



**HAL**  
open science

**The substellar mass function in sigma Orionis. II.  
Optical, near-infrared and IRAC/Spitzer photometry of  
young cluster brown dwarfs and planetary-mass objects**

J. A. Caballero, V. J. S. Béjar, R. Rebolo, J. Eislöffel, M. R. Zapatero Osorio,  
R. Mundt, D. Barrado y Navascués, G. Bihain, C. A. L. Bailer-Jones, T.  
Forveille, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

J. A. Caballero, V. J. S. Béjar, R. Rebolo, J. Eislöffel, M. R. Zapatero Osorio, et al.. The substellar mass function in sigma Orionis. II. Optical, near-infrared and IRAC/Spitzer photometry of young cluster brown dwarfs and planetary-mass objects. *Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A*, 2007, 470, pp.903. 10.1051/0004-6361:20066993 . hal-00398385

**HAL Id: hal-00398385**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00398385>**

Submitted on 5 Jan 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## The substellar mass function in $\sigma$ Orionis

### II. Optical, near-infrared and IRAC/*Spitzer* photometry of young cluster brown dwarfs and planetary-mass objects<sup>\*,\*\*</sup>

J. A. Caballero<sup>1,2</sup>, V. J. S. Béjar<sup>3</sup>, R. Rebolo<sup>1,4</sup>, J. Eislöffel<sup>5</sup>, M. R. Zapatero Osorio<sup>1,6</sup>, R. Mundt<sup>2</sup>, D. Barrado y Navascués<sup>6</sup>, G. Bihain<sup>1,4</sup>, C. A. L. Bailer-Jones<sup>2</sup>, T. Forveille<sup>7,8</sup>, and E. L. Martín<sup>1,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Avenida Vía Láctea, 38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Islas Canarias, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany  
e-mail: caballero@mpia.de

<sup>3</sup> Proyecto Gran Telescopio Canarias, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias

<sup>4</sup> Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Thüringer Landessternwarte, Sternwarte 5, 07778 Tautenburg, Germany

<sup>6</sup> LAEFF-INTA, P.O. Box 50727, 28080, Madrid, Spain

<sup>7</sup> Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Corporation, 65-1238 Mamalahoa Highway, Kamuela, HI96743, Hawai'i, USA

<sup>8</sup> Laboratoire d'Astrophysique, Observatoire de Grenoble, BP 53, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

<sup>9</sup> University of Central Florida, Dept. of Physics, PO Box 162385, Orlando, FL 32816-2385, USA

Received 21 December 2006 / Accepted 12 April 2007

#### ABSTRACT

**Aims.** We investigate the mass function in the substellar domain down to a few Jupiter masses in the young  $\sigma$  Orionis open cluster ( $3 \pm 2$  Ma,  $d = 360^{+70}_{-60}$  pc).

**Methods.** We have performed a deep *IJ*-band search, covering an area of 790 arcmin<sup>2</sup> close to the cluster centre. This survey was complemented with an infrared follow-up in the *HK<sub>s</sub>*- and *Spitzer* 3.6–8.0  $\mu$ m-bands. Using colour–magnitude diagrams, we have selected 49 candidate cluster members in the magnitude interval  $16.1 \text{ mag} < I < 23.0 \text{ mag}$ .

**Results.** Accounting for flux excesses at 8.0  $\mu$ m and previously known spectral features of youth, we identify 30 objects as bona fide cluster members. Four are first identified from our optical-near infrared data. Eleven have most probable masses below the deuterium burning limit which we therefore classify as candidate planetary-mass objects. The slope of the substellar mass spectrum ( $\Delta N/\Delta M \approx aM^{-\alpha}$ ) in the mass interval  $0.11 M_{\odot} < M < 0.006 M_{\odot}$  is  $\alpha = +0.6 \pm 0.2$ . Any mass limit to formation via opacity-limited fragmentation must lie below  $0.006 M_{\odot}$ . The frequency of  $\sigma$  Orionis brown dwarfs with circumsubstellar discs is  $47 \pm 9\%$ .

**Conclusions.** The continuity in the mass function and in the frequency of discs suggests that very low-mass stars and substellar objects, even below the deuterium-burning mass limit, share the same formation mechanism.

**Key words.** stars: low mass, brown dwarfs – Galaxy: open clusters and associations: individual:  $\sigma$  Orionis – stars: planetary systems: protoplanetary discs

### 1. Introduction

The increasing sensitivity of photometric searches in young open clusters and star-forming regions (1–10 Ma) has led to the direct detection of substellar objects with theoretical masses below the deuterium burning mass limit ( $0.013 M_{\odot}$  for solar metallicity; Chabrier & Baraffe 2000). This mass limit has been used by several authors as a boundary to separate brown dwarfs from planetary-mass objects (PMOs). The first directly imaged PMOs were found as isolated objects in very young open clusters (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2000; Lucas & Roche 2000; Najita et al. 2000). They are sometimes called isolated planetary-mass objects (IPMOs) to differentiate them from recently discovered PMOs orbiting stars and brown dwarfs (Chauvin et al. 2004, 2005; Neuhäuser et al. 2005; Caballero et al. 2006b) and from exoplanets detected via radial velocity, transit and microlensing methods (e.g. Mayor & Queloz 1995; Charbonneau et al. 2000;

Beaulieu et al. 2006). The first spectroscopic data on IPMOs obtained by Zapatero Osorio et al. (2000) confirmed the cool atmospheres of several of these objects, which appear rather similar to those of PMOs orbiting stars. The origin of both IPMOs and PMOs around stars remains uncertain. It is likely that IPMOs form as a natural extension of the process that leads to the formation of low-mass stars and, probably, brown dwarfs, but they could also originate in protoplanetary discs and be ejected through dynamical interactions (Boss 2000; Reipurth & Clarke 2001; Bate et al. 2002). It has been postulated that there is a minimum mass for formation of objects via fragmentation in molecular clouds, the so-called opacity mass-limit (Rees 1976; Silk 1977; Tohline 1980; Bate et al. 2003). This theoretical limit is expected to be in the range  $0.010$ – $0.005 M_{\odot}$ . It is therefore crucial to extend current surveys in order to identify objects with masses as low as possible. The behaviour of the mass function at such low masses will be valuable to establish the formation mechanism of the IPMOs.

At higher masses than in the planetary domain, the mass spectrum gives important hints on how stars and brown dwarfs form in molecular clouds (e.g. Kroupa 2001). Following the

\* Alexander von Humboldt Fellow at the Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie

\*\* Appendices are only available in electronic form at <http://www.aanda.org>

**Table 1.** Basic log of the  $I$ - and  $J$ -band runs.

Telescope	Instrument	Filter	Dates	Exp. time (s)	Area (arcmin <sup>2</sup> )	$FWHM$ (arcsec)	Compl. (mag)	Limit. (mag)
INT	WFC	RGO $I$	2000 Dec. 30–2001 Jan. 1 (WFC00)	$21 \times 1500$	970	1.2–1.3	23.4	24.0
			2003 Jan. 8 (WFC03)	$20 \times 1200$	970	1.0–1.1	23.3	24.1
<i>Antu</i>	ISAAC	$J$	2001 Dec. 8,9,10,18	$4 \times 60$	680	0.4–0.7	20.6	21.8
			2001 Dec. 8,9,10,18	$2 \times 60^a$	110	0.4–0.7	20.2	21.4

[<sup>a</sup>] These are areas along the borders of the ISAAC scans and have shorter integration times.


nomenclature of Scalo (1986), the mass spectrum can be approximated by a power-law function  $\Delta N/\Delta M \approx aM^{-\alpha}$  (where  $\alpha = -\gamma$  and  $\Delta N$  is the number of objects in the mass interval  $\Delta M$ ). The substellar mass function in the brown dwarf domain has been investigated in several open clusters, from the very nearby relatively old Hyades cluster to much younger and distant star-forming regions (see e.g. Zapatero Osorio et al. 1997; Bouvier et al. 1998; Luhman 2000; Barrado y Navascués et al. 2004; Bihain et al. 2006, and references therein). These studies point out a decrease of the slope in the power law at low mass. However, the deepest searches suggest that the mass spectrum is still rising even below the deuterium burning mass limit (Béjar et al. 2001; Muench et al. 2002; Greaves et al. 2003; Oasa et al. 2006; González-García et al. 2006).

The  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster has some advantages as a place for searching for and characterizing substellar objects. In particular, it is nearby and very young. Here we adopt an age of  $3 \pm 2$  Ma (Oliveira et al. 2002; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2002a; Sherry et al. 2004) and a heliocentric distance of  $360^{+70}_{-60}$  pc (Brown et al. 1994). The cluster is relatively free of extinction ( $A_V < 1$  mag; Lee 1968; Béjar et al. 2004) and has a moderate spatial member density, solar composition ( $[Fe/H] = 0.0 \pm 0.1$ ; Caballero 2005) and a large frequency of intermediate-mass stars with discs ( $33 \pm 6\%$ ; Oliveira et al. 2006). A compilation of different determinations of the age, distance and disc frequency at different mass intervals is provided in Caballero (2007). The cluster contains several dozen brown dwarfs with spectroscopic features of youth and with discs (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2002a; Barrado y Navascués et al. 2002a; Muzerolle et al. 2003; Kenyon et al. 2005; Caballero et al. 2006a). It is also the star forming region with the largest number of candidate IPMOs with follow-up spectroscopy (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2000, 2002b,c; Martín et al. 2001; Barrado y Navascués et al. 2001; Martín & Zapatero Osorio 2003).

Our present study is a natural extension of the work of Béjar et al. (2001), who presented the first substellar mass function in the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster. Here we investigate the mass function down to a few Jupiter masses and use recent data from 1 to  $8 \mu\text{m}$  that provide information on the existence of circumsubstellar discs.

## 2. The optical-near infrared search

We used the Wide Field Camera (WFC) at the 2.5-m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) and the Hawaii arm (SW) of ISAAC at the 8.2-m Very Large Telescope (VLT) UT1 *Antu* to obtain very deep  $I$ - and  $J$ -band imaging. We studied a  $0.22\text{-deg}^2$  region to the southeast of the OB quintuple star system  $\sigma$  Ori that gives the name to the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster. Table 1 lists the basic data of both optical and near-infrared campaigns (dates, exposure times, survey area and average seeing).



**Fig. 1.** Mosaic of the  $I$ -band images taken with WFC/INT (WFC03). North is up and east to the left. The size of each WFC chip is about  $11 \times 22$  arcmin<sup>2</sup>. The glare of the OB star system  $\sigma$  Ori is clearly visible to the northeast of the vertical detector (CCD#2). The mosaic on to a DSS-2-IR image centred on  $\sigma$  Ori can be found in Fig. 4 of Caballero (2005). See also the false-colour composite image in Fig. B.1, available on-line.

The central coordinates of the survey (05:39:39 –02:44:40 J2000) were chosen to take advantage of the asymmetric configuration of the four WFC chips. Some of the brightest cluster stars, especially those in the central  $\sigma$  Ori system as well as HD 37525 AB, were placed out of the field of view or in the gaps between detectors. This configuration was a compromise to study both the maximum area close to the cluster centre – where the spatial density of cluster members is larger – and the minimum area affected by the glare of bright stars (see Fig. 1). The survey area is quite far from the location of the bulk of objects of the group 1 in Jeffries et al. (2006), which have an average radial velocity different from that adopted for the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster (see also Caballero 2007).

### 2.1. $I$ -band data

Two different optical datasets were obtained with the WFC/INT ( $4 \times 4k \times 2k$ ,  $0.333$  arcsec  $\text{pix}^{-1}$ ) and the RGO  $I$  filter (see Table 1). Both datasets, named WFC00 and WFC03 after the

years of observation (2000 and 2003), roughly share the same depth and coordinates of the centre of field. The older dataset (WFC00), however, was taken with a 25 % longer exposure time, leading to strong artefacts surrounding bright stars. The seeing conditions were also slightly worse, which made the combination of the two datasets impractical. The night of 2003 Jan. 8 (WFC03), during which we imaged several Landolt (1992) standard stars in the  $I$ -band, was photometric.

We reduced the data using standard procedures including bias subtraction and flat fielding, and performed aperture and PSF photometry using `noao.imred` and `noao.digiphot.daophot` routines within the IRAF environment, as described in Caballero et al. (2004). About 30 000 optical sources were detected in each dataset. To avoid spurious detections, we discarded sources with very large errors in their instrumental magnitudes (0.2 and 0.5 mag for  $I < 20$  and  $I > 20$  mag respectively) leaving  $\sim 25$  000 reliable optical sources for each dataset. The total covered field of view for each dataset was  $970 \text{ arcmin}^2$ . However, 3–4 % (WFC00) and 1–2 % (WFC03) of the area was useless due to bleeding lines by saturated bright stars, their surrounding glares or incomplete correction of chip dead columns during flat fielding.

Both datasets have also been used to study the photometric variability of S Ori 45 (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2003) and another 27 young brown dwarfs of the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster (Caballero et al. 2004).

## 2.2. $J$ -band data

We obtained 27 data blocks with ISAAC/VLT ( $1k \times 2k$ ,  $0.148 \text{ arcsec pix}^{-1}$ ) and the  $J$  filter during three consecutive nights in Dec. 2001. Two more data blocks were obtained in service mode one week later (2001 Dec 18; three  $J$ -band data blocks were missing to cover the  $I$ -band survey completely). The sky was free of clouds except for the first night, which was partially covered by thin cirrus. The seeing was excellent during all the nights (FWHM as low as  $0.5 \text{ arcsec}$ ). Dark- and sky-subtraction, flat fielding and alignment and combination of the data blocks were performed with IRAF. The overlapping area between optical (WFC) and near-infrared (ISAAC) images was  $790 \text{ arcmin}^2$ .

