

Generalization of a going-down theorem in the category of Chow-Grothendieck motives due to N. Karpenko

Charles de Clercq

► To cite this version:

Charles de Clercq. Generalization of a going-down theorem in the category of Chow-Grothendieck motives due to N. Karpenko. 2009. hal-00389375v1

HAL Id: hal-00389375 https://hal.science/hal-00389375v1

Preprint submitted on 28 May 2009 (v1), last revised 10 Jun 2009 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Generalization of a going-down theorem in the category of Chow-Grothendieck motives due to N. Karpenko

Charles De Clercq

May 28, 2009

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{M} := (M(X), p)$ be a direct summand of the motive associated with a geometrically split, geometrically variety over a field F satisfying the nilpotence principle. We show that under some conditions on an extension E/F, if \mathbb{M} is a direct summand of another motive Mover an extension E, then \mathbb{M} is a direct summand of M over F.

1 Introduction

Let Λ be a finite commutative ring. Our main reference on the category $CM(F; \Lambda)$ of Chow-Grothendieck motives with coefficients in Λ is [1].

The purpose of this note is to generalize the following theorem due to N. Karpenko ([2], proposition 4.5). Throughout this paper we understand a F-variety over a field F as a separated scheme of finite type over F.

Theorem 1.1. Let Λ be a finite commutative ring. Let X be a geometrically split, geometrically irreducible F-variety satisfying the nilpotence principle. Let $M \in CM(F; \Lambda)$ be another motive. Suppose that an extension E/F satisfies

- 1. the E-motive $M(X)_E \in CM(E; \Lambda)$ of the E-variety X_E is indecomposable;
- 2. the extension E(X)/F(X) is purely transcendental;
- 3. the motive $M(X)_E$ is a direct summand of the motive M.

Then the motive M(X) is a direct summand of the motive M.

We generalize this theorem when the motive $M(X) \in CM(F; \Lambda)$ is replaced by a direct summand (M(X), p) associated with a projector $p \in End_{CM(F;\Lambda)}(M(X))$. The proof given by N. Karpenko in [2] cannot be used in the case where M(X) is replaced by a direct summand because of the use on the *multiplicity* ([1], §75) as the multiplicity of a projector in the category $CM(F;\Lambda)$ is not always equal to 1 (and it can even be 0). The proof given here for its generalization gives also another proof of theorem 1.1.

2 Suitable basis of the dual space of a geometrically split *F*-variety

Let X be a geometrically split, geometrically irreductible F-variety satisfying the nilpotence principle. We note $CH(\overline{X}; \Lambda)$ as the colimit of the $CH(X_K; \Lambda)$ over all extensions K of F. By assumption there is a integer n = rk(X) such that

$$CH(\overline{X};\Lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \Lambda.$$

Let $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$ be a base of the Λ -module $CH(\overline{X}; \Lambda)$. Each element x_i of the basis is associated with a subvariety of X_E , where E is a splitting field of X. We note $\varphi(i)$ for the dimension of the E-variety associated to x_i .

Proposition 2.1. Let X be a geometrically split F-variety. Then the pairing

$$\Psi : \begin{array}{ccc} CH(\overline{X};\Lambda) \times CH(\overline{X};\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda \\ (\alpha,\beta) & \longmapsto & deg(\alpha \cdot \beta) \end{array}$$

is bilinear, symetric and non-degenerate.

The pairing Ψ induces an isomorphism between $CH(\overline{X}; \Lambda)$ and its dual space $Hom_{\Lambda}(CH(\overline{X}; \Lambda), \Lambda)$. Considering the inverse images of the dual basis of $Hom_{\Lambda}(CH(\overline{X}, \Lambda); \Lambda)$ associated with the basis x_i , we get another basis $(x_i^*)_{i=0}^n$ of $CH(\overline{X}; \Lambda)$ such that

$$\Psi(x_i, x_i^*) = \delta_{ij}$$

where δ_{ij} is the usual Kronecker symbol.

Proposition 2.2. Let M and N be two motives in $CM(F; \Lambda)$ such that M is split. Then there is an isomorphism

$$CH^*(M;\Lambda) \otimes CH^*(N;\Lambda) \longrightarrow CH^*(M \otimes N;\Lambda)$$

Proof. c.f. [1] proposition 64.3.

Let Y be a smooth complete irreducible F-variety. We note M for the motive (M(Y), q) associated with a projector $q \in End(M(Y))$. Then we have the following computations.

