



HAL
open science

Numerical investigation of the aging of the Fully-Frustrated XY model

Jean-Charles Walter, Christophe Chatelain

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Charles Walter, Christophe Chatelain. Numerical investigation of the aging of the Fully-Frustrated XY model. 2009. hal-00403079v1

HAL Id: hal-00403079

<https://hal.science/hal-00403079v1>

Preprint submitted on 9 Jul 2009 (v1), last revised 19 Oct 2009 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Numerical investigation of the aging of the Fully-Frustrated XY model

J-C. Walter and C. Chatelain

Groupe de Physique Statistique,
Institut Jean Lamour, UMR 7198,
Nancy-Université, CNRS, BP 70239, Boulevard des aiguillettes,
F-54506 Vandœuvre lès Nancy Cedex, France

E-mail: chatelai@lpm.u-nancy.fr

Abstract. We study the out-of-equilibrium dynamics of the fully-frustrated XY model. At equilibrium, this model undergoes two phase transitions at two very close temperatures: a Kosterlitz-Thouless topological transition and a second-order phase transition between a paramagnetic phase and a low-temperature phase where the chiralities of the lattice plaquettes are anti-ferromagnetically ordered. We computed by Monte Carlo simulations two-time spin-spin and chirality-chirality autocorrelation and response functions. Our estimates of the autocorrelation exponents and fluctuation-dissipation ratios follow the same trend as most static critical exponents found in the literature: they are significantly different from the XY values (for the spin-spin quantities) and the Ising ones (for the chirality-chirality quantities).

PACS numbers: 05.10.Ln, 05.50.+q, 05.70.Jk, 05.70.Ln

Introduction

The 2D fully-frustrated XY model (FFXY) has attracted the attention of several communities. Indeed, antiferromagnets on a triangular lattice with a planar anisotropy enter in the class of FFX models. The FFX also describes the superconducting-to-normal phase transition in Josephson-junction arrays in a perpendicular magnetic field for half a quantum of flux through each plaquette [1]. In this work, we consider the following Hamiltonian

$$H = - \sum_{(i,j)} (-1)^{x_i x_j} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) \quad (1)$$

where the sum extends over nearest neighbouring sites on the square lattice and (x_i, y_i) are the lattice coordinates of the i -th site. This Hamiltonian corresponds to the so-called *Pileup-Domino* ordering of the ferromagnetic and anti-ferromagnetic couplings. Frustration arises from the existence of three ferromagnetic and one anti-ferromagnetic couplings in each plaquette.

The phase diagram and critical properties of the FFX has been subject of debate for the last three decades. It is now established that the system undergoes two phase transitions: a Kosterlitz-Thouless topological transition [2] associated to




Figure 1. Example of ground state of the FFXY. The thin lines are ferromagnetic bonds while the bold ones are antiferromagnetic. The plus and minus signs at the centers of the plaquettes are the chiralities.

the breaking of the invariance under the global rotation of all spins ($U(1)$ symmetry group) and a second-order phase transition between a paramagnetic phase and a low-temperature phase where chiralities of the spins around each plaquette are antiferromagnetically ordered. This second transition is associated to the breaking of a Z_2 symmetry (reversal of all chiralities) like in the Ising model. The existence of two phase transitions has been supported by many Monte Carlo simulations since the pioneering work of Teitel and Jayaprakash [3]. Theoretical arguments also predict a disentanglement of $U(1)$ and Z_2 degrees of freedom. Using a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, Choi and Doniach showed the equivalence of the FFXY with two coupled $O(2)$ -models [4]. The coupling is relevant under Migdal-Kadanoff renormalization and in the strong coupling limit, the model reduces to a Ising-XY model (the Ising spin being related to the relative orientation of the two $O(2)$ -models). Such a model have also been obtained by a mapping of the FFXY onto a 19-vertex model [5]. A modified version of the FFXY, introduced by Villain, has allowed for the clarification of the nature of the topological defects causing the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. By integrating out the spin-waves to leave only the contribution of the topological defects, this model reduces to a gas of charged particles ($q = \pm 1/2$) in a uniform medium of charge $1/2$ interacting via a logarithmic Coulomb potential [6, 7].