For easier handling, the individual  $J$ -band frames were aligned and combined in long scans, with sizes up to  $22.0 \times 2.4 \text{ arcmin}^2$ . Every region in the survey area was observed for 240 s except for the borders of the scans ( $1.2 \times 2.4 \text{ arcmin}^2$  on each side), which received half the integration time.

Near-infrared point sources were detected using `noao.digiphot.daophot.daofind`. Aperture and PSF photometries were performed using other routines of the `noao.digiphot.daophot` package within the IRAF environment, in the same way as in the optical. We detected 11 500  $J$ -band sources.

## 2.3. Photometric calibration and completeness

We calibrated our  $J$ -band photometry to the Two-Micron All Sky Survey Catalogue system (2MASS; Cutri et al. 2003) using selected sources in common between our ISAAC images and the 2MASS catalogue. The useful overlapping magnitude interval between our deep images and the 2MASS data lies in the narrow interval  $14.5 \text{ mag} \leq J \leq 15.5 \text{ mag}$ . Hence, we could only use about a dozen comparison stars in each ISAAC scan to establish the zero-point calibration for our near-infrared data. This leads

to calibration uncertainties twice as large as the typical 2MASS errors. The standard deviation in the  $J$  calibrations was in general less than  $0.09 \text{ mag}$ .

The photometric calibration in the optical using photometric standard stars from Landolt (1992) observed at different zenith distances during the WFC03 run provided accuracies better than  $0.05 \text{ mag}$ . We observed only in the  $I$ -band filter so no colour-dependent term could be used in the calibration. As the Landolt standards are not very red, the photometry for the fainter (redder) objects might suffer from unknown systematic effects. Each of the four WFC chips was calibrated independently. The WFC00 dataset was calibrated using bright WFC03 sources in common as a reference. Except for intrinsically variable cluster members and for very faint optical sources with large Poisson photon errors, the agreement between the photometry of both WFC00 and WFC03 datasets is of the order of the photometric calibration uncertainty (see further details in Caballero et al. 2004). We also compared the photometric data of objects with magnitudes fainter than  $I = 16.5 \text{ mag}$  in common with other independent surveys in the area (the photometry of brighter ones may be affected by non-linear or saturation effects in our WFC images). Within the uncertainties, there is no appreciable difference between the  $I$ -band magnitudes in our study and those of Béjar et al. (1999, 2004). However, Kenyon et al. (2005), based on Sloan Gunn  $i'$ - and Harris  $R$ -band photometry, provided  $I$ -band magnitudes for objects in common with our survey that are almost  $0.3 \text{ mag}$  fainter. This difference in magnitudes is probably due to the different filter systems used and/or the absence of colour-dependent terms in our photometric calibration. The photometry from Béjar et al. (2001) must not be used for comparison, since it has been found to be incorrect. The photometry from this work has been re-calibrated, using data taken during the same night as the one we are using to calibrate our  $I$ -band survey, and some systematic errors due to variation of the zero-points between the WFC chips have been found (V. J. S. Béjar et al., in prep.).

Completeness and limiting magnitudes in the  $I$ - and  $J$ -bands are shown in the last columns of Table 1. As completeness we take the point at which the number of detected sources per magnitude interval stops increasing with a fixed power law of the magnitude,  $N(m) \propto m^p$  (where  $p$  is an arbitrary real number). The measured number of sources departs 50 % from the power law at the limiting magnitude. Our completeness and limiting magnitudes are roughly equivalent to the  $10\sigma$  and  $3\sigma$  mag, respectively. The shallower borders along the ISAAC scans led to brighter completeness and detection limits by  $2.5 \log 2^{1/2} \sim 0.4 \text{ mag}$ .

Given the completeness limits of the  $I$  and  $J$  surveys and the expected colours of the objects of interest, the  $I$ -band photometry sets the limiting magnitude. Our faintest cluster member candidates are however brighter than the completeness limits in  $I$  and  $J$  (see Sect. 3.2). The optical data also sets the bright limit: the confirmed stellar cluster member S Ori 8, with  $J = 14.14 \pm 0.03 \text{ mag}$  and  $I = 15.74 \pm 0.04 \text{ mag}$  (2MASS Catalogue and Béjar et al. 1999), was detected in the non-linear regime of the near-infrared images, but saturated in the optical images. It is the only known cluster member in the area fainter than  $J = 14.0 \text{ mag}$  that has not been studied here.

## 2.4. Astrometric calibration and combination of $I$ - and $J$ -band data

We used the USNO-A2 and 2MASS astro-photometric catalogues to transform from the physical coordinates of the WFC chips and the ISAAC scans to celestial coordinates (for further

details see Bihain et al. 2006). The accuracies in these astrometric solutions were about 0.1 and 0.2 arcsec for the  $I$ -band images and the  $J$ -band scans, respectively (about twice the average catalogue errors).

After cross matching positions between near-infrared and optical sources, we derived mean coordinates and  $I$ - and  $J$ -band magnitudes for  $\sim 9400$  objects. About 2100 near-infrared sources were not correlated with any optical source. Among them, a few hundred objects within the completeness of the  $J$ -band images and without optical counterparts (i.e. with expected red  $I-J$  colours) were visually inspected on the WFC images, since they could be very low-mass cluster members fainter than our adopted completeness limit in the optical data. After this analysis, we concluded that the vast majority were not detected in the  $I$ -band images because they were: (i) double or multiple background stars or galactic nuclei only resolved in the ISAAC data; (ii) faint sources in the glare of bright stars in the optical; (iii) artefacts in the ISAAC frames; (iv) multiple detections of saturated bright stars or (v) extended sources of extragalactic nature. Some promising near-infrared sources without optical counterpart were followed up with deep  $HK$  imaging (see Sect. 3.3.2).


### 3. Cluster member selection and the infrared follow-up

#### 3.1. Known objects in the survey area

In the studied area and magnitude intervals ( $16.1 \text{ mag} \leq I \leq 24.1 \text{ mag}$  and  $14.2 \text{ mag} \leq J \leq 20.6 \text{ mag}$ ) there are 50 cluster members and cluster member candidates reported in the literature. They have been studied in the optical surveys by: Béjar et al. (1999 – Bé99 –, 2001, 2004); Zapatero Osorio et al. (2000); Caballero et al. (2004 – Ca04 –); Kenyon et al. (2005 – Ke05 –); González-García et al. (2006), and in specific works such as: Zapatero Osorio et al. (1999, 2002a – ZO02 –); Barrado y Navascués et al. (2002a – ByN02 –, 2003 – ByN03 –); Muzerolle et al. (2003 – Mu03 –); McGovern et al. (2004 – McG04 –); Scholz & Eisloffel (2004); Burningham et al. (2005a – Bu05 –); Caballero et al. (2006a – Ca06 –); Franciosini et al. (2006 – Fr06 –).

Out of these 50 objects, only one, S Ori 69, was not detected on our images. This non-detection suggests that the isolated planetary-mass object candidate is fainter than what was published in the literature ( $I = 23.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ mag}$ ,  $J = 20.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ mag}$ ; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2000; Martín et al. 2001). G. Bihain et al. (private comm.) have recently obtained new deep near-infrared imaging of S Ori 69, determining its  $J$ -band magnitude at  $21.61 \pm 0.16 \text{ mag}$ . Two out of the 49 identified targets in the area, S Ori 41 and S Ori J054004.9–024656, were classified as probable non-members by Béjar et al. (2001, 2004) (the latter is a visual binary resolved in our ISAAC images, with  $\rho \leq 0.8 \text{ arcsec}$ ). Also, the  $M5.0 \pm 0.5$ -type dwarf S Ori J053909.9–022814 does not display signatures of youth in high-quality optical spectra (Barrado y Navascués et al. 2003; Kenyon et al. 2005). We do not consider these three objects as cluster members.

There remain 46 cluster members and candidates reported in the literature in the survey area. Spectroscopic information is available for 31 of them. For 11 of these objects, only spectral types could be determined, while the other 20 members display spectroscopic features of extreme youth (age  $< 10 \text{ Ma}$ ). Specifically, these features are: Li I  $\lambda 6707.8 \text{ \AA}$  in absorption, broad and/or strong  $H\alpha$  emission, weak alkali absorption lines (i.e. low-gravity, normally measured from the pEW(Na I) in



**Fig. 2.**  $I$  vs.  $I - J$  colour-magnitude diagram from our WFC03/INT-ISAAC/*Antu* survey. The different symbols indicate: probable foreground and background sources (small dots, “.”); spectroscopically confirmed cluster members from Table 2 (filled stars, “★”); cluster member candidates with spectral type determination (encircled dots, “○”); cluster member candidates without spectral type determination (open circles, “o”); the four new cluster member candidates (filled circles, “●”); S Ori J053948.1–022914 (the open triangle, “△”); the three detected cluster non-members (asterisks, “\*”). Dash-dotted lines indicate the completeness and detection limits of our survey. The dashed line is the lower envelope of confirmed cluster members with youth indicators. The solid line is the boundary considered as the selection criterion. Colour versions of all our figures are available in the electronic publication.

optical spectra, except in the case of S Ori 51 where a  $J$ -band spectrum was used), and emission lines ascribed to accretion processes or outflows (e.g. [O I]  $\lambda 6300.3 \text{ \AA}$ , He I  $\lambda 5875.8 \text{ \AA}$ ). Most of them have radial velocities,  $V_r$ , similar to the cluster systemic radial velocity of  $30\text{--}35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Additionally, some of them display optical photometric variability with an amplitude larger than 0.07 mag (“var.”),  $K_s$ -band flux excess (“nIR”), or X-ray emission (“X”). There is insufficient and/or discrepant membership information on S Ori 20 (Barrado y Navascués et al. 2003; Kenyon et al. 2005), S Ori 47 (Zapatero Osorio et al. 1999; McGovern et al. 2004), and S Ori J053844.4–024037 (Burningham et al. 2005a). We do not consider these three objects as spectroscopically confirmed young cluster members. The 20 confirmed cluster members together with their references and most important characteristics are given in Table 2.

#### 3.2. Cluster member selection from the $I$ vs. $I - J$ diagram

We have selected the cluster member candidates from our WFC03 + ISAAC data, using the  $I$  vs.  $I - J$  colour-magnitude diagram shown in Fig. 2. Among the 9400 sources with optical and near-infrared information in our survey, we have selected new photometric candidate cluster members based on their position in the diagram with respect to the 20 spectroscopically confirmed cluster members, indicated by filled stars. The latter define the spectrophotometric sequence of the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster; this is redder than the field stars. Most of them are brighter than  $I = 18.0 \text{ mag}$ , while only four brown dwarfs with spectroscopic features indicative of youth fall in the interval  $19.0 \leq I \leq 20.5 \text{ mag}$ . Five brown dwarf and IPMO candidates fainter than

**Table 2.** Objects with signatures of extreme youth and cluster membership in the survey area compiled from the literature.

Name	pEW(Li I) (Å)	pEW(H $\alpha$ ) (Å)	low $g^a$	$V_r^b$	Remarks	References
S Ori J054000.2–025159	+0.25 $\pm$ 0.09		yes	bin.		Ke05
S Ori J053848.1–024401	+0.53 $\pm$ 0.10		yes	yes		Ke05
S Ori J053833.9–024508	+0.38 $\pm$ 0.07		yes	yes		Ke05
S Ori J053911.4–023333	+0.33 $\pm$ 0.06	−4.7 $\pm$ 1.0	yes	yes		ByN03, Ke05
S Ori 14	+0.71 $\pm$ 0.06		yes	yes		Ke05
S Ori J054014.0–023127	+0.47 $\pm$ 0.08	broad	yes	yes	var.	Ca04, Ke05
S Ori J053847.2–025756	+0.53 $\pm$ 0.10	broad	yes	yes	var.	Ca04, Ke05
S Ori J053838.6–024157	+0.55 $\pm$ 0.06	−6 $\pm$ 1	yes	yes		Ke05, Bu05, Ca06
S Ori 25	+0.53 $\pm$ 0.10	−45.0 $\pm$ 1.0	yes	yes	var., He I, X	Bé99, Mu03, Fr06
S Ori J053826.1–024041	+0.51 $\pm$ 0.08	−4 $\pm$ 2	yes	yes		ByN03, Ke05
S Ori 27	+0.74 $\pm$ 0.09	−5.7 $\pm$ 1.5	yes	yes		ZO02, Ke05
S Ori J053825.4–024241		−260 $\pm$ 30		yes	var., nIR, He I	Ca06
S Ori 28	+0.66 $\pm$ 0.09		yes	yes		Ke05
S Ori 32	+0.46 $\pm$ 0.09		yes	yes		Ke05
S Ori J054004.5–023642	<0.39	broad	yes	yes	var.	Ke05
S Ori 36	+0.45 $\pm$ 0.15		yes	bin.		Ke05
S Ori 42		−89 $\pm$ 12			var.	ByN03, Ca04
S Ori 45	+2.4 $\pm$ 1.0	[−60, −20]	yes	bin.?		Bé99, ZO02
S Ori 51		−25:	yes( <i>J</i> )			ByN03, McG04
S Ori 71		−700 $\pm$ 80			var.?	Ca04, ByN02

<sup>a</sup> Low-gravity features.