Lemma 2.3. For any integers *i*, *j*, *k* and *s* less than rk(X) = n, and for any cycles *y* and *y'* in $CH(\overline{Y};\Lambda)$, with 1 being the identity class in either $CH(\overline{X};\Lambda)$ or $CH(\overline{Y};\Lambda)$ we have

- 1. $(x_i \times x_i^*) \circ (x_k \times x_s^*) = \delta_{is}(x_k \times x_i^*)$
- 2. $(x_i \times y \times 1) \circ (x_k \times x_s^*) = \delta_{is}(x_k \times y \times 1)$
- 3. $(y' \times x_i^*) \circ (x_i \times y) = \deg(y' \cdot y)(x_i \times x_i^*)$

Proof. We only compute (2) (other cases are similar).

$$(x_i \times y \times 1) \circ (x_k \times x_s^*) = (\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \times \overline{\mathcal{X}})_* ((\overline{\mathcal{X}} \times \overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} p_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \times \overline{\mathcal{X}}})^* (x_k \times x_s^*) \cdot (p_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} \overline{\mathcal{X}} \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \times \overline{\mathcal{X}})^* (x_i \times y \times 1))$$
(2.1)

$$= ({}^{X}_{p} {}^{Y \times X}_{\overline{X}})_{*} ((x_{k} \times x_{s}^{*} \times 1 \times 1) \cdot (1 \times x_{i} \times y \times 1))$$
(2.2)

$$= \left(\frac{\overline{X}p_{\overline{X}}^{\overline{Y}\times\overline{X}}}{\overline{X}}\right)_* \left(x_k \times \left(x_s^* \cdot x_i\right) \times y \times 1\right)$$
(2.3)

$$=\delta_{is}(x_k \times y \times 1) \tag{2.4}$$

3 Rational cycles of a geometrically split *F*-variety

Let X be a geometrically split F-variety. We note (M(X), p) the direct summand of M(X)associated with a projector $p \in CH_{\dim(X)}(X \times X; \Lambda)$. Considering the motive M defined in the previous section, if $(M(X_E), p_E)$ is a direct summand of M_E for some extension E/F, then there exists cycles $f \in CH(X_E \times Y_E; \Lambda)$ and $g \in CH(Y_E \times X_E; \Lambda)$ such that $f \circ g = p_E$. We can write these cycles in suitable basis of $CH(\overline{X} \times \overline{Y}; \Lambda)$, $CH(\overline{Y} \times \overline{X}; \Lambda)$ and $CH(\overline{X} \times \overline{X}; \Lambda)$ by proposition 2.2. Thus there are two subsets F and G of $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, scalars (which can be equal to 0) f_i, g_j, p_{ij} and cycles y_i, y'_j in $CH(\overline{Y}; \Lambda)$ such that

1. $\overline{f} = \sum_{i \in F} f_i(x_i \times y_i)$

2.
$$\overline{g} = \sum_{j \in G} g_j(y'_j \times x^*_j)$$

3.
$$\overline{p} = \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in G} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)$$

With $p_{ij} = f_i g_j \deg(y'_j \cdot y_i)$ by lemma 2.3 as $g \circ f = p_E$.

Г	
L	
L	

Notation 3.1. Let $p \in CH_{\dim(X)}(X \times X)$ be a non-zero projector. Embedding p in a splitting field of the F-variety X, we can write $\overline{p} = \sum_{i \in P_1} \sum_{j \in P_2} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)$. We define the least codimension of p (denoted cdmin(p)) by

$$cdmin(p) := \min_{(i,j), p_{ij} \neq 0} (\dim(\overline{X}) - \varphi(i))$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $p \in CH_{\dim(X)}(X \times X)$ be a non-zero projector. We consider its decomposition $\overline{p} = \sum_{i \in P_1} \sum_{j \in P_2} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)$ in a splitting field of X. Then for any $i \in P_1$ and $j \in P_2$ we have

$$p_{ij} = \sum_{k \in P_1 \cap P_2} p_{kj} p_{ik}$$

Proof. We can assume that $\varphi(i)$ is constant on P_1 . Then a straightforward computation gives

$$\overline{p} \circ \overline{p} = \left(\sum_{i \in P_1} \sum_{j \in P_2} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)\right) \circ \left(\sum_{k \in P_1} \sum_{s \in P_2} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)\right)$$
(3.1)

$$=\sum_{i\in P_1}\sum_{j\in P_2}\sum_{k\in P_1}\sum_{s\in P_2}p_{ij}p_{ks}(x_i\times x_j^*)\circ(x_k\times x_s^*)$$
(3.2)

$$=\sum_{i\in P_1}\sum_{j\in P_2}\sum_{k\in P_1}\sum_{s\in P_2}p_{ij}p_{ks}\delta_{is}(x_k\times x_j^*)$$
(3.3)

$$=\sum_{k\in P_1}\sum_{s\in P_2}\left(\sum_{i\in P_1\cap P_2}p_{ij}p_{ki}(x_k\times x_s^*)\right)$$
(3.4)