A point of debate in the literature is whether the two phase transitions occur at the same temperature or at two very close ones. The breaking of the Z_2 -symmetry is associated to a second-order phase transition caused by the proliferation of domain walls between domains of different antiferromagnetic orderings of the chirality. As predicted by Korshunov [8] and then observed numerically [9], at the kinks of these domain walls lies a $q = \pm 1/2$ topological defect and the formation of dipoles of two opposite charges $q = +1/2$ and $q = -1/2$ stabilizes the domain wall. As a consequence, the proliferation of these walls occur at higher temperatures. Recent Monte Carlo simulations confirm that the two phase transitions occur at two distinct temperatures (see [10] and references therein).

Another point of debate concerns the universality class of the second-order phase transition. Since the broken symmetry is $Z(2)$, this universality class may be the same as the 2D Ising model. This idea is supported by the fact that the coupling in the Ising-XY model has been shown to be irrelevant at the critical point [5]. However,

most of the Monte Carlo simulations for the FFXY or the Ising-XY model conclude that $\nu \simeq 0.8$ while $\nu = 1$ for the Ising model (for a review of Monte Carlo estimations of the critical exponents, see Table 1 of ref. [10]). The most recent Monte Carlo simulations [11, 12, 10] now show evidences in favor of the Ising universality class. The disagreement with older simulations may be due to the fact that the exponents tend to the expected Ising values only for large lattice sizes [12, 10].

In this paper, we are interested in the out-of-equilibrium behavior of the FFXY. The short-time regime has been extensively studied [13, 14, 15, 16] in the aim to determine the static critical exponents as well as the dynamical exponents z and θ . The growth of the correlation length, both for the angles and the chiralities, has also been studied by numerical integration of the Langevin equation [17, 18]. Temperature-dependent dynamical exponent were obtained for both angles and chiralities, in contradistinction to short-time dynamics simulations and relaxation of one-time quantities [19] for which z remains close to $z \simeq 2$. In the following, we present numerical evidences that both angles and chiralities display simple aging like homogeneous ferromagnets. This implies in particular that the dynamical exponent for the angles is $z = 2$ for any temperature, like in the XY model. As usual in the context of aging, we study the two-time correlation and response functions from which we define a fluctuation-dissipation ratio measuring the degree of violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. We consider two different protocols: in the first section, the system is prepared in one of its ground state and then let evolved below or at the Kosterlitz-Thouless temperature. The scaling of the two-time functions are compared to the XY model. In the second section, the system is prepared in the paramagnetic phase and then quenched at the Kosterlitz-Thouless temperature. In the third section, the aging of the chirality is studied when the system is prepared initially in the paramagnetic phase and then quenched at the Z_2 critical temperature.

1. Spin-wave excitations at low temperatures

1.1. Spin-spin correlation function in the low-temperature phase

The system is initially prepared in one of its ground states (figure 1) and then let evolved in the critical phase, i.e. at $T < T_{KT} = 0.4461(2)$ [10], with the Glauber dynamics [20]. If the coupling with the chirality can be neglected out-of-equilibrium, the thermal excitations associated to the angles are spin waves that should develop in the system in the very same way than in the XY model but with an effective coupling constant $J/\sqrt{2}$ [4]. Previous Monte Carlo estimates of the dynamical exponents found to be close to $z = 2$ [16] (denoted z_1 in this paper) is compatible with this picture. The two-time spin-spin correlation function of the FFXY are thus expected to decay as in the XY model [21]

$$C(t, s) = \sum_i \langle \vec{\sigma}_i(t) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_i(s) \rangle \sim (t - s)^{-\eta/2} \left(\frac{t + s}{\sqrt{ts}} \right)^{\eta/2} \quad (2)$$

in the spin-wave approximation. The first term is due to short-time reversible processes for which invariance under time translation is manifest in the dependence on $t - s$ only (quasi-equilibrium regime). The second term is the contribution of long-time irreversible processes causing aging. It depends only on t/s . We have computed numerically these correlations for different final temperatures $T = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6$ and $0.8T_{KT}$. We have considered the waiting times $s =$

200, 400, 600, 800, 1200, 1600, 2400, 3200, 4800, 5600, and 6400. The time t runs from s to 60.000. The lattice size is 256×256 and the data have been averaged over 12,000 histories.