<sup>b</sup> Radial velocity consistent with membership in cluster. Symbol “bin.” denotes possible binary according to Kenyon et al. (2005).

$I = 19.0$  mag have low-resolution spectroscopy available in the literature and extrapolate the spectrophotometric cluster sequence towards fainter magnitudes and lower masses. The lower envelope of the confirmed candidates from  $I = 16.0$  to 20.5 mag is indicated by a *dashed* line in the diagram. Our adopted selection criterion for cluster membership (*solid* line in Fig. 2) is the lower envelope of cluster members shifted to the blue to accommodate photometric uncertainties (by 0.05 mag at  $I = 16$  mag and 0.45 mag at  $I = 25$  mag). There are 49 objects (45 previously known) to the right of this boundary, which is the final cluster list we will use throughout the present paper. All previously reported  $\sigma$  Orionis candidates which are located within our survey area and magnitude limits lie to the right of the membership boundary. The only exception is S Ori J053948.1–022914, the properties of which are summarised in Sect. A.1. Identifications, J2000 coordinates, WFC03/INT  $I$ -band and ISAAC/VLT  $J$ -band magnitudes and spectral types when available of the 49 selected targets are detailed in Table 3. The spatial distribution of the 49  $\sigma$  Orionis members and member candidates is shown in Fig. 3.

Of the 49 objects, four are newly identified in our survey. For their identifications we follow the nomenclature of Béjar et al. (2001): S Ori Jhhmss.s–ddmmss. These “S Ori” sources are not, however, associated with the variable star S Ori (HD 36090), which is located several degrees away. The two brightest new objects are red candidate brown dwarfs that were embedded in the glare of  $\sigma$  Ori in the northwest corner of CCD#4 (in the centre of the WFC00 mosaic) in Caballero et al. (2004). The other two new objects, with  $I = 22$ –23 mag, fell in the  $I$  vs.  $I - J$  diagram slightly to the left of the 10 Ma-old Dusty00 isochrone (Chabrier et al. 2000), which was used by Caballero et al. (2004) to differentiate candidate cluster members from probable foreground and background sources.

Six bright sources in our final sample were saturated in the longer-exposure WFC00 images, too. All of our  $\sigma$  Orionis members and member candidates have been selected from the combination of the WFC03 optical dataset and the ISAAC  $J$ -band

images. The combination of the WFC00 optical dataset and the ISAAC data yields identical results in the magnitude interval common to the two epochs of WFC observations. The exceptions are the two candidate brown dwarfs whose photometry was contaminated by the glare of  $\sigma$  Ori in the WFC00 data and the six stars saturated in the longer WFC00 exposures. This supports our selection of cluster candidates and provides evidence for the fact that photometric optical variability does not significantly affect our selection criterion.

### 3.3. The infrared follow-up

In order to identify foreground contaminants we obtained infrared data from the IRAC/*Spitzer* Space Telescope archive and from 2MASS and performed additional deep  $H$ - and  $K_s$  imaging.

#### 3.3.1. IRAC/*Spitzer* follow-up

We used the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) deep image mosaics centred on  $\sigma$  Ori from the *Spitzer* Space Telescope Archive in the 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0  $\mu$ m bands (denoted here as [3.6], [4.5], [5.8], and [8.0]). We extracted post-basic calibrated data with the *Leopard* tool, performed 5-arcsec standard aperture photometry with IRAF on sources with S/N ratio  $> 3$ , applied the corresponding aperture correction factors, and converted the measured flux per pixel into calibrated magnitudes in the Vega system using the zero-points for each channel of the IRAC instrument. We performed additional PSF photometry of some of the faintest sources. Neither array-location-dependent nor colour corrections were applied. Up-to-date information on the photometry and absolute calibration of IRAC data is given at <http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/irac>. The completeness magnitudes of the mosaic images in the [3.6], [4.5], [5.8], and [8.0] bands are 17.2, 17.2, 15.0, and 14.0 mag, respectively, with uncertainties of 0.3 mag. Our magnitudes deviate no more

**Table 3.** Basic data of our  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster members and candidates.

Name	$\alpha^{J2000}$	$\delta^{J2000}$	$I$ (mag)	$J$ (mag)	$\log \frac{L}{L_{\odot}}$	Mass ( $M_{\odot}$ )	Sp. Type	Remarks <sup>a</sup>
S Ori J054000.2–025159	05 40 00.15	−02 51 59.4	16.19 ± 0.04	14.04 ± 0.08	−1.43 ± 0.18	0.11 <sup>+0.10</sup> <sub>−0.05</sub>		Y
S Ori J053848.1–024401	05 38 48.19	−02 44 00.8	16.15 ± 0.03	14.09 ± 0.08	−1.45 ± 0.18	0.10 <sup>+0.09</sup> <sub>−0.05</sub>		Y,D
S Ori J053833.9–024508	05 38 33.88	−02 45 07.8	16.15 ± 0.03	14.24 ± 0.07	−1.51 ± 0.18	0.09 <sup>+0.09</sup> <sub>−0.04</sub>		Y,D
S Ori J053911.4–023333	05 39 11.40	−02 33 32.8	16.35 ± 0.04	14.38 ± 0.11	−1.57 ± 0.18	0.078 <sup>+0.08</sup> <sub>−0.03</sub>	M5.0 ± 0.5	Y
S Ori 14	05 39 37.60	−02 44 30.5	16.41 ± 0.04	14.40 ± 0.08	−1.58 ± 0.18	0.076 <sup>+0.08</sup> <sub>−0.03</sub>		Y
S Ori 11	05 39 44.33	−02 33 02.8	16.29 ± 0.04	14.50 ± 0.12	−1.60 ± 0.18	0.071 <sup>+0.08</sup> <sub>−0.03</sub>	M6.0 ± 0.5	
S Ori J054014.0–023127	05 40 13.96	−02 31 27.4	17.12 ± 0.03	14.51 ± 0.10	−1.60 ± 0.18	0.071 <sup>+0.08</sup> <sub>−0.02</sub>		Y
S Ori J053847.2–025756	05 38 47.15	−02 57 55.7	16.74 ± 0.02	14.54 ± 0.08	−1.63 ± 0.18	0.066 <sup>+0.07</sup> <sub>−0.02</sub>		Y,D
S Ori J053838.6–024157	05 38 38.59	−02 41 55.9	16.38 ± 0.03	14.56 ± 0.07	−1.63 ± 0.18	0.067 <sup>+0.08</sup> <sub>−0.02</sub>	M5.5 ± 1.0	Y
S Ori 16	05 39 15.10	−02 40 47.6	16.63 ± 0.03	14.61 ± 0.10	−1.66 ± 0.18	0.062 <sup>+0.07</sup> <sub>−0.018</sub>		
S Ori S053902.1–023501	05 39 01.94	−02 35 02.9	16.44 ± 0.03	14.69 ± 0.11	−1.67 ± 0.18	0.061 <sup>+0.07</sup> <sub>−0.017</sub>		D
S Ori 25	05 39 08.95	−02 39 58.0	16.95 ± 0.03	14.70 ± 0.10	−1.70 ± 0.18	0.058 <sup>+0.07</sup> <sub>−0.016</sub>	M6.5 ± 0.5	Y
S Ori J053954.3–023719	05 39 54.33	−02 37 18.9	16.79 ± 0.03	14.77 ± 0.07	−1.72 ± 0.18	0.056 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.015</sub>	M6.0 ± 1.0	(D)
S Ori J053844.4–024037	05 38 44.48	−02 40 37.6	17.17 ± 0.03	14.78 ± 0.08	−1.72 ± 0.18	0.056 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.015</sub>		D
S Ori J053826.1–024041	05 38 26.23	−02 40 41.4	16.95 ± 0.02	14.81 ± 0.07	−1.74 ± 0.18	0.054 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.014</sub>	M5.0 ± 2.0	Y
S Ori 20	05 39 07.58	−02 29 05.6	16.80 ± 0.03	14.83 ± 0.10	−1.75 ± 0.18	0.054 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.014</sub>	M5.5 ± 0.5	
S Ori J053829.0–024847	05 38 28.97	−02 48 47.3	16.81 ± 0.02	14.84 ± 0.09	−1.75 ± 0.18	0.053 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.014</sub>	M6.0 ± 0.5	D
S Ori 27	05 38 17.42	−02 40 24.3	17.05 ± 0.03	14.92 ± 0.08	−1.79 ± 0.18	0.050 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.013</sub>	M6.5 ± 0.5	Y
S Ori J053825.4–024241	05 38 25.44	−02 42 41.3	16.87 ± 0.02	14.95 ± 0.07	−1.79 ± 0.18	0.050 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.012</sub>	M6.0 ± 1.0	Y,D
S Ori 28	05 39 23.19	−02 46 55.8	17.14 ± 0.03	15.12 ± 0.16	−1.86 ± 0.18	0.046 <sup>+0.05</sup> <sub>−0.012</sub>		Y
S Ori 31	05 38 20.88	−02 46 13.3	17.23 ± 0.02	15.18 ± 0.09	−1.89 ± 0.18	0.044 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>−0.011</sub>	M7.0 ± 0.5	
S Ori J053922.2–024552	05 39 22.25	−02 45 52.4	17.04 ± 0.03	15.20 ± 0.15	−1.89 ± 0.18	0.044 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>−0.011</sub>		
S Ori 30	05 39 13.08	−02 37 50.9	17.29 ± 0.03	15.20 ± 0.07	−1.90 ± 0.18	0.044 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>−0.011</sub>	M6.0 ± 0.5	D
S Ori 32	05 39 43.59	−02 47 31.8	17.33 ± 0.03	15.34 ± 0.05	−1.95 ± 0.18	0.041 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>−0.011</sub>		Y
S Ori J053855.4–024121	05 38 55.42	−02 41 20.8	18.59 ± 0.04	15.38 ± 0.10	−1.88 ± 0.18	0.045 <sup>+0.05</sup> <sub>−0.011</sub>		D,New
S Ori J054004.5–023642	05 40 04.54	−02 36 42.1	17.50 ± 0.03	15.39 ± 0.07	−1.97 ± 0.18	0.039 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>		Y,D
S Ori 36	05 39 26.85	−02 36 56.2	17.68 ± 0.03	15.40 ± 0.07	−1.97 ± 0.18	0.039 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>		Y,D
S Ori J053854.9–024034	05 38 54.92	−02 40 33.8	18.71 ± 0.04	15.69 ± 0.10	−2.02 ± 0.18	0.037 <sup>+0.03</sup> <sub>−0.009</sub>		D,New
S Ori J053918.1–025257	05 39 18.13	−02 52 56.3	18.64 ± 0.04	16.12 ± 0.08	−2.25 ± 0.18	0.028 <sup>+0.02</sup> <sub>−0.007</sub>		D
S Ori 42	05 39 23.41	−02 40 57.6	19.05 ± 0.03	16.49 ± 0.10	−2.39 ± 0.18	0.025 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>	M7.5 ± 0.5	Y,D
S Ori 45	05 38 25.58	−02 48 37.0	19.48 ± 0.02	16.73 ± 0.07	−2.47 ± 0.18	0.023 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>	M8.5 ± 0.5	Y
S Ori J053929.4–024636	05 39 29.38	−02 46 36.5	19.75 ± 0.03	16.96 ± 0.16	−2.56 ± 0.18	0.021 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>		
S Ori 51	05 39 03.22	−02 30 20.7	20.22 ± 0.03	17.06 ± 0.12	−2.55 ± 0.18	0.021 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>	M9.0 ± 0.5	Y
S Ori 71	05 39 00.30	−02 37 06.7	20.03 ± 0.03	17.23 ± 0.07	−2.67 ± 0.18	0.019 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>	L0.0 ± 0.5	Y,D
S Ori J053849.5–024934	05 38 49.59	−02 49 33.3	20.08 ± 0.02	17.30 ± 0.08	−2.70 ± 0.18	0.018 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>		D
S Ori 47	05 38 14.62	−02 40 15.4	20.49 ± 0.02	17.37 ± 0.08	−2.68 ± 0.18	0.019 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.010</sub>	L1.5 ± 0.5	
S Ori 50	05 39 10.81	−02 37 15.1	20.48 ± 0.03	17.50 ± 0.07	−2.75 ± 0.18	0.017 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.009</sub>	M9.0 ± 0.5	
S Ori 53	05 38 25.12	−02 48 02.7	20.93 ± 0.02	17.92 ± 0.06	−2.92 ± 0.18	0.014 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.008</sub>	M9.0 ± 0.5	
S Ori J053944.5–025959	05 39 44.55	−02 59 58.9	20.74 ± 0.04	18.04 ± 0.08	−3.00 ± 0.18	0.013 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.007</sub>		
S Ori J054007.0–023604	05 40 06.95	−02 36 04.7	21.14 ± 0.03	18.22 ± 0.07	−3.05 ± 0.18	0.012 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.007</sub>		
S Ori J053956.8–025315	05 39 56.83	−02 53 14.4	21.25 ± 0.04	18.27 ± 0.08	−3.06 ± 0.18	0.012 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.007</sub>		
S Ori J053858.6–025228	05 38 58.55	−02 52 26.7	22.19 ± 0.05	18.61 ± 0.08	−3.12 ± 0.18	0.011 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.007</sub>		
S Ori J053949.5–023130	05 39 49.52	−02 31 29.8	22.04 ± 0.04	18.89 ± 0.10	−3.29 ± 0.18	0.008 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.004</sub>		New
S Ori 60	05 39 37.50	−02 30 41.9	22.52 ± 0.05	19.05 ± 0.10	−3.31 ± 0.18	0.008 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.004</sub>	L2.0 ± 1.0	
S Ori 62	05 39 42.05	−02 30 32.3	22.52 ± 0.05	19.18 ± 0.10	−3.38 ± 0.18	0.008 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.004</sub>	L2.0 ± 1.5	
S Ori J053844.5–025512	05 38 44.56	−02 55 12.4	22.77 ± 0.06	19.31 ± 0.08	−3.42 ± 0.18	0.007 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.004</sub>		
S Ori J054008.5–024551	05 40 08.49	−02 45 50.3	22.80 ± 0.07	19.43 ± 0.16	−3.48 ± 0.18	0.007 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.004</sub>		
S Ori J053932.4–025220	05 39 32.42	−02 52 20.3	22.83 ± 0.07	19.54 ± 0.08	−3.53 ± 0.18	0.007 <sup>+0.005</sup> <sub>−0.004</sub>		
S Ori J054011.6–025135	05 40 11.58	−02 51 34.6	22.95 ± 0.07	19.69 ± 0.09	−3.59 ± 0.18	0.006 <sup>+0.004</sup> <sub>−0.003</sub>		New

<sup>a</sup> Entries for which there is a clear spectroscopic youth indicator or evidence of disc, or are firstly identified in this work are marked by “Y”, “D”, and “New”, respectively.

than 0.10 mag from the independently calibrated magnitudes of objects in common with Hernández et al. (2007).