Moreover $p \circ p = p$, thus if $(k, s) \in P_1 \times P_2$ we have $p_{ks} = \sum_{i \in P_1 \cap P_2} p_{is} p_{ki}$.

4 General properties of Chow groups

Embedding the Chow group of the *F*-variety X is quite usefull for computations, but the generalization of the theorem 1.1 needs a direct construction of some *F*-rational cycles f and g. We study in this section some properties of rationnal elements in Chow groups and how they behave when the extension E(X)/F(X) is purely transcendental.

Proposition 4.1. Let X and Y be two F-varieties. Let E/F be an extension such that E(X)/F(X) is purely transcendental. Then the morphism

$$res_{E(X)/F(X)} : CH(F(X) \times Y; \Lambda) \longrightarrow CH(E(X) \times Y_E; \Lambda)$$

is an epimorphism.

Proof. The morphism $res_{E(X)/F(X)}$ corresponds with the composition

$$CH(F(X) \times Y; \Lambda) \longrightarrow CH(F(X) \times Y_E; \Lambda) \longrightarrow CH(E(X) \times Y_E; \Lambda)$$

The first map is an epimorphism as it coincides with the pull back of the projection

$$(id_{F(X)} \times p_Y) : F(X) \times Y_E \longrightarrow F(X) \times Y_E$$

The second map corresponds with the composition

$$CH(F(X) \times Y_E; \Lambda) \longrightarrow CH(Y_E \times \mathbb{A}^n_{F(X)}; \Lambda) \longrightarrow CH(E(X) \times Y_E; \Lambda).$$

As the extension E(X)/F(X) is purely transcendental, there is an isomorphism between E and the function field of an affine space $\mathbb{A}_{F(X)}^n$ for some integer n. The first map is an epimorphism by the homotopy invariance of Chow groups ([1], theorem 57.13) and the second map is an epimorphism as well ([1], corollary 57.11).

5 Generalization of the going-down theorem in the category of Chow-Grothendieck motives

We now have all the material needed to prove the generalization of theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.1. Let Λ be a finite commutative ring. Let X be a geometrically split, geometrically irreducible F-variety satisfying the nilpotence principle. Let also $M \in CM(F;\Lambda)$ be a motive. Suppose that an extension E/F satisfies

- 1. the E-motive $(M(X)_E, p_E)$ associated with the E-variety X_E and a non-zero projector p is indecomposable;
- 2. the extension E(X)/F(X) is purely transcendental;
- 3. the motive $(M(X_E), p_E)$ is a direct summand of the E-motive M_E .

Then the motive (M(X), p) is a direct summand of the motive M.

Proof. We can consider that M = (Y, q) for some smooth complete *F*-variety *Y* and a projector $q \in CH_{\dim(Y)}(Y \times Y; \Lambda)$.

As $(M(X)_E, p_E)$ is a direct summand of M_E , there are *E*-rationnal cycles $f \in CH_{\dim(X_E)}(X_E \times Y_E; \Lambda)$ and $g \in CH_{\dim(Y_E)}(Y_E \times X_E; \Lambda)$ such that $g \circ f = p_E$. Embedding these cycles in a splitting field of (M(X), p) we get in suitable basis

- 1. $\overline{f} = \sum_{i \in F} f_i(x_i \times y_i)$
- 2. $\overline{g} = \sum_{j \in G} g_j(y'_j \times x^*_j)$

3.
$$\overline{p} = \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in G} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)$$

with $p_{ij} = f_i g_j \deg(y'_j \cdot y_i)$.

Splitting terms whose first codimension is minimal in \overline{f} and \overline{p} by introducing

$$F_1 := \{i \in F, \varphi(i) = cdmin(p)\}$$

we get

1.
$$\overline{f} = \sum_{i \in F_1} f_i(x_i \times y_i) + \sum_{i \in F \setminus F_1} f_i(x_i \times y_i)$$

2. $\overline{p} = \sum_{i \in F_1} \sum_{j \in G} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*) + \sum_{i \in F \setminus F_1} \sum_{j \in G} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)$

As E(X) is an extension of E, the cycle \overline{f} is E(X)-rational. Proposition 4.1 implies that the change of field $res_{E(X)/F(X)}$ is an epimorphism and we can consider \overline{f} as a F(X)-rational cycle.