In a first step, we have interpolated the quasi-equilibrium regime $(t - s)^{-\eta/2}$ to extract the static exponent η . To eliminate the aging part, we analyzed the data along the curves

$$\frac{t + s}{\sqrt{ts}} = \kappa = \text{Cste} \quad (3)$$

On these curves, the correlation function $C(t, s)$ decay as $(t - s)^{-\eta/2}$ so that an estimation of η is easily obtained by a linear fit of $\ln C(t, s)$ versus $\ln(t - s)$. Practically, this was accomplished by first doing an interpolation of the correlation function in the plane (t, s) between the simulation points. On figure 2, our estimates of $\eta/2$ are plotted versus $1/\kappa$. Since we are considering the quasi-equilibrium regime, $t \sim s$, the value of η has to be measured in the regime of small κ and thus on the right of the figure. Deviations, visible on the left part of the figure, are due to corrections to the dominant behavior of the correlation function. To test for the possible existence of transient regime at small s , we have also tried to remove the smallest waiting times: the different symbols on the figure correspond to effective exponents taking into account all waiting times (circles), only waiting times $s \geq 400$ (squares), $s \geq 600$ (diamonds) up to $s \geq 5600$ (stars). Even though the statistical errors increase when fewer waiting times s are taken into account, all curves are nicely compatible. Our final estimates of η are given in Table 1. They are in good agreement with other estimates found in the literature (see figure 3), including static Monte Carlo simulations, and close at low temperature to the linear behavior $\eta = \frac{k_B T \sqrt{2}}{2\pi J}$ predicted in the spin-wave approximation.

T/T_c	$\eta/2$ (MC)	$\eta/2$ (SW)
0.2	0.0106(6)	0.0100
0.4	0.0225(9)	0.0201
0.6	0.0358(8)	0.0301
0.8	0.0536(20)	0.0402

Table 1. Comparison of the Monte Carlo estimates (MC) of the exponent $\eta/2$ obtained in the quasi-equilibrium regime with the predicted values in the spin-wave approximation (SW).

In principle, the exponent η can be estimated from the aging part of the correlation function $C(t, s)$ too. Since it is necessary to take into account correlation functions at well separated times s and t , the correlation function is very small and thus noisy. A precise determination of η is more difficult than in the quasi-equilibrium regime. As a consequence, we will restrict ourselves to check that the previously estimated exponents η are compatible with our data in the aging regime. To that purpose, we made a log-log interpolation of $(t - s)^{\eta/2} C(t, s)$ versus $\frac{t+s}{\sqrt{ts}}$. To take into account possible corrections to the asymptotic behavior, we varied the interpolation window. Effective exponents are plotted on figure 4 with respect to the smallest value




Figure 2. Effective exponent $\eta/2$ obtained by interpolation of the spin-spin correlation function in the quasi-equilibrium regime. The different curves correspond to temperatures $0.2T_{KT}$, $0.4T_{KT}$, $0.6T_{KT}$ and $0.8T_{KT}$ (from bottom to top). The different symbols correspond to different interpolation ranges from $s \geq 200$ (circle) to $s \geq 5600$ (star).




Figure 3. Comparison of the exponent η with other estimates found in the literature and the prediction of the spin-wave approximation.




Figure 4. Effective exponent $\eta/2$ obtained by interpolation of the spin-spin correlation function in the aging regime. The different curves correspond to temperatures $0.2T_c$, $0.4T_c$, $0.6T_c$ and $0.8T_c$ (from bottom to top) and the different symbols to different waiting times s . The exponents $\eta/2$ obtained in the quasi-equilibrium regime are plotted as a dashed line.

of $x = \frac{t+s}{\sqrt{ts}}$ entering into the fit. The rightmost points are obtained by an interpolation over all times $t \in [s+1; 60,000]$. The leftmost points come from an interpolation over only the three last times $t \in [59,998; 60,000]$. The different symbols correspond to different waiting times s . The effective exponents display a plateau for the values obtained in the quasi-equilibrium regime and plotted as a dashed line on figure 4. However, as temperature increases, the width of this plateau shrinks, i.e. the range of times t where the corrections to the aging behavior $x^{\eta/2}$ are important increases.