Of the 49 objects in our sample, three fall out of the IRAC field of view and two, the most distant objects from the cluster centre, are only in the [4.5] and [8.0] mosaics. Table 4 summarises the available IRAC data for 46 cluster members and candidates. Typical errors of the IRAC photometry vary between  $\leq 0.1$  mag for the brightest targets and 0.5 mag for the faintest

ones. In particular, 8 and 15 objects from the  $IJ$  survey are too faint to be detected in the [5.8] and [8.0] bands, respectively.

### 3.3.2. Ground-based $HK$ -band follow-up

2MASS  $JHK_s$  photometry is available for 33 targets (the 32 brightest cluster member candidates, plus S Ori 47). Since the ISAAC images were calibrated with 2MASS, the agreement

**Table 4.** Near-infrared follow-up photometry of our  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster members and candidates.

Name	$J$ (mag)	$H$ (mag)	$K_s$ (mag)	[3.6] (mag)	[4.5] (mag)	[5.8] (mag)	[8.0] (mag)	Flag <sup>a</sup>
S Ori J054000.2–025159	14.14 ± 0.03	13.47 ± 0.02	13.15 ± 0.04	12.83 ± 0.08	12.86 ± 0.10	12.63 ± 0.11	12.51 ± 0.14	2224
S Ori J053848.1–024401	14.07 ± 0.03	13.58 ± 0.03	13.19 ± 0.03	12.51 ± 0.07	12.37 ± 0.08	11.89 ± 0.08	11.08 ± 0.07	2224
S Ori J053833.9–024508	14.25 ± 0.03	13.68 ± 0.03	13.35 ± 0.04	12.81 ± 0.08	12.64 ± 0.09	12.33 ± 0.10	11.44 ± 0.09	2224
S Ori J053911.4–023333	14.45 ± 0.03	13.93 ± 0.03	13.57 ± 0.04	13.28 ± 0.10	13.10 ± 0.11	12.95 ± 0.13	13.04 ± 0.19	2224
S Ori 14	14.38 ± 0.03	13.82 ± 0.03	13.38 ± 0.03	13.02 ± 0.09	12.92 ± 0.10	12.78 ± 0.12	12.83 ± 0.17	2224
S Ori 11	14.29 ± 0.03	13.72 ± 0.03	13.36 ± 0.04	13.21 ± 0.10	13.05 ± 0.11	12.92 ± 0.13	12.79 ± 0.17	2224
S Ori J054014.0–023127	14.57 ± 0.03	13.98 ± 0.03	13.57 ± 0.04	–	–	–	–	2220
S Ori J053847.2–025756	14.52 ± 0.03	13.94 ± 0.04	13.46 ± 0.04	–	12.62 ± 0.09	–	11.42 ± 0.08	2222
S Ori J053838.6–024157	14.56 ± 0.03	13.96 ± 0.03	13.65 ± 0.04	13.33 ± 0.10	13.20 ± 0.10	13.25 ± 0.15	13.19 ± 0.21	2224
S Ori 16	14.67 ± 0.03	14.04 ± 0.04	13.66 ± 0.04	13.23 ± 0.10	13.55 ± 0.12	13.07 ± 0.14	13.05 ± 0.20	2224
S Ori J053902.1–023501	14.44 ± 0.04	13.38 ± 0.03	12.61 ± 0.03	11.45 ± 0.04	10.99 ± 0.04	10.52 ± 0.04	9.75 ± 0.04	2224
S Ori 25	14.66 ± 0.03	14.14 ± 0.04	13.74 ± 0.05	13.25 ± 0.10	13.18 ± 0.11	13.22 ± 0.15	13.01 ± 0.18	2224
S Ori J053954.3–023719	14.75 ± 0.03	14.21 ± 0.04	13.80 ± 0.05	13.36 ± 0.10	13.30 ± 0.12	13.36 ± 0.16	13.25 ± 0.20	2224
S Ori J053844.4–024037	14.80 ± 0.03	14.21 ± 0.04	13.94 ± 0.05	13.45 ± 0.14	13.06 ± 0.11	12.63 ± 0.11	12.29 ± 0.15	2224
S Ori J053826.1–024041	14.91 ± 0.04	14.28 ± 0.04	13.92 ± 0.06	13.60 ± 0.12	13.44 ± 0.13	13.28 ± 0.15	13.17 ± 0.20	2224
S Ori 20	14.96 ± 0.04	14.34 ± 0.04	13.90 ± 0.05	13.52 ± 0.11	13.49 ± 0.13	13.24 ± 0.15	13.48 ± 0.23	2224
S Ori J053829.0–024847	14.82 ± 0.04	14.28 ± 0.04	13.88 ± 0.06	13.16 ± 0.09	12.85 ± 0.10	12.46 ± 0.10	11.82 ± 0.10	2224
S Ori 27	14.83 ± 0.03	14.31 ± 0.04	14.09 ± 0.05	13.60 ± 0.12	13.42 ± 0.13	13.54 ± 0.17	13.43 ± 0.22	2224
S Ori J053825.4–024241	14.88 ± 0.03	14.16 ± 0.04	13.57 ± 0.03	12.72 ± 0.08	12.38 ± 0.08	11.96 ± 0.08	11.26 ± 0.08	2224
S Ori 28	15.33 ± 0.04	14.78 ± 0.04	14.34 ± 0.07	13.91 ± 0.13	13.87 ± 0.16	13.80 ± 0.20	13.80 ± 0.27	2224
S Ori 31	15.19 ± 0.04	14.57 ± 0.05	14.16 ± 0.08	13.82 ± 0.13	13.63 ± 0.14	13.50 ± 0.16	13.51 ± 0.24	2224
S Ori J053922.2–024552	15.32 ± 0.04	14.84 ± 0.05	14.41 ± 0.08	14.23 ± 0.16	13.99 ± 0.16	13.94 ± 0.21	13.83 ± 0.28	2224
S Ori 30	15.24 ± 0.04	14.75 ± 0.04	14.31 ± 0.07	13.77 ± 0.12	13.59 ± 0.14	13.22 ± 0.15	12.54 ± 0.14	2224
S Ori 32	15.34 ± 0.05	14.78 ± 0.06	14.37 ± 0.08	13.90 ± 0.13	13.86 ± 0.16	13.65 ± 0.18	13.85 ± 0.19	2224
S Ori J053855.4–024121	15.62 ± 0.10	14.84 ± 0.05	13.97 ± 0.06	12.88 ± 0.08	12.52 ± 0.08	12.08 ± 0.09	11.15 ± 0.08	2224
S Ori J054004.5–023642	15.30 ± 0.05	14.81 ± 0.05	14.27 ± 0.07	13.67 ± 0.12	13.37 ± 0.12	12.89 ± 0.12	12.14 ± 0.12	2224
S Ori 36	15.46 ± 0.04	14.84 ± 0.05	14.49 ± 0.06	13.71 ± 0.12	13.48 ± 0.13	13.04 ± 0.13	12.36 ± 0.14	2224
S Ori J053854.9–024034	15.92 ± 0.06	15.17 ± 0.06	14.71 ± 0.11	13.79 ± 0.13	13.42 ± 0.13	12.91 ± 0.13	12.47 ± 0.14	2224
S Ori J053918.1–025257	16.15 ± 0.08	15.55 ± 0.10	15.14 ± 0.13	14.47 ± 0.17	14.20 ± 0.18	13.86 ± 0.20	13.14 ± 0.20	2224
S Ori 42	16.72 ± 0.13	15.92 ± 0.13	15.55 ± 0.21	14.87 ± 0.21	14.41 ± 0.20	14.15 ± 0.23	13.22 ± 0.20	2224
S Ori 45	16.67 ± 0.11	16.02 ± 0.13	15.59 ± 0.21	14.75 ± 0.37	14.76 ± 0.23	14.82 ± 0.33	≥14.8	2224
S Ori J053929.4–024636	16.96 ± 0.16	16.52 ± 0.11	16.03 ± 0.07	15.17 ± 0.24	15.18 ± 0.28	15.38 ± 0.49	≥14.8	1114
S Ori 51	17.06 ± 0.12	16.42 ± 0.09	16.13 ± 0.10	15.44 ± 0.27	15.31 ± 0.30	15.16 ± 0.41	≥14.8	1114
S Ori 71	17.23 ± 0.07	16.52 ± 0.11	16.11 ± 0.05	15.36 ± 0.26	14.93 ± 0.25	14.62 ± 0.30	13.51 ± 0.24	1114
S Ori J053849.5–024934	17.30 ± 0.08	16.70 ± 0.05	16.20 ± 0.07	15.38 ± 0.26	15.20 ± 0.29	14.43 ± 0.26	13.97 ± 0.30	1114
S Ori 47	17.53 ± 0.24	16.22 ± 0.17	15.81 ± 0.08	14.94 ± 0.21	14.96 ± 0.26	14.75 ± 0.30	≥14.8	2234
S Ori 50	17.50 ± 0.07	17.12 ± 0.14	16.18 ± 0.05	15.60 ± 0.29	15.71 ± 0.36	15.07 ± 0.40	≥14.8	1114
S Ori 53	17.92 ± 0.06	17.36 ± 0.05	16.76 ± 0.07	15.94 ± 0.34	15.96 ± 0.41	≥15.8	≥14.8	1114
S Ori J053944.5–025959	18.04 ± 0.08	17.14 ± 0.32	16.98 ± 0.12	–	16.61 ± 0.20	–	≥14.8	1102
S Ori J054007.0–023604	18.22 ± 0.07	17.49 ± 0.16	16.88 ± 0.12	16.07 ± 0.20	16.10 ± 0.20	≥15.8	≥14.8	1104
S Ori J053956.8–025315	18.27 ± 0.08	17.66 ± 0.05	17.18 ± 0.07	16.55 ± 0.20	16.47 ± 0.20	≥15.8	≥14.8	1114
S Ori J053858.6–025228	18.61 ± 0.08	18.04 ± 0.06	17.29 ± 0.07	16.29 ± 0.20	15.96 ± 0.20	15.88 ± 0.60	≥14.8	1114
S Ori J053949.5–023130	18.89 ± 0.10	18.18 ± 0.09	17.42 ± 0.20	16.57 ± 0.30	16.62 ± 0.20	≥15.8	≥14.8	1114
S Ori 60	19.05 ± 0.10	18.00 ± 0.50	17.30 ± 0.10	16.52 ± 0.45	15.52 ± 0.41	≥15.8	≥14.8	1134
S Ori 62	19.18 ± 0.10	18.00 ± 0.50	17.86 ± 0.10	16.99 ± 0.34	16.83 ± 0.48	≥15.8	≥14.8	1134
S Ori J053844.5–025512	19.31 ± 0.08	18.40 ± 0.30	17.99 ± 0.07	16.82 ± 0.51	16.79 ± 0.54	≥15.8	≥14.8	1114
S Ori J054008.5–024551	19.43 ± 0.16	–	–	–	–	–	–	1000
S Ori J053932.4–025220	19.54 ± 0.08	18.70 ± 0.06	17.86 ± 0.07	16.12 ± 0.37	16.23 ± 0.46	≥15.8	≥14.8	1114
S Ori J054011.6–025135	19.69 ± 0.09	–	–	–	–	–	–	1000

<sup>a</sup> Source of the infrared photometry. Three first digits ( $JHK_s$ ): 0 – not available; 1 – this work; 2 – 2MASS; 3 – Martín et al. (2001). Fourth digit (IRAC passbands): 0 – object in any IRAC channel area; 2 – object in two IRAC channel areas; 4 – object in four IRAC channel areas.


between both  $J$ -band magnitudes is excellent ( $\Delta J = J(\text{ISAAC}) - J(2\text{MASS}) = -0.03 \pm 0.11$  mag). However, there are hints for the photometric variability of some targets from the 2MASS epoch (MJD = 51 116.3) to the ISAAC epoch (MJD  $\approx$  52 250) which require further studies. Some of them are previously known variables like S Ori 42 (Caballero et al. 2004) and S Ori J053825.4–024241 (Caballero et al. 2006a), or are found in this work for the first time (e.g. S Ori J053902.1–023501;  $J_{\text{ISAAC}} - J_{2\text{MASS}} = 0.25 \pm 0.12$  mag).

