dots, i - 1)$ . The second important point is to use within the iterative method a preconditioning matrix which is a matrix triangulated at a previous step. Usually, preconditioning techniques do not use full matrices but incomplete factorization. As complete triangulation requires consequent CPU time, the obtained preconditioning matrix is used for solving several linear problems (1), either for several Newton's iterations or for several steps of the prediction-correction scheme (Newton-Raphson method). Finally, as the convergence of the proposed iterative method can be slow (sometimes it can be divergent) a convergence accelerating technique is used[2].

To show the efficiency of the proposed iterative linear solver we applied it to the a classical geometrically nonlinear problem : a cylindrical shell with two diametrically opposed rectangular cut-outs. The number of unknowns for this example is equal to 5190. In table (1), we compare the average number of iterations to get the demanded accuracy on the linear problem (1) for 4 iterative methods : the proposed method (PM), the preconditioned conjugate gradient method with the same preconditioning matrix that the proposed method (PCG), the PCG with an incomplete Crout triangulation as preconditioning (PCG IC[\*] with '\*' means the number of terms computed for the incomplete factorization). One can see in table (1) that the use of the prediction matrix as a preconditioning technique is the correction phase is very efficient. Indeed when using a classical preconditioning method, such as IC[\*], the number of iterations to get the demanded accuracy is roughly equal to 200 with IC[0] and 100 with IC[1]. Whereas with the prediction matrix as preconditioner, the PCG needs for all the linear problems solved less than 30 iterations. The results presented in table (1) show that the number of iterations is approximately twice as less with the proposed method than with the PCG (with the same preconditioning method).

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Cochelin and N. Damil and M. Potier-Ferry. "Asymptotic Numerical Method and Padé approximants for non-linear elastic structures". *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng.*, Vol. **37**, 1187–1213, 1994.
- [2] K. Jbilou and H. Sadok. "Vector extrapolation methods, Applications and numerical comparison". *J. Comp. App. Math.*, Vol. **122**, 149–165, 2000.