Considering the morphism $Spec(F(X)) \longrightarrow X$ associated with the generic point of the geometrically irreducible variety X, we get a morphism

$$\epsilon: (X \times Y)_{F(X)} \longrightarrow X \times Y \times X$$

This morphism induces a pull-back $\epsilon^* : CH_{\dim(X)}(\overline{X} \times \overline{Y} \times \overline{X}; \Lambda) \longrightarrow CH_{\dim(X)}(\overline{X} \times \overline{Y}; \Lambda)$ sending any cycle of the form $\alpha \times \beta \times 1$ on $\alpha \times \beta$ and vanishing on other elements. Moreover ϵ^* induces an epimorphism of *F*-rational cycles onto F(X)-rational cycles ([1], corollary 57.11). We can thus choose a *F*-rational cycle $f_1 \in CH_{\dim(X)}(\overline{X} \times \overline{Y} \times \overline{X}; \Lambda)$ such that $\epsilon^*(f_1) = \overline{f}$.

By the expression of the pull-back ϵ^* we can assume

$$\overline{f_1} = \sum_{i \in F_1} f_i(x_i \times y_i \times 1) + \sum_{i \in F \setminus F_1} f_i(x_i \times y_i \times 1) + \sum (\alpha \times \beta \times \gamma)$$

where the codimension of the cycles γ is non-zero.

Considering f_1 as a correspondence from \overline{X} to $\overline{X} \times \overline{Y}$, we consider $f_2 := f_1 \circ p$ which is also a *F*-rational cycle. We have

$$\overline{f_2} = \left(\sum_{i \in F_1} f_i(x_i \times y_i \times 1)\right) \circ \left(\sum_{i \in F_1} \sum_{j \in G} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*)\right) + \sum_{i \in F \setminus F_1} \sum_{j \in G} \lambda_{ij}(x_i \times y_j \times 1) + \sum_{i \in F_1} \tilde{\alpha} \times \tilde{\beta} \times \tilde{\gamma}$$
(5.1)

$$=\sum_{i\in F_1}\sum_{j\in F_1\cap G}f_jp_{ij}(x_i\times y_j\times 1) + \sum_{i\in F\setminus F_1}\sum_{j\in G}\lambda_{ij}(x_i\times y_j\times 1) + \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}\times\tilde{\beta}\times\tilde{\gamma}}\tilde{\alpha}\times\tilde{\beta}\times\tilde{\gamma}$$
(5.2)

where the cycles $\tilde{\gamma}$ are of non-zero codimension, the cycles $\tilde{\alpha}$ are such that $codim(\tilde{\alpha}) \geq cdmin(p)$ and where elements λ_{ij} are scalars.

We now consider the diagonal embedding

$$\Delta : \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} \times \overline{Y} & \longrightarrow & \overline{X} \times \overline{Y} \times \overline{X} \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x,y,x) \end{array}$$

The morphism Δ induces a pull-back $\Delta^* : CH_{\dim(X)}(\overline{X} \times \overline{Y} \times \overline{X}; \Lambda) \longrightarrow CH_{\dim(X)}(\overline{X} \times \overline{Y}; \Lambda)$ We note $f_3 := \Delta^*(f_2)$, which is also a *F*-rational cycle and whose expression in a splitting field of *X* is

$$f_3 = \sum_{i \in F_1} \sum_{j \in F_1 \cap G} f_j p_{ij}(x_i \times y_j) + \sum_{i \in F \setminus F_1} \sum_{j \in G} \lambda_{ij}(x_i \times y_j) + \sum (\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}) \times \tilde{\beta}$$

where $codim(\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}) > cdmin(p)$ as $codim(\tilde{\alpha}) \ge cdmin(p)$ and $codim(\tilde{\gamma}) > 0$.