To complement our  $J$ -band data and the relatively-shallow  $HK_s$ -band data from 2MASS, we performed deep ground-based near-infrared imaging of most of the faintest targets in our sample. The follow-up was performed using CFHT-IR at the 3.58-m Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope ( $1\text{k} \times 1\text{k}$ ;  $0.211$  arcsec  $\text{pix}^{-1}$ ), Omega-2000 ( $\Omega 2\text{k}$ ) at the 3.50-m Calar Alto Telescope ( $2\text{k} \times 2\text{k}$ ;  $0.450$  arcsec  $\text{pix}^{-1}$ ) and CAIN-II at the 1.52-m Telescopio Carlos Sánchez ( $256 \times 256$ ;  $1.00$  arcsec  $\text{pix}^{-1}$ ). Table 5 summarises the follow-up campaigns. Each of the CFHT-IR and CAIN-II



**Table 5.** Log of the  $HK$ -band follow-up campaigns.

Telescope	Instrument	Filter(s)	Date(s)	Exp. time (s)	Area (arcmin <sup>2</sup> )	$FWHM$ (arcsec)	Compl. (mag)	Limit. (mag)
CFHT	CFHT-IR	$H, K'$	2004 Feb. 9,17,22	1800	85	0.7–1.0	20.0–20.5	21.0–21.5
3.5 m CA	$\Omega 2k$	$H$	2003 Oct. 19,22	1200–7200	~1100	>1.5	16.8	18.1
		$H, K_s$	2005 Jan. 27–Feb. 1	5100–11 700	480	1.3–2.0	17.7–19.5	18.5–20.0
TCS	CAIN-II	$J, H, K_s$	2004 Apr. 19	3600–7200	<100	~1.2	18.5, 18.0, 17.5	19.5, 19.0, 18.5
		$K_s$	2006 Oct. 20–22	2400–3600	<100	~1.0	~17.5	~18.5

**Fig. 3.** The  $IJ$  survey region. The incomplete coverage of the  $J$  survey is indicated with dashed lines. Code: filled circles, our cluster members and candidates; big open stars,  $\sigma$  Ori AB and E (at the centre of the cluster); small open stars, other young stars in the survey area more massive than  $1.2 M_{\odot}$  from Caballero (2007). Note the increasing density of objects towards the centre of the cluster.

pointings imaged a single target, whereas several targets were imaged simultaneously in the large field of view of  $\Omega 2k$ . The first run with  $\Omega 2k$ , not as deep as the second, was performed only in the  $H$  band, but covering 95% of the overlapping area between the WFC and ISAAC images. The pointings with CFHT-IR were devoted to the follow-up of four  $J$ -band sources for which there was no  $I$ -band counterpart (see Sect. A.2).

For CFHT-IR, we took 30 individual frames of 60 s exposure time per pointing per filter, using a regular dithering pattern with a suitable shift. A bad pixel mask and a dome flat-field image for each filter were used during the reduction. The  $\Omega 2k$  observations were similar to those with CFHT-IR, but using shorter exposure times (1.6 s –  $K_s$ , 2 or 3 s –  $H$ ) and a random dithering pattern. For CAIN-II, the dithering pattern comprised 10 positions; the individual exposure times were 10 s ( $H$ ) and 6 s ( $K_s$ ). Photometric calibration and astrometry procedures for CFHT-IR,  $\Omega 2k$  and CAIN-II were identical to those described for the ISAAC  $J$ -band images.

The available  $JHK_s$  magnitudes of the objects in our sample are provided in Table 4. Apart from the 2MASS catalogue and our ground-based follow-up, we have also taken the  $K_s$  magnitude of three candidate cluster members from the literature. The uncertainties in our  $HK_s$  magnitudes are, in general, smaller than 0.1 mag, and only larger than 0.2 mag in very few cases.

### 3.3.3. Blue interlopers and theoretical isochrones


To summarize, we have collected near-infrared follow-up photometry for 47 selected cluster member candidates: 30 targets with photometry in eight passbands  $IJK_{(s)}[3.5][4.5][5.8][8.0]$ ; 45 with photometry in at least six of these passbands. We have plotted all the possible colour–magnitude diagrams, in search for “blue interlopers”, i.e. possible contaminants that satisfy the  $IJ$  selection criterion but which display bluer colours than expected for cluster members in other diagrams. In Fig. 4 we show three colour–magnitude diagrams of our sample ( $I$  vs.  $I - J$ ,  $I$  vs.  $I - K_s$ ,  $J$  vs.  $J - K_s$ ). Two possible blue-interloper candidates have been identified. From the  $J - K_s$  vs.  $I - J$  colour–colour diagram in the bottom right panel of Fig. 4, S Ori 51 ( $I - J = 3.16 \pm 0.13$  mag;  $J - K_s = 0.93 \pm 0.16$  mag) displays a  $J - K_s$  colour that is marginally blue if compared to those of other  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster members and field ultracool dwarfs with similar  $I - J$  colour (e.g. Dahn et al. 2002). In contrast, its position matches the spectrophotometric cluster sequence in all the colour–magnitude diagrams and its  $J$ -band spectrum displays low-gravity features, which supports cluster membership (McGovern et al. 2004). The second one, S Ori J053922.2–024552 ( $I = 17.04 \pm 0.03$  mag;  $I - K_s = 2.63 \pm 0.09$  mag), without spectroscopic information, has an  $I - K_s$  colour that is slightly bluer than the confirmed cluster members of the same magnitude in our survey. It has, however, similar colours and magnitudes to SE 70, a  $\sigma$  Orionis brown dwarf with lithium in absorption, X-ray flares, and low-gravity features (Burningham et al. 2005a; Caballero et al. 2006b). We keep both S Ori 51 and S Ori J053922.2–024552 in the sample. We cannot determine whether the two faint objects with only  $I$ - and  $J$ -band photometry available, S Ori J054008.5–024551 (previously classified as high-probability cluster member in the  $i'z'$ -band search with  $J$ -band follow-up by González-García et al. 2006) and S Ori J054011.6–025135, are blue contaminants or not.

We have compared our selection criterion and the cluster sequence from our data with model evolutionary tracks at very young ages. For this purpose we used the isochrones of the Lyon group (Baraffe et al. 1998 – NextGen98; Chabrier et al. 2000 – Dusty00; Baraffe et al. 2003 – Cond03) at different ages and at the most probable heliocentric distance of  $\sigma$  Orionis. The Dusty00 isochrone at 3 Ma acts as a good separator at  $I < 20$  mag in the  $I$  vs.  $I - K_s$  diagram. To sum up, no blue outliers have been identified using either the empirical cluster sequences or the model isochrones (Fig. 4).

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1. Individual masses

Modelling ultracool atmospheres is a complicated issue, because it strongly depends on the chemical composition, the dust



**Fig. 4.**  $I$  vs.  $I - J$  (top left),  $I$  vs.  $I - K_s$  (bottom left), and  $J$  vs.  $J - K_s$  (top right) colour–magnitude diagrams, and  $J - K_s$  vs.  $I - J$  colour–colour diagram (bottom right).  $I$  is from WFC03,  $J$  from ISAAC and  $HK_s$  from the ground-based follow-up data. The symbols are as in Fig. 2. Objects with discs from IRAC excess flux are marked with big circles. Solid and dash-dotted lines are the Dusty00 and Cond03 3 Ma-old isochrones at 360 pc of the Lyon group. Dotted lines in the colour–colour diagram mark the approximate boundaries of the sequence of field ultracool dwarfs (Dahn et al. 2002). The very red object with  $I - J = 1.75 \pm 0.11$  mag and  $J - K_s = 2.08 \pm 0.11$  mag is S Ori J053902.1–023501, whose spectral energy distribution (Fig. 6) shows evidence for a circumstellar disc (Sect. 4.2).

content, the amount of condensation and the size distribution of any dust grains (Tsuji et al. 1996; Allard et al. 2001; Baraffe et al. 2002). To determine the masses,  $M$ , of our objects, we will rely on the estimated luminosity,  $L$ , and mass–luminosity relations predicted by theoretical models of their interior, rather than on derived magnitudes in each passband. In particular, we use the  $M - \log \frac{L}{L_\odot}$  relations of the Lyon group and the basic properties of  $\sigma$  Orionis provided in Section 1 (age, distance, metallicity). The mass–luminosity relations given by the NextGen98, Dusty00, and Cond03 models are identical.

The luminosity for each target was computed from the bolometric magnitude,  $M_{\text{bol}} = M_{\text{bol},\odot} - 2.5 \log \frac{L}{L_\odot}$  (where  $M_{\text{bol},\odot} = 4.74$  mag; Cox 2000). The bolometric magnitude is:

$$M_{\text{bol}} = M_J^0 + BC_J \quad (1)$$

where  $M_J^0 = M_J(J, d, A_J = 0)$  is the absolute  $J$ -band magnitude assuming no extinction and  $BC_J$  is the bolometric correction

in the  $J$  band and  $d$  is the heliocentric distance. Caballero (2006) compiled from the literature  $I$ - and  $J$ -band photometry, parallaxes and luminosity determinations for all ultracool dwarfs known at the time. From these he computed a bolometric correction in  $J$  as a function of the  $I - J$  colour:

$$BC_J(I - J) = a_3(I - J)^3 + a_2(I - J)^2 + a_1(I - J) + a_0 \quad (2)$$

with  $a_3 = +0.091 \text{ mag}^{-2}$ ,  $a_2 = -0.875 \text{ mag}^{-1}$ ,  $a_1 = +2.486$ ,  $a_0 = -0.140$  mag. The standard deviation of the mean of the fit was  $\sigma(BC_J) = 0.15$  mag. The bolometric correction  $BC_J$  is not strongly dependent on the  $I - J$  colour. It varies between  $1.75 \pm 0.15$  and  $2.06 \pm 0.15$  mag in the  $1.5 \text{ mag} < I - J < 4.0$  mag interval. The input data for this relation are the same as for other relations between  $BC_K$ ,  $M_J$ ,  $I - J$ ,  $J - K$ ,  $K - L'$  and spectral type of ultracool dwarfs found in the literature (Dahn et al. 2002; Golimowski et al. 2004; Vrba et al. 2004). Recent measurements of the bolometric magnitudes of ultracool dwarfs

using IRS/*Spitzer* spectra support previous  $M_{\text{bol}}$  determinations (Cushing et al. 2006). By using this  $BC_J(I - J)$  relation, we assume that the spectral energy distribution in field ultracool dwarfs and very young cluster members is similar. The derivation of the  $M_{\text{bol}}$  from the  $J$ -band magnitude minimises possible contributions to the total error by flux excesses at longer wavelengths or photometric variability at bluer wavelengths (as in the case of T Tauri stars and substellar analogs).


The adopted distance modulus for the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster is  $m - M = 7.78 \pm 0.42$  mag (Brown et al. 1994). The uncertainty of 20% in the determination of the cluster distance ( $d = 360^{+70}_{-60}$  pc), together with the uncertainty in the age ( $3 \pm 2$  Ma), are the most important contributors to the final error in the mass of each target (the uncertainties in the distance may be even larger; Caballero 2007). Errors coming from the photometric uncertainty or the use of the  $BC_J(I - J)$  relation are comparatively smaller. Theoretical models are also a source of systematic uncertainty at very young ages (Baraffe et al. 2002). Although there is reasonable criticism on the validity of evolutionary tracks at very low masses and very young ages (less than 10 Ma), the observational determination of the mass-age-luminosity triplet at different ages in the substellar domain is in agreement with theoretical predictions (Bouy et al. 2004; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2004; Stassun et al. 2006).

We give in the last columns of Table 3 the pair  $M - \log \frac{L}{L_{\odot}}$  for the 49 cluster members and member candidates. The corresponding errors in mass and luminosity account for the propagation of uncertainties from the  $J$ -band magnitude as well as the age and distance of the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster. The theoretical effective temperatures derived from the  $\log \frac{L}{L_{\odot}} - T_{\text{eff}}$  relation (between  $3030 \pm 120$  and  $1740 \pm 70$  K) roughly match the expected effective temperatures of objects with spectral type determination. Five objects have most probable masses larger than the hydrogen burning mass limit and they are thus very low-mass stars. Among the other 44 substellar objects, 11 are planetary-mass object candidates and 33 are brown dwarf candidates. The masses of some of our objects have been previously determined (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2000; Béjar et al. 2001; Caballero et al. 2006a; González-García et al. 2006). These differ by less than 10% from our values.

## 4.2. Infrared excesses and discs

### 4.2.1. Detection of infrared excesses

Brown dwarfs in very young regions have, like T Tauri stars, circum(sub)stellar discs (Wilking et al. 1999; Natta & Testi 2001; Fernández & Comerón 2001; Jayawardhana et al. 2003; Furlan et al. 2005). With our follow-up, we have been able to measure flux excesses redwards of  $2 \mu\text{m}$  in some of our targets, which are very probably associated with the presence of circum(sub)stellar discs. Objects with discs are extremely young ( $\lesssim 10$  Ma), which confirms membership in  $\sigma$  Orionis<sup>1</sup>. We have investigated different ISAAC/2MASS/IRAC colour-colour magnitude diagrams to search for infrared excesses in our cluster members and candidates. The  $[3.6] - [8.0]$  vs.  $J - K_s$  diagram (Fig. 5) illustrates the difference in the colour between objects without discs ( $0.0 \text{ mag} \leq [3.6] - [8.0] \leq 0.5 \text{ mag}$ ) and those that very probably harbour discs ( $[3.6] - [8.0] \gtrsim 1.0 \text{ mag}$ ). The latter display flux excesses in the  $[5.8]$  and  $[8.0]$  bands with respect to the  $[3.6]$  band



**Fig. 5.**  $[3.6] - [8.0]$  vs.  $J - K_s$  colour-colour diagram. The symbols are as in Figs. 2 and 4. The dotted line marks the boundary between objects with and without discs. Field ultracool dwarfs with spectral types in the range M3.0–L5.0 from Patten et al. (2006) are shown with open (black) squares.


that are not found in any of the 60 or so ultracool field dwarfs earlier than T3V studied with IRAC/*Spitzer* by Patten et al. (2006).