In the case of a quench at the Kosterlitz-Thouless temperature T_{KT} , the estimation of the exponent η using the interpolation of $C(t, s) \sim (t-s)^{-\eta/2}$ in the quasi-equilibrium regime becomes much less accurate. We obtain an estimate $\eta \simeq 0.27(2)$. However, a nice collapse of the scaling function $(t-s)^{\eta/2}C(t, s)$ versus the scaling variable $(t+s)/\sqrt{ts}$ is observed as shown on figure 5 and gives evidences of the validity of the expression (2) up to the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition temperature.

1.2. Response function in the low-temperature phase

The linear response of the observable m , say the magnetization, at time t to an external field h , say the magnetic field, coupled to the system at the instant s is defined as

$$R(t, s) = \left(\frac{\delta \langle m(t) \rangle}{\delta h(s)} \right)_{h \rightarrow 0} \quad (4)$$

At equilibrium, this quantity is related to the correlation function $C(t, s) = \langle m(t)m(s) \rangle - \langle m(t) \rangle \langle m(s) \rangle$ by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT)

$$k_B T R(t, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} C(t, s) \quad (5)$$




Figure 5. Scaling function $(t-s)^{\eta/2}C(t,s)$ of the spin-spin correlation function versus the scaling variable $(t+s)/\sqrt{ts}$ for the FFXY model prepared in the ground state and quenched at its critical temperature. The different curves correspond to different waiting times ($s = 200, 400, 600, 800, 1200, 1600, 2400, 3200, 4800, 5600,$ and 6400). The dashed curve is the expected asymptotic behavior $[(t+s)/\sqrt{ts}]^{\eta/2}$.

The violation of this theorem in aging systems has attracted much attention these last ten years. In the context of mean-field spin-glasses, the following extended relation was introduced [22]

$$k_B TR(t,s) = X(t,s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} C(t,s) \quad (6)$$

where $X(t,s)$ differs from the value $X = 1$ out of equilibrium. In the aging regime, $X(t,s)$ is believed to tend towards a universal quantity, denoted X_∞ .

In the case of the FFXY model, the local order parameter is the two-dimensional vector $\vec{\sigma}_i(t)$. As a consequence, correlation and response functions are tensors:

$$C_{\mu\nu}(t,s) = \langle (\vec{\sigma}_i(t) \cdot \vec{e}_\mu) (\vec{\sigma}_i(s) \cdot \vec{e}_\nu) \rangle - \langle (\vec{\sigma}_i(t) \cdot \vec{e}_\mu) \rangle \langle (\vec{\sigma}_i(s) \cdot \vec{e}_\nu) \rangle \quad (7)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}(t,s) = \frac{\delta \langle \vec{\sigma}_i(t) \rangle}{\delta h^\nu(s)} \cdot \vec{e}_\mu \quad (8)$$

where the magnetic field is decomposed as $\vec{h} = h^\nu \vec{e}_\nu$. In the following, we will consider only the traces $\text{Tr} C(t,s)$ and $\text{Tr} R(t,s)$ corresponding to the usual expression of the correlation function:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} C(t,s) &= \langle \cos \theta_i(t) \cos \theta_i(s) \rangle + \langle \sin \theta_i(t) \sin \theta_i(s) \rangle \\ &= \langle \cos(\theta_i(t) - \theta_i(s)) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\vec{s}_i = (\cos \theta_i \ \sin \theta_i)$. This choice was already made in the study of the fluctuation-dissipation ratio in the XY model [23].

Since the FFXY behaves at low temperature as an XY model with a stiffness $J/\sqrt{2}$, the response function can be calculated in the spin-wave approximation. This leads to [24]

$$R(t, s) \sim (t - s)^{-1-\eta/2} \left(\frac{t+s}{\sqrt{ts}} \right)^{\eta/2} \quad (10)$$

The response function can be computed directly in Monte Carlo simulations [26]. In principle, it could be used to estimate η from (10). In practise, the response function is noisier than the correlation function and thus does not allow for a precise determination of η . However, with a sufficiently large number of histories, the fluctuation-dissipation ratio $X(t, s)$ can be computed with a reasonable accuracy. Using the scaling behavior for the correlation function (2) and the response function (10) in the spin-wave approximation, one can calculate the scaling behavior of the fluctuation-dissipation ratio:

$$X(t, s) = \frac{k_B T R(t, s)}{\partial_s C(t, s)} \sim \frac{s(t+s)}{t^2 - 4ts - s^2}$$