We can compute the composite $g \circ f_3$:

$$\overline{g} \circ \overline{f_3} = \overline{g} \circ \left(\sum_{i \in F_1} \sum_{j \in G} f_j p_{ij}(x_i \times y_j)\right) + \overline{g} \circ \left(\sum_{i \in F \setminus F_1} \sum_{j \in G} \lambda_{ij}(x_i \times y_j)\right) + \overline{g} \circ \left(\sum (\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}) \times \tilde{\beta}\right)\right) \quad (5.3)$$

$$=\sum_{i\in F_1}\sum_{s\in G}\sum_{j\in F_1\cap G}g_sf_jp_{ij}(y'_s\times x^*_s)\circ(x_i\times y) + (\sum\overline{\alpha}\times\overline{\beta})$$
(5.4)

With cycles $\overline{\alpha}$ such that $codim(\overline{\alpha}) > cdmin(p)$. Computing the component of $g \circ f_3$ for elements of the form $x_k \times x_s^*$ with $\varphi(k) = cdmin(p)$ we get

$$\overline{g} \circ \overline{f_3} = \sum_{i \in F_1} \sum_{s \in G} \sum_{j \in F_1 \cap G} g_s f_j p_{ij} (y'_s \times x^*_s) \circ (x_i \times y_j) + (\sum \overline{\alpha} \times \overline{\beta})$$
(5.5)

$$=\sum_{i\in F_1}\sum_{s\in G}\sum_{j\in F_1\cap G}g_sf_jp_{ij}\deg(y'_s\cdot y_j)(x_i\times x_s^*)$$
(5.6)

Now we can see that if $k \in F_1$, then the coefficient of $g \circ f_3$ relatively to an element $x_k \times x_s^*$ is equal to $\sum_{i \in F_1 \cap G} g_s f_i p_{ki} \deg(y_i \cdot y'_s)$. Moreover proposition 3.2 says that

$$\sum_{i \in F_1 \cap G} g_s f_i p_{ki} \deg(y_i \cdot y'_s) = \sum_{i \in F_1 \cap G} p_{is} p_{ki} = p_{ks}$$

Since p is non-zero, there exists (k, s) with $k \in F_1$ and $p_{ks} \neq 0$, thus we have shown that the cycle $g \circ f_3$ as a decomposition

$$g \circ f_3 = p_{ks}(x_k \times x_s^*) + \sum_{(i,j) \neq (k,s)} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*) + \sum (\overline{\alpha} \circ \overline{\beta})$$

where $codim(\overline{\alpha}) > cdmin(p)$. Since p is a projector, for any integer n the n-th power of $g \circ f_3$ as always a non-zero component relatively to $x_k \times x_s^*$ which is equal to p_{ks} , that is to say

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ (g \circ f_3)^{\circ n} = p_{ks}(x_k \times x_s^*) + \sum_{(i,j) \neq (k,s)} p_{ij}(x_i \times x_j^*) + \sum (\overline{\alpha} \circ \overline{\beta})$$

where $codim(\overline{\alpha}) > cdmin(p)$.

As the ring Λ is finite, there is a power of $g \circ (f_3)_E$ which is a non-zero idempotent (cf [2] lemma 3.2). Since the *E*-motive $(M(X)_E, p_E)$ is indecomposable this power of $g \circ (f_3)_E$ is equal to p_E . Thus we have shown that there exists an integer n_1 such that

$$(g \circ (f_3)_E)^{\circ n_1} = p_E$$

In particular if $g_1 := (g \circ (f_3)_E)^{\circ n_1 - 1} \circ g$ we get $g_1 \circ (f_3)_E = p_E$.

Since the *E*-motive $(M(X_E), p_E)$ is indecomposable, *p* is equal to its transpose as it is another non-zero projector. We get ${}^t(f_3)_E \circ {}^t g_1 = p_E$. Repeating the same process as before, we get a *F*-rational cycle \tilde{g} and an integer n_2 such that

$$({}^t(f_3)_E \circ (\tilde{g})_E)^{\circ n_2} = p_E$$

If $\hat{f} := ({}^t(f_3)_E \circ (\tilde{g})_E)^{\circ n_2 - 1} \circ {}^t(f_3)_E$, we have constructed two *F*-rational cycles \hat{f} and \tilde{g} such that

$$f_E \circ \tilde{g}_E = p_E$$

Using the nilpotence principle again, there is an integer $\overline{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(\hat{f} \circ \tilde{g})^{\overline{n}} = p$$

Hence if $\tilde{f} = (\hat{f} \circ \tilde{g})^{\overline{n}-1} \circ \hat{f}$, \tilde{f} is a *F*-rational cycle satisfying

$$\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g} = p$$

Thus we have shown that the motive (M(X), p) is a direct summand of the motive M.

References

- [1] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev. *The Algebraic and Geometric Theory of Quadratic Forms*. American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [2] N. Karpenko. Hyperbolicity of hermitian forms over biquaternion algebras. 2009.