Of the 30 cluster members and candidates with IRAC detection at  $[3.6]$  and  $[8.0]$ , 15 have colours  $[3.6] - [8.0] > 0.90$  mag, which we classify as objects with discs. Given the extremely red  $K_s - [8.0]$  colour of the confirmed cluster member S Ori J053847.2–025756, of  $2.04 \pm 0.09$  mag, we will also classify it as an object with disc, although it has only IRAC photometry at  $[4.5]$  and  $[8.0]$ . The 16 probable objects with discs are marked with a “D” in Table 3. Our criterion is consistent with other disc selections in the literature based on IRAC data. In a very recent paper, Hernández et al. (2007) study the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of most of our targets brighter than  $J = 16.0$  mag. They classify the objects according to their  $K_s - [24]$  colours as brown dwarfs without excess, with optically-thick discs, and with “evolved discs”. We confirm all their disc detections for brown dwarfs brighter than  $J = 16.0$  mag, and find a new one surrounding S Ori J053847.2–025756, which is not in their investigated area. They also find a transition disc around S Ori J053954.3–023719 (evident only at the *Spitzer*/MIPS  $24 \mu\text{m}$  band) – marked with “(D)” in Table 3.

### 4.2.2. Properties of the disc harbours

In Table 2 there are six cluster members that display strong and/or broad  $H\alpha$  emission. Three satisfy the accretion criterion of Barrado y Navascués & Martín (2003) (S Ori J053825.4–024241, S Ori 42 and S Ori 71), while for the remaining three there are only qualitative estimates of the broadening of the line. The width of the  $H\alpha$  line at 10% of the peak has been only measured for S Ori 25 and S Ori 45, so the White & Basri (2003) accretion criterion cannot be applied here. S Ori 71 is one of the strongest  $H\alpha$  emitters close to the deuterium-burning mass limit. S Ori J053825.4–024241 displays forbidden emission lines and is a very red object ( $J - K_s = 1.38 \pm 0.08$  mag,  $[3.6] - [8.0] = 1.46 \pm 0.11$  mag). There are hints of optical photometric variability in all six cases (Caballero et al. 2004). S Ori J053825.4–024241 and S Ori 42 are also variable in the

<sup>1</sup> Jeffries et al. (2006) and Caballero (2007) have discussed the spatial overlapping of different young populations of the Ori OB 1b Association in the direction of  $\sigma$  Orionis.



**Fig. 6.** Spectral energy distributions from the  $I$  band to the  $[8.0]$  band of nine representative substellar objects in  $\sigma$  Orionis (filled stars). The open squares indicate the SEDs of ultracool field dwarfs of similar spectral type, normalised at the  $J$  band.

$J$  band, as discussed in Sect. 3.3.2. All these objects, except S Ori J054014.0–023127, which is not in the IRAC survey area, have flux excesses at  $[5.8]$  and  $[8.0]$  (and at  $[24]$ ; Hernández et al. 2007). S Ori J054014.0–023127 is a photometric variable and has an  $I - J$  colour of  $2.61 \pm 0.10$  mag (this is red for its  $I$ -band magnitude) and a marginally broad  $H\alpha$  emission (Kenyon et al. 2005). There are no  $H\alpha$  measurements for the remaining cluster members with discs.

The SEDs of nine representative confirmed brown dwarfs, six with discs, are shown in Fig. 6. The flux excesses at long wavelengths with respect to the expected fluxes from ultracool dwarfs of roughly the same  $I - J$  colours are evident in the six objects with discs. The comparison field dwarfs, with infrared data from Patten et al. (2006), are GJ 1002 (M5.5), DX Cnc (GJ 1111; M6.5), vB 8 (V1054 Oph E, M7.0), and 2MASS J12043036+3212595 (M9.0).

#### 4.2.3. Frequency of brown dwarfs with discs

According to the masses listed in Table 3, there are 2 stars and 14 brown dwarfs with discs (as indicated by an excess emission at  $8.0 \mu\text{m}$ ). Taking into account the completeness magnitude at

$[8.0]$  and that objects with discs have colours  $[3.6] - [8.0] > 0.90$  mag, our  $3.6\text{--}8.0 \mu\text{m}$  IRAC photometry is complete down to  $\sim 0.015 M_{\odot}$ , i.e. over most of the brown dwarf mass interval. We estimate the frequency of brown dwarfs with discs in  $\sigma$  Orionis as  $47 \pm 9\%$  (14 brown dwarfs with infrared excess among 30 cluster members and candidates with masses  $0.072 M_{\odot} > M > 0.015 M_{\odot}$  and detection in the four IRAC channels; binomial error). If we consider S Ori J053954.3–023719 (with a transition disc according to Hernández et al. 2007) and some possible foreground ultracool contaminants, the disc frequency could exceed 50%.

The value of  $47 \pm 9\%$  is comparable to or slightly larger than other determinations of the frequency of discs surrounding stars and brown dwarfs in the cluster. For example, the spectroscopically derived ratio of classical T Tauri stars to weak-line T Tauri star in  $\sigma$  Orionis is 30–40% (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2002a). From infrared colours (observed flux excesses in the  $L'$ -band and/or in the IRAC+MIPS/*Spitzer* passbands), Oliveira et al. (2006) and Hernández et al. (2007) found cluster disc frequencies of 27–39% in the mass range  $1.0\text{--}0.04 M_{\odot}$ . Hernández et al. (2007) did not observe any significant decrease in the disc frequency towards the brown dwarf domain. Our result, which is

**Table 6.** Estimate of ultracool field dwarf contaminants.

$\Delta I$ (mag)	M3–5	M5–7	M7–9	M9–L1	L1–3	L3–5	L5–7	L7–T0	T0–2	T2–8	All Sp. T.
16.1–17.1	0	0.45	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.45
17.2–18.2	0	0.25	0.03	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.28
18.3–19.3	0	0	0.14	0.01	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.15
19.4–20.4	0	0	0.60	0.04	0.01	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.65
20.5–21.6	0	0	0.74	0.17	0.05	0.01	0.00	0	0	0	0.97
21.7–22.7	0	0	0.43	0.68	0.23	0.05	0.01	0.00	0	0	1.40
22.8–23.8	0	0	0	0.86	0.78	0.22	0.06	0.01	0.01	0	1.94
23.9–24.9 <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	0	0.09	0.28	0.13	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.55
All intervals <sup>a</sup>	0	0.70	1.94	1.76	1.16	0.56	0.20	0.03	0.03	0.01	6.39

Assuming an incompleteness factor of 0.5 in the magnitude interval 23.9–24.9 mag.


among the first disc frequency determinations in the mass interval  $0.075\text{--}0.015 M_{\odot}$  (see also the survey in Taurus by Luhman et al. 2006), combined with the cluster stellar data from the literature, suggests little dependence of the disc frequency with mass, from solar masses down to  $0.015 M_{\odot}$ . This supports theoretical scenarios where brown dwarfs form as a result of an extension of the low-mass star formation process (see references in Jameson 2005).

#### 4.3. Contamination by fore- and background sources

Taking together the 20 confirmed cluster members in Table 2 and the 18 cluster member candidates with discs in Table 3, from which eight are also previously confirmed cluster members, then 30 objects of our 49 candidate cluster members exhibit signatures of extreme youth and, therefore, are bona fide cluster members. Out of the remaining 19 candidate cluster members without known youth features, nine have low-resolution spectroscopy and ten have no spectroscopic information or indications of discs from the IRAC photometry. For two very faint objects there is no near-infrared follow-up at all.

There could be contamination by red giants, galaxies and field dwarfs among our targets awaiting membership confirmation. All our cluster member candidates are far from the Galactic plane ( $b = -17.3$  deg) and have point-like PSF, so contamination by red giants or galaxies is unlikely. Besides, the 47 objects with near-infrared follow-up display colours that match the dwarf sequence in a colour–magnitude diagram (e.g.  $J - K_s$  vs.  $I - J$  in Fig. 4). Therefore, we estimate the contamination fraction in our  $IJ$  survey only from background and foreground non-member field dwarfs of very late spectral types (intermediate- and late-M, L, and T). This we base on up-to-date models and data from the literature: (i) an exponential model for the Galactic thin disc (Phleps et al. 2005; Ryan et al. 2005; Karaali 2006); (ii) the length and height scales for late-type dwarfs in the Galaxy (Chen et al. 2001); (iii) the spatial densities, absolute magnitudes and colours of ultracool dwarfs for each spectral type (Kirkpatrick et al. 1994; Dahn et al. 2002; Cruz et al. 2003; Vrba et al. 2004; Nakajima 2005).

Table 6 shows the resulting possible contaminants listed in approximately 1 mag-wide bins. Since the last magnitude interval (23.9–24.9 mag) is fainter than our completeness limit, we have used an incompleteness factor of 0.5, i.e. only  $\sim 50\%$  of the sources in this interval are detected in the WFC data. The total number of possible field ultracool-dwarf contaminants in our survey is  $\sim 6$ . Most of them are M7–L4V dwarfs in the magnitude interval  $I = 20\text{--}24$  mag. The contribution to contamination




**Fig. 7.** Luminosity function in the  $J$ -band. Note the scarcity of objects at  $J \sim 16.0$  mag.

by dwarfs later than L5 is very small. The figures reasonably match other determinations of the number of contaminants along the line of sight to  $\sigma$  Orionis (e.g. Béjar et al. 1999; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2002c; González-García et al. 2006). Our contamination calculations predict that  $\sim 4$  of the  $\sim 6$  foreground ultracool dwarfs are in the expected magnitude interval of the PMOs in the cluster.

#### 4.4. The mass function in the substellar domain

In Fig. 7, we show the luminosity function in  $J$ -band. There is an abrupt discontinuity in the distribution of magnitudes of brown dwarfs at  $J \sim 16.0$  mag,  $I - J \sim 2.5$  mag, which translates into a break in the mass distribution at  $\sim 0.03 M_{\odot}$  for an age of 3 Ma. In fact, there are only two cluster members with  $J$ -band magnitude between 15.7 and 16.7 mag.

The number of objects within each mass interval and the mass spectrum ( $\Delta N/\Delta M$ ) from our data with and without contamination correction are shown in Fig. 8. We mark three mass intervals in the substellar regime which we use to classify our objects in high-mass brown dwarfs ( $0.073\text{--}0.032 M_{\odot}$ ), low-mass brown dwarfs ( $0.032\text{--}0.013 M_{\odot}$ ) and planetary-mass objects ( $0.013\text{--}0.006 M_{\odot}$ ). The lowest mass interval is complete down to  $0.006 M_{\odot}$  for the most probable age of the cluster. These



**Fig. 8.** Number of objects within each mass interval (*top panel*) and mass spectrum (*bottom panel*) from 0.110 to  $0.006 M_{\odot}$ . Dotted vertical lines denote the hydrogen (*left*) and deuterium (*right*) burning mass limits. The values not corrected for contamination are shown with thin lines (*top panel*) and open circles (*bottom panel*), while the values corrected for contamination are shown with thick lines (*top panel*) and filled circles (*bottom panel*). The fits of the mass spectrum in the three substellar bins (thin dashed line) and the four bins (thick dashed line) are also shown.

three mass intervals are contaminated by 1, 1, and 4 field ultracool dwarfs respectively (see Sect. 4.3). In contrast, the stellar mass domain ( $0.11\text{--}0.073 M_{\odot}$ ) has no appreciable contamination by M and early-L dwarfs. However, as mentioned in Sect. 2.3, the survey is not complete at the stellar mass end due to saturation effects. The survey area and the magnitude interval corresponding to very-low-mass stars have been intensively investigated by other authors (Béjar et al. 1999, 2001; Scholz & Eislöffel 2004; Sherry et al. 2004), and they did not find any additional targets fainter than  $J = 14.2$  mag. We estimate that up to one cluster star between  $0.11 M_{\odot}$  and the hydrogen burning limit may have been missed.

The best fit for the four decontaminated intervals and for the most probable age and distance gives an  $\alpha$  power index of  $\sim 0.65$  ( $\Delta N/\Delta M \approx aM^{-\alpha}$ ). Varying the widths of the mass bins, the  $\alpha$  index changes between 0.4 and 0.8. We will assume  $\alpha = +0.6 \pm 0.2$  as the slope of the mass spectrum in the interval  $0.11\text{--}0.006 M_{\odot}$ . This value is similar to other determinations of the slope in the low-mass star and substellar domain in  $\sigma$  Orionis ( $\alpha = +0.8 \pm 0.4$ , Béjar et al. 2001;  $\alpha = +0.6^{+0.5}_{-0.1}$ , González-García et al. 2006). An extrapolation of the mass spectrum with index  $\alpha = +0.6 \pm 0.2$  predicts 3–4 objects with masses  $0.005\text{--}0.003 M_{\odot}$  in the area of our survey. Taking into account only the three substellar mass intervals, the slope decreases down to  $\alpha = +0.4 \pm 0.2$ . It is equal within the error bars to the  $\alpha$  value proposed by Kroupa (2001) between  $0.080$  and  $0.010 M_{\odot}$  ( $\alpha = +0.3 \pm 0.7$ ).