that displays a divergence for $t/s = 2 + \sqrt{5}$. Such a divergence was already reported [25] for the XY model. We also observe a divergence of $X(t, s)$ for the FFXY but its location tends to increase with the waiting time s . Unfortunately, the computation of the fluctuation-dissipation ratio is very CPU time-demanding and we had to restrict ourselves to a lattice size 192×192 and a final time $t_f = 4000$. The data have been averaged over 15,000 histories at the two lowest temperatures and 45,000 for the others. The waiting times are $s = 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, \text{ and } 160$. As shown on figure 7, the location of the divergence t_0/s_0 displays a nice linear behavior with $1/s$ with a value at $1/s \rightarrow 0$ compatible with the predicted value $2 + \sqrt{5} \simeq 4.236$. This provides an indirect confirmation of the scaling behavior (10).

2. Aging of angles

We now consider the following protocol: the system is prepared in a random state, i.e. at infinite temperature, and then quenched at the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition temperature. As we will show, the dynamics of the FFXY shows aging in a way similar to the XY model. Above the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition temperature, the spin-wave approximation is not expected to hold anymore but we can assume, like in the XY model, that the two-time spin-spin correlation function $C(t, s)$ decay as

$$C(t, s) \sim (t - s)^{-\eta/2} f \left(\frac{\xi(t)}{\xi(s)} \right) \sim (t - s)^{-\eta/2} f_C \left(\frac{t \ln s}{s \ln t} \right) \quad (11)$$

The prefactor $(t - s)^{-\eta/2}$ corresponds to the quasi-equilibrium regime while the scaling function f encodes the aging behavior of the correlation function. According to the aging hypothesis, the relevant scaling variable is $\xi(t)/\xi(s)$ where the characteristic length of domains is expected to grow as $\xi(t) \sim (t/\ln t)^{1/z}$, i.e. algebraically (infinite relaxation time) with a logarithmic correction due to the pinning of the domain walls by the free vortices present in the paramagnetic phase [27]. In the case of the XY model, the dynamical exponent is $z = 2$. To test eq. (11), we have performed Monte Carlo simulations for a lattice size 256×256 with a final time $t_f = 60,000$.




Figure 6. Fluctuation-dissipation ratio $X(t, s)$ at $T = 0.2T_{KT}$. The different curves correspond to different waiting times s .




Figure 7. Location t_0/s_0 of the divergence of the fluctuation-dissipation ratio $X(t, s)$ versus $1/s$. The different curves correspond to different temperature. The dashed line is the expected value in the spin-wave approximation.




Figure 8. Scaling function $(t-s)^{\eta/2}C(t,s)$ versus $\frac{t \ln s}{s \ln t}$ of the spin-spin correlation function for the FFXY prepared in a random state and quenched at the critical temperature. The different curves correspond to different waiting times ($s = 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000,$ and 12000). The dashed line corresponds to the power-law $\left(\frac{t \ln s}{s \ln t}\right)^\phi$ with $\phi = 0.74$.

The data have been averaged over 5000 histories. The data are still too noisy to obtain an independent estimate of η . We will thus use the estimate $\eta \simeq 0.27$ that was obtained in the low-temperature phase. On figure 8, the scaling function $f_C = (t-s)^{\eta/2}C(t,s)$ is plotted versus $\frac{t \ln s}{s \ln t}$. The different curves correspond to waiting times $s = 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000,$ and 12000 . The collapse of the different curves confirms the scaling hypothesis (11). The scaling function displays a nice power-law decay $f_C(x) \sim x^{-\phi}$ where $\phi \simeq 0.74(3)$. Defining the autocorrelation exponent as [29] $C(t,s) \sim t^{-\lambda/z} \sim t^{-\eta/2-\phi}$, we have $\lambda/z = 0.87(3)$. This value is slightly larger than the one obtained in the short-time regime from the initial-slip exponent θ ($\lambda/z = \frac{d}{z} - \theta = 0.808(3)$) [16]. Both estimates are incompatible with the autocorrelation exponent of the XY model: $\lambda/z = 0.625$ [16], $0.738(4)$ [19] or $0.738(5)$ [30].

