

Safety Instrumented System reliability evaluation with Influencing Factors

F. Brissaud, D. CharpentierM. Fouladirad, A. Barros, C. Bérenguer

ESREL 2008, September 22-25, Valencia



Florent Brissaud • 24/09/2008 • 1 / 17

Contents



- Introduction
- failure rate evaluation with influencing factors frewif methodology
 - 1. Case study presentation
 - 2. Qualitative analysis (system analysis, some tools)
 - 3. Quantitative part (general model, formulation of results)
 - 4. Results
- Conclusion



Introduction



- Safety Instrumented System (SIS) reliability evaluation
 - IEC 61508: probabilities of failure
 - the relevance of existing models strongly depends on the quality of input data as *failure rates*
- Influencing factors [relating to the reliability]
 - the internal and external parts of an item which act on its reliability, for example by causing failure rate changes
 - e.g. design, material properties, solicitation, environment...
- For risk analysis
 - to allow a more efficient risk management by acting both on systems and environment / conditions of use



Introduction



Failure rate evaluation

- reliability data feedbacks (statistical models)
 - may include influencing factors (Cox model, neuronal network...)
 - require significant equipment field and appropriate procedures
- data handbooks
 - systems are sometimes very heterogeneous
 - generic values do not always fit system specificities
- predictive models (physical models)
 - electronic components: MIL HDBK 217, FIDES, RDF, Telcordia...
 - mechanical components: NSWC
- frameworks for human and organizational factors
 - in risk analysis: WPAM, RIA, ORIM, ARAMIS, BORA-Release...
 - tools have been developed for expert judgments





failure rate evaluation with influencing factors – frewif methodology

- 1. Case study presentation
- 2. Qualitative analysis
- 3. Quantitative part
- 4. Results





1. Case study presentation

- Presentation
 - seven safety relief valves
 - different design, environment and conditions of use
 - few feedback data
- Aim
 - evaluate the failure rate of each valve by tacking into account the influencing factors → to have argued and coherent results
- How
 - compensating the lack of knowledge by a qualitative analysis
 - integrating the available data by a quantitative part



2. Qualitative analysis: RID



• Reliability influencing diagram (RID)



- Main component groups
 - *poppet* (70% of failure causes)
 - *seal* (5% of failure causes)
 - *spring* (25% of failure causes)
- Relevant life cycle phases
 - design
 - use
- Influencing factors (with weight)
 - sizes (3): small, medium or big
 - *loading charge* (2): low, medium or high pressure
 - performance requirements (1): restrictive or indulgent allowable leakage rate



2. Qualitative analysis: some tools

| Category Infl | | Infl | aencing factors | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Design Syst Wor Size Mat Com and | | Syst Wor Size Mat Con and | tem type rking principle es (height, volume, weight) terials nponent quality (quality requirements controls) | | | | | |
| Manu | facture | Spe | cial characteristics (supply) | | | | | |
| Manufacture Man Man Installation Loc: | | Mar Loc | nuacturer nufacture process (procedures, controls) ation (access facilities) | | | | | |
| | | Ass | sembly/Activation (procedures, controls) | | | | | |
| Use | EUC* | | EUC* type | | | | | |
| | | | Special characteristics | | | | | |
| | Solicitation | | Type of load (cycling, random) | | | | | |
| | Environment | | Frequency of use Loading charge/Activation threshold Electrical load (voltage, intensity) Mechanical constraints (vibration, friction, shocks) | | | | | |
| | | | Temperature Corrosion/Humidity Pollution (dust, impurities) Other stresses (electromagnetism, climate) | | | | | |
| | | | Performance requirements Failure modes (recorded failures) | | | | | |
| Maintenance Freq Qua Qua | | Free Qua Qua | juency of preventive maintenance lity of preventive maintenance lity of corrective maintenance | | | | | |

* Equipment Under Control

• Selection of influencing factors and corresponding indicators

- it is possible to measure or evaluate the states
- the states have to allow making difference between systems
- exhaustive for reliability

Setting indicator states

- technical reports
- operational / feedback data
- measurements
- investigation with key staff
- Rating influencing factors