Photometric variability, mass-segregation or unresolved binarity corrections have not been applied in any mass interval for the following reasons:

- Our  $I$  and  $J$  images were not taken simultaneously, so photometric variability can potentially affect the selection procedure in the sense that variable objects may be missed because they lie to the blue of our selection criterion. Non-simultaneous photometric surveys in young regions in

general, and in our survey in particular, may yield incomplete lists of candidates. However, as noted previously, our  $J$ -band images have been combined with  $I$ -band data taken on two different occasions, and the resulting sample of  $\sigma$  Orionis candidates is not changed. Furthermore, in Caballero et al. (2004) and in Scholz & Eislöffel (2004) it was found that the amplitude of the photometric variations of  $\sigma$  Orionis brown dwarfs is typically less than 0.15 mag in the  $I$ -band (only one source was observed with larger amplitude). Our selection criterion can account for such small variability, but does not consider larger amplitude variations, such as those possibly observed for S Ori J053948.1–022914 (see Sect. A.1). We estimate the incompleteness of our sample at about 2% due to photometric variability effects. This rather small fraction does not seem to have a relevant impact on the study of the cluster substellar mass function (see Burningham et al. (2005b) for a discussion on apparent age spreads in OB association colour–magnitude diagrams and variability).

- No significant mass-dependent difference between the spatial distributions of cluster members below  $3.7 M_{\odot}$  has been found in  $\sigma$  Orionis (Béjar et al. 2004; Caballero, in prep.). Only the most massive stars ( $M > 3.7 M_{\odot}$ ) are preferentially found towards the centre of the cluster. In particular, the four most massive stars in  $\sigma$  Orionis are in the multiple stellar system that gives the name to the cluster (Caballero 2007). Hillenbrand & Hartmann (1998) found no evidence for mass segregation below  $\sim 1\text{--}2 M_{\odot}$  in the Orion Nebula Cluster (age  $< 1$  Ma). Given the extreme youth of the star-forming regions in Orion, there may not have been enough time for mass segregation as is seen in older open clusters, such as the Pleiades or the Hyades (Pinfield et al. 1998; Perryman et al. 1998), or in very old globular clusters.
- Little is known about binarity in  $\sigma$  Orionis, especially at substellar masses. Only a few works have found multiple systems in the cluster with low-mass components (Lee et al. 1994 and Kenyon et al. 2005: spectroscopic binaries; Caballero 2005 and Caballero et al. 2006b: wide binaries and companions). Unresolved binaries of mass ratio close to 1 are  $\sim 0.7$  mag brighter than single objects, which leads to higher derived masses. Some currently considered single low-mass stars could really be tight brown dwarf pairs. However, more radial-velocity investigations and high spatial resolution imaging are needed to quantify the binary frequency in  $\sigma$  Orionis (see Köhler et al. 2006 for a study of binary frequency in the Orion Nebula Cluster).

Our list of cluster members may also be incomplete because the regions around very bright stars show extensive glare which occupies several times the typical FWHM of the  $I$ -band images. However, the total lost area because of this effect is less than 2% (see previous sections). Given the spatial density of free-floating  $\sigma$  Orionis members in the investigated magnitude intervals (49 in  $790 \text{ arcmin}^2$ , i.e.  $0.062 \text{ objects arcmin}^{-2}$ ), we estimate the number of missed cluster members to be less than one.


Our mass spectrum extends to mass regimes not well sampled in the literature, limiting the opportunity for comparison. Yet our derived mass function for  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster is consistent with that derived by Lucas & Roche (2000); Najita et al. (2000); Muench et al. (2002) and Slesnick et al. (2004) in other regions. This is not a strong statement, however, given the low number of objects per mass bin.

The sharp drop observed at  $J \sim 16$  mag ( $M \sim 0.03 M_{\odot}$ ) in the  $\sigma$  Orionis luminosity function of Fig. 7 is not explained by

any theoretical evolutionary model currently available in the literature (Burrows et al. 1997; Baraffe et al. 1998, 2003; Chabrier et al. 2000). Similar drops or breaks at comparable magnitudes are also found in the near-infrared luminosity-functions of some recent deep searches conducted in various young clusters and star-forming regions (Muench et al. 2003 in IC 348; Pinfield et al. 2003 in the Pleiades and the Praesepe; Lucas et al. 2005 in the Orion Nebula Cluster; Bihain et al. 2006 in the Pleiades). Muench et al. (2002) discussed an apparent break from a single power-law decline of the Trapezium brown dwarf mass function around  $0.02\text{--}0.03 M_{\odot}$  ( $K \approx 15.5$  mag). Their mass function shows a second peak near the deuterium-burning limit, followed by a rapid decline to lower masses. Both the Trapezium and  $\sigma$  Orionis clusters have similar characteristics (age, distance, metallicity, environment). It is likely that Muench et al. (2002)’s break and ours share a common origin. Dobbie et al. (2002) also found that the luminosity functions of star-forming regions, open clusters and the field show a drop between spectral types M7 and M8, which corresponds to colours  $I - J \sim 2.2\text{--}2.5$  mag. As is apparent from Fig. 2, the sharp drop of the  $\sigma$  Orionis luminosity function also lies in this colour interval. Dobbie et al. (2002) speculated that this is caused by the beginning of dust formation in cool, “neutral” atmospheres. To date, no satisfactory explanation exists to account for this feature.

Finally, we have investigated the effects of the uncertainties in the cluster age and distance on the mass spectrum. In particular, the slope of the mass spectrum is highly sensitive to age variations, which prevents us from deriving the error in the  $\alpha$  index with a precision better than 0.2. The break at  $M \sim 0.03 M_{\odot}$  did not affect our first  $\alpha$  determination, since we used  $0.032 M_{\odot}$  as the boundary between high- and low-mass brown dwarfs in the mass spectrum. However, the break is displaced to the middle of the intervals in the mass spectrum for the extreme cases of the cluster being younger and closer (1 Ma and 300 pc) and older and further away (5 Ma and 430 pc). For these cases, one of the two brown dwarf intervals is almost empty, and the linear fit becomes meaningless. Using more mass intervals does not solve the problem. A diagram with the normalised cumulative number of objects as a function of mass, like the one shown in Fig. 9, is free of the subjectiveness in the choice of mass intervals in the mass spectrum and appears useful to compare the distribution of masses of stars and substellar objects in different young clusters. There is an apparent change of slope in the cumulative distribution of Fig. 9 at masses between  $0.025$  and  $0.040 M_{\odot}$ . This is likely related to the sharp drop observed in the cluster luminosity function.

Our main goal was to investigate whether there is any feature in the mass function that could be linked to the opacity mass-limit for objects forming via fragmentation in molecular clouds. At lower masses, our luminosity function is rather smooth with no evidence for a theoretically predicted opacity mass-limit. We derived a most probable mass of  $0.006 M_{\odot}$  for our least massive cluster member candidate. Besides, there are seven IPMO candidates with most probable masses in the interval  $0.008\text{--}0.006 M_{\odot}$ . This is a relatively large number, even accounting for possible contamination. If there were an opacity limit just below the deuterium-burning mass limit, we would expect a lower number of cluster member candidates in that mass interval, which would lead to a steep drop in the last bin of the mass spectrum in Fig. 8. Thus, if these objects form via fragmentation, any possible mass cut-off of the mass function lies below  $0.006 M_{\odot}$  ( $6 M_{\text{Jup}}$ ). The smooth continuity of the substellar mass spectrum towards masses below the deuterium-burning limit may indicate that the formation of IPMOs with  $M > 0.006 M_{\odot}$  is merely an



**Fig. 9.** Normalised cumulative number of objects ( $\sum_i i/N$ ) vs. mass. Filled circles indicate the most probable masses for 3 Ma and 360 pc. The error bars indicate the masses for the extreme cases of a younger closer cluster (1 Ma and 300 pc) and of an older farther cluster (5 Ma and 430 pc). Dotted vertical lines denote the hydrogen (left) and deuterium (right) burning mass limits.

extension of that of brown dwarfs. To determine the existence of the hypothetical opacity-limit cut-off we need both ultra-deep imaging with high sensitive facilities extending from 1 to  $8 \mu\text{m}$  as well as intermediate resolution spectroscopy, so that we can detect and confirm fainter isolated-planetary mass objects of a few Jupiter masses and assess their membership in the cluster.

## 5. Summary

We have performed a  $790 \text{ arcmin}^2$ -wide survey close to the centre of the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster ( $3 \pm 2$  Ma;  $360^{+70}_{-60}$  pc) in the  $I$ - and  $J$ -bands down to limiting magnitudes ( $3\sigma$ )  $I \sim 24.1$  mag and  $J \sim 21.8$  mag. We selected 49 objects from the  $I$  vs.  $I - J$  diagram. Of these, 33 are brown dwarfs and 11 are planetary-mass objects at the most probable age and distance of the cluster. Twenty objects display spectroscopic features of youth. Two brown dwarfs and two planetary-mass object candidates are reported here for the first time.

The infrared follow-up from the  $H$ -band to  $8.0 \mu\text{m}$  with the 2MASS catalogue, deep ground-based near-infrared imaging with 2- and 4-m-class telescopes, and *Spitzer* Space Telescope archival infrared images did not allow us to detect any blue interlopers in our sample. However, we could use these data to identify 18 objects with flux excess at  $8.0 \mu\text{m}$  which are therefore likely to harbour discs. Some of them are T Tauri substellar analogs with discs. The frequency of brown dwarfs with discs in  $\sigma$  Orionis is estimated at  $47 \pm 9\%$ .

Taking into account the spectroscopic information and the IRAC photometry, 30 targets are confirmed as very young low-mass objects members of the cluster. Among the remaining 19 cluster candidates, up to six could be foreground contaminants (especially affecting the faintest magnitude interval in our sample).

We find a rising mass spectrum ( $\Delta N/\Delta M \propto M^{-\alpha}$ ) in the mass interval  $0.11$  and  $0.006 M_{\odot}$ . The  $\alpha$  index is  $+0.6 \pm 0.2$  for the whole mass interval considered, and  $+0.4 \pm 0.2$  if we restrict it to the substellar domain between  $0.073$  and  $0.006 M_{\odot}$ . A break in the luminosity function is apparent at about  $J \sim 16.0$  mag

( $\sim 0.03 M_{\odot}$  at the most probable age of the cluster). Within the mass interval covered by our survey, there is no direct evidence for the presence of an opacity-mass limit for objects formed via fragmentation and collapse of molecular clouds. Any possible mass cut-off would lie below  $6 M_{\text{Jup}}$  ( $0.006 M_{\odot}$ ). Both brown dwarfs and IPMOs seem to form as an extension of the low-mass star formation process.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank the anonymous referee for his/her detailed and careful report, J. Hernández for helpful discussion on *Spitzer* data, and A. Manchado, J. A. Acosta and the rest of the LIRIS instrument team for acquiring some deep  $H$  and  $K_s$  data. Partial financial support was provided by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología project PNAYA 2006–12612 of the Plan Nacional de Astronomía y Astrofísica. Based on observations obtained at the Paranal Observatory, Chile, in ESO program 68.C-0553(A). Based on observations obtained at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council of Canada, the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France, and the University of Hawai'i. Based on observations collected at the Centro Astronómico Hispano Alemán (CAHA) at Calar Alto, operated jointly by the Max-Planck Institut für Astronomie and the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC). Based on observations made with the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) operated on the island of La Palma by the Isaac Newton Group in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de Los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. The Telescopio Carlos Sánchez is operated on the island of Tenerife by the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias in the Spanish Observatorio del Teide of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. This work is based in part on observations made with the *Spitzer* Space Telescope, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. IRAF is distributed by National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France.