Again the response is too noisy to investigate its asymptotic scaling behavior but, using smaller lattice sizes and final times, it is still possible to improve significantly the statistics and estimate accurately the fluctuation-dissipation ratio. We have used a lattice size 192×192 with time running up to $t_f = 4,000$. This allowed us to average the data over 500,000 histories. On figure 9, the FDR is plotted with respect to $\frac{t \ln s}{s \ln t}$. A good collapse of the data for different waiting times is observed. This collapse is not as good if logarithmic corrections are omitted. The asymptotic value of the FDR is estimated to be $X_\infty = 0.385(15)$. For comparison, we have performed a similar simulation for the pure XY model. The asymptotic FDR is estimated to be $X_\infty = 0.215(15)$ for the XY model, i.e. very different from our value for the FFXY.




Figure 9. Fluctuation-dissipation ratio associated to the angles. for the FFXY prepared in a random state and quenched at the critical temperature. The different curves correspond to different waiting times (60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, and 180). In the insert, the FDR is plotted for the XY model under the same protocol. The different curves correspond to $s = 10, 20, 50, 100, 200,$ and 400 .

3. Aging of the chirality

We have also investigated the dynamics of the chirality, denoted χ in the following. For each plaquette, the local chirality is defined as

$$\chi_{\square} = \text{sign} \left[\sum_{(i,j) \in \square} J_{ij} \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) \right] \quad (12)$$

where the sum extends over the four bonds forming the plaquette. Chirality is expected to behave as antiferromagnetically-coupled Ising spins but a controversy exists regarding the belonging of the associated transition to the Ising universality class. In the following, we will compare two-time chirality-chirality correlation functions and the FDR associated to the response to a field coupled to the chirality with those of the Ising model.

The system is initially prepared in the paramagnetic phase and then quench at the Z_2 critical temperature. We have considered two different estimates of the critical temperature: $T_c = 0.4545(2)$ [14, 15] and $T_c = 0.453243(2)$ [10]. We have computed the two-time chirality-chirality correlation function

$$C(t, s) = \langle \chi(t) \chi(s) \rangle \quad (13)$$

for a lattice size 256×256 up to the final time $t_f = 200,000$. Data have been averaged over 5000 histories. During a quench at the critical temperature, autocorrelation functions are expected to behave as $C(t, s) \sim (t - s)^{-a_c} f(\xi(t)/\xi(s))$ where the first term corresponds to the quasi-equilibrium regime characterized by a critical exponent $a_c = 2\beta/\nu z_c$ and the second one is the aging part depending only on the ratio $\xi(t)/\xi(s)$.




Figure 10. Chirality-chirality correlation function $C(t, s)$ versus $t \ln s / s \ln t$ for the FFXY prepared in a random state and then quenched at the inverse critical temperature $T_c = 0.4545$. The different curves correspond to different waiting times (500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000, and 12000). In the insert, the effective exponent λ/z computed by a power-law interpolation $(t-s)^{a_c} C(t, s) \sim (t/\ln t)^{-\lambda/z+a_c}$ between t and t_f is plotted with respect to $[t \ln s / s \ln t]^{-1}$.

In the FFXY, we assume that the existence of free topological defects in the high-temperature induces logarithmic corrections in the growth law. Thus the correlation length for the chirality-chirality correlations is expected to grow as $\xi(t) \sim (t/\ln t)^{1/z_c}$, i.e. in the same way as for the spin-spin correlation functions. As a consequence, we expect the scaling behavior

$$C(t, s) \sim (t-s)^{-a_c} f_C \left(\frac{t \ln s}{s \ln t} \right) \quad (14)$$

This behavior of the chirality-chirality correlation function is observed numerically only with the estimate $T_c = 0.4545$ of the critical temperature. Figure 10 shows the collapse of the scaling function $f_C = (t-s)^{a_c} C(t, s)$ versus $t \ln s / s \ln t$ for different waiting times. This collapse is clearly destroyed when a_c is chosen smaller than 0.08 or larger than 0.12. This leads us to $a_c = 0.10(2)$, compatible with the Ising value $a_c = 2\beta/\nu z_c \simeq 0.115$. We note that removing the logarithmic corrections does not affect significantly the quality of the collapse. For the second and most accurate estimate of the critical temperature $T_c = 0.453243(2)$, no collapse of the scaling function is obtained for any positive value of a_c (only a slightly negative value would bring the data to collapse). This shows that the system is not critical in the quasi-equilibrium regime but already in the low-temperature phase. In the following, we will consider only the estimate $T_c = 0.4545$ of the critical temperature.