3. Quantitative part



| | Influencing factors severity | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Valve | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 | #7 | |
| Sizes | good | good | medium | medium | bad | bad | bad | |
| Loading Charge | good | medium | medium | medium | medium | bad | bad | |
| Perf. Requirement | good | good | good | bad | bad | good | bad | |
| MLE (3 TtF/Valve) | 1.52E-05 | 1.66E-05 | 4.77E-05 | 1.28E-04 | 3.65E-03 | 8.23E-04 | 1.33E-03 | |

université de technologie

Troyes

3. Quantitative part



| | Influencing factors severity | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Valve | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 | #7 | |
| Sizes | good | good | medium | medium | bad | bad | bad | |
| Loading Charge | good | medium | medium | medium | medium | bad | bad | |
| Perf. Requirement | good | good | good | bad | bad | good | bad | |
| MLE (3 TtF/Valve) | 1.52E-05 | 1.66E-05 | 4.77E-05 | 1.28E-04 | 3.65E-03 | 8.23E-04 | 1.33E-03 | |

INE-RIS

université de technologie

Troyes

3. Quantitative part



université de technologie

Troyes

3. Quantitative part: general mode



$$\lambda_{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\lambda_{i,mean} \cdot \prod_{j \in J_{i}} C_{j}^{*} \right]$$

$$\lambda_{i,mean} = c_i \cdot \lambda_{s,mean}$$
 with $\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i = 1$

- Part count model
- Influencing coefficients
 - one coefficient for each influencing factor
 - the relevant coefficients are multiplied to the baseline failure rates
 - $C_i^* = 1$ if the corresponding influencing factor is in a medium state
 - $C_j^* < 1$ (resp. $C_j^* > 1$) if the corresponding influencing factor is in a more suitable state (resp. less suitable state)

• How to calculate the influencing coefficients?

INE-RIS

3. Quantitative part: indicator functions



- Probability density functions: $g_i(I_i)$
 - uncertainties
 - variations in time
- Distributions
 - triangular for deterministic values
 - *Gaussian* for quantitative values
 - *uniform* for expert judgment



Restrictive — Indulgent



Florent Brissaud • 24/09/2008 • 13 / 17

3. Quantitative part: influencing functions



 $C_{j}^{*} = \int_{I_{j}}^{I_{j,upper}} C_{j}(I_{j}) \cdot g_{j}(I_{j}) \cdot dI_{j}$

• Influencing functions: $C_i(I_i)$

- aim at formulating the influencing coefficients according to the indicator values
- are built using three particular values: *worst, mean, best*
- take into account general assumptions and influencing factor weights
- Final results of the influencing coefficients: C_i^*
 - are calculated by integrating the product of the influencing functions with the indicator functions, all over the possible indicator values

4. Results



université de technologie

INE-RIS

Troyes

| | Influencing factors severity | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Valve | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 | #7 | |
| Sizes | good | good | medium | medium | bad | bad | bad | |
| Loading Charge | good | medium | medium | medium | medium | bad | bad | |
| Perf. Requirement | good | good | good | bad | bad | good | bad | |
| MLE (3 TtF/Valve) | 1.52E-05 | 1.66E-05 | 4.77E-05 | 1.28E-04 | 3.65E-03 | 8.23E-04 | 1.33E-03 | |
| frewif methodology | 1.66E-05 | 2.33E-05 | 6.10E-05 | 1.48E-04 | 5.17E-04 | 6.20E-04 | 1.51E-03 | |

Conclusion



- Influencing factors in reliability
 - a definition
 - a classification according to the system life cycle phases and a corresponding checklist
- New model for failure rate evaluation with influencing factors frewif
 - global enough to be usable for a large number of safety systems and influencing factors
 - combines a qualitative analysis to compensate for a potential lack of knowledge, and a quantitative part to integrate available data
 - allows better argued and coherent results for a more efficient risk management which can act both on systems and environment / conditions of use



Thanks for your attention

Questions and comments are welcome



Florent Brissaud • 24/09/2008 • 17 / 17