## References

- Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., Alexander, D. R., Tamanai, A., & Schweitzer, A. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 357
- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 1998, *A&A*, 337, 403
- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2002, *A&A*, 382, 563
- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2003, *A&A*, 402, 701
- Barrado y Navascués, D., & Martín, E. L. 2003, *AJ*, 126, 2997
- Barrado y Navascués, D., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Béjar, V. J. S., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 377, L9
- Barrado y Navascués, D., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Martín, E. L., et al. 2002, *A&A*, 393, L85
- Barrado y Navascués, D., Béjar, V. J. S., Mundt, R., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 404, 171
- Barrado y Navascués, D., Stauffer, J. R., Bouvier, J., Jayawardhana, R., & Cuillandre, J.-C. 2004, *ApJ*, 610, 1064
- Bate, M. R., Bonnell, I. A., & Bromm, V. 2002, *MNRAS*, 332, L65
- Bate, M. R., Bonnell, I. A., & Bromm, V. 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 577
- Beaulieu, J.-P., Bennett, D. P., Fouqué, P., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 439, 437
- Béjar, V. J. S., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., & Rebolo, R. 1999, *ApJ*, 521, 671
- Béjar, V. J. S., Martín, E. L., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 830
- Béjar, V. J. S., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., & Rebolo, R. 2004, *AN*, 325, 705
- Bihain, G., Rebolo, R., Béjar, V. J. S., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 458, 805
- Boss, A. P. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, L101
- Bouvier, J., Stauffer, J. R., Martín, E. L., et al. 1998, *A&A*, 336, 490
- Bouy, H., Duchêne, G., Köhler, R., et al. *A&A*, 423, 341
- Brown, A. G. A., de Geus, E. J., & de Zeeuw, P. T. 1994, *A&A*, 289, 101
- Burningham, B., Naylor, T., Littlefair, S. P., & Jeffries, R. D. 2005a, *MNRAS*, 356, 1583
- Burningham, B., Naylor, T., Littlefair, S. P., & Jeffries, R. D. 2005b, *MNRAS*, 363, 1389
- Burrows, A., Marley, M., Hubbard, W. B., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 491, 856
- Caballero, J. A. 2005, *Astron. Nachr.*, 326, 1007
- Caballero, J. A. 2006, Ph.D. Thesis, Universidad de La Laguna
- Caballero, J. A., Béjar, V. J. S., Rebolo, R., & Zapatero Osorio, M. R. 2004, *A&A*, 424, 857
- Caballero, J. A., Martín, E. L., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., et al. 2006a, *A&A*, 445, 143
- Caballero, J. A., Martín, E. L., Dobbie, P. D., & Barrado y Navascués, D. 2006b, *A&A*, 460, 635
- Caballero, J. A. 2007, *A&A*, 466, 917
- Chabrier, G., & Baraffe, I. 2000, *ARA&A*, 38, 337
- Chabrier, G., Baraffe, I., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 464
- Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A.-M., Dumas, C., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 425, L29
- Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A.-M., Dumas, C., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 438, L25
- Charbonneau, D., Brown, T. M., Latham, D. W., & Mayor, M. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, L45
- Chen, B., Stoughton, C., Smith, J. A., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 184
- Cox A. N. 2000, *Allen's astrophysical quantities*, 4th ed. A. N. Cox (New York: AIP Press; Springer)
- Cruz, K. L., Reid, I. N., Liebert, J., Kirkpatrick, J. D., & Lowrance, P. J. 2003, *AJ*, 126, 2421
- Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, *VizieR On-line Data Catalog: II/246*. Originally published in: University of Massachusetts and Infrared Processing and Analysis Center (IPAC/California Institute of Technology)
- Cushing, M. C., Roellig, T. L., Marley, M. S., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 648, 614
- Dahn, C. C., Harris, H. C., Vrba, F. J., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 1170
- Dobbie, P. D., Pinfield, D. J., Jameson, R. F., & Hodgkin, S. T. 2002, *MNRAS*, 335, L79
- Douglas, J. N., Bash, F. N., Bozayan, F. A., Torrence, G. W., & Wolfe, C. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 1945
- Fernández, M., & Comerón, F. 2001, *A&A*, 380, 264
- Flesch, E., & Hardcastle, M. J. 2004, *A&A*, 427, 387
- Franciosini, E., Pallavicini, R., & Sanz-Forcada, J. 2006, *A&A*, 446, 501
- Furlan, E., Calvet, N., D'Alessio, P., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 621, L129
- Golimowski, D. A., Leggett, S. K., Marley, M. S., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 3516
- Gonzalez-García, B. M., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Béjar, V. et al. 2006, *A&A*, 460, 799
- Greaves, J. S., Holland, W. S., & Pound, M. W. 2003, *MNRAS*, 346, 441
- Hernández, J., Hartmann, L., Megeath, T., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, accepted, [arXiv:astro-ph/0701476]
- Hillenbrand, L. A., & Hartmann, L. W. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, 540
- Jameson, R. F. 2005, *AN*, 326, 874
- Jayawardhana, R., Mohanty, S., & Basri, G. 2003, *ApJ*, 592, 282
- Jeffries, R. D., Maxted, P. F. L., Oliveira, J. M., & Naylor, T. 2006, *MNRAS*, 317, L6
- Karaali, S. 2006, *AN*, 327, 97
- Kenyon, M. J., Jeffries, R. D., Naylor, T., Oliveira, J. M. & Maxted, P. F. L. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 89
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., McGraw, J. T., Hess, T. R., Liebert, J., & McCarthy, D. W. Jr. 1994, *ApJS*, 94, 749
- Köhler, R., Petr-Gotzens, M. G., McCaughrean, M. J., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 458, 461
- Kroupa, P. 2001, *MNRAS*, 322, 231
- Landolt, A. 1992, *AJ*, 104, 340
- Lee, T. A. 1968, *ApJ*, 152, 913
- Lee, C. W., Martín, E. L., & Mathieu, R. D. 1994, *AJ*, 108, 1445
- Lucas, P. W., & Roche, P. F. 2000, *MNRAS*, 314, 858
- Lucas, P. W., Roche, P. F., & Tamura, M. 2005, *MNRAS*, 361, 211
- Luhman, K. L. 2000, *ApJ*, 544, 1044
- Luhman, K. L., Whitney, B. A., Meade, M. R., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 647, 1180
- Martín, E. L., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Barrado y Navascués, D., Béjar, V. J. S., & Rebolo, R. 2001, *ApJ*, 558, L117
- Martín, E. L., & Zapatero Osorio, M. R. 2003, *ApJ*, 593, L113
- McGovern, M. R., Kirkpatrick, J. D., McLean, I. S., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 600, 1020
- Mokler, F., & Stelzer, B. 2002, *A&A*, 391, 1025
- Mayor, M., & Queloz, D. 1995, *Nature*, 378, 355
- Muench, A. A., Lada, E. A., Lada, C. J., & Alves, J. 2002, *ApJ*, 573, 366
- Muench, A. A., Lada, E. A., Lada, C. J., et al. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 2029
- Muzerolle, J., Hillenbrand, L., Calvet, N., Briceño, C., & Hartmann, L. 2003, *ApJ*, 592, 266
- Najita, J. R., Tiede, G. P., & Carr, J. S. 2000, *ApJ*, 541, 977
- Nakajima, T. 2005, *IAC/TNG Workshop on Ultralow-mass star formation and evolution*, La Palma, 2005 June 28 – July 1, ed. E. L. Martín & A. Magazzù
- Natta, A., & Testi, L. 2001, *A&A*, 376, L22
- Neuhäuser, R., Guenther, E. W., Wuchterl, G., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 435, L13
- Oasa, Y., Tamura, M., Nakajima, Y., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1608
- Oliveira, J. M., Jeffries, R. D., Kenyon, M. J., Thompson, S. A., & Naylor, T. 2002, *A&A*, 382, L22
- Oliveira, J. M., Jeffries, R. D., van Loon, J. Th., & Rushton, M. T. 2006, *MNRAS*, 369, 272
- Patten, B. M., Stauffer, J. R., Burrows, A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 651, 502
- Perryman, M. A. C., Brown, A. G. A., Lebreton, Y., et al. 1998, *A&A*, 331, 81



- Phleps, S., Drepper, S., Meisenheimer, K., & Fuchs, B. 2005, *A&A*, 443, 929
- Pinfield, D. J., Jameson, R. F., & Hodgkin, S. T. 1998, *MNRAS*, 299, 955
- Pinfield, D. J., Dobbie, P. D., Jameson, R. F., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 1241
- Rees, M. J. 1976, *MNRAS*, 176, 483
- Reipurth, B. & Clarke, C. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 432
- Ryan, Jr. R. E., Hathi, N. P., Cohen, S. H., & Windhorst, R. A. 2005, *ApJ*, 631, L159
- Scalo, J. M. 1986, *Fund. Cos. Phys.*, 11, 1
- Scholz, A., & Eislöffel, J. 2004, *A&A*, 419, 249
- Sherry, W. H., Walter, F. M., & Wolk, S. J. 2004, *AJ*, 128, 2316
- Silk, J. 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 152
- Slesnick, C. L., Hillenbrand, L. A., & Carpenter, J. M. 2004, *ApJ*, 610, 1045
- Stassun, K. G., Mathieu, R. D., & Valenti, J. A. 2006, *Nature*, 440, 311
- Tohline, J. E. 1980, *ApJ*, 239, 417
- Tsuji, T., Ohnaka, K., Aoki, W., & Nakajima, T. 1996, *A&A*, 308, L29
- Vrba, F. J., Henden, A. A., Luginbuhl, C. B., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 2948
- White, R. J., & Basri, G. 2003, *ApJ*, 582, 1109
- Wilking, B. A., Greene, T. P., & Meyer, M. R. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 469
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Rebolo, R., & Martín, E. L. 1997, *A&A*, 317, 164
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Béjar, V. J. S., Rebolo, R., Martín, E. L., & Basri, G. 1999, *ApJ*, 524, L115
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Béjar, V. J. S., Martín, E. L., et al. 2000, *Science*, 290, 103
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Béjar, V. J. S., Pavlenko, Ya., et al. 2002a, *A&A*, 384, 937
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Béjar, V. J. S., Martín, E. L., Barrado y Navascués, D., & Rebolo, R. 2002b, *ApJ*, 569, L99
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Béjar, V. J. S., Martín, E. L., et al. 2002c, *ApJ*, 578, 536
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Caballero, J. A., Béjar, V. J. S., & Rebolo, R. 2003, *A&A*, 408, 663
- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., Lane, B. F., Pavlenko, Ya., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 615, 958

# Online Material

## Appendix A: Other interesting sources

### A.1. S Ori J053948.1–022914

S Ori J053948.1–022914 was discovered by Béjar et al. (2001). It is a  $M7.0 \pm 0.5$  object with a stable chromospheric  $H\alpha$  emission ( $pEW(H\alpha) = -6.7 \pm 1.6 \text{ \AA}$ ; Barrado y Navascués et al. 2003) and with a very red  $J - K_s$  colour for its spectral type ( $J - K_s = 1.28 \pm 0.17 \text{ mag}$ ). It was proposed to be a X-ray source by Mokler & Stelzer (2002) from *ROSAT* data. Flesch & Hardcastle (2004) correlated, however, the X-ray source with a brighter star at 10.2 arcsec detected to the northeast in their APM/USNO-A search. This star, B 1.01–319, displays low gravity features and radial velocity consistent with membership in  $\sigma$  Orionis (Burningham et al. 2005a). Therefore, the X-ray emission is likely ascribed to the star, at a projected physical separation of about 3 700 AU to the M7.0 object.

Scholz & Eislöffel (2004) detected a non-periodic photometric variability with a *rms* of 0.139 mag in the red-optical light curve of S Ori J053948.1–022914. The average *I* magnitude measured by them, 18.10 mag, considerably differs from other measurements in the literature ( $I = 18.92 \text{ mag}$  in Béjar et al. 1999;  $I = 18.67 \pm 0.07 \text{ mag}$  in Béjar et al. 2004;  $I = 18.50 \text{ mag}$  in this work). It might be a photometrically-variable cluster member. Further studies are needed to assess its membership in  $\sigma$  Orionis.

### A.2. New probable cluster non-members

In Table A.1 we provide the coordinates and  $IJK_s$  magnitudes of four interesting red objects that probably do not belong to the  $\sigma$  Orionis cluster. They are bluewards of our selection criterion. Two of them, with identifications 1 and 2 in the table, are likely L-type field ultracool dwarfs in the foreground. Their  $J - K_s$  and  $I - J$  colours match the sequence of the ultracool field dwarfs depicted in the colour–colour diagram in the bottom right panel in Fig. 4. The sources with identifications 3 and 4 are likely of extragalactic nature. Interestingly, the object Id. 3 is located at only  $1.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ arcsec}$  to the radio source TXS 0537–029 (Douglas et al. 1996). Given the low spatial density of radio sources towards  $\sigma$  Orionis, it is possible that Id. 3 and the radio source are associated with a non-catalogued elliptical galaxy 6.2 arcsec to the southeast of Id. 3.

**Table A.1.** New probable cluster non-members.

Id.	$\alpha^{J2000}$	$\delta^{J2000}$	$I \pm \delta I$ (mag)	$J \pm \delta J$ (mag)	$H \pm \delta H$ (mag)	$K_s \pm \delta K_s$ (mag)	Remarks
1	05 39 25.4	-02 29 43	$23.11 \pm 0.18$	$20.08 \pm 0.10$	$19.43 \pm 0.07$	$19.07 \pm 0.07$	Early L-type field dwarf?
2	05 38 44.6	-02 44 40	$23.8 \pm 0.5$	$20.64 \pm 0.08$	$19.90 \pm 0.09$	$19.22 \pm 0.10$	Late L-type field dwarf?
3	05 39 59.3	-02 52 56	$23.3 \pm 0.3$	$20.82 \pm 0.09$	$19.6 \pm 0.2$	$18.65 \pm 0.07$	Radio galaxy?
4	05 40 04.7	-02 29 27	$\gtrsim 24.5$	$21.56 \pm 0.10$	$20.01 \pm 0.12$	$18.52 \pm 0.13$	Extremely red galaxy?

**Appendix B:**



**Fig. B.1.** False-colour composite image of the northern part of survey area corresponding to the detector CCD#2 of the WFC (11 arcmin wide; north is up, east is left). Blue is for photographic  $I$  (from the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre), green is for IRAC  $3.6\ \mu\text{m}$  and red is for IRAC  $5.8\ \mu\text{m}$ . The two very low-mass stars and the eleven brown dwarfs in the area are marked with white circles. The brightest blueish star is HD 294278, a K2-type foreground dwarf. The remaining bright greenish and orange stars are known classical T Tauri stars in  $\sigma$  Orionis, like TX Ori and V505 Ori.