As shown on figure 10, the aging part $f_C(x)$ of the chirality-chirality correlation function decays algebraically. To recover the usual form $C(t, s) \sim s^{-a_c} (t/s)^{-\lambda/z}$ in the asymptotic regime, the scaling function $f_C(x)$ needs to decay as $x^{-\lambda/z+a_c}$. In the

inset of figure 10 is plotted the effective exponent λ/z computed by a power-law interpolation in the interval $[t, t_f]$ where t runs from s to $t_f - 2$. This effective exponent is plotted with respect to $\frac{s \ln t}{t \ln s} = x$ for the different waiting times s . The exponent λ/z is recovered in the limit $x \rightarrow 0$, i.e. at the left side of the graph. Of course, in this region the number of points entering in the interpolation is small and thus the accuracy of the effective exponent decreases. Moreover, the data have been produced by a slow dynamics and are thus correlated. The smooth variations at the left are really fluctuations and not any physical effect. From this plot, we estimate $\lambda/z = 0.98(5)$, i.e. incompatible with the Ising value $\lambda/z \simeq 0.738$ (dashed line on the graph). This is remarkably different from the low-temperature phase where the Ising value $\lambda \simeq 1.25$ was observed for the Ising-XY model already for a relatively small final time $t_f = 1000$ [28]. For completeness, we should note that when we remove the logarithmic corrections, the effective exponent is smaller, $\lambda/z \simeq 0.90(5)$ but still incompatible with the Ising value. However, we believe that logarithmic corrections are necessary as we will see in the following when considering the scaling of the fluctuation-dissipation ratio.

The fluctuation-dissipation theorem is also violated at the Z_2 phase transition. A Zeeman Hamiltonian

$$- \sum_{\square} h_{\square} \chi_{\square} \quad (15)$$

that couples the chirality of each plaquette to an external field h_{\square} , allows for the definition of a response function

$$R(t, s) = \left(\frac{\delta \langle \chi_{\square}(t) \rangle}{\delta h_{\square}(s)} \right)_{h_{\square} \rightarrow 0} \quad (16)$$

This quantity is evaluated numerically [26]. We can then compute the fluctuation-dissipation ratio $X(t, s) = k_B T R(t, s) / \partial_s C(t, s)$. We used a lattice size 192×192 with a final time $t_f = 2000$. Data have been averaged over 10^6 histories. The FDR is plotted on figure 11 with respect to s/t (left) and $s \ln t / t \ln s$ (right). A better collapse is obtained with logarithmic corrections, showing that the dynamics of the domain walls between different antiferromagnetic orderings of the chirality is sensible to the vortices. The asymptotic value X_{∞} is estimated to $X_{\infty} = 0.405(5)$ (a compatible value would be obtained if logarithmic corrections would not be taken into account). This value is significantly different from the Ising value $X_{\infty} \simeq 0.328$ [31].

Conclusions

We have given numerical evidences that the thermal excitations in the low-temperature phase are spin-waves whose dynamics is the same as in the XY model. The dynamical exponent is thus $z = 2$ in the whole critical phase. The exponent η that can be estimated from the spin-spin two-time autocorrelation function is compatible with the prediction of the spin-wave approximation and with estimates from static Monte Carlo simulations close to T_{KT} . The fluctuation-dissipation ratio displays divergences, like in the XY model, at some locations that tend toward the value predicted by the scaling theory. When initially prepared in the paramagnetic phase and then quenched at T_{KT} (resp. T_c), spins (resp. chiralities) age in the same way as an unfrustrated ferromagnet. Spin-spin autocorrelation function decays faster ($\lambda/z \simeq 0.87(3)$) than in the XY model. The FDR takes asymptotically a very different value too. These results are consistent




Figure 11. Fluctuation-Dissipation Ratio $X(t, s)$ associated to the chirality versus t/s (left) and $t \ln s / s \ln t$ for the FFXY prepared in a random state and quenched at the critical temperature. The different curves correspond to different waiting times (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, and 80).

with the fact that static or short-time Monte Carlo simulations concluded that η is greater than the XY value $\eta_{XY} = 0.25$ at the Kosterlitz-Thouless temperature. The chirality-chirality autocorrelation function decays algebraically at the critical point with an exponent $\lambda/z \simeq 0.98(5)$ incompatible with the Ising model. The FDR is also fully incompatible with the Ising value. These results are in contradiction with a belonging of the FFXY to the Ising universality class. Of course, we cannot exclude the possibility of a dynamical cross-over for much larger waiting times, i.e. for much larger correlation lengths $\xi(s)$, in the same way that large lattice sizes are needed at equilibrium [10]) to observe Ising exponents.

Acknowledgments

The institute Jean Lamour is Unité Mixte de Recherche CNRS number 7198.

References

- [1] S. Teitel, C. Jayaprakash (1983) Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1999
- [2] J.M. Kosterlitz, D.J. Thouless (1973) J. Phys. C **6** 1181 ; (1974) J. Phys. C **7** 1046
- [3] S. Teitel, C. Jayaprakash (1983) Phys. Rev. B **27**, 598
- [4] M.Y. Choi, S. Doniach (1985) Phys. Rev. B **31** 4516
- [5] Y.M.M. Knops, B. Nienhuis, H.J.F. Knops, H.W.J. Blöte (1994) Phys. Rev. B **50**, 1061
- [6] J. Villain (1977) J. Phys. C **10** 1717 ; (1977) J. Phys. C **10** 4793
- [7] E. Fradkin, B. Huberman, S.H. Shenker (1978) Phys. Rev. B **18** 4789
- [8] S. E. Korshunov (2002) Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 167007, [arXiv: cond-mat/0106151](#)
- [9] P. Olsson, S. Teitel (2005) Phys. Rev. B **71**, 104423, [arXiv: cond-mat/0304593](#)
- [10] M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, E. Vicari (2005) J. Stat. Mech. **0512** P002, [arXiv: cond-mat/0509682](#)

- [11] P. Olsson (1995) Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2758, arXiv: cond-mat/9506082 ; P. Olsson (1997) Phys. Rev. B **55**, 3585, arXiv: cond-mat/9609181
- [12] M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, E. Vicari (2006) J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **42** 124, arXiv: cond-mat/0509511
- [13] H.J. Luo, B. Zheng (1997) Mod. Phys. Lett. B **11**, 615, arXiv: cond-mat/9812147
- [14] H.J. Luo, L. Schuelke, B. Zheng (1998) Phys. Rev. E, **57** 1327, arXiv: cond-mat/9705222
- [15] H.J. Luo, L. Schuelke, B. Zheng (1998) Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 180, arXiv: cond-mat/9801253
- [16] H.J. Luo, M. Schulz, L. Schuelke, S. Trimper, B. Zheng (1998) Phys. Lett. A **250** 383, arXiv: cond-mat/9812124
- [17] S.J. Lee, J.R. Lee, B. Kim (1995) Phys. Rev. E **51**, R4
- [18] G.S. Jeon, S.J. Lee, M.Y. Choi (2003) Phys. Rev. B **67**, 014501
- [19] B. Zheng, F. Ren, and H. Ren (2003) Phys. Rev. E **68**, 046120
- [20] R.J. Glauber (1963) J. Math. Phys **4**, 294
- [21] A.D. Rutenberg, A.J. Bray (1995), Phys. Rev. E **51**, R1641
- [22] L.F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 173 (1993) ; L.F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27**, 5749 (1994)
- [23] S. Abriet, D. Karevski (2004), Eur. Phys. J. B **37**, 47, arXiv: cond-mat/0309342
- [24] L. Berthier, P.C.W. Holdsworth, and M. Sellitto (2001) J. Phys. A **34**, 1805
- [25] S. Abriet (2004), *Ph.D. thesis* (unpublished)
- [26] C. Chatelain (2003) J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, 10739, arXiv: cond-mat/0303545
- [27] A.J. Bray, A.J. Briant and D.K. Jervis (2000) Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1503
- [28] J.R. Lee, S.J. Lee, B. Kim, I. Chang (1996) Phys. Rev. E **54**, 3257
- [29] D. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 304 (1989)
- [30] X. W. Lei and B. Zheng (2007) Phys. Rev. E **75**, 040104(R)
- [31] C. Chatelain (2004) J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P06006, arXiv: cond-mat